UC Davis

UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Effect of Triticum monococcum glutenin loci on cookie making quality and on predictive tests for bread making quality

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9037118p>

Journal

Journal of Cereal Science, 36(1)

ISSN

0733-5210

Authors

Tranquilli, G
Cuniberti, M
Gianibelli, MC
et al.

Publication Date

2002

DOI

10.1006/jcrs.2001.0448

Peer reviewed

Effect of *Triticum monococcum* glutenin loci on cookie making quality and on predictive tests for bread making quality

G. Tranquilli*, M. Cuniberti†, M. C. Gianibelli‡, L. Bullrich*, O. R. Larroque‡, F. MacRitchie‡,§ and J. Dubcovsky¶,||

*Instituto de Recursos Biológicos, CIRN INTA. 1712 Castelar, Bs. As., Argentina, †Wheat and Soybean Quality Laboratory, INTA, CC 21, 2580-Marcos Juárez, Argentina, ‡CSIRO. Plant Industry, Grain Quality Research Laboratory, P.O. Box 7, North Ryde, NSW 1670, Australia, §Current address: Department of Grain Science and Industry, Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas, KS 66506-2201, U.S.A., and ¶Department of Agronomy and Range Science, University of California, Davis CA 95616, U.S.A.

Received 1 May 2001

ABSTRACT

The effects of *Triticum monococcum* glutenin loci on cookie making quality and predictive tests for bread making quality were evaluated in recombinant substitution lines (RSLs) between chromosome 1A^m from *T. monococcum* and chromosome 1A from Chinese Spring. All four combinations of high molecular weight (HM_r-GS) and low molecular weight glutenin alleles (LM_r-GS) were studied in a factorial design to evaluate their interactions. Grain protein content was used as a covariable to evaluate the effect of these loci independently of the variation in protein content among lines. No significant interactions were detected indicating an additive effect. RSLs carrying the HM_r-GS from *T. monococcum* showed a 13.6% increase in SDS sedimentation volume ($p=0.004$) and a significant reduction in cookie diameter (-5.2% , $p=0.02$), and cookie quality (-6.8% , $p=0.02$). RSLs carrying the LM_r-GS from *T. monococcum* showed a significant decrease in the proportion of polymeric protein (-2.8% , $p<0.0001$), SDS sedimentation volume (-8.1% , $p=0.03$) and gluten strength (-16.5% , $p=0.01$), and a significant increase in cookie quality (5.9% , $p=0.05$). The *T. monococcum* LM_r-GS allele has potential value to be used in soft wheat breeding programs. These results suggest that diploid *T. monococcum* could be a valuable source for new allelic variation for storage proteins loci and new quality characteristics.

© 2002 Elsevier Science Ltd

Keywords: wheat, *Triticum monococcum*, end-use quality, glutenins.

INTRODUCTION

ABBREVIATIONS USED: ANOVA=analysis of variance; ANCOVA=analysis of covariance; BMQ=bread making quality; CS=Chinese Spring; CMQ=cookie making quality; HM_r-GS=high molecular weight glutenin subunit; LM_r-GS=low molecular weight glutenin subunit; RFLP=restriction fragment length polymorphism; RSL=recombinant substitution line; SDS=sodium dodecyl sulphate; SE-HPLC=size-exclusion high performance liquid chromatography.

|| Corresponding author. E-mail: jdubcovsky@ucdavis.edu

Improvement of bread making (BMQ) and cookie making quality (CMQ) is a major objective in breeding programs of hard and soft wheats, respectively. Both BMQ and CMQ are affected by quantity and quality of storage proteins. Glutenins and gliadins are polymeric and monomeric proteins, respectively, constitutive of the main protein fraction of the endosperm, referred to as gluten. The proportion of polymeric protein in flour, the allelic variation at the high (HM_r-GS) and low

molecular weight (LM_r -GS) glutenin subunits loci and the ratio between HM_r -GS and LM_r -GS are the main sources of variation in BMQ and CMQ¹⁻³.

HM_r -GS are encoded at the *Glu-1* loci, located on the long arms of chromosomes 1A, 1B and 1D, while LM_r -GS are controlled by genes at the *Glu-3* loci, located on the short arms of the same chromosomes and closely linked to the *Gli-1* gliadin loci⁴. Allelic variation at the three HM_r -GS loci has been extensively studied and its correlation with BMQ is well established (reviewed in refs⁵⁻⁸). However, this knowledge is more limited for the LM_r -GS allelic variants⁹⁻¹², and even scarcer for the interactions between these two loci¹³⁻¹⁵. Interpretation of the effect of the LM_r -GS allelic variants on quality is also complicated by the tight linkage between the LM_r -GS *Glu-3* and the gliadin *Gli-1* loci. In the few cases where these two loci were separated by recombination, effects on gluten strength were associated to the LM_r -GS locus^{16,17}. Moreover, functional tests have shown that LM_r -GS polypeptides incorporated into the flour have a dough strengthening effect¹⁸. These results, together with correlation studies of LM_r -GS allelic variation and dough properties^{9,19}, suggest that differences in dough strength are mainly originated in the LM_r -GS rather than in the linked gliadin alleles.

