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Introduction

Thermodynamic data and phase equilibria are greatly needed to meet current materials problems. Specific design criteria often require rather unique combinations of materials. Of the many combinations that might be of interest, data are available for only a small fraction. Useful combinations are often overlooked or great expense is incurred because an unnecessarily large number of combinations must be tested. The calculation of thermodynamic data and phase diagrams, even when no experimental data exist, is possible using spectroscopic levels of the gaseous atoms and chemical bonding theory (1-11). This was demonstrated for a large number of transition metal binary and multicomponent systems for which little or no data were available (2). Because of limitations of space for the large number of binary and multicomponent systems presented, projections of phase diagrams along the temperature axis were presented yielding only maximum extent of phase boundaries at the optimum temperatures although the text did discuss temperatures coefficients of the boundaries. The results of the calculations as a function of temperature will be presented in detail in subsequent publications.

The present report is a supplement to a tabulation of the thermodynamic and phase data for the 100 binary systems of Mo with the elements from H to Lr. The calculations of thermodynamic data and phase equilibria were carried out from 5000 K to low temperatures at which attainment of phase equilibria would not be practical. The results of these calculations are to be published as a special issue of the Atomic Energy Review, a publication of the International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna. As only the results of the calculations are presented in the Atomic Energy Review issue, the present report is being used to present the methods of calculation used.

The thermodynamics involved is rather straightforward and the reader is referred to any of the advanced thermodynamic texts, e.g. Ref. (12), for derivations of the thermodynamic relations. The procedures for using chemical bonding theory to provide estimates of thermodynamic data have been outlined in previous publications (1-12).
The calculations were largely carried out using an HP-65 programmable calculator and the programs have been tabulated (13). In this report, those programs have been put in form for use with the HP-67 calculator which allows for a great reduction in the number of programs required to carry out the calculations.

It is difficult in a compendium of calculation procedures of this type to present topics in a coherent manner, but an effort has been made to assemble programs into related groups. As the equations which serve as the basis for the calculations are discussed in detail in Ref.(13), the discussion of the background equations will be limited in this report.
CHAPTER I
Data Fitting Using the Chebyshev Polynomials

A. Least-Square Fitting Using Chebyshev Orthogonal Polynomials

The Chebyshev (Tschebycheff) polynomials, $T_n(x) = \cos(n \cos^{-1} x)$, are orthogonal over the continuous interval $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and they have been shown to be the most economical polynomial for expressing $f(x)$ as a polynomial series with the minimum number of terms for a given accuracy.

The Chebyshev polynomial can be modified to $C_n(x)$ which is orthogonal for discrete integer values of the variable, $\bar{x}$, from 0 to $N$ with $C_0 = 1$ and $C_n = n! \Delta^n \left( \frac{\bar{x}}{n} \right) / \binom{n+1}{N} = \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^m \binom{n+m}{m} \frac{\bar{x}^{N-m}!}{(x-m)! N!}$.

The recurrence relation is

$$C_{n+1} = [(2n+1)(N-2\bar{x})C_n - n(N+n+1)C_{n-1}]/(n+1)(N-n)$$

For $N = 7$, for example,

$$C_0 = 1, \ C_1 = 1 - 2\bar{x}/N, \ C_2 = 1 + 6\bar{x}(\bar{x}-N)/N(N-1)$$

The Chebyshev polynomials for discrete integer values are particularly useful for least square fitting of experimental data. Because of the orthogonality, cross terms are zero in the matrix used to solve the set of linear equations obtained by setting the partial derivatives of the squares of the deviations equal to zero.

Thus, the coefficients of $f(x) = c_0C_0(x) + c_1C_1(x) + c_2C_2(x) + c_3C_3(x) + ...$ are readily calculated without solution of a matrix by the relation $c_n = (f,C_n)/(C_n,C_n)$ where $(f,C_n) = \sum_{x=0}^{N} f(x)C_n(\bar{x})$ and $(C_n,C_n) = \sum_{x=0}^{N} [C_n(\bar{x})]^2 = \frac{(N+n+1)!(N-n)!}{(2n+1)!(N!)^2}$.

For $N+1$ values of $f(x)$ at $N$ evenly spaced intervals of $x$, the values of $C_n(\bar{x})$ to be multiplied by $f(x)$ are evaluated by the following program.
\[ C_{n+1} = \frac{(2n+1)(N-2\bar{x})C_n - n(N+n+1)C_{n-1}}{(n+1)(N-n)} \]

\[ C_0 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad C_1 = \frac{(N-2\bar{x})}{N} \]

\[ C_2 = \frac{3(N-2\bar{x})C_1 - (N+2)}{2(N-1)} \]

\[ C_3 = \frac{5(N-2\bar{x})C_2 - 2(N+3)C_1}{3(N-2)} \quad \text{etc.} \]

The integers \( \bar{x} \), which range from 0 to \( N \), are related to \( x \) by

\[ \bar{x} = \frac{(x-x_i)}{I} = x/I - r \], where \( x_i \) is the initial value of \( x \) and \( I \) is the interval spacing of \( x \).

The values of \( C_n(\bar{x}) \) are stored in the registers starting with \( C_1(1), C_2(1), \ldots, C_{n_{\text{max}}}(1), C_1(2), C_2(2), \ldots, C_{n_{\text{max}}}(2), C_1(3), \ldots \). In each cycle of calculations of \( C_n(x) \), \( n \) is incremented until \( C_{n_{\text{max}}}(x) \) has been calculated, then \( x \) is incremented and the cycle started again at \( n = 1 \). The calculation stops when \( x \) exceed \( N/2 \), as the second half of the values of \( C_n(x) \) are the same as the first half except for change of sign for odd values of \( n \). For \( n' = 3 \), there is sufficient storage to calculate the \( C_n(x) \) values up to \( N = 15 \). For \( n' = 4 \), the maximum is \( N = 11 \). However, if storage is to be provided for subsequent \( (f,C_n) \) calculations, the maximum \( N \) values for \( n' = 3 \) and 4 are 13 and 9, respectively.

\( n' \), the maximum value of \( n \) or the order of the polynomial used for fitting, is keyed in first. With \( N \) evenly spaced intervals of \( x \), the number of data points to be treated, \( N+1 \), is keyed in next.

Directions:

(1) Insert tape Cheb \( C_n \)

(2) \( n' \uparrow N+1 \quad A \quad 24 \) displayed at end of calculation

The values of \( C_n(\bar{x}) \) calculated in the order of increasing \( n \) for each value of \( \bar{x} \) and in order of increasing \( \bar{x} \) are stored in the registers in reverse order from index number 24 to 7. If it is desired to examine the \( C_n(\bar{x}) \) values, \( R/S \) following the calculations of step 2 will display 24 \( C_1(1) \), 23 \( C_2(1) \), 22 \( C_3(1) \), 21 \( C_1(2) \), etc. Only the contents of registers with non-zero values are displayed. When no non-zero values remain, 6 followed by 24 will be displayed (See Ref. 23).
If it is desired to preserve the $C_n(x)$ values for future $(f,C_n)$ calculations, key $f$ W/DATA and insert data card. Values of $C_n(x)$ are also tabulated for several values of $N$ and $n$ in Ref. (13) and could be keyed in for calculation of $(f,C_n)$ values by Prgm. Cheb $(f,C_n)$.

**Prgm. Cheb $C_n$**

(2) fLBLA 1 - STO5 hR+ 1 - STO6 2 5
hSTI 0 STO4
fLBL0 RCL5 2 ÷ RCL4 1 + gx>y GTO2 STO4
1 STO3 RCL4 2 X RCL5 ÷ CHS 1 +
fDSZ STO(i)
fLBL1 RCL4 2 X RCL5 - X RCL3 2 X
1 + X hxy#y RCL3 X RCL5 1 + RCL3
+ X + RCL3 RCL5 - ÷ RCL3 1 +
.: fDSZ STO(i) RCL6 RCL3 1 + gx>y GTO0 STO3
hR+ hR+ fISZ RCL(i) hxy#y fDSZ GTO1
fLBL2 2 STO+5 fLBLfe RCL5 fINT STO4 2 4 hSTI
hRTN 6 STO6 fLBLC RCL(i) fx#0 GTOD fDSZ GTOC fLBLB
hRCI DSPO hPSE RCL(i) gx=y GTOfe DSP2 f-x- fDSZ GTOC 112

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n=1</td>
<td>x=0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>n'-1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to</td>
<td>to $N$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n'-1</td>
<td>int $\frac{N}{2}$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values of $C_n(x)$ are stored in reverse order from E to A, S9 to S0, and 9 to 7.

**Test:**

(2) $n'=3 \uparrow$ No. of data pts. = 14 A, 24 after 40 sec.

R/S 24, $C_1(1) = 0.85$; 23, $C_2(1) = 0.54$; 22, $C_3(1) = 0.08$;
21, $C_1(2) = 0.69$; 20, $C_2(2) = 0.15$; 19, $C_3(2) = -0.46$;
18, $C_1(3) = 0.54$; 17, $C_2(3) = -0.15$; 16, $C_3(3) = -0.69$;
15, $C_1(4) = 0.38$; 14, $C_2(4) = -0.38$; 13, $C_3(4) = -0.66$;
12, $C_1(5) = 0.23$; 11, $C_2(5) = -0.54$; 10, $C_3(5) = -0.47$;
9, $C_1(6) = 0.08$; 8, $C_2(6) = -0.62$; 7, $C_3(6) = -0.17$; 6, 24.
The least square fit to the starting data with terms ranging in \( n \) up to the maximum value, \( n' \), yields the equation \[ f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{n'} \left( \frac{f(C_n)}{C_n} \right) C_n(x) \], which has the advantage over equations fit by other least-square procedures in not weighting the different powers unequally. Unless weighting factors are added, all points will have equal weight. The equation can be expanded in powers of \( x \) by substitution of

\[ C_n(x) = \sum_{m'=0}^{n} (-1)^{m'} \frac{(n+m')!}{(n-m')!} \frac{x^m!}{(m')!} \frac{(N-m')!}{(x-m')!N!} \].

Each \( C_n(x) \) will provide \( n \) terms in powers of \( x \) ranging from \((x)^0\) to \((x)^{m'}\). Substitution of \( \bar{x} = x/I - r \) will then convert each term to \( m' \) terms in \( x^m \) ranging from \( x^0 \) to \( x^{m'} \). The final equation will be a polynomial

\[ f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{n'} a_m x^m \].

Each of these coefficients relate to the original \((f,C_n)\) terms by \( a_m = \sum_{m'=m}^{n} b_{mn}(f,C_n) \). For \( n' = 3 \), there will be ten \( b_{mn} \) coefficients. For \( n' = 4 \), there will be fifteen \( b_{mn} \) coefficients to be evaluated, multiplied by the appropriate \((f,C_n)\) values and summed to obtain the \( a_m \) values.

The \( b_{mn} \) coefficients are evaluated by the equation

\[ b_{mn} = \frac{1}{(C_n,C_n)} \frac{1}{(-1)^m} \sum_{m'=m}^{n} A_{m'n} R_{m'm} \]

where \((C_n,C_n) = \frac{(N+n+1)!}{(N-n)!} \frac{(2n+1)(N!)}{2}\) and \( A_{m'n} = \frac{(n+m')!}{(n-m')!} \frac{(N-m)!}{(m')!} \frac{N!}{2N!} \)

For \( m = n, \) \( m' = n, \) \( R = 1 \) and \( A = \frac{(2n)!}{(n)!} \frac{(N-n)!}{(m!)^2 N!} \).

Thus \( b_{mn} = \frac{(2n)!(N-n)!}{(n!)^2 (N!) (-1)^n (C_n,C_n)} \) for \( m = n \).

For \( m = n-1, \) \( b_{n-1,n} = (b_{nn})(-In)(N/2 + r) \)

For \( m = 0, \) \( R_{m'0} = r(r+1) \ldots (r + m' - 1) \) and \( b_{0n} = \frac{1}{(C_n,C_n)} \sum_{m'=0}^{n} A_{m'n} R_{m'0} \)

For \( m' = m+1, \) \( R_{m'm} = m'r + \frac{1}{2} m'(m'-1) \)

For \( m = 1, m' = 3, \) \( R_{m'm} = 3r^2 + 6r + 2 \)

For \( m = 1, m' = 4, \) \( R_{m'm} = 2(2r^3 + 9r^2 + 11r + 3) \)

For \( m = 2, m' = 4, \) \( R_{m'm} = 6r^2 + 18r + 11. \)
Values of $b_{00}$, $b_{01}$, $b_{11}$, $b_{02}$, $b_{12}$, $b_{22}$, $b_{03}$, $b_{13}$, $b_{23}$, and $b_{33}$ are tabulated for $n=3$ and $N = 4$, 7 and 8, and 9 and equations as a function of $N$ are given in Table I-5 of Ref. (13).

When values of $f(x)$ are given for $x$ values that are evenly spaced at $N$ intervals of magnitude $I$ starting with an initial value $x_i$, Prgm. Cheb($f,C_n$) will convert to variable $\bar{x} = x/I - x_i/I = x/I - r$ with $\bar{x}$ varying by integral values from 0 to $N$ and then will least-square fit $f(\bar{x})$ to a Chebyshev polynomial of order $n'$ using the $b_{mn}$ coefficients of Prgm. Cheb $C_n$ corresponding to $n'$ and $N$ as discussed in Ref. (13). If followed by Prgm. Cheb-a, the Chebyshev polynomial is expressed as $f(x) = \sum a_n x^n$ upon insertion of $I$ and $r = x_i/I$. The following program is designed for $n' = 3$, but can be adapted to $n' = 4$. $I \cdot \frac{N}{2}$ is integer value of $\frac{N}{2}$.

Directions:

1. Insert Cheb ($f,C_n$) Prgm.
2. Insert Cheb $C_n$ Data Tape for $n'=3$ and appropriate $N$
3. $f(0)$ B
4. $f(1)$ R/S
5. $f(2)$ R/S
6. $f(\frac{N}{2} -1)$
7. $f(\frac{N}{2})$
8. $f(\frac{N}{2} +1)$
9. $f(\frac{N}{2} +2)$
10. $f(N-1)$ R/S
11. $f(N)$ E

Display

Start at step 3 for each new set of data with same $N$. Step 5 must be keyed before starting again at step 3. For different $N$ start at step 2.
Test:

(2) Insert Cheb $C_n$ Data tape for $n'=3$ and $n+l=14$ data points.

(3) 4B; 15 R/S; 40 R/S; 85 R/S; 156 R/S; 259 R/S; after each of these steps, the input number used in the calculation is displayed:

- 400 R/S = 67.133
- 585 R/S = 820 R/S = 1111 R/S = 1464 R/S = 1885 R/S = 2380 R/S; after each of these steps, the negative of the input number is displayed;

(5) 2955 E 121.59, -7483, 2632, -408.

Program Cheb($f, C_n$)

```
gLBFe RCL5 fINT STO4 2 $h_{STI}$ hRTN
(3) gLBFb STO0 STO1 STO2 STO3 hRTN
(4) gLBEd hSTI hRTN RCL4
(5) fLBFb 0 GTOFb hRx GTOFc fLBFd hRx GTOFd
fLBD3 STO+0 fGSB4 STO+1 fGSB4 STO+2 fGSB4 STO+3 hRTN
fLBD4 CLx RCL1 fDSZ X hRTN
fLBD5 $h_{x}$ hRx fGSB2 hRTN GTOFd
fLBD6 $h_{x}$ hRx RCL5 RCL5 fINT $g_{x}$ hRTN hRC1 3
+ hSTI hRTN
```

```
gLBFe RCL5 fINT STO4 2 $h_{STI}$ hRTN
(3) gLBFb STO0 STO1 STO2 STO3 hRTN
(4) gLBEd hSTI hRTN RCL4
(5) fLBFb 0 GTOFb hRx GTOFc fLBFd hRx GTOFd
fLBD3 STO+0 fGSB4 STO+1 fGSB4 STO+2 fGSB4 STO+3 hRTN
fLBD4 CLx RCL1 fDSZ X hRTN
fLBD5 $h_{x}$ hRx fGSB2 hRTN GTOFd
fLBD6 $h_{x}$ hRx RCL5 RCL5 fINT $g_{x}$ hRTN hRC1 3
+ hSTI hRTN
```

Directions:

(1) Insert Cheb-a tape

(2) Insert $(f, C_0)$ to $(f, C_3)$ in registers 0-3
     if not carried over from Prgm. Cheb. $(f, C_n)$.

(3) $I \uparrow r A$

(4a) SST

(4b) SST

(4c) SST

(5) $x B \rightarrow f(x); E \rightarrow f(x+1); E \rightarrow f(x+2I)$, etc.

NOTE: After step 4c, the $a_n$ values can be reviewed by keying another SST
     which will flash the four $a_n$ values in the order $a_0$ to $a_3$.
     The $(f, C_n)$ values are retained in R0-3 and step 3 can be repeated
     after $N/2$ STO 5; for example, if incorrect values of I or r are used.
Test:

(2) 12159 ST00, 7483 CHS ST01; 2632 ST02; 408 CHS ST03; 6.5, ST05;
(3) 200 t 1.5 A 0.625; SST 0.00375; SST -1.25x10^-5 SST 1.25x10^-7
(5) 300 B 4; E15, E40, E85; 1500 B 400, E585, E820.

Prgm. Cheb-a

(3) fLBLA STOE hR+ CHS STOC RCL5 2 X STOD 3
STOA STOB fGSB1 RCL3 ST06 X ST09 fGSB2 RCL3 X
ST08 2 STOA STOB fGSB1 RCL2 ST05 X ST048 fGSB2
RCL2 X ST07 3 STOA STOB fGSB0 ST0X6 RCLCE 3
X 6 + RCLE X 2 + fGSB3 2 RCLBS
RCLE X 1 + fGSB3 + 1 STOB fGSB3 +
RCLC + RCL6 hSTI 1 STO+7 1 STOA RGSB1 RCLL
X ST0+7 fGSB2 RCL1 X ST06 RCLD 1 + h1/x
RCLO X ST0+6 2 STOA fGSB0 ST0X5 RCLCE fGSB3 1
+ 2 STOB hR+ RCLCE 1 + RCLCE X STO4
fGSB3 + RCL5 X STO+6 3 STOA RCL4 fGSB3 1
STOB + RCLE fGSB3 + 3 STOB hR+ RCLCE 2
+ RCL4 X fGSB3 + hRCI X STO+6 RCL6 hRTN
(4) RCL7 RCL8 RCL9 gSTK
fLBL2 fGSB1 RCLC X RCLA X RCLD 2 / RCL
+ X hRTN
fLBL1 fGSB0 fGSB3 RCLC RCLA hyx / hRTN
fLBO RCLD hN! gx2 RCLD RCLA hN!/ RCLD
RCLA + 1 + hN! / RCLA 2 X 1
+ X hRTN
fLBO RCLD RCLB hN! X RCLD hN! / RCL
RCLB + hN! X RCLA RCLB hN! / RCL
hN! gx2 / hRTN
fLBL3 RCLC hRTN
(5) fLBL4 hR+ RCLC hRBLB hR+ hR+ hRCL9 X
RCL8 + X RCL7 + X RCL6 + hRTN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>f(c0)</td>
<td>(f,c1)</td>
<td>(f,c2)</td>
<td>(f,c3)</td>
<td>r^2+r</td>
<td>\frac{f(c_2)}{\frac{f(c_3)}{c_3^2}}</td>
<td>\frac{a_1}{a_2} a_3</td>
<td>\frac{(f,c_3)}{(c_3^2,c_3)}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f(c_2)</td>
<td>(f,c_3)/(c_3^2,c_3)</td>
<td>a_0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>m'</td>
<td>-I</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>or 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The three programs Cheb-C_n, Cheb-(f,c_n), and Cheb-a can be combined in several ways. There is enough space on one tape to combine the first two programs for a total of 204 memory steps if the 8 steps of gLBLfe are not duplicated; thus there would be no need to put the C_n(x) values on a data tape. However, if N+1, the number of data points, is frequently used, it would save time to have stored the C_n(x) values on a tape rather than to recalculate each time. If a data tape is used for the C_n(x) values, a portion of Prgm. Cheb-a can be added to the end of Prgm.Cheb-(f,c_n).
This would provide enough space for the following additions for calculation of \( \frac{df}{dx} \) and \( \int_0^x f(x)dx \) upon keying \( x_C \) and \( x_D \), respectively, or following \( x_B \) with \( R/S \) to obtain \( \frac{df}{dx} \) and another \( R/S \) to obtain the integral.

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\text{hRTN} & \text{LBLC} & + & \text{RCL9} & 3 & X & X & \text{RCL8} & 2 \\
X & + & X & \text{RCL7} & + & \text{hRTN} & \text{hRTN} & \\
\text{fLBLD} & + & \text{RCL9} & 4 & \div & X & \text{RCL8} & 3 & \div & X \\
+ & X & \text{RCL7} & 2 & \div & + & X & \text{RCL6} & + & X \\
\text{hRTN}
\end{array}
\]

It is unusual to have thermodynamic data of sufficient accuracy to warrant more than the four constant fit of Prgm. Cheb-a. Prgm. Cheb-C \( n \) already can accommodate quartic or higher power fits. Prgm. Cheb-(\( f,C_n \)) and Cheb-a could be expanded to a quartic fit if desired.

For most applications of Prgms. Cheb \( n \), Cheb-(\( f,C_n \)), and Cheb-a, the same number of data points, \( N+1 \), would not be repeatedly used and there would be no need to store the constants from the Cheb \( n \) calculations. Then Cheb \( n \) and Cheb-(\( f,C_n \)) would be combined on the same tape and the instructions would be simplified to the following:

Directions:
1. Insert Cheb \( C_n \)-Cheb(\( f,C_n \)) tape
2. \( n'=3 + N+1 \) A
3. \( f(0) \) B
4. \( f(1) \) R/S
   \( f(2) \) R/S
   \( \vdots \)
   \( f(N-1) \) R/S
5. \( f(N) \) E
6. Insert Cheb-a tape
7. I \( \uparrow \) r A
8. SST SST SST
   \( a_0 \)
   \( a_1,a_2,a_3 \)
9. \( x \) B \( \rightarrow f(x) \); \( E \rightarrow f(x+1) \); \( E \rightarrow f(x+2) \), etc.

TEST: (Continuation of Prgm. Cheb \( C_n \) test at top of pg. 8)
7. \( 10 + 1 \) A 1; (8) SST .1, SST .01, SST .001; (9) \( 10 B \uparrow \), E15, E40.
Chapter II

Fitting of Experimental Data by An Analytical Function

Two types of operations will be considered. The first type requires a very accurate fit in a limited range of x for interpolation purposes, with no smoothing of the data. The second type smooths the data to fit some predetermined functional form by a least-square procedure. The first type will be discussed first and illustrated with some examples.

A. Interpolation Fit to \( f(x) = \Sigma a_n x^n \)

Program Interp. GK fits four \((x,y)\) pairs with the \(x\) values at evenly spaced intervals of magnitude I to a cubic polynomial, or any three pairs to a quadratic equation, or any two pairs to a linear equation, which can be used for interpolation purposes. The calculations are outlined in Ref.(13). In addition, the program is specifically designed to accept values of \(-\left(\Delta G^\circ - \Delta H^\circ_{\text{std}}\right)/RT\) or \(-\left(\Delta G^\circ - \Delta H^\circ_{\text{std}}\right)/T\) for each of the reactants and products of a chemical reaction at two, three or four temperatures and fit the resulting \(-\left(\Delta G^\circ - \Delta H^\circ_{\text{std}}\right)/RT\) values to an interpolation equation which can then be combined with \(\Delta H^\circ_{\text{std}}\) or \(\Delta H^\circ_{\text{std}}/R\) for the reaction to calculate \(\ln K\) or \(K\), the equilibrium constant of the reaction, at desired temperatures.

Directions:
(1) Insert tape Interp.GK

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Display</th>
<th>2 Pt. Fit</th>
<th>3 Pt. Fit</th>
<th>4 Pt. Fit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(y_1-y_2)</td>
<td>(a_0)</td>
<td>(a_1)</td>
<td>(a_3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(y_1-y_2)</td>
<td>(a_0)</td>
<td>(a_1, a_2)</td>
<td>(a_0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(y_1-y_2)</td>
<td>(a_0)</td>
<td>(a_1, a_2, a_3)</td>
<td>(y)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For the reaction \( aA + bB = mM + nN + oO \), the values of \( g = -(\Delta G_{\text{Std}}^0)/RT \) (a positive number) for the reactants and products are keyed in as follows:

(a) to initiate a complete set of data

\[ \text{Display} \]

(b) \( g_A \uparrow a \times \quad g_B \uparrow b \times \quad \text{D} \quad 0.00 \)

(c) \( g_M \uparrow m \times \quad g_N \uparrow n \times \quad g_O \uparrow o \times \quad \text{R/S} \quad -(\Delta G_{\text{Std}}^0)/RT, \quad 0.00 \)

Steps b and c are repeated at each temperature. If only one mole of a reactant or product appears in the equation, e.g. \( n=1 \), omit \( n \times \) and merely key \( g_N \uparrow \). After the values at each of the temperatures have been entered, the following steps are carried out depending upon whether a 2, 3 or 4 pt. fit is desired.

(d) \( f \quad a \)

Display \( -(\Delta G_{\text{Std}}^0)/RT \) at 4th temperature or zero if less than 4 temperatures treated.

\[ \text{T}^3_{a_3} \]

(e) For 4 pt. fit: key \( C \) and then continue with steps 3c, 4c, and 5.

(e') For 3 pt. fit: key \( f \quad b \)

Display \( -(\Delta G_{\text{Std}}^0)/RT \) at third temperature

\[ y_1-y_2 \]

(e''b) \( B \)

Continue with steps 3b, 4b, and 5.

(e') For 2 pt. fit: key \( f \quad b \) twice.

Display \( -(\Delta G_{\text{Std}}^0)/RT \) at second temperature

\[ y_1-y_2 \]

(e'') \( A \)

Continue with steps 3a, 4a, and 5.

After the values of \( -(\Delta G_{\text{Std}}^0)/RT \) have been fit to either a linear, quadratic or cubic equation for interpolation purposes, the following steps yield values of \( \ln K \) or \( K \).

(6) \( -\Delta H_{\text{Std}}^0/R \quad \text{STO} \quad 6 \)

(7) \( T \quad f \quad a \quad \text{Display} \)

(8) \( \text{SST} \quad \ln K \quad K \)

NOTE 1: A new set of data cannot be treated unless step d has been carried out followed by step a. The program will accommodate an equation with three different products or four products if there is only one mole of the last product value entered. There can be two different reactants or three if there is only one mole of the last reactant value entered. However, any number of products and reactants can be accommodated if \( + \) is keyed in after the second reactant entry and every subsequent one and if \( + \) is keyed in after the third product entry and every subsequent one.
NOTE 2: The program can be used for \(-\left(\frac{G^0-H^\circ_{\text{Std}}}{T}\right)\) and \(-\Delta H^\circ_{\text{Std}}\) as well as for the dimensionless quantities used to illustrate the displays, but step 7 will display \(R \ln K\) instead of \(\ln K\) and it must be divided by \(R\) before obtaining \(K\) by step 8. \(R\) in appropriate units can be stored in register D for use with step 7 or RCL D STO:0 STO:1 STO:2 STO:3 will convert the equation for \(-\left(\frac{\Delta G^0-\Delta H^\circ_{\text{Std}}}{RT}\right)\) to the dimensionless \(-\left(\frac{\Delta G^0-\Delta H^\circ_{\text{Std}}}{RT}\right)\) form: so that it is unnecessary to divide by \(R\) each time step 7 is carried out. Of course, the appropriate \(-\Delta H^\circ_{\text{Std}}\) or \(-\Delta H^\circ_{\text{Std}}/R\) must be used.

