

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

LBL Publications

Title

MASS AND TRANSVERSE MOMENTUM DEPENDENCE OF THE DIELECTRON YIELD IN P-BE COLLISIONS AT 4.9 GEV

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/91t9d4qz>

Author

Collaboration, DLS

Publication Date

1989-04-01



Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
BERKELEY LABORATORY

JUL 31 1989

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

Submitted to Physical Review C


Mass and Transverse Momentum Dependence of the Dielectron Yield in p-Be Collisions at 4.9 GeV

DLS Collaboration

April 1989

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

*This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.*



LBL-27080
c. 2

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

Mass and Transverse Momentum Dependence of the Dielectron Yield in p-Be Collisions at 4.9 GeV.

A. Letessier-Selvon,⁽¹⁾ J. Bystricky,^{(2),(a)} J. Carroll,⁽²⁾ J. Gordon,⁽²⁾ T. Hallman,⁽³⁾ G. Igo,⁽²⁾ P. Kirk,⁽⁴⁾ G. F. Krebs,⁽¹⁾ E. Lallier,^{(1),(b)} G. Lандаud,⁽⁵⁾ L. Madansky,⁽³⁾ H. S. Matis,⁽¹⁾ D. Miller,⁽⁶⁾ C. Naudet,⁽¹⁾ G. Roche,^{(1),(c)} L. S. Schroeder,⁽¹⁾ P. A. Seidl,⁽¹⁾ Z. F. Wang,⁽⁴⁾ R. Welsh⁽³⁾ and A. Yegneswaran⁽¹⁾

(The DLS Collaboration)

⁽¹⁾*Nuclear Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory,
1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, California 94720*

⁽²⁾*University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90024*

⁽³⁾*The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21218*

⁽⁴⁾*Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803*

⁽⁵⁾*Université de Clermont II, 63170 Aubière, France*

⁽⁶⁾*Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60201*

We present the invariant mass and transverse momentum dependence of direct electron-positron pair production in p-Be collisions at a beam kinetic energy of 4.9 GeV. The acceptance of our apparatus is shown to have no significant effect for masses above 0.15 GeV. After a correction is made for the available phase space, the transverse momentum dependence of the data is found to be comparable to hadron-hadron observations. A phenomenological calculation involving $\pi^+\pi^-$ annihilation into e^+e^- is shown to be in good agreement with our measurements.

PACS numbers: 25.40.Ve, 13.75.Cs

The Dilepton Spectrometer (DLS) collaboration at the Bevalac has undertaken a program to study dielectron (e^+e^- pair) production in p-nucleus and nucleus-nucleus collisions. Previous data¹ have established the existence of low mass, low transverse momentum direct pairs at beam kinetic energies (T) of 12 GeV and above. However, for masses below 3 GeV the continuum is not fully understood. In particular the relative contributions of various mechanisms such as soft parton annihilation, hadronic Bremsstrahlung and pion annihilation, have not yet been well established. Furthermore, in nucleus-nucleus collisions new phenomena could strongly modify the dilepton mass spectrum.²

In a previous publication³ we reported the observation of direct electron-positron pairs in p-Be collision at T=4.9 GeV. The cross sections were found to be similar to measurements at higher energies except for a structure observed in the mass spectrum at about 0.275 GeV, a mass region not well studied in earlier data. Such a structure at nearly twice the pion mass would suggest that dielectron production through pion annihilation is a dominant mechanism in that region. In the present paper, the double differential cross section $d^2\sigma/dm dp_t$ is presented and details of the DLS acceptance are discussed. A description of the DLS can be found in our earlier publications^{3,4} and is the subject of a separate paper.⁵ The basic concept is a spectrometer made of two identical arms arranged symmetrically with respect to the beam axis. Two large aperture dipole magnets (one in each arm) are powered independently and the data is collected with the four combinations of field polarities for equal length of time. Thus the DLS is a charge symmetric detection device, i.e., the acceptance does not depend on the charge combination of a pair but only on its kinematical variables.

The DLS data are subject to two types of backgrounds. The false pair background, where the detected electrons are uncorrelated, is produced equally with all charge combinations. Since the detection is charge symmetric we have removed this background by subtracting, after the acceptance correction is made, the same-sign pairs from the

opposite-sign pairs.³ The true-pair background, which is primarily from Dalitz decay of mesons and resonances, is estimated⁴ to have a small contribution for masses above 0.2 GeV. This background has not been subtracted from the data presented here.

