

NCCN: Continuing Education

Target Audience: This activity is designed to meet the educational needs of oncologists, nurses, pharmacists, and other healthcare professionals who manage patients with cancer.

Accreditation Statements

In support of improving patient care, National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) is jointly accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME), the Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education (ACPE), and the American Nurses Credentialing Center (ANCC), to provide continuing education for the healthcare team.

Physicians: NCCN designates this journal-based CME activity for a maximum of 1.0 *AMA PRA Category 1 Credit™*. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

Nurses: NCCN designates this educational activity for a maximum of 1.0 contact hour.

Pharmacists: NCCN designates this knowledge-based continuing education activity for 1.0 contact hour (0.1 CEUs) of continuing education credit. UAN: JA4008196-0000-22-009-H01-P

Physician Assistants: NCCN has been authorized by the American Academy of PAs (AAPA) to award AAPA Category 1 CME credit for activities planned in accordance with AAPA CME Criteria. This activity is designated for 1.0 AAPA Category 1 CME credit. Approval is valid

until October 10, 2023. PAs should only claim credit commensurate with the extent of their participation.

All clinicians completing this activity will be issued a certificate of participation. To participate in this journal CE activity: (1) review the educational content; (2) take the posttest with a 66% minimum passing score and complete the evaluation at <https://education.nccn.org/node/91116>; and (3) view/print certificate.

Pharmacists: You must complete the posttest and evaluation within 30 days of the activity. Continuing pharmacy education credit is reported to the CPE Monitor once you have completed the posttest and evaluation and claimed your credits. Before completing these requirements, be sure your NCCN profile has been updated with your NAPB e-profile ID and date of birth. Your credit cannot be reported without this information. If you have any questions, please email education@nccn.org.

Release date: October 10, 2022; Expiration date: October 10, 2023

Learning Objectives:

Upon completion of this activity, participants will be able to:

- Integrate into professional practice the updates to the NCCN Guidelines for Survivorship
- Describe the rationale behind the decision-making process for developing the NCCN Guidelines for Survivorship

Disclosure of Relevant Financial Relationships

None of the planners for this educational activity have relevant financial relationship(s) to disclose with ineligible companies whose primary business is producing, marketing, selling, reselling, or distributing healthcare products used by or on patients.

Individuals Who Provided Content Development and/or Authorship Assistance:

The faculty listed below have no relevant financial relationship(s) with ineligible companies to disclose.

Tara Sanft, MD, Panel Chair

Andrew Day, MD, MPH, Panel Vice Chair

Wendy Demark-Wahnefried, PhD, RD, Panel Member

Melissa Hudson, MD, Panel Member

Lindsay Peterson, MD, MSCR, Panel Member

M. Alma Rodriguez, MD, Panel Member

Karen L. Syrjala, PhD, Panel Member

Amye Tevaarwerk, MD, Panel Member

Nicole R. McMillian, MS, CHES, Senior Guidelines Coordinator, NCCN

Deborah A. Freedman-Cass, PhD, Manager, Guidelines Processes, NCCN

The faculty listed below have the following relevant financial relationship(s) with ineligible companies to disclose. All of the relevant financial relationships listed for these individuals have been mitigated.

Eric H. Yang, MD, Panel Member, has disclosed receiving grant/research support from Boehringer Ingelheim GmbH, CSL Behring, and Eli Lilly and Company; and receiving consulting fees from Pfizer Inc.

Phyllis Zee, MD, PhD, Panel Member, has disclosed serving as a scientific advisor for CVS Caremark, Idorsia Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Jazz Pharmaceuticals Inc., and sanofi-aventis U.S.; receiving consulting fees from CVS Caremark, Eisai Inc., Idorsia Pharmaceuticals Ltd., and Jazz Pharmaceuticals Inc.; receiving grant/research support from Vanda Pharmaceuticals Inc.; and owning equity interest/stock options in Teva Pharmaceuticals.

To view all of the conflicts of interest for the NCCN Guidelines panel, go to [NCCN.org/guidelines/guidelines-panels-and-disclosure/disclosure-panels](https://www.nccn.org/guidelines/guidelines-panels-and-disclosure/disclosure-panels)

This activity is supported by educational grants from AstraZeneca; BeiGene; Exact Sciences; Gilead Sciences, Inc.; GlaxoSmithKline; Lantheus Medical Imaging Inc.; Novartis; Pharmacyclics LLC, an AbbVie Company and Janssen Biotech, Inc., administered by Janssen Scientific Affairs, LLC; and Taiho Oncology, Inc. This activity is supported by an independent educational grant from Astellas. This activity is supported by an education grant from Astellas and Seagen Inc. This activity is supported by a medical education grant from Karyopharm® Therapeutics. This activity is supported through an Independent Medical Education grant from Merck & Co., Inc.

Survivorship, Version 1.2022

Featured Updates to the NCCN Guidelines

Tara Sanft, MD^{1,*}; Andrew Day, MD, MPH^{2,*}; Lindsay Peterson, MD, MSCR^{3,*}; M. Alma Rodriguez, MD^{4,*}; Shannon Ansbaugh⁵; Saro Armenian, DO, MPH⁶; K. Scott Baker, MD, MS⁷; Tarah Ballinger, MD⁸; Gregory Broderick, MD⁹; Wendy Demark-Wahnefried, PhD, RD^{10,*}; Kristin Dickinson, PhD, RN¹¹; Nathan Paul Fairman, MD, MPH¹²; Debra L. Friedman, MD, MS¹³; Mindy Goldman, MD¹⁴; Norah Lynn Henry, MD, PhD¹⁵; Christine Hill-Kayser, MD¹⁶; Melissa Hudson, MD^{17,*}; Nazanin Khakpour, MD¹⁸; Divya Koura, MD¹⁹; Allison L. McDonough, MD²⁰; Michelle Melisko, MD¹⁴; Kathi Mooney, RN, PhD²¹; Halle C.F. Moore, MD²²; Natalie Moryl, MD²³; Heather Neuman, MD, MS²⁴; Tracey O'Connor, MD²⁵; Linda Overholser, MD, MPH²⁶; Electra D. Paskett, PhD²⁷; Chirayu Patel, MD, MPH²⁰; William Pirl, MD, MPH²⁸; Andrea Porpiglia, MD, MSc²⁹; Kathryn J. Ruddy, MD, MPH⁹; Lidia Schapira, MD³⁰; Lillie Shockney, RN, MAS³¹; Sophia Smith, PhD, MSW³²; Karen L. Syrjala, PhD^{7,*}; Amye Tevaarwerk, MD^{24,*}; Eric H. Yang, MD^{33,*}; Phyllis Zee, MD, PhD^{34,*}; Nicole R. McMillian, MS, CHES^{35,*}; and Deborah A. Freedman-Cass, PhD^{35,*}

ABSTRACT

The NCCN Guidelines for Survivorship are intended to help healthcare professionals who work with survivors to ensure that the survivors' complex and varied needs are addressed. The NCCN Guidelines provide screening, evaluation, and treatment recommendations for the consequences of adult-onset cancer and its treatment; recommendations to help promote physical activity, weight management, and immunizations in survivors; and a framework for care coordination. This article summarizes updates to the NCCN Guidelines pertaining to preventive health for cancer survivors, including recommendations about alcohol consumption and vaccinations.