NOTE 3: The values of \(-\left(\frac{\Delta G^0-\Delta H^\circ_{\text{Std}}}{RT}\right)\) obtained at each temperature are stored in the secondary registers starting with 80 during the calculations following step d. Thus, a set of values at four temperatures is available for repeat fits using two, three or four of the temperatures. For each fit, after the first, key FPTs and go to step d and then continue with either step e4, e3, or e2 depending upon which fit is desired. Step d returns the values to the secondary registers and they are available for repeated use. Although the program will not fit more than values for four temperatures at a time, steps a-c can be carried out repeatedly for up to ten temperatures. Any two, three, or four of these values can be recalled and stored in the stack as one would do to initiate steps 2a, 2b, or 2c. After FPTs to safeguard the values for future use, one would then proceed with steps 2 to 4 for the particular fit chosen. Also as discussed in Note 4, this procedure requires keying of hSF0 if steps a to c are to be used again. Steps a to c can also be used to evaluate \(\Delta H^\circ_{\text{Std}}/R\) of the reaction from the enthalpies of formation of the reactants and products. One should use FPTs appropriately to insure that values of \(-\left(\frac{\Delta G^0-\Delta H^\circ_{\text{Std}}}{RT}\right)\) or values of \(a_n\) that are wanted for subsequent calculations are not erased by the \(\Delta H\) calculations.

NOTE 4: Flag 0 is used to control the index numbers that regulate the storing of values during steps a to c. The program sets F0 when inserted and subsequent operation of the program maintain FO in the proper set or cleared position as needed. However, if the use of steps a to c is not subsequently followed by step d, the next operation of steps a to c will not start storage in RO but will store beyond the last registers used.

Test:
(2b) \(1.978 \uparrow 2.536 \uparrow 3.25 \uparrow 3.25 \uparrow B -0.558\);
(3b) \(0.3 \uparrow 0.4 \uparrow 0.5 \uparrow R/S 1.240\);
(4b) SST 0.120 SST 7.800; (5) 0.4 \(E\ 2.536\);
(2c) \(1.552 \uparrow 1.978 \uparrow 2.536 \uparrow 3.25 \uparrow C 0.004\);
(3c) \(0.1 \uparrow 0.2 \uparrow R/S 1.000\)
(4c) SST 2.000 SST 3.000 SST 4.000; (5) 0.4 \(E\ 2.536\)
cont'd.
C_{(gr)} + 2Cl_2(g) = CCl_4(g)  \quad (a) \quad \text{fe} \quad 0.00

\begin{align*}
500 & \quad (b_1) \quad 1.16 \quad + \quad 49.85 \quad + \quad 2 \times D \quad 0.00; \quad (c_1) \quad 68.1 \quad R/S = 32.76, 0 \\
1000 & \quad (b_2) \quad 2.78 \quad + \quad 55.43 \quad + \quad 2 \times D \quad 0.00; \quad (c_2) \quad 81.31 \quad R/S = 32.33, 0 \\
1500 & \quad (b_3) \quad 4.19 \quad + \quad 58.85 \quad + \quad 2 \times D \quad 0.00; \quad (c_3) \quad 90.01 \quad R/S = 31.88, 0 \\
2000 & \quad (b_4) \quad 5.38 \quad + \quad 61.34 \quad + \quad 2 \times D \quad 0.00; \quad (c_4) \quad 96.53 \quad R/S = 31.53, 0
\end{align*}

K

(d) \quad f_a = 31.530; \quad (e_d) \quad C = 0.020; \quad (3c) \quad 500 \quad f_p \quad 500 \quad R/S = 33.050;
(4c) \quad \text{SST} \quad 3.60 \times 10^{-4} \quad \text{SST} \quad 5.20 \times 10^{-7} \quad \text{SST} \quad 1.60 \times 10^{-10}; \quad (5) \quad \text{EEX} \quad 3 \quad E = 32.330;
1.98719 \quad \text{STOD,} \quad \Delta H_0^o/R = 25 \times 10^3 \quad \text{RCLD} \quad \div = 12581 \quad \text{STO6}
RCLD \quad 1.98719 \quad \text{STO0} \quad \text{STO1} \quad \text{STO2} \quad \text{STO3}

(7) \quad \text{500} \quad \text{rd} \quad 8.676 \quad \ln K \quad 1.479
750 \quad \text{rd} \quad 0.392 \quad 2.50 \times 10^{-2}
1500 \quad \text{rd} \quad -7.656 \quad 4.73 \times 10^{-4}
2 \text{EEX3 rd} \quad -9.576 \quad 6.94 \times 10^{-5}

fP*S \quad f_a = 31.530; \quad f_b = 31.880; \quad (2b) \quad E = 0.430; \quad (3b) \quad 500 \quad f_p \quad \text{EEX3} \quad 1500 \quad R/S = 33.170; \quad (4b) \quad \text{SST} \quad 8.0 \times 10^{-4} \quad \text{SST} \quad 4.0 \times 10^{-8};
RCLD \quad 1.98719 \quad \text{STO0} \quad \text{STO1} \quad \text{STO2}

(7) \quad \text{500} \quad \text{rd} \quad 8.676 \quad \text{5858}
750 \quad \text{rd} \quad 0.395 \quad 1.485
1500 \quad \text{rd} \quad -7.656 \quad 4.73 \times 10^{-4}

fP*S \quad f_a = 31.530; \quad f_b = 31.880; \quad (2a) \quad A = 0.403; \quad (3a) \quad 500 \quad f_p \quad \text{EEX3} \quad R/S = 33.190; \quad (4a) \quad \text{SST} \quad 8.6 \times 10^{-4} \quad (5) \quad \text{EEX} \quad 3 \quad E = 32.330;
RCLD \quad 1.98719 \quad \text{STO0} \quad \text{STO1}

(7) \quad 750 \quad \text{rd} \quad 0.397 \quad 1.487
1500 \quad \text{rd} \quad -7.666 \quad 4.69 \times 10^{-4}
**Prgm. Interp.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prgm. Interp. Gk</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(d) gLBLfa RCL0 RCL1 RCL2 RCL3 fP$rS hSF0 hRTN</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e,f) fLBLgb hR+ hRTN</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2a) fLBLA STO4 - 0 STO2 STO3 hR+ hRTN</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3a) STO5 - $ STO1 RCL5 X CHS RCL4 + STO0</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4a) hRTN RCL1</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2b) fLBLB hxy - STO5 hR+ hLSTx STO4 - 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3b) ST09 hR+ ST08 hR+ ST07 RCL8 - $ RCL8 RCL9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ STOB X RCL7 RCL8 + RCL5 X RCL9 RCL8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- STOA $ - RCL9 RCL7 - $ STO1 RCL5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCLA $ - CHS RCLB $ STO2 RCL8 E CHS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4b) RCL4 + STO0 hRTN RCL1 RCL2</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2c) fLBLC hR+ STOC - STO7 hR+ hLSTx - STO5 hR+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hLSTx - STO4 RCL7 3 $ RCL4 + RCL5 -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 $ hRTN</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3c) STOB hR+ STOA 3 hyX $ STO3 RCLB RCLA +</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X 3 X CHS RCL5 RCL4 2 X - 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ RCLA gx2 $ + STO2 RCL4 RCLA $ RCL9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCLB 2 X + RCL2 X - RCLA RCLB +</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCLB X 3 X RCLA gx2 + RCL3 X -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST01 0 STO0 RCLB E CHS RCLC + STOO hRTN1,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4c) RCL1 RCL2 RCL3</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7,8) gLBLfd E RCL6 hR+ $ + hRTN geX</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) fLBLB $ $ $ RCL3 X RCL2 + X RCL1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ X RCL0 $ hRTN</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) gLBLf0 0 $ $ $ hF10 hSTI hRTN</td>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) fLBLD + + STO(i) CLX hRTN</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) + + + RCL(i) - STO(i) fISZ hCFO f-x- fe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2 pt. R

| a0 | a1 | 0 | 0 | y2 | x2 |

### 3 pt. R

| a0 | a1 | 0 | 0 | y2 | y3-y2 | x1 | x2 | x3 |

### 4 pt. R

| a0 | a1 | a2 | a3 | y2-y1 | y3-y2 | y4-y1 |

### 5 pt. R

| A | B | C | I | x3-x2 | x2+x3 | 0 | Index |

Each a_n is y2 or used ΔH^0_{Std}/R in R_R, y2-y1 or y4-y1 or x2 or x3.

### R

| A | B | C | I | x3-x2 | x2+x3 | 0 | Index |

### RS

0-3 store -(ΔG^2-ΔH^0_{Std})/RT for 2 to 4 temperatures in order of increasing temperature.
B. **Least-Square Fitting of Data to an Analytical Function**

Least-square fitting of data to an equation \( y = f(x) \) is not a routine process but requires careful consideration of the variations of errors in \( y \) as a function of \( x \).\(^{(15,16,17)}\) For example, if it were desired to obtain the values of \( c \) and \( d \) in the expression \( y = cx^2 + dx^3 \) that best represent a set of data, one could least-square a variety of functions of \( y \). The use of the unweighted function would tend to heavily weight values of \( y \) at large \( x \). As just one alternative example, one could least-square \( y/x^2 = c + dx \) and obtain, in general, quite different values of \( c \) and \( d \) that would correspond to more heavy weighting of values of \( y \) at low \( x \) than for the previous procedure.

One should carefully consider the magnitude of errors in \( y \) as a function of \( x \) before selecting the appropriate procedure. One should apply appropriate weighting to off-set any bias of the least-square procedure as well as to attempt to correct for systematic errors.\(^{(17)}\)

The first example will be the fitting of a set of data \((x,y)\) to a three constant equation, \( y = a + b f_1(x) + cf_2(x) \). To minimize \( [y-a-bf_1(x)-cf_2(x)]^2 \), one takes partial derivatives with respect to \( a \), \( b \) and \( c \) and sets them equal to zero to obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum f_1(x)a + \sum [f_1(x)]^2 b + \sum f_1(x)f_2(x)c &= E_y \\
\sum f_2(x)a + \sum f_1(x)f_2(x)b + \sum [f_2(x)]^2 c &= E_y f_2(x)
\end{align*}
\]

where the sums are over the \( i \) values of \( x \).

**Directions:**

1. Insert tape \( Lf(x) \)
2. GTO \( f \ d \) W/PRIQM, key in \( f_1(x) \), SST SST, Key in \( f_2(x) \), RUN
3. A
4. \( x_1 \ B \) \{ repeat 4 & 5 for all \( i \)
5. \( y_1 \ R/S \)
6. R/S
7. SST SST
8. \( x \ C \) Display \( f_1(x) \)
9. \( y \) Display \( y_i f_2(x_i) \)
10. \( a \)
11. \( b, c \)
12. \( y \)

**NOTE:** Return to step 3 for each new set of data. To add more data after step 7, RCL3 STOX5 and start at step 4 again.
Test:
f_1(x) = \ln x, \ f_2(x) = x^{-1}

(2) W/PRGM f LN SST SST h 1/x RUN; (3) A

(4') 1 B 0.000; (5') 20.0 R/S 20.000

(6') 10 B 2.303; (5'') 15.605 R/S 1.561

(4'') EEX2 B 4.605; (5'') 19.310 R/S 0.193

(5) R/S 10.000; (7) SST 2.000 SST 10.000 (8) 10 C 15.605

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prgm. LST(x)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(3) fLELA fCLRREG fP±S fCLRREG hRTN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) fLELB 4 4 4 fe hRT 4 fd fd hLSTx hRTN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) fLEL1 STO+5 4 X STO+6 4 hRTx X STO+7 4 hRTN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) RCL2+ STO1 4 hRT 4 STO2 4 fP±S RCL9 RCL8 RCL7 RCL5 fP±S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STO8 hRT 4 STO9 hRT 4 STO4 hRT 4 STO3 RCL1 h+ 2 RCL3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STO5 4 RCL8 4 RCL1 RCL2 RCL3 4 X RCL4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- STO8 hLot STO4 RCL2 h+ 2 RCL3 4 RCL9 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STO9 RCL6 RCL7 RCL5 RCL2 X hLot hLot hLot RCL5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCL1 X hRT 4 STO0 RCL4 RCL9 X RCL8 h+ 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- RCL0 RCL9 X RCL8 hRCI X - hLot hLot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STO8 RCL4 X RCL0 RCL5 RCL0 RCL8 4 STO8 4 RCL2 RCL0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X RCL1 RCLB X 4 RCL3 4 RCL5 hLot hLot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STOA hRTN RCLB RCLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7) fLELC 4 4 4 fe RCLC X hLot fd RCLB X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ RCL8 4 hRTN 138 memory steps + f_1(x)+f_2(x)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ R \begin{array}{cccccccccc}
0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\Sigma f_1(x) & \Sigma f_2(x) & i & \Sigma f_1(x)f_2(x) & \Sigma f_1(x) & \Sigma f_2(x) & \Sigma[f_1(x)]^2 & \Sigma[f_2(x)]^2 & q & \frac{1}{2} \Sigma y \\
\end{array} \]

\[ q = \frac{1}{2} (\Sigma f_1(x))^2 - \Sigma f_1(x))^2, \quad r = \frac{1}{i} (\Sigma f_2(x))^2 - \Sigma f_2(x))^2, \]

\[ t = \frac{\Sigma y f_1(x)}{i} - \Sigma y f_1(x), \quad u = \Sigma y f_2(x)/i - \Sigma y f_2(x) \]
Linear Regression

For a simple linear regression to fit a set of \((x,y)\) to \(f(y)=bf(x)+a\), the following program is adapted from program Stat 1-22 of the HP-65 User's Library (18). \(f(x)\) may be \(1/x\) and \(f(y)\) may be \(\ln y\) or more complicated functions. In the equations for the coefficient of determination and for the standard errors, \(f(x)\) will be taken as \(x\) and \(f(y)\) will be taken as \(y\).

The coefficient of determination is given by

\[
gr^2 = \frac{[\Sigma xy - (\Sigma x \Sigma y)/i]^2}{[\Sigma x^2 - (\Sigma x)^2/i][\Sigma y^2 - (\Sigma y)^2/i]}.
\]

The standard error estimate of \(y\) on \(x\) is given by

\[
s_{y \cdot x} = \left[ \frac{\Sigma (y - \hat{y})^2}{i - 2} \right]^{1/2} = \left[ \frac{\Sigma y^2 - a\Sigma y - b\Sigma xy}{i - 2} \right]^{1/2}.
\]

The standard error of \(a\) is given by

\[
s_a = s_{y \cdot x} \left[ \frac{\Sigma x^2}{i \Sigma x^2 - (\Sigma x)^2} \right]^{1/2}.
\]

The standard error of \(b\) is given by

\[
s_b = s_{y \cdot x} \left[ \frac{\Sigma x^2 - (\Sigma x)^2/i}{i} \right]^{1/2}.
\]

Directions:

1. Insert tape LR
2. Key GTO fb W/PRGM, key \(f(x)\) SST SST, key \(f(y)\) SST SST, key \(f^{-1}(y)\) RUN. If \(f(x) = x\) or \(f(y) = y\), nothing need be keyed in for \(f(x)\) or for \(f(y)\) and \(f^{-1}(y)\).
3. \(fa\)
4. \(x_1 \uparrow y_1 E\)
   \(x_2 \uparrow y_2 E\)
   \[\vdots\]
   \(x_n \uparrow y_n E\)
5. \(A\)
   \(SST\)
6. \(B\)
7. \(xC\)
8. \(D\)
   \(R/S\)
   \(SST\)
NOTE 1: For data at regular intervals, after fLBLE add \( hLSTx f^{-1}(x)I + hx\) gLBE and after fLBLE add \( hRTN I + \ldots \) gLBLc where \( I \) is the interval between \( x \) values and \( f^{-1}(x) \) converts \( f(x) \) to \( x \).

Step 4 is changed to \( x_1 \uparrow y_1 f_1, y_2 E, y_3 E \ldots y_n E \) and step(5) is changed to \( x_1 \uparrow \ldots f_1 \). Step(5) is changed to \( x_1 \uparrow \ldots f \). Step(6) is changed to \( x_1 \uparrow \ldots f \).

### Prgm. LR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>fLBLE</th>
<th>f'd</th>
<th>hx_xy</th>
<th>fb</th>
<th>( \Sigma^+ )</th>
<th>hRTN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>gLBL</td>
<td>fCRL0G</td>
<td>( fP#S )</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fLBL</td>
<td>( fP#S )</td>
<td>RCL6</td>
<td>RCL4</td>
<td>RCL6</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCL5</td>
<td>RCL4</td>
<td>( gx^2 )</td>
<td>RCL9</td>
<td>( \div )</td>
<td>STOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f'x</td>
<td>RCLB</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>( \div )</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td>RCLB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fLBL</td>
<td>RCLB</td>
<td>RCLC</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>( fP#S )</td>
<td>RCL7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f'2</td>
<td>( \div )</td>
<td>( fP#S )</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fLBL</td>
<td>f'b</td>
<td>RCLB</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>RCLA</td>
<td>( \div )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fLBL</td>
<td>( fP#S )</td>
<td>RCL7</td>
<td>RCL6</td>
<td>RCLA</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCL5</td>
<td>RCL9</td>
<td>( 2 )</td>
<td>( \div )</td>
<td>( f\sqrt{x} )</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCLD</td>
<td>( f\sqrt{x} )</td>
<td>( \div )</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td>( \Sigma )</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gLBLb[( f(x) )]hRTN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gLBLd[( f(y) )]hRTN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fLBO[( f^{-1}(y) )]hRTN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \Sigma f(x) )</td>
<td>( \Sigma (f(x))^2 )</td>
<td>( \Sigma f(y) )</td>
<td>( \Sigma (f(y))^2 )</td>
<td>( \Sigma f(x)f(y) )</td>
<td>i</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>( \Sigma f(x)f(y)-(\Sigma f(x))\Sigma f(y)i )</td>
<td>( \Sigma (f(x))^2-(\Sigma f(x))^2/i )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test:

(2) GTO fb W/PRGM hL/x SST SST SST SST \( e^x \) RUN

(3) \( f(a) \): 1300 \( \uparrow \) 1.47\( \times \)10\(-2 \) E 1, 1400 \( \uparrow \) 2.63\( \times \)10\(-2 \) E 2, 1500 \( \uparrow \) 4.5\( \times \)10\(-2 \) E 3,
1600 \( \uparrow \) 6.96\( \times \)10\(-2 \) E

(5) \( A, a \approx 4.112 \) SST, \( b = -10835 \) (6) B 0.9998

(7) 1300 C 1.466\( \times \)10\(-2 \), 1600 C 6.99\( \times \)10\(-2 \)

(8) \( D, s_{lny.1/x} = 0.0124 \); R/S \( s_a = 0.08 \); SST \( s_b = 745 \).
Least-Square Fit of \( f(y) = a f_1(x) + b f_2(x) + cf_3(x) \)

Prgm. \( \text{LSf}_1\text{f}_2\text{f}_3 \) is an extension of Prgm. \( \text{LSf}(x) \).

\[
\begin{align*}
  a &= (AEyf_1 - qEf_1f_2 + rEf_1f_3)/D \\
  b &= (qEf_1^2 - BEyf_1 + sEf_1f_3)/D \\
  c &= (-rEf_1^2 - sEf_1f_2 + CEyf_1)/D
\end{align*}
\]

where
\[
\begin{align*}
  A &= Ef_2^2Ef_3^2 - (Ef_2f_3)^2 \\
  B &= Ef_1f_2Ef_3^2 - Ef_1f_3Ef_2f_3 \\
  C &= Ef_1f_2Ef_2f_3 - Ef_1f_3Ef_2^2 \\
  D &= AEf_1^2 - BEf_1f_2 + CEyf_1 \\
  q &= Eyf_1Ef_3^2 - Eyf_3Ef_2f_3 \\
  r &= Eyf_2Ef_2f_3 - Eyf_3Ef_2^2 \\
  s &= Eyf_3Ef_1f_2 - Eyf_2Ef_1f_3
\end{align*}
\]

If \( f_1, f_2, \) and \( f_3 \) are not functions of \( x \) directly but are functions \( f(x') \) of \( x' = f'(x) \), the program provides for conversion of \( x \) to \( x' \). For example, if a solidus or liquidus curve is to be expressed as a function of \( T_{\text{mp}} - T \) rather than \( T \), \( f'(x) \) would be keyed in as \( T_{\text{mp}} - \text{CHS} \). After insertion of a set of data, additional data can be added after steps (5)-(7); follow with steps (5)-(6) for new values of \( a, b, \) and \( c \).

Directions:

1. Insert tape \( \text{LSf}_1\text{f}_2\text{f}_3(x) \)
2. Key GTO 31 W/PRGM, key in \( f'(x) \) SST SST SST, key in \( f_1(x) \) SST SST, key in \( f_2(x) \) SST SST, key in \( f_3(x) \) SST SST, key in \( f(y) \) SST SST, key in \( f^{-1}(y) \) RUN. If any \( f(x) = x \) or \( f(y) = y \), key in nothing for that function.
3. A (before a set of data to clear registers)
4. \( x_i \uparrow y_i \) B repeat for all \( i \).
5. C
6. SST SST
7. \( x \) D
8. (\( x_1 - 100 \)) STOE \( E \Rightarrow y_1, \ E \Rightarrow y_2, \) etc for \( x \) at 100 intervals.
\[
\text{LSTf}_3 f_2 f_3(x)
\]

\[
(3) \quad fLBLA \quad fCLREG \quad fPZS \quad fCLREG \quad hRTN
\]

\[
(4) \quad fLBLB \quad fR \quad STOA \quad hR^+ \quad fA \quad STOB \quad RCLA \quad X
\]

\[
\text{STO}+1 \quad hR^+ \quad + \quad fB \quad STOC \quad RCLA \quad X \quad \text{STO}+2 \quad hR^+
\]

\[
RCLC \quad RCLB \quad \Sigma^+ \quad hR^+ \quad hR^+ \quad fc \quad STOD \quad RCLA \quad X \quad \text{STO}+3
\]

\[
RCLC \quad RCLD \quad fPZS \quad \Sigma^+ \quad fPZS \quad hLSTx \quad RCLB \quad X \quad \text{STO}+0 \quad hRTN
\]

\[
gLBLa \quad f'(x) \quad + \quad + \quad f_1(x) \quad hRTN
\]

\[
gLBLb \quad f_2(x) \quad hRTN
\]

\[
gLBLc \quad f_3(x) \quad hRTN
\]

\[
gLBLd \quad f(y) \quad hRTN
\]

\[
gLBLe \quad f^{-1}(y) \quad hRTN
\]

\[
(5) \quad fLBLC \quad fPZS \quad RCL5 \quad RCL8 \quad fPZS \quad STO9 \quad hR^+ \quad \text{STO}+6 \quad RCL7 \quad RCL5
\]

\[
X \quad RCL8 \quad gx^2 \quad - \quad \text{STOA} \quad RCL9 \quad RCL5 \quad X \quad \text{RCL0} \quad RCL8
\]

\[
X \quad - \quad \text{STOB} \quad RCL9 \quad RCL8 \quad X \quad RCL0 \quad RCL7 \quad X \quad -
\]

\[
\text{STOC} \quad RCL0 \quad X \quad RCL6 \quad RCLA \quad X \quad + \quad RCL9 \quad RCLB \quad X
\]

\[
- \quad \text{STOD} \quad RCL2 \quad RCL8 \quad X \quad RCL3 \quad RCL7 \quad X \quad - \quad \text{STOE}
\]

\[
RCL0 \quad X \quad RCL2 \quad RCL5 \quad X \quad RCL3 \quad RCL8 \quad X \quad - \quad hSTT
\]

\[
RCL9 \quad X \quad - \quad RCLA \quad RCL1 \quad X \quad + \quad RCLD \quad + \quad \text{STOA}
\]

\[
RCL3 \quad RCL9 \quad X \quad RCL2 \quad RCL0 \quad X \quad - \quad \text{STO}4 \quad RCL0 \quad X
\]

\[
RCLB \quad RCL1 \quad X \quad - \quad hRCI \quad RCL6 \quad X \quad + \quad \text{RCLD} \quad +
\]

\[
\text{STOB} \quad RCLC \quad RCL1 \quad X \quad RCL4 \quad RCL9 \quad X \quad - \quad RCL6 \quad RCL6
\]

\[
(6) \quad X \quad - \quad \text{RCLD} \quad + \quad \text{STOC} \quad RCLB \quad hRTN \quad RCLB \quad RCLC \quad 16nyf(x)
\]

\[
(7) \quad fLBLD \quad RCLE \quad EEX \quad 2 \quad + \quad \text{STOE} \quad fLBLD \quad fA \quad RCLA \quad X
\]

\[
\text{STO}4 \quad hR^+ \quad + \quad fB \quad RCLB \quad X \quad \text{STO}+4 \quad hR^+ \quad + \quad fc
\]

\[
RCLC \quad X \quad \text{STO}+4 \quad RCL4 \quad fc \quad hRTN \quad 1900f(x)
\]

\[
\text{P} \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad 7 \quad 8 \quad 9
\]

\[
\Sigma f_1 f_3 \quad \Sigma y f_1 \quad \Sigma y f_2 \quad \Sigma y f_3 \quad \Sigma f_3^2 \quad \Sigma f_2^2 \quad \Sigma f_2 f_3 \quad i
\]

\[
\text{S} \quad 5 \quad 7 \quad 8 \quad 9
\]

\[
\Sigma f_1^2 \quad \Sigma f_2^2 \quad \Sigma f_1 f_2 \quad i
\]

\[
\text{Reg.} \quad A \quad B \quad C \quad D \quad E \quad I
\]

\[
f(y) \quad f_1(x) \quad f_2(x) \quad f_3(x)
\]

\[
A \quad B \quad C \quad D
\]

\[
a \quad b \quad c
\]

\[
r \quad q
\]

\[
\text{TEST:}
\]

(2) \[ \text{GTO f a W/PRGM 3 EEX 3 - CHS SST SST SST SST SST gx}^2 \text{ SST SST 3 h y}^x \]

\[
\text{SST SST h 1/x SST SST h 1/x RUN}
\]

(3) \[ \text{A } (4) \text{ 1800 } + \ 2.2894 \times 10^{-4} \ B \ 2.07 \times 10^{-12} ; 1900 \ + \ 2.7465 \times 10^{-11} \ B \ 1.46 \times 10^{-12} ;
\]

\[
2 \text{EEX 3 } + \ 3.333 \times 10^{-4} \ B \ 1 \times 10^{-12} ; \ 2100 \ + \ 4.1 \times 10^{-11} \ B \ 6.56 \times 10^{-11} ; \ 2200
\]

\[
5.124 \times 10^{-4} \ B \ 4.1 \times 10^{-11}
\]

(5) \[ \text{C, } 0.992 \ (6) \text{ SST } 1.016 \times 10^{-3} \text{ SST } 9.92 \times 10^{-7} ; \ (7) \ 2200 \ \text{D } 5.1235 \times 10^{-4} ;
\]

\[
1800 \ \text{D } 2.2894 \times 10^{-4} \ (8) \ 1700 \text{ STOE E } 2.2894 \times 10^{-4} \ E \ 2.7464 \times 10^{-4}
\]

\[
3.333 \times 10^{-4} \ E \ 4.100 \times 10^{-4} \ E \ 5.1235 \times 10^{-4}.
\]
The common practice of high precision fitting of experimental data with a power series with a large number of terms is not followed here. The experimental error inherent in most data do not warrant high precision fits and the use of a large number of terms that work against one another makes it impossible to assign physical significance to any term. When the data have been made available at even intervals, the use of orthogonal functions such as the Chebyshev polynomials of Chapter 1 to fit \( f(y) = \sum_{n} a_n[f(x)]^n \) offers many advantages. The orthogonality makes the solution of matrices simple and a change in the number of terms does not change the coefficients of the earlier terms. However, there are often theoretical reasons to expect a better fit with a mixture of functions such as \( \ln x \) with powers of \( x \) as well as inverse powers of \( x \). Then \( f(y) = a + bf_1(x) + cf_2(x) \) or \( f(y) = af_1(x) + bf_2(x) + cf_3(x) \) can be fit using the previous programs. The next section describes ways of using additional functions without increasing the number of independent parameters.