The geometric acceptance of the DLS was computed by a Monte Carlo technique. This simulation, which included a detailed representation of our detector, was constructed using the GEANT software library.⁶ We assumed an isotropic production of the electrons in the center of mass frame of the pair and computed the acceptance on a three-dimensional mesh as a function of the invariant mass (m) of the pair, the transverse momentum (p_t) and the laboratory rapidity (y). Calculations were limited in phase space to a fiducial volume defined by: $\{m \in [0.05, 1.25] \text{ GeV}\} \otimes \{p_t \in [0, 0.8] \text{ GeV}\} \otimes \{y \in [0.5, 1.9]\}$. All integrations presented in this paper were done within these limits and acceptance corrections refer to the additional corrections done inside that volume. To date our acceptance is based on 2.2×10^5 accepted pairs corresponding to about 6×10^7 generated events. The results of our calculations are shown as a function of (m, p_t) in Fig. 1. In the (m, p_t) plane our acceptance is roughly limited for $m < 0.25$ GeV to a region where $p_t < (4m - 0.2)$ GeV. Although the system has a small region with zero acceptance inside the fiducial volume, it was found that this has little effect on the mass spectrum for masses above 0.15 GeV. As an example we used the parameterization of K. Kinoshita, H. Satz and D. Schildknecht⁷ (KSS):

$$\frac{d^3\sigma}{dm dp_t^2 dy} = C \frac{\lambda^2 m^{-\beta}}{2(\lambda m + 1)} e^{-\lambda E_t} (1 - x_0)^\alpha \quad (1)$$

where C, λ, α and β are constants taken from Ref. 8 ($C=40 \text{ nb GeV}^3$, $\lambda=6 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$, $\alpha=3.5$ and $\beta=4$) and where $E_t = \sqrt{m^2 + p_t^2} - m$ and $x_0 = E/E_{max}$. Fig. 2 shows the KSS mass spectrum and the result of a calculation from P. Lichard⁹ based on soft parton annihilation. Both distributions are shown with and without the acceptance correction. Above a mass of 0.15 GeV there is no significant distortion introduced by our acceptance in the shape of either of these distributions.

Our 4.9 GeV data is shown in Fig. 3(a) as a double differential cross section per nucleon, $d^2\sigma/dp_t dm$, assuming an $A^{2/3}$ dependence. Data were corrected for acceptance using linear interpolations between the mesh points.¹⁰ One can divide this data set into three main regions corresponding to different data clusters: the low p_t ($p_t < 0.1$ GeV) and low mass ($m < 0.1$ GeV) where the Dalitz decay of π^0 dominates, the intermediate p_t (0.3 GeV) and mass around 0.275 GeV where the structure is observed in the mass distribution and finally the $\rho - \omega$ region with $p_t > 0.4$ GeV and mass around 0.75 GeV. Fig. 3(b) shows the mass distribution for three different p_t slices. One observes that the structure as well as the $\rho - \omega$ resonance are seen only in the highest and the intermediate p_t regions.

This correlation between the invariant mass and the transverse momentum, higher mass pairs being produced at higher p_t , has already been observed in hadron-hadron collisions at higher energies.^{7,11} The transverse momentum behavior of a hadronic secondary of mass m_h is well reproduced by

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{dp_t^2 dy}|_{y=0} \propto e^{-\lambda E_t}, \quad (\lambda = 6 \text{ GeV}^{-1}), \quad (2)$$

leading to an average p_t as a function of m_h of the form

$$\bar{p}_t(m_h) = \frac{\lambda m_h^2}{\lambda m_h + 1} e^{\lambda m_h} K_2(\lambda m_h), \quad (3)$$

where $K_2(x)$ is the modified Bessel function of the second kind. As pointed out in Ref. 7 and 12, this parameterization agrees well with the existing lepton pair data when one replaces m_h by the invariant mass of the pair.