J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2022;20(10):1080–1090
doi: 10.6004/jnccn.2022.0052

¹Yale Cancer Center/Smilow Cancer Hospital; ²UT Southwestern Simmons Comprehensive Cancer Center; ³Siteman Cancer Center at Barnes-Jewish Hospital and Washington University School of Medicine; ⁴The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center; ⁵Patient advocate; ⁶City of Hope National Medical Center; ⁷Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center; ⁸Indiana University Melvin and Bren Simon Comprehensive Cancer Center; ⁹Mayo Clinic Cancer Center; ¹⁰O'Neal Comprehensive Cancer Center at UAB; ¹¹Fred & Pamela Buffett Cancer Center; ¹²UC Davis Comprehensive Cancer Center; ¹³Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center; ¹⁴UCSF Helen Diller Family Comprehensive Cancer Center; ¹⁵University of Michigan Rogel Cancer Center; ¹⁶Abramson Cancer Center at the University of Pennsylvania; ¹⁷St. Jude Children's Research Hospital/The University of Tennessee Health Science Center; ¹⁸Moffitt Cancer Center; ¹⁹UC San Diego Moores Cancer Center; ²⁰Massachusetts General Hospital Cancer Center; ²¹Huntsman Cancer Institute at the University of Utah; ²²Case Comprehensive Cancer Center/University Hospitals Seidman Cancer Center and Cleveland Clinic Taussig Cancer Institute; ²³Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center; ²⁴University of Wisconsin Carbone Cancer Center; ²⁵Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center; ²⁶University of Colorado Cancer Center; ²⁷The Ohio State University Comprehensive Cancer Center - James Cancer Hospital and Solove Research Institute; ²⁸Dana-Farber/Brigham and Women's Cancer Center; ²⁹Fox Chase Cancer Center; ³⁰Stanford Cancer Institute; ³¹The Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center at Johns Hopkins; ³²Duke Cancer Institute; ³³UCLA Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center; ³⁴Robert H. Lurie Comprehensive Cancer Center of Northwestern University; and ³⁵National Comprehensive Cancer Network.

*Provided content development and/or authorship assistance.

NCCN CATEGORIES OF EVIDENCE AND CONSENSUS

Category 1: Based upon high-level evidence, there is uniform NCCN consensus that the intervention is appropriate.

Category 2A: Based upon lower-level evidence, there is uniform NCCN consensus that the intervention is appropriate.

Category 2B: Based upon lower-level evidence, there is NCCN consensus that the intervention is appropriate.

Category 3: Based upon any level of evidence, there is major NCCN disagreement that the intervention is appropriate.

All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise noted.

Clinical trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

PLEASE NOTE

The NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines[®]) are a statement of evidence and consensus of the authors regarding their views of currently accepted approaches to treatment. The NCCN Guidelines Insights highlight important changes in the NCCN Guidelines recommendations from previous versions. Colored markings in the algorithm show changes and the discussion aims to further the understanding of these changes by summarizing salient portions of the panel's discussion, including the literature reviewed.

The NCCN Guidelines Insights do not represent the full NCCN Guidelines; further, the National Comprehensive Cancer Network[®] (NCCN[®]) makes no representations or warranties of any kind regarding their content, use, or application of the NCCN Guidelines and NCCN Guidelines Insights and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way.

The complete and most recent version of these NCCN Guidelines is available free of charge at [NCCN.org](https://www.nccn.org).

© National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2022. All rights reserved. The NCCN Guidelines and the illustrations herein may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN.

Nutrition and Weight Management

GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF NUTRITION

- Assess dietary pattern for daily intake of fruits, vegetables, and **unrefined whole grains**, as well as red and processed meats, alcohol, and processed foods or beverages with added fats and/or sugars.
- Assess timing of meals and snacking habits, portion size, frequency of eating out, and use of added fats and/or sugars to foods or beverages.
- All survivors should be encouraged to:
 - ▶ Make informed choices about food to ensure variety and adequate nutrient intake.
 - ▶ Limit red meat intake to <18 oz per week and avoid processed meat.
 - ▶ Limit **refined sugars and other highly processed foods**.
 - ▶ Limit refined sugars to <6 tsp (25 g) for a 2000-calorie daily diet and <9 tsp (38 g) for a 3000-calorie daily diet. One medium cookie has about 2 tsp of sugar; a 12-oz can of a soft drink has about 10 tsp.
 - ▶ Eat a diet that is **at least 50% predominantly plant-based**, with the majority of food being vegetables, fruit, and whole grains.^{a,b}
 - ▶ Track calorie intake.
 - ◊ Self-monitoring of **caloric density and food and beverage intake** has been shown to be an effective strategy for weight management.
 - ◊ Prolonged periods of fasting may impair adequate caloric and nutrient intake.
 - ▶ **Consume Drink alcohol sparingly if at all.**^{c,d} Lower levels of alcohol consumption are associated with a lower risk of cancer.
- For patients desiring further recommendations for dietary guidelines:
 - ▶ Consider referral to a **registered dietitian or nutritionist**.
 - ▶ The USDA approximate food plate volumes (<https://www.myplate.gov>) are:
 - ◊ Vegetables and fruits should comprise half the volume of food on the plate
 - ◊ Vegetables: 30% of plate; fruits 20% of plate
 - ◊ Whole grains: 30% of plate
 - ◊ Protein: 20% of plate
- Recommended sources of dietary components:
 - ▶ Fat: plant sources such as olive or canola oil, avocados, seeds and nuts, and fatty fish^e
 - ▶ Carbohydrates: fruits, vegetables, whole grains, and legumes
 - ▶ Protein: poultry, fish, legumes, low-fat dairy foods, and nuts
- ~~Currently there is no consensus either refuting or supporting the role of soy foods in cancer control. Thus, moderate consumption (3 or fewer servings per day) of soy foods is considered prudent.~~ While the risks and benefits of soy foods for cancer survivors have been debated for many years, most studies to date show that soy foods are beneficial in promoting overall health and survival, with the strongest evidence existing for the prevention of lung cancer and among breast cancer survivors at least 12 months post-diagnosis.^f

^a Recommendation for healthy food portion sizes can be found on the American Institute of Cancer Research (AICR) New American Plate website (<https://www.aicr.org/cancer-prevention/food-facts/aicrs-new-american-plate>) as well as the USDA "My Plate" website (www.myplate.gov).

^b Encourage the use of healthy recipes from resources such as the American Cancer Society's "Find Healthy Recipes" website: <http://www.cancer.org/healthy/eathealthygetactive/eathealthy/findhealthyrecipes/maindishes/index>.

^c Rock CL, Thomson CA, Sullivan KR, et al. American Cancer Society nutrition and physical activity guideline for cancer survivors. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2022;72:230-262.

^d There are some cancers for which survivors should abstain from alcohol. These include liver, esophageal, **kidney**, breast, colon, and head and neck cancers. For some survivors, there may be an increased risk of certain cancers; however, data are limited, especially on risk of recurrence. Recommend **using drinking alcohol sparingly, if at all.** (Goding Sauer A, et al. *Cancer Epidemiol* 2021;71:101893.)

^e ~~These foods are high in calories and should be limited if overweight or obesity is an issue.~~ These types of fats should be prioritized over saturated fats and used in moderation in the context of weight loss strategies.

^f American Institute for Cancer Research. Soy: Intake does not Increase Risk for Breast Cancer Survivors <https://www.aicr.org/cancer-prevention/food-facts/soy/>

Version 1.2022 © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2022. All rights reserved. The NCCN Guidelines® and this illustration may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN.

SNWM-1

Overview

The number of cancer survivors in the United States increased from approximately 3 million in 1971 to >18 million in 2022.^{1,2} These numbers are predicted to surpass 22 million by 2030.³ This striking increase, particularly in long-term survivors, is generally attributed to rising cancer incidence rates (mainly resulting from a growing and aging population), earlier cancer detection, and better treatment.

More than two-thirds of cancer survivors are aged >65 years, and the most common cancer sites are breast, prostate, melanoma, and colon/rectum, together accounting for approximately 58% of survivors.² Approximately 53% of survivors were diagnosed within the past 10 years, whereas approximately 18% have survived ≥20 years.

The NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines) for Survivorship provide screening, evaluation, and treatment recommendations for many of the physical and psychosocial consequences of cancer and cancer treatment to aid healthcare professionals who work with survivors of adult-onset cancer. Guidance is also provided to help promote physical activity, a healthful diet and weight management, proper immunizations, and care coordination to ensure that all needs are addressed. The NCCN Survivorship Panel comprises a multidisciplinary

panel of experts that includes at least one of each of the following: medical oncologist, radiation oncologist, surgical oncologist, hematologic oncologist, pediatric oncologist, bone marrow transplant clinician, gynecologist, urologist, cardiologist, neurologist, supportive care specialist, primary care physician (PCP), psychologist, psychiatrist, nutrition scientist, nurse, epidemiologist, social worker, and cancer survivor/patient advocate. The panel meets annually to discuss the latest data emerging in the field of survivorship and to decide on changes to the guidelines requested by panel members or other health professionals at NCCN Member Institutions (internal requests) or by outside individuals or groups (external requests).


