UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Spectrum of Presentations and Management Strategies in Renal Angiomyolipoma

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/91v5m519

Journal Journal of Kidney Cancer and VHL, 9(1)

ISSN 2203-5826

Authors Khaddam, Sinan Gulati, Shuchi

Publication Date

DOI 10.15586/jkcvhl.v9i1.221

Peer reviewed

eScholarship.org



EDITORIAL

Spectrum of Presentations and Management Strategies in Renal Angiomyolipoma

Sinan Khaddam, Shuchi Gulati*

Division of Hematology and Oncology, Department of Medicine, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, USA

Abstract

Renal angiomyolipoma (rAML) occurs rarely sporadically but is commonly encountered in patients with tuberous sclerosis complex and lymphangioleiomyomatosis. rAML is a rare entity, not seen regularly in daily practice; however, is commonly encountered and diagnosed by clinicians who approach and treat kidney masses. Basic knowledge of this entity is necessary to recognize that despite being benign, these tumors can rarely cause deadly complications such as hemorrhage or severe renal dysfunction or may have malignant components associated with them.

Keywords: angiomyolipoma; epithelioid renal angiomyolipoma; mTOR inhibitors; PEComa

Received: 10 January 2022; Accepted after revision: 18 January 2022; Published: 28 January 2022

Author for correspondence: Gulati S, MD MS, Assistant Professor of Clinical Medicine, Division of Hematology and Oncology, University of Cincinnati Cancer Institute, Vontz Center for Molecular Studies, 3125, Eden Avenue, ML 0562, Cincinnati, Ohio 45267-0562, USA. Email: gulatisi@ucmail.uc.edu

How to cite: Khaddam S and Gulati S. Spectrum of presentations and management strategies in renal angiomyolipoma. J Kidney Cancer VHL. 2022; 9(1): 42–47.

Doi: https://doi.org/10.15586/jkcvhl.v9i1.221

Copyright: Khaddam S and Gulati S

License: This open access article is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0). http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0

Introduction

As the name implies, renal angiomyolipomas (rAMLs) are histologically derived from a variable number of vessels, spindle cells, and adipose tissue, and the nomenclature was first used historically by Grawitz in 1900 (1). Together with oncocytoma, rAMLs are the most common benign tumors in various surgical series (2). Eighty percent of rAMLs are sporadic without any genetic predisposition while the remaining ~20% coincide with the tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC) and lymphangioleiomatosis (LAM) (3). There is a lack of a strong level of evidence guiding treatment approaches for these tumors. The approach is primarily driven by clinical presentation and may contrast between active surveillance to invasive surgeries to systemic therapy if malignant. Diagnosis is easy on the latest imaging modalities available for renal masses, with the exception of low-fat rAMLs which are usually difficult to differentiate from renal cancers (4). WHO classifies rAML as belonging to the family of perivascular epithelioid cell neoplasms (PEComas) given their mesenchymal origin (5). These tumors share a molecular pathogenesis mechanism of inactivating *TSC1* or *TSC2* and other genes resulting in hyperactivation of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway (6, 7). Here, we discuss the natural history, modes of presentation, and management strategies for rAML. We also underline the importance of recognizing the malignant potential of some rAMLs, especially the epithelioid variety as described in the case report in this issue of JKCVHL.

Epidemiology, Pathogenesis, and Natural Course

In the era prior to the widespread availability of advanced imaging, the majority of rAMLs presented when they were large enough to cause symptoms (8). However, recently, due to access to imaging studies such as more rampant CT scans, the prevalence of rAML has increased. A study described 61,389 patients who underwent abdominal ultrasounds, where the overall prevalence or rAML was 0.44% (9). In general, the prevalence is higher in women and the tumors tend to occur at a young age, usually in the fourth or fifth decade (9-11). Young-onset rAMLs have however been reported in patients with TS and childhood cancer survivors (12). The natural history of rAML is controversial and varies between studies. The clinical course has been correlated with age at presentation, blood group, and location of tumor (13-15). The correlation between the size of tumors and growth is controversial. While some studies have reported larger tumors to grow faster (0.7 vs. 9.2 mm/year in one study), others have failed to identify this correlation (14, 16, 17). Two major histologic variants of rAMLs have been described: classic and epithelioid, and additionally a rare cystic variant (AML with epithelial cysts) (18-20). The epithelioid variant of rAML can rarely undergo malignant transformation and present with locally aggressive disease, including lymphadenopathy and distal metastases (3).

