

UCLA

UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

Self-stigma in psychotic disorders: Clinical, cognitive, and functional correlates in a diverse sample

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/93b515dr>

Authors

Schwarzbold, Marcelo L
Kern, Robert S
Novacek, Derek M
et al.

Publication Date

2021-02-01

DOI

10.1016/j.schres.2020.12.003

Peer reviewed



Published in final edited form as:

Schizophr Res. 2021 February ; 228: 145–150. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2020.12.003.

Self-stigma in psychotic disorders: Clinical, cognitive, and functional correlates in a diverse sample

Marcelo L. Schwarzbold^{a,c}, Robert S. Kern^{b,c}, Derek M. Novacek^{b,c}, Jessica E. McGovern^{b,c}, Lauren T. Catalano^{b,c}, Michael F. Green^{b,c}

^aDepartment of Clinical Medicine, Federal University of Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, Brazil

^bDesert Pacific Mental Illness Research, Education and Clinical Center, Veterans Affairs Greater Los Angeles Healthcare System, Los Angeles, CA

^cDepartment of Psychiatry and Biobehavioral Sciences, David Geffen School of Medicine at University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA

Abstract

Self-stigma in mental illness is linked to negative clinical and functional outcomes, but little is known about its correlates specifically in psychotic disorders. Here we investigated the role of clinical symptoms, cognition, and vocational status as correlates of self-stigma in 98 individuals with psychotic disorders (36 Black American, 32 White Hispanic, 11 White Non-Hispanic, 11 Asian American). A principal component analysis of the Internalized Stigma of Mental Illness scale yielded three components: Experiential Stigma, Stereotype Endorsement, and Stigma Resistance. Higher Experiential Stigma was associated with greater severity of affective symptoms and lower vocational status. Higher Stigma Resistance was associated with higher social and non-social cognition, and higher vocational status. Stereotype Endorsement did not significantly correlate with any predictor variable. Linear regression models showed that 13% of the variance in Experiential Stigma was explained by affective symptoms and vocational status, and 20% of the variance in Stigma Resistance was explained by non-social cognition and vocational status. These findings provide new information about the correlates of self-stigma in an ethnically and racially diverse psychotic disorder sample. Such information may lead to a better understanding of self-stigma mechanisms in this population.

Corresponding Author: Marcelo L. Schwarzbold, Postal Address: Departamento de Clínica Médica, Hospital Universitário, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, 3º andar, Rua Profa. Maria Flora Pausewang s/nº, CEP 88036-800, Florianópolis, SC, Brazil, marcelo.schwarzbold@ufsc.br, Phone: +55 48 37219014.

Author Contributions

Marcelo Schwarzbold: Formal Analysis, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Visualization. Derek Novacek: Writing – Original Draft Preparation. Jessica McGovern: Writing – Original Draft Preparation. Lauren Catalano: Writing – Original Draft Preparation. Michael Green: Conceptualization, Resources, Writing – Reviewing and Editing. Robert Kern: Conceptualization, Resources, Methodology, Data Curation, Writing – Reviewing and Editing, Supervision.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Keywords

internalized stigma; stigma resistance; schizophrenia; non-social cognition; social cognition; vocational status

1. Introduction

It is widely held that individuals with mental health disorders face considerable public stigma (Link and Phelan, 2001). According to prevailing theories, some stigmatized individuals internalize and apply these negative attitudes and stereotypes to themselves, resulting in what has been defined as “self-stigma.” According to Corrigan and Rao (2012), self-stigma develops in progressive stages: Individuals become aware of public stigma, agree with negative stereotypes, and apply these stereotypes to themselves resulting in poorer self-esteem and self-efficacy. There is some evidence that the internalization of public stigma may be particularly pronounced in psychotic disorders (Jorm and Griffiths, 2008). For example, in a meta-analysis of 54 studies of schizophrenia spectrum disorders (n=5,871), 64% endorsed anticipating or perceiving stigma in at least one domain of their life and 49% experienced alienation or shame around having a mental illness (Gerlinger et al., 2013).