The average transverse momentum as a function of the pair mass of our p-Be data at 4.9 GeV is compared with the above parameterization in Fig. 4. The dashed line is the prediction of the KSS model corrected for our acceptance. The dotted line represents the predictions of Eq. (2) and includes a phase space correction factor:

$$\bar{p}_t(m) = \frac{\int p_t e^{-6E_t} \phi_3(m, p_t, y) dp_t^2 dy_{cm}}{\int e^{-6E_t} \phi_3(m, p_t, y) dp_t^2 dy_{cm}}. \quad (4)$$

The phase space distribution $\phi_3(m, p_t, y)$ is obtained by assuming that the final state contains only two nucleons in addition to the e^+e^- pair:

$$\phi_3(m, p_t, y_{cm}) = \frac{\pi \sqrt{\hat{s} - 4m_n^2}}{4\sqrt{\hat{s}}}, \quad \hat{s} = s + m^2 - 2\sqrt{s} m_t \cosh(y_{cm}), \quad (5)$$

where m_n is the nucleon mass, $\sqrt{s}$ is the total CM energy and $m_t = \sqrt{m^2 + p_t^2}$. The solid line in Fig. 4 is Eq. (4) with our acceptance corrections included. Note that the only noticeable difference is in the low p_t region ($p_t < 0.2$ GeV).

As shown in Fig. 4, Eq. (4) agrees better with our data than the KSS parameterization. One should however note that, when computing $\bar{p}_t(m)$, the explicit m dependence $[\lambda^2 m^{-\beta}/(\lambda m + 1)]$ of the KSS model cancels out. Thus, the only difference between the two parameterization lies in the computation of phase space. We have used a three-body phase space whereas the KSS expression uses the function $(1 - x_0)^{3.5}$ which is based on high energy results ($\sqrt{s} > 10$ GeV).

To address more precisely the question of dipion annihilation suggested by the structure observed at $2m_\pi$, and in the spirit of Ref. 7, we have used the KSS parameterization to describe the dipion distribution as follows:

$$\frac{d^3 f_{\pi^+\pi^-}}{dm dp_t^2 dy} = C \frac{\lambda^2 m^{-\beta}}{2(\lambda m + 1)} e^{-\lambda E_t} \phi_3(m, p_t, y), \quad (6)$$

with $\lambda = 6$ and where β is a free parameter. The constant C is taken so that

$$\int \frac{d^3 f_{\pi^+\pi^-}}{dm dp_t^2 dy} dy dp_t^2 dm = \frac{\sigma_{pp \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-+X}}{\sigma_{pp \rightarrow X}} = \frac{13 \text{ mb}}{41 \text{ mb}}. \quad (7)$$

$\sigma_{pp \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-+X}$ was taken from Ref. 13 and $\sigma_{pp \rightarrow X}$ from Ref. 14.

Using this parameterization, and assuming that the ratio of p-p cross sections entering Eq. (7) is identical for p-n interactions, the cross section for the reaction $p+N \rightarrow e^+e^-+X$ through dipion annihilation will be:

$$\frac{d^3 \sigma}{dm dp_t^2 dy} = \sigma_{\pi^+\pi^-}^{e^+e^-}(m) \frac{d^3 f_{\pi^+\pi^-}}{dm dp_t^2 dy}, \quad (8)$$

where $\sigma_{\pi^+\pi^-}^{e^+e^-}(m)$ is the cross section for dipion annihilation into an e^+e^- pair given in Ref. 2. The relevant projections including acceptance corrections are shown in Fig. 5. The solid curve in Fig. 5 (a) includes the mass resolution of our apparatus, thus $\beta = 5$ (rather than $\beta = 4$) gives a better fit to the data. Contributions from the Dalitz decay of π^0 's and η 's⁴ (dashed line) and from the decay of ρ 's and ω 's (dotted line) are shown separately. Note that the $\rho - \omega$ contribution is shown before resolution correction and that we have used a production cross section of 0.07 mb for the ρ and 0.13 mb for the ω . The agreement with our data is good, in shape as well as in magnitude. Equation (8) gives 101 nb for the total cross section to be compared to our measurement of $125 \pm 15(stat.)_{-20\%}^{+70\%}(syst.)$ nb (for $m \geq 2m_\pi$). We hope that this phenomenological calculation will encourage further theoretical developments.