Clinical Features of rAMLs

As described above, most rAMLs are incidentally diagnosed on scans obtained for other reasons and tend to be asymptomatic (11). Rarely, patients can present with abdominal pain, recurrent hematuria or severe manifestations such as renal insufficiency which are usually the scenarios when an intervention is required (1, 11). The scenario where rAMLs can become a medical emergency is the risk of life-threatening hemorrhage associated with the rupture of a large rAML mass. AMLs can present with spontaneous retroperitoneal hemorrhage (Wunderlich syndrome) (1). Bleeding risk is strongly associated with tumor vascularization and the presence of intra-tumoral aneurysms larger than 0.5 cm and requires immediate intervention (21).

Diagnosis and Radiologic Classification Does every rAML mass need a biopsy?

The fat-containing feature of AMLs grants them a unique attenuation or echogenicity on imaging. For example, with

rAMLs having multiple tissues interfaces and high vessel content, on ultrasound, they are almost always hyperechogenic (22). Thus, most AMLs can be diagnosed without a biopsy based on radiologic features, mainly fat content. As per the Song radiological classification, rAMLs can be divided into three types (fat-rich, fat-poor, and fat-invisible) (4). In fatrich rAML, diagnosis can be established confidently without a need for further biopsy. About 5% of AMLs have very little fat content, imaging diagnosis becomes challenging and of a lower yield (23). These variants can appear like renal cell carcinoma (RCC) and a biopsy is usually warranted in these situations to distinguish the two. Some clinical and radiologic characteristics outside the kidney can be considered for differentiating clear cell RCC (ccRCC) from minimal fat rAML. For example, higher body mass index, older age, and male gender would more than likely dictate a diagnosis of ccRCC compared to rAML (24).

Histopathology

After a biopsy, histology is not always straightforward in differentiating rAML from RCC. Epithelioid renal AML is rare and morphologically it is challenging to distinguish from ccRCC. Immunohistochemistry can help with distinction. Epithelial markers like cytokeratin are usually absent in rAMLs while they are more likely to be present in RCC. Smooth muscle markers such as vimentin and muscle-specific actin are commonly seen in rAMLs and melanocytic markers such as HMB-45 antigen and melan-A stains almost all AMLs (3).

Prognostication of epithelioid rAML for malignant potential

The distinction of classic rAMLs from epithelioid AML (EAML) is essential because of the malignant potential of the latter entity. In a study, that examined 41 patients with pure EAML, metastasis was seen in ~50% of patients and 33% of patients died (25). However, due to the rarity of this entity, there is no consensus on pathognomonic clinical features, imaging characteristics, or histopathologic features to classify the malignant potential of EAMLs. A retrospective study compared clinical features of patients with classic rAML (n = 204) and EAML (n = 27) (26). Male sex, younger age at diagnosis, and large size of tumor predicted for a higher likelihood of the tumor being EAML. Radiologic examination (MRI) of AMLs from 12 patients, suggested the presence of an exophytic growth pattern, a solid lesion, hemorrhage, enlarged vessels, heterogeneous hyperintensity on diffusionweighted imaging, and rapid washout pattern to be associated with EAML (26). Other retrospective studies have attempted to delineate pathological features associated with malignant behavior. In a retrospective study of 41 cases from multiple institutions, a combination of clinical and pathological features to help classify EAML into low risk (<2 parameters), intermediate risk (2–3 parameters), and high risk (>3 parameters). These features included: tumor size >7 cm, extrarenal extension (or renal vein involvement), the concurrent presence of TS or presence of concomitant classic angiomyolipoma, necrosis, and carcinoma-like growth pattern (25). Another study that included 40 patients, described four features (≥70% atypical epithelioid cells, atypical mitotic figures, ≥2 mitotic figures per 10 high power field, necrosis) (27). The presence of more than three features was shown to be predictive of malignant behavior.