A research area that has received relatively limited attention is the effect of the allelic glutenin variants from wild diploid species on end-use quality. Particularly interesting is *Triticum monococcum* L., that carries an A^m genome closely related to the A genome of hexaploid wheat and is the only cultivated diploid species of *Triticum*. Electrophoretic analyses of HM_r -GS²⁰⁻²² and LM_r -GS^{23,24} from this species have shown allelic variants not previously reported in hexaploid wheat. Variation in the bread making performance among accessions of *T. monococcum* has also been observed²⁵⁻²⁷ indicating that *T. monococcum* is a potential source of genetic variation for storage proteins and new quality characteristics. However, there are limited numbers of studies on the effect of specific allelic variants on quality parameters at the diploid level^{21,28,29}, and even less on the effects of the *T. monococcum* alleles within a bread wheat genetic background³⁰.

The objective of this study was to evaluate the effects of the *T. monococcum* HM_r -GS and LM_r -GS on CMQ and predictive tests for BMQ within

a bread wheat genetic background. Interactions between these two loci were also evaluated.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

Dr J. Dvorak *et al.*³¹ (Univ. of California, Davis, CA, U.S.A.) previously produced a series of monosomic recombinant substitution lines (RSL) between chromosome 1A^m from *T. monococcum* (accession G1777) and chromosome 1A from bread wheat in the genetic background of Chinese Spring. The recombination points between chromosome 1A and 1A^m in each line were characterised with 94 RFLP markers³¹. Chromosome counts were made on the monosomic RSLs kindly provided by Dr Dvorak to select disomic RSLs from their progenies. Fourteen disomic RSLs, Chinese Spring and the original substitution line of chromosome 1A^m of *T. monococcum* in Chinese Spring, CS(1A^m)³¹ were selected for this study (Fig. 1). These 16 lines are identical to Chinese Spring for all chromosome pairs except 1A, reducing genetic variation among lines and increasing the sensitivity of the experiments to detect small effects of loci located on chromosome 1A on CMQ and predictive tests for BMQ.

These 16 lines included four groups of four lines. Each group has one of the four possible HM_r -GS/ LM_r -GS allele combinations (Fig. 1). Plants within each group have the same HM_r -GS/ LM_r -GS combination, but differ in the recombination points on chromosome 1A. Recombinant substitution lines #38 and #63 have a crossover between the same RFLP markers (Fig. 1). However, since they were produced independently it is likely that they would have different crossover points within this 3.9 cM interval. RSLs #3, #8 and #38 (Fig. 1) were replaced in the Davis trial by RSLs #14, #87 and #15 (not shown), respectively, because of limited availability of seed. These new lines have the same HM_r -GS/ LM_r -GS allelic combinations as the lines they replaced and their chromosome 1A structure has been described before³².

Allelic constitution of these lines at the HM_r -GS and LM_r -GS loci was previously determined by RFLP analysis³¹ and was confirmed for each experiment by SDS-PAGE (sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis) after extraction of polymeric proteins using dimethyl

Figure 2 SDS-PAGE³³ patterns for the 16 lines used in this study including Chinese Spring (CS = Null, 7 + 8, 2 + 12). Two bread wheat varieties were used as standards: C1 = Olympic (1, 20, 5 + 10) and C2 = Line 886 (2*, 7 + 8, 2 + 12). HM_r-GS band 8 in C2 and the RSLs are not clear in this gel because of the protein extraction technique. HM_r-GS from *T. monococcum* are indicated by arrowheads and LM_r-GS from Chinese Spring *Glu-A1* by arrows.

sulphoxide to eliminate monomeric proteins³³ (Fig. 2).

Field trials

Four subsamples of each of the 16 lines were grown at two locations (Castelar, Buenos Aires, Argentina and Davis, CA, U.S.A.) in a completely randomized design. Each subsample consisted of four rows, 1.5 m long (20 plants per row).

Quality determinations

Grain yield and 500-grain weight were measured for each subsample. Protein content was determined for each subsample using Near-Infrared Method for Whole Grain Analysis (AACC 39-21)³⁴. Kernel hardness and kernel moisture were determined by the Single Kernel Characterization System (Perten Model 4100). Samples were milled according to the AACC method 26-21A using a Bühler Mill model MLU-202, adjusted to 70%.