Self-stigma has been negatively associated with poorer psychological outcomes including lower self-esteem (Hofer et al., 2016), hope (Hofer et al., 2016), quality of life (Park et al., 2013; Picco et al., 2016), empowerment (Livingston and Boyd, 2010) and resilience (Hofer et al., 2019). Those experiencing self-stigma are more likely to use avoidance and social withdrawal as coping strategies further limiting successful integration into community life (Yanos et al., 2008). In addition, findings from the health services and psychiatric rehabilitation literature show that self-stigma is associated with greater unmet service needs (Livingston and Boyd, 2010), poorer treatment adherence (Livingston and Boyd, 2010; Yilmaz and Okanli, 2015), and poorer social and vocational functioning (Lysaker et al., 2007; Yanos et al., 2012).

Interventions for self-stigma in psychotic disorders have shown promising results (Wood et al., 2016). Understanding the mechanisms underlying self-stigma in this clinical population may help to improve existing interventions and develop new therapeutic strategies. Clinical findings show that self-stigma is associated with a greater severity of positive (Lysaker et al., 2007), negative (Hill and Startup, 2013), and depressive symptoms (Lagger et al., 2018; Park et al., 2013). Although cognitive deficits are a core feature of schizophrenia, only a few studies have explored the relationship between cognition and self-stigma. Better non-social cognition has been associated with lower self-stigma in a Chinese sample (Chan et al., 2019). In an American sample of individuals with schizophrenia, those with poor attention were more likely to endorse self-stigmatizing beliefs (Lysaker et al., 2009). Relatedly, in a longitudinal study of individuals with schizophrenia, lower non-social cognition was associated with higher negative self-concepts twelve months later (Hesse et al., 2015).

Examination of the relationship between self-stigma and social cognition in psychotic samples is largely unexplored. We are aware of only one study to examine this question in schizophrenia (Galderisi et al., 2014). In that study, social cognition and self-stigma were

mediators between non-social cognition and real-life functioning, and both variables did not significantly correlate with each other, although the direction of the correlation was negative. A recent study (Larsen et al., 2019) that evaluated individuals at clinical high risk for psychosis found that self-stigma-related shame was associated with facial fear recognition deficits. It is noteworthy that existing interventions for self-stigma involve cognitive restructuring and increasing perspective taking (Wood et al., 2016; Yanos et al., 2019).

The primary aim of the present study was to examine the clinical, cognitive (social and non-social), and functional (vocational status) correlates of self-stigma in a racially and ethnically diverse sample of individuals with psychotic disorders drawn from a large US metropolitan area. Based on the studies cited above, we hypothesized that higher levels of self-stigma would be correlated with greater severity of psychiatric symptoms, lower levels of non-social and social cognition, and lower vocational status.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

One hundred and one participants were recruited from two sites: The VA Greater Los Angeles Healthcare System (56 participants) and the San Fernando Mental Health Center (45 participants). Participants were selected according to the following criteria: (1) diagnosed with schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, bipolar disorder with psychotic features, major depressive disorder with psychotic features, or other psychotic disorder (individuals diagnosed with substance-induced psychotic disorder or psychotic disorder due to another medical condition were excluded), as determined by available records and Structural Clinical Interview for DSM-5 (First et al., 2015); (2) age of 18 years or older; (3) clinical stability as defined by absence of inpatient hospitalization in the past three months and no changes in antipsychotic medication type in the past four weeks; (4) no moderate or severe substance or alcohol use disorder in the past three months, as per DSM-5; (5) no history of clinically relevant neurological disease or serious head injury with loss of consciousness for more than one hour, as determined by medical history; and (6) sufficient ability to understand written and spoken English. Three participants yielded invalid data on the primary outcome measure of self-stigma (see below), leading to a sample size of 98 for the analyses.

This study is a cross-sectional secondary analysis of a larger longitudinal study on vocational rehabilitation. The Institutional Review Boards at UCLA and VA Greater Los Angeles Healthcare System approved the protocol. All participants were evaluated for the capacity to give informed consent before providing written informed consent. Participants received financial compensation for their participation.