Preventive health is important for the overall health and quality of life (QoL) of cancer survivors, and should include cancer screenings, surveillance for cancer spread or recurrence, immunizations, and adherence to healthy lifestyle behaviors. The panel members reviewed all of these topics at this year's panel meeting, and the areas with the most in-depth deliberations and most significant changes are discussed herein.

Healthy Lifestyles

Healthy lifestyle habits, such as engaging in routine physical activity, maintaining a healthy diet and weight,

Immunizations and Infections

VACCINE TYPE^{e,k}



See Footnotes on SIMIN-3A

Version 1.2022 © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2022. All rights reserved.
The NCCN Guidelines® and this illustration may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN.

SIMIN-3

engaging in healthy sleep habits, and avoiding cigarette/tobacco use, have been associated with improved health outcomes and QoL and decreased mortality in cancer survivors.^{4–7} For survivors of certain cancers, a healthy lifestyle has been associated with a reduced risk of recurrence and death.^{8–16}

Results of a recent ASCO survey indicated that more than half of survivors are affected by overweight or obesity, consume ≤ 2 servings of fruits and vegetables daily, and/or exercise ≤ 2 times each week.¹⁷ In fact, another survey showed similar results and reported that only 7.6% of all survivors met all 6 health behavior recommendations (regarding physical activity, use of sunscreen, tobacco avoidance, minimizing alcohol, weight management, and PCP visits).¹⁸ Analysis of data from the 2013–2017 National Health Interview Survey indicates that cancer survivors are less likely than those without a history of cancer to have a healthy body mass index (BMI; 31.6% vs 34.7%, respectively) or meet physical activity recommendations (14.2% vs 21.1%), although they are less likely to smoke (14.1% vs 16.8%) or engage in moderate/heavy drinking (18.8% vs 21.9%).¹⁹ Some evidence suggests that cancer survivors' adherence to healthy lifestyles varies by race, with social determinants of health playing a role.^{20,21}

Unfortunately, adherence to practicing healthy behaviors, such as adhering to cancer screening recommendations, being physically active, not smoking, and limiting alcohol consumption, declined in the general population during the early part of the COVID-19 pandemic.^{22–24} It is likely these behaviors worsened in many cancer survivors as well.

A growing body of evidence shows that interventions aimed at improving healthy lifestyles in cancer survivors can improve QoL, symptoms related to cancer and its treatment, and possibly cancer outcomes.^{25–31}

Motivation to change health behaviors is often heightened among cancer survivors, especially close to the time of diagnosis.^{32–35} In fact, in a recent survey, 72.8% of respondents reported changing their diet and/or exercise habits after diagnosis in hopes of improving cancer outcomes.¹⁷ Data suggest that recommendations from the oncologist can carry significant weight for patients with cancer, yet many providers do not discuss healthy lifestyle changes with survivors.^{17,32,36–38} Thus, the oncology team can play a key role by providing initial advice and making referrals to programs that are grounded in theory (eg, social cognitive theory or the theory of planned behavior).³⁹ Behavioral strategies used in these programs for improving

Immunizations and Infections

FOOTNOTES FOR SIMIN-3

^e See Vaccines Contraindicated or to Be Used With Caution in Actively Immunocompromised Survivors or In Close Contacts of Immunocompromised Survivors (SIMIN-A).

^k For dosing and schedule, See General Principles of Vaccines in Cancer Survivors (SIMIN-B).

^m Inactivated or purified antigens or bacterial components should be administered beginning at least 3 months after cytotoxic chemotherapy or radiation therapy and 6 months after HCT (a dose of inactivated influenza vaccine can be given as early as 4 months after HCT, but a second dose should be considered in this situation).

ⁿ These vaccines should be considered if there are unique circumstances such as functional or anatomic asplenia or in a survivor's lifestyle, upcoming travel, or local epidemic or risks that merit their use. Please consult with an infectious disease or travel medicine specialist. Vaccination precautions for survivors who had cellular therapy can be found on SIMIN-B.

^o See Principles of Influenza Vaccine(s) (SIMIN-C).

^p See General Principles of Vaccines in Cancer Survivors (SIMIN-B).

^q Recommendations regarding COVID-19 vaccines are continually changing (<https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/vaccines/stay-up-to-date.html>). For guidance about COVID-19 vaccine usage in patients with cancer, please see NCCN: Cancer and COVID-19 Vaccination https://www.nccn.org/docs/default-source/covid-19/2021_covid-19_vaccination_guidance_v3-0.pdf?sfvrsn=b483da2b_60.

^r Recommended in high-risk patients or those with functional or anatomic asplenia. Committee on Infectious Diseases. Recommendations for serogroup B meningococcal vaccine for persons 10 years and older. *Pediatrics* 2016;138:e20161890.

^s Anderson TC, et al. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2022;71:80-84.

^t For travel-related vaccine recommendations, see the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention website at <https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/travel>.

Version 1.2022 © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2022. All rights reserved.
The NCCN Guidelines® and this illustration may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN.

SIMIN-3A

healthy behavior practice in survivors include approaches aimed at improving self-efficacy (the belief that one can perform the actions of new activity and maintain this practice by addressing barriers and planning for behavior change) and self-monitoring (maintaining records of behavior with the goal of improved self-regulation).^{40,41} Other strategies used in behavior change programs may include problem-solving therapy (a brief form of cognitive-behavioral therapy focused on specific behavior change) and motivational interviewing (exploring thoughts, wants, and feelings to shift ambivalence and overcome barriers that thwart change).⁴²⁻⁴⁶ Several trials, using varying modes of delivery (eg, print materials, telephone counseling), show support for these strategies in the survivor population.⁴⁷⁻⁵⁶

Alcohol Consumption

Alcoholic beverages (as well as the ethanol contained in them and the acetaldehyde produced in the body from them) are classified as Group 1 human carcinogens by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) based on their association with an increased primary risk of several types of cancer, including esophageal cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, head and neck cancers (larynx, pharynx, oral cavity), female breast cancer, and colorectal

cancer.⁵⁷ The mechanisms by which alcohol causes cancer are not completely known, but likely involve DNA damage from the metabolic product acetaldehyde, the generation of reactive oxygen species, and an increase in estrogen levels.^{58,59} Even light drinking can moderately increase the risk of cancer, and the more alcohol consumed, the higher the risk of developing an alcohol-associated cancer.⁶⁰⁻⁶⁵ This risk appears to be strongest in individuals aged <40 years.⁶⁶ In fact, approximately 4.1% of new cancers diagnosed globally in 2020 were attributable to alcohol consumption, corresponding to roughly 742,000 cases, although this may be an underestimate.^{67,68} In the United States, the proportion of cancers attributable to alcohol ranges on a state level from 2.9% (Utah) to 6.7% (Delaware).⁶⁹

Some evidence suggests that low alcohol consumption may be associated with improved health outcomes overall in populations with elevated risk for cardiovascular disease.⁶⁶ However, the benefits of low-to-moderate alcohol consumption for cardiovascular risk have likely been overestimated, with newer analyses suggesting that alcohol consumption increases cardiovascular risk.⁷⁰⁻⁷³

In a large survey, 56.5% of cancer survivors self-reported currently consuming alcohol, with 34.9%

exceeding moderate drinking levels and 21.0% reporting binge drinking behaviors.⁷⁴ Another population-based study found that cancer survivors are more likely to be former drinkers and less likely to be current drinkers when compared with individuals without a history of cancer.⁷⁵ Surveys of the general population have found differences in alcohol consumption by race, with the highest prevalence of consumption in White individuals, the highest prevalence of abuse/dependence in Native Americans, and the highest vulnerability to alcohol-related health consequences in Black individuals and Native Americans.⁷⁶ Disparities in alcohol consumption also exist in sexual and gender minorities, with data showing increased use and misuse by LGBTQ+ individuals.^{77–79}

Increasing evidence shows that pre-cancer-diagnosis drinking is associated with worse cancer outcomes for certain cancer types.⁵ For example, prediagnosis alcohol consumption is associated with increased mortality in survivors with esophageal cancer.^{80–82} Similar results are seen in survivors with gastric cancer.⁸³