Size-exclusion high performance liquid chromatography (SE-HPLC) analyses were performed on the same subsamples to determine the relative

proportion of the three main size classes of wheat endosperm proteins. Peak 1 of the chromatogram consists mainly of polymeric glutenins (HM_r-GS and LM_r-GS held together by disulphide bonds) as well as a very small proportion of gliadin-like components with odd number of cysteines³⁵⁻³⁹ and HM_r-albumins. Monomeric proteins elute in peaks 2 and 3. Peak 2 consists of gliadins and peak 3 of albumins and globulins. Since the SE-HPLC evaluation is done under unreduced conditions, polymeric structures remain similar to their native state, without breaking into HM_r or LM_r subunits⁴⁰.

Lines included in the present study have a common Chinese Spring background, which is not appropriate for bread manufacture. Consequently, no direct bread baking methods were performed. The potential value of the *T. monococcum* alleles on BMQ was evaluated by predictive quality tests. The SDS (sodium dodecyl sulfate) sedimentation volume test (AACC 56-70)³⁴ was selected as an indirect method to evaluate BMQ because of its positive and significant correlation with loaf volume⁴¹. The differences in mixing time and mixing tolerance were determined by mixograms obtained on a 10-g mixograph following standardised pro-

cedures (AACC 54-40 A)³⁴. For Chopin Alveograph test, tenacity (P, in mm), extensibility (L, in mm), P/L ratio (no units), and dough strength (W, in 10^{-4} J) were measured following standardised procedures (AACC 54-30 A)³⁴.

Cookie baking tests and determination of cookie diameter were performed according to AACC method 10-52. For the determination of cookie quality, four cookies were laid edge to edge to determine width (four-width) and then stacked on top of each other to determine height (four-height). The cookie factor was calculated as the ratio between four-width and four-height. Cookie quality was then determined as the ratio between the sample cookie factor and the same factor calculated from a control included in every test and expressed as a percentage⁴².

SDS sedimentation and mixograph tests were performed for each subsample but alveograph and cookie baking tests were performed using pooled grain from the four subsamples from each line.

Statistical analysis

Data was organised in a three-way factorial analysis including all possible interactions between the three classification variables (location, HM_r -GS allele, and LM_r -GS allele). Protein content was used as a covariable to increase the sensitivity of the analysis and to determine the effects of HM_r -GS and LM_r -GS alleles independently of grain protein content¹².

Variation between the four RSLs carrying the same HM_r -GS/ LM_r -GS combination, but different segments of the *T. monococcum* and *T. aestivum* chromosome 1A (Fig. 1) was used to estimate the error term. Averages of the four subsamples were used in the analysis. Statistical analyses were performed using SAS⁴³.

RESULTS

Lines included in the present study did not significantly differ in kernel texture as determined by the SKCS analysis ($p > 0.05$). This was expected because all lines have identical Chinese Spring chromosome 5D that carries the major gene responsible for variation in grain texture. The general average SKCS value of 50.4 obtained from these lines is in the limit between the medium hard and medium soft classes.

The SDS-PAGE separation of polymeric pro-

teins from the 16 lines included in this study shows that *T. monococcum* accession G1777 has only one HM_r -GS (x-subunit) that has a higher mobility than the *Glu-A1* subunits 1 and 2* from the control varieties (Fig. 2, arrowhead). The absence of a *Glu-A1* band in the lines carrying the Chinese Spring allele correspond to the known presence of a null *Glu-A1* allele in Chinese Spring (Null, 7+8, 2+12). For the LM_r -GS *Glu-A3* locus a low mobility band is present in the B-subunits region of the SDS-PAGE in the lines carrying the Chinese Spring allele, but is absent in the lines carrying the *T. monococcum* LM_r -GS allele (Fig. 2, arrows). These last lines showed some LM_r -GS bands of higher intensity than the lines carrying the Chinese Spring low mobility band (Fig. 2).

Presence and absence of the HM_r -GS and LM_r -GS divide the 16 lines in four classes. These classes did not differ significantly in grain yield or 500-grain weight at both locations. Significant effects on protein content associated with these two loci were detected on the experiment performed in Argentina but not in the one performed in the U.S.A. Moreover, there was no significant correlation on protein content measures from the 16 lines between both locations, indicating that most of the observed variation was environmental. Therefore, total seed protein was used as a covariable in the three way factorial analyses of variance to adjust means from all parameters to a common level of protein.

No significant interactions were detected between locations and glutenin loci for any of the evaluated parameters indicating consistent effects at both locations. In addition, interactions between HM_r -GS and LM_r -GS were not significant for all the parameters analysed in this study (Table I). Since none of the interactions in the model was significant, only the main effects are discussed hereafter.

