Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

ANALYSIS OF GAS-LIQUID CHROMATOGRAMS BY A PUNCHED-CARD TECHNIQUE

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/917295h7>

Authors

Tandy, Robert K.
Lindgren, Frank T.
Martin, Walter H.
et al.

Publication Date

1960-11-09

UNIVERSITY OF
CALIFORNIA

Ernest O. Lawrence

*Radiation
Laboratory*

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

*This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.
For a personal retention copy, call
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545*

BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
Berkeley, California
Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

ANALYSIS OF GAS-LIQUID CHROMATOGRAMS
BY A PUNCHED-CARD TECHNIQUE

Robert K. Tandy, Frank T. Lindgren,
Walter H. Martin, and Robert D. Wills

November 9, 1960

ANALYSIS OF GAS-LIQUID CHROMATOGRAMS
BY A PUNCHED-CARD TECHNIQUE

Robert K. Tandy, Frank T. Lindgren,
Walter H. Martin, and Robert D. Wills

Donner Laboratory of Medical Physics,
Division of Medical Physics, Department of Physics
and

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
University of California, Berkeley, California

November 9, 1960

ABSTRACT

A method is described whereby gas-liquid chromatograms may be analyzed using a punched-card technique. Although the application presented involves analysis of fatty acid methyl esters in which a beta particle ionization detector has been used, this method, with minor revisions, has potential applications to all gas-liquid chromatographic work. The advantages of this technique are (a) elimination of nearly all manual arithmetic calculations, (b) accuracy equivalent to or greater than that of existing manual techniques, and (c) ease of data manipulation and storage.

ANALYSIS OF GAS-LIQUID CHROMATOGRAMS
BY A PUNCHED-CARD TECHNIQUE*

Robert K. Tandy, Frank T. Lindgren,
Walter H. Martin, and Robert D. Wills

Donner Laboratory of Medical Physics,
Division of Medical Physics, Department of Physics

and
Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
University of California, Berkeley, California

November 9, 1960

INTRODUCTION

During the last decade one of the most promising and exciting developments for the analysis of volatile organic compounds of biologic interest has been the technique of gas-liquid chromatography.¹ An important application of this technique is in the study of long-chain fatty acids and their relationship to both normal and abnormal lipid metabolism.

However, one of the technical difficulties encountered in this and other applications of gas-liquid chromatography (GLC) has been the complicated nature of the data. In the fatty-acid studies, for example, there are the order of a hundred known biologically occurring fatty acids. In a typical GLC analysis, approximately 30 or more of these fatty acids are usually resolved on each chromatogram. Tabulation and comparison of such extensive data is a very tedious manual task. Usually quantitation of the amount of each fatty-acid component has been made by measuring the area under each chromatographic peak. This has been done by integration, planimetry, and triangulation.² If carried out manually, these methods are laborious and unfortunately subject to frequent human error.

To avoid the above-mentioned technical difficulties, we have developed a technique for analysis of gas-liquid chromatograms, using punched cards together with an appropriate computer (IBM 650). In essence, each chromatogram consisting of a complicated sequence of peaks is reduced to a small "deck" of IBM punched cards (one for each chromatographic component). The basic data placed on these "input" IBM punched cards consists of the elution time and peak height of each chromatographic component. From this data, a measure of the area under each peak on the chromatogram is calculated by multiplying the product of the elution time and peak height by a "first-order" correction function based upon the peak height. Thus, for a given chromatogram, the mass of each component is calculated together with the total mass of all chromatographic components present. For convenience, the weight percent of each component as well as its retention time (relative to methyl stearate) is also calculated. An additional calculation correcting each chromatographic component on the basis of its relative retention time completes the program.

* This work was supported in part by Research Grant H-1882 (C5) from the National Heart Institute, Public Health Service, Bethesda, Md., and by the United States Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D. C.

Fig. 1. Relationship between triangulated peak width and retention time. The data are from a duplicate analysis of the chromatographic run shown in Fig. 6.