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Data quality assurance—Clinical symptom raters and diagnostic interviewers were trained according to standards established by the Treatment Unit of the Department of Veterans Affairs VISN 22 Mental Illness Research, Education, and Clinical Center (Ventura et al., 1993; Ventura et al., 1998).

2.2.2. Self-stigma—Self-stigma was assessed using the Internalized Stigma of Mental Illness scale (ISMI) (Ritsher et al., 2003), a 29-item self-report Likert scale assessing different aspects of self-stigma that participants rate from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). The ISMI is divided in five subscales: Alienation (6 items), Stereotype Endorsement (7 items), Discrimination Experience (5 items), Social Withdrawal (6 items), and Stigma Resistance (5 items).

2.2.3. Demographic and work-related data—Demographic and clinical data included age, sex, education, race, ethnicity, disease duration, and current medication use. Vocational status in the past 12 months was categorized as: no useful work; volunteer or regular domestic work; supported or sheltered work with pay; employed for ¼ of the year's working hours; employed for ½ of the year's working hours; employed for ¾ of the year's working hours; and employed full-time continuously. The category of volunteer or regular domestic work was excluded because of its qualitative differences from sheltered or competitive work. The remaining categories were measured on an ordinal scale ranging from 0 (no useful work) to 5 (employed full-time continuously). Seven participants fell in the excluded category and were not included in the statistical analyses involving vocational status.

2.2.4. Clinical symptoms—The 24-item expanded version of the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS) (Ventura et al., 1993) was used to assess psychiatric symptoms. The presence and severity of each symptom was assessed on a Likert scale from 1 (not present) to 7 (extremely severe). In the current study, we examined two subscale scores based on the factor structure by Kopelowicz and colleagues (2007): positive symptoms and affective symptoms. The Clinical Assessment Interview for Negative Symptoms (CAINS) (Kring et al., 2013) was used to assess negative symptoms in two domains: motivation and pleasure (9 items) and expression (4 items) (Horan et al., 2011). Each item is rated by an interviewer on a scale ranging from 0 to 4 with higher scores reflecting greater impairment during the previous week. The dependent measures were the motivation and pleasure and expression subscale scores.

2.2.5. Non-social and social cognition—Non-social cognition was assessed by using selected tests from the MATRICS Consensus Cognitive Battery (Kern et al., 2008; Nuechterlein et al., 2008). A composite measure was obtained by averaging age- and gender-corrected T-scores from the following tests: Brief Assessment of Cognition in Schizophrenia - Symbol Coding (BACS-SC), Continuous Performance Test - Identical Pairs (CPT-IP), Hopkins Verbal Learning Test - Revised (HVLN-R), Letter-Number Span, and Neuropsychological Assessment Battery – Mazes subtest (NAB Mazes). These tests evaluate speed of processing, attention/vigilance, verbal learning, verbal working memory, and reasoning and problem solving, respectively.

Social cognition was assessed by using the Facial Emotion Perception Test (Ekman, 1976), Profile of Nonverbal Sensitivity (PONS) (Rosenthal et al., 1979), and The Awareness of Social Inference Test - Part 2 (McDonald et al., 2003), which assess emotion perception, social perception, and theory of mind, respectively. Z-scores were calculated based on the current sample for each test and averaged to create a composite score.

2.3. Data analysis

Data analyses were conducted using SPSS 26.0 (Chicago, Illinois, USA). Descriptive statistics were used to characterize the sample. Data reduction of ISMI items was accomplished by principal component analysis with promax rotation. Sampling adequacy was analyzed using the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin test and Bartlett's test of sphericity. The number of components extracted was defined based on consideration of the scree plot and eigenvalues. Component scores were estimated by Bartlett's method. All data were screened for normality of score distribution through histograms and indices of skewness. The distribution of Stereotype Endorsement component scores (see Section 3.2) was positively skewed. These data were subsequently transformed by taking the square root after summing the absolute value of the lowest component score in the sample (so negative values became greater than or equal to 0).