Fit of Data to Equations with More Than Three Constants

The solution of simultaneous equations with more than three variables requires \( 4 \times 4 \) and higher matrices. If the data are available at even spaced \( x \) values, a much simpler procedure involves the use of orthogonal polynomials such as the Chebyshev polynomials. Such a simpler procedure is to use interpolation programs to provide data for evenly spaced \( x \) values and to fit the data with a Chebyshev polynomial as illustrated in Chapter I.

Sometimes, it is desired to fit data to a four constant equation, but it may not be advisable to fit an equation with four simultaneously adjustable constants, as errors in the data can yield quite unreasonable constants when the four terms are allowed to work against one another in an attempt to fit the scattered data. A procedure for fitting the four constants with only three being independently adjusted will be illustrated for heat capacity data and for values of enthalpy increments available from drop-calorimeter measurements. The equation \( C_p = a + bT + cT^{-2} \) is often adequate for many substances for a considerable temperature range above room temperature and can be fit as described for a three constant fit. The negative \( cT^{-2} \) term represents the drop in heat capacity at lower temperatures due to quantized vibrational levels. The 'a' term corresponds to the classical Dulong and Pettit value.
The 'bT' arises from electronic, thermal expansion and anharmonicity contributions. These contributions also provide higher order terms which together with a contribution from vacancy formation necessitate a dT^2 term at higher temperatures. The enthalpy equation corresponding to C_p = a + bT + cT^2 + dT^2 is \( \Delta H - \Delta H_{\text{Std}} = a(T - T_{\text{Std}}) + \frac{1}{2}b(T^2 - T_{\text{Std}}^2) - c(T^{-1} - T_{\text{Std}}^{-1}) + (d/3)(T^3 - T_{\text{Std}}^3) \). As the enthalpy data often have approximately the same percent error over the temperature range of study, the expression to be fitted by least-square procedures is often

\[
\frac{\Delta H - \Delta H_{\text{Std}}}{T - T_{\text{Std}}} = a + \frac{1}{2}b(T + T_{\text{Std}}) + c/T_{\text{Std}} + (d/3)(T^2 + T_{\text{Std}}^2 + T_{\text{Std}}^2).
\]

If both the 'c' and 'd' terms are important, there will be a minimum value of dC_p/dT at \((T^*)^4 = -3c/d\) with the contribution of the dT^2 term becoming very small at lower temperatures and with the contribution of the cT^2 term becoming very small at higher temperatures. A first approximation to \(T^*\) can be obtained from inspection of the data. A revised value can be selected upon examination of the deviations between observed and calculated C_p values near \(T^*\). A practical way of treating the data is to replace the constant \(d\) by \(-3c/(T^*)^4\) to obtain \(C_p = a + bT + c[T^{-2} - 3(T^*)^{-1}T^2]\). One could also replace \(c\) to obtain \(C_p = a + cT + d[T^2 - \frac{1}{3}(T^*)^4 T^2]\). The equations are equivalent. Program LSf(x) can be used with \(f_1(x) = T\) and \(f_2(x) = T^2 - 3(T^*)^{-1}T^2\) if the constant \(c\) is retained or with \(f_2(x) = T^2 - \frac{1}{3}(T^*)^4 T^2\) if the constant \(d\) is retained. Program LSCp is given here with the constant \(c\) retained.

**Directions:**

1. Insert tape LS C_p
2. T* A
3. T B repeat for all data
4. C_p R/S
5. R/S
6. SST SST SST
7. T C
8. T_i + H_i - H_{298} fa
9. T D
10. R/S

**Display:**

\(T^*\) \(T\) \(C_p\) \(f_2(x)\) \(a\) \(b, c, d\)

**NOTE:** If \(T_i = 298.15\), key \(H_i - H_{298} = 0\) in step 8. Start at step 2 for each new set of data. To add more data after step 6, RCL3 STOX5 and start with step 3 again.
Test:

(2) 700 A; (3) 500 B; (4) 1.35 R/S 2.0×10⁻⁶; EEEX 3 B; 2.9 R/S - 2.6×10⁻⁵;
1500 B; 4.7056 R/S - 1.0×10⁻⁵; 2EEEX 3 B; 6.975 R/S - 2.8×10⁻⁴

(5) R/S 0.999; (6) SST 0.001001, SST - 99932; SST 0.9998×10⁻⁶

(7) 500 C 1.350; (8) 298.15 + 0 fa 298.15; (9) 500 D 179.9 (10) R/S 179.9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prgm. LS C*</th>
<th>(2) TLBLA  fCLREG fP+S  fCLREG STOE hRTN</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(3) TLBLB</td>
<td>↑ ↑  fe  hR↑  Σ+  hLSTx  hRTN</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) STO+5</td>
<td>X  STO+6  hR↑  hLSTx  X  STO+7  hRTN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) The next 91 steps are unchanged from Prgm. LSf(x) through STOA (steps 25-115)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) hRTN</td>
<td>RCLB  RCLC  RCLD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gLBLfe</td>
<td>RCLE</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hx*xy</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>hLSTx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7) TLBLC</td>
<td>↑ ↑  RCLD  X  RCLB  +  X  RCLC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hR↑</td>
<td>gx²</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8) gLBLA</td>
<td>ST00</td>
<td>hR↑  hSTI  hRTN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9) TLLBD</td>
<td>↑ ↑  hRCI  +  X  hRCI  gx²  +</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCLD</td>
<td>3  +  RCLC  hRCI  ÷  hR↑  ÷  +</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hR↑</td>
<td>hRCI  +  RCLB  X  2  ÷  +  RCLA  +</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hR↑</td>
<td>hRCI  -  X  hRTN  RCL0  +  hRTN</td>
<td>203 memory steps.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Registers are the same as for LSf(x) except for H₁-H₂98 in 0 and for A-I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>T*</td>
<td>u</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Program LS C* can be readily adapted to the fit of \( \frac{(H_T-H_{T_1})}{(T-T_1)} \) to the constants a, b, c and d.

\[
\frac{(H_T-H_{T_1})}{(T-T_1)} = a' + b'T + c'f(T) \]

where

\[
a' = a + \frac{1}{2}bT_{T_1} - cT_1(T^*)^{-1/3} = a + \frac{1}{2}bT_{T_1} + \frac{1}{3}dT_1^2
\]

\[
b' = \frac{1}{2}b - cT_1(T^*)^{-1/3} = \frac{1}{2}b + \frac{1}{3}dT_1
\]

\[
c' = -c(T^*)^{-1/3} = \frac{1}{3}d
\]

\[
f(T) = T^2 - (T^*)^1/3/T_{T_1}
\]
Directions:

(1) Insert tape LS H*
(2) $T^* + T_1 + H_1 - H_298 A$
(3) $T$ $B$
   \{ repeat for all data \}
(4) $H - H_1$ $R/S$
(5) $R/S$
(6) SST SST SST
(7) $T$ $C$
(8) $T$ $D$
(9) $R/S$

Test:

(2) 740 $+ 298.15 + 0 A 740$
(3) 500 B; (4) 180 $R/S - 1570828$; EEX 3 B; 1246.5 $R/S - 10220$;
   1500 B; 3130 $R/S 4113513$; 2EEX3 B; 6030 $R/S 12391015$;
(5) $R/S \times 10^{-7}$ (6) SST - 9995, SST 0.001000, SST 1.00048;
(7) 500 C 1.35; 500 D 180; $R/S 180$

Prgm. LS H*

(2) fLBLA fCLREG STOO hR+ fP+S fCLREG hSTI hR+ STOE hRTN
(3) fLBLB $\uparrow$ $\uparrow$ $\uparrow$ $fe$ hR+ $L+$ hLSTx hRTN
(4) ST00 CLx hRCI - RCLO hsz$z$ $\div$ hR+ hsz$z$
   STO+5 X STO+6 hR+ hLSTx X STO+7 hRTN
(5) The next 91 steps to STOA are unchanged from Prgm. LSF(x) except for
   changing hSTOI and hRCI to STO6 and RCL6 at steps 73 and 90.
   RCLC 3 X STOD RCLB RCLC hRCI X - RCLB
   STO1 hsz$z$ 2 X STOB hR+ hRCI X RCLA ST00
   - CHS STOA RCLE $h$ hy$x$ RCLC X CHS STOC
   RCLB hsz$z$ RCLD hRTN hR+ hR+ hR+ 164
(8) fLBLD RCLI hsz$z$ X hLSTx $fe$ hLSTx $f\sqrt{x}$ hsz$z$ RCLD
   X 3 $\div$ hsz$z$ hR+ + RCLO + hR+ hRCI
   - X hRTN fP+S RCLO fP+S + hRTN 192
   gLBLFe RCLE $\uparrow$ $L+$ hy$x$ hRCI $\div$ hsz$z$ $\div$ CHS
   hLSTx $gx^2$ $+$ hRTN 206
(7) fLBLC $\uparrow$ $\uparrow$ h1/x $gx^2$ RCLC X hsz$z$ RCLB X
   + RCLA + hRTN 220 memory steps.

All registers are the same as for LSF(x) except that $u$ is stored in R6,
$H_1 - H_298$ in D, $a'$ is added to RO, $b'$ is added to R1 and A to I are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a'</td>
<td>b'</td>
<td>c'</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>$T^*$</td>
<td>$T_1$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a b c
Program LSf(x) and the related programs fit data to a three constant equation. To least-square fit the two constant \( y = b_1(x) + c f_2(x) \) equation, it is necessary to minimize \( \sum[y - b_1(x) - c f_2(x)]^2 \) to obtain the two equations: \( b_1^2 + cE_1 f_2 = E y f_1 \) and \( b_1^2 + cE_2 f_2 = E y f_2 \). Simultaneous solution yields \( b = (E y f_1 E_1 f_2 - E y f_2 E f_1 f_2)/D \) and \( c = (E y f_2 E_1 f_2 - E y f_1 E_1 f_2)/D \) where \( D = E_1 f_1 f_2 - (E_1 f_2)^2 \). With \( f_1(x) = x^0 \), the above equations would also fit to a equation with a constant term plus a function of \( x \). However, program SD-03A of the HP-67 Standard Pac(18) covers several of the commonly used simple functions such as \( y = a + bx \), \( y = ae^{bx} (a > 0) \), \( y = a + b \ln x \), and \( y = ax^b (a > 0) \).

A program based on the above equations for a two constant fit is given here to deal with properties of binary solutions as a function of molefraction, \( x \), which varies from 0 to 1. The properties \( C_p, H, S \) and \( G \) are expressed(12) as functions of \( x \) in the following manner:
\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{y}_1 &= bx_2^2 + cx_2^3, \\
\bar{y}_2 &= (b + \frac{3}{2}c)x_1^2 - cx_1^3,
\end{align*}
\]
and \( y = x_1 x_2 (b + \frac{1}{2}c + \frac{1}{2}cx_2) \).

In many solutions, the change from an excess of one component to an excess of the other component changes the character of the interaction and the constants of the function.(19) Thus, it is often expedient to fit each portion of the composition range independently with the change often at the midpoint, but frequently at other compositions depending upon the orbitals being utilized as one metal is added to another. When a single equation is not used over the entire composition range for partial molal quantities, a constant of integration of the Gibbs-Duhem equation must be evaluated at the overlapping composition for \( \bar{y}_1 \) at high \( x_2 \) or for \( \bar{y}_2 \) at high \( x_1 \) to assure continuity of the functions. The programs given below provide for evaluation of the constant of integration when necessary.

Because of occurrence of substantial systematic errors in many data, complications in the character of the interactions, and inherent bias of the least-square process when applied to the functions of interest, suitable weighting of the data is very important. A variety of weighting procedures are used. Key A makes a least-square fit to \( \bar{y}_1 = bx_2^2 + cx_2^3 \), which heavily weights values at high \( x_2 \). Key B uses \( \bar{y}_1/x_2 = bx_2 + cx_2^2 \) to reduce the bias of the least-square process toward high \( x_2 \) values. Key C uses \( \bar{y}_1/x_2^2 = b + cx_2 \) to further reduce the weight at high \( x_2 \). Components are assigned 1 and 2 so that the experimental \( \bar{y} \) is \( \bar{y}_1 \). When integral quantities are available, key D fits \( y/x_1 x_2 = b + \frac{1}{2}c + \frac{1}{2}cx_2 \).
When a single equation for \(Y_l\) is not used over the entire composition range, the integration of the Gibbs-Duhem equation\(^{(12)}\) to obtain \(\bar{Y}_2\) at high \(x_l\) requires evaluation of a constant of integration which is obtained from
\[
d = \frac{1}{4}\left[(b'+c')-(b''+c'')\right]
\]
where the single primes apply to the constants for the \(x_2' = 0.5 - 1\) range and the double prime applies to the constants for the \(x_2'' = 0 - 0.5\) range. When the change in equations is at a value of \(x_l\) not at the midpoint, \(d = x_2\left[\left(b' + c'\left(\frac{3}{2} - x_l\right)\right) - \left(b'' + c''\left(\frac{3}{2} - x_l\right)\right)\right]\) which is stored in RD for evaluation of \(\bar{Y}_2\) and \(Y\) at low \(x_2''\).

To calculate \(\bar{Y}_1\), \(\bar{Y}_2\) or \(Y\) given \(b\) and \(c\), store \(b\) in RB and \(c\) in RC and steps 6 to 8 will yield the desired quantities. If necessary, \(d\) should also be stored in RD.

Directions:
(1) Insert Prgm. LS \(Y\)
(2) \(f\ a\)

To fit \(\bar{Y}_1 = bx_2^2 + cx_2^3\)
(3a) \(x_2\ A\) \{ repeat for all data \(x_2\)
(4a) \(\bar{Y}_1\ R/S\) \(x_1 x_2^3\)
(5) \(R/S\)
(6) \(x_2\ E\)
(7) \(R/S\)
(8) \(R/S\)

To fit \(\bar{Y}_1/x_2 = bx_2^2 + cx_2\)
(3b) \(x_2\ B\) \{ repeat for all data \(x_2\)
(4b) \(\bar{Y}_1\ R/S\) \(x_1 x_2^3\)

Steps 5-8 as above

To fit \(\bar{Y}_1/x_2^2 = b + cx_2\)
(3c) \(x_2\ C\) \{ repeat for all data \(x_2\)
(4c) \(\bar{Y}_1\ R/S\) \(x_1 x_2^3\)

Steps 5-8 as above

To fit \(Y/x_1 x_2 = b + \frac{1}{2}c + \frac{1}{2}cx_2\)
(3d) \(x_2\ D\) \{ repeat for all data \(x_1\)
(4d) \(Y\ R/S\) \(b + \frac{1}{2}c, \frac{1}{2}c\)
(5) \(R/S\)
(5d) \(R/S\)

Steps 6-8 as above
NOTE 1: For each new set of data, start at step 2.

NOTE 2: Once constants b, c, and, if necessary, d are stored, steps 6-8 can be repeated for any values of x in range of fit.

NOTE 3: For calculations of $\bar{Y}_2$ at low $x_2$, d is needed if the original $\bar{Y}_1$ data were not fit to a single equation for the entire composition range as explained in the text. For such a situation, follow step 6 with step 6' after evaluation of data for $x_2 = 0$ to 0.5 range. Step (6'): $(b'+c')$ fe will store $d = \frac{1}{5}[(b'+c')-(b''+c'')]$ in register D. When the break in the data treatment is not at $x = 0.5$, use equation for d in the text.

Program 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3a</th>
<th>4a</th>
<th>3b</th>
<th>4b</th>
<th>3c</th>
<th>4c</th>
<th>3d</th>
<th>4d</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>5d</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>6'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>gLBLfe</td>
<td>rCLREG</td>
<td>fP+8</td>
<td>fCLREG</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3a)</td>
<td>fLBLA</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>gx²</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>hLSTx</td>
<td>Σ+</td>
<td>hLSTx</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4a)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>STO+1</td>
<td>hxy</td>
<td>hLSTx</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>STO+2</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td>GTOO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3b)</td>
<td>fLBLB</td>
<td>gx²</td>
<td>hLSTx</td>
<td>Σ+</td>
<td>hLSTx</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4b)</td>
<td>STO+1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>STO+2</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td>GTOO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3c)</td>
<td>fLBLC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>h+</td>
<td>hR+</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4c)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>hR+</td>
<td>hxy</td>
<td>÷</td>
<td>STO+2</td>
<td>hR+</td>
<td>hLSTx</td>
<td>gx²</td>
<td>÷</td>
<td>STO+1</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td>GTOO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3d)</td>
<td>fLBLD</td>
<td>fGSBC</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>CNH</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4d)</td>
<td>hxy</td>
<td>÷</td>
<td>STO+2</td>
<td>hxy</td>
<td>÷</td>
<td>STO+1</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>fLBL0</td>
<td>fP+S</td>
<td>RCL5</td>
<td>RCL8</td>
<td>RCL7</td>
<td>fP+S</td>
<td>STO5</td>
<td>hR+</td>
<td>STO4</td>
<td>hR+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCL2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>RCL1</td>
<td>RCL4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>RCL3</td>
<td>RCL5</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>RCL2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STO3</td>
<td>RCL2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>STO0</td>
<td>÷</td>
<td>STOC</td>
<td>RCL1</td>
<td>RCL5</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>RCL2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5d)</td>
<td>RCL4</td>
<td>gx²</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>RCL0</td>
<td>÷</td>
<td>STOB</td>
<td>f-x-</td>
<td>RCLC</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STOB</td>
<td>hLSTx</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>STOC</td>
<td>f-x-</td>
<td>RCLB</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>fLBL5</td>
<td>STO9</td>
<td>gx²</td>
<td>hLSTx</td>
<td>RCLC</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>RCLB</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>STOA</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STO9</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>RCL9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>STO8</td>
<td>gx²</td>
<td>RCLC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>÷</td>
<td>RCLA</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>RCLD</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>RCL9</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>hxy</td>
<td>RCL8</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6')</td>
<td>gLBLfe</td>
<td>RCLB</td>
<td>RCLC</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>÷</td>
<td>STOD</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>$\Sigma f_1$</td>
<td>$\Sigma f_2$</td>
<td>$\Sigma f_1^2$</td>
<td>$\Sigma f_1 f_2$</td>
<td>$\Sigma f_2^2$</td>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>$x_2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RS</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Sigma f_1^2$</td>
<td>$\Sigma f_2^2$</td>
<td>$\Sigma f_1 f_2$</td>
<td>$b+\frac{1}{2}c$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}c$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b+cx_2$</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following values can be used to test Prgm. \( \bar{Y} \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( x_2 )</th>
<th>0.1</th>
<th>0.2</th>
<th>0.3</th>
<th>0.4</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>0.6</th>
<th>0.7</th>
<th>0.8</th>
<th>0.9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \bar{Y}_1 )</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>784</td>
<td>1152</td>
<td>1620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \bar{Y}_2 )</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>882</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Y )</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As these values are derived from \( \bar{Y}_1 = bx_2^2 + cx_2^3 \) with \( b = 200 \) and \( c = 2000 \), any of the weighting procedures of Prgm. \( \bar{Y} \) will yield \( b = 200 \) and \( c = 2000 \).
CHAPTER III
Standard Thermodynamic Calculations

A. Thermodynamic Properties of Gases

The several programs tabulated here are designed to calculate the translational, electronic, vibrational and rotational contributions to the thermodynamic functions of gaseous molecules using the equations given in Chapter 27 of Ref. (12). For gaseous atoms, Prgm. E-A provides the electronic and translational contributions to the thermodynamic functions. It can also be used to calculate only the electronic contribution for either atoms or molecules.

Program D-LT calculates the translational, rotational and vibrational contributions for diatomic or linear polyatomic molecules. Program Cor calculates the corrections to the results of Prgm. D-LT if the effects of anharmonicity, centrifugal stretching and vibration-rotation interaction are to be included. When it is desired to obtain moments of inertia for the rotational calculations from molecular constants, Prgm. ID can be used to calculate $R_e$ values for diatomic or linear molecules, moments of inertia or products of moments of inertia for a variety of molecules including bent triatomic, symmetric top $CB_{A3}$, octahedral $BA_{6}$, etc. Program NL uses the product of the moments of inertia of non-linear molecules to calculate the translation, rotational and vibrational contributions.

The spectroscopic constants used should be expressed in units of cm$^{-1}$, the unit conventionally used in spectroscopic publications. All the thermodynamic quantities are calculated in dimensionless form, which is most suitable for direct calculation of equilibrium constants. However, the programs provide for multiplication by $R$ in appropriate units to yield the functions in the units desired.

In identifying quantities, the subscripts $e$, $r$, $t$ and $v$ are used to refer to electronic, rotational, translational and vibrational contributions, respectively. The superscript $^\circ$ for quantities including translational contributions indicate that the values are for the gaseous standard state, although the superscript is not always shown, as all values are for the gaseous standard state.
Diatomic or Linear Polyatomic Gases

Program D-LT uses the rotational constants $B_e$ and $\alpha$, the symmetry number $\sigma$, the vibrational constants $w_e$ and $e_v e_c$, and the molecular weight $M$. The calculations using the equations on pp. 420-30 of Ref. (12) proceed as follows with $y = \frac{hc (B_e - \frac{1}{2} \alpha)}{kT}$ and $u = \frac{hc (w_e - 2 e_v e_c)}{kT}$.

$$C_{r,t}/R = \frac{y^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{y^2}{45}, \quad S_{r,t}/R = \frac{y}{2} - \ln \sigma - \frac{y^2}{90} + \frac{3}{2} \ln M - 3.665 + \frac{y}{2} \ln T,$$

$$-(G-H_0)_{r,t}/RT = S_{r,t}/R + y/3 + \frac{y^2}{45} - \frac{y}{2},$$

$$(H_298-H_0)_{r,t}/R = 298.15 \left( \frac{y}{2} - \frac{1}{3} \ln 298 - \frac{1}{45} \sqrt{y} \right),$$

$$-(G-H_298)_{r,t}/RT = -(G-H_0)_{r,t}/RT + (H_298-H_0)_{r,t}/RT,$$

$$-(H-H_0)_{r,t}/RT = \frac{y}{7} - \frac{1}{4} y - \frac{3}{45} y^2.$$

$$(H-H_298)_{r,t}/R = \left( (H-H_0)_{r,t}/RT - (H_298-H_0)_{r,t}/RT \right) T$$

obtained by subtracting $-(G-H_298)_{r,t}/RT$ from $-(G-H_0)_{r,t}/RT$ stored in the stack.

$$C_v/R = u^2 \frac{e^u}{(e^u-1)^2}, \quad -(G-H_0)_{v}/RT = -\ln(1-e^{-u}),$$

$$(H-H_0)_{v}/RT = u/(e^u-1), \quad S_v/RT = (H-H_0)_{v}/RT - (G-H_0)_{v}/RT,$$

$$(H_298-H_0)_{v}/R = \left( uT \right) /\left( e^{298} - 1 \right), \quad -(G-H_298)_{v}/RT = -(G-H_0)_{v}/RT + (H_298-H_0)_{v}/R$$

$$(H-H_298)_{v}/R = T \left( (H-H_0)_{v}/RT - (H_298-H_0)_{v}/RT \right).$$

The various contributions to $S/R$, $C_p/R$, $-(G-H_298)/RT$, $(H-H_298)/R$ and $(H_298-H_0)/R$ are summed and are finally stored in registers 5 to 9, respectively.

Directions:

(1a) Insert Tape D-LT
(1b) Insert Data Tape D-LT

Diatomic Gas

(2) $M \uparrow B_e \uparrow \alpha \uparrow \sigma \ A$

(3) $w_e \uparrow x_e w_e \ B$

(4) $T \ C$

(5) $R/S$

Display

1.5 lnM-3.665

$(H_{298-H_0})/R$

$-(G_{298-H_298})/RT$

$(H_{298-H_0})/R$

$-(G_{298-H_298})/T$

$H_{298-H_0}$

cont'd.
cont'd. from p. 1

Triatomic Linear Gas

(2) \( M \div B_e \div \alpha \div \sigma \div A \)

(3) \( w_e' \div x_{e'w_e'} \div B \)

(3a) \( w_e'' \div x_{e''w_e''} \div f \div b \)

(3b) \( w_e'' \div x_{e''w_e''} \div f \div b \)

(4T) \( T \div E \)

Display

\( 1.5 \text{ lnM-3.665} \)

\( u'T \)

\( u''T \)

\( u''T \) (doubly degenerate bend)

\( C_p/R \)

(5-8) same as for diatomic gas.

NOTE 1: After constants are entered, start at step 4 or 4T for each temperature. After completing calculations for one molecule, go back to step 1b before entering constants for new molecule. However, entering \( f \text{ P} \div \text{STO} \div 2 \text{ STO A} \div \text{RCL} \div 3 \text{ h} \div \text{STI} \div f \div \text{P} \div \text{STO} \div \text{A} \div \text{RCL} \div 3 \text{ h} \div \text{STI} \) or entering \( 3.665 \text{ STO A} \div 1.4388 \text{ h} \div \text{STI} \) will replace step 1b and allow starting at step 2.

NOTE 2: \( w_e'' \) must be doubly degenerate bending frequency. If \( \alpha \) or \( x_{e'w_e'} \) are not known, key zero.

NOTE 3: Step 7 can follow either step 4, 5 or 6, but step 5 can only follow step 4, step 6 can only follow step 5, and step 8 can only follow step 7.

Test for Diatomic

(2) 114.938 \( \div 0.314 \div 0.003 \div 1 \div A \div 3.452 \);

(3) 700 \( \div 7 \div B \div 987.017 \); (4) \( \text{EEX} \div 3 \div C \div 4.423 \)

(5) \( \text{R/S} \div 32.981, 29.975, 3005.472 \); (6) \( \text{SST} \div 1080.765 \)

(7) 1.98719 \( \div D \div 8.789 \); (8) \( \text{R/S} \div 65.539, 59.567, 5972.444 \)

Test for Linear Triatomic

(2) 113.936 \( \div 0.211 \div 0 \div 2 \div A \div 3.438 \);

(3) 700 \( \div 0 \div B \div 1007.160 \);

(3T') \( 650 \div 0 \div \text{fb} \div 935.220 \);

(3T'') \( 150 \div 0 \div \text{fb} \div 215.820 \);

(4T) \( \text{EEX} \div 3 \div E \div 7.342 \); (5) \( \text{R/S} \div 38.822, 33.874, 4947.9 \)

(6) \( \text{SST} \div 1527.743 \); (7) 1.98719 \( \div D \div 14.590 \); (8) \( \text{R/S} \div 77.146, 67.314, 9832.4 \).
Prgm. D-LT
Data Tape: 298.15 in E, 3.665 in A and RS2, and 1.4388 in I and RS3.