PROCEDURE AND EXPERIMENT

For a given component on a gas-liquid chromatogram, the peak width (at the baseline as calculated by triangulation) is roughly proportional to the elution time. Figure 1 shows this relationship for chromatographic run No. 1406. In addition, for a given component, the peak height is approximately 85% of the triangulated height. Thus, for any component, a measure of the triangulated area (which is approximately 95% of the absolute peak area) can be obtained from the product of the peak height and the elution time. This value is, of course, only a first approximation to component mass, because detection of a given component using our beta-particle ionization detector,³ peak area (or peak height) is not actually linear with component mass. For a standard calibration mixture, Fig. 2 shows the first-order correction factors based only on peak height that are necessary to correct each component. Data from several calibration runs of from 10 to 100 μg total sample mass have been plotted to provide, for each principal chromatographic component, ranges of peak height that are normally encountered in routine GLC analysis.

If desired an additional second-order correction can be made. This correction function is illustrated in Fig. 3. Here the first-order determination of the mass of each component is corrected on the basis of its retention time relative to methyl stearate. Thus, this second function corrects primarily for different detector responses to each chromatographic component. The actual manual work necessary to read a chromatogram such as run 1406 (shown in Fig. 4) consists of placing an appropriate base line on each chromatogram, noting the recorder input amplification factor used and measuring the retention time and peak height for each component. The base line, obtained, from a solvent injection of the same volume, recorded at the same amplification is conveniently traced on the chromatogram over a translucent fluorescent light box. This input data is transcribed to standard 80-column 7-3/8-in. by 3-1/4-in. IBM cards using a Model-026 card-punch machine and a Model-056 verifier. Figure 5 illustrates the usage of each column for both input and output data in the "reading" procedure of run No. 1406. For each component, the input data is punched in columns 1 through 19 of a single IBM card. Columns 1 through 5 contains the chromatographic number, 6 through 10 the elution time of the component, 11 through 14 the peak height, and 15 through 19 the amplification factor with reference to a 1-v signal giving a 10-in. (full scale) recorder deflection. Before the computer operation, each input deck of IBM cards is arranged numerically by set (run) number and each card within a deck is arranged in sequence by retention time. In this order, the cards are ready for the program. Although we use an IBM-650 electronic computer, any standard digital computer may be used for this operation with slight revisions in the actual program details.

The programmed computations proceed in the following manner for each set. First, the peak height is divided by the amplification factor. Then this normalized peak height is multiplied by the first correction function (see Fig. 2). This product is stored, and as each card is processed, this first-order component mass is summed. Also, the retention time of each component is divided by the stearate retention time, which is identified by

MU-21851

Fig. 2. First correction function involving peak height. This function is a "stepped-interval" approximation using calibration data of the five principal fatty-acid methyl-ester components. The sample masses for each component cover the range usually encountered in GLC of the blood lipids and are the order of 5 to 50 μ g. This "first-order" function primarily corrects for the nonlinearity of all calibration curves, irrespective of differences in detector response for different fatty-acid methyl-ester components.

Fig. 3. Second correction function involving relative elution time. This function is obtained from calibration data of methyl-ester components of from 10:0 to 20:4. Each point shown is the mean value of three correction factors determined over the usual mass range (1 to 50 μ g) encountered for each of these components. The first correction interval starts at zero time, and the last one (which includes 20:4) ends at 99.9 (stearate time units). Additional calibration data, particularly of components of the C-22 series, will allow further refinement in this correction function.

Fig. 4. Duplicate analysis of chromatographic run No. 1406. The methyl-ester sample is a total serum-phospholipid fraction from a 21-yr-old normal female. Details of the GLC technique and apparatus used for all gas-chromatographic work presented here are described in detail in reference 3. The liquid phase is polydiethylene glycol succinate. The column temperature is 195°C, the flow rate of the argon carrier gas is 80 ml/min, and the detector temperature approximately 195°C. For this run, two recorders are used--one operating continuously at IOX, the other at IX until the 20:4 component has been resolved, and thereafter at 33.3X. The chart speed is 15 in./hr, and retention time for methyl stearate is 12.07 min.

MUB - 368

Fig. 4

Fig. 5. Input and output deck for set No. 1406. Each IBM card is arranged in sequence by component time. From left to right on columns 1 through 19 of the input-deck data entries (left) are set number, component time (min.), peak height (in.) and amplification factor relative to 1-v signal giving a 10-in. (full-scale) recorder deflection. For each input card there is a corresponding output card which in addition to the input data lists first-order corrected mass, retention time (relative to methyl stearate), first-order mass percent, second-order mass percent, and second-order corrected mass. A final output card contains the set number as well as the sums of both the first-and second-order masses.