The percent of polymeric protein (peak 1, SE-HPLC) was significantly associated to the LM_r -GS locus, showing a reduction of 2.8% ($p < 0.0001$) for the *T. monococcum* allele relative to the *T. aestivum* allele (Table I). No significant differences in albumins and globulins (peak 3) were observed between LM_r -GS classes and, therefore, the association between these classes and the percent of monomeric proteins (peak 2) was complementary to the effect observed for polymeric proteins (Table I). Lines carrying the *T. monococcum* HM_r -GS allele showed a slightly higher percentage of polymeric protein (1%) than lines carrying the null *T. aestivum*

Table I Probability values (p) from the three way factorial analyses of covariance (location, HM_r -GS allele, LM_r -GS allele) using protein content as covariable. The difference between the *T. monococcum* and the *T. aestivum* allele is indicated for the significant effects as a percentage of the *T. aestivum* allele (% *T. m.*). HM_r -GS* LM_r -GS indicates interaction effects.

Variable	HM_r -GS		LM_r -GS		HM_r -GS* LM_r -GS	
	p	% <i>T. m.</i>	p	% <i>T. m.</i>	p	% <i>T. m.</i>
HPLC						
Peak 1	0.14	ns	<0.0001	-2.8%	0.40	ns
Peak 2	0.13	ns	<0.0001	+3.6%	0.31	ns
Peak 3	0.93	ns	0.55	ns	0.68	ns
BMQ						
SDS sedim. volume	0.004	+13.6%	0.03	-8.1%	0.76	ns
Mixing time	0.87	ns	0.29	ns	0.35	ns
Mixing tolerance	0.76	ns	0.22	ns	0.61	ns
Dough strength W	0.26	ns	0.01	-16.5%	0.71	ns
Alveograph P/L ratio	0.57	ns	0.57	ns	0.45	ns
CMQ						
Cookie diameter	0.02	-5.2%	0.07	ns	0.11	ns
Cookie quality	0.02	-6.8%	0.05	+5.9%	0.21	ns

ns = not significant.

allele at both locations, but the differences were not significant ($p=0.14$).

For the BMQ predictive tests, the HM_r -GS and LM_r -GS loci were significantly associated to differences in SDS sedimentation volume and dough strength (alveograph W). No significant effects were detected for mixing time, mixing tolerance and alveograph P/L ratio (Table I).

The HM_r -GS allele from *T. monococcum* was associated to a significant 13.6% increase in SDS sedimentation volume ($p=0.004$). Conversely, the presence of the LM_r -GS allele from the same species was associated with a significant 8.1% decrease in SDS sedimentation volume ($p=0.03$). Presence of the LM_r -GS allele from *T. monococcum* was also associated to a significant decrease in dough strength (W), and with a reduction of 16.5% in the adjusted means compared to Chinese Spring ($p=0.01$).

A second analysis of covariance was performed using total polymeric protein as covariable instead of total protein. This new covariable was calculated as the product between total protein content and the proportion of polymeric protein. The objective of this analysis was to determine if the significant effects observed for some of these glutenin loci were only the result of associated variation on the amount of polymeric protein (peak 1), or were also originated in intrinsic properties of the proteins from the different allelic classes. When SDS sedimentation values were adjusted by this second

covariable, the 8.1% difference between the LM_r -GS alleles (Table I) was reduced to 4.9%. This difference was no longer significant ($p=0.22$) suggesting that the significant association between SDS sedimentation volume and LM_r -GS alleles was partially explained by the association of these alleles with variation on the percent of polymeric protein. The effects of the LM_r -GS on dough strength (W) ($p=0.01$ with 2nd covariable) and HM_r -GS on SDS sedimentation ($p=0.009$ with 2nd covariable) were not significantly affected by the use of the modified covariable (Table I). This suggests that these effects are more related to the quality of the gluten proteins than to their effect on the amount of polymeric protein.

For the CMQ tests, HM_r -GS and LM_r -GS loci contributed to opposite effects on cookie diameter and cookie width, and therefore on cookie quality. The HM_r -GS allele from *T. monococcum* was significantly associated with a decrease of 6.8% of cookie quality, and the LM_r -GS allele with an increase of 5.9% in cookie quality (Table I).

When total polymeric protein content was used to replace protein content as covariable, a 7.9% increase in cookie quality adjusted means was associated with the presence of the *T. monococcum* LM_r -GS allele ($p=0.02$). The *T. monococcum* HM_r -GS allele was associated with a significant decrease of 7.5% in cookie quality in this second analysis ($p=0.02$). These results suggest that effects on CMQ are more related to qualitative differences

in the proteins determined by these alleles rather than to quantitative differences in polymeric protein.

DISCUSSION

The use of RSLs isogenic for all chromosome pairs except 1A increased the power of the genetic analyses, allowing the detection of significant effects for small differences in quality parameters. Allelic variation at chromosomes different than 1A that could have obscured associations between quality traits and storage protein alleles was eliminated in the RSL lines.