Tape D-LT

(2) fLBLA STOC hr+ 2 / - hRCI X STOB hr+ fLN 1 .5 X RCLX - STOA hRTN

(3) fLBLB fGSB0 STOD hRTN

(3T) gLBLf0 fGSO hPT2 GT01 ST00 hSF2 hRTN

fLBL0 2 X - hRCI X hRTN

fLBL1 ST01 hRTN

(4T) fLBLD hSF0 fGSC RCL0 fGSB2 ST00 fGSB3 RCL1 fGSB2 ST01 fGSD3 fGSC RCL0 fGSB2 RCL1 ST00 hr+ ST01 hCFO GT04

fLBL2 RCLD hxyy STOD hR+ hRTN

(4) fLBLC fGSC5 RCLB hxyy ST02 gx2 h 5 / ST03 3 .5 ST06 + RCLC RCL2 X fLN

CHS RCL6 + RCL3 2 / - RCLX + hRTX

fLN 2 .5 X + 1 hLSTX RCL2 3

÷ RCL3 + ST03 + RCL6 - RCLB 3

RCLX 1 .5 + ST07 - RCL3 1

RCLE 1 hRTX X ST09 hRCI + ST0Y - RCLX

RCL6 1 hRCI X ST08

fLBL3 RCLD hRCI ST02 gx2 hLSTX geX ST03 X

hlSTX 1 - ST04 gx2 hRCL RCL4 / hLN

ST05 RCL2 RCL4 + ST06 + hRCL RCL5 RCL2 RCL4

RCLX hgEX 1 .5 / ST05 + ST05+7 -

RCL6 1 hRCI X ST0+8 RCL5 hRCI X ST0+9 hSF0 hRTN

(5) fLBL4 RCLX ST05 hxyy ST06 fLBLD hRTN hr+ f-x- RCL7

(6) f-x-x RCL8 hRTN RCL9

fLBL5 fP38 0 ST04 ST06 fP38 hr+ hSTI hRTN

(7) fLBLD ST0X5 ST0X6 ST0X7 ST0X8 RCL5 RCL6 GTO6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>uT</td>
<td>u&quot;T</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>y/245</td>
<td>e-1</td>
<td>-ln(1-e-u)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uT</td>
<td>u&quot;T</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>y/245</td>
<td>e-1</td>
<td>-ln(1-e-u)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uT</td>
<td>u&quot;T</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>\begin{align*} \left(\frac{H_{298}-H_0}{R}\right) \frac{u}{e^{-1}} \end{align*}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>S2</th>
<th>S3</th>
<th>S4</th>
<th>S6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.665</td>
<td>1.4388</td>
<td>(\Sigma S/R)</td>
<td>(\Sigma C_p/R)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Calculation of Contributions from Anharmonicity, Centrifugal Stretching and Vibration-Rotation Interaction

Program Cor provides the corrections to be applied to the thermodynamic functions calculated for a diatomic molecule by the harmonic-oscillator-rigid-rotator approximation. The initial spectroscopic values are used in units of cm\(^{-1}\). The final results are first displayed in dimensionless form with the quantities divided by \(R\), the gas constant. Insertion of \(R = 1.98719 \text{cal/K mol} \) or \(8.3144 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}\) will yield the values in either set of units. However, the dimensionless values are the most suitable if the values are to be used for subsequent equilibrium calculations; steps 7 and 8 can be omitted if quantities in dimensions are not needed. The calculations are based on the following equations on pp. 430-7 of Ref. (12).

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_0 &= \omega_e - 2x_e \omega_e, \\
\beta_0 &= \beta_e - \frac{2}{3} \alpha, \\
x &= \omega_e \omega / \omega_0 \\
u &= (hc/k) \omega_0 / T = 1.43879 \, \omega_0 / T, \\
\gamma &= \beta_e / \omega_e, \\
\delta &= \alpha / \beta_0 = (\beta_e / \alpha - 0.5)^{-1} \\
\left(\frac{F-H_0}{RT}\right)_{\text{cor}} &= [\delta + 2xu/(e^u - 1)]/(e^u - 1) + 8 \gamma / u \\
\left(\frac{H-H_0}{RT}\right)_{\text{cor}} &= \{2ue^u + [2ue^u(e^u - 1)](2xu) / (e^u - 1) / (e^u - 1)^2 + 8 \gamma / u \\
C_{\text{cor}} / R &= \{2ue^u(e^u - 1) + [2ue^u + u - 2(e^u - 1)](2u)(2xu) / (e^u - 1) / (e^u - 1)^3 + 16 \gamma / u \\
S_{\text{cor}} &= (H-H_0)_{\text{cor}} / T - (F-H_0)_{\text{cor}} / T.
\end{align*}
\]

Directions:

1. Insert tape Cor
2. \(\omega_e \uparrow x \omega_e \uparrow \beta_e \uparrow \alpha \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ (H_{298}-H_0)_{c/R}
3. SST  \\
4. SST  \\
5. T \ B  \\
6. SST will flash the following quantities (H-H_{298})_{c/R} sequentialy  \\
   \quad S_{c/R}  \\
   \quad C_{c/R}  \\
   \quad -(G-H_{298})_{c/RT}  \\
7. R \ C  \\
8. SST  \\
   \quad (H-H_{298})_c  \\
   \quad S_0  \\
   \quad \quad - (G-H_{298})_c / T

NOTE: Steps 3 and 4 can be skipped if corrections to \(S_{298}\) and \(C_{298}\) are not needed. Once step 2 has been run, start at step 5 for each temperature. Steps 7 and 8 can be omitted if dimensionless quantities are adequate.
Test:

2359.6 \pm 14.46 \pm 2.01 \pm 0.0187 A 0.181; SST 0.001; SST 0.001

2 \times 10^{-3} B 0.007; SST 23.7, 0.019, 0.030, 0.007

1.98719 C 0.0147; SST 47.1, 0.038, 0.060, 0.0147
Moments of Inertia

The moments of inertia of molecules are needed to calculate the rotational contributions to thermodynamic properties using Prgms. DLT and NL. When there is more than one value, I_s is the moment about the axis of greatest symmetry. I_" indicates a doubly degenerate moment. The symmetry number, σ, is tabulated along with the equations for I. If m is the atomic weight of A and r is the internuclear distance in Å, mr^2 must be divided by 10^{16} N_o = 6.02209 \times 10^{39} to obtain units of g cm^-2.

Program ID calculates I \times 10^{39} in g cm^-2, B_e = h/8\pi^2 c I in cm^-1 for linear molecules, and the product of the three principal moments of inertia, D \times 10^{117}, for non-linear molecules.

For symmetric top molecules and unsymmetric linear molecules, m_1 is atomic weight of A, m_2 of B and m_3 of C.

- **Diatom** A_2, \( \sigma = 2 \) → I" = \( \frac{1}{2}mr^2 \)
- **Linear** ABA, \( \sigma = 2 \) → I_" = \( mr^2 \)
- **Planar** BA_3, \( \sigma = 6 \) → I_" = \( \frac{3}{2}mr^2 \)
- **Tetrahedral** BA_4, \( \sigma = 12 \) → I = \( \frac{3}{2}mr^2 \)
- **Trigonal Bipyramid** BA_5, \( \sigma = 6 \) → I_" = \( \frac{7}{2}mr^2 \)
- **Octahedral** BA_6, \( \sigma = 24 \) → I = \( \frac{5}{2}mr^2 \)
- **Bent** BA_2, \( \sigma = 2 \) → I_" = \( \frac{7}{2}mr^2 \)

For a symmetric top with \( A \rightarrow B \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \sigma = 3, \text{ and } \theta \text{ in degrees.} \\
\end{array} \right\rangle \)

\[ I_s = 3m_1r_{12}^2(1-\cos\theta) \]
\[ I_" = m_1r_{12}^2(1-\cos\theta) + \frac{m_1(m_2+m_3)r_{12}^2(1+2\cos\theta)}{3m_1+m_2+m_3} \]
\[ + \frac{m_3r_{23}^2}{3m_1+m_2+m_3} \left[ (3m_1+m_2)r_{23}^2 + 6m_1r_{12}^2\left(1+2\cos\theta\right) \right]\]

If m_3 = 0, the equations give I for the symmetric top \( B \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \sigma = 3. \end{array} \right\rangle \)

If m_1 = 0, I" becomes the doubly degenerate moment of inertia of diatomic C-B, \( \sigma = 1 \).

If \( \theta = 0 \), I" becomes the moment of inertia of a linear triatomic molecules with atomic masses m_3, m_2 and m_1, if m_1 is divided by three at the initiation of the program.
Directions:

(1) Insert Prgm. ID

(2) m \rightarrow r A

(3) \frac{m}{R/S}

Diatomic A\_2

Linear ABA

(3LT)B

Planar BA\_3

(3P) C

Tetrahedral BA\_4

(3T) D

Trigonal Bipyramid BA\_5

(3TB)E

Octahedral BA\_6

(3OC) f a

Bent Triatomic BA\_2

(3BT) \frac{m}{m_B} + \theta f b

Symmetric Top CBA\_3

(2a) r_{12} \rightarrow m_1 + \theta f c

(3a) r_{23} \rightarrow m_2 + m_3 R/S

Symmetric Top BA\_3

(2b) r_{12} \rightarrow m_1 + \theta f c

(3b) 0 \rightarrow m_2 R/S

Linear CBA

(2c) r_{12} \rightarrow m_1 f a

(3c) r_{23} \rightarrow m_2 + m_3 R/S

Diatomic CB

(2d) r_{23} \rightarrow m_3 + m_2 f e

Display

m x^2/6.02209

I'' x 10^{39}, Be

I'' x 10^{39}, Be

I_s x 10^{39}

I'' x 10^{39}

D x 10^{1117}

I x 10^{39}

D x 10^{1117}

I_s x 10^{39}

I'' x 10^{39}

D x 10^{1117}

I x 10^{39}

D x 10^{1117}

I_1 x 10^{39}

I_2 x 10^{39}

I_3 x 10^{39}

D x 10^{1117}

I_s x 10^{39}

I'' x 10^{39}

D x 10^{1117}

I x 10^{39}

D x 10^{1117}

0

I'' x 10^{39}

Be

I'' x 10^{39}

Be
### Prgm. ID

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>fLBLA</th>
<th>gx²</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>e</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STO4</td>
<td>÷</td>
<td>STO1</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td>1 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3D)</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>fGSBO</td>
<td>GTO1</td>
<td>1 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3LT)</td>
<td>fLBLB</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>fGSBO</td>
<td>fLBL1</td>
<td>ST00</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>hxy</td>
<td>÷</td>
<td>ST01</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td>3 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3P)</td>
<td>fLBLC</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>fGSBO</td>
<td>ST00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>fGSBO</td>
<td>GTO2</td>
<td>4 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3T)</td>
<td>fLBLD</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>÷</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>fGSBO</td>
<td>÷</td>
<td>GTO2</td>
<td>5 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3TB)</td>
<td>fLBLB</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>fGSBO</td>
<td>ST00</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>fGSBO</td>
<td>GTO2</td>
<td>6 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3 OC)</td>
<td>gLBLfa</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>fGSBO</td>
<td>fLBL2</td>
<td>ST01</td>
<td>gx²</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>ST03</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td>1 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fLBL0</td>
<td>RCLA</td>
<td>hxy</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>f-x-</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td>7 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3BT)</td>
<td>gLBLfb</td>
<td>fCOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>ST08</td>
<td>hxy</td>
<td>ST07</td>
<td>h/1/x</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>fGSBO</td>
<td>ST00</td>
<td>RCL8</td>
<td>RCL7</td>
<td>7 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2a-d)</td>
<td>gLBLfc</td>
<td>fCOS</td>
<td>ST0D</td>
<td>hR</td>
<td>ST05</td>
<td>hxy</td>
<td>ST0B</td>
<td>fGSBA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>RCLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>RCLA</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>ST06</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>RCLD</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>ST07</td>
<td>hxy</td>
<td>ST00</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td>1 3 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3a-c)</td>
<td>fLBL3</td>
<td>STOB</td>
<td>hxy</td>
<td>STOC</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>RCLA</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>RCL5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RCLB</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>ST08</td>
<td>RCLC</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>ST09</td>
<td>÷</td>
<td>RCL7</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>RCL6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>RCL6</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>RCL8</td>
<td>hRCI</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>RCLC</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hRCI</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>RCL9</td>
<td>÷</td>
<td>RCL4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>ST01</td>
<td>f-x-</td>
<td>gx²</td>
<td>1 9 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RCL0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>ST03</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td>1 9 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2c)</td>
<td>gLBLfd</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>÷</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>gGSBfc</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td>2 0 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3c)</td>
<td>fGSB3</td>
<td>RCL1</td>
<td>fGSB1</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td>2 1 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2d)</td>
<td>gLBLfe</td>
<td>STOB</td>
<td>CLX</td>
<td>hN+</td>
<td>STOC</td>
<td>CLX</td>
<td>hR+</td>
<td>hSTI</td>
<td>hR+</td>
<td>hR+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fGSBfc</td>
<td>hRCI</td>
<td>RCLC</td>
<td>RCLB</td>
<td>fGSB3</td>
<td>RCL1</td>
<td>fGSB1</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td>2 1 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1”</td>
<td>Re</td>
<td>6.022</td>
<td>m₁</td>
<td>m₁r₁₂(l-cosθ)</td>
<td>÷</td>
<td>m₂</td>
<td>1+cosθ¹</td>
<td>3m₁+m₂+m₃</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1”</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>1’</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I₁</td>
<td>I₂</td>
<td>I₃</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>1+2cosθ</td>
<td>3m₁+m₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m₁²</td>
<td>m₂</td>
<td>m₃</td>
<td>cosθ</td>
<td>r₁₂</td>
<td>r₂₃</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Test: |
|-------|-------|-------|
| (2) | 10 ♦ 2 A 6.64 | (3D) | R/S 3.32, 0.84 |
| (3LT) | B 13.28, 0.21 | (3F) | C 19.93, 9.96, 1978.07 |
| (3T) | D 17.71, 557.05 | (3TB) | E 19.93, 23.25, 10 769.50 |
| (3 0C) | a 26.57, 18 755.05 | (3BT) | 1 ÷ 60 ♦ b 11.62, 8.30, 3.32, 320.52 |
| (2a) | 2 ÷ 10 ♦ 60 ♦ c 6.64 | (3a) | 3 ÷ 30 ♦ 20 R/S 57.95, 22305.50 |
| (2b) | 2 ÷ 10 ♦ 60 ♦ c 6.64 | (3b) | 0 ÷ 20 R/S 8.635, 495.25 |
| (2c) | 2 ÷ 10 ♦ d 0.00 | (3c) | 3 ÷ 30 ♦ 20 R/S 37.92, 0.07 |
| (2d) | 3 ÷ 30 ♦ 20 f e 17.93, 0.16 |
Non-Linear Gases

Program ID calculates \( D \times 10^{-117} \), where \( D \) is the product of the three principal moments of inertia, for a variety of non-linear molecules. The following equations from Ref.(12) are used by Prgm. NL to calculate the rotational and translational contributions to the thermodynamic functions of non-linear molecules. The symmetry numbers, \( \sigma \), are available in Ref.(12) and are also listed in the discussion of Prgm. ID.

\[
C^0_{T,t/R} = h \cdot (H^{298} - H^0)_{T,t/R} = h(298.15), \quad (H^0 - H^{298})_{T,t/R} = h(T-298.15)
\]

\[
S^0_{T,t/R} = 4 \ln T + \left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \ln M + \frac{1}{2} \ln(D \times 10^{-117}) - \ln \sigma - 1.1823
\]

\[
h + \ln 64\pi^5 + \ln(82.057k^3)/(h^6N^8_0c_{638.5}) = -1.1823
\]

\[-(H^0 - H^{298})_{T,t/R} = S^0_{T,t/R} - h(1-298.15/T).
\]

The vibrational contributions are calculated using the same equations as used for Prgm. D-LT.

The program requires that the singly degenerate vibrational frequencies be inserted first followed by doubly degenerate frequencies and finally by triply degenerate frequencies. The number of frequencies of each type must be entered. \( i_1 \) is the number of singly degenerate frequencies, \( i_2 \) is the number of doubly degenerate frequencies, and \( i_3 \) is the number of triply degenerate frequencies.

Directions:

1. Insert tape NL
2. \( M + \sigma \uparrow \uparrow \ D \times 10^{-117} \) A
3. Values of \( w \) in \( \text{cm}^{-1} \) are entered on stack so that singly degenerate values are inserted first (lowest on the stack) followed by doubly and then triply degenerate values. Groups of \( l,2, \) but not over 3 values on the stack are entered and followed by B. A maximum of 10 values can be entered.
4. \( i_1 \uparrow \uparrow i_2 \uparrow \uparrow i_3 \) C
5. \( T \ D \)
6. \( R/S \)

(6') SST

\[
10^{2i_1} + i_2 + 10^{-2}i_3
\]

\[-(H^0 - H^{298})/RT
\]

\[(H^0 - H^{298})/R
\]

\[S^0/R
\]

\[C^0_p/R
\]

\[(H^{298} - H^0)/R
\]

cont'd.
Directions, cont'd.

(7) \( R \) \( \text{STO} \) \( B \)

(8) \( E \)

(9) \( R/S \)

\[
\frac{R}{-(G^\circ-H^\circ_{298})/T}
\]

\[
H^\circ_{298} \quad S^\circ \quad C_p
\]

NOTE 1: Calculations at each temperature start at step 5 once the constants have been inserted. Step 7 need not be repeated unless new constants are entered in step 2.

NOTE 2: If dimensionless quantities are not wanted, step 6 can be deleted. SST after either step 6 or step 9 will display value of \((H^\circ_{298}-H^\circ_{0})/R\).

NL Test:

(2) 133.936 \( + \) 2 \( + \) 202.5 A 0.000;

(3) 700 \( + \) 150 B 0.000; (4) 2 \( + \) 0 \( + \) 0 C 200.00;

(5) EEX 3 D 35.772; (6) \( R/S \) 4588.2; 40.360, 6.835, SST 1466.9;

(7) 1.98719 \( \text{STO} \) \( B \); (8) \( E \) 71.086; (9) \( R/S \) 9117.6, 80.204, 13.583.

---

**Prgm. NL**

(2) \( \text{fLBL} A \) \( \text{fCLREG} \) \( \text{fP} \) \( 0 \) \( \text{hR} \) \( \times \) \( \text{fLN} \) \( \text{CHS} \) \( \text{hx} \) \( \text{xy} \)

| 3 | - | + | \( \text{STOD} \) | \( \text{CLX} \) | 1 | 0 | \( \text{hSTI} \) | \( \text{CLX} \) | \( \text{hRTN} \) |

(3) \( \text{fLBL} B \)

| 1 | \( \text{STO} \) | \( \text{hR} \)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(4) \( \text{fLBL} C \)

| \( \text{CLX} \) \( \text{hR} \)
|---|---|
| \( \text{CLX} \) \( \text{hR} \)
| \( \text{hR} \)
| \( \text{fx} \) \( \text{=0} \) | \( \text{hRTN} \) | \( \text{GTO} B \) |

(5) \( \text{fLBL} D \)

| \( \text{hRN} \) | \( \text{STO} \) | \( \text{RCL} \) | \( \text{X} \) | \( \text{RCLD} \) | \( \times \) | \( \text{STO} 5 \) | \( \text{STO} 6 \) |

| \( \text{RCL} \) | \( \text{X} \) | \( \text{STO} 9 \) | \( \text{STO} 8 \) | \( \text{STO} 7 \) | \( \text{STO} 4 \) | \( \text{EEX} \) |

(6) \( \text{fLBL} E \)

| \( \text{fINT} \) | \( \text{fx} \) \( \neq \) \( 0 \) | \( \text{fa} \) | \( \text{RCLA} \) | \( \text{EEX} \) | \( \text{STO} 2 \) | \( \text{STO} 8 \) |

(7) \( \text{fLBL} F \)

| \( \text{EX} \) | \( \text{fX} \) \( \neq \) \( 0 \) | \( \text{fc} \) | \( \text{GTO} T \) |

(8) \( \text{gLBL} 1 \)

| 0 | \( \text{hSTI} \) | \( \times \) | \( \text{STO} 4 \) | \( \text{fLBL} 0 \) | \( \text{fGSB} 3 \) | \( \text{gx} \) \( \neq \) \( y \) | \( \text{hRTN} \) |

| \( \text{GTO} 0 \) | \( \text{gLBL} 2 \) | \( \text{STO} + 4 \) | \( \text{fLBL} 1 \) | \( \text{fGSB} 4 \) | \( \text{fGSB} 3 \) | \( \text{gx} \) \( \neq \) \( y \) | \( \text{hRTN} \) | \( \text{GTO} 1 \) |

| \( \text{GTO} 0 \) | \( \text{gLBL} 4 \) | \( \text{STO} + 4 \) | \( \text{fLBL} 2 \) | \( \text{fGSB} 4 \) | \( \text{fGSB} 3 \) | \( \text{gx} \) \( \neq \) \( y \) | \( \text{hRTN} \) | \( \text{GTO} 2 \) |

| \( \text{GTO} 0 \) | \( \text{gLBL} 5 \) | \( \text{STO} + 4 \) | \( \text{fLBL} 3 \) | \( \text{fGSB} 4 \) | \( \text{fISZ} \) | \( \text{hRCl} \) | \( \text{RCL} 4 \) | \( \text{hRTN} \) |

| \( \text{GTO} 0 \) | \( \text{gLBL} 6 \) | \( \text{RCL} (i) \) | \( \text{RCL} 4 \) | \( \times \) | \( \text{STO} 0 \) | \( \text{gx} \) \( \neq \) \( 0 \) | \( \text{hLST} x \) | \( \text{ge} \) | \( \text{STO} 1 \) | \( \text{X} \) |

| \( \text{hLST} x \) | \( 1 \) | \( \text{STO} 2 \) | \( \text{gx} \) \( \neq \) \( 0 \) | \( \times \) | \( \text{STO} + 4 \) | \( \text{RCL} 1 \) | \( \text{RCL} 2 \) |

| \( \text{fLN} \) | \( \text{STO} 3 \) | \( \text{RCL} 0 \) | \( \text{RCL} 2 \) | \( \times \) | \( \text{STO} 1 \) | \( \times \) | \( \text{STO} + 5 \) | \( \text{RCL} 3 \) | \( \text{RCL} 0 \) |

| \( \text{RCL} (i) \) | \( \text{RCL} 1 \) | \( \times \) | \( \text{gx} \) \( \neq \) \( 0 \) | \( \times \) | \( \text{STO} 3 \) | \( \times \) | \( \text{STO} + 7 \) |

| \( \text{hRTN} \) | \( \text{STO} + 8 \) | \( \text{RCL} 3 \) | \( \text{RCL} 3 \) | \( \times \) | \( \text{STO} + 9 \) |

(6,6') \( \text{fLBL} 7 \) \( \text{RCL} 7 \) \( \text{hRTN} \) \( \text{RCL} 8 \) \( \text{f-x} \) \( \text{RCL} 5 \) \( \text{f-x} \) \( \text{RCL} 6 \) \( \text{hRTN} \) \( \text{RCL} 9 \)

(8,9) \( \text{fLBL} 8 \) \( \text{RCL} 8 \) \( \text{STO} 5 \) \( \text{STO} 6 \) \( \text{STO} 7 \) \( \text{STO} 8 \) \( \text{GTO} 7 \)

continued...
Calculation of Electronic Level Contributions to Thermodynamic Functions

Program A can be used to calculate the complete thermodynamic functions for gaseous atoms including translational and electronic contributions or it can be used to just calculate the electronic level contributions for either atoms or molecules. Degeneracies and energies of up to seventeen levels can be stored for the calculations. Although the program is intended for calculating the contribution of electronic levels to thermodynamic functions, it can be used for any internal energy levels. For example, if the vibrational or rotational levels are sufficiently perturbed to introduce significant error even after using Prgmo Cor for anharmonic and centrifugal stretching corrections, the partition function can be calculated, seventeen levels at a time, to evaluate the vibrational or rotational contributions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>u</td>
<td>e^u</td>
<td>e^-1</td>
<td>-ln(1-e^-u)</td>
<td>Index</td>
<td>( \Sigma \frac{S}{R} )</td>
<td>( \Sigma \frac{C_p}{R} )</td>
<td>( \Sigma \frac{(G-H_{298})}{RT} )</td>
<td>( \Sigma \frac{H-H_{298}}{R} )</td>
<td>( \Sigma \frac{H_{298}-H_0}{R} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{u}{e^{u-1}} )</td>
<td>( \frac{(H_{298}-H_0)_V}{RT} )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| R   | A | B | C | D | E | I | RSO-9 are available for |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|up to 10 values of \( uT \). |
| 110 | i2 | 0 | i3 | (S_{r,t}/R) | 298.15 | Index |
The spectroscopic unit, cm$^{-1}$, is used for the energies. The thermodynamic functions are calculated in dimensionless units, which are most appropriate for equilibrium calculations, but insertion of the gas constant R in appropriate units will provide the thermodynamic functions in the same units. The calculations follow the equations on pp. 422–4 of Ref. (12).

After energy levels and degeneracies have been stored in the preliminary steps, calculations at various temperatures commence with step(5). There are several choices in the sequence of steps following step(5). If the interest is primarily in the complete thermodynamic functions for an atom, step (5) would be followed by step(6"a) to yield $-(G^0-H_{298})/RT$ and then by step(6"b) if the remainder of the functions are desired in dimensionless form. If $-(G^0-H_{298})/T$ is desired, step (6"a) would be followed by step(7) and then by step(8) if the other functions are of interest. If values for all functions are wanted both with dimensions and in dimensionless form, the sequence after step(5) would be (6"a), (6"b), (7) and (8). If only the electronic contributions are wanted, step (5) would be followed by step(6') and then by steps (7) and (8) for values expressed in the units of the value of R introduced in step(7). If, after obtaining the electronic contributions of an atom through step(6'), the complete thermodynamic functions should also be wanted, replacement of the directions of step(6"a) by hR+h+ T STO D M D will allow calculation of the complete functions without repeating step(5). The restrictions are summarized as follows:

step(8) only after (7), step(6"b) only after (6"a), step(7) after (6'), (6"a), or (6"b), step (6') only after (5), and step(6"a) only after (5) except after (6') with insertion of the special step given above.

In addition to the program tape, a data tape is used to store the following constants: 3.665 STO A, 298.15 STO B, 1438.8 STO C. These constants can be keyed in manually or by tape. If the constants have not been inserted before step 2, integers will be lacking in all of the displays of step 2.
Directions:

(1) Insert tape A and Data tape A

(2-1) $g_1 \uparrow \varepsilon_1 \ A$
(2-2) $g_2 \uparrow \varepsilon_2 \ R/S$
(2-i) $g_1 \uparrow \varepsilon_1 \ R/S$
    i up to 17

(3) B
(4) R/S

(5) T C
(6') R/S
   (not to be followed by 6a"
    without step given in text)

(6'') M D
(6b) R/S

(7) R E
(8) R/S

Display

$1438 \times 10^{-2} \epsilon_1 \ g_1$
$1438 \times 10^{-2} \epsilon_2 \ g_2$

$1438 \times 10^{-2} \epsilon_1 \ g_1$

$H_{298} - H_o \ \epsilon / R$

$(S_{298} / R)_e$
$(C_{298} / R)_e$

$-(G - H_{298}) / RT$

$(H - H_{298}) / R$
$(S_{298} / R)_e$
$(C_{298} / R)_e$

$-(G - H_{298}) / RT$

$(H^o - H_{298}) / R$
$(S^o / R)$
$(C^o / R)_e$

$-(G^o - H_{298}) / RT$

$H - H_{298}$

$S$

$C_p$

$-(G - H_{298}) / T$

NOTE 1: If a number of levels are inserted together at an average value
of $\varepsilon_1$, the total $g$ must not exceed 99.