MUB-511

Fig. 5

SET #	T	PH	AMPL	M1	REL T	M1 %	M2 %	M2
1406	2.11	.07	10.00	.02	.175	.01	.01	.02
1406	3.07	1.38	10.00	.47	.254	.33	.36	.51
1406	3.64	2.14	10.00	.87	.302	.62	.64	.90
1406	4.25	.26	10.00	.12	.352	.09	.09	.12
1406	4.89	.71	10.00	.39	.405	.28	.29	.40
1406	5.73	.67	10.00	.43	.475	.31	.31	.44
1406	6.57	6.45	1.00	36.53	.544	26.03	26.60	37.33
1406	7.61	1.33	10.00	1.13	.630	.81	.82	1.15
1406	8.88	.83	10.00	.83	.736	.59	.59	.83
1406	10.03	.24	10.00	.27	.831	.19	.19	.27
1406	12.07-	1.64	1.00	19.87	1.000	14.16	13.93	19.55
1406	13.50	1.04	1.00	14.60	1.118	10.40	9.88	13.86
1406	16.28	2.49	1.00	39.24	1.349	27.96	27.10	38.02
1406	18.68	.09	10.00	.19	1.548	.14	.13	.18
1406	21.30	1.38	50.00	.67	1.765	.48	.54	.76
1406	24.93	.40	50.00	.22	2.065	.16	.18	.25
1406	29.35	.66	50.00	.43	2.432	.31	.33	.47
1406	33.33	4.40	50.00	3.29	2.761	2.34	2.54	3.57
1406	37.09	2.84	10.00	11.80	3.073	8.41	8.78	12.32
1406	41.81	.75	50.00	.70	3.464	.50	.52	.73
1406	47.40	1.08	50.00	1.17	3.927	.83	.87	1.22
1406	51.01	.31	50.00	.34	4.226	.24	.25	.35
1406	57.27	.33	50.00	.45	4.745	.32	.33	.47
1406	66.94	.31	50.00	.45	5.546	.32	.33	.47
1406	74.88	.18	50.00	.34	6.204	.24	.25	.35
1406	78.66	.26	50.00	.44	6.517	.31	.33	.46
1406	84.55	1.06	50.00	1.99	7.005	1.42	1.48	2.08
1406	95.40	1.43	50.00	3.10	7.904	2.21	2.31	3.24
1406				140.35				140.32

MU-22033

Fig. 6. IBM printout sheet for gas-chromatographic set No. 1406. For this type of data printout, each output deck (obtained from the computer) is processed through a model-407 printer.

an "X" punched card. The first-order calculation is completed by dividing each component mass by the total mass of each set, giving a first-order mass-percent value for each component. The accuracy of this first-order determination of the masses of each principal chromatographic component and its mass percent of the total mass resolved is approximately 5 to 10%. This accuracy is limited primarily because of differences in response to different fatty acids by our beta-particle ionization detector.

For some applications a second-order correction may be desired or needed. The program for the second-order correction multiplies each first-order component mass by a correction factor based upon relative elution time. Thus, this function (see Fig. 3) essentially corrects for differences in detector response to different fatty-acid methyl esters. This is accomplished by a table "look-up" program similar to the one used to determine the appropriate factors for a given peak height (used in the first-order correction). Finally, after the mass of each component has been corrected and the total mass for each set has been summed, the second-order mass-percent value for each component is calculated. For set 1406, Fig. 6 shows both the first-and second-order corrected output data. The total computer time necessary to process in this manner a single chromatographic run consisting of 28 IBM cards (such as set 1406) is approximately 30 sec.

Table I shows the results of both these first-and second order corrections for a standard calibration mixture over the sample mass range of 0.9 to 232 μ g. Although quantitation of trace components as well as inadequately separated components still present difficulties, all principal GLC components are quantitated to within approximately 5%. To attempt further corrections would probably not yield additional accuracy since resistors used for different amplifications, recorder response, and over-all calibration are not easily controlled much beyond this level of accuracy. Also, the slide-wire width of the recorder imposes an ultimate limitation on accuracy. However, the relative accuracy of comparing the composition of two methyl-ester samples is considerably greater--approximately 2% for the principal components.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The principal advantage of this punched-card technique is that it takes most of the manual drudgery out of chromatographic data processing. However, base-line placement and limited manual work is still required. Although, further "automation" in the "reading" of gas-liquid chromatograms is possible, certain considerations at this time do not favor such extension of this technique. For instance, a principal difficulty in determining the necessary input data is that of placing an appropriate base line on each chromatogram. This is particularly true of the early base line contour if solvent injection is employed. Judgement and experience are necessary to do this, because uncontrollable drifts in the base line frequently occur. Reasons for these drifts include amplifier instability, intermittent gas leaks, alterations in gas flow rates and liquid-phase bleed, as well as temperature fluctuations of apparatus components. Most of these factors operate independently of each other, however, factors such as temperature and liquid-phase bleed are closely related.