In conclusion, we have shown that for masses above 0.15 GeV the geometrical acceptance corrections do not introduce significant distortions in the cross sections given by the KSS parameterization or by the calculation done by P. Lichard. We have also shown that the p_t yield as well as the p_t versus m correlation of direct e^+e^- production in p-Be collisions is similar to hadron-hadron measurements at higher energies with a small phase space correction. This correction is compatible with the hypothesis of a final state containing only two nucleons in addition to the e^+e^- pair. Finally, a phenomenological calculation involving $\pi^+\pi^-$ annihilation into e^+e^- was shown to be in good agreement with our measurements.

This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics, Nuclear Physics Division, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contracts No. DE-AC03-76SF00098, DE-FG03-88ER40424, DE-FG02-88ER40413 and DE-FG05-88ER40445.

(a) Now at CEN de Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

(b) Now at Thomson-LCR, Orsay, France

(c) On leave from The Université de Clermont II, France

¹ For a review see S. Mikamo, *INS symposium on particle physics in the GeV Region - 1979*, edited by University of Tokyo, (Institute of Nuclear Studies, Tokyo, 1979).

² C. Gale and J. Kapusta Phys. Rev. C**35**, 2107 (1987); L. H. Xia *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A **485**, 721 (1988).

³ G. Roche *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 1069 (1988).

⁴ C. Naudet *et al.*, "Threshold behavior of direct electron pair production in p-Be collisions", LBL-25353 (1988), submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett.

⁵ A. Yegneswaran *et al.*, "The Di-Lepton Spectrometer", to be submitted to Nucl. Inst. Meth.

⁶ R. Brun *et al.*, GEANT 3 User's guide, CERN DD/EE/84-1.

⁷ K. Kinoshita, H. Satz and D. Schildknecht, Phys. Rev. D**17**, 1834 (1978).

⁸ S. Mikamo *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **106B**, 428 (1981).

⁹ P. Lichard private communication, V. Černý *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D**24**, 652 (1981).

¹⁰ This improvement and a better statistical accuracy of the acceptance explains the slight differences in the cross section presented here and our previous publication (Ref. 3).

¹¹ H. Satz, Phys. Rev. D**17**, 914 (1978).

¹² F. E. Close and D. M. Scott, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69B**, 173 (1977).

¹³ G. Alexander *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **154**, 1284 (1967).

¹⁴ Review of particles properties, Phys. Lett. **B 204**, 121, (1988).

Figure captions

Figure 1. The acceptance of the DLS as a function of m and p_t . The dark region is an area of zero acceptance. The average acceptance over the fiducial volume is 0.8%.


Figure 2. $d\sigma/dm$ is shown as calculated from Eq. (1) (lines) and from P. Lichard (Ref. 9, lines with symbols). Solid lines include acceptance corrections dashed lines do not. For both expressions there are no significant corrections above 0.15 GeV.

Figure 3. a) $d^2\sigma/dmdp_t$ is shown in a “lego” plot. The dark region is an area of zero acceptance. b) $d\sigma/dm$ in p-Be at 4.9 GeV for various p_t intervals ([0,0.1] GeV (solid squares), [0.1,0.3] GeV (solid diamonds), [0.3,0.8] GeV (solid circles)). No structure is observed in the lowest p_t range and the $\rho - \omega$ peak is more pronounced in the highest. From bottom to top scaling factors are 1/400, 1/20 and 1.

Figure 4. Average p_t as a function of m . The dotted line is Eq.(4), the solid line is Eq.(4) including acceptance corrections and the dashed line is the KSS model including acceptance corrections. Note that for all curves the decrease of $\bar{p}_t$ at large masses is due to the limited phase space.


Figure 5. a) $d\sigma/dm$ for p-Be at 4.9 GeV (solid squares). The solid curve is the sum of Eq.(8) with $\beta = 5$, the Dalitz decay from Ref. 4 and the $\rho - \omega$ contribution. The dashed line is the Dalitz decay contribution, the dotted line is the $\rho - \omega$ contribution before resolution corrections. b) $d\sigma/dp_t^2$ for p-Be at 4.9 GeV for masses above $2m_\pi$, the solid curve is Eq. (8).

Figure 1



XBL 894-1408

Figure 2



XBL 892-516






Figure 3


XBL 892-517

Figure 4



XBL 892-518

Figure 5



XBL 894-1391

LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
1 CYCLOTRON ROAD
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720