NOTE 2: If values of $\varepsilon_1$ larger than 69 502 cm$^{-1}$ are to be used, change
constant in register C to 143.88 and change 3 at step 95 to 2 to
allow values up to 695 000 cm$^{-1}$. With this change, $\epsilon$ values are
truncated beyond .01 cm$^{-1}$.

NOTE 3: If values for more than seventeen energy levels are inserted, the
display will read Error. With seventeen levels, steps (3) and (5)
each require 45 sec calculation time. With fewer levels, the time
is cut as the calculation stops when a zero degeneracy is encountered.
If calculations for a new atom or molecules is started by inserting
new level values, one can start at step 2 if the same number or a
greater number of levels is inserted: otherwise, one must reinsert
the data card. If only the electronic contributions are desired, up
to twenty levels can be stored if three pairs of $fG^SB0{hRTN}$ are
added after step 36, RCLC at step 38 is replaced by 1438.8, RCLB at
step 5b is replaced by 298.15, the 19 of steps 112-113 is changed
to 22 after at least fifteen steps of the $fBLD$ subroutine have been
deleted to accommodate the insertions.

cont'd.
Notes, cont'd.

NOTE 4: The dimensionless values for functions at 298 K given by steps (3) and (4) can be multiplied by R by the following procedure. After step (4), key R in the desired units followed by E to display \((\text{H}^\text{298}-\text{H}_0)^\text{e}\). Then R/S will display the electronic contributions to \(S^\text{298}, C^\text{298}\), and \(\Delta(\text{G}^\text{298}-\text{H}_0)/\text{T}\). If the total \(\text{H}^\text{298}-\text{H}_0\) is wanted for a gaseous atom, it can be obtained by adding 1481.20 to the electronic contribution in cal/mol.

NOTE 5: If \(-(\text{G}-\text{H}_0)/\text{RT}\) is desired, it can be obtained after step 5 or any of the following steps by keying h RCI. Keying of g eX would then give Q, the partition function.

Test with Si gas at 5000 K

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>110960.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>110960.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>321082.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>9062799.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>22149419.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>47949448.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>57350568.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>58979289.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>65181956.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>68032219.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>68130057.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>69294046.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>70685366.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>71076720.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>71891080.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>72211933.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>72709758.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(3) \(B = 162.716\)

(4) R/S, 2.108, 0.176; 1.98719 E 323.347 R/S 4.190, 0.350, 3.105

(5) \(5 \times 3 \times 2.279\)

(6) R/S 844.589, 2.448, 0.277, 2.279

(7) 1.98719 E 1678.4; (8) R/S 4.864, 0.550, 4.528

or after (5) (6"a) 28.086 D 12599.214; (6"b) R/S 27.579, 2.777, 25.059

(7) 1.98719 E 25037.031; (8) 54.804, 5.518, 49.796 cal.
Prgm. A

(2-1 to 3) fLBLA 3 hSTI hR+ fGSB0 hRTN fGSB0 hRTN fGSB0 hRTN fGSB0 hRTN

(2-4 to 8) fGSB0 hRTN fGSB0 hRTN fGSB0 hRTN fGSB0 hRTN fGSB0 hRTN fGSB0 hRTN

(2-9 to 13) fGSB0 hRTN fGSB0 hRTN fGSB0 hRTN fGSB0 hRTN fGSB0 hRTN fGSB0 hRTN

(2-14 to 16) fGSB0 hRTN fGSB0 hRTN fGSB0 hRTN fGSB0 hRTN fGSB0 hRTN fGSB0 hRTN

(2-17) fISZ hRTN GT09

(3) fLBLB fGSB1 0 STOE RCLB STOD fGSB2 fGSB3 hR+ STOE

(4) STOD hRTN RCL1 f-x- RCL2 hRTN

(5) fGSB1 STOD fGSB1 RGSB2 GT03

(6) STO1 STO0 0 STO2 3 hSTI hRTN

(6') fLBL2 RCL(i) ↓ gFRAC EEX 2 X fX=0 hRTN hxyz

(6") fINT EEX 3 ↓ RCLD ↓ ↑ hR+ CHS gX

X STO+0 hR+ X STO+1 hSTx X STO+2 fISZ 1

9 hRCL gx>y hRTN GT02

fLBL3 RCL2 RCL0 ↓ RCL1 RCL0 ↓ STO1 gx2 -

STO2 RCL1 RCLD X RCL - RCL0 fLN hSTI RCL1

fSTO1 RCLC RCLD ↓ hRCL + STO0 hRTN

(6') hR+ STOD CLX RCL2 hxyz gSTK hRTN

(6") fLBLD hR+ hxyz fLN hSTx RCLD X fLN 2 .

5 STO+2 STOC X hxyz - RCLA - ↑ ↑

hRCL + hSTI hR+ ↑ ↑ RCLC + STO+1 hR+

RCLB RCLD ↓ RCLC X + STO+0 hR+ RCLD RCLB

- RCLC X + STOD 1 4 3 8 .

(6") 8 STOC hR+ fLBL4 hRTN RCL1 f-x- RCL2 f-x- RCL0

hRTN

(7,8) fSTO0 STOX0 STOX1 STOX2 RCLD X STOD GT04

Data Tape: 3.665 STO A, 298.15 STO B, 1438.8 STO C.

R 0 1 2 Registers 3 to 9 have
Q Q' Q" 1438.8*8e_i*8g_i*10^-2 with i = 1 to 7

-(G-H298) RT Q'/Q C/R

S/R

RS 0 to 9 have 1438.8*8e_i*8g_i*10^-2 with i = 8 to 17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.665</td>
<td>298.15</td>
<td>1438.8</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>H_{298} - H_0</td>
<td>3 to 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>H_{298} - H_0</td>
<td>several times</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1438.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B. Calculation of Thermodynamic Functions from \( C_p \) or \( H \) Values

Heat capacity or enthalpy data may have been fit to \( C_p/R = a + bT + cT^2 + dT^2 \)
or \( C_p/R = a_0 + a_1T + a_2T^2 + a_3T^3 \) using the programs of Chapter II. Programs CHSG and Cheb CHSG use the constants of these equations to calculate \( C_p/R \), \( (H-H_{298})/R \), \( S/R \) and \( -(G-H_{298})/RT \).

The \( C_p \) equations generally will have a limited temperature range of application fixed by either the range of existence of the phase or by the range for which the equation gives a sufficiently accurate fit. Thus, the programs provide for joining at the temperature \( T_i \) at which a new range starts and \( (H_i-H_{298})/R \) and \( S_i/R \), calculated in the lower temperature range, are inserted to allow extension into the higher temperature range. The equations for Prgm. CHSG are based on \( C_p/R = a + bT + cT^2 + dT^2 \).

\[
\begin{align*}
(H-H_i)/R &= (T-T_i)[a + b(T+T_i) + c/T_i + d(T^2+TT_i+T_i^2)] \\
(S-S_i)/R &= a\ln(T/T_i) + (T-T_i)[b + c(T+T_i)/T + d(T+T_i)] \\
-(G-H_{298})/RT &= (S-S_i)/R + S_i/R - [(H-H_i)/R + (H_i-H_{298})/R]/T.
\end{align*}
\]

The constants \( a, b, c \) and \( d \) are stored in registers \( A, B, C \) and \( D \). If the data have been fit to an equation with fewer constants, store \( a \) for the unused constants. The program has been illustrated with dimensionless functions; it operates equally well if the constants yield \( C_p \) rather than \( C_p/R \). Then \( S_i \) and \( H_i-H_{298} \) should be inserted in steps 3 and 4 and the displays of steps 5 and 6 will be the indicated displays multiplied by \( R \). If it is desired to convert the dimensionless displays of steps 5 and 6 to values in cal or J, R in the appropriate units should be stored in register \( E \). Then step 7 will display the values multiplied by \( R \). If the displays of steps 5-6 are already in dimensions, step 8 will divide by \( R \) from register \( E \) to display dimensionless values.

Directions

(1) Insert Prgm. CHSG; store constants in registers \( A-D \) if not carried over from previous calculation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Display</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>T_i</th>
<th>(H_i-H_{298})/R</th>
<th>(S_i)/R</th>
<th>-(G-H_{298})/RT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(2) T_i</td>
<td>( T_i )</td>
<td>( S_i/R )</td>
<td>( (H_i-H_{298})/R )</td>
<td>( S_i/R )</td>
<td>( -(G-H_{298})/RT )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) ( S_i/R )</td>
<td>( S_i/R )</td>
<td>( (H_i-H_{298})/R )</td>
<td>( S_i/R )</td>
<td>( -(G-H_{298})/RT )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) ( (H_i-H_{298})/R )</td>
<td>( S_i/R )</td>
<td>( (H_i-H_{298})/R )</td>
<td>( S_i/R )</td>
<td>( -(G-H_{298})/RT )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) T</td>
<td>( T_i )</td>
<td>( (H_i-H_{298})/R )</td>
<td>( S_i/R )</td>
<td>( -(G-H_{298})/RT )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) ( S_i/R )</td>
<td>( S_i/R )</td>
<td>( (H_i-H_{298})/R )</td>
<td>( S_i/R )</td>
<td>( -(G-H_{298})/RT )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7) C</td>
<td>to multiply by R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8) D</td>
<td>to divide by R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Prgm. CHSG

(2-4)
\[ \text{FLBLA STO}5 \text{ hRTN STO}4 \text{ STO}3 \]

(5)
\[ \text{FLBLB } \downarrow \text{ STO}2 \text{ RCL}5 \] + \text{ STO}0 \text{ X RCL}5 \] \( g^2 \) \[ \text{ RCLD} \text{ X 3 } \div \text{ RLC} \text{ RCL}5 \] \( \div \) \[ \text{ RCL0 RCLB X 2 } \div \text{ + RCL}A \] \[ \div \text{ RCL2 RCL5 } \div \text{ RCL5 } \div \text{ fLN} \]

(6)
\[ \text{FLBLC RCL}9 \text{ RCLE X hSTI RCL}8 \text{ hLSTx X RCL6 hLSTx} \]

(7)
\[ \text{FLBLD RCL}9 \text{ RCLE } \div \text{ hSTI RCL}8 \text{ hLSTx } \div \text{ hRTN} \]

(8)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T+( T_i )</td>
<td>T-( T_i )</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>( \frac{H_1-H_{298}}{R} )</td>
<td>( \frac{S_1}{R} )</td>
<td>( \frac{T_i}{R} )</td>
<td>( \frac{H-H_{298}}{S} )</td>
<td>( \frac{C_p}{R} )</td>
<td>( \frac{-(G-H_{298})}{RT} )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test:

10 STO A, EEX CHS 3 STO B, 1 CHS EEX 5 STOC,

EEX CHS6 STOD, 1.98719 STOE

(2) 298.15 A; (3) 10 SST; (4) 0 SST

(5) EEX 3 B 11.900; (6) SST 7563, SST 22.747, SST 15.183;

(6) C 23.648, 15029, 45.202, 30.172

(7) D 5.888, 3806, 11.447, 7.641

From \( C_p/R = a_0 + a_1 T + a_2 T^2 + a_3 T^3 \),

\[
\frac{H-H_i}{R} = a_0(T-T_i) + \frac{1}{2}a_1(T^2-T_i^2) + \frac{1}{3}a_2(T^3-T_i^3) + \frac{1}{4}a_3(T^4-T_i^4)
\]

\[
\frac{S-S_i}{R} = a_0 \ln(T/T_i) + a_1(T-T_i) + \frac{1}{2}a_2(T^2-T_i^2) + \frac{1}{3}a_3(T^3-T_i^3)
\]

\[
\frac{-(G-H_{298})}{RT} = \frac{(S-S_i)}{R} + \frac{S_i}{R} - \left[ \frac{\left( \frac{H-H_i}{R} + (H_i-H_{298})/R \right)}{T} \right]
\]

\( a_0 \) to \( a_3 \) will be in R6 to R9 if evaluated by Prgm. Cheb-a.
Directions:
(1) Insert Prgm. Cheb CHSG and a₀ to a₃ in R₆-₈ if not already there.

Display

(2) Tᵢ A
(3) Sᵢ/R SST
(4') (Hᵢ-H₂₉₈)/R SST
(4'') R SST
(5) T B
(6) SST SST SST

(H-H₂₉₈)/R, S/R, -(G-H₂₉₈)/RT

(7) C repeats display of steps 5 & 6 multiplied by R if R has been stored in register E by step 4''.

(8) D repeats display of steps 5 & 6 divided by R if the aₙ constants gave Cₚ in dimensions of R and therefore steps 5 & 6 gave the indicated quantities multiplied by R.

NOTE: After step 6, another SST will flash in turn the four values previously displayed if a review is wanted.

**Prgm. Cheb CHSG**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(2-4)</th>
<th>fLBLA</th>
<th>STOA</th>
<th>gₓ²</th>
<th>STOB</th>
<th>hRTN</th>
<th>STOC</th>
<th>STOD</th>
<th>STOE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>fLBLB</td>
<td>hSTI</td>
<td>RCLA - STO5</td>
<td>RCL6</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>hRCI</td>
<td>gₓ²</td>
<td>RCLB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>RCL7</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>÷</td>
<td>hRCI</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>hRCI</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>hₓ²</td>
<td>RCLB</td>
<td>gₓ²</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>RCL9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>÷</td>
<td>RCL₁</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>÷</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>RCL₅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>hRCI</td>
<td>RCLA</td>
<td>÷</td>
<td>fLN</td>
<td>RCL6</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>STO₂</td>
<td>hₓ²</td>
<td>STO3</td>
<td>RCL9</td>
<td>hRCI</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>RCL₈</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>RCL₇</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>hRCI</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>RCL₆</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>RCL₂</td>
<td>hRₜ</td>
<td>gSTK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>fLBLC</td>
<td>RCL₃</td>
<td>RCLE X</td>
<td>STO₄</td>
<td>RCL₀</td>
<td>RCLE</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>RCL₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>RCL₂</td>
<td>RCLE X</td>
<td>RCL₄</td>
<td>gSTK</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>fBLBD</td>
<td>RCL₃</td>
<td>RCLE</td>
<td>STO₄</td>
<td>RCL₀</td>
<td>RCLE</td>
<td>÷</td>
<td>RCL₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>÷</td>
<td>RCL₂</td>
<td>RCLE</td>
<td>÷</td>
<td>RCL₄</td>
<td>gSTK</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
Cₚ/R (H-H₂₉₈)/R S/R -(G-H₂₉₈)/RT -(G-H₂₉₈)/T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tᵢ</td>
<td>Tᵢ²</td>
<td>Sᵢ/R</td>
<td>(Hᵢ-H₂₉₈)/R R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C_p = a_0 + a_1T + a_2T^2 + a_3T^3 with Prgm. CHSG

1.50365 STO 6, 8.19918x10^{-3} STO 7, -4.13509x10^{-6} STO 8, 9.21717x10^{-10} STO 9;

(2) 1400 A; (3) S_{1400} = 16.792 cal K^{-1} SST; (4) H_{1400}^{298} = 7307 cal SST, 1.98719 SST; (5) 1400 B 7.407, SST 7307, SST 16.792, SST 11.573;

2800 B 12.276, SST 20 320, SST 23.057, SST 15.800; SST reviews the four values, D divides them by R.

The programs of Chapters I and II have provided least-square fits of enthalpy or heat capacity data to four constant equations. Programs CHSG and Cheb CHSG calculate values of the other thermodynamic functions from the four constant heat capacity equations. Using eight values of the C_p of molybdenum solid at 200 K intervals from 1400 to 2800 K,

C_p = 1.5037 + 8.1992x10^{-3} T - 4.1351x10^{-6} T^2 + 9.2172 10^{-10} T^3

was obtained which fit the original values with an average deviation of 0.009 or less than 0.1%. The same values were fit by Prgm. LS C_p, which uses only three independent constants, to obtain

C_p = 9.5466 - 3.8026x10^{-3} T - 1.360x10^{-5} T^2 + 1.6987x10^{-6} T^2.

This equation fits the original values with an average deviation of 0.06 or about 0.6%. Both equations were used with Prgms. Cheb CHSG and CHSG, respectively. The values of -(G-H_{298})/T calculated by Prgm. CHSG using the constants from Prgm. LS C_p were only slightly lower than those calculated using the constants from Prgm. Cheb-a with an average deviation of 0.002 or 0.02%, thus illustrating the insensitivity of (G-H_{298})/T values to errors in C_p.

It is sometimes useful to go directly from the analytical equations for C_p to an analytical equation for -(G-H_{298})/T, which will have six terms derived from the four terms of the original heat capacity equations. Prgm. CgK described below carries out this operation. This program not only calculates values of -(G-H_{298})/T, but if the constants for each of the reactants and products of a reaction are combined to obtain an equation for ΔC_p, the program calculates -(ΔG^{o}-ΔH_{298})/T for a given T. The program can be used for either C_p or C_p/R. The program provides for multiplication or division by R to provide consistency.
The ability to calculate \(-\Delta G^\circ - H_{298}^\circ)/RT\) allows calculation of \(\Delta H_{298}^\circ/R\) from a value of \(K\), the equilibrium constant, or the calculation of \(K\) from knowledge of \(\Delta H_{298}^\circ/R\). Normally the \(C_p\) equations will be of the same form and \(\Delta C_p/R\) will either be

\[\Delta a_0 + \Delta a_1 T + \Delta a_2 T^2 + \Delta a_3 T^3\]  or  \[\Delta a + \Delta b T + \Delta c T^{-2} + \Delta d T^2.\]

Prgm. CgK will handle either form. In addition, if not all of the reactants or product heat capacities are in the same form, the program will handle \(\Delta C_p/R = \Delta a_0 + \Delta a_1 T + \Delta a_2 T^2 + \Delta a_3 T^3 + \Delta c T^{-2}\). Constants of terms that are not used should be entered as zero.

\(\Delta C_p/R = \Delta c T^{-2} + \Delta a_0 + \Delta a_1 T + \Delta a_2 T^2 + \Delta a_3 T^3\) where \(b\) terms are included in \(\Delta a_1\) and \(d\) terms are included in \(\Delta a_2\).

\(-\Delta C_p/R = \Delta a_0 \ln T + \Delta a_1 T^{-2} + \Delta a_2 T^{-1} + \Delta a_0 + \Delta a_1 T + \Delta a_2 T^2 + \Delta a_3 T^3\)

where \(\Delta a_0 = \frac{1}{2} \Delta a_3\), \(\Delta a_1 = \frac{1}{6} \Delta a_2\), \(\Delta a_0 = \frac{1}{2} \Delta a_1\), \(\Delta a_2 = \frac{1}{2} \Delta a_0\),

\(\Delta a_3 = \Delta S_1^0/R - \Delta a_0 (\ln T + 1) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta c T^{-2} - \Delta a_1 T - \frac{1}{2} \Delta a_2 T^{-2} - \frac{1}{4} \Delta a_3 T^3\), and

\(\Delta a_2 = \Delta a_0 T + \frac{1}{2} \Delta a_1 T^{-1} + \frac{1}{3} \Delta a_2 T + \frac{1}{2} \Delta a_3 T^3 - \Delta c T^{-1} - (\Delta H_1^0 - \Delta H_{298}^0)/R.\)

The equations are written for \(\Delta H_{298}^\circ\) but can be used for \(\Delta H_0^\circ\) and \((\Delta G - \Delta H_0^\circ)/RT\)

if \((\Delta H_1^0 - \Delta H_{298}^0)/R\) is replaced by \((\Delta H_1^0 - H_{298}^0)/R\). \(T_1\) is the temperature limit for range of validity of the \(C_p\) equations. The following equations are used for calculation of \(K\), \(\Delta H_{298}^\circ/R\), or \(\Delta G^\circ/R\).

\(\Delta H_{298}^\circ/R = T[-(\Delta G^\circ - \Delta H_{298}^\circ)/RT - \ln K].\)

The values of \(\Delta H_{298}^\circ\) from a set of \(T\) and \(K\) values are averaged and then used for calculation of \(\Delta G^\circ/R\) and \(K\) by \(\ln K = -\Delta G^\circ/RT = -(\Delta G^\circ - H_{298}^\circ)/RT - (\Delta H_{298}^\circ/R)T^{-1}\). When accurate entropies are not available for all reactants and products, both \(\Delta H_0^\circ\) and \(\Delta S_0^\circ\) can be determined (21) through evaluation of

\(\Delta H_{298}^\circ/R - T S_1^0/R = T[-(\Delta G^\circ - H_{298}^\circ)/RT - S_1^0/R - \ln K] = T[A - \ln K]\)

where \(A\) can be calculated from just the constants of the \(\Delta C_p/R\) equations by step 10 of Prgm. CgK if zero is entered for \(S_1^0/R\) in step 3. If steps 14 and 15 are carried out for a set of \(T\) and \(K\) values with \(S_1^0/R = 0\), the display of step 15 is \(\Delta H_{298}^\circ/R - T S_1^0/R\) which is stored in the secondary register. These values can then be least-square fit to a linear equation after all data have been inserted in steps 14 and 15 by carrying out step 21. Step 21 displays first \(\Delta H_{298}^\circ/R\) followed by \(S_1^0/R\) and incorporates these constants; so that steps 10 and 18 to 20 can then be carried out.
Directions:

(1) Insert Prgm. CgK

(2) Store $\Delta a_0$ in R0, $\Delta a_1$ in R1, $\Delta a_2$ in R2, $\Delta a_3$ in R3, $\Delta c$ in RC, and $R = 1.98719$ or $8.3144$ in RE.

(3) $T_1 = \Delta S_1^o/R + (\Delta H_1^o - \Delta H_{298}^o)/R$ A

(4) SST

(5) SST

(6) SST

(7) SST

(8) SST

(9) SST

(10) T B

(11) f a to multiply by R

(12) f e to divide by R

(13) f c before starting set of data in steps 14 and 15

(14) $T_1 = K_1 C$ repeat for all i

(16) R/S

(17) R/S

(18) T D

(19) SST

(20) T E

(21) f d after step 15 if $\Delta S_1^o/R = 0$ in step 3

$\Delta a_0$  $\Delta a_{-2}$

$\Delta a_{-1}$  $\Delta a_1$

$\Delta a_0$  $\Delta a_2$

$\Delta a_3$

$\Delta H_{298}^o/R$

$\Delta H_{298}^o/R$

Standard Deviation

K

In K

$\Delta H_{298}^o/R$, $\Delta S_1^o/R$

NOTE 1: Enter 0 for $(\Delta H_1^o - \Delta H_{298}^o)/R$ if $T_1 = 298.15$ K and enter zero for $\Delta c$, $\Delta a_3$, or $\Delta a_2$ if the $C_p$ equations do not use these terms.

NOTE 2: If it is desired to repeat the calculations using a changed value of $T_1$, it is necessary to start back at step 2. Steps 4 to 9 can be bypassed if there is no need to check the constants. With $\Delta S_1^o/R$ known and stored in RA and step 3 completed, steps 10-12, 18 and 20 can be carried out in any order. If $S_f^o/R$ is known and has been inserted in step 3, steps 16 and 17 follow the insertion of all of the sets of $K$ and $T$ values. If $S_f^o/R$ is not known, it is entered as zero in step 3 and steps 10 and 16-20 cannot be used until $\Delta S_1^o/R$ has been determined by carrying out step 21 after the insertion of all of the sets of $K$ and $T$ values. After step 21, steps 10, 16 and 20 can be used in any order.
**Prgm. Cgk**

(3) \( \texttt{fLBLA STOB hr} \uparrow \texttt{STOA hr} \uparrow \uparrow \texttt{STOD RCL3} \ 4 \)
\[ \div \ X \ RCL2 \ 3 \ \div \ + \ X \ RCL1 \ 2 \ \div \]
\[ + \ X \ RCL0 \ + \ X \ RCLC \ hr \uparrow \div - \ RCLB \]
\[ - \ ST04 \ hr \uparrow \ RCL3 \ 3 \ \div \ X \ RCL2 \ 2 \ \div \]
\[ + \ X \ RCL1 \ + \ X \ RCLC \ hr \uparrow \gx^2 \div 2 \]
\[ : \ - \ hr \uparrow \texttt{fLN} \ 1 \ + \ RCL0 \ X \ + \ RCLA \]
\[ - \ CHS \ ST05 \ 2 \ STO \div 1 \ 6 \ STO \div 2 \ 1 \ 2 \ STO \div 3 \]

(4-7) \( \texttt{RCLC} \ 2 \div \texttt{STOC RCL0 hRTN} \ RCLC \ RCL4 \ RCL5 \ RCL1 \)

(8-9) \( \texttt{RCL2 RCL3} \)

(10) \( \texttt{fLBLB} \ \uparrow \ \uparrow \ \uparrow \ RCL3 \ \times \ RCL2 \ + \ \times \ RCL1 \)
\[ + \ \texttt{RCL4} \ hr \uparrow \ \div \ + \ RCLC \ hr \uparrow \gx^2 \ \div \]
\[ + \ RCL5 \ + \ hr \uparrow \ \texttt{fLN} \ RCL0 \ X \ + \ \texttt{hRTN} \]

(11) \( \texttt{gLBLa} \ \texttt{RCLE X hRTN} \)

(12) \( \texttt{gLBLc} \ \texttt{RCLE} \ \div \ \texttt{hRTN} \)

(13) \( \texttt{gLBLc} \ \texttt{fP=8} \ 0 \ \texttt{STO4 STO5 STO6 STO7 STO8 STO9 fP=8} \)

(14) \( \texttt{hRTN} \ \texttt{fLBLC} \ \texttt{fLN STO6 hR+} \)

(15) \( \texttt{B RCL6} \ \texttt{X} \ hR+ \ \texttt{S+} \ hR+ \ \texttt{hRTN} \ \texttt{f-x} \ hR+ \)

(17) \( \texttt{ST07} \ \texttt{hRTN} \ \texttt{gs hr+} \ \texttt{hRTN} \)

(18-19) \( \texttt{fLBLD} \ \texttt{B RCL7} \ hr \uparrow \ \div \ - \ \texttt{gs} \ hR+ \ \texttt{hRTN} \ \texttt{fLN} \)

(20) \( \texttt{fLBLH} \ \texttt{B X CHS RCL7} \ \div \ \texttt{hRTN} \)

(21) \( \texttt{gLBA} \ \texttt{fP=8 RCL4 RCL6 X RCL9 RCL8 X} \ \texttt{RCL4} \)

\[ \gx^2 \ \texttt{RCL9 RCL5} \ \times \ \texttt{RCL4} \ \texttt{X} \]
\[ \texttt{X} \ \div \ \texttt{CHS STOA RCL4} \ \times \]
\[ \texttt{fP=8} \ \texttt{ST07} \ \texttt{f-x} \ \texttt{RCLA STO} \div 5 \ \texttt{hRTN} \]

\[ \texttt{RP} \ 0 \ 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 5 \ 6 \ 7 \]
\[ \Delta a_0 \ \Delta a_1 \ \Delta a_2 \ \Delta a_3 \]
\[ \Delta a_1' \ \Delta a_2' \ \Delta a_3' \ \Delta a_{-1} \ \Delta a_1' \ \ln K \ \frac{1}{\Sigma \Delta H^o_{298/R}} \]

RS

\[ 4 \ 5 \ 6 \ 7 \ 8 \ 9 \]
\[ \Sigma T^2 \ \Sigma H^o_{298/R} \ \Sigma (\Delta H^o_{298/R})^2 \ \Sigma T (\Delta H^o_{298/R}) \ i \]
\[ \text{or} \ \Sigma H^o_{298-TAS^o_{298/R}} \]

R

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta S^o_{298/R} )</td>
<td>( \Delta H^o_{298} )</td>
<td>( \Delta C )</td>
<td>( T_i )</td>
<td>( R )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \Delta a_{-2} \)
Test with $C_p = a_0 + a_1 T + a_2 T^2 + a_3 T^3$ for Mo, 1400-2800 K:

(2) $1.50365 \text{ STO } 0, 8.19918 \times 10^{-3} \text{ STO } 1, -4.13509 \times 10^{-6} \text{ STO } 2,$
\hspace{1cm} $9.21717 \times 10^{-10} \text{ STO } 3, 0 \text{ STO } C, 1.98719 \text{ STO } E;$

(3) $1400 \div 16.792 \div 7307 \ A 1.50365; \ (4) \text{ SST } 0; \ (5) \text{ SST } -63.706;$

(6) SST $-3.874; \ (7) \text{ SST } 9.21717 \times 10^{-10}; \ (8) \text{ SST } 0;$

(10) 2200 B 14.171; (10) 2800 B 15.800; (12) f e 7.951; (11) f a 15.800.