Four of the RSLs included in this study showed recombination between the LM_r -GS *Glu-A3* locus and the gliadin *Gli-A3* locus (Fig. 1, RSLs 5, 29, 38, 63). These recombinant plants were used to separate the effect of these two storage protein loci. No RSLs were available with recombination between the closely linked *Glu-A3* and *Gli-A1* gliadin locus and, therefore, it was not possible to rule out the possibility that the effects associated to *Glu-A3* were originated in allelic variation at the linked *Gli-A1* gliadin locus. However, all previous studies aimed to differentiate the effect of these two linked loci have shown that the allelic variation at the LM_r -GS is the responsible for the observed effects on gluten strength^{9,16–19}.

Interactions between HM_r -GS and LM_r -GS

HM_r -GS and LM_r -GS are aggregated as polymers in the gluten via intermolecular disulphide bonds. Differences in the amino acid sequence among subunits may determine differences in the molecular bindings that define the gluten network. Therefore, it is possible to speculate that there may be interactions between the HM_r -GS and LM_r -GS alleles in their effects on quality. Three previous studies reported significant interactions between HM_r -GS and LM_r -GS alleles on gluten strength, SDS sedimentation and maximum dough resistance^{13–15}. In this study, however, no significant interactions were detected between these two loci for any of the studied parameters (Table I) suggesting additive effects. Similar additive effects on dough quality were found by other authors in studies in hexaploid^{9,44} and tetraploid wheat¹⁶ suggesting that interactions between HM_r -GS and LM_r -GS depend on the particular allele com-

binations segregating in each cross and on the quality parameters measured in each study.

Effects on BMQ predictive tests

Bread wheat cultivars carrying either of the active *Glu-A1* alleles 1 or 2* have stronger gluten and better BMQ than cultivars with the null allele. It was suggested that a quantitative increase in HM_r -GS in cultivars carrying the active *Glu-A1* alleles might account for the association of the active alleles with good BMQ^{44,45}. The active HM_r -GS from *T. monococcum* accession G1777 was also associated with an increase in SDS sedimentation volume compared to the null allele of Chinese Spring. This effect was not modified by the introduction of the total polymeric protein content as covariable in the model, suggesting that this effect was not determined only by a quantitative increase in polymeric protein.

Additional experiments would be necessary to test if the *T. monococcum* G1777 HM_r -GS allele produces higher SDS sedimentation volume than bread wheat alleles 1 or 2*. Preliminary results using RSLs of cultivar Cheyenne 1A in Chinese Spring³¹ and QTL analysis showed that Cheyenne allele 2* was associated with a 19% increase in SDS sedimentation volume⁴⁶ compared to the 13.6% increase observed here for the *T. monococcum* allele. This result suggests that the beneficial effect of the *T. monococcum* allele will not be superior to that of the active *T. aestivum* 2* allele.

The LM_r -GS allele from *T. monococcum* showed a negative effect on BMQ predictive tests, and its presence was associated to a decrease on SDS sedimentation volume and gluten strength. The effect of this allele on SDS sedimentation volume was mainly associated to its negative effect on the percentage of polymeric protein. On the other hand, the differences in dough strength (W index) were independent of the use of the total polymeric protein as covariable suggesting that different parameters are differentially affected by the quantitative variation in polymeric protein.

Effects on CMQ

Soft wheat flours with low protein content are preferred for pastry products. However, protein content and soft texture are not the only factors determining end-use properties. Protein quality can also influence the baking properties of soft

wheat flour^{47–50}. Development of a strong gluten matrix is undesirable in cookie baking since this prevents cookie spread, as indicated by the negative correlation between cookie diameter and percentage of gluten in the protein⁴⁷.

The *T. monococcum* HM_r-GS allele, associated with an increase in SDS sedimentation volume, showed the expected negative effect on all cookie quality parameters when compared with the null *Glu-A1* allele of Chinese Spring. On the contrary, the LM_r-GS allele from *T. monococcum*, associated with weak gluten, showed a positive effect on the same parameters. This effect was not modified by the addition of the total polymeric protein content as covariable in the statistical analysis suggesting that it was determined by qualitative rather than quantitative differences in the polymeric protein between alleles. To our knowledge, this is one of the first reports on the effect of specific LM_r-GS alleles on CMQ.

The new *T. monococcum* LM_r-GS allele has potential value to be used in breeding programs for soft wheats. However, to minimise the amount of *T. monococcum* chromatin introgressed in commercial cultivars, it is important to select a small translocation as the initial source of the *T. monococcum* LM_r-GS allele because chromosome 1A^m from this species recombines poorly with bread wheat chromosome 1A³¹. Recombinant substitution line #38 (Fig. 1) containing only a small terminal *T. monococcum* 1A^mS segment would be an ideal source of the *T. monococcum* LM_r-GS allele. This recombinant chromosome 1A carries the Chinese Spring *Glu-A1* null allele, also associated to good CMQ. Selection for the *T. monococcum* LM_r-GS allele in crosses with no clear SDS-PAGE polymorphism can be done using LM_r-GS DNA clone pTdUCD1⁵¹ and RFLPs that differentiates the *T. monococcum* and the *T. aestivum* alleles³¹. An alternative selection tool is the microsatellite marker located within the *Glu-A3* locus⁵².