Although evidence is limited, alcohol consumption during cancer treatment may be associated with increased adverse effects, higher toxicity, dose reductions, and missed appointments. For example, heavy alcohol use may be associated with increased cardiotoxicity in patients receiving trastuzumab for breast cancer, and complication rates during chemotherapy may be higher in patients who drink.^{84,85} Furthermore, patients report an altered sensitivity to alcohol during receipt of chemotherapy, and may experience greater cognitive declines.^{86,87} Interestingly, however, habitual alcohol consumption may be associated with a lower incidence of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting.⁸⁸ Overall, more research is needed to more clearly define the risks of alcohol consumption during cancer treatment.⁸⁹

Data on the association between postdiagnosis alcohol consumption and the risks of recurrence and death are more limited, but a 2016 meta-analysis of cohort studies did find that postdiagnosis alcohol consumption was associated with an increased risk for cancer recurrence and overall mortality.⁵ This effect likely varies by disease site, with the strongest evidence for increased risks in prostate and head and neck cancers.^{64,68,90–95}

Panel Discussion

The panel discussed the data presented earlier and concluded that there is no safe level of alcohol; the more an individual drinks, the higher their risk of primary cancer. Although data are limited on the risk of recurrence in cancer survivors, panel members pointed out that survivors are also concerned with the risk of subsequent primary cancers, for which there are some data.^{96–98} In addition, it was noted that some evidence supports the premise that alcohol increases mortality in cancer survivors.⁵

The panel noted that there is an evidence gap regarding the risks of light and occasional drinking specifically. The risks of light/occasional drinking may be too small to measure in most cases, especially in never smokers.^{62,99} However, the panel noted that, due to the linear effects of alcohol on the risk for many cancer types, there is no theoretical safe level of drinking.^{61,100–103} Overall, the panel consensus was that even the limit often given (1 drink per day for females and 2 drinks per day for males) is too high based on the available evidence.

The panel discussed recent, relevant guidelines from other organizations and noted that, in 2018, ASCO concluded that *excessive* exposure to alcohol should be minimized as a cancer-prevention strategy.⁸⁹ Later that year, a report published by the World Cancer Research Fund (WCRF) found strong evidence that alcohol consumption is a cause of cancer of the mouth, pharynx and larynx, esophagus (squamous cell carcinoma), liver, colorectum, breast (premenopause and postmenopause), and stomach, and states, “For cancer prevention it’s best not to drink alcohol.”¹⁰⁴ The WCRF report also found that alcohol is protective against kidney cancer, but that the benefit is far outweighed by the risk of other cancers. The 2020 American Cancer Society (ACS) Guideline for Diet and Physical Activity for cancer prevention states, “It is best not to drink alcohol. People who do choose to drink alcohol should have no more than 1 drink per day for women or 2 drinks per day for men.”¹⁰⁵ The 2022 Nutrition and Physical Activity Guideline for Cancer Survivors, which were published by ACS after the panel meeting, are unchanged.¹⁰⁶ Moreover, the 2020–2025 Dietary Guidelines for Americans recommend that, “adults of legal drinking age can choose not to drink, or to drink in moderation by limiting intake to 2 drinks or less in a day for men and 1 drink or less in a day for women, when alcohol is consumed. Drinking less is better for health than drinking more.”¹⁰⁷

The language in the 2021 version of the NCCN Guidelines for Survivorship was, “Consume alcohol sparingly if at all,” and there was an associated footnote remarking that there are some cancers of which survivors should abstain from alcohol, including liver, esophageal, kidney, and head and neck cancers. In general, the panel felt that the recommendation struck the right balance, but there was some question as to the strength of the data behind the list of cancers included in the footnote. The panel agreed that kidney cancer should be removed from the list, based on data that alcohol may even be protective against primary kidney cancer development.¹⁰⁸ The panel believed the data for the other cancers were strong enough to include, although it was noted that alcohol has a stronger association with certain types of head and neck cancer than others. One panel member noted that most of the data on the risks of alcohol for head and neck cancers

predate the HPV-mediated oropharyngeal cancer era, and alcohol may have less of an effect on risk of recurrence in HPV-mediated disease.^{109,110} Furthermore, there was some concern that the evidence of alcohol's risk on head and neck cancer has been confounded by the risks of smoking. The panel noted that some studies controlling for smoking found an independent effect of alcohol.^{111,112} However, in one study, the effect was not significant among individuals with lower levels of alcohol use.¹¹²

Panel members also considered the question of whether breast and colorectal cancers should be added to the list of cancers in the footnote. The IARC added breast and colorectal cancers to the list of alcohol-associated cancers in 2010.⁵⁷ Although some data suggest that drinking has no impact on breast cancer-specific outcomes, other data suggest that alcohol consumption is associated with increased mortality in breast cancer survivors, particularly heavy drinking and drinking by postmenopausal survivors.^{5,96,113–119} For colorectal cancer, some studies show an association between light/moderate alcohol consumption and lowered risk of and improved survival from the disease.^{120–123} However, other studies show that drinking, especially heavy consumption, increases risk.^{120,124,125}

Despite the clear risks of alcohol consumption, panel members emphasized that alcohol may be relevant to QoL for some survivors, and asking survivors to completely abstain may alienate some survivors and work against efforts to decrease the volume of alcoholic consumption. Aiming for moderation or reduction in alcohol use is more realistic for some survivors than full abstinence. At the same time, the panel felt strongly that they must follow the data and make sure that healthcare providers and survivors are aware of the risks. One panel member stated that survivors should be informed about the known risks so they may make decisions to balance their risks with the benefits they get from alcohol and consider making other changes to decrease their overall health risks (eg, eating healthier and being physically active).

Following these discussions and review of the data, the panel agreed on minimal changes to the main recommendation, while adding additional information (see SNWM-1, page 1082): "Drink alcohol sparingly if at all. Lower levels of alcohol consumption are associated with a lower risk of cancer." The panel removed kidney cancer from the footnote and added breast and colorectal cancers, with the addition of the caveat that data are limited, especially on risk of recurrence.⁶⁹

Immunizations

Cancer survivors may be at elevated risk for infection because of immune suppression associated with previous

cancer treatments, such as chemotherapy, radiation, corticosteroids, certain surgeries, and stem cell transplantation. In fact, antibody titers to vaccine-preventable diseases decrease after certain cancer treatments.^{126–129}

Many infections in survivors can be prevented by the use of vaccines. However, data from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System found that 42% of survivors did not receive an influenza vaccination in 2009, and 52% reported never receiving a pneumococcal vaccination.¹³⁰ Analysis of the SEER-Medicare database showed that survivors of breast cancer aged ≥ 65 years were less likely to receive an influenza vaccination than matched noncancer controls.¹³¹ A separate analysis of the SEER-Medicare database by another group found similar results.¹³² However, other studies show that certain cancer survivor populations have higher rates of influenza vaccination than the general population or noncancer controls.^{133–135}

Vaccines represent a unique challenge in cancer and transplant survivors, because they may or may not trigger the desired protective immune responses due to possible residual immune deficits.^{136–138} In addition, certain vaccines, such as those that are live attenuated (ie, MMR, oral typhoid, yellow fever, rotavirus, intranasal influenza, and varicella), are contraindicated in actively immunosuppressed survivors because of an increased risk of developing the disease and/or prolonged shedding of the live organism given in the vaccine.

Panel Discussion

The panel received several internal requests for the guidelines to include a more explicit recommendation for COVID-19 vaccination. The 2021 NCCN Guidelines contained only a footnote directing readers to NCCN's separate *Cancer and COVID-19 Vaccination* guidance document, which provides recommendations to help cancer care providers make informed decisions on how to protect their patients from COVID-19.¹³⁹ This document is updated continually by NCCN's Advisory Committee on COVID-19 Vaccination and Pre-exposure Prophylaxis as vaccine options become available. The committee includes experts in hematology, oncology, infectious disease/vaccine development, and medical ethics. The NCCN Survivorship Panel discussed that they continued to believe that NCCN's Advisory Committee was best equipped to keep up with the rapidly changing guidance. However, panel members expressed that they wanted to ensure it was clear in the guidelines that cancer survivors should receive the COVID-19 vaccine. The panel uniformly believes that the vaccines are safe and beneficial for cancer survivors. Therefore, the panel decided to include the COVID-19 vaccine in the list of vaccines recommended for all cancer survivors (see SIMIN-3, page 1083). Due to the fluid nature of COVID-19 vaccine recommendations, the panel continues

to refer to NCCN's *Cancer and COVID-19 Vaccination* document for specific guidance (see SIMIN-3A, page 1084).

