UC Berkeley

UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

Mid-Wave Infrared Photoconductors Based on Black Phosphorus-Arsenic Alloys.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/90d6t6d0>

Journal

ACS nano, 11(11)

ISSN

1936-0851

Authors

Amani, Matin
Regan, Emma
Bullock, James
et al.

Publication Date

2017-11-01

DOI

10.1021/acsnano.7b07028

Peer reviewed

Mid-Wave Infrared Photoconductors Based on Black Phosphorous-Arsenic Alloys

Matin Amani^{1,2}, Emma Regan^{1,2}, James Bullock^{1,2}, Geun Ho Ahn^{1,2}, and Ali Javey^{1,2,}*

¹Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720, United States

²Materials Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, United States

*Address correspondence to ajavey@eecs.berkeley.edu

Keywords 2D materials, b-P, b-PAs, mid-wave infrared, photodetector, specific detectivity

Abstract

Black phosphorous (b-P), and more recently black phosphorous arsenic alloys (b-PAs), are candidate 2D materials for the detection of mid-wave and potentially long-wave infrared radiation. However, studies to date have utilized laser-based measurements to extract device performance and the responsivity of these detectors. As such, their performance under thermal radiation and spectral response has not been fully characterized. Here, we perform a systematic investigation of gated-photoconductors based on black phosphorous-arsenic alloys as a function of thickness over the composition range of 0% to 91% As. Infrared transmission and reflection measurements are performed to determine the bandgap of the various compositions. The spectrally resolved photoresponse for various compositions in this material system is investigated to confirm absorption measurements, and we find

that the cut-off wavelength can be tuned from 3.9 μm to 4.6 μm over the studied compositional range. In addition, we investigated the temperature dependent photoresponse and performed calibrated responsivity measurements using blackbody flood illumination. Notably, we find that the specific detectivity (D^*) can be optimized by adjusting the thickness of the b-P/b-PAs layer to maximize absorption and minimize dark current. We obtain a peak D^* of $6 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm Hz}^{1/2} \text{ W}^{-1}$ and $2.4 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm Hz}^{1/2} \text{ W}^{-1}$ for pure b-P and b-PAs (91% As) respectively at room temperature, which is an order of magnitude higher than commercially available mid-wave infrared detectors operating at room temperature.

The detection of infrared (IR) light has numerous applications, including thermal imaging, gas sensing, and communication.¹⁻³ Thermal radiation falls in either the mid-wave (3-5 μm) or long-wave (8-14 μm) spectral bands. Research in detectors for these wavelengths has been dominated by thermal detectors such as bolometers and semiconductor detectors including indium antimonide, mercury cadmium telluride, or type-II quantum wells.⁴⁻⁷ However, key challenges still exist in both detector types. Specifically, semiconductor detectors typically require cryogenic cooling and complex growth/fabrication processes leading to high costs.⁸ On the other hand, while bolometers can achieve room temperature operation at significantly lower costs, they are ultimately limited by slow temporal response, limiting their applications.⁷ The key challenge for semiconductor detectors operating in the mid- and long-wave IR bands is the significant

thermal generation, which results from the small bandgap requirements (0.3 eV and 0.1 eV respectively for mid- and long-wave). This in turn results in significant dark currents due to thermal generation.

A potential route to mediate thermal generation within IR photodetectors is to minimize the thickness of the active electronic material. Two-dimensional (2D) materials have potential to accomplish this through both extreme reduction in thickness, as well as the possibility of coupling to nano-optics to increase total absorption.^{9,10} Furthermore, 2D materials have naturally passivated surfaces due to the lack of out-of-plane bonding, which can result in high interface quality in the presence of suitable defect passivation and can remove the requirement for a lattice matched substrate.^{11,12} Significant research has been performed in this area, with much of the initial work focused on mono- and bilayer graphene; however, these devices suffer from low intrinsic absorption and high dark current due to the absence of a bandgap.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ More recently black phosphorous (b-P) and black phosphorous-arsenic (b-PAs) alloys have emerged as potential 2D semiconductors with suitable bandgaps for IR detection.^{17,18} In addition, b-P has been shown to provide other attractive properties, such as high mobility, anisotropic optical properties, and a highly tunable thickness-dependent direct-bandgap over the monolayer to six-layer range.¹⁹⁻²¹ Previous studies have demonstrated both photoconductive as well as photovoltaic b-P photodetectors, and have demonstrated that this material system shows high photoconductive gain and a strongly polarized photoresponse.²²⁻²⁴

Here, we perform a detailed characterization of gated-photoconductors based on b-P and b-PAs alloys as a function of thickness. The bandgaps of these materials were determined using absorption spectroscopy. Spectrally resolved photoresponse measurements of various compositions were performed for the first time, which are in agreement with absorption measurements. We find that while b-PAs alloys show larger bandgaps than what has been previously estimated from the extinction coefficient, this material system provides full tunability over the mid-IR band. Furthermore, we find these photoconductive detectors show a highly polarized photoresponse, with an extinction ratio greater than 100×. Finally, we measured the responsivity and specific defectivity of these gated photoconductors using flood illumination from a blackbody source and measured a peak D^* of $6 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm Hz}^{1/2} \text{ W}^{-1}$ and $2.4 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm Hz}^{1/2} \text{ W}^{-1}$ for pure b-P and b-PAs (91% As) respectively at room temperature.

RESULTS and DISCUSSION

Fig. 1a and Fig. 1b show an optical image and cross-sectional schematic of the gated-photoconductors fabricated in this study. Multilayer b-P and b-PAs crystals with different As concentrations were exfoliated on Si/SiO₂ substrates with a 50 nm thick oxide in a N₂ glovebox and were coated with PMMA prior to removal from the nitrogen ambient. For device fabrication exposure to air was minimized and critical process steps such as lift-off were performed inside a glovebox with anhydrous solvents. Measurements shown in this work were either carried out in a purged N₂ environment or under vacuum.

Material Characterization and Optical Properties.

Energy dispersive electron spectroscopy (EDS) was performed to verify the compositions of the materials investigated here [\(EDS spectra shown in Fig. S1\)](#). In addition, Raman spectroscopy was performed to verify the crystal structure and composition of the various b-PAs crystals. Raman spectra for b-P and b-PAs crystals are shown in Fig. 1c. For bP-As (91% As), we observe Raman modes at 235.7 cm^{-1} , 247.1 cm^{-1} , and 267.1 cm^{-1} , corresponding to the out-of-plane A_g^1 mode, in-plane B_{2g} mode, and out-of-plane A_g^2 mode of b-As respectively. Additionally, for b-PAs we observe additional peaks over the range of 300 cm^{-1} to 400 cm^{-1} , which have been attributed to varied P-P/As-P/P-As bonding for the alloyed materials. These spectra are consistent with the findings of Liu, *et. al*, who performed both Raman measurements as well as calculations on the expected vibrational modes of b-PAs.^{18,20}

Transmission and reflection measurements were performed for crystals transferred onto KBr substrates to determine the bandgap of b-PAs alloys. Polarization resolved transmission and reflection spectra for a b-PAs (91% As) crystal, taken using a Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (FTIR) microscope, are shown in Fig. 2a and 2b respectively. The optical path was kept constant for both measurements, and reflection spectra were taken in reference to an Au mirror. From these measurements, we can obtain the absorption coefficient, calculated as $100\% - \text{Reflection} - \text{Transmission}$ as shown in Fig. 2c. We observe strongly polarized light absorption along the perpendicular crystal direction, which is consistent with previous reports.¹⁷

The absorption as a function of polarization angle at a wavelength of 3.5 μm is shown in the inset of Fig. 2c. The bandgap as a function of composition is extracted from the absorption spectra and shown in Fig. 2d (absorption measurements on various compositions are shown in Fig. S1S2). The bandgap (E_g) of this material system can be tuned from 3.8 μm (326 meV) for pure b-P to 4.6 μm (269 meV) for b-PAs (91% As). It is worthwhile to note that previous measurements on the extinction coefficient of b-PAs alloys suggested a potentially wider range of bandgap tunability ($E_g = 150$ meV for b-PAs 83% As).¹⁸ This discrepancy can be attributed to utilizing the extinction coefficient to extract E_g , which does not account for reflected light at wavelengths below the bandgap, as can be seen in Fig. 2a and Fig. S2S3.

Dark Current Mechanism.

A critical roadblock for IR detectors is the significant dark current arising from small bandgaps, which places a limit on the noise of the device.²⁵ Ultimately for mid- and long-wave IR detectors, the performance limit is set by random variations in the background radiation viewed by the device.²⁵ A key advantage of utilizing an ultra-thin material as the active detector is that the active volume which contributes to thermal generation is reduced per unit area of the device. In addition, for ultra-thin devices the background doping concentration in the semiconductor can be electrostatically tuned with the gate. To understand the source of the noise current as a function of gate voltage for b-P, we performed temperature dependent measurements of the conductance. As shown in Fig. 3a, we observe a minimum in the dark current at small, positive gate voltages,

which indicates that the material is lightly p-doped. Additionally, we see that the Ni contact to these devices is ambipolar indicating that it can inject both electrons and holes. The minimum dark current, corresponding to the gate voltages where the background doping is compensated is plotted in Fig. 3b. The current from carrier generation-recombination (G-R), I_{G-R} , is proportional to:

$$I_{G-R} \propto T^{3/2} \exp(-E_{g,0}/2kT)$$

where T is the temperature, $E_{g,0}$ is the effective bandgap, and k is the Boltzmann constant.²⁶ By fitting the $I_{d,min}$ to the G-R current we extract an effective bandgap of 305 meV. This is in reasonable agreement with values extracted from absorption measurements (326 meV), and is expected to underestimate the bandgap due to contributions from trap states.

Gate-Voltage Dependence of Responsivity.

Figure 4a and 4b show transfer characteristics of a typical 31 nm thick b-P gated photoconductor taken in the dark and under illumination by a 1000 K blackbody with the detector held at 297 K and 77 K respectively. The corresponding responsivity, R is also shown, calculated as $I_l - I_d / P$, where I_l current measured with the detector illuminated by a 1000 K blackbody, I_d is the current measured with the device viewing a room temperature background, and P is total incident power above the band-edge. From both the transfer characteristics and the photoresponse curves it can readily be seen that the optimum photoresponse is obtained when the device is gated to compensate the background doping electrostatically. The spectral photoresponse of the b-P photoconductor was measured using the

experimental setup shown in Fig. S3–S4 and S4S5. Here, illumination from the same 1000 K blackbody is modulated using a FTIR spectrometer, and the measured spectra at 77 K and 297 K (at a gate voltage of 3.8V) is shown in Fig. 4c. The gate dependent photoresponse extracted from spectral response measurements is shown in Fig. 4d and is in good agreement with the measurements shown in Fig. 4a and 4b. We can observe a clear absorption edge for the b-P detector, corresponding to the material's bandgap. The cut-off wavelength, λ_{co} (defined as wavelength at which responsivity drops by 50%), for this device at room temperature is 3.91 μm and is in very good agreement with the E_g value fitted from reflection/transmission measurements.

Frequency Response, Photoconductive Gain, and Noise Current.

The frequency response as well as the rise and fall time were measured using a directly modulated laser diode ($\lambda = 980 \text{ nm}$) and are shown in Fig. 5a and 5b. We measure a rise and fall time of 12.4 μs and 8.6 μs , respectively. The 3 dB bandwidth is extracted from Fig. 5b to be 117 kHz. From this analysis, we can estimate the photocarrier lifetime, τ_0 , from $f_{3dB} = 1/2\pi\tau_0$ to be 1.4 μs . Additionally, we can calculate the carrier transit time, τ_{tr} , from the field-effect mobility (μ_{fe}) for this device ($596 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) which is estimated using $\mu_{FE} = (dI_d/dV_g)(L/W)/(V_d C_{ox})$, where L , W , and C_{ox} are the length, width and oxide capacitance of the device respectively. Subsequently, the τ_{tr} is calculated to be 8.2 ns using: $\tau_{tr} = L^2/(\mu_{FE} V_d)$. From

this we can estimate the photoconductive gain (G) to be 54 at a bias voltage of $V_d = 100$ mV.

The quantum efficiency of the device can be calculated utilizing the measured responsivity and the gain according to:

$$R(\lambda) = \frac{q\eta\lambda G}{hc} \quad (2)$$

where q is the electron charge, η is the quantum efficiency, h is Plank's constant, and c is the speed of light.²⁷ We obtain a quantum efficiency of 6.4% for unpolarized incident light. This value is found to be reasonable based on reflection measurements taken on the same device, which indicates that $\sim 8\%$ of incident light is absorbed by the device at $\lambda = 980$ nm and is thus able to contribute to the photocurrent.

The noise current density for a typical device operated at $I_{d,min}$ as a function of frequency (f) is shown at room temperature and 77 K in Fig. 5c. We can observe that the low frequency behavior ($f < 150$ Hz) is dominated by $1/f$ flicker noise both at room temperature and 77K.^{27,28} This behavior is often observed in photoconductive detectors, as well as in previous b-P transistors and photoconductors and is attributed to charge trapping/de-trapping. At moderate frequencies the total noise current is the sum of contributions from Shot, G-R, and Johnson noise. For this device under low intensity illumination, Shot noise is expected to dominate (as can be seen from temperature dependent measurements in Fig. 3b) and is given as:

$\langle i_S^2 \rangle = 2qI_{G-R}\Delta f$, where q and I_{G-R} are the electron charge and G-R current respectively.^{28,29}

Polarization Resolved and Temperature Dependent Photoresponse.

As shown previously in Fig. 2c, b-P shows a highly polarized absorption, and previous studies have shown that under visible illumination b-P photoconductors show a preferential response to light polarized along the x-direction (arm-chair direction).^{20,22,23} We measured the polarization dependence of a b-P gated-photoconductor by placing a linear BaF₂ wire-grid polarizer in the FTIR beam path directly in front of the b-P gated-photoconductor. As expected from the highly polarized absorption spectra of b-P, there is strong anisotropy in the photoresponse for polarization aligned to and against the crystal orientation of the b-P. We find that the polarization ratio between the two crystal orientations at mid-infrared wavelengths is over 100×. It is important to note that this value is limited by the dynamic range of the FTIR and is approaching the extinction ratio of the polarizer used in this study. The polarization resolved photoresponse measured for 3.5 μm incident light is shown in Fig. 6a and 6b. The spectral photoresponse, measured as a function of temperature for a b-P photoconductor is shown in Fig. 6c. Interestingly, we observe that the cut-off wavelength of the device extends to lower photon energies as the temperature is reduced. While this is the opposite from the behavior typically observed in most semiconductors, it is consistent with the calculated bandgap for b-P which has been predicted to show decreasing E_g with temperature due to its negative pressure coefficient and strong electron-photon coupling.^{30,31} The extracted bandgap values from the spectral photoresponse are shown in Fig. 6d.

Thickness Dependence and Specific Detectivity of b-PAs Photoconductors.

Finally, we investigated the specific detectivity of b-P and b-PAs photoconductors. The generic expression for specific detectivity is given (3)

$$D^* = \frac{\sqrt{A \Delta f}}{NEP} = \frac{R \sqrt{A \Delta f}}{i_n}$$

where A is the detector area, Δf is the integration time, NEP is noise equivalent power, and i_n is the noise current.^{27,32} In the ideal case for photoconductors, the i_n is limited by shot noise and can be calculated from dark resistance of the device. However, this generally provides a strong overestimation of D^* . For the purpose of this work we utilized the experimentally measured noise current for all D^* calculations, which are taken at a modulation frequency of 150 Hz to minimize the contribution of $1/f$ noise. The specific detectivity measured for b-P as a function of thickness under illumination by a 673 K blackbody using the setup shown in Fig (4) is shown in Fig. 7a. We found that the optimum thickness for maximizing D^* was 25-35 nm thick, which balanced the increased absorption for thicker layers and the reduced dark current and thermal-generation for thinner layers. The thickness dependence of D^* can be understood from equation 4, which assumes a constant device area, that responsivity is directly proportional to absorption in the material, and the photoconductive gain is thickness independent.

$$D^* \propto \frac{\text{signal}}{\text{noise}} \propto \frac{(1 - e^{-\alpha t})}{\langle i_s^2 \rangle + \langle i_j^2 \rangle + N_e}$$

Here, α is the absorption coefficient, t is the thickness, $\langle i_J^2 \rangle$ is the Johnson noise, and N_e is excess noise. In the ideal case $\langle i_S^2 \rangle$ and $\langle i_J^2 \rangle$ are proportional to the active semiconductor thickness; however, in practice the excess noise contribution dominates in the limit case when t approaches zero, leading to an optimal device thickness. Figure 7b shows the specific detectivity as a function of wavelength for b-P and b-PAs gated photoconductors, fabricated with the optimized thickness. We obtain a peak room temperature D^* of $6 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm Hz}^{1/2} \text{ W}^{-1}$ and $2.4 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm Hz}^{1/2} \text{ W}^{-1}$ for pure b-P and b-PAs (91% As) respectively from the measured noise current.

CONCLUSION

In summary, we have investigated the spectral performance of b-P and b-PAs gated-photoconductors as a function of thickness and composition. We find that the specific detectivity of these devices can be significantly improved by tuning the thickness. For optimized devices, with a thickness of $\sim 28 \text{ nm}$, we obtain peak D^* of $6 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm Hz}^{1/2} \text{ W}^{-1}$ for b-P and $2.4 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm Hz}^{1/2} \text{ W}^{-1}$ for b-PAs (91% As) at room temperature with a bandwidth of 117 kHz. This detectivity is an order of magnitude greater than commercial devices operating at room temperature.^{1-3,32} In addition these devices show very strong sensitivity to the polarization of incident light, with an extinction ratio $>100\times$ which is comparable to traditional polarization optics. However, we found from both transmission/reflection and spectrally resolved photoresponse measurements that the bandgap tunability of the b-PAs material system is smaller than what was initially estimated and is limited to

midwave-IR spectral band.¹⁸ As such, other techniques of reducing the bandgap in b-PAs or new 2D materials still need to be investigated to achieve longwave-IR photodetection.

METHODS

Device Fabrication

b-P and b-PAs crystals (purchased from Smart Elements and HQ Graphene, respectively) were utilized for all devices in this study. Significant effort was made during processing to minimize exposure to ambient air, due to the hydroscopic nature of b-P. Crystal were exfoliated and spin-coated with PMMA C4 in a nitrogen glovebox on p^{++} Si/SiO₂ chips with a 50 nm thick gate oxide. Following exfoliation and encapsulation with PMMA, suitable crystals were located by using an optical microscope and devices were fabricated using standard e-beam lithography techniques. After development, 40 nm thick Ni electrodes were deposited by thermal evaporation and lift-off was performed using anhydrous solvents in a nitrogen glovebox. The sample thickness was then measured in an N₂ purged AFM (Bruker) and devices were subsequently wire bonded in a 24 pin chip carrier. Samples for absorption/reflection measurements were transferred to KBr substrates using a previously established dry transfer technique and were measured in an FTIR microscope.

Detector Characterization

Temperature dependent electrical measurements on b-P were performed in a flow cryo probe station (Lakeshore) using a B1500A Semiconductor Parameter Analyzer (Agilent). For measurements taken in Fig. 2a, a V_{ds} which

was significantly lower than the bandgap of b-P ($V_{ds} = 10$ mV) was selected. This was done in order to insure low-field transport, for subsequent bandgap extraction. Spectral photoresponse measurements were performed in a FTIR spectrometer (ThermoFisher), with a customized Janis cryostat using a CaF_2 window. Prior to measurement, the wire bonded devices were loaded into the spectrometer and evacuated to a base pressure of $< 10^{-5}$ Torr. The cryostat was then placed at the auxiliary exit port of the FTIR, and the exciting illumination from the Glowbar (1000 K blackbody) source was focused on the sample using either a CaF_2 lens or 15× Schwarzschild objective. The photocurrent from the b-P/b-PAs devices was then sent to a current amplifier (Stanford Research Systems), which also provided the bias voltage, and the signal was subsequently returned to the external detector interface of the FTIR. All measurements taken with the FTIR were performed with the current amplifier operating with a gain setting such that the bandwidth was greater than 100 kHz. Bias voltage and gain were selected to maximize signal to noise ratio for the particular device under measurement, with the aforementioned condition. During measurements, the gate bias of the device was pulsed synchronously with the FTIR, as shown in Figure S3S4, in order to mitigate hysteresis in the device. The relative intensity of the illumination source was measured using the internal Deuterated Triglycine Sulfate (DTGS) detector of the FTIR with identical measurement conditions. Polarization measurements were performed by placing a BaF_2 wire grid polarizer in the beam path, the polarization response of the system was calibrated using a commercial Ge and HgCdTe detector in place of the

sample. The frequency response of the devices was measured using a directly modulated 980 nm laser. To measure the specific defectivity of the devices we utilized optically chopped flood illumination from a 673 K blackbody source with no focusing optics. The net power incident on the device above the band-edge was calculated by the integration of the spectral radiant exitance of the blackbody and sample (M_{total}) according to Plank's Law:

$$M_{total}(\lambda, T) = \bar{\epsilon} \int_0^{\lambda_{co}} \frac{2\pi c}{\lambda^4 (e^{hc/\lambda kT} - 1)} d\lambda \quad (5)$$

where, $\bar{\epsilon}$ is average the emissivity, T is temperature, λ is wavelength, λ_{co} is the cut-off wavelength of the detector under test, h is Plank's constant, k is Boltzmann's constant, and c is the speed of light. The projected solid angle viewed by the detector (Ω) is calculated as:

$$\Omega = \pi \frac{r^2}{D^2 + r^2} \quad (6)$$

where r is the radius of the defining aperture and D is the distance between the aperture and sample surface. The total incident power on the detector can then be calculated according to:

$$E = \frac{\Omega}{\pi} \times (M_{total, BB} - M_{total, Det}) \times M.F. \times A \quad (7)$$

where $M_{total, BB}$ is the integrated photon exitance of the blackbody, $M_{total, Det}$ is the integrated photon exitance of the detector, $M.F.$ is the chopper modulation factor, and A is the device area. The photocurrent and noise current in the device was then measured using a lock-in amplifier. For all

measurements, an integration time of 1s was used and the blackbody was chopped at a frequency of 150 Hz.

Figure Captions

Figure 1. (a) Optical microscope image of a typical b-P gated photoconductor with a thickness of 29 nm, the scale bar is 10 μm . (b) Cross-sectional schematic of the gated photoconductor. (c) Raman spectra measured for pure b-P as well as b-PAs alloys.

Figure 2. (a) Polarization resolved transmission spectra measured for a 66 nm thick b-PAs crystal on a KBr substrate, inset shows the optical configuration used during measurements. (b) Polarization resolved reflection spectra measured for a 66 nm thick b-PAs crystal on a KBr substrate, inset shows the optical configuration used during measurements. (c) Absorption spectra, calculated from 100-T-R, for the b-PAs crystal shown in (a) and (b) along both crystal directions. Inset shows the polarization resolved absorption at a wavelength of 3.5 μm . (d) Bandgap of b-P and b-PAs alloys as a function of P concentration.

Figure 3. (a) Transfer characteristics of an 18 nm thick b-P crystal measured as a function of temperature at a drain bias of 10 mV. Note that a cold shield was utilized for these measurements. (b) Arrhenius plot of minimum drain source current ($I_{d,\text{min}}$) as a function of temperature extracted from panel (a). Dashed line is a fit based on generation-recombination limited dark current.

Figure 4. (a) Transfer characteristics of a 29 nm thick b-P gated photoconductor measured under dark and illumination from a 1000 K blackbody with the device at 297 K. (b) Transfer characteristics of a 29 nm thick b-P gated photoconductor measured under dark and illumination from a

1000 K blackbody with the device at 77 K. Note that a cold shield was not present for dark measurements. (c) Broadband spectral photoresponse of the device shown in (a) and (b), measured at 297 K and 77 K. (b) Gate voltage dependence of the spectral photoresponse, measured at 297 K.

Figure 5. (a) Room temperature temporal photoresponse of a 35 nm thick b-P photoconductor, excited by a 980 nm laser modulated at 50 kHz. (b) Photocurrent versus modulation frequency measured at an incident power of 15 nW measured at room temperature, showing a 3 dB frequency of 117 kHz. (c) Noise spectrum measured for a 35 nm thick b-P photoconductor at room temperature and 77 K; dashed line shows a reference $1/f$ curve.

Figure 6. (a) Relative response of a b-P photoconductor measured for incident light polarized along (x-direction, arm-chair) and perpendicular to (y-direction, zig-zag) the absorbing axis of the b-P crystal. (b) Responsivity of the device shown in panel (a) as a function of polarizer angle. (c) Normalized photoresponse of a b-P photodetector measured as a function of temperature. (d) Extracted bandgap from the spectral photoresponse as a function of device temperature.

Figure 7. (a) Specific detectivity of b-P photoconductors as a function of layer thickness; measurements were performed under gating conditions which maximized the detectivity for the specific device at room temperature. (b) Specific detectivity of b-P and b-PAs photoconductors with optimized thickness; measurements were performed under gating conditions which maximized detectivity for the specific device at room temperature.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website.

Additional absorption measurements on b-P and b-PAs. Schematic of the optical setups used for characterization of photodetectors and gating scheme used to minimize hysteresis.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: ajavey@berkeley.edu

ORCID

Matin Amani: 0000-0002-7912-6559

Ali Javey: 0000-0001-7214-7931

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to acknowledge P. Wijewarnasuriya and E. DeCuir from the U.S. Army Research Laboratory for their discussions. This work was supported by the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency under contract no. HR0011-16-1-0004.

References

1. Razeghi, M.; Nguyen, B.-H. Advances in ~~mid~~Mid-infrared ~~Infrared~~ detecton Detection and ~~imaging~~Imaging: a ~~A~~ key Key ~~issues~~ Issues ~~review~~Review. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **2014**, 77, 082401.
2. Barve, A. V.; Lee, S. J.; Noh, S. K.; Krishna, S. Review of ~~current~~Current ~~progress~~Progress in ~~quantum~~Quantum ~~dot~~Dot ~~infrared~~Infrared ~~photodetectors~~Photodetectors. *Laser Photon. Rev.* **2009**, 4-~~[6]~~, 738-750.
3. Piotrowski, J.; Rogalski, A. Uncooled ~~long~~Long ~~wavelength~~Wavelength ~~infrared~~Infrared ~~photon~~Photon ~~detectors~~Detectors. *Infar. Phys. Technol.* **2004**, 46, 115-131.
4. Kimukin, I.; Biyikli, N.; Ozbay, E. InSb ~~high~~High-speed ~~Speed~~ ~~photodetectors~~Photodetectors ~~grown~~Grown on GaAs ~~s~~Substrates. *Apl. Phys. Lett.* **2003**, 94-~~(8)~~, 5414-5416.
5. Norton, P. HgCdTe ~~infrared~~Infrared ~~detectors~~Detectors. *Opto-Electron. Rev.* **2002**, 10-~~(3)~~, 159-174.
6. Hoang, A. M.; Dehzangi, A.; Adhikary, S.; Razeghi, M. High ~~performance~~Performance ~~bias~~Bias-selectable ~~Selectable~~ ~~three~~Three-color ~~Color~~ ~~short~~Short-waveWave/midMid-waveWave/longLong-waveWave in ~~infrared~~Infrared ~~photodetecotrs~~Photodetectors ~~b~~Based on ~~type~~Type-II InAs/GaSb/AlSb ~~superlattices~~Superlattices. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, 6, 24144.
7. Niklaus, F.; Vieider, C.; Jakobsen, H. MEMS-~~based~~Based ~~uncooled~~Uncooled ~~infrared~~Infrared ~~bolometer~~Bolometer ~~arrays~~Arrays - A ~~review~~Review. **2007**, 6836, 68360D-1.
8. Rogaloski, A. HgCdTe ~~infrared~~Infrared ~~detector~~Detector ~~material~~Material:

- ~~history~~History, ~~status~~Status and ~~outlook~~Outlook. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **2005**, 68, 2267-2336.
9. Xia, F.; Wang, H.; Xiao, D.; Dubey, M.; Ramasubramaniam, A. ~~Two-dimensional~~Dimensional materialMaterial nanophononicsNanophononics. **2014**, 8, 899-907.
 10. Lin, Z.; McCreary, A.; Briggs, N.; Subramanian, S.; Zhang, K.; Sun, Y.; Li, X.; Borys, N. J.; Yuan, H.; Fullerton-Shirey, S. K.; ~~Chernikov, A.; Zhao, H.; McDonnell, S.; Lindenberg, A. M.; Xiao, K.; LeRoy, B. J.; Drndic, M.; Hwang, J. C. M.; Park, J.; Chhowalla, M.; et al.~~ 2D Materials Advances: From Large Scale Synthesis and Controlled Heterostructures to Improved Characterization Techniques, Defects and Applications. *2D Mater.* **2016**, 3, 042001.
 11. Amani, M.; Lien, D.-H.; Kiriya, D.; Xiao, J.; Azcatl, A.; Noh, J.; Madhvapathy, S. R.; Addou, R.; Kc, S.; Dubey, M.; Cho, K.; Wallace, R. M.; Lee, S.-C.; He, J.-H.; Ager, J. W.; Zhang, X.; Yablonovitch, E.; Javey, A. Near-Unity Photoluminescence Quantum Yield in MoS₂. *Science* **2015**, 350, 1065-1068.
 12. Amani, M.; Taheri, P.; Addou, R.; Ahn, G. H.; Kiriya, D.; Lien, D.-H.; Ager, J. W.; Wallace, R. M.; Javey, A. Recombination Kinetics and Effects of Superacid Treatment in Sulfur- and Selenium Based Transition Metal Dichalcogenides. *Nano Lett.* **2016**, 16, 2786-2791.
 13. Koppens, F. H. L.; Mueller, T.; Avouris, P. H.; Ferrari, C.; Vitiello, M. S.; Polini, M. Photodetectors ~~based~~Based on ~~graphene~~Graphene, ~~other~~Other ~~two~~Two-dimensionalDimensional materialsMaterials, and ~~hybrid~~Hybrid

~~systems~~Systems. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2014**, 9, 780-793.

14. Zhang, Y.; Liu, T.; Meng, B.; Li, X.; Liang, G.; Hu, X.; Wang, Q. J. Broadband ~~high~~High ~~photoresponse~~Photoresponse from ~~pure~~Pure ~~monolayer~~Monolayer graphene~~Graphene photodetector~~Photodetector. *Nat. Comm.* **2013**, 4, 1811.
15. Liu, C.-H.; Chang, Y.-C.; Norris, T. B.; Zhong, Z. Graphene ~~photodetectors~~Photodetectors with ~~ultra~~Ultra~~broadband~~Broadband and ~~high~~High ~~responsivity~~Responsivity at ~~room~~Room ~~temperature~~Temperature. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2014**, 9, 273-278.
16. Yan, J.; Kim, M.-H.; Elle, J. A.; Sushkov, A. B.; Jenkins, G. S.; Milchberg, H. M.; Fuhrer, M. S.; Drew, H. D. Dual-~~gated~~Gated bilayer~~Bilayer graphene~~Graphene ~~hot~~Hot-electron~~Electron~~ ~~bolometer~~Bolometer. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2012**, 7, 472-478.
17. Ling, X.; Wang, H.; Huang, S.; Xia, F.; Dresselhaus, M. S. The ~~renaissance~~Renaissance of ~~black~~Black phosphorus~~Phosphorus~~. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **2015**, 112 ~~(15)~~, 4523-4530.
18. Liu, B.; Kopf, M.; Abbas, A. N.; Wang, X.; Guo, Q.; Jia, Y.; Xia, F.; Weihrich, R.; Bachhuber, F.; Pielnhofer, F.; Wang, H.; Dhal, R.; Cronin, S. B.; Ge, M.; Fang, X.; Nilges, T.; Zhou, C. Black ~~arsenic~~Arsenic-phosphorus~~Phosphorus~~: ~~layered~~Layered anisotropic~~Anisotropic~~ ~~infrared~~Infrared ~~semiconductors~~Semiconductors with ~~highly~~Highly ~~tunable~~Tunable ~~compositions~~Compositions and ~~properties~~Properties. *Adv. Mat.* **2015**, 27 ~~(30)~~, 4423-4429.
19. Qiao, J.; Kong, X.; Hu, Z.-X.; Yang, F.; Ji, W. High-~~mobility~~Mobility

- ~~transport~~–Transport aAnisotropy and Linear ~~d~~Dichroism in ~~f~~Few-~~l~~Layer ~~b~~Black ~~p~~Phosphorous. *Nat. Comms.* **2014**, 5, 4475.
20. Wang, X.; Jones, A. M.; Seyler, K. L.; Tran, V.; Jia, Y.; Zhao, H.; Wang, H.; Yang, L.; Xu, X.; Xia, F. Highly aAnisotropic and ~~r~~Robust eExcitons in mMonolayer ~~b~~Black ~~p~~Phosphorus. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2015**, 10, 517-521.
 21. Tran, V.; Soklaski, R.; Liang, Y.; Yang, L. Layer-~~e~~Controlled ~~b~~Band gGap and aAnisotropic ~~f~~Few-~~l~~Layer ~~b~~Black ~~p~~Phosphorous. *Phys. Rev. B.* **2014**, 89, 235319.
 22. Guo, Q.; Pospischil, A.; Bhuiyan, M. Jiang, H.; Tian, H.; Farmer, D.; Deng, B.; Li, C.; Han, S.-J.; Wang, H.; Xia, Q.; Ma, T.-P. Mueller, T.; Xia, F. Black ~~p~~Phosphorus mMid-~~i~~Infrared ~~p~~Photodetectors with hHigh gGain. *Nano Lett.* **2016**, 16, 4648-4655.
 23. Yuan, H.; Liu, X.; Afshinmanesh, A.; Li, W.; Xu, G.; Sun, J.; Lian, B.; Curto, A. G.; Ye, G.; Hikita, Y.; Shen, Z.; Zhang, S.-C.; Chen, X.; Brongersma M.; Hwang, H. Y.; Cui, Y. Polarization-sSensitive ~~b~~Broadband ~~p~~Photodetector using a ~~b~~Black ~~p~~Phosphorus ~~v~~Vertical p-n junction. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2015**, 10, 707-713.
 24. Miao, J.; Zhang, S.; Cai, L.; Wang, C. Black ~~p~~Phosphorus Schottky ~~d~~Diodes: eChannel lLength sScaling and aApplication as ~~p~~Photodetectors. *Adv. Electron. Mat.* **2016**, 2-~~(4)~~, 1500346.
 25. Piotrowski, J.; Gawron, W. Ultimate ~~p~~Performance of iInfrared ~~p~~Photodetectors and fFigure of mMerit of ~~d~~Detector mMaterial. *Infar. Phys. Technol.* **1997**, 38, 63-68.
 26. Martyniuk, P.; Antoszewski, J.; Martyniuk, M.; Faraone, L.; Rogalski, A.

- New **ε**Concepts in **ι**Infrared **ρ**Photodetector **δ**Designs. *Appl. Phys. Rev.* **2014**, 1, 041102.
27. Petritz, R. L. Theory of **ρ**Photoconductivity in **s**Semiconductor **f**Film. *Phys. Rev.* **1956**, 104-(6), 1508-1516.
28. Beck, W. A. Photoconductive **g**Gain and **g**Generation-**r**Recombination **n**Noise in **m**Multiple-**q**Quantum-**w**Well **ι**Infrared **δ**Detectors. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **1993**, 63-(26), 3589-3591.
29. Ye, Z.; Campbell, J. C.; Chen, Z.; Kim, E.-T., Madhukar, A. Noise and **ρ**Photoconductive **g**Gain in InAs **q**Quantum-**δ**Dot **ι**Infrared **ρ**Photodetectors. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2003**, 83 (6), 1234-1236.
30. Villegas, C. E. P.; Rocha, A. R.; Marini, A. Anomalous **τ**Temperature **δ**Dependence of the **b**Band **g**Gap in **b**Black **ρ**Phosphorus. *Nano Lett.* **2016**, 16, 5095-5101.
31. Villegas, C. E. P.; Rodin, A. S.; Carvalho, A.; Rocha, A. R. Two-**δ**Dimensional **e**Exciton **ρ**Properties in **m**Monolayer **s**Semiconducting **ρ**Phosphorus **a**Allotropes. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, 18, 27829.
32. Vincent, J. D. Fundamentals of Infrared Detector Operation and Testing. Wiley, New York (1990).