To identify meaningful predictors of self-stigma, we examined the association between component scores and clinical and cognitive variables using Pearson's bivariate correlation; the association between component scores and vocational status was assessed using Spearman's bivariate correlation. Follow-up multiple linear regressions were conducted to examine the amount of variance in self-stigma that was explained by the significant predictor variables. For each self-stigma component, the selected variables were entered in a single step using the simultaneous entry procedure. To balance the exploratory nature of the correlational analyses with the number of comparisons performed, we used a partially adjusted alpha level of 0.02.

3. Results

3.1. Sample characteristics

Table 1 shows sociodemographic, clinical, cognitive, and functional (vocational status) characteristics of the sample. The racial/ethnic distribution of the sample was as follows: 36.7% Black, 32.7% White Hispanic, 11.2% White non-Hispanic, 11.2% Asian, and 8.2% other. Participants from the VA site had the following distribution: 61.8% Black, 12.7% White non-Hispanic, 10.9% White Hispanic, 5.5% Asian, and 9.1% others. The distribution at the San Fernando Mental Health Center site was 60.5% White Hispanic, 18.6% Asian, 9.3% White non-Hispanic, 4.6% Black, and 7.0% others.

3.2. Principal component analysis of self-stigma

Sampling adequacy for the principal component analysis of the ISMI was satisfactory as indicated by a Bartlett's test of sphericity p -value < 0.0001 and a Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin statistic = 0.67. A solution with three components was defined based on eigenvalues and the scree plot (see Supplementary Figure 1). The correlation matrix is presented in Supplementary Table 1; the structure matrix is presented in Table 2. All items of the Alienation, Discrimination Experience, and Social Withdrawal subscales had their highest loading on one component, which was labeled, "Experiential Stigma". All items of the Stereotype Endorsement subscale loaded highest on a second component, labeled, "Stereotype Endorsement." Lastly, all items of the Stigma Resistance subscale had their

highest loading on a third component, labeled, “Stigma Resistance.” Supplementary Table 2 shows component scores across racial/ethnic groups.

3.3. Associations between variables of interest and self-stigma components

Table 3 presents correlations of component scores with clinical and cognitive variables and vocational status. Experiential Stigma component scores had a significant, positive correlation with BPRS affective symptoms as well as a significant, negative correlation with vocational status. The second component, Stereotype Endorsement, did not correlate significantly with any predictor variable, considering the adjusted alpha level. The third component, Stigma Resistance, showed a significant, positive correlation with the non-social cognition composite, the social cognition composite, and vocational status.

Follow-up multiple linear regressions yielded the following results for the amount of variance in self-stigma explained by the statistically significant predictor variables (Table 4). For Experiential Stigma, 13.3% of the variance was explained by BPRS affective symptoms and vocational status. For Stigma Resistance, 20.1% of the variance was explained by the combination of non-social cognition, social cognition, and vocational status; however, the amount of variance explained in the model by social cognition was negligible.

4. Discussion

The present study investigated the role of clinical, cognitive, and functional correlates of self-stigma in a diverse, urban sample of individuals with psychotic disorders. Self-stigma data from the ISMI were reduced by means of a principal component analysis which yielded three components: Experiential Stigma, Stereotype Endorsement, and Stigma Resistance. Higher levels of Experiential Stigma were associated with greater severity of affective symptoms and lower vocational status. Higher levels of Stigma Resistance were associated with higher levels of non-social cognition and social cognition and higher vocational status. Stereotype Endorsement was not significantly associated with any variable of interest. Results from follow-up regression analyses revealed that the respective statistically significant predictor variables accounted for approximately 13% of the variance in Experiential Stigma and 20% of the variance in Stigma Resistance.