Management of rAML: Different Scenarios and Latest Developments

Sporadic rAML

The choice to switch from surveillance to start treatment depends on the presence of symptoms as well as tumor size (>4-6 cm is an accepted cut-off, although controversial) (13). Treatment options include surgery and embolization of blood vessels. A priority in the treatment of rAML is the preservation of kidney function (nephron sparing) by attempting less radical local treatments. A lot of minimally invasive procedures are proving to be effective in case reports and case series for AML treatments with examples including transarterial embolization and percutaneous cryoablation (28, 29). Acute life-threatening hemorrhage generally requires urgent selective renal artery embolization to reduce further hemorrhage, clinical stabilization, and eliminating the need for invasive therapy (30). Unlike hereditary TSassociated rAMLs, there is no data or evidence to support the use of systemic drugs such as mTOR inhibitors in sporadic rAMLs.

Malignant PEComas

Malignant PEComas are rare, the estimated incidence is 0.12, 0.24/1,000,000 and should be managed in a center specialized to manage sarcomas (31). In a retrospective study, radical surgery with microscopically clear margins has been shown to be the only curative regimen for PEComas as they are known to be chemotherapy and radiation therapy-resistant (31, 32).

mTOR inhibitors in PEComas

Older studies have established that mTOR pathway activation is common in AML and PEComas even if they are TS unrelated (33). Studies evaluating conventional chemotherapy and conventional targeted agents are limited. A retrospective study of advanced PEComas treated between

2000 and 2018 that included 53 patients in five centers in Europe described the efficacy of three different classes of drugs (34). The 53 cases described in this study included six patients with rAML, the remaining were retroperitoneal, uterine, gastrointestinal, soft-tissue, pelvis, and lung. Chemotherapy agents, gemcitabine and anthracycline regimens had modest and similar efficacy [overall response rate (ORR) with gemcitabine was 20% and progression-free survival (PFS) of 3.4 months vs. an ORR of 13% with an anthracycline with a similar PFS of 3.2 months]. Antiangiogenic tyrosine kinase inhibitors (pazopanib, sorafenib, and sunitinib) led to an ORR of 8.3%, PFS: 5.4 months. In comparison, mTOR inhibitors (everolimus, sirolimus, and temsirolimus) showed the highest efficacy with an ORR of 41% and PFS of 9 months. Eleven (28.2%) patients had a durable response that lasted more than 1 year in the mTOR inhibitor group, thus establishing the role of mTOR inhibitors in the front-line setting for recurrent, advanced, and metastatic PEComas. Chemotherapy (anthracycline and gemcitabine-based regimens) and antiangiogenic treatments would be reasonable options for subsequent lines of treatment. Another study analyzed 15 consecutive patients with PEComa, who received sirolimus up-front (11 patients) or received doxorubicin-based chemotherapy in the front-line and sirolimus in subsequent lines (four patients) (35). The ORR was 73% in the sirolimus arm, while only one patient had a response in the chemotherapy arm. Median PFS was not reached for sirolimus [was 4.9 months (95% CI: 3.8-NA) for first-line chemotherapy]. All patients treated with mTOR inhibitor achieved disease control. This study further supports the role of mTOR inhibitors in PEComas.

While oral treatments are tempting options, oral mTOR inhibitors treatment usually needs monitoring and might not achieve reliable absorption and sufficient intra-tumoral concentration. This has led to the evaluation of the novel agent nab-sirolimus which is an intravenous (IV) preparation in patients with malignant PEComas (36). Nab-sirolimus was studied in a phase-2 clinical trial (AMPECT) that included four patients with kidney PEComa. In the 34 evaluable patients, an ORR of 39% was reported, including response seen in three of the renal PEComa patients (36). Based on this trial, the FDA approved nab-sirolimus as the first drug specifically indicated for the treatment of patients with malignant PEComas.