Table I. Comparison of first-and second-order mass-percent determinations of a standard methyl-ester test mixture over the range of 0.9 to 232 μg (total sample). The test mixture containing 11.6 mg/ml of methyl esters in normal hexane was diluted successively (by a factor of two). A 0.010-ml aliquot of these samples was analyzed in each case except the 232 μg sample, for which 0.020 ml of the undiluted 11.6 mg/ml test mixture was used.

Com- ponent	Mass percent																		Mean values M1%	Actual mass M2% Percent	
	M1	M2	M1	M2	M1	M2	M1	M2	M1	M2	M1	M2	M1	M2	M1	M2	M1	M2			
10:0	1.27	1.89	0.68	1.01	0.66	0.98	0.68	1.01	0.65	0.97	0.60	0.91	0.60	0.90	0.63	0.94	0.53	0.79	0.70	1.04	0.95%
12:0	1.27	1.26	1.36	1.69	1.48	1.64	1.10	1.27	1.50	1.70	1.49	1.69	1.50	1.69	1.51	1.70	1.41	1.60	1.40	1.58	1.87
14:0	1.90	1.89	2.04	2.03	1.97	1.97	1.86	1.94	1.95	2.02	1.99	2.04	1.98	2.03	1.96	2.02	1.84	1.89	1.94	1.98	2.49
16:0	22.15	22.64	23.47	23.99	23.03	23.44	23.12	23.56	22.45	22.82	22.69	23.08	22.70	23.10	23.36	23.77	25.36	25.82	23.15	23.58	23.04
18:0	15.82	15.72	15.65	15.20	16.28	15.90	16.34	16.05	15.96	15.63	16.12	15.80	16.19	15.86	15.97	15.65	15.80	15.48	16.01	15.70	15.59
18:1	19.62	18.24	20.75	19.59	20.39	19.34	21.00	19.85	20.14	19.02	20.41	19.29	20.64	19.50	20.58	19.45	19.90	18.81	20.38	19.23	19.19
18:2	17.72	16.98	17.69	16.89	17.93	17.38	17.95	17.31	17.05	16.44	17.01	16.42	17.04	16.44	16.69	16.10	16.90	16.31	17.33	16.70	16.14
18:3	2.53	3.14	4.08	4.73	4.11	4.59	4.15	4.65	3.94	4.40	3.96	4.43	4.08	4.58	3.83	4.30	3.68	4.13	3.82	4.33	4.39
20:4	17.72	18.24	14.29	14.86	14.14	14.75	13.80	14.36	13.84	14.38	13.80	14.34	13.56	14.11	13.78	14.32	13.05	13.57	14.22	14.77	14.07
Minor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.52	2.63	1.92	2.00	1.70	1.79	1.69	1.77	1.54	1.62	-	-	2.27%
Components																					
Total mass analyzed (µg)	0.93		1.74		3.58		6.95		14.54		28.47		56.91		115.97		232.26				

For analysis of gas-liquid chromatograms in general, as well as the specific determinations of the input data for our punched-card reading technique, we suggest the use of blank chart paper. In our experinece, we have found that graphed chart paper has several disadvantages. First, the critical placement of the baseline on the chromatogram is frequently made difficult by the presence of adjacent horizontal lines. Similar difficulty is encountered when measuring each peak height because both peak extremity and base line may be close to distracting horizontal lines. Also, the vertical lines tend to interfere with the measurement of the retention time of each chromatograph component. On the other hand, a definite advantage in using blank chart paper is that the actual chromatograms may be photographed easily for reproduction.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. A. T. James and A. J. P. Martin, Biochem. J. 50, 679 (1952).
2. A. T. James and V. R. Wheatly, Biochem. 63, 269 (1956).
3. Frant T. Upham, Frank T. Lindgren, and Alex V. Nichols, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Report UCRL-9039, Nov. 1960.

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.