Other internal requests were regarding 2 new FDA approvals of the 20-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV20) and the 15-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV15). These vaccines have a broader spectrum of strain coverage compared with the previous PCV13 vaccine, though fewer than the older PPSV23 vaccine. Data suggest that they are safe and effective.^{140,141} Furthermore, the added serotype coverage is expected to have a large impact on disease burden in the United States and globally.^{142,143} At the time of the panel meeting, the CDC's Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) had not yet released recommendations regarding the new vaccines. Panel members brought up possible issues of accessibility, cost, and insurance coverage, but the panel consensus was to follow ACIP's recommendations when they were available. They were published not long after the panel meeting,¹⁴⁴ and the recommendations were included in the 2022 NCCN Guidelines (see SIMIN-3, page 1083). Of note, the panel now includes a recommendation for pneumococcal vaccine in immunocompromised survivors aged ≥ 19 years.

An external request was for the panel to expand the recommendations for use of the recombinant zoster vaccine (RZV) based on the recent expansion of the FDA label to include use of RZV in certain immunocompromised adults aged ≥ 18 years. A randomized phase III study of patients aged ≥ 18 years who were posttransplant for multiple myeloma or other diagnoses (including lymphomas, leukemias, and solid tumors) showed that RZV was effective at reducing the incidence of herpes zoster.¹⁴⁵ A separate phase III study showed that RZV is safe and effective in immunocompromised patients aged ≥ 18 years with hematologic malignancies.¹⁴⁶ The vaccine has also been shown to be immunogenic in patients aged ≥ 18 years with solid tumors receiving immunosuppressive chemotherapies.¹⁴⁷ The ACIP had not yet released updated guidance on RZV at the time of the panel meeting, and the panel agreed to follow those recommendations when they were available. ACIP published its updated RZV guidance in January 2022,¹⁴⁸ so the panel added "Consider recombinant zoster vaccine in immunocompromised survivors ≥ 19 years" in the 2022 NCCN Guidelines (see SIMIN-3, page 1083).

The panel also received a request to recommend that RZV can be given to patients *during* chemotherapy. Several panel members discussed that they wait to give immunizations until after chemotherapy, usually waiting for lymphocyte counts to recover. It was acknowledged, however, that this approach is controversial, and some providers do vaccinate patients during chemotherapy. Regardless of these points, the panel noted that this request was not relevant to these survivorship guidelines, and they declined to add any recommendations on timing of vaccine administration in relation to chemotherapy.

In April 2022, ACIP published updated guidance on hepatitis B vaccination, now recommending universal vaccination of adults aged 19 to 59 years.¹⁴⁹ Vaccination of adults aged ≥ 60 years who are at risk for hepatitis B virus infection is also recommended. In postmeeting correspondence, the panel agreed to move hepatitis B vaccination from the "Recommended if some special circumstance or risk factor is present" section to the "Recommended for all cancer survivors" section (see SIMIN-3, page 1083).

Of note, all of the dosing and timing recommendations were removed from SIMIN-3 and consolidated into the tables on the appendix pages of the guidelines (see SIMIN-B in the full version of these guidelines, available at NCCN.org).

Conclusions

Preventive health is a critical aspect of the comprehensive care of cancer survivors. Survivors should be made aware of healthy lifestyle recommendations and the possible impact a healthy lifestyle can have on their overall health, QoL, cancer-related adverse effects, and cancer outcomes. In particular, cancer survivors need to be aware of the risks posed by alcohol consumption so they can make appropriate, informed choices. In addition, survivors should receive all recommended immunizations to protect themselves from vaccine-preventable diseases.



To participate in this journal CE activity, go to <https://education.nccn.org/node/91116>

References

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Cancer survivors—United States, 2007. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2011;60:269–272.
- Miller KD, Nogueira L, Devasia T, et al. Cancer treatment and survivorship statistics, 2022. *CA Cancer J Clin*. Published online June 23, 2022. doi: 10.3322/caac.21731
- Miller KD, Nogueira L, Mariotto AB, et al. Cancer treatment and survivorship statistics, 2019. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2019;69:363–385.
- Ha DM, Prochazka AV, Bekelman DB, et al. Association of leisure-time physical activity with health-related quality of life among US lung cancer survivors. *JNCI Cancer Spectr* 2021;5:pkaa118.
- Schwedhelm C, Boeing H, Hoffmann G, et al. Effect of diet on mortality and cancer recurrence among cancer survivors: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Nutr Rev* 2016;74:737–748.
- Petrelli F, Cortellini A, Indini A, et al. Association of obesity with survival outcomes in patients with cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Netw Open* 2021;4:e213520.