CONCLUSIONS

Genotypes containing the *T. monococcum* HM_r-GS allele and Chinese Spring LM_r-GS allele had the strongest gluten and the best response to BMQ predictive test. On the contrary, genotypes containing the *T. monococcum* LM_r-GS *Glu-A3* allele and Chinese Spring HM_r-GS *Glu-A1* allele had the best CMQ. This study suggests that diploid *T. monococcum* could be a valuable source of new

allelic variation for storage proteins loci and quality characteristics.

Acknowledgements

Authors express gratitude to Dr Jan Dvorak, for providing the recombinant substitution lines evaluated in the present study. Gabriela Tranquilli expresses gratitude to the Argentinean Research Council (CONICET) for a fellowship during this work and Dr J. Dubcovsky acknowledges financial support from USDA-Fund for Rural America competitive grant 97-36200-5272 and USDA-IFAFS 2001-04462. M. Cuniberti and L. Bullrich acknowledge to SECyT for financial support through the grant PICT 8-6549.

REFERENCES

1. Finney, K.F., Yamazaki, W.T., Youngs, V.L. and Rubenthaler, G.L. Quality of hard, soft, and durum wheats. *Quality of hard, soft, and durum wheats*. Heyne, E. G., ed. American Society of Agronomy, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, U.S.A. (1987) 677–748.
2. Faridi, H., Gaines, C. and Finney, P. Soft wheat quality in production of cookies and crackers. *Soft wheat quality in production of cookies and crackers*. Bushuk, W. & Rasper, V. F., eds. Blakie Academic and Professional, Chapman & Hall, Glasgow, U.K. (1994) 154–168.
3. Schofield, J.D. Wheat proteins: structure and functionality in milling and breadmaking. *Wheat proteins: structure and functionality in milling and breadmaking*. Bushuk, W. & Rasper, V. F., eds. Blakie Academic and Professional, Chapman & Hall, Glasgow, U.K. (1994) 73–106.
4. Dubcovsky, J., Echaide, M., Giancola, S., Rousset, M., Luo, M.C., Joppa, L.R. and Dvorak, J. Seed storage protein loci in RFLP maps of diploid, tetraploid, and hexaploid wheat. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **95** (1997) 1169–1180.
5. Payne, P.I. Genetics of wheat storage proteins and the effect of allelic variation on bread-making quality. *Annual Review on Plant Physiology* **38** (1987) 141–153.
6. MacRitchie, F. Physicochemical properties of wheat proteins in relation to functionality. *Advances in Food and Nutrition Research* **36** (1992) 1–87.
7. Shewry, P.R., Halford, N.G. and Tatham, A.S. High molecular weight subunits of wheat glutenin. *Journal of Cereal Science* **15** (1992) 105–120.
8. Weegels, P.L., Hamer, R.J. and Schofield, J.D. Functional properties of wheat glutenin. *Journal of Cereal Science* **23** (1996) 1–18.
9. Gupta, R.B., Singh, N.K. and Shepherd, K. The cumulative effect of allelic variation in LMW and HMW glutenin subunits on dough properties in the progeny of two bread wheats. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **77** (1989) 57–64.
10. Gupta, R.B., Bekes, F. and Wrigley, C.W. Prediction of physical dough properties from glutenin subunit composition in bread wheats: correlation studies. *Cereal Chemistry* **68** (1991) 328–333.
11. Gupta, R.B. and MacRitchie, F. Allelic variation at