The association between Experiential Stigma and affective symptoms found in the present study is consistent with the body of research linking depression to self-stigma in individuals with schizophrenia spectrum disorders (Gerlinger et al., 2013; Lagger et al., 2018; Ritsher et al., 2003). While some stage models based on cross-sectional data suggest that self-stigma plays a causal role in the development of depressive symptoms, reduced self-efficacy and community functioning (Corrigan and Rao, 2012; Sibitz et al., 2011), the small number of longitudinal studies suggest a more dynamic, and perhaps bidirectional, relationship (Ben-Zeev et al., 2012; Lagger et al., 2018). For example, a study conducting ecological momentary assessment using mobile technology revealed that self-stigma significantly fluctuated over time, and that increases in preceding negative affect predicted increases in self-stigma (Ben-Zeev et al., 2012). The mechanisms by which depressive symptoms could lead to self-stigma are not well understood, but it has been suggested that negative cognitive schemas might contribute to this process (Lagger et al., 2018). The lack of significant

knowledge, only two studies included U.S. samples, and one of those used an Arabic version of the ISMI in refugee participants (Kira et al., 2015; Ritscher et al., 2003). In most of these studies, factors or components were compatible or partially compatible with the original ISMI subscales. Of note, the majority of these studies did not focus on psychotic disorder samples. Although our aim in using principal component analysis was to reduce the total number of analyses performed, our results add to this literature by showing components partially compatible with ISMI subscales in a racially and ethnically diverse American psychotic disorder sample.

The study has several limitations. First, our sample was highly heterogeneous with respect to race and ethnicity without equal representation across groups. Hence, the findings could be driven by the overrepresentation of Black and White Hispanic participants compared to the other two groups (White Non-Hispanic, Asian Americans). Second, our sample size was relatively modest for a principal component analysis, possibly leading to the extraction of unstable components. However, this seems unlikely as the components Stereotype Endorsement and Stigma Resistance directly mapped onto the original ISMI subscales. Third, our measure of community functioning was limited to a single measure of vocational status. This type of measure is further limited in that it fails to take into account other key aspects of work which might be influential in the development or maintenance of self-stigma such as degree of interpersonal contact. Fourth, the cross-sectional nature of the study does not allow for conclusions to be drawn about causal directions nor stability over time. This is particularly relevant to the associations of self-stigma components with affective symptoms and vocational status. It could also be hypothesized that self-stigma affects cognition as stigmatized individuals are less willing to interact with others and become socially isolated and lonely (Cacioppo and Hawkey, 2009).

In summary, the present study adds to the literature on self-stigma in psychosis by investigating the clinical, cognitive, and functional correlates of self-stigma in a racially and ethnically diverse sample of individuals with psychotic disorders. As far as we know, this is the first U.S.-based study to use a comprehensive cognitive evaluation to investigate the role of social and non-social cognition in self-stigma, and the first to demonstrate a relevant role of non-social cognition in stigma resistance. Our findings encourage future research to elucidate the relationships of self-stigma with affective symptoms and vocational status and other work outcome measures using longitudinal designs and more comprehensive assessments. Individuals with psychotic disorders with poorer cognitive functioning seem to represent a group particularly vulnerable to self-stigma and may require clinical and/or rehabilitative interventions to facilitate optimal levels of community functioning. A better understanding of the role of affective symptoms and fundamental cognitive functions is relevant to theory building and in the development of new rehabilitative interventions. Given the positive associations with cognition and vocational status, treatment research may also want to examine how to increase stigma resistance among individuals with psychosis.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgement

This work is funded by the National Institute of Mental Health (R21 MH110753; Robert Kern – Principal Investigator)

Role of the Funding Source

This work was funded by the National Institute of Mental Health. The funding source was not involved in study design, collection, analysis and interpretation of data, or writing of the manuscript.