Immunotherapy in epitheliod rAML

Histologically, AMLs show consistent staining for HMB-45, an antibody usually used in immunohistochemistry diagnosis of malignant melanoma, a tumor with a high response rate to immunotherapy (37). With the rarity of malignant epithelioid rAML and malignant PEComas in general; barring case reports, there is scarce data to the best of our knowledge describing the role of immunotherapy in epithelioid AML (38, 39). These reports do show a durable response when nivolimab or pembrolizumab were used in subsequent lines of treatment for epithelioid rAML or malignant PEComa. These responses have happened in the context of the highest tumor PDL1 expression and brisk T cells infiltration. Further genomic analysis of epithelioid tumors and identification of biomarkers of response to immunotherapy-based drugs may pave the path for future clinical trials in these rare but malignant tumors.

rAML in the setting of tuberous sclerosis

As previously described, the majority of AMLs are sporadic, up to 20% are associated with hereditary conditions such as TSC and LAM (8). Management of these lesions differs from that of the sporadic rAMLs as they present with multiple and bilateral tumors and seem to respond well to mTOR inhibitors. FDA approval for drugs to be used in the treatment of rAML in the context of tuberous sclerosis preceded the approvals in sporadic cases. A phase-3 clinical trial (EXIST-2) enrolled 118 patients who had at least one angiomyolipoma greater than 3 cm in size and a diagnosis of TS or sporadic LAM (40). Patients were randomized in a 2:1 manner to receive everolimus versus placebo. Ninety-two of the 118 patients had AMLs in both kidneys. Patients who received everolimus achieved an ORR of 42% compared to a 0% response rate in the placebo group with an acceptable safety profile (the most common adverse event noted was stomatitis). Everolimus received accelerated FDA approval for rAML associated with TS, not in need of immediate surgery (41). Efficacy of everolimus was further studied at a lower maintenance dose of 5 mg in a subsequent study (42). Patients in this study received the standard "induction" dose of 10 mg followed by staying on a "maintenance dose" of 5 mg. All 24 patients enrolled in this study showed a response with decreased tumor volume and >50% reduction of volume was seen in 12 out of 24 patients. The lower dose used here was associated with fewer side effects.

Based on these studies, for TS-associated rAMLs, everolimus use is recommended in the first-line prophylactic setting at a dose of 10 mg oral daily, proposed to be started at a tumor size cutoff of 3 cm (40). It is important to note that the use of mTOR inhibitors in TS-associated rAML does not need the tumors to be malignant (compared to approval for malignant PEComas).

Hormonal manipulation in rAML

There are some case series that report an association between the use of hormonal therapy rAML growth (43). Some reports from the obstetrics literature have shown a tendency for rAML to rupture during pregnancy (44). There are clinical case reports describing the expression of estrogen and progesterone receptors on rAMLs, thus raising the possibility of clinical benefit from hormonal manipulation treatment, although this approach has not formally been investigated in rAML patients (45).

Surveillance in rAML

Surveillance, in general, is recommended in rAML based on the variability of its clinical behavior as described in the previous sections. The frequency of surveillance differs depending on the size and the histologic variance. Ultrasound is preferred in low-risk and small-size AMLs and the surveillance frequency increases from every 3–4 years ultrasound for small tumors below 2 cm to every 6–12 months in larger tumors (46). After resection, tumors that show histology of epithelioid variant with high-risk features, whole body CT is recommended and possibly additional abdominal MRI 6 months post-surgery and then yearly for 5 years (17). In patients with synchronous bilateral rAMLs, referral for genetic testing and evaluation should also be discussed with patients, as ~20% of AMLs can be associated with TS or LAM-like conditions (13).

Conclusions

Renal angiomyolipoma is a benign tumor but rarely can present with an aggressive malignant variant. It has a unique radiologic and histologic signature. Understanding the molecular pathology of this tumor uncovers the importance of mTOR pathway and has improved our utilization of targeting therapeutics in tumors of malignant potential and those that are associated with hereditary conditions such as tuberous sclerosis. mTOR inhibitors have gained approval and acceptance as a possible treatment modality for these tumors while there is a scarcity of data pertaining to immunotherapy, even though the available case reports are promising. There is still no consensus on how frequently to monitor patients with sporadic rAML. Further studies to characterize these tumors at a molecular level will lead to better insight into the pathogenesis and management of these rare but clinically important tumors.

