Sclerosing perineurioma: case report and literature review
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Abstract

Perineuriomas are rare tumors derived from perineural cells usually presenting as a single asymptomatic papule or nodule located on an extremity of an adult. A sclerosing subtype has been rarely described. We report a case of painful sclerosing perineurioma in a 42-year-old woman.
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Case synopsis

A 42-year-old woman complained about a firm, painful nodule on the dorsum of the right wrist, which had grown slowly for 2 years (Fig. 1). With a clinical suspicion of dermatofibroma, the lesion was surgically excised. Histological examination revealed a scanty cellular, well-delimited dermal nodule (Fig. 2A). This nodule was composed of concentrically arranged collagen bundles, within which were spindle to epithelioid cells distributed in a whorled onion bulb-like pattern (Fig. 2B) that were grouped in clusters in some areas (Fig. 2C). The nuclei were round or ovoid, with fine chromatin and small nucleoli. No mitotic activity was observed. Immunohistochemistry showed the tumor cells to be positive for epithelial membrane antigen (EMA) and claudin-1 (Fig. 2D), and negative for S100 protein. A diagnosis of sclerosing perineurioma was achieved based upon the histology and immunohistochemistry. The patient has had no relapse 5 years after complete excision of the nodule.
Figure 1. A firm, painful nodule on the dorsum of the right wrist

Figure 2. A. A scantly cellular, well-delimited dermal nodule (hematoxylin-eosin, x10)  B. An abundant, concentrically arranged collagen stroma with multiple blood vessels and spindle to epithelioid cells distributed in a whorled onion bulb-like pattern (hematoxylin-eosin, x100)  C. Cluster of epithelioid cells with a well-defined polygonal cytoplasm (hematoxylin-eosin, x200)  D. Claudin-1 positivity (immunohistochemistry, x100)

Discussion

Perineuriomas are uncommon tumors derived from perineural cells. They are usually located on the extremities of young adults [1–3], although there have also been reports in children [4]. Other body locations occasionally reported include the oral cavity [5,6] and scrotal region [7]. Two main variants have been identified: an extremely rare intraneural form and a more common extraneural or soft tissue variant [2,8]. A sclerosing extraneural variant was first described in 1997 [9]; since then less than 50 cases of this presentation have been documented [3,10]. Sclerosing perineurioma characteristically occurs on the hands as a single, asymptomatic papule or nodule of fibrous consistency, which is often confused with dermatofibroma [1–3,9]. Non-acral [5,6,11], multiple, and bilateral presentations have also been described [12,13].

Histologically, sclerosing perineurioma presents as a well-circumscribed, scantly cellular nodule located in the dermis or hypodermis. The lesion exhibits a variable number of small epithelioid and fusiform cells, which are arranged in an onion bulb-like and trabecular growth pattern, within a dense collagen stroma [2,3,9]. Histologically, the lesion must be distinguished from other sclerosing and/or fusocellular tumors. Perineural differentiation is immunohistochemically demonstrated by S100 protein negativity and epithelial membrane antigen (EMA) positivity. It is in contrast to Schwann cells, which are positive for S100 protein and negative for EMA. Because EMA expression in perineuriomas can be diffuse or localized, other perineural differentiation markers such as claudin-1 may be useful. Claudin-1 shows a membranous granular positivity pattern in perineurioma and it proves negative in the mesenchymal cells. Consequently, this marker is useful for the differential diagnosis with dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans, fibromyxoid sarcoma, desmoplastic fibroblastoma, or fibromatosis [14]. Perineurioma is also characteristically positive for vimentin and there have been cases reported with positivity for cytokeratins, CAM 5.2, muscle-specific actin, collagen IV, laminin, GLUT-1, CD99, and CD34 [3,8,9,11,15]. In our patient, sclerosing perineurioma was positive for EMA and claudin-1, and negative for S100 protein. The diagnosis of sclerosing perineurioma must be kept in mind to avoid histological confusion with other lesions such as tendon sheath fibroma, sclerotic fibroma associated with Cowden syndrome, epithelioid neurofibroma, advanced stage giant cell tumor of tendon sheath, and fibrosing adnexal tumors [9].

Surgical excision is the definitive treatment and the tumor does not generally recur after resection, as seen in our case [3].
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