References

- Ben-Zeev D, Frounfelker R, Morris SB, Corrigan PW, 2012. Predictors of self-stigma in schizophrenia: New insights using mobile technologies. *J. Dual Diagn* 8, 305–314. 10.1080/15504263.2012.723311 [PubMed: 23459025]
- Boyd JE, Adler EP, Otilingam PG, Peters T, 2014. Internalized Stigma of Mental Illness (ISMI) scale: a multinational review. *Compr. Psychiatry* 55, 221–31. [https://doi: 10.1016/j.comppsy.2013.06.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comppsy.2013.06.005) [PubMed: 24060237]
- Cacioppo JT, Hawkey LC, 2009. Perceived social isolation and cognition. *Trends Cogn. Sci* 13, 447–454. 10.1016/j.tics.2009.06.005 [PubMed: 19726219]
- Chan SKW, Kao SYS, Leung SL, Hui CLM, Lee EHM, Chang WC, Chen EYH, 2019. Relationship between neurocognitive function and clinical symptoms with self-stigma in patients with schizophrenia-spectrum disorders. *J. Ment. Heal* 28, 583–588. 10.1080/09638237.2017.1340599
- Corrigan PW, Rao D, 2012. On the self-stigma of mental illness: Stages, disclosure, and strategies for change. *Can. J. Psychiatry* 57, 464–469. 10.1177/070674371205700804 [PubMed: 22854028]
- Ekman P, 1976. *Pictures of Facial Affect*. Palo Alto, CA, Consulting Psychologists Press.
- Firmin RL, Luther L, Lysaker PH, Minor KS, Salyers MP, 2016. Stigma resistance is positively associated with psychiatric and psychosocial outcomes: A meta-analysis. *Schizophr. Res* 175, 118–128. 10.1016/j.schres.2016.03.008 [PubMed: 27102423]
- First MB, Williams JBW, Karg RS, Spitzer RL, 2015. *Structured clinical interview for DSM-5 research version*, American Psychiatric Association, Washington D.C.
- Galderisi S, Rossi A, Rocca P, Bertolino A, Mucci A, Bucci P, Rucci P, Gibertoni D, Aguglia E, Amore M, Bellomo A, Biondi M, Brugnoli R, Dell’Osso L, De Ronchi D, Di Emidio G, Di Giannantonio M, Fagiolini A, Marchesi C, Monteleone P, Oldani L, Pinna F, Roncone R, Sacchetti E, Santonastaso P, Siracusano A, Vita A, Zeppegno P, Maj M, Chieffi M, De Simone S, De Riso F, Giugliano R, Piegari G, Vignapiano A, Caforio G, Mancini M, Colagiorgio L, Porcelli S, Salfi R, Bianchini O, Galluzzo A, Barlati S, Carpinello B, Fatteri F, Di Santa Sofia SL, Cannavò D, Minutolo G, Signorelli M, Martinotti G, Di Iorio G, Acciavatti T, Pallanti S, Faravelli C, Altamura M, Stella E, Marasco D, Calcagno P, Respino M, Marozzi V, Riccardi I, Collazzoni A, Stratta P, Giusti L, Ussorio D, Delauretis I, Serati M, Caldiroli A, Palazzo C, Iasevoli F, Gramaglia C, Gili S, Gattoni E, Tenconi E, Giannunzio V, Monaco F, De Panfilis C, Camerlengo A, Ossola P, Landi P, Rutigliano G, Pergentini I, Mauri M, Di Fabio F, Torti C, Buzzanca A, Comparelli A, De Carolis A, Corigliano V, Di Lorenzo G, Niolu C, Troisi A, Corrivetti G, Pinto G, Diasco F, Goracci A, Bolognesi S, Borghini E, Montemagni C, Frieri T, Birindelli N, 2014. The influence of illness-related variables, personal resources and context-related factors on real-life functioning of people with schizophrenia. *World Psychiatry* 13, 275–287. 10.1002/wps.20167 [PubMed: 25273301]
- Gerlinger G, Hauser M, De Hert M, Lacluyse K, Wampers M, Correll CU, 2013. Personal stigma in schizophrenia spectrum disorders: A systematic review of prevalence rates, correlates, impact and interventions. *World Psychiatry* 12, 155–164. 10.1002/wps.20040 [PubMed: 23737425]
- Hesse K, Kriston L, Wittorf A, Herrlich J, Wölwer W, Klingberg S, 2015. Longitudinal relations between symptoms, neurocognition, and self-concept in schizophrenia. *Front. Psychol* 6, 917. 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00917 [PubMed: 26191025]
- Hill K, Startup M, 2013. The relationship between internalized stigma, negative symptoms and social functioning in schizophrenia: the mediating role of self-efficacy. *Psychiatry Res.* 206, 151–157. 10.1016/j.psychres.2012.09.056 [PubMed: 23218915]