References

- 1. Bissler JJ, Kingswood JC. Renal angiomyolipomata. Kidney Int. 2004;66(3):924–34. https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ j.1523-1755.2004.00838.x
- Krajewski KM, Pedrosa I. Imaging advances in the management of kidney cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36(36):3582–90. https://dx. doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2018.79.1236
- 3. Vos N, Oyen R. Renal angiomyolipoma: The good, the bad, and the ugly. J Belg Soc Radiol. 2018;102(1):41. https://dx.doi. org/10.5334/jbsr.1536

- Park SG, Park BK. New radiologic classification of renal angiomyolipoma: Frequently asked questions. Clin Imaging. 2019;55:156–60. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clinimag. 2019.01.025
- Thway K, Fisher C. PEComa: Morphology and genetics of a complex tumor family. Ann Diagn Pathol. 2015;19(5):359–68. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/J.ANNDIAGPATH.2015.06.003
- Lam HC, Siroky BJ, Henske EP. Renal disease in tuberous sclerosis complex: Pathogenesis and therapy. Nat Rev Nephrol. 2018;14(11):704–16. https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ s41581-018-0059-6
- Akumalla S, Madison R, Lin DI, Schrock AB, Yakirevich E, Rosenzweig M, et al. Characterization of clinical cases of malignant PEComa via comprehensive genomic profiling of DNA and RNA. Oncology. 2020;98(12):905–12. https://dx.doi. org/10.1159/000510241
- Nelson CP, Sanda MG. Contemporary diagnosis and management of renal angiomyolipoma. J Urol. 2002;168(4 Pt 1):1315– 25. https://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.ju.0000028200.86216.b2
- Fittschen A, Wendlik I, Oeztuerk S, Kratzer W, Akinli AS, Haenle MM, et al. Prevalence of sporadic renal angiomyolipoma: A retrospective analysis of 61,389 in- and out-patients. Abdom Imaging. 2014;39(5):1009–13. https://dx.doi. org/10.1007/s00261-014-0129-6
- Rule AD, Sasiwimonphan K, Lieske JC, Keddis MT, Torres VE, Vrtiska TJ. Characteristics of renal cystic and solid lesions based on contrast-enhanced computed tomography of potential kidney donors. Am J Kidney Dis. 2012;59(5):611–18. https://dx.doi. org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2011.12.022
- Koo KC, Kim WT, Ham WS, Lee JS, Ju HJ, Choi YD. Trends of presentation and clinical outcome of treated renal angiomyolipoma. Yonsei Med J. 2010;51(5):728–34. https://dx.doi. org/10.3349/ymj.2010.51.5.728
- Kruseová J, Gottfriedová B, Zichová A, Švojgr K, Hošek P, Lukš A, et al. Is there a higher incidence of sporadic renal angiomyolipoma in childhood cancer survivors? Clin Epidemiol. 2021;13:707–16. https://dx.doi.org/10.2147/CLEP.S317903
- Guo Y, Kapoor A, Cheon P, So AI, Lattouf JB, Jamal M. Canadian Urological Association best practice report: Diagnosis and management of sporadic angiomyolipomas. Can Urol Assoc J. 2020;14(11):E527–36. https://dx.doi.org/10.5489/ cuaj.6942
- Bhatt JR, Richard PO, Kim NS, Finelli A, Manickavachagam K, Legere L, et al. Natural history of renal angiomyolipoma (AML): Most patients with large AMLs >4cm can be offered active surveillance as an initial management strategy. Eur Urol. 2016;70(1):85–90. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. eururo.2016.01.048
- Arslan B, Gürkan O, Çetin B, Arslan ÖA, Göv T, Yazıcı G, et al. Evaluation of ABO blood groups and blood-based biomarkers as a predictor of growth kinetics of renal angiomyolipoma. Int Urol Nephrol. 2018;50(12):2131–7. https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/ s11255-018-2012-9
- Oesterling JE, Fishman EK, Goldman SM, Marshall FF. The management of renal angiomyolipoma. J Urol [Internet]. 1986 [cited 2022 Jan 9]. Available from: https://www.auajournals.org/ doi/abs/10.1016/S0022-5347%2817%2946013-7
- Maclean DFW, Sultana R, Radwan R, McKnight L, Khastgir J. Is the follow-up of small renal angiomyolipomas a necessary precaution? Clin Radiol. 2014;69(8):822–6. https://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.crad.2014.03.016