- glutenin subunit and gliadin loci, *Glu-1*, *Glu-3* and *Gli-1* of common wheats. II. Biochemical basis of the allelic effects on dough properties. *Journal of Cereal Science* **19** (1994) 19–29.
12. Manifesto, M.M., Feingold, S., Hopp, H.E., Schlatter, A.R. and Dubcovsky, J. Molecular markers associated with differences in breadmaking quality in a cross between bread wheat cultivars with the same HM_r glutenins. *Journal of Cereal Science* **27** (1998) 217–227.
 13. Gupta, R.B., Paul, J.G., Cornish, G.B., Palmer, G.A., Bekes, F. and Rathjen, A.J. Allelic variation at glutenin subunit and gliadin loci, *Glu-1*, *Glu-3* and *Gli-1*, of Common Wheats. 1. Its Additive and Interaction Effects on Dough Properties. *Journal of Cereal Science* **19** (1994) 9–17.
 14. Nieto-Taladriz, M.T., Perretant, M.R. and Rousset, M. Effect of gliadins and HMW and LMW subunits of glutenin on dough properties in the F-6 recombinant inbred lines from a bread wheat cross. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **88** (1994) 81–88.
 15. Rodriguez-Quijano, M. and Carrillo, J.M. Relationship between allelic variation of *Glu-1* and *Gli-1/Glu-3* prolamins loci and gluten strength in hexaploid wheat. *Euphytica* **91** (1996) 141–148.
 16. Pogna, N.E., Autran, J.-C., Mellini, G., Lafiandra, D. and Feillet, P. Chromosome 1B-encoded gliadins and glutenin subunits in durum wheat: genetics and relationship to gluten strength. *Journal of Cereal Science* **11** (1990) 15–34.
 17. Pogna, N.E., Lafiandra, D., Feillet, P. and Autran, J.C. Evidence for a direct casual effect of low molecular weight subunits of glutenins on gluten viscoelasticity in durum wheat. *Journal of Cereal Science* **7** (1988) 211–214.
 18. Sissons, M.J., Bekes, F. and Skerrett, J.H. Isolation and functionality testing of low molecular weight glutenin subunits. *Cereal Chemistry* **75** (1998) 30–36.
 19. Metakovsky, E.V., Wrigley, C.W., Bekes, F. and Gupta, R.B. Gluten polypeptides as useful genetic markers of dough quality in Australian wheats. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* **41** (1990) 289–306.
 20. Waines, J.G. and Payne, P.I. Electrophoretic analysis of the high-molecular-weight glutenin subunits of *Triticum monococcum*, *T. urartu*, and the A genome of bread wheat (*T. aestivum*). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **74** (1987) 71–76.
 21. Ciaffi, M., Dominici, L., Lafiandra, D. and Porceddu, E. Seed storage proteins of wild wheat progenitors and their relationships with technological properties. *Hereditas* **116** (1992) 315–322.
 22. Ciaffi, M., Dominici, L. and Lafiandra, D. High molecular weight glutenin subunit variation in wild and cultivated einkorn wheats (*Triticum* spp., Poaceae). *Plant Systematics and Evolution* **209** (1998) 123–137.
 23. Rodriguez-Quijano, M., Nieto Taladriz, M.T. and Carrillo, J.M. Variation in B-LMW glutenin subunits in Einkorn wheats. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution* **44** (1997) 539–543.
 24. Lee, Y.K., Bekes, F., Gupta, R., Appels, R. and Morell, M.K. The low-molecular-weight glutenin subunit proteins of primitive wheats. I. Variation in A-genome species. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **98** (1999) 119–125.
 25. Degidio, M.G., Nardi, S. and Vallega, V. Grain, flour, and dough characteristics of selected strains of diploid wheat, *Triticum monococcum* L. *Cereal Chemistry* **70** (1993) 298–303.
 26. Degidio, M.G. and Vallega, V. Bread baking and dough mixing quality of diploid wheat *Triticum monococcum* L. *Industria Alimentari* **S4** (1994) 6.
 27. Borghi, B., Castagna, R., Corbellini, M., Heun, M. and Salamini, F. Breadmaking quality of einkorn wheat (*Triticum monococcum* ssp. *monococcum*). *Cereal Chemistry* **73** (1996) 208–214.
 28. Saponaro, C., Pogna, N.E., Castagna, R., Pasquini, M., Cacciatori, P. and Redaelli, R. Allelic variation at the *Gli-A1(M)*, *Gli-A2(M)* and *Glu-A1(M)* loci and breadmaking quality in diploid wheat *Triticum monococcum*. *Genetical Research* **66** (1995) 127–137.
 29. Lee, Y.K., Bekes, F., Gras, P., Ciaffi, M., Morell, M.K. and Appels, R. The low-molecular weight glutenin subunit proteins of primitive wheats. IV. Functional properties of products from individual genes. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **98** (1999) 149–155.
 30. Rogers, W.J., Miller, T.E., Payne, P.I., Seekings, J.A., Sayers, E.J., Holt, L.M. and Law, C.N. Introduction to bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) and assessment for bread-making quality of alleles from *T. boeoticum* Boiss ssp. *thaoudar* at *Glu-A1* encoding two high-molecular-weight subunits of glutenin. *Euphytica* **93** (1997) 19–29.
 31. Dubcovsky, J., Luo, M.-C. and Dvorak, J. Differentiation between homoeologous chromosomes 1A of wheat and 1A^m of *Triticum monococcum* and its recognition by the wheat *Ph1* locus. *Proceedings of National Academy of Sciences* **92** (1995) 6645–6649.
 32. Dvorak, J. and Dubcovsky, J. Recombination patterns between homoeologous chromosomes in wheat. *Recombination patterns between homoeologous chromosomes in wheat*. Raupp, W.J. & Gill, B. S., eds. Kansas State University, Manhattan, U.S.A. (1995).
 33. Gupta, R.B. and MacRitchie, F. A rapid one-step one-dimensional SDS-PAGE procedure for analysis of subunit composition of glutenin in wheat. *Journal of Cereal Science* **14** (1991) 105–109.
 34. AACCC. American Association of Cereal Chemists Approved Methods. American Association of Cereal Chemists, St. Paul, MN, U.S.A. (1997).
 35. Lew, E.J.-L., Kuzmicky, D.D. and Kasarda, D.D. Characterisation of low molecular weight glutenin subunits by reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography, sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, and N-terminal amino acid sequencing. *Cereal Chemistry* **69** (1992) 508–515.
 36. Masci, S., Lafiandra, D., Porceddu, E., Lew, E.J.-L., Tao, H.P. and Kasarda, D.D. D-glutenin subunits: N-terminal sequences and evidence for the presence of cysteine. *Cereal Chemistry* **70** (1993) 581–585.
 37. Masci, S., Egorov, T.A., Ronchi, C., Kuzmicky, D.D., Kasarda, D.D. and Lafiandra, D. Evidence for the presence of only one cysteine residue in the D-type low molecular weight subunits of wheat glutenin. *Journal of Cereal Science* **29** (1999) 17–25.
 38. D'Ovidio, R., Simeone, M., Masci, S., Porceddu, E. and Kasarda, D.D. Nucleotide sequence of a-type glutenin gene from a durum wheat: Correlation with a-type glutenin subunit from the same biotype. *Cereal Chemistry* **72** (1995) 443–449.
 39. Gianibelli, M.C., Masci, S., Larroque, O.R., Lafiandra, D. and MacRitchie, F. Biochemical characterisation of a novel polymeric protein subunit from bread wheat