Test with $C_p = a + b T + d T^2 + c T^{-2}$ for Mo, 1400-2800 K

(2) $9.54656 \text{ STO } 0, -3.80258 \times 10^{-3} \text{ STO } 1, 1.6987 \times 10^{-6} \text{ STO } 2,$
\hspace{1cm} $0 \text{ STO } 3, -1.35954 \times 10^{-5} \text{ STO } C, 1.98719 \text{ STO } E;$

(3) $1400 \div 16.792 \div 7307 \ A 9.54656; \ (4) \text{ SST } -67.977; \ (5) \text{ SST } 3982.51;$

(6) SST $-5.824; \ (7) \text{ SST } 2.8312 \times 10^{-7}; \ (8) \text{ SST } 0;$

(10) 2200 B 14.168; (10) 2800 B 15.796; (12) f e 7.949; f a 15.796.

Test of complete program with $\Delta S^p$ known

(2) $1 \text{ STO } 0, 10^{-3} \text{ STO } 1, 10^{-6} \text{ STO } 2, 0 \text{ STO } 3, -10^6 \text{ STO } C, 1.98719 \text{ STO } E;$

(3) $10^3 \div 20 \div 100 \ A 1.000; \ (4) \text{ SST } -5 \times 10^5; \ (5) \text{ SST } 2733 \frac{1}{3}; \ (6) \text{ SST } 10.092;$

(7) SST $5 \times 10^{-4}; \ (8) \text{ SST } \frac{5}{3} \times 10^{-7}; \ (9) \text{ SST } 10^3 \ B 19.900;$

(10) 2000 B 20.601; (11) f a 40.939; (12) f e 20.601 (13) f c 0.000;

(14) $10^3 \div 0.905 \ C 19999.8; \ (15) 2 \times 10^3 \ div 194 \ C 2000.0;$

(16) R/S 19999.9; \ (17) R/S 0.3; \ (18) $10^3 \ D 0.905;$

(19) SST $-0.100 \ (18) 2000 \ D 40 \ 196; \ (19) \text{ SST } 10.602; \ (20) 10^3 \ E 99.918.$

Test of program with $\Delta S^p$ to be determined

(2) same as above; \ (3) $10^3 \div 0 \div 100 \ A 1.000; \ (4) \text{ same as above;}$

(6) SST $-9.908, \ (7-9) \text{ same as above; } \ (13) \text{ f c 0.000; }$

(14) $10^3 \ div 0.905 \ C -0.180; \ (14) 2 \times 10^3 \ div 40194 \ C -19999.98;$

(21) f d 19999.6, 20.00; (10) $10^3 \ B 19.900; \ (10) 2000 \ B 20.601.$
As Prgm. CgK would be repeatedly used for equilibrium calculations of the solidus-liquidus equilibria for molybdenum, it is worthwhile to use a variant of Prgm. CgK specifically designed for this type of equilibrium calculation. The program can be simplified by calculating \(-\frac{\Delta G^0-\Delta H^0_{\text{Std}}}{RT}\) for the fusion process at several evenly spaced temperatures and fitting these values with Prgms. Cheb \((f,C_n)\) and Cheb-a. The resulting four \(a_n\) values are then put on a data tape to be stored in registers 0 to 3. Also \(\Delta H^0_{\text{Std}}/R\) for the fusion process would be on the tape to be stored in register 9. The atomic weight of molybdenum, 95.94 would be stored in register C to be used if any data in weight percent are to be converted to mole fraction.

With the molefractions of Mo in the solid and liquid phases at a given temperature, the program provides values of \(\gamma_L/\gamma_S\) and \(\ln \gamma_L/\chi^2\). For values at several temperatures, a least-square fit is made to estimate the excess entropy and enthalpy of mixing in the liquid phase. With these constants and for systems with moderate solid solubilities, the liquidus curve can then be calculated by the present program.

The choice of standard temperature to serve as a reference for enthalpy values and the choice of liquid standard state is sometimes found to be confusing. A number of possible choices for molybdenum were discussed in detail in Ref.(13) to demonstrate that the final calculations do not depend upon the arbitrary choices that can be made. A summary of the different types of calculations from Ref.(13) is presented here. The heat capacity data for Mo(s) at 200 K intervals from 1400 to 2800 K were fit by Prgms. Cheb \((f,C_n)\) and Cheb-a. The heat capacity of Mo(l) was extrapolated to lower temperatures by \(C^0_P/R = 2.832 + 5.923 \times 10^{-4} T\) to join the solid \(C^0_P\) at 800 K. For Mo(s) = Mo(l), \(C^0_P/R = 2.075 - 3.534 \times 10^{-3} T + 2.0809 \times 10^{-6} T^2 - 4.638 \times 10^{-10} T^3\) for 1400-2800 K. This choice of \(C^0_P\) for Mo(l) fixes the metastable liquid standard state between 1400 and the melting point. If 298.15 K is chosen as the reference temperature and \(\Delta H^0_{\text{Std}}\) is the enthalpy of fusion at 298 K, the method of extrapolating the liquid thermodynamic properties from 1400 K to 298 K can be completely arbitrary with no effect upon the calculations in the operating range of 1400 to 2890 K. This is most simply seen from the equation

\[
\ln K = -\frac{\Delta G^0}{RT} = -\frac{(\Delta G^0-\Delta H^0_{\text{Std}})}{RT} - \frac{\Delta H^0_{\text{Std}}}{RT} = \phi - \frac{(\Delta H^0_{\text{Std}})}{RT} - \frac{\Delta H^0_{\text{Std}}}{RT}
\]
where $\phi$ represents those terms of $-(\Delta G^0-\Delta H^0_{\text{Std}})/RT$ that depend only upon the heat capacity contributions and $T_1$, the bound to the range of validity of the heat capacity equation, and not upon $T_{\text{Std}}$. It is apparent that any change in the standard reference temperature or in the methods of extrapolating to the standard reference temperature cancels out due to an equal change in $(\Delta G-\Delta H^0_{\text{Std}})/RT$ and in $\Delta H^0_{\text{Std}}/RT$. This was illustrated in Ref. (13) by using different heat capacity equations for liquid Mo between 298 and 1400 K and as expected from the above equation, identical values of $\ln K$ are obtained in the range 1400-2890 K. Also the use of 2890 K instead of 298 K as the standard reference temperature gave identical $\ln K$ values. Likewise, $T_{\text{Std}} = 0$ K changes $(\Delta H^0-\Delta H^0_{\text{Std}})/RT$ and $\Delta H^0_{\text{Std}}/RT$ equally. If the same standard reference state, e.g. Mo(s) at 298.15 K, is used for both the solid and liquid phases such that $\Delta H^0_{298} = 0$ for Mo(s) = Mo(l), $\Delta H^0_{1400}$-$\Delta H^0_{\text{Std}}$ is changed correspondingly to yield the same $\Delta G^0/RT$. The various quantities are shown for comparison where A corresponds to extrapolation of the liquid $C_p$ equation from 1400 to 298 K; B takes $\Delta C_p = 0$ from 800 to 298 K, C refers both liquid and solid to the solid reference state at 298 K and D uses 2890 K as the standard reference temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{Std}}$</td>
<td>298.15</td>
<td>298.15</td>
<td>298.15</td>
<td>2890 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta H^0_{\text{Std}}/R$</td>
<td>5305</td>
<td>5323</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4303 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\Delta H^0_{1400}$-$\Delta H^0_{\text{Std}})/R$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5307</td>
<td>1005 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-(\Delta G^0_{1400}$-$\Delta G^0_{\text{Std}})/RT$</td>
<td>1.904</td>
<td>1.916</td>
<td>-1.885</td>
<td>1.188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-\Delta G^0_{1400}/RT$</td>
<td>-1.886</td>
<td>-1.886</td>
<td>-1.886</td>
<td>-1.886</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Within the limit of rounding errors, the final results are identical.

Since there is no difference, Prgm. $\phi$ is set-up to use the conventional standard reference temperature of 298.15 K but a wider temperature range is handled than previously (13). For the range 900 K to the melting point, $C_p^0/R = 2.18 + 2.0484 \times 10^{-3}T - 1.1049 \times 10^{-6}T^2 + 0.31558 \times 10^{-9}T^3$ was used for the solid. For the liquid, $C_p^0/R = 2.832 + 5.923 \times 10^{-4}T$ was used to extrapolate from the value of 4.544 at 2890 K to join the solid value of 3.306 at 800 K. For Mo(s) = Mo(l), $\Delta C_p^0/R = 0.651 - 1.456 \times 10^{-3}T - 1.1049 \times 10^{-6}T^2 - 0.31558 \times 10^{-9}T^3$ from 900 K to the melting point. $\Delta C_p^0$ was taken as
zero below 900 K. \( \Delta H_{290}/R = 4303, \Delta H_{900}/R = \Delta H_{298}/R = 5329 \) K and 
\( \Delta S_{290}/R = -(\Delta G_{290}-\Delta H_{298})/RT = 1.921. \)

The constants of the \( \Delta C_p \) equation were inserted in Prgm. CgK with 
\( T_1 = 900, \Delta S_{900}/R = 1.921, \) and \( (\Delta H_{900}-\Delta H_{988})/R = 0. \) Values of \( -(\Delta G^0-\Delta H_{298})/RT \) were calculated ranging from 900 K to the melting point and fitted with 
Prgms. Cheb. \( C_n-(f,C n) \) and Cheb-a3 to obtain
\[
-(\Delta G^0-\Delta H_{298})/RT = 1.9357 - 3.544\times10^{-5}T + 3.123\times10^{-8}T^2 - 1.042\times10^{-11}T^3
\]
for \( \text{Mo}(s) = \text{Mo}(l) \) between 900 K and the melting point with an average deviation of less than 0.001 compared to the values from
Prgm. CgK.

\[
K = x_M^{L} x_S^{L}/x_M^{S} x_S^{S}
\]
and \( \gamma_M^{L}/\gamma_M^{S} \) are calculated from the equation
\[
\ln K = -\Delta G^0/RT = -(\Delta G^0-\Delta H_{298})/RT - \Delta H_{298}/RT = \ln \gamma_M^{L}/\gamma_M^{S} + \ln x_M^{L}/x_M^{S}.
\]
From just the liquidus curve, it is not possible to unambiguously fix the
enthalpy of mixing and the excess entropy of mixing for the solution.

However, the approximation of assuming that both the enthalpy of mixing
and the excess entropy of mixing vary as \( (1-x_M^L)^2 \) is made for preliminary
and \( L \)
treatment of the data, \( \Delta H_{Mo}/R = b_h(1-x_M^L)^2, \Delta S_{Mo}/R = +b_s(1-x_M^L)^2, \) and
\[
\ln \gamma_M^{L}/\gamma_M^{S} = (b_h/T - b_s)(1 - x_M^L)^2
\]
where the partial molal quantities are for the mixing of liquid Mo with the other component. At a given
temperature, \( b_h/T - b_s \) will be designated as \( \Omega. \) When \( x_M^L \) and \( \gamma_M^{S} \) are
close to unity, \( \ln \gamma_M^{S} \) will be very small and will be approximated by
\[
\ln \gamma_M^{S} = [\ln(\gamma_M^{L}/\gamma_M^{S}) - (1-x_M^L)^2]/(1-x_M^L)^2.
\]
If the resulting \( x_M^L \) is approximated by \( \frac{1}{1-x_M^L}. \) Then multiplication of \( \gamma_M^{L}/\gamma_M^{S} \), determined
in the equation above from the liquidus and solidus points and \( \Delta G^0/RT \) of
fusion, by \( \gamma_M^{S} \) will yield \( \gamma_M^{L} \) which can then be converted to \( \Omega \) or \( b_h/T - b_s. \)

From values at several temperatures, a least square fit will yield the
values of \( b_h \) and \( b_s \) from which the first approximation to the enthalpy and
excess entropy of mixing of liquid Mo with the other component will be
available. Then using bonding theory to fix reasonable enthalpy and
entropy values, a second approximation to \( b_h \) and \( b_s \) is obtained that fits
both the liquidus data and provides reasonable enthalpy and entropy values.

An approximate liquidus curve can be calculated using the initial \( b_h \) and \( b_s \) with an estimated solid solubility. The program also provides for
converting Celsius temperatures to Kelvin and converting weight percent
to mole fraction.
Directions:

(1) Insert Prgm. 0xb

(2) Insert data tape with constants of equation for \(-\Delta G^0 - \Delta H^0_{298}/RT\) and 
\(\Delta H^0_{298}\) for the fusion of Mo and the atomic weight of Mo.

(3) If any weight percent data are to be treated, store atomic weight of 
second component in register C.

(4) E (to precede each new set of data) Display 0.000

(5) \(T + (1-x_{Mo}) + (1-x_{Mo}) \times D\)  
Repeat step 5 for data at each \(T\)

(6) R/S after all data entered in step 5  

(7) \((1-x_{Mo})^{S} + (1-x_{Mo})^{L}\) \(T\)  
values will flash until \(T\) within 2°

(8) \(T \times a\)  

(9) \(T \times c\)

(10) wt.%A  

NOTE 1: Step 9 is used to precede steps 5, 7 and 8 when \(t^\circ C\) is to be 
converted to \(T^\circ K\).

NOTE 2: Step 5 calls for \(x_A = (1-x_{Mo})\). When wt.%A is available, step 
(10) converts to \(x_A\). Starting with wt.%Mo, key 100 wt.%Mo - D 
for step 5.

NOTE 3: The initiation of a new set of data in step 5 must be initiated 
by step 4 which clears the secondary registers. At any time 
after completion of step 5 and before step 4 is carried out to 
purpose for a new set of data, step 6 can be repeated by keying f c.

NOTE 4: In step 7, small values of \(x_A\) have little effect on the liquidus and a 
rough estimate can be used. \(x_A = 10^{-4}\) or \(10^{-5}\) can be used for all very 
small solid solubilities. The calculation is inadequate for large 
solid solubilities.

NOTE 5: The values of \(b_h\) and \(b_s\) obtained in step (6) are sensitive to 
experimental error. After modification to match reasonable 
enthalpies and excess entropies, the revised \(b_h\) is stored in R5 
and the revised \(b_s\) is stored in R1; step 7 will then use these 
values for calculation of the liquidus curve.

NOTE 6: To use for another component, store \(\Delta H^R_t/R\) in A, \(\Delta S^R_t/R\) in 0, and 
0 in 1-3 if \(\Delta C_p = 0\). In steps 5 and 7, \(x_{Mo}\) is replaced by \(x_2\). 
When \(\Delta C_p \neq 0\), the next level of approximation is to use the average 
values of \(\Delta H^R_t/R\) and \(\Delta S^R_t/R\) over the temperature range. For Mo, one 
can use \(\Delta H^R_t/R = 5127\) and \(\Delta S^R_t/R = 1.774\) as averages for 1400-2890 K.
Test:

(3) 157.26 STO C
(9) 150 C 423.15; (10) .09 D 5.49×10^{-4}, 1.53 D 9.39×10^{-3};
(4) E 0.000; (5) 2800 × 10^{-3} + .0553 A 1.715, 1.005;
(5) 2700 + 10^{-4} + .132 A 1.741, 1.031; (5) 2600 + 10^{-5} + .2522 A 1.769, 1.119;
(5) 2500 + 10^{-6} + .54202 A 1.800, 1.697; (5) 2400 + 10^{-6} + .7315 A 1.833, 2.667;
(6) R/S 1977.8, -1.009; (7) 10^{-3} + .0553 B 2683, 2783, 2797, 2800, 2800;
(7) 10^{-5} + .2522 B 2523, 2592, 2599, 2600; (8) 2700 f a -0.111;
(6) f c 1977.8, -1.009
Calculation of Composition Boundaries of Saturating Phases

Such calculations require that Gibbs energy of solution be expressed in analytical form as a function of temperature and composition. The functional form of the regular solution equation is the most practical for most systems.

The regular solution derivation\textsuperscript{12,20} gives the Gibbs energy in terms of volume fraction. If the volume fraction is expanded as a truncated power series in mole fraction, one obtains

\[
\frac{\Delta \bar{G}_1^E}{RT} = b_g x_2^2 + c_g x_2^3 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\Delta \bar{G}_2^E}{RT} = \left[ b_g x_1^2 + c_g x_1^3 \right].
\]

\(\Delta \bar{G}_1^E\) is the excess partial molal Gibbs energy of component 1 and \(V_1\) is the molal volume of component 1. As the regular solution equation is derived under constant volume conditions, an excess entropy term arises from the volume change upon mixing. In addition, since \(b_g = (V_2^2/V_1)[(\Delta E_1/V_1)^{1/2}-(\Delta E_2/V_2)^{1/2}]\), the temperature coefficients of the molal volume and of the energy of vaporization, \(\Delta E\), also result in an excess entropy.\textsuperscript{12} The enthalpy and excess entropy can be expressed as similar functions of mole fraction.

Their combination to give the partial molal Gibbs energy equation yields for each component in its standard state dissolving in the solution

\[
\ln \gamma_1 = \frac{\Delta \bar{G}_1^E}{RT} = (b_h/T-b_s)x_2^2 + (c_h/T-c_s)x_2^3 = \Omega_1 x_2^2
\]

\[
\ln \gamma_2 = \frac{\Delta \bar{G}_2^E}{RT} = [b_h/T-b_s+\frac{3}{2}(c_h/T-c_s)]x_1^2 - (c_h/T-c_s)x_1^3 = \Omega_2 x_1^2
\]

where the signs of the \(b_h\), \(c_h\), \(b_s\) and \(c_s\) terms are the same as the signs of the corresponding enthalpy and entropy terms in the Gibbs energy equation. Thus, \(b_g T\) at a temperature \(T\) equals \(b_g-b_s T\), etc. These equations average out the contributions of \(\Delta C_p\) values to the Gibbs energy by using the average enthalpy and entropy values over the temperature range of interest. Analytical equations of this form are found to reproduce, within the range of experimental uncertainty, the thermodynamic properties of many metallic solutions over a considerable range of temperature and composition.

When a miscibility gap exists in the solution at a given temperature, the partial molal Gibbs energies of both components are equal in both saturating phases. If the mole fractions are expressed as \(x_1 = 1-y\) and \(x_2 = y\) in the phase with excess component 1 and as \(x_1 = x\) and \(x_2 = 1-x\)
in the phase with excess component 2, the equilibrium condition can be expressed as
\[ \ln x + b(l-x)^2 + c(l-x)^3 = \ln(l-y) + b y^2 + c y^3 \]
\[ \ln y + (b+\frac{3}{2}c)(l-y)^2 - c(l-y)^3 = \ln(l-x) + (b+\frac{3}{2}c)x^2 - cx^3 \]
where \( b = \frac{b_h}{T-b_S} \) and similarly for \( c \). When the same values of \( b \) and \( c \) are not applicable for both phases, the equations become
\[ \ln x + b x(l-x)^2 + c x(l-x)^3 + e_x = \ln(l-y) + (b_y+\frac{3}{2}c_y)y^2 - c_yy^3 = -d_x \]
\[ \ln y + b y(l-y)^2 + c y(l-y)^3 + e_y = \ln(l-x) + (b_x+\frac{3}{2}c_x)x^2 - cx^3 = -d_y \]
The subscript \( x \) indicates the constants apply in the phase region for which \( x \) is small and \( y \) indicates applicability in the phase region for which \( y \) is small. These general equations may be reduced to the first set if \( b_x = b_y = b+\frac{3}{2}c \), \( c_x = c \), and \( c_y = -c \). When the solubilities are small, the cubic terms can be dropped with the constants of the quadratic terms being \( \Omega_x = b_x+c_x \) and \( \Omega_y = b_y+c_y \). The \( e_x \) and \( e_y \) terms are discussed below.

For a symmetrical immiscibility gap when the molal volumes of the two components are closely the same, \( c = 0 \) and \( b_x = b_y \) resulting in equal values of \( x \) and \( y \). The two equations reduce to \( \ln[x/(l-x)] + b(1-2x) = 0 \) which is readily solved by Prgm. 1m.

If the excess entropy is neglected, \( b \) will be inversely proportional to \( T \) and the calculations can be repeated at a new temperature \( T_2 \) by multiplying \( b \) by \( T_1/T_2 \). This can be done by \( T_1 \uparrow T_2 \downarrow \text{STO} X \downarrow 4 \text{RCL} \downarrow 4 \text{f b} \) to obtain the value of \( x = y \) at \( T_2 \). The temperature that brings \( b \) down to 2 will be the critical temperature. For \( b < 2 \), the system will be miscible.

Program 1m carries out the simultaneous solution of these two equations for \( x \) and \( y \) to yield the compositions of the saturating phases. The iterative solution using the secant method is based in part on a portion of Prgm. SD-ll of the HP-67 Standard Pac(18). Program Im first assumes that the solubilities are small enough to neglect \( d_x \) and \( d_y \) and to approximate \( l-x \) by 1. This yields as the first approximation \( x' = e^{-(b_x+c_x)} \). \( x' \) is used to calculate the first approximation of \( d_y \).
\[ \ln y + b y(l-y)^2 + c y(l-y)^3 + d_y + e_y = 0 \]
is then solved by iteration to yield \( y" \). If flag 0 is set, the value of \( y \) obtained by each iteration is flashed. The process continues until the fractional change in \( y \) in the last iterative step rounded to the number of
places after the decimal designated in step 2 is displayed as zero. The same process is then used in step 7 to calculate \( x'' \). The value of \( x'' \) is used to calculate a new value of \( dy \) and the equation for \( y \) is solved again to obtain \( y''' \). Steps 6 and 7 are alternately repeated until the values of \( x \) and \( y \) show no additional change. To speed convergence, step 2 should be set initially at DSP 2 and no change in \( x \) and \( y \) will be noted after \( \Delta x/x \) or \( \Delta y/y < 0.005 \). For \( x \) and \( y \sim 0.1 \), the values of \( x \) and \( y \) will be accurate to 0.0005 and can be read to 4 places by keying DSP 4. If it is desired to calculate \( x \) and \( y \) more accurately, steps 7 and 6 can be repeated with DSP 3 or 4. If there is no interest in the progress of the iteration at each step, hCFO will stop the flashing of successive \( x \) or \( y \) values. hSFO will change back to flashing.

If the same standard states are not used for both phases, a constant term would be added to \( dx \) or \( dy \) or both corresponding to the Gibbs energy difference between the standard states divided by \( RT \). The equilibrium between the solidus and liquidus portions of a phase diagram will be considered as an example. For solid with largely component 1 in equilibrium with the liquid phase, then

\[
\Delta G_f,1/RT = \Delta H_f,1/RT - \Delta S_f,1/R
\]

and

\[
\Delta G_f,2/RT = -\Delta H_f,2/RT + \Delta S_f,2/R,
\]

where \( \Delta H_f \) and \( \Delta S_f \) are the average enthalpies and entropies of fusion of the two components over the temperature range of interest. In the equations given on the previous page, \( b_x \) and \( b_y \) will be considerably different, in general, for the example of solid-liquid equilibria.

Program Im provides for insertion of the enthalpy and entropy terms to allow calculation of \( b_x, c_x, e_x, b_y, c_y \) and \( e_y \) at various temperatures and then to solve for \( x \) and \( y \) values for the saturating phases at the given temperature. When the \( b, c \) and \( e \) values are already known for the desired temperature, the enthalpy values are inserted as zero and the \( b, c \) and \( e \) values are inserted with reversed sign for the entropy terms as illustrated in one of the test examples.

For mutual solubilities of two liquid phases or two solid phases for which there are no \( e_x \) or \( e_y \) terms due to differences in standard states, \( \Delta H_f,1,\Delta S_f,1,\Delta H_f,2, \) and \( \Delta S_f,2 \) are entered as zero. When the difference in standard states corresponds to the solid phase transition, then \( \Delta H_f^0 \) and
\( \Delta S^S \) are replaced by the corresponding values for the transition. For liquid immiscibility with small enough solubilities for the approximation

\[ \ln Y_1 = (b_x + c_x) y^2 \]

and

\[ \ln Y_2 = (b_x + \frac{1}{2} c_x)(1-y)^2 \]

in the phase rich in component 2, the regular solution theory predicts

\[ \frac{(b_x + c_x)}{(b_x + \frac{1}{2} c_x)} = \frac{V_2}{V_1} \]

This is sometimes useful, but, in practice, the value of \( c \) is often more dependent upon change in character of the bonding across the solution range than upon the volumes of the pure materials, especially with change in electronic configuration upon solution. One can sometimes obtain a useful value of \( c \) by comparison of \( \frac{(\ln Y_1)/x_2}{x_2^2} = b + c \) at large \( x_2 \) with \( \frac{(\ln Y_2)/x_1}{x_1^2} = b + \frac{1}{2} c \) at large \( x_1 \). If \( c = 0 \) is used in Prgm. Im, \( \frac{(\ln Y_1)/x_2}{x_2^2} \) and \( \frac{(\ln Y_2)/x_1}{x_1^2} \) are taken equal for a given solution, but their value can be greatly different on opposite sides of the miscibility gap.

**Directions:**

1. Insert Prgm. Im
2. DSP \( n \), usually \( n = 2 \) initially
3. \( \Delta H_{f1,1}^o / R + \Delta S_{f1,1}^o / R + b_x + b_s \) A
4. \( -\Delta H_{f1,2}^o / R - \Delta S_{f1,2}^o / R + b_x + b_s - R/S \) (or \( f_a \))
5. \( c_y + c_s \) R/S (or \( f_b \))
6. \( T \ B \) (or \( \circ C \) \( D \))
7. Repeat 7 and 8 alternately until \( x \) and \( y \) show no change in successive steps; read final values
8. R/S

If \( y \) is known; after step 7, DSP \( n \) to desired accuracy followed by

1. \( y \) STO A fd
2. \( x' \), \( y' \) .......
3. \( y'' \) .........
4. \( x'' \) .........
5. \( y''' \)
6. If \( x \) is known; after step 6, DSP \( n \) to desired accuracy followed by
7. \( x \) STO A fd
8. \( d_x \)
9. \( x'' \) ......... \( x_f \) final
10. \( d_y \)
11. \( y'' \) ......... \( y_f \) final

**NOTE 1:** The alternatives \( f_a \) and \( f_b \) for R/S in steps 3 and 4 allows alteration of part of the data without need to reinsert all of the data. For step 6, temperature in °C can be inserted followed by D to convert to °K and initiate the calculations.