- Çalışkan S, Gümrükçü G, Özsoy E, Topaktas R, Öztürk Mİ. Renal angiomyolipoma. Rev Assoc Médica Bras. 2019;65:977– 81. https://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1806-9282.65.7.977
- Armah HB, Yin M, Rao UN, Parwani AV. Angiomyolipoma with epithelial cysts (AMLEC): A rare but distinct variant of angiomyolipoma. Diagn Pathol. 2007;2:11. https://dx.doi. org/10.1186/1746-1596-2-11
- Wei J, Li Y, Wen Y, Li L, Zhang R. Renal angiomyolipoma with epithelial cysts: A rare entity and review of literature. Int J Clin Exp Pathol. 2015;8(9):11760–5. eCollection 2015.
- Yamakado K, Tanaka N, Nakagawa T, Kobayashi S, Yanagawa M, Takeda K. Renal angiomyolipoma: Relationships between tumor size, aneurysm formation, and rupture. Radiology. 2002;225(1):78–82. https://dx.doi.org/10.1148/ radiol.2251011477
- 22. Woo S, Cho JY. Imaging findings of common benign renal tumors in the era of small renal masses: Differential diagnosis from small renal cell carcinoma: Current status and future perspectives. Korean J Radiol. 2015;16(1):99–113. https://dx.doi. org/10.3348/kjr.2015.16.1.99
- Park BK. Renal angiomyolipoma: Radiologic classification and imaging features according to the amount of fat. Am J Roentgenol. 2017;209(4):826–35. https://dx.doi.org/10.2214/ AJR.17.17973
- Liu J, Bao J, Zhang W, Li Q, Hou J, Wei X, et al. The potential of visceral adipose tissue in distinguishing clear cell renal cell carcinoma from renal angiomyolipoma with minimal fat. Cancer Manag Res. 2021;13:8907–14. https://dx.doi.org/10.2147/ CMAR.S336920
- 25. Nese N, Martignoni G, Fletcher CD, Gupta R, Pan C-C, Kim H, et al. Pure epithelioid PEComas (so-called epithelioid angiomyolipoma) of the kidney: A clinicopathologic study of 41 cases: Detailed assessment of morphology and risk stratification. Am J Surg Pathol. 2011;35(2):161–76. https://dx.doi. org/10.1097/PAS.0b013e318206f2a9
- Lee W, Choi SY, Lee C, Yoo S, You D, Jeong IG, et al. Does epithelioid angiomyolipoma have poorer prognosis, compared with classic angiomyolipoma? Investig Clin Urol. 2018;59(6):357–62. https://dx.doi.org/10.4111/icu.2018.59.6.357
- Brimo F, Robinson B, Guo C, Zhou M, Latour M, Epstein JI. Renal epithelioid angiomyolipoma with atypia: A series of 40 cases with emphasis on clinicopathologic prognostic indicators of malignancy. Am J Surg Pathol. 2010;34(5):715–22. https://dx. doi.org/10.1097/PAS.0b013e3181d90370
- Pacella G, Faiella E, Altomare C, Andresciani F, Castiello G, Bernetti C, et al. Different Treatments of Symptomatic Angiomyolipomas of the Kidney: Two Case Reports. J Kidney Cancer VHL. 2021 Oct 19;8(4):32–7. Different treatments of symptomatic angiomyolipomas of the kidney: Two case reports. J Kidney Cancer VHL. 2021;8(4):32–7. https://dx.doi. org/10.15586/jkcvhl.v8i4.181
- Chapman D, Tyson M, Buckley B. Single-institution, retrospective review of elective and emergency embolization of renal angiomyolipoma. Can Urol Assoc J. 2021;15(11):E598–E602. https://dx.doi.org/10.5489/cuaj.7143
- Davis C, Boyett T, Caridi J. Renal artery embolization: Application and success in patients with renal cell carcinoma and angiomyolipoma. Semin Interv Radiol. 2007;24(1):111–16. https://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-2007-971185
- Sobiborowicz A, Świtaj T, Teterycz P, Spałek MJ, Szumera-Ciećkiewicz A, Wągrodzki M, et al. Feasibility and long-term

efficacy of PEComa treatment – 20 years of experience. J Clin Med. 2021;10(10):2200. https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/jcm10102200