- Hofer A, Mizuno Y, Frajo-Apor B, Kemmler G, Suzuki T, Pardeller S, Welte AS, Sondermann C, Mimura M, Wartelsteiner F, Fleischhacker WW, Uchida H, 2016. Resilience, internalized stigma, self-esteem, and hopelessness among people with schizophrenia: Cultural comparison in Austria and Japan. *Schizophr. Res* 171, 86–91. 10.1016/j.schres.2016.01.027 [PubMed: 26805413]
- Horan WP, Kring AM, Gur RE, Reise SP, Blanchard JJ, 2011. Development and psychometric validation of the Clinical Assessment Interview for Negative Symptoms (CAINS). *Schizophr Res*. 132, 140–145. [PubMed: 21798716]
- Hofer A, Post F, Pardeller S, Frajo-Apor B, Hoertnagl CM, Kemmler G, Fleischhacker WW, 2019. Self-stigma versus stigma resistance in schizophrenia: Associations with resilience, premorbid adjustment, and clinical symptoms. *Psychiatry Res*. 271, 396–401. 10.1016/j.psychres.2018.12.029 [PubMed: 30530057]
- Jorm AF, Griffiths KM, 2008. The public's stigmatizing attitudes towards people with mental disorders: How important are biomedical conceptualizations? *Acta Psychiatr. Scand* 118, 315–321. 10.1111/j.1600-0447.2008.01251.x [PubMed: 18759807]
- Kern RS, Nuechterlein KH, Green MF, Baade LE, Fenton WS, Gold JM, Keefe RSE, Mesholam-Gately R, Mintz J, Seidman LJ, Stover E, Marder SR, 2008. The MATRICS Consensus Cognitive Battery, part 2: Co-norming and standardization. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 165, 214–220. 10.1176/appi.ajp.2007.07010043 [PubMed: 18172018]
- Kira IA, Ramaswamy V, Lewandowski L, Mohanesh J, Abdul-Khalek H, 2015. Psychometric assessment of the Arabic version of the Internalized Stigma of Mental Illness (ISMI) measure in a refugee population. *Transcult. Psychiatry* 52, 636–658. 10.1177/1363461515569755 [PubMed: 25665586]
- Kopelowicz A, Ventura J, Liberman RP, Mintz J, 2007. Consistency of brief psychiatric rating scale factor structure across a broad spectrum of schizophrenia patients. *Psychopathology* 41, 77–84. 10.1159/000111551 [PubMed: 18033976]
- Kring AM, Gur RE, Blanchard JJ, Horan WP, Reise SP, 2013. The Clinical Assessment Interview for Negative Symptoms (CAINS): final development and validation. *Am J Psychiatry*. 170, 165–172. [PubMed: 23377637]
- Lager N, Amering M, Sibitz I, Gmeiner A, Schrank B, 2018. Stability and mutual prospective relationships of stereotyped beliefs about mental illness, hope and depressive symptoms among people with schizophrenia spectrum disorders. *Psychiatry Res*. 268, 484–489. 10.1016/j.psychres.2018.08.010 [PubMed: 30145505]
- Larsen EM, Herrera S, Bilgrami ZR, Shaik RB, Crump F, Sarac C, Shen J, Yang LH, Corcoran CM, 2019. Self-stigma related feelings of shame and facial fear recognition in individuals at clinical high risk for psychosis: A brief report. *Schizophr. Res* 208, 483–485. 10.1016/j.schres.2019.01.027 [PubMed: 30700396]
- Link BG, Phelan JC, 2001. Conceptualizing stigma. *Annu. Rev. Sociol* 27, 363–385. 10.1146/annurev.soc.27.1.363
- Livingston JD, Boyd JE, 2010. Correlates and consequences of internalized stigma for people living with mental illness: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Soc. Sci. Med* 71, 2150–2161. 10.1016/j.socscimed.2010.09.030 [PubMed: 21051128]
- Lysaker PH, Roe D, Ringer J, Gilmore EM, Yanos PT, 2012. Change in self-stigma among persons with schizophrenia enrolled in rehabilitation: Associations with self-esteem and positive and emotional discomfort symptoms. *Psychol. Serv* 9, 240–247. 10.1037/a0027740 [PubMed: 22468614]
- Lysaker PH, Roe D, Yanos PT, 2007. Toward understanding the insight paradox: Internalized stigma moderates the association between insight and social functioning, hope, and self-esteem among people with schizophrenia spectrum disorders. *Schizophr. Bull* 33, 192–199. 10.1093/schbul/sbl016 [PubMed: 16894025]
- Lysaker PH, Vohs JL, Tsai J, 2009. Negative symptoms and concordant impairments in attention in schizophrenia: Associations with social functioning, hope, self-esteem and internalized stigma. *Schizophr. Res*. 110, 165–172. 10.1016/j.schres.2009.01.015 [PubMed: 19230622]
- McDonald S, Flanagan S, Rollins J, Kinch J, 2003. TASIT: A new clinical tool for assessing social perception after traumatic brain injury. *J. Head Trauma Rehabil* 18, 219–238. 10.1097/00001199-200305000-00001 [PubMed: 12802165]