7. Friedenreich CM, Stone CR, Cheung WY, et al. Physical activity and mortality in cancer survivors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JNCI Cancer Spectr* 2019;4:pkz080.
8. Campbell PT, Patel AV, Newton CC, et al. Associations of recreational physical activity and leisure time spent sitting with colorectal cancer survival. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:876–885.
9. Kabat GC, Matthews CE, Kamensky V, et al. Adherence to cancer prevention guidelines and cancer incidence, cancer mortality, and total mortality: a prospective cohort study. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2015;101:558–569.
10. Inoue-Choi M, Lazovich D, Prizment AE, et al. Adherence to the World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research recommendations for cancer prevention is associated with better health-related quality of life among elderly female cancer survivors. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:1758–1766.
11. Lee IM, Wolin KY, Freeman SE, et al. Physical activity and survival after cancer diagnosis in men. *J Phys Act Health* 2014;11:85–90.
12. Van Blarigan EL, Fuchs CS, Niewdzicki D, et al. Association of survival with adherence to the American Cancer Society nutrition and physical activity guidelines for cancer survivors after colon cancer diagnosis: the CALGB 89803/Alliance trial. *JAMA Oncol* 2018;4:783–790.
13. Wyszynski A, Tanyos SA, Rees JR, et al. Body mass and smoking are modifiable risk factors for recurrent bladder cancer. *Cancer* 2014;120:408–414.
14. Karavasiloglou N, Pestoni G, Wanner M, et al. Healthy lifestyle is inversely associated with mortality in cancer survivors: results from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III). *PLoS One* 2019;14:e0218048.
15. Kehm RD, MacInnis RJ, John EM, et al. Recreational physical activity and outcomes after breast cancer in women at high familial risk. *JNCI Cancer Spectr* 2021;5:pkab090.
16. De Cicco P, Catani MV, Gasperi V, et al. Nutrition and breast cancer: a literature review on prevention, treatment and recurrence. *Nutrients* 2019;11:1514.
17. Ligibel JA, Pierce LJ, Bender CM, et al. Attention to diet, exercise, and weight in oncology care: results of an American Society of Clinical Oncology national patient survey. *Cancer* 2022;128:2817–2825.
18. Hyland KA, Jacobs JM, Lennes IT, et al. Are cancer survivors following the National Comprehensive Cancer Network health behavior guidelines? An assessment of patients attending a cancer survivorship clinic. *J Psychosoc Oncol* 2018;36:64–81.
19. Arem H, Mama SK, Duan X, et al. Prevalence of healthy behaviors among cancer survivors in the United States: how far have we come? *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2020;29:1179–1187.
20. Asare M, McIntosh S, Culakova E, et al. Assessing physical activity behavior of cancer survivors by race and social determinants of health. *Int J Community Health Educ* 2019;40:7–16.
21. Byrd DA, Agurs-Collins T, Berrigan D, et al. Racial and ethnic differences in dietary intake, physical activity, and body mass index (BMI) among cancer survivors: 2005 and 2010 National Health Interview Surveys (NHIS). *J Racial Ethn Health Disparities* 2017;4:1138–1146.
22. Cancino RS, Su Z, Mesa R, et al. The impact of COVID-19 on cancer screening: challenges and opportunities. *JMIR Cancer* 2020;6:e21697.
23. Vanderbruggen N, Matthys F, Van Laere S, et al. Self-reported alcohol, tobacco, and cannabis use during COVID-19 lockdown measures: results from a web-based survey. *Eur Addict Res* 2020;26:309–315.
24. Knell G, Robertson MC, Dooley EE, et al. Health behavior changes during COVID-19 pandemic and subsequent “stay-at-home” orders. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020;17:6268.
25. Irwin ML, Cartmel B, Harrigan M, et al. Effect of the LIVESTRONG at the YMCA exercise program on physical activity, fitness, quality of life, and fatigue in cancer survivors. *Cancer* 2017;123:1249–1258.
26. Brown JC, Giobbie-Hurder A, Yung RL, et al. The effects of a clinic-based weight loss program on health-related quality of life and weight maintenance in cancer survivors: a randomized controlled trial. *Psychooncology* 2022;31:326–333.
27. Shaikh H, Bradhurst P, Ma LX, et al. Body weight management in overweight and obese breast cancer survivors. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2020;12:CD012110.
28. Smits A, Lopes A, Das N, et al. The effect of lifestyle interventions on the quality of life of gynaecological cancer survivors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gynecol Oncol* 2015;139:546–552.
29. Menichetti J, Villa S, Magnani T, et al. Lifestyle interventions to improve the quality of life of men with prostate cancer: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* 2016;108:13–22.
30. Moug SJ, Bryce A, Mutrie N, et al. Lifestyle interventions are feasible in patients with colorectal cancer with potential short-term health benefits: a systematic review. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 2017;32:765–775.
31. Thomson ZO, Reeves MM. Can weight gain be prevented in women receiving treatment for breast cancer? A systematic review of intervention studies. *Obes Rev* 2017;18:1364–1373.
32. Demark-Wahnefried W, Aziz NM, Rowland JH, et al. Riding the crest of the teachable moment: promoting long-term health after the diagnosis of cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2005;23:5814–5830.
33. Demark-Wahnefried W, Jones LW. Promoting a healthy lifestyle among cancer survivors. *Hematol Oncol Clin North Am* 2008;22:319–342; viii.
34. Satia JA, Campbell MK, Galanko JA, et al. Longitudinal changes in lifestyle behaviors and health status in colon cancer survivors. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2004;13:1022–1031.
35. Tan SY, Wong HY, Vardy JL. Do cancer survivors change their diet after cancer diagnosis? *Support Care Cancer* 2021;29:6921–6927.
36. Jones LW, Courmeya KS, Fairley AS, et al. Effects of an oncologist’s recommendation to exercise on self-reported exercise behavior in newly diagnosed breast cancer survivors: a single-blind, randomized controlled trial. *Ann Behav Med* 2004;28:105–113.
37. Sabatino SA, Coates RJ, Uhler RJ, et al. Provider counseling about health behaviors among cancer survivors in the United States. *J Clin Oncol* 2007;25:2100–2106.
38. Stump TK, Robinson JK, Yanez B, et al. Physicians’ perspectives on medication adherence and health promotion among cancer survivors. *Cancer* 2019;125:4319–4328.
39. Michie S, Richardson M, Johnston M, et al. The behavior change technique taxonomy (v1) of 93 hierarchically clustered techniques: building an international consensus for the reporting of behavior change interventions. *Ann Behav Med* 2013;46:81–95.
40. Bandura A. Health promotion by social cognitive means. *Health Educ Behav* 2004;31:143–164.
41. Short CE, James EL, Plotnikoff RC. How social cognitive theory can help oncology-based health professionals promote physical activity among breast cancer survivors. *Eur J Oncol Nurs* 2013;17:482–489.
42. Bennett JA, Lyons KS, Winters-Stone K, et al. Motivational interviewing to increase physical activity in long-term cancer survivors: a randomized controlled trial. *Nurs Res* 2007;56:18–27.
43. Britt E, Hudson SM, Blampied NM. Motivational interviewing in health settings: a review. *Patient Educ Couns* 2004;53:147–155.
44. Burke BL, Arkowitz H, Menchola M. The efficacy of motivational interviewing: a meta-analysis of controlled clinical trials. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 2003;71:843–861.
45. Hwang NK, Jung YJ, Park JS. Information and communications technology-based telehealth approach for occupational therapy interventions for cancer survivors: a systematic review. *Healthcare (Basel)* 2020;8:355.
46. Mbous YP, Patel J, Kelly KM. A systematic review and meta-analysis of physical activity interventions among colorectal cancer survivors. *Transl Behav Med* 2020;10:1134–1143.
47. Demark-Wahnefried W, Morey MC, Sloane R, et al. Reach out to enhance wellness home-based diet-exercise intervention promotes reproducible and sustainable long-term improvements in health behaviors, body weight, and physical functioning in older, overweight/obese cancer survivors. *J Clin Oncol* 2012;30:2354–2361.
48. Goode AD, Lawler SP, Brakenridge CL, et al. Telephone, print, and web-based interventions for physical activity, diet, and weight control among cancer survivors: a systematic review. *J Cancer Surviv* 2015;9:660–682.
49. Goodwin PJ, Segal RJ, Vallis M, et al. Randomized trial of a telephone-based weight loss intervention in postmenopausal women with breast cancer receiving letrozole: the LISA trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2014;32:2231–2239.
50. Hawkes AL, Chambers SK, Pakenham KI, et al. Effects of a telephone-delivered multiple health behavior change intervention (CanChange) on health and behavioral outcomes in survivors of colorectal cancer: a randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:2313–2321.
51. Lynch BM, Courmeya KS, Sethi P, et al. A randomized controlled trial of a multiple health behavior change intervention delivered to colorectal cancer survivors: effects on sedentary behavior. *Cancer* 2014;120:2665–2672.
52. Pinto BM, Frierson GM, Rabin C, et al. Home-based physical activity intervention for breast cancer patients. *J Clin Oncol* 2005;23:3577–3587.
53. Stacey FG, James EL, Chapman K, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of social cognitive theory-based physical activity and/or nutrition behavior change interventions for cancer survivors. *J Cancer Surviv* 2015;9:305–338.
54. Short CE, James EL, Giris A, et al. Main outcomes of the Move More for Life trial: a randomised controlled trial examining the effects of tailored-print and targeted-print materials for promoting physical activity