- 32. Osei DA, Alvandi F, Brooks JS, Ogilvie CM. PEComa of the upper extremity: A unique case and description of an initial response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy. Sarcoma. 2007;2007:53056. https://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2007/53056
- 33. Kenerson H, Folpe AL, Takayama TK, Yeung RS. Activation of the mTOR pathway in sporadic angiomyolipomas and other perivascular epithelioid cell neoplasms. Hum Pathol. 2007;38(9):1361–71. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.humpath.2007. 01.028
- 34. Sanfilippo R, Jones RL, Blay JY, Cesne AL, Provenzano S, Antoniou G, et al. Role of chemotherapy, VEGFR inhibitors, and mTOR inhibitors in advanced perivascular epithelioid cell tumors (PEComas). Clin Cancer Res. 2019;25(17):5295–300. https://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-0288
- Świtaj T, Sobiborowicz A, Teterycz P, Klimczak A, Makuła D, Wągrodzki M, et al. Efficacy of sirolimus treatment in PEComa –10 years of practice perspective. J Clin Med. 2021;10(16):3705. https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/jcm10163705
- Wagner AJ, Ravi V, Riedel RF, Ganjoo K, Van Tine BA, Chugh R, et al. nab-Sirolimus for patients with malignant perivascular epithelioid cell tumors. J Clin Oncol. 2021;39(33):3660– 70. https://dx.doi.org/10.1200/JCO.21.01728
- 37. Fetsch PA, Fetsch JF, Marincola FM, Travis W, Batts KP, Abati A. Comparison of melanoma antigen recognized by T cells (MART-1) to HMB-45: Additional evidence to support a common lineage for angiomyolipoma, lymphangiomyomatosis, and clear cell sugar tumor. Mod Pathol Off J U S Can Acad Pathol Inc. 1998;11(8):699–703.
- Lattanzi M, Deng FM, Chiriboga LA, Femia AN, Meehan SA, Iyer G, et al. Durable response to anti-PD-1 immunotherapy in epithelioid angiomyolipoma: A report on the successful treatment of a rare malignancy. J Immunother Cancer. 2018;6(1):97. https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s40425-018-0415-x
- McBride A, Garcia AJ, Sanders LJ, Yiu K, Cranmer LD, Kuo PH, et al. Sustained response to pembrolizumab in recurrent perivascular epithelioid cell tumor with elevated expression

of programmed death ligand: A case report. J Med Case Reports. 2021;15(1):400. https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13256-021-02997-x

- 40. Bissler JJ, Kingswood JC, Radzikowska E, Zonnenberg BA, Frost M, Belousova E, et al. Everolimus for angiomyolipoma associated with tuberous sclerosis complex or sporadic lymphangioleiomyomatosis (EXIST-2): A multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2013;381(9869):817–24. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(12)61767-X
- Everolimus: New indication in renal angiomyolipoma associated with tuberous sclerosis complex – The ASCO post. [cited 2022 Jan 3]. Available from: https://ascopost.com/issues/july-1-2012/ everolimus-new-indication-in-renal-angiomyolipoma-associated-with-tuberous-sclerosis-complex/
- 42. Luo C, Ye WR, Zu XB, Chen M-F, Qi L, Li Y-L, et al. Lowdose everolimus maintenance therapy for renal angiomyolipoma associated with tuberous sclerosis complex. Front Med. 2021;8:744050. https://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2021.744050
- 43. Gould Rothberg BE, Grooms MC, Dharnidharka VR. Rapid growth of a kidney angiomyolipoma after initiation of oral contraceptive therapy. Obstet Gynecol. 2006;108(3 Pt 2):734–6. https://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.AOG.0000188062.13749.ef
- 44. Zapardiel I, Delafuente-Valero J, Bajo-Arenas JM. Renal angiomyolipoma during pregnancy: Review of the literature. Gynecol Obstet Invest. 2011;72(4):217–19. https://dx.doi. org/10.1159/000329328
- 45. Le P, Garg A, Brandao G, Abu-Sanad A, Panasci L. Hormonal manipulation with letrozole in the treatment of metastatic malignant pecoma. Curr Oncol. 2014;21(3):e518–20. https://dx. doi.org/10.3747/co.21.1849
- 46. Chan K, Chedgy E, Bent C, Turner K. Surveillance imaging for sporadic renal angiomyolipoma less than 40 mm: Lessons learnt and recommendations from the experience of a large district general hospital. Ann R Coll Surg Engl. 2018;100(6):480–4. https://dx.doi.org/10.1308/rcsann.2018.0040