- Nabors LM, Yanos PT, Roe D, Hasson-Ohayon I, Leonhardt BL, Buck KD, Lysaker PH, 2014. Stereotype endorsement, metacognitive capacity, and self-esteem as predictors of stigma resistance in persons with schizophrenia. *Compr. Psychiatry* 55, 792–798. 10.1016/j.comppsy.2014.01.011 [PubMed: 24582272]
- Nuechterlein KH, Green MF, Kern RS, Baade LE, Barch DM, Cohen JD, Essock S, Fenton WS, Frese FJ, Gold JM, Goldberg T, Heaton RK, Keefe RSE, Kraemer H, Mesholam-Gately R, Seidman LJ, Stover E, Weinberger DR, Young AS, Zalcman S, Marder SR, 2008. The MATRICS consensus cognitive battery, part 1: Test selection, reliability, and validity. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 165, 203–213. 10.1176/appi.ajp.2007.07010042 [PubMed: 18172019]
- Park SG, Bennett ME, Couture SM, Blanchard JJ, 2013. Internalized stigma in schizophrenia: Relations with dysfunctional attitudes, symptoms, and quality of life. *Psychiatry Res.* 205, 43–47. 10.1016/j.psychres.2012.08.040 [PubMed: 22995038]
- Picco L, Pang S, Lau YW, Jeyagurunathan A, Satghare P, Abdin E, Vaingankar JA, Lim S, Poh CL, Chong SA, Subramaniam M, 2016. Internalized stigma among psychiatric outpatients: Associations with quality of life, functioning, hope and self-esteem. *Psychiatry Res.* 246, 500–506. 10.1016/j.psychres.2016.10.041 [PubMed: 27821360]
- Ritsher JB, Otilingam PG, Grajales M, 2003. Internalized stigma of mental illness: Psychometric properties of a new measure. *Psychiatry Res.* 121, 31–49. 10.1016/j.psychres.2003.08.008 [PubMed: 14572622]
- Rosenthal R, Hall JA, DiMatteo MR, Rogers PL, Archer D, 1979. Sensitivity to nonverbal communication: The PONS test. Baltimore, MD, Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Rüsch N, Nordt C, Kawohl W, Brantschen E, Bärtzsch B, Müller M, Corrigan PW, Rössler W, 2014. Work-related discrimination and change in self-stigma among people with mental illness during supported employment. *Psychiatr. Serv* 65, 1496–1498. 10.1176/appi.ps.201400073 [PubMed: 25270160]
- Sibitz I, Amering M, Unger A, Seyringer ME, Bachmann A, Schrank B, Benesch T, Schulze B, Woppmann A, 2011. The impact of the social network, stigma and empowerment on the quality of life in patients with schizophrenia. *Eur. Psychiatry* 26, 28–33. 10.1016/j.