- among post-treatment breast cancer survivors. *Psychooncology* 2015;24:771–778.
55. Vallance JKH, Coumeña KS, Plotnikoff RC, et al. Randomized controlled trial of the effects of print materials and step pedometers on physical activity and quality of life in breast cancer survivors. *J Clin Oncol* 2007;25:2352–2359.
 56. James EL, Stacey FG, Chapman K, et al. Impact of a nutrition and physical activity intervention (ENRICH: Exercise and Nutrition Routine Improving Cancer Health) on health behaviors of cancer survivors and carers: a pragmatic randomized controlled trial. *BMC Cancer* 2015;15:710.
 57. Cogliano VJ, Baan R, Straif K, et al. Preventable exposures associated with human cancers. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2011;103:1827–1839.
 58. Testino G. The burden of cancer attributable to alcohol consumption. *Maedica (Bucur)* 2011;6:313–320.
 59. Ratna A, Mandrekar P. Alcohol and cancer: mechanisms and therapies. *Biomolecules* 2017;7:61.
 60. Bagnardi V, Rota M, Botteri E, et al. Light alcohol drinking and cancer: a meta-analysis. *Ann Oncol* 2013;24:301–308.
 61. Bagnardi V, Rota M, Botteri E, et al. Alcohol consumption and site-specific cancer risk: a comprehensive dose-response meta-analysis. *Br J Cancer* 2015;112:580–593.
 62. Cao Y, Willett WC, Rimm EB, et al. Light to moderate intake of alcohol, drinking patterns, and risk of cancer: results from two prospective US cohort studies. *BMJ* 2015;351:h4238.
 63. Sarich P, Canfell K, Egger S, et al. Alcohol consumption, drinking patterns and cancer incidence in an Australian cohort of 226,162 participants aged 45 years and over. *Br J Cancer* 2021;124:513–523.
 64. Islami F, Tramacere I, Rota M, et al. Alcohol drinking and laryngeal cancer: overall and dose-risk relation—a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Oral Oncol* 2010;46:802–810.
 65. Di Credico G, Polesel J, Dal Maso L, et al. Alcohol drinking and head and neck cancer risk: the joint effect of intensity and duration. *Br J Cancer* 2020;123:1456–1463.
 66. GBD 2020 Alcohol Collaborators. Population-level risks of alcohol consumption by amount, geography, age, sex, and year: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2020. *Lancet* 2022;400:185–235.
 67. Runggay H, Shield K, Charvat H, et al. Global burden of cancer in 2020 attributable to alcohol consumption: a population-based study. *Lancet Oncol* 2021;22:1071–1080.
 68. Gapstur SM, Bandera EV, Jemigan DH, et al. Alcohol and cancer: existing knowledge and evidence gaps across the cancer continuum. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2022;31:5–10.
 69. Goding Sauer A, Fedewa SA, Bandi P, et al. Proportion of cancer cases and deaths attributable to alcohol consumption by US state, 2013–2016. *Cancer Epidemiol* 2021;71(Pt A):101893.
 70. Naimi TS, Brown DW, Brewer RD, et al. Cardiovascular risk factors and confounders among nondrinking and moderate-drinking U.S. adults. *Am J Prev Med* 2005;28:369–373.
 71. van de Luitgaarden IAT, van Oort S, Bouman EJ, et al. Alcohol consumption in relation to cardiovascular diseases and mortality: a systematic review of Mendelian randomization studies. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2022;37:655–669.
 72. Oppenheimer GM, Bayer R. Is moderate drinking protective against heart disease? The science, politics and history of a public health conundrum. *Milbank Q* 2020;98:39–56.
 73. Biddinger KJ, Ermdin CA, Haas ME, et al. Association of habitual alcohol intake with risk of cardiovascular disease. *JAMA Netw Open* 2022;5:e223849.
 74. Sanford NN, Sher DJ, Xu X, et al. Alcohol use among patients with cancer and survivors in the United States, 2000–2017. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw* 2020;18:69–79.
 75. Lyu J, Kaur M, Dibble KE, et al. A national study of alcohol consumption patterns among population-based U.S. cancer survivors compared with cancer-free individuals. *Cancer Epidemiol* 2022;77:102101.
 76. Delker E, Brown Q, Hasin DS. Alcohol consumption in demographic subpopulations: an epidemiologic overview. *Alcohol Res* 2016;38:7–15.
 77. Lehavot K, Browne KC, Simpson TL. Examining sexual orientation disparities in alcohol misuse among women veterans. *Am J Prev Med* 2014;47:554–562.
 78. Hatzenbuehler ML, Corbin WR, Fromme K. Trajectories and determinants of alcohol use among LGB young adults and their heterosexual peers: results from a prospective study. *Dev Psychol* 2008;44:81–90.
 79. Hatzenbuehler ML, McLaughlin KA, Xuan Z. Social networks and sexual orientation disparities in tobacco and alcohol use. *J Stud Alcohol Drugs* 2015;76:117–126.
 80. Huang Q, Luo K, Yang H, et al. Impact of alcohol consumption on survival in patients with esophageal carcinoma: a large cohort with long-term follow-up. *Cancer Sci* 2014;105:1638–1646.
 81. Thrift AP, Nagle CM, Fahey PP, et al. The influence of prediagnostic demographic and lifestyle factors on esophageal squamous cell carcinoma survival. *Int J Cancer* 2012;131:E759–768.
 82. Fahey PP, Mallitt KA, Astell-Burt T, et al. Impact of pre-diagnosis behavior on risk of death from esophageal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cancer Causes Control* 2015;26:1365–1373.
 83. Ferronha I, Bastos A, Lunet N. Prediagnosis lifestyle exposures and survival of patients with gastric cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 2012;21:449–452.
 84. Lemieux J, Diorio C, Côté MA, et al. Alcohol and HER2 polymorphisms as risk factor for cardiotoxicity in breast cancer treated with trastuzumab. *Anticancer Res* 2013;33:2569–2576.
 85. Zhao L, Cull Weatherer A, Kerch S, et al. Alcohol use during chemotherapy: a pilot study. *WMJ* 2022;121:157–159.
 86. Huang Z, Shi Y, Bao P, et al. Associations of dietary intake and supplement use with post-therapy cognitive recovery in breast cancer survivors. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2018;171:189–198.
 87. Couvertier-Lebron CE, Dove R, Acevedo SF. What you do not know could hurt you: what women wish their doctors had told them about chemotherapy side effects on memory and response to alcohol. *Breast Cancer (Auckl)* 2016;10:229–238.
 88. Uomori T, Horimoto Y, Mogushi K, et al. Relationship between alcohol metabolism and chemotherapy-induced emetic events in breast cancer patients. *Breast Cancer* 2017;24:702–707.
 89. LoConte NK, Brewster AM, Kaur JS, et al. Alcohol and cancer: a statement of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. *J Clin Oncol* 2018;36:83–93.
 90. Fortin A, Wang CS, Vigneault E. Influence of smoking and alcohol drinking behaviors on treatment outcomes of patients with squamous cell carcinomas of the head and neck. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2009;74:1062–1069.
 91. Yang B, Gapstur SM, Newton CC, et al. Alcohol intake and mortality among survivors of colorectal cancer: the Cancer Prevention Study II Nutrition cohort. *Cancer* 2017;123:2006–2013.
 92. van Zutphen M, Kampman E, Giovannucci EL, et al. Lifestyle after colorectal cancer diagnosis in relation to survival and recurrence: a review of the literature. *Curr Colorectal Cancer Rep* 2017;13:370–401.
 93. Farris MS, Courmeña KS, Kopciuk KA, et al. Post-diagnosis alcohol intake and prostate cancer survival: a population-based cohort study. *Int J Cancer* 2018;143:253–262.
 94. Li Y, Mao Y, Zhang Y, et al. Alcohol drinking and upper aerodigestive tract cancer mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Oral Oncol* 2014;50:269–275.
 95. Huang CC, Hsiao JR, Lee WT, et al. Investigating the association between alcohol and risk of head and neck cancer in Taiwan. *Sci Rep* 2017;7:9701.
 96. Simapivapan P, Boltong A, Hodge A. To what extent is alcohol consumption associated with breast cancer recurrence and second primary breast cancer?: a systematic review. *Cancer Treat Rev* 2016;50:155–167.
 97. Park SM, Li T, Wu S, et al. Risk of second primary cancer associated with pre-diagnostic smoking, alcohol, and obesity in women with keratinocyte carcinoma. *Cancer Epidemiol* 2017;47:106–113.
 98. Druesne-Pecollo N, Keita Y, Touvier M, et al. Alcohol drinking and second primary cancer risk in patients with upper aerodigestive tract cancers: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2014;23:324–331.
 99. Choi YJ, Myung SK, Lee JH. Light alcohol drinking and risk of cancer: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Cancer Res Treat* 2018;50:474–487.
 100. Scoccianti C, Cecchini M, Anderson AS, et al. European Code against Cancer 4th edition: alcohol drinking and cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol* 2015;(39 Suppl):S67–74.
 101. Bagnardi V, Blangiardo M, La Vecchia C, et al. A meta-analysis of alcohol drinking and cancer risk. *Br J Cancer* 2001;85:1700–1705.
 102. Chen WY, Rosner B, Hankinson SE, et al. Moderate alcohol consumption during adult life, drinking patterns, and breast cancer risk. *JAMA* 2011;306:1884–1890.
 103. Marziliano A, Teckie S, Diefenbach MA. Alcohol-related head and neck cancer: summary of the literature. *Head Neck* 2020;42:732–738.
 104. World Cancer Research Fund, American Institute for Cancer Research. Alcoholic drinks and the risk of cancer. Accessed July 27, 2022. Available at: <https://www.wcrf.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Alcoholic-Drinks.pdf>
 105. Rock CL, Thomson C, Gansler T, et al. American Cancer Society guideline for diet and physical activity for cancer prevention. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2020;70:245–271.