- (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Journal of Cereal Science* (2001) In press.
40. Larroque, O.R., Gianibelli, M.C., Batey, I.L. and MacRitchie, F. Electrophoretic characterisation of fractions collected from gluten protein extracts subjected to size-exclusion high-performance liquid chromatography. *Electrophoresis* **18** (1997) 1064–1067.
 41. Axford, D.W., McDermott, E.E. and Redman, D.G. Note on the sodium dodecyl sulfate test of breadmaking quality: Comparison with Pelshenke and Zeleny tests. *Cereal Chemistry* **56** (1979) 582–584.
 42. Vetter, J.L., Bright, H., Utt, M. and McMaster, G. Cookie formulating. Sugar, mixing affect specific gravity, spread. *Bakers Digest* **58** (1984) 6–9.
 43. SAS Institute, I. SAS user's guide, version 8. SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NY (2001).
 44. Payne, P.I., Seekings, J.A., Worland, A.J., Jarvis, M.G. and Holt, L.M. Allelic variation of glutenin subunits and gliadins and its effect on breadmaking quality in wheat analysis of F-5 progeny from Chinese Spring $\times$ Chinese Spring Hope 1A. *Journal of Cereal Science* **6** (1987) 103–118.
 45. Halford, N.G., Field, J.M., Blair, H., Urwin, P., Moore, K., Robert, L., Thompson, R., Flavell, R.B., Tatham, A.S. and Shewry, P.R. Analysis of HMW glutenin subunits encoded by chromosome 1A of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) indicates quantitative effects on grain quality. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **83** (1992) 373–378.
 46. Rousset, M., Brabant, P., Kota, R.S., Dubcovsky, J. and Dvorak, J. Use of recombinant substitution lines for gene mapping and QTL analysis of bread making quality in wheat. *Euphytica* **119** (2001) 81–87.
 47. Kaldy, M.S., Kereliuk, G.R. and Kozub, G.C. Influence of gluten components and flour lipids on soft white wheat quality. *Cereal Chemistry* **70** (1993) 77–80.
 48. Souza, E., Kruk, M. and Sunderman, D.W. Association of sugar-snap cookie quality with high molecular weight glutenin alleles in soft white spring wheats. *Cereal Chemistry* **71** (1994) 601–605.
 49. Labuschagne, M.T. and Vandeventer, C.S. Variability of quality characteristics in soft wheats differing on the *Glu-B1* locus. *Cereal Research Communications* **23** (1995) 419–424.
 50. Czuchajowska, Z., Lin, P.Y. and Smolinski, S. Role in dough rheology of high molecular weight glutenin subunits of soft white winter and club wheats. *Cereal Chemistry* **73** (1996) 338–345.
 51. Cassidy, B.G. and Dvorak, J. Molecular characterization of a low-molecular-weight glutenin cDNA clone from *Triticum durum*. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **81** (1991) 653–660.
 52. Devos, K.M., Bryan, G.J., Collins, A.J., Stephenson, P. and Gale, M.D. Application of two microsatellite sequences in wheat storage proteins as molecular markers. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **90** (1995) 247–252.