**NOTE 2:** The display control of step 2 can be changed at any time, but is best started with only two places. If higher than 3 place accuracy is desired, \( n \) can be increased at each successive repeat of steps 7 and 8. For each new \( T \), start at step 6 following step 8. If step 7 was the last step, key \( \text{FINS} \) before starting again at step 6.

cont'd.
NOTE 3: C can be used in place of R/S for step 8, but alternating between C for the x calculation and R/S for the y calculation helps one keep track of which value is being calculated.

NOTE 4: If it is desired to repeat step 7' to obtain a value to a larger number of places, change DSP n and repeat steps 8' and 7'. Similarly, if x is known, repeat steps 7" and 8".

NOTE 5: After T STO D, E calculates $AG^0_{f,1}/RT$, $\sqrt{ }$ calculates $b_x$ and $fc$ calculates $c_x$ for component 1 if the component 1 constants are in the primary registers. If $d_x = -\ln a_1$ is desired, it may be obtained by RCL5 after step 8.

NOTE 6: Step 6 assumes x and y are small. If they are not, replace step 6 by T STO D x' + y' GTO 8 R/S, where x' and y' are estimates of the solution. Then continue with steps 7 and 8. This procedure is also used for calculating solubilities for a sequence of temperatures. If the temperature intervals are small, only T STO D is needed for step 6.

TEST:
(3) 5127 + 1.774 + 4x10^3 + .3 A 5127.00;
(4) -1371 + -.162 + 8x10^3 + .2 R/S -1371.00;
(5) 500 + .1 + 500 + .1 R/S 500.00;
(6) 2x10^-3 B (or 1726.85 D) x=.07, y=.02, .02, .02, .02;
(7) C x=.10, .10, .10, .10;
(8) R/S y=.02, .02, DSP 4, .0190;
(7) C x=.1014, .1014, .1014, DSP 5, .10138;
(8) R/S y=.01902, .01902, .01902, DSP 6, .019022.

\[ y = .019022 \text{ known} \]
Steps 2-7 the same as above; (8') .019022 STO A fd, $d_x = .0177$;
(7') C .1014, .1014, .1014, DSP 5, .10138
\[ x = .10138 \text{ known} \]
Steps 2-6 the same as above; (7'') .10138 STO A fd, $d_y = .0873$;
(8'') C .0190, .0190, .0190, DSP 6, .019022
\[ e_x = e_y = 0, b_x = 2.5, b_y = 3, c_x = .5, c_y = .1 \]

(3) 0 + 0 + 0 + -2.5 A 0.00
(4) 0 + 0 + 0 + -3 R/S 0.00
(5) 0 + -1 + 0 + -3 R/S 0.00
(6) 1 B x=.05, y=.04, .06, .06, .06, .06
(7) C .08, .08, .08, DSP 3, .075; (8) R/S .062, .062, .062, DSP 4, .0618
(7) C .0755, .0755, .0755, .0755
Prgm. Im

(3) \texttt{fLBLA ST07 hR\downarrow ST06 hR\downarrow ST04 hR\downarrow ST03 hRTN 9}
(4) \texttt{gLBLfA fP\&S A fP\&S hRTN 14}
(5) \texttt{gLBLfB ST09 hR\downarrow ST08 hR\downarrow fP\&S ST09 hR\downarrow ST08 fP\&S 25}
(6) \texttt{fLBLB ST0D fc fGSB6 + E + CHS ge^x hPSE}
(7) \texttt{fLBLB ST0D fc fGSB6 + E + CHS ge^x hPSE fGSB6 fGSB1 ST0A fd fc + fGSB6 + E 52}
(7') \texttt{fLBLB ST0D fc fGSB6 + E + CHS ge^x hPSE fGSB6 fGSB1 ST0A fd fc + fGSB6 + E 52}

(6') \texttt{fLBLD 2 7 3 \cdot 1 5 + GTOB 84}
(7,7') \texttt{fLBLD 2 7 3 \cdot 1 5 + GTOB 84}
(8,8'') \texttt{fLBLD 2 7 3 \cdot 1 5 + GTOB 84}
(6') \texttt{fLBLD 2 7 3 \cdot 1 5 + GTOB 84}
(7,7') \texttt{fLBLD 2 7 3 \cdot 1 5 + GTOB 84}
(8,8'') \texttt{fLBLD 2 7 3 \cdot 1 5 + GTOB 84}

R 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
P x' x'' \Delta x \Delta x'/R \Delta x''/R d_x b^x_h b^x_s c^x_h c^x_s
S y' y'' \Delta y -\Delta y'/R -\Delta y''/R d_y b^y_h b^y_s c^y_h c^y_s

A B C D E I Flag 0 is set
x''' f(y') f(y'') T b_y c_y
y''' f(x') f(x'') b_x c_x
Gibbs Energy from Phase Boundaries

When the compositions of saturating phases are known, two constants can be directly determined. If the same $b$ and $c$ values are assumed for both phases for which the same standard states are used,

\[ \ln x + b(1-x)^2 + c(1-x)^3 = \ln(1-y) + by^2 + cy^3 = \ln a_1 = \Delta G_1/RT \]
\[ \ln y + (b+\frac{3}{2}c)(1-y)^2 - c(1-y)^3 = \ln(1-x) + (b+\frac{3}{2}c)x^2 - cx^3 = \ln a_2 = \Delta G_2/RT \]

The mole fraction of component 1 is $x$ in the phase rich in 2 and is $1-y$ in the phase rich in 1. The simultaneous solution of these equations expressed as $a_1b + \beta_1c = \epsilon_1$ and $a_2b + \beta_2c = \epsilon_2$ is discussed on pg. 114 of reference 13 using the quantities

\[ \alpha_1 = 1 - x^2/(1-y)^2, \quad \alpha_2 = 1 - x^2/(1-y)^2, \]
\[ \beta_1 = 1 - x - y^3/(1-x)^2, \quad \beta_2 = \frac{1}{2} + y - \frac{3}{2}x^2/(1-y)^2 + x^3/(1-y)^2, \]
\[ \epsilon_1 = [\ln(1-y)/x]/(1-x)^2, \quad \epsilon_2 = [\ln(1-x)/y]/(1-y)^2, \]

and $D = \alpha_1\beta_2 + \alpha_2\beta_1$.

When the same $b$ and $c$ values are not expected to be applicable for both phases or if the solubilities are small enough to combine the cubic terms with the square terms, then one uses $\ln y_1/(1-x)^2 = b_x$ and $\ln y_2/(1-y)^2 = b_y$. In addition to calculating values of $b$ and $c$ or $b_x$ and $b_y$, values of $\ln y_1 = \Delta G_1^E/RT$ and $\ln a_1 = \Delta G_1/RT$ and the corresponding values for the other components are calculated for both phases. If a set of $b$ and $c$ values or $b_x$ and $b_y$ values have been obtained at several temperatures, steps 4-6 of Prgn. 8xb can be used to obtain enthalpy and excess entropy terms corresponding to $b = b_h/R + b_s$ or $c = c_h/T + b_s$ and similarly for $b_x$ and $b_y$. The steps are (4) $E$, (5) $T + b$ for each $b$ value, then (6) $f c$ will yield $b_h$ and $b_s$. The same steps are repeated to obtain $c_h$ and $c_s$ values from the set of $c$ values.

Directions:

1. Insert Prgm. yx-bc
2. $y \times A$
3. SST
4. $y \times B$
5. SST
6. $C$
7. $D$

Display

\[ b \]
\[ c \]
\[ b_x \]
\[ b_y \]

$\ln y_1, \ln a_1, \ln y_2, \ln a_2$ for phase rich in 2

$\ln y_2, \ln a_2, \ln y_1, \ln a_1$ for phase rich in 1
NOTE 1: b and c can be obtained after step (5) by RCLE hRCI.

NOTE 2: Steps 6 and 7 can be used in either order following step 3 or step 5.

Prgm. yx-bc Test:

(2) .095 \( \pm .07 \) A 2.4837; (3) SST 0.5397;
(4) .04 \( \pm .03 \) B 3.6889; (5) SST 3.4635;
(6) C 3.4709, -0.0357, 0.0036, -0.0269;
(7) D 3.1920, -0.0269, 0.0051, -0.0357;
after (2), (6) C 2.5823, -0.0769, 0.0160, -0.0566;
(7) D 2.2973, -0.0566, 0.0229, -0.0769.

Prgm. yx-bc

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2</th>
<th>FLB01 A ST05 1 - CHS ST06 hxyy ST03 1 -</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHS ST04 RCL5 hxyy ( \div ) ST08 hR RCL3 hxyy ( \div )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ST07 RCL6 ( \circ ) 5 ( + ) RCL8 ( g^2 ) ( x ) RCL4 ( + )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHS ( \circ ) 5 ( + ) STOD 1 RCL7 ( 3 ) ( \text{hxy} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RCL6 ( \times ) STOC RCL7 ( \text{fln} ) CHS RCL4 ( g^2 ) ( \div )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ST02 ( g^2 ) ( \div ) ST01 1 RCL8 ( g^2 ) ( - ) ST04 RCL8 ( \text{fln} ) CHS RCL6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RCL2 ( \times ) ( \text{hsto1} ) RCLC RCL2 ( \times ) RCLA RCLD ( x ) ( - )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ST09 ( \circ ) ( \text{hsto1} ) RCLC RCL2 ( \times ) RCLA RCLD ( x ) ( - )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>RCL9 ( \div ) ST0E ( \text{hrt} ) ( \text{hxy} ) ( \text{hrt} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FLB01 B ( \pm ) hRCI RCL5 ( x ) ( - ) STOC RCL3 ( \circ )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ST01 RCL6 ( \text{fln} ) ( \pm ) RCL0 hR( \pm ) gSTK ( \text{hrt} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RCL5 ( \text{fln} ) ( \pm ) fa RCL5 ( x ) ( - ) RCL5 ( g^2 ) ( x )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ST01 RCL6 ( \text{fln} ) ( \pm ) RCL0 hR( \pm ) gSTK ( \text{hrt} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>FLB01 C hRCI RCL6 ( x ) ( \text{rcl} ) ( \pm ) RCL6 ( g^2 ) ( x ) ST02 RCL3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RCL5 ( \text{fln} ) ( \pm ) fa RCL4 ( x ) ( - ) RCL4 ( g^2 ) ( x ) ST02 RCL3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \uparrow ) ST09 RCL4 ( \text{fln} ) ( \pm ) RCL2 hR( \pm ) gSTK ( \text{hrt} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gLBLfa RCLC ( 1 ) ( \circ ) 5 hRCI ( x ) ( + ) hRCI ( \text{hrt} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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\( \ln y_1 \) \( \ln y_2 \) \( \ln y_2 \) \( \ln y_1 \)
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\( b_x \) \( b_y \)
Calculation of Thermodynamic Properties of Liquid Solution from Properties of Solid Solution and Solidus-Liquidus Data and Effect of Errors

Program Im presents the equations for the solidus-liquidus equilibrium. The same equations and symbols will be used here for Prgm. Wxybc. This program starts with enthalpies and entropy of fusion of both components and the partial molal enthalpies and entropies in the solid phase and calculates

\[ \Omega_1^L = \ln y_1/x_2^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_2^L = \ln y_2/x_1^2 \]

for the liquid phase of composition \( x_1^L = x \) in equilibrium with the solid phase of composition \( x_2^S = y \) and then calculates \( b_x \) and \( c_x \) of \( \Omega_1^L = b_x + c_x(1-x) \).

It is often of interest to determine the influence of error in \( x \) and \( y \) on the calculated values of \( \Omega_1 \) and \( \Omega_2 \). This program provides values of \( d\Omega/dx \) and \( d\Omega/dy \) for both components. The variation of each \( \Omega \) with the change of \( b_y \) and \( c_y \) can also be calculated. An error in the entropy of fusion of a component causes an error only in \( \Omega_1^L \) for the same component. The program gives the error in \( \Omega_2^L \) for an error in \( \Delta S_f,2/R \).

\[
\begin{align*}
\ln a_2^s &= \ln y + b_y(1-y)^2 + c_y(1-y)^3, \quad \ln a_2^L = \ln(1-x) + (b_x + c_x) x^2 \\
\ln a_2^L - \ln a_2^S &= -\Delta S_{fus,2}/RT = e_y \\
\ln a_1^S - e_x - \ln(1-x) &= \ln a_1^L - \ln(1-x) = (b_x + c_x - c_x x)(1-x) x^2 = \Omega_2^L x^2 \\
\Omega_2^L &= [\ln y + b_y(1-y)^2 + c_y(1-y)^3 + e_y - \ln(1-x)]/x^2 \\
\ln a_1^L - \ln a_1^S &= -\Delta S_{fus,1}/RT = -e_x \\
\ln a_1^L - e_x - \ln(1-x) &= \ln a_1^L - \ln(1-x) = (b_x + c_x) (1-x) x^3 = \Omega_1^L (1-x)^2 \\
\Omega_1^L &= [\ln(1-y) + (b_y + c_y)y^2 - c_y y^3 - e_x - \ln x/(1-x)^2]
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
c_x &= 2(\Omega_2 - \Omega_1), \quad b_x = 3\Omega_1 - 2\Omega_2 + 2(\Omega_2 - \Omega_1)x = \Omega_1 - c_x(1-x) \\
\frac{d\Omega_2^L}{dy} &= \frac{[1/y - 2b_y(1-y) - 3c_y(1-y)^2]/x^2}{x^2} \\
\frac{d\Omega_1^L}{dy} &= \frac{[1/(1-y) + (2b_y + 2c_y)y - 3c_y y^2]/(1-x)^2}{x^2}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( v = x, y, b_y \) or \( c_y \).

cont'd.
\[
\frac{d\Omega_{1}}{dy} = \frac{x^2}{(1-x)^2}, \quad \frac{d\Omega_{2}}{dy} = \frac{(1-y)^2}{x^2}
\]

\[
\frac{d\Omega_{1}}{dcy} = \frac{y^2}{(1-x)^2}, \quad \frac{d\Omega_{2}}{dcy} = \left(\frac{3}{2} - y\right) \frac{y^2}{(1-x)^2}
\]

\[
\frac{db}{dv} = \frac{d\Omega_{1}}{dv} - (1-x) \frac{dc}{dv} = \frac{d\Omega_{1}}{dv} - 2(1-x) \left[ \frac{d\Omega_{2}}{dv} - \frac{d\Omega_{1}}{dv} \right]
\]

\[
\frac{d\Omega_{2}}{d(\Delta S_{T,2/R})} = \frac{T - T_{MP2}}{T x^2}, \quad \frac{dc}{dv} = \frac{2(T - T_{MP2})}{T x^2}, \quad \frac{db}{dv} = \frac{(2x - 2)(T - T_{MP2})}{T x^2}
\]

**Directions:**

1. Insert \(\Omega_{yxbc}\) tape
2. \(\Delta H_{y,1/R} + \Delta S_{y,1/R} \) A
3. \(-\Delta H_{y,2/R} + \Delta S_{y,2/R}, b_{y} + b_{x} R/S\)
4. \(c_{h} + c_{y} R/S\)
5. T B
6. \(y \leftrightarrow x \) C
7. D
8. E
9. T_{MP2} fa

**Display**

\(\Delta H_{y,1/R}\)

\(-\Delta H_{y,2/R}\)

\(c_{h}\)

\(b_{y} + b_{x} R/S\)

\(\Omega_{2}\)

\(\Omega_{1}\)

\(c_{x}\)

\(b_{x}\)

\(\frac{d\Omega_{2}}{dy}\)

\(\frac{d\Omega_{1}}{dy}\)

\(\frac{d\Omega_{2}}{dxc}\)

\(\frac{d\Omega_{1}}{dxc}\)

\(\frac{d\Omega_{2}}{dy}\)

\(\frac{d\Omega_{1}}{dy}\)

\(\frac{d\Omega_{2}}{dcy}\)

\(\frac{d\Omega_{1}}{dcy}\)

\(\frac{d\Omega_{2}}{d(\Delta S_{T,2/R})}\)

cont'd.
NOTE 1: After step 6, steps 7, 8 and 9 can be carried out in any order.

NOTE 2: After step 9, 2x gives dc_x/d(ΔS_f,2/R) which yields d_b_X/d(ΔS_f,2/R)
by multiplication by x-1.

NOTE 3: If liquid thermodynamic data are available and it is desired to
calculate solid data from x,y values, the same program can be
used with reversal of 1 and 2 and x and y with the following
directions:
(2) -ΔH_2/R † -ΔS_2/R A
(3) ΔH_1/R + ΔS_1/R + b_X + b_S R/S
(4) c_x + c_S R/S
(5) fT + b_x+c_x
(6) x + y C + Ω_y, Ω_x, c_y, b_y

Program: gVXbC

(2) fLBLA fP±S ST04 hR+ STO3 hP±S hRTN 7
(3) ST07 hR+ ST06 hR+ ST04 hR+ STO3 hRTN 15
(4) STO9 hR+ ST08 hRTN 19
(5) fLBLB STO0 h l/x RCL6 X RCL7 - ST00 RCL8 RCLD
   ÷ STO5 fP±S CHS STO5 3 X 2
   - ST00 fP±S hRTN
(6) fLBLC fP±S ST01 l STOB hLSTx fe + fP±S RCL2 fLN
   - RCL1 gx^2 ÷ ST09 f-x- RCLB fe - RCL1
   fLN - RCL2 gx^2 ÷ ST08 f-x- RCL9 - 2
   X CHS ST07 f-x- RCL2 X RCL8 - CHS ST06
   fP±S hRTN
   gLBLb gLN 1 hLSTx - gx^2 hLSTx RCL5 X RCL0
   x+ X + RCL3 RCLD ÷ RCL4 - hRTN 115
   (7) fLBBLD RCLB RCLB RCL5 X 3 X RCL0 2 X
   x+ X RCLA h l/x - CHS fP±S RCLL gx^2 ÷
   hLSTx f-x- RCLB RCLA RCL5 X 3 X RCL0 2
   X + X RCLB h l/x - RCL2 gx^2 ÷ STOR
   f-x- RCL9 2 X RCL1 RCL2 X h l/x - RCL1
   RCL x+ X RCL1 RCL8 2 X RCLL RCLX X
   h l/x - RCL2 ÷ fP±S ST01 RCLC ST02 hR+ hRTN 185
   f-x- RCLB RCLL ÷ gx^2 STOC f-x- RCLB X
   - X fP±S hRTN 209
(8) fLBBLE fP±S RCL2 RCL1 ÷ gx^2 STOC f-x- RCLB X
   f-x- RCLB RCL2 ÷ gx^2 f-x- f-x- l 5 RCL2
   f-x- RCLB RCL2 ÷ gx^2 STOR
(9) gLBLLa RCLD - hLSTx ÷ fP±S RCLL gx^2 ÷ CHS 221

RP 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
b_y dΩ_2/dx dΩ_0/dx -ΔH_2/R -ΔS_1/R c_y b_h b_s c_h c_s
RS 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
b_y+3c_y x 1-x ΔH_1/R ΔS_1/R -c_y b_x c_x Ω_1 Ω_2

A B C D E I
y l-y dΩ/dx,dΩ_0/dy T dΩ_1/dy dΩ_2/dy
If it is desired to determine the effect upon b, and c, of the liquid phase of errors in b, and c, of the solid phase, Prgm. bc calculates \( \frac{dc}{db} \), \( \frac{dc}{dc} \), \( \frac{db}{db} \), and \( \frac{db}{dc} \).

\[
\frac{dc}{db} = 2 \left( \frac{d\Omega_2}{db} - \frac{d\Omega_1}{db} \right) = 2 \left[ \frac{(1-y)^2}{x^2} - \frac{y^2}{(1-x)^2} \right]
\]

\[
\frac{dc}{dc} = 2 \left( \frac{d\Omega_2}{dc} - \frac{d\Omega_1}{dc} \right) = 2 \left[ \frac{(1-y)^3}{x^2} - \frac{(3-y)}{(1-x)^2} \right]
\]

\[
\frac{db}{db} = \frac{d\Omega_1}{db} - (1-x) \frac{dc}{db} = \frac{d\Omega_1}{db} - 2(1-x) \left[ \frac{d\Omega_2}{db} - \frac{d\Omega_1}{db} \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{y^2}{(1-x)^2} - 2(1-x) \left[ \frac{(1-y)^2}{x^2} - \frac{y^2}{(1-x)^2} \right]
\]

\[
\frac{db}{dc} = \frac{d\Omega_1}{dc} - (1-x) \frac{dc}{dc} = \frac{d\Omega_1}{dc} - 2(1-x) \left[ \frac{d\Omega_2}{dc} - \frac{d\Omega_1}{dc} \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{(3-y)}{(1-x)^2} - 2(1-x) \left[ \frac{(1-y)^3}{x^2} - \frac{(3-y)}{(1-x)^2} \right]
\]

Directions:

(1) Insert tape bc
(2) \( y \uparrow \times A \)

Display
\( \frac{dc}{db}, \frac{dc}{dc}, \frac{db}{db}, \frac{db}{dc} \)

**Prgm. bc**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>fLBLA</th>
<th>STOA</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>-</th>
<th>CHS</th>
<th>STOB</th>
<th>hRR</th>
<th>STOC</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHS</td>
<td>STOD</td>
<td>RCLA</td>
<td>1/</td>
<td>gx^2</td>
<td>STO9</td>
<td>RLC9</td>
<td>RCLB</td>
<td>1/</td>
<td>gx^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STO8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>STO2</td>
<td>f-x-</td>
<td>RCL9</td>
<td>RCLD</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>RCL8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>RLC</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>STO7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST03</td>
<td>f-x-</td>
<td>RCL8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>RCLA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>RCL2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ST00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f-x-</td>
<td>RCL7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>RCLA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>RCL3</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>STO1</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

cont'd.
There is no general procedure for applying the various programs that have
been described for treating a phase diagram. Liquidus data usually would be
treated first by Prgm. xbx, which neglects the effect of solid solubility to
obtain a rough idea of the partial molal enthalpies and entropies in the
liquid phase. Neglect of solid solubility or experimental error in the
data can yield values substantially in error and the initial values may
have to be somewhat modified to be within the range of reasonable
values indicated by various theoretical models. Program Im can be used
to calculate the boundaries of the solidus and liquidus from the selected
thermodynamic values. There are, in general, an infinite combination of
thermodynamic quantities that will fit a set of experimental data within
experimental error. Comparison of the results from Prgm. Im with the
original experimental data will indicate what changes in the thermodynamic
data might be necessary to give a better fit and still be in the range of
reasonable entropy and enthalpy values. Program yx-bc is of use of obtain
Gibbs energy values from boundary values at a given temperature or Prgm. yxbc
will yield the molefraction dependence of the thermodynamic properties in
one phase knowing the properties in the saturating phase. This last program
can be of value in adjusting the thermodynamic data through analysis of
the effect of error in various quantities upon the phase boundaries and the
effect of error in one thermodynamic quantity upon related thermodynamic
values. For intermediate phases with relatively limited solid solubility,
the next program, Prgm. SP, is often of value. Because of the experimental
error in most observations and the resulting wide spread of thermodynamic
quantities that could be used to fit the data, it is important that this
fitting process not be carried out in a mechanical manner. Considerable
judgment using theoretical models with useful predictive value is essential
to narrow down the range of thermodynamic values consistent with the
experimental data.
Solubility Product Calculations for Liquidus of Intermediate Phases

Combination of the equations $\Delta G^o/RT = (\Delta G^o-\Delta H^o_{298})/RT + \Delta H^o_{298}/RT$ for

$A(s) + nB(s) = AB_n(s)$, $A(s) = A(l)$, and $nB(s) = nB(l)$ gives for

$AB_n(s) = A(l) + nB(l)$, $-\Delta G^o/RT = -\Delta H^o_{298}/RT - (\Delta G^o-\Delta H^o_{298})/RT = \ln K = \ln x_A + n\ln x_B + \ln y_A + n\ln y_B = \ln x_A + n\ln x_B - \left(\frac{b_s-b_h}{T}\right)(1-x_A)^2 - \left(\frac{c_s-c_h}{T}\right)(1-x_A)^3$

$- n[b_s^3/c_s - \left(\frac{b_h+c_h}{T}\right)x_A^2 + n(c_s-c_h/T)x_A^3$

$T = \frac{\Delta H^o_{298}/R + \left[\frac{b_h}{(1-x_A)}\right](1-x_A)^2 + n\left[\frac{b_h+c_h}{(1-x_A)}\right][1-x_A]^2 + n(b_s+c_s-x_A)x_A^2}{\Delta G^o/RT + \ln x_A - \ln n(1-x_A) + \left[\frac{b_s+c_s}{(1-x_A)}\right][1-x_A]^2 - \left[\frac{b_h+c_h}{(1-x_A)}\right][1-x_A]^2 - n\left[\frac{b_s+c_s}{(1-x_A)}\right][1-x_A]^2}

$-\Delta G^o/RT = \ln x_A + n\ln(1-x_A) + \left[\frac{b_h+c_h}{(1-x_A)}\right][1-x_A]^2 + n\left[\frac{b_h+c_h}{(1-x_A)}\right][1-x_A]^2 - \left[\frac{b_s+c_s}{(1-x_A)}\right][1-x_A]^2 - n\left[\frac{b_s+c_s}{(1-x_A)}\right][1-x_A]^2$

Program SP can be used to calculate the liquidus curve when $\Delta H^o_{298}/R$ is known or to calculate $\Delta H^o_{298}/R$ when the liquidus curve is known.

Directions:

1. Insert Prgm. SP
2. $b_h$ $c_h$ $b_s$ $c_s$

3. $\Delta H^o_{298}/R$ STOA, $-(\Delta G^o-\Delta H^o_{298})/RT$ STOB $n$ STOE, 273.15 STO3

4. $x_A$ $A$ $T$

5. $R/S$ $t$

6. $x_A$ $T$ $B$ $-\Delta G^o/RT$

7. $R/S$ $\Delta H^o/R$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| $\Delta H^o_{298}/R$ | $-(\Delta G^o-\Delta H^o_{298})/RT$ | $x_A$ | $1-x_A$ | $n$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RP</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$b_h$</td>
<td>$c_h$</td>
<td>used</td>
<td>273.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| RS | $b_s$ | $c_s$ |

cont'd.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prgm. SP</th>
<th>fLBL</th>
<th>fP+</th>
<th>ST01</th>
<th>hR+</th>
<th>ST00</th>
<th>hR+</th>
<th>fP+</th>
<th>ST01</th>
<th>hR+</th>
<th>ST00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>fLBL0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>RCLC</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>RCL1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>RCL1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>RCL1</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCLD</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>RCLC</td>
<td>gx^2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>RCLD</td>
<td>RCL1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>RCL1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>RCL1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCL3</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fLBLB</td>
<td>ST04</td>
<td>hR+</td>
<td>STOC</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>CHS</td>
<td>STOD</td>
<td>fLN</td>
<td>RCLE</td>
<td>fP+S</td>
<td>fGSBO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>RCLC</td>
<td>fLN</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>RGSBO</td>
<td>RCL4</td>
<td>÷</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>fP+S</td>
<td>fGSBO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fP+S</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td>RCLB</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>RCL4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>CHS</td>
<td>hRTN</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
11
44
69
98
Chapter IV

Regular Solution Calculations

The previous chapters have frequently referred to regular solution equations and their application to thermodynamic calculations.\(^{(12,19,20)}\) In Chapter III, it was pointed out that the partial molal Gibbs energies expressed in terms of volume fractions as composition variables can be conveniently expanded in terms of mole fraction truncated at the cubic term. In its simplest form, the regular solution theory is a pair-interaction model with interactions between unlike atoms or molecules being taken as the geometric mean of the interactions between like atoms of molecules and excess entropy contributions comes only from the temperature coefficients of the volume and energy of sublimation terms. For most actual systems, the geometric mean assumption must be modified and additional entropy contributions must be considered. For metallic atoms, there is also the question of the appropriate valence state to use for evaluating the cohesive energy\(^{(7)}\).