106. Rock CL, Thomson CA, Sullivan KR, et al. American Cancer Society nutrition and physical activity guideline for cancer survivors. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2022;72:230–262.
107. U.S. Department of Agriculture, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Dietary guidelines for Americans, 2020-2025. 9th ed. Accessed July 27, 2022. Available at: https://www.dietaryguidelines.gov/sites/default/files/2021-03/Dietary_Guidelines_for_Americans-2020-2025.pdf
108. Wozniak MB, Brennan P, Brenner DR, et al. Alcohol consumption and the risk of renal cancers in the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition (EPIC). *Int J Cancer* 2015;137:1953–1966.
109. Applebaum KM, Furniss CS, Zeka A, et al. Lack of association of alcohol and tobacco with HPV16-associated head and neck cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2007;99:1801–1810.
110. Auguste A, Deloumeaux J, Joachim C, et al. Joint effect of tobacco, alcohol, and oral HPV infection on head and neck cancer risk in the French West Indies. *Cancer Med* 2020;9:6854–6863.
111. Gormley M, Dudding T, Sanderson E, et al. A multivariable Mendelian randomization analysis investigating smoking and alcohol consumption in oral and oropharyngeal cancer. *Nat Commun* 2020;11:6071.
112. Hashibe M, Brennan P, Benhamou S, et al. Alcohol drinking in never users of tobacco, cigarette smoking in never drinkers, and the risk of head and neck cancer: pooled analysis in the International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology Consortium. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2007;99:777–789.
113. Flatt SW, Thomson CA, Gold EB, et al. Low to moderate alcohol intake is not associated with increased mortality after breast cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2010;19:681–688.
114. Newcomb PA, Kampman E, Trentham-Dietz A, et al. Alcohol consumption before and after breast cancer diagnosis: associations with survival from breast cancer, cardiovascular disease, and other causes. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:1939–1946.
115. Gou YJ, Xie DX, Yang KH, et al. Alcohol consumption and breast cancer survival: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2013;14:4785–4790.
116. Terry K, Mayer DK, Wehner K. Alcohol consumption: discussing potential risks for informed decisions in breast cancer survivors. *Clin J Oncol Nurs* 2021;25:672–679.
117. Vrieling A, Buck K, Heinz J, et al. Pre-diagnostic alcohol consumption and postmenopausal breast cancer survival: a prospective patient cohort study. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2012;136:195–207.
118. Kwan ML, Kushi LH, Weltzien E, et al. Alcohol consumption and breast cancer recurrence and survival among women with early-stage breast cancer: the life after cancer epidemiology study. *J Clin Oncol* 2010;28:4410–4416.
119. Weaver AM, McCann SE, Nie J, et al. Alcohol intake over the life course and breast cancer survival in Western New York Exposures and Breast Cancer (WEB) study: quantity and intensity of intake. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2013;139:245–253.
120. McNabb S, Harrison TA, Albanes D, et al. Meta-analysis of 16 studies of the association of alcohol with colorectal cancer. *Int J Cancer* 2020;146:861–873.
121. Phipps AI, Robinson JR, Campbell PT, et al. Prediagnostic alcohol consumption and colorectal cancer survival: the Colon Cancer Family Registry. *Cancer* 2017;123:1035–1043.
122. Kim Y, Je Y, Giovannucci EL. Association between alcohol consumption and survival in colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2019;28:1891–1901.
123. Walter V, Jansen L, Ulrich A, et al. Alcohol consumption and survival of colorectal cancer patients: a population-based study from Germany. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2016;103:1497–1506.
124. Vieira AR, Abar L, Chan DSM, et al. Foods and beverages and colorectal cancer risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies, an update of the evidence of the WCRF-AICR Continuous Update Project. *Ann Oncol* 2017;28:1788–1802.
125. Papadimitriou N, Bouras E, van den Brandt PA, et al. A prospective diet-wide association study for risk of colorectal cancer in EPIC. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2022;20:864–873.e13.
126. Kwon HJ, Lee JW, Chung NG, et al. Assessment of serologic immunity to diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis after treatment of Korean pediatric hematology and oncology patients. *J Korean Med Sci* 2012;27:78–83.
127. Ljungman P, Cordonnier C, Einsele H, et al. Vaccination of hematopoietic cell transplant recipients. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2009;44:521–526.
128. Colton H, Greenfield DM, Snowden JA, et al. Long-term survivors following autologous haematopoietic stem cell transplantation have significant defects in their humoral immunity against vaccine preventable diseases, years on from transplant. *Vaccine* 2021;39:4778–4783.
129. Walti CS, Krantz EM, Maalouf J, et al. Antibodies against vaccine-preventable infections after CAR-T cell therapy for B cell malignancies. *JCI Insight* 2021;6:e146743.
130. Underwood JM, Townsend JS, Stewart SL, et al. Surveillance of demographic characteristics and health behaviors among adult cancer survivors Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, United States, 2009. *MMWR Surveill Summ* 2012;61:1–23.
131. Snyder CF, Frick KD, Peairs KS, et al. Comparing care for breast cancer survivors to non-cancer controls: a five-year longitudinal study. *J Gen Intern Med* 2009;24:469–474.
132. Locher JL, Rucks AC, Spencer SA, et al. Influenza immunization in older adults with and without cancer. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2012;60:2099–2103.
133. Mandelzweig L, Chetrit A, Amitai T, et al. Primary prevention and screening practices among long-term breast cancer survivors. *Cancer Causes Control* 2017;28:657–666.
134. Pophali PA, Larson MC, Allmer C, et al. Compliance with cancer screening and influenza vaccination guidelines in non-Hodgkin lymphoma survivors. *J Cancer Surviv* 2020;14:316–321.
135. Chang A, Ellingson MK, Flowers CR, et al. Influenza vaccination rates among patients with a history of cancer: analysis of the national health interview survey. *Open Forum Infect Dis* 2021;8:ofab198.
136. Kawano Y, Suzuki M, Kawada J, et al. Effectiveness and safety of immunization with live-attenuated and inactivated vaccines for pediatric liver transplantation recipients. *Vaccine* 2015;33:1440–1445.
137. Shah GL, Shune L, Purtill D, et al. Robust vaccine responses in adult and pediatric cord blood transplantation recipients treated for hematologic malignancies. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2015;21:2160–2166.
138. Small TN, Zelenetz AD, Noy A, et al. Pertussis immunity and response to tetanus-reduced diphtheria-reduced pertussis vaccine (Tdap) after autologous peripheral blood stem cell transplantation. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2009;15:1538–1542.
139. NCCN: Cancer and COVID-19 Vaccination. Recommendations of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network® (NCCN®) Advisory Committee on COVID-19 Vaccination and Pre-exposure Prophylaxis. Accessed July 28, 2022. Available at: <https://www.nccn.org/covid-19>
140. Platt HL, Cardona JF, Haranaka M, et al. A phase 3 trial of safety, tolerability, and immunogenicity of V114, 15-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine, compared with 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine in adults 50 years of age and older (PNEU-AGE). *Vaccine* 2022;40:162–172.
141. Klein NP, Peyrani P, Yacisin K, et al. A phase 3, randomized, double-blind study to evaluate the immunogenicity and safety of 3 lots of 20-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine in pneumococcal vaccine-naïve adults 18 through 49 years of age. *Vaccine* 2021;39:5428–5435.
142. Wasserman MD, Perdrizet J, Grant L, et al. Clinical and economic burden of pneumococcal disease due to serotypes contained in current and investigational pneumococcal conjugate vaccines in children under five years of age. *Infect Dis Ther* 2021;10:2701–2720.
143. Huang L, Wasserman M, Grant L, et al. Burden of pneumococcal disease due to serotypes covered by the 13-valent and new higher-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccines in the United States. *Vaccine* 2022;40:4700–4708.
144. Kobayashi M, Farrar JL, Gierke R, et al. Use of 15-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine and 20-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine among U.S. adults: updated recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices - United States, 2022. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2022;71:109–117.
145. Bastidas A, de la Serna J, El Idrissi M, et al. Effect of recombinant zoster vaccine on incidence of herpes zoster after autologous stem cell transplantation: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2019;322:123–133.
146. Dagnew AF, Ilhan O, Lee WS, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of the adjuvanted recombinant zoster vaccine in adults with haematological malignancies: a phase 3, randomised, clinical trial and post-hoc efficacy analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2019;19:988–1000.
147. Vink P, Delgado Mingorance I, Maximiano Alonso C, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of the adjuvanted recombinant zoster vaccine in patients with solid tumors, vaccinated before or during chemotherapy: a randomized trial. *Cancer* 2019;125:1301–1312.
148. Anderson TC, Masters NB, Guo A, et al. Use of recombinant zoster vaccine in immunocompromised adults aged ≥19 years: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices - United States, 2022. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2022;71:80–84.
149. Weng MK, Doshani M, Khan MA, et al. Universal hepatitis B vaccination in adults aged 19-59 years: updated recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices - United States, 2022. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2022;71:477–483.