

UCLA

UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

Right Brain: The Purple Scarf and the Cortical Ribbon.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9135r47k>

Journal

Neurology, 100(11)

ISSN

0028-3878

Authors

Fu, Katherine
Acosta, Lealani Mae

Publication Date

2023-03-01

DOI

10.1212/wnl.0000000000201698

Peer reviewed

Right Brain: The Purple Scarf and the Cortical Ribbon

Katherine Fu, MD, and Lealani Mae Acosta, MD, MPH

Neurology[®] 2023;100:537-539. doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000201698

Correspondence

Dr. Fu
kfu@mednet.ucla.edu

Abstract

A patient with probable Creutzfeldt Jakob disease (CJD) who was previously a high-functioning financial analyst remains fiercely independent despite her family's concerns about her safety. As a trainee with limited experience in leading these difficult conversations, this neurology resident describes her experience reconciling the viewpoints of herself, the patient, and her family. She reflects on the ability to reframe this delicate conversation from one of loss of independence in a patient with a rapidly progressive, neurodegenerative condition to one of safety, security, and the care of her family.

From the Department of Neurology (K.F.), University of California, Los Angeles; and Department of Neurology (L.M.A.), Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN. Go to [Neurology.org/N](https://www.neurology.org/N) for full disclosures. Funding information and disclosures deemed relevant by the authors, if any, are provided at the end of the article.

She had a purple hat with a short brim, made of felt-like material. It sat quietly on her bed, along with the deep purple scarf that accompanied it. As the inpatient general neurology team, we were meeting our patient in her early 50s for the first time on rounds. Our ersatz livery of polyester white coats, blue nylon jackets, and thin sea green scrubs surrounded her blue hospital gown peppered with small flowers. The natural sunlight from the window mixed with the fluorescent lighting of the hospital room, illuminating her 2 sisters sitting on emerald vinyl seats against the window. As the junior resident, I stood between the bed and her sisters. Although this was a direct admission from the neurobehavior clinic, we still asked the patient and her family to retell key pieces of her story.

She worked as a financial analyst in a small town. She was always the planner and organizer, the one her family relied on to take the initiative to coordinate family gatherings or her parents' medical appointments. Her sisters noticed that over the previous 6 months, she seemed slower—her responses, walking, and work. She made unusual mistakes: mistaking the refrigerator for the freezer or not recognizing her keys, thinking they were the TV remote control. She rarely asked her sisters or parents, both of whom lived nearby in their small town, for favors or for help. However, she had stopped jotting down notes on important tasks, such as reminders to buy certain foods before a family event or notes to herself when planning the work calendar for her month.

She appeared at her parents' house one evening, saying her car windows no longer worked. When they asked her to show them, she tried to slide the window down from the outside with just her finger, as if it was the touch screen of her phone. Shortly afterward, her family took her to a neurologist. The MRI of the brain was concerning enough that he referred her to a cognitive specialist for a second opinion. This specialist immediately admitted her to the hospital for an expedited workup to obtain all the tests in a few days that may otherwise take weeks to coordinate as an outpatient.

Our inpatient neurology team reviewed the outside MRI and compared it with the one from this hospitalization. In both sets of images, the edges of her brain on the diffusion-weighted sequence lit up like the silver lining of the sun peeking through beyond the clouds: "cortical ribboning." It is ironic that a phrase that otherwise invokes a beautiful image of colorful ribbons and joyful celebration is associated with a pattern on brain imaging usually accompanied by a grim diagnosis: profound hypoxia, epileptic seizures, and metabolic abnormalities such as hyperammonemia or hypoglycemia.^{1,2} But creeping in the corners of our minds was the most feared possibility in her case: Creutzfeldt Jakob disease (CJD).

No one on our team wants to believe this is what she has, and yet it is hard to convince ourselves the brain scans show anything else. Regardless, we do our due diligence: a thorough neurologic examination, blood and urine tests, an EEG to check for seizures or other abnormalities concerning for CJD, and a lumbar puncture to collect the CSF.

We finish our testing and tell her that soon she can return home. Her 2 sisters had slept in the room during her stay. Early one morning, as our team files out of the room after rounds, one of the sisters slips me a note: "She will want to go back to work. She will want to drive. She is fiercely independent."

As the junior neurology resident, I promise to return in the afternoon to discuss her expectations for life after the hospital and upon her return home. I feel the weight of the impending conversation and briefly doubt myself, reluctant to dive the depths of these sensitive topics with this patient and her family as I remain hyperaware of the magnitude of our discussion. Nevertheless, I remind myself that although I sign my notes "PGY-2 neurology resident," I am her doctor.

As I walk toward her room that afternoon, I remember my other firsts. My first goals of care conversation was as an intern about code status with an elderly patient with gastric cancer. My first death pronouncement was in the ICU right after I watched the patient's blood pressure dip and her heart monitor flatten. The first time I explained to an overwhelmed mother why we would not recommend a feeding tube in her young son with an aggressive brain tumor. This will be my first time discussing with a patient the heavy implications of her disease on her independence and the rest of her life.

Her sisters remain seated against the window, while the patient sits along the edge of her bed, facing them. We discuss the events of the past several months and her understanding of her hospitalization. We reach solid ground, a shared understanding of her likely diagnosis and hospital course. Then, we brace ourselves to begin discussing the beyond. She says she would like to go back to work, to go back to living by herself, and to go back to driving. We chat about concerns that I heard: her poor work performance, her sisters' recent recollection of her minor driving accident, and worries about safety at home, particularly around stoves and knives. Slowly, we wade across this river of concerns and reach the other side. The side where I take a deep breath and say, "I would recommend that you take a break from work," "I would recommend that you not drive," and "I think we would all feel better if you could stay with your parents or family for the time being."

With each utterance, I sense her deflating, slowly. Each sentence was like another tap of a chisel, introducing in both her heart and my own heart a fine crack, again and again, not enough to shatter, but enough to weaken and wound. Because, as the disease strips her of her memories and her abilities, I feel I am the one stripping her independence, one life activity at a time. I know it is not me. I am not the jailor who says she is imprisoned. Instead, I am the air traffic controller who sees the trajectory of the plane and guides its way to avoid harm, just as I see the markers of her decline and hope to guide her out of harm's way.

I wonder if my reframing our conversation to prioritizing her safety and well-being and that of her family will suffice. I am, after all, persuading her to surrender several activities that defined her predisease independence. Nevertheless, by emphasizing her family's reassurance with these changes, we could, perhaps, create shared agreement. It is a delicate act, balancing both her and her family's different goals. Years later, I strive to recenter conversations of loss to ones that focus on other meaningful elements of life and encourage a transition toward gradually accepting change.

I ask her how she feels. She thinks deeply. Her sisters sit silently with their legs crossed. Their gazes fix intently on their sister. She looks down at her fingers, fidgeting with the edge of the hospital blanket. She looks up and to the right at her purple felt hat and purple scarf sitting on the square wooden side table next to the hospital bed, searching for familiarity. Although her memory is poor, and she cannot recall all details of the hospitalization, she senses the conversation's gravity and its implications. She nods. "I knew it was serious when they told me to come to the hospital, directly from the clinic." She agrees to take a break from work, to live with her parents, and to stop driving.

The final morning before discharge, I walk into the room. She sits at the edge of her bed, her wool, cream-colored sweater contrasts with her flowing black skirt similar to diffusion restriction on a DWI sequence. On her head was her purple hat, and the purple scarf was wound about her neck. Her notebook is open, and it is obvious that she has traced, over and over again, the outlines of her multiple attempts to copy the intersecting pentagons I had asked her to copy when she was first admitted. The lopsided figures do not quite intersect as they should. The corner of one of the pages has been torn out. As our team files out of the room after rounds, she hands me the folded torn edge of the paper, upon which she has scrawled in looping cursive, "Thank you, Doctor."

Epilogue

This conversation was a brief window into this patient's journey. She was seen one additional time in neurobehavior

clinic, where they discussed the diagnosis of CJD based on positive test results (CSF 14-3-3/RT-QuiC), her poor prognosis, and the limits of medical therapies, while offering available resources. She died 10 months after this hospitalization.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank the patient's family for their review of and consent to share this piece.

Study Funding

The authors report no targeted funding.

Disclosure

K.A. Fu serves as Deputy Editor of the Neurology Resident & Fellow section; L.M. Acosta is the editor for the Humanities section of Neurology. Go to Neurology.org/N for full disclosures.

Publication History

Received by *Neurology* June 21, 2022. Accepted in final form November 1, 2022. Submitted and externally peer reviewed. The handling editor was Resident and Fellow Section Editor Whitley Aamodt, MD, MPH.

Appendix Authors

Name	Location	Contribution
Katherine Fu, MD	Department of Neurology, University of California, Los Angeles, CA	Drafting/revision of the article for content, including medical writing for content
Lealani Mae Acosta, MD, MPH	Department of Neurology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN	Drafting/revision of the article for content, including medical writing for content

References

1. Rosenbloom MH, Tartaglia MC, Forner SA, et al. Metabolic disorders with clinical and radiologic features of sporadic Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease. *Neurol Clin Pract*. 2015; 5(2):108. doi: 10.1212/CPJ.0000000000000114
2. Sheerin F, Pretorius PM, Briley D, Meagher T. Differential diagnosis of restricted diffusion confined to the cerebral cortex. *Clin Radiol*. 2008;63(11):1245-1253. doi: 10.1016/J.CRAD.2007.12.018