The programs tabulated below can use for \(\Delta H\) either the enthalpy of sublimation to the ground electronic state or to any valence state in obtaining the first approximations to the solubility parameters. Subsequent approximations depend upon the types of atoms being mixed and an appraisal of the types of chemical interactions that can take place. Thus strain contributions due to size disparity can add positive contributions to the Gibbs energy of mixing for solids with equivalent lattice positions and can add negative contributions for appropriate size differences for structures such as those of the Laves phases, liquids, and other systems with non-equivalent sites that achieve better space filling with appropriate size disparities. The very substantial acid-base interactions that can take place when atoms with non-bonding electrons are mixed with atoms with unoccupied low energy orbitals must also be considered.\(^{(6)}\) However, the simplest form of internal pressure calculation is the starting point for most systems. For this level of approximation, the energy of vaporization is taken as \(\Delta H - RT_1\) where \(T_1\) is the average temperature of the range of interest.

\[
T_\Omega_1 = T\ln \gamma_1/(1-x_1)^2 = V_1(\delta_n - \delta_l)^2/R = V_1 \left\{ \left[ \frac{\Delta H_n}{R} - T_1 \right]/V_1 \right\}^{1/2} - \left[ \frac{\Delta H_l}{R} - T_1 \right]/V_1 \right\}^{1/2} \]

\[
T_\Omega_n = T\ln \gamma_n/x_1^2 = V_n(\delta_n - \delta_l)^2/R
\]

\[
T_{\text{critical}} = \frac{2V_n(\delta_n - \delta_l)^2/R}{1 + (V_n/V_1)^2} \]
Program RS can store data for eight binary systems of component 1.

Directions:
(1) Insert Prgm. RS
(2) \( R \uparrow T_1 \uparrow \Delta H_1 \uparrow V_1 \uparrow A \)
(3) \( B \)
(4) \( \Delta H_n \uparrow V_n \uparrow C; \) repeat for all \( n \) up to \( n = 9 \)
(5) \( T \uparrow n \uparrow D \)
(6) \( n \uparrow E \)

Display
\[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{(\Delta H_1/R-T_1)}{V_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]

\( 2 \)

\( V_1(\delta_n-\delta_1)^2/R, \) \( V_n(\delta_n-\delta_1)^2/R \)

\( \Omega_1=ln\gamma_1/x_n^2, \) \( \Omega_n=ln\gamma_n/x_1^2 \)

T\text{critical for 1-n system}

NOTE 1: Step 3 is required before a new set of data in step 4.

NOTE 2: Steps 5 and 6 can be used in any order. If it is desired to add more values in step 4 after step 5 or 6 has been used, carry out step 6 for last value of \( n \) used in step 4. Then key \( f \) \( b \) and step 4 will accept values for \( n+1, n+2, \) etc. up to the maximum of 9.

Prgm. RS

\begin{verbatim}
(2) fLBLA STOA hR+ STOB hR+ STOC hR+ STOE RCLB hx:xy \\
RCLC - RCLA ÷ f√x STOD hRTN
(3) fLBLB 2 hSTI hRTN
(4) fLBLC STOO hR+ STOL RCLE ÷ RCLC - RCL0 ÷ \\
f√x RCLD - gx^2 ÷ STO(i) fa RCLO STO(i) X \\
fb hx:xy RCLA x f-x- hR+ hRTN
(5) fLBLD hSTI hR+ RCL(i) hx:xy ÷ ÷ ÷ RCL(i) \\
fba hX xy RCLA x f-x- hR+ hRTN
(6) fLBLE hSTI RCL(i) 2 x fa RCL(i) X RCL(i) RCLA \\
÷ f√x^2 1 ÷ gx^2 ÷ hRTN
gLBLfa hRCI 1 0 ÷ hSTI hR+ hRTN
gLBLfb hRCI 9 - hSTI hR+ hRTN
\end{verbatim}

A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V_1</td>
<td>ΔH_1</td>
<td>T_1</td>
<td>( \left( \frac{\Delta H_1/R-T_1}{V_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V_n</td>
<td>ΔH_n</td>
<td>(δ_1-δ_2)^2/R</td>
<td>(δ_1-δ_3)^2/R</td>
<td>\ldots</td>
<td>(δ_1-δ_8)^2/R</td>
<td>(δ_1-δ_9)^2/R</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>\ldots</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V_2</td>
<td>V_3</td>
<td>\ldots</td>
<td>V_8</td>
<td>V_9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Use of Regular Solution Equations for Fitting of Data

When there is substantial solid and liquid solubility and Prgam. Im of Chapter III is required, an exact analytical solution cannot be given, but quite accurate fitting of the results can be obtained in terms of a polynomial fit of the deviation from simple limiting equations.

If the solid and liquid solubilities are small, the liquidus of component A of an A-B binary system is given by

\[ \ln x^L_A = \alpha + a_{-1} T^{-1} \]

where

\[ \alpha = \frac{\Delta S^0_f}{R} + b^L_s + c^L_s \]

\[ a_{-1} = -\frac{\Delta H^0_f}{R} - b^L_h - c^L_h \]

and all quantities refer to component A. The solidus can be expressed as

\[ \ln x^S_B = \alpha^S + a_{-1} T^{-1} \]

where

\[ \alpha^S = -\frac{\Delta S^0_s}{R} + b^S_s + c^S_s \]

\[ a_{-1} = \frac{\Delta H^0_f}{R} - b^S_h - c^S_h \]

and all quantities refer to component B.

Actual data are fitted to the equation \( \ln x = a_{-1} T^{-1} + \Delta \), where \( \Delta \) is a power series in \( T \). It is sometimes useful to fit \( \ln \frac{x}{T_f-T} = a_{-1} T^{-1} + \Delta \). When the liquidus or solidus is of very limited extent near the melting point, a simpler equation can be used. The limiting liquidus near the melting point of B becomes

\[ \frac{x^L_A}{(T_f-T)} = \frac{\Delta S^0_s/R}{T(1-x^L_A/x^L_B)} \]

from Eq. (19-14) of ref. (12) which reduces to

\[ \frac{x^L_A}{(T_f-T)} = \frac{\Delta S^0_f/R}{T} \]

when solid solubility is small.

The various modifications of these limiting equations can be used to obtain accurate least square fits for liquidus or solidus boundaries to a polynomial with a minimum number of terms. Prgm. LS\( \Delta \) is designed to handle the variety of situations that might arise by evaluating the constants of \( f(x,T) = a_1/T + a_0 + a_1 T + a_2 T^2 \), where \( f(x,T) \) may be \( \ln[x/(T_f-T)] \), \( \ln x \), or \( x/(T_f-T) \). \( a_{-1} \) is fixed as indicated below by the type of equilibrium and \( \Delta = f(x,T) - a_{-1}/T \) is fit to a power series in \( T \) or under some circumstances in \( T_f-T \).
Prgm. LSΔ for \( f(x,T) = \frac{a_{-1}}{T} + \Delta \), \( \Delta = a_0 + a_1T + a_2T^2 \)

(1) Insert tape LSΔ
(2) Store \( a_{-1} \) in B
(3) fa to clear registers
(4) Modify prgm. to fit \( f(x,T) = x/(T_f-T) \) or \( \ln x \) in place of \( \ln \frac{x}{2890-T} \)
(5) \( x_1 \) T₁ B

If any of \( T \) values are evenly spaced, key \( x \) after 2nd of regularly spaced values if 10⁻² has been replaced by proper I.

(6) R/S
(7) SST SST
(8) T D
E after 2nd of regularly spaced \( T \)
(9) C, SST
(10) T fd

\( \text{fe for evenly spaced } T \)

NOTE 1: Prgm. is set for \( f(x,T) = \ln \frac{x}{2890-T} \), although usually a simpler function is used.

NOTE 2: For \( f(x,T) = \ln x \), remove \( \text{FSB 1} \) in 3rd line (steps 22-3) and \( \text{FSB 1+} \) near end of LBL D (steps 132-3 minus 2) and if \( T_f-T \) power series be used, remove hR+ FLN + of gLBLd, step 192.

NOTE 3: If \( x \) is keyed in as \( 10^nx \), add EEX n in 3rd line (after hR of step 20)

NOTE 4: For melting point of metal other than Mo, replace 2890 by \( T_f \) after fLBL1, fLBL2, and end of gLBLd.

NOTE 5: For even spaced temp. at intervals \( I \) other than 100°, replace EEX 2 by I after fLBLA, fLBL2, and gLBLd.

NOTE 6: If \( x \) is to be used instead of \( \ln x \), remove FLN in 3rd line (step 21), and remove gE \( x \) at end of fLBL and end of gLBL.

NOTE 7: For an A-B system with \( \ln Y_A = bx_B^2 + cx_B^3 \) and \( \ln Y_B = (b+c)x_A^2 - cx_A^3 \), there are the following combinations of \( f(x,T) \) and \( a_{-1} \).
Solid-Liquid Equilibrium

A liquidus, low $x_A^L$

A solidus, low $x_A^L$

A liquidus, high $x_A^L$

A solidus, high $x_A^L$

B liquidus, high $x_B^L$

B solidus, high $x_B^L$

Solid-Solid Equilibrium

A solidus

B solidus

$\ln x_A$

$\ln x_B$

$-\ln \frac{x_B}{(T_f^A - T)}$

$\ln \frac{x_A}{(T_f^B - T)}$

$-\ln \frac{x_A}{(T_f^B - T)}$

$-\ln \frac{x_B}{(T_f^A - T)}$

$\Delta H_{f,A} - b_{f,A}^L - c_{f,A}^L$

$\Delta H_{f,B} - b_{f,B}^S - c_{f,B}^S$

$\Delta S_{f,A}$

$\Delta S_{f,B}$

$\Delta H_{f,A} - (b_{f,B}^S - b_{f,B}^L) - \frac{1}{2} (c_{f,C}^S - c_{f,B}^L)$

$\Delta H_{f,B} - (b_{f,B}^S - b_{f,B}^L) - (c_{f,C}^S - c_{f,B}^L)$

Progm. LSA

...
A fifth chapter entitled "Philosophy of Critical Evaluation and Compilation" has been written which describes the compilation procedures and use of some of the programs described in the earlier chapters and which particularly reviews some of the bonding models used to predict thermodynamic values for systems lacking data. Because of the length of the present report, the decision was made to issue the fifth chapter as a separate report (LBL-7666) and the present summary of calculation programs will be ended with a program used to estimate enthalpies of formation using the Rittner model for gaseous halides with a large ionic component.
Bonding Energies of Gaseous Halides Using the Rittner Model

Rittner (24) has used an ionic model including polarizability to calculate bonding energies of gaseous halides. There are a number of difficulties in the model having to do with the proper treatment of overlap repulsion, the appropriate value of the polarizability to be used, and the contribution of higher order terms. In spite of the limitations, Cubicciotti (25,27) and Hildenbrand (26) have reported success in using the model for compounds of highly charged cations and criteria have been developed to predict range of applicability of the model.

It is of interest to determine how far the model can be extended among the gaseous transition metal halides, for which thermodynamic data are very scanty. It would be useful to compare the calculated values with the available experimental data to determine if the model or some modification of the model might yield useful data. The HP-67 calculator program given below follows the calculations of Cubicciotti, Hildenbrand, et al. (27).

Enthalpies of formation or dissociation energies to the gaseous ions can be readily calculated for MX, linear MX₂, planar MX₃, and tetrahedral MX₄ given a value of the cation-anion distance and the polarizabilities. These molecules will be described as MXₙ with x=1 to 4. The operation of the program will be illustrated using typical parameters for Zr and Mo.

\[
R_{0}^{0}/R = 167\,103[a_{n}/r + \alpha b_{n}/r^{4}] \quad \text{for}
\]

\[
M^{n+}(g) + nX^{-}(g) = MX_{n}(g)
\]

where \(\alpha\) is the anion polarizability in A³ for the symmetrical molecules and is the sum of the anion and cation polarizabilities for MX molecules. The constant 167 103, given by \(e^{2}N_{0}/10^{8}R = e^{2}/10^{-8}k = (4.80324)\times10^{4}/1.38065\), yields values of \(\Delta H_{0}^{0}/R\) in Kelvin units when \(r\) is given in \(\text{Å}\). The values of \(a_{n}\) and \(b_{n}\) are (27):

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_{1} &= -\frac{10}{11} \\
    a_{2} &= -\frac{10}{11}(3.5) \\
    a_{3} &= -\frac{10}{11}(9-\sqrt{3}) \\
    a_{4} &= -\frac{10}{11}(15-3\sqrt{15}) \\
    b_{1} &= -\frac{7}{11} \\
    b_{2} &= -\frac{7}{11}(\frac{15}{16}) \\
    b_{3} &= -\frac{7}{11}(8.17) \\
    b_{4} &= -\frac{7}{11}(16.48)
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\frac{10}{11} = 1 - \frac{1}{p}\) and \(\frac{7}{11} = 1 - \frac{4}{p}\) with \(p\), the power of the overlap repulsion term, taken equal to 11.
The value of $\Delta H_0^o/R$ for $M(s) + \frac{n}{2} X_2 = M^{n+}(g) + nX^-(g)$ is given by the sum of $\Delta H_0^o$ for the following reactions.

\begin{align*}
(1) & \quad M(s) = M(g) \\
(3) & \quad \frac{n}{2} X_2 = \frac{n}{2} X(g),
\end{align*}

where $X_2$ is taken as gaseous for $F_2$ and $Cl_2$ at OK and solid for $Br_2$ and $I_2$.

\begin{align*}
(4) & \quad nX(g) + ne^-(g) = nX^-(g) \\
(5) & \quad M(g) = M^{n+}(g) + ne^-(g).
\end{align*}

For reaction (2), $\Delta H_0^o/R = 78970\pm250$ K was used (29) for Mo and $72560\pm500$ K was used (28) for Zr. For reaction (3), $\Delta H_0^o/R$ was taken (30-35) as 9 240\pm70 for F, 14 386\pm1 for Cl, 14 185\pm5 for Br, and 12 8892 K for I. For reaction (4), $\Delta H_0^o/R$ was taken (36,37) as $-39 440\pm20$ for F, $-41 930\pm30$ for Cl, $-39 030\pm30$ for Br, and $-35 550\pm30$ K for I. The ionization potentials in cm\(^{-1}\) from Moore (38) for

\begin{align*}
Mo^+ & = 57 260, \quad Mo^{2+} = 130 300, \quad Mo^{3+} = 219 100, \quad Mo^{4+} = 374 180 \\
Zr^+ & = 55 144, \quad Zr^{2+} = 105 900, \quad Zr^{3+} = 185 400 \text{ and } Zr^{4+} = 276 970,
\end{align*}

are used to calculate $\Delta H_0^o/R$ for reaction(5). The sum of $\Delta H_0^o/R$ values for reactions (1) to (5) yields $\Delta H_0^o/R$ for the formation from the elements.

\begin{align*}
(6) & \quad M(s) + \frac{n}{2} X_2 = MX_n(g) \\
\Delta H_0^{298}/R & = \Delta H_0^o/R + (H_2^{298}-H_0^o)/R_{MX_n} - (H_2^{298}-H_0^o)/R_M - \frac{n}{2}(H_2^{298}-H_0^o)/R_{X_2}.
\end{align*}

For $M(s)$, $(H_2^{298}-H_0^o)/R = 552$ K for Mo and 665 K for Zr. For gaseous $X_2$, \(\frac{1}{2}(H_2^{298}-H_0^o)/R = 531\) for $F_2$ and 552 for $Cl_2$. For $Br_2(L)$ at 298K, \(\frac{1}{2}(H_2^{298}-H_0^o)/R = 11474\) K and for $I_2(s)$, \(\frac{1}{2}(H_2^{298}-H_0^o)/R = 794\) K. Values of $(H_2^{298}-H_0^o)/R$ for $MX_n(g)$ are tabulated in Table A.

The calculation of $\Delta H_0^{298}/R$ for reaction(6) can be expressed as $\Delta H_0^{298}/R = U/R + M_n + nX^+ \cdot (H_2^{298}-H_0^o)/R_{MX_n}$, where $\Delta H_0^o/R$ for reaction(1) is expressed as $U/R = A_n/r + \alpha B_n/r$ with $A_n = 167 103 a_n$ and $B_n = 167 103 b_n$, $M_n$ is the sum of the $\Delta H_0^o/R$ values of reactions(2) and (5) minus $(H_2^{298}-H_0^o)/R$ for $M(s)$, and $X^+$ is the sum of $\Delta H_0^o/R$ values of reactions(3) and (4) minus $(H_2^{298}-H_0^o)/R$ for \(\frac{1}{2}X_2\). The $M_n$ values are calculated by step 5 of the program. The other values, along with $\alpha X$ values, are tabulated as follows:
The α value for I is from Cubicciotti, Hildenbrand, et al. (27). The other values are from Dalgarno (39). The calculation is carried out in two stages.

After storing $A_n$ and $B_n$, $U/R$ is calculated from the M-X distance $r$ by

$$U/R = \frac{A_n}{r} + \frac{\alpha B_n}{r^4}.$$  

Then the value of $U/R$ is combined with $(H_{298}^\circ - H_0^\circ)/R$ of $MX_n$, to calculate $\Delta H_{298}^\circ/R$ of reaction(6). Constants are stored in registers or are inserted with a data card as indicated.

### TABLE A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$A_n$</th>
<th>$B_n$</th>
<th>$X_n$</th>
<th>$\alpha_n$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-151 912</td>
<td>-53 169</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>-30 730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-531 691</td>
<td>-325 660</td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>-28 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1 104 087</td>
<td>-868 800</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>-26 320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-1 872 429</td>
<td>-1 752 500</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>-23 450</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Directions:

1. Insert Prgm. I
2. Insert Data Tape I
3. $\alpha + n + r + 6$ for F, 7 for Cl, 8 for Br, or 9 for I. A
3a. If calculation is to be repeated for different $n$ with same $X$, $\alpha + n + r + B$
4. With $U/R$ displayed, key $(H_{298}^\circ - H_0^\circ)/R$ of $MX_n$ R/S
5. To insert data for different $M$, $\Delta H_{298}^\circ/R$ subl. of $M*(H_{298}^\circ - H_0^\circ)/R$ of $M(s)$ E
5a. IP$_1$ + IP$_3$ + IP$_2$ + IP$_1$ + R/S
6. fa to multiply any value by R
7. fe to divide any value by R

The values of $(H_{298}^\circ - H_0^\circ)/R$ in K are given in Table A:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>MoF$_n$</th>
<th>MoCl$_n$</th>
<th>MoBr$_n$</th>
<th>MoI$_n$</th>
<th>ZrF$_n$</th>
<th>ZrCl$_n$</th>
<th>ZrBr$_4$</th>
<th>ZrI$_4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1079</td>
<td>1141</td>
<td>1176</td>
<td>1221</td>
<td>1092</td>
<td>1132</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>1213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1624</td>
<td>1948</td>
<td>2080</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>1423</td>
<td>1783</td>
<td>1872</td>
<td>1842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1768</td>
<td>2104</td>
<td>2395</td>
<td>2570</td>
<td>1817</td>
<td>2119</td>
<td>2321</td>
<td>2930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2274</td>
<td>2678</td>
<td>2987</td>
<td>3168</td>
<td>2278</td>
<td>2713</td>
<td>3001</td>
<td>3185</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NOTE 1: $\alpha$ is $\alpha_x$ of halide ion except for $n = 1$ where sum of $\alpha$ values of anion and cation are used.

NOTE 2: Step 2 leaves registers prepared for fluoride calculations. One can go directly from step 2 to step 3a for $MF_n$ calculations.

Prgrm. I

(3) fLBLA hSTI hr+ RCLI STOA hr+ fLBLB STOO hr+ hSTI

hr+ RCLI STOC hr+ hRCI 1 0 + hSTI hr+

RCLI X STOD hRCI 5 + hSTI RCLI STOB RCLO

$\frac{1}{x}$ RCLC hxxy X RCLD hLSTx 4 hyX X + hRTN

(4) + hRCI 1 5 - RCLA X + RCLB + hRTN

(5) fLBLE 1 9 hSTI hr+ - SToi fDSZ SToi fDSZ

SToi fDSZ SToi RCL5 STOO hRTN

STOXO hr+ RCL5 ST0+i fISZ hr+ RCL5 X RCLO +

fGSBO fGSBO ST0+i RCLI STOB hRTN

fLBLO ST0+i fISZ hxxy RCL5 X + hRTN

(6) gLBLa RCLE X hRTN

(7) gLBLb RCLE : hRTN

TEST:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RP</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hREG,</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-151.912</td>
<td>-531.691</td>
<td>-101.087</td>
<td>-1872.429</td>
<td>1.4388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-30.730</td>
<td>-28.100</td>
<td>-26.320</td>
<td>-23.450</td>
<td>-30.730</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-53.169</td>
<td>-2560</td>
<td>-86800</td>
<td>-1752500</td>
<td>160802</td>
<td>348275</td>
<td>663510</td>
<td>1201871</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(3a) 1.2 $\times$ 4 $\times$ 1.82 B -1220477, (4) 2274 R/S -139252
(3a) 8.2 $\times$ 1 $\times$ 1.82 B -123204, (4) 1079 R/S 7947
(3) 3 $\times$ 4 $\times$ 2.26 $\times$ 7 A -1030041, (4) 2678 R/S 62108
(3a) 10 $\times$ 1 $\times$ 2.26 B -87599, (4) 1141 R/S 46245
(3) 6.42 $\times$ 3 $\times$ 2.66 $\times$ 9 A -526481, (4) 2570 R/S 69249
(5) 78970 $\times$ 552 B 1.44, 374180 $\times$ 219100 $\times$ 130300 $\times$ 57260 R/S 1201871,
(6) f a 2388347 (7) fe 1201871
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Constants, Fit of Data, to Equations with more than Three -
Program LS \( C_p \)

Cor Program - Anharmonicity Contribution from Anharmonicity,
Centrifugal Stretching and Vibration-Rotation Interaction

\( C_p \) or \( H \) values, Calculation of Thermodynamic Functions from -
Programs CHSG and Cheb.CHSG
## Index

### D.
- Diatomic Gases, Calculation of Thermodynamic Functions for - Program D-LT
  - Page 31

### E.
- Electronic Level Contributions to Thermodynamic Functions, Calculation of - Program A  
  - Page 41
- Enthalpy Values, Calculation of Thermodynamic Functions from - Programs CHSG and Cheb.CHSG  
  - Page 46

### F.
- Fit of Data to Equations with more than Three Constants - Programs \(LS C_p^4\) and \(LS H^4\)  
  - Page 22
- Fitting, Data, Using Analytic Functions - Programs Interp.GK and \(LS f(x)\)  
  - Page 11
- Fitting, Data, Using Chebyshev Polynomials - Programs \(C_n\), Cheb \(C_n\) and Cheb \((f,C_n)\)  
  - Page 3
- Fitting of Data, Least-Square, Using Analytic Functions - Program \(LS f_1f_2f_3(x)\)  
  - Page 20
- Fitting of Data, Use of Regular Solution Equations for - Program \(LS A\)  
  - Page 76

### G.
- Gases, Diatomic, Thermodynamic Calculations for - Program D-LT  
  - Page 31
- Gases, Linear Polyatomic, Thermodynamic Calculations for - Program D-LT  
  - Page 31
- Gases, Thermodynamic Properties of - Program A  
  - Page 41
- Gibbs Energy from Phase Boundaries - Program \(yx=bc\)  
  - Page 65

### H.
- \(H\) Values, Calculation of Thermodynamic Functions from \(C_p\) or - Program Cheb.CHSG  
  - Page 46
- Heat Capacity \((C_p)\) Values, Calculation of Thermodynamic Functions from - Program CHSG  
  - Page 46

### I.
- I Program - Bonding Energies of Gaseous Halides  
  - Page 80-83
- Im Program - Calculation of Boundaries of Saturating Phases  
  - Page 62
- Inertia, Moments of
  - Diatomic, linear, planar, tetrahedral, trigonal bipyramid, octahedral, bent triatomic, symmetric tops - Program \(ID\)  
    - Page 37
  - Other non-linear molecules - Program \(NL\)  
    - Page 39
Index

I. cont'd.

Interpolation fit to Analytic Function expressed as a Power Series - 11
Interp. GK Program

Introduction

J.

No listing

K.

Calculation of Equilibrium Constant - Programs GX, CgK 12-15, 50-56

L.

Least-Square Fitting Using Chebyshev Orthogonal Polynomials - 3
Cheb Cn, Cheb(f, Cn) and Cheb-a Programs

Least-Square Fitting of Data to an Analytic Function - Lsf(x), LR,
Lsf_f(x), Lsf_f^3(x), LS Cn, LS Cn^2, LS Y and LS Y Programs 16-29

Linear Polyatomic Gases, Calculation of Thermodynamic Properties
for - Program D-LT 31

Linear Regression - Program LR 18

Liquidus Curve, Calculation of Points on - Program SP 57

Liquidus, Solubility Product Calculations for, of Intermediate
Phases - Program SP 72

LSF Program - Use of Regular Solution Equations for Fitting of Data 77

M.

Moments of Inertia [see Inertia, Moments of] 39

N.

NL Program - Non-linear Gases

O.

No listing.

P.

No listing.

Q.

No listing.

R.

References 84-86

Regression, Linear - Program LR 18

Regular Solution Gibbs-Duhem Integrations - Program LS Y 26-29

RS Program - Regular Solution Calculation 75
S.

Saturating Phases, Calculation of Composition Boundaries of -
Program Im 59

Solubility Product Calculations for Liquidus of Intermediate Phases -
Program SP 72

Solution, Liquid, Calculation of Thermodynamic Properties of, from
Properties of Solid Solution - Programs Yx-bc and bc 67

Solution, Regular, Calculations - Programs Im, LSA, yx-bc, Yx-bc,
RS,bc, and SP 59-70

Solution, Regular, Equations, Use of, for Fitting Data - Program LSA 76

Solution, Solid, Calculation of Thermodynamic Properties of Liquid
Solution from Properties of - Program Yx-bc 67

SP Program - Solubility Product Calculations for Liquidus of Inter-
mediate Phases 72

Standard Thermodynamic Calculations - Programs D-LT, Cor, ID, NL, A,
CHSG, Cheb.CHSG,CgK 30-55

T.

Thermodynamic Properties, Calculation of
from analytic equation for C_p - Program CgK 51
from C_p or H value - Programs CHSG and Cheb.CHSG 46

diatomic or linear polyatomic gases - Program D-LT 31

gases, complete functions of electronic level contributions -
Program A 43

for liquid solution from properties of solid solution and solidus-
liquidus data and effect of errors - Programs Yx-bc and bc 67

non-linear gases (rotational and translational contributions) -
Program NL 39

Tschebycheff [see Chebyshev]

U.W.X.Z.

No listing.

V.

Vibration-Rotation Interaction, Calculation of Contributions from
Anharmonicity, Centrifugal Stretching and - Program Cor 34

Y.

yx-bc Program - Gibbs Energy from Phase Boundaries 65

Ω.

Xkb Program - Calculation of Activity Coefficients from Liquidus Curve 54-58

Yx-bc Program - Calculation of Thermodynamic Properties of Liquidus
Solution from Properties of Solid Solution and Solidus-Liquidus
Data and Effect of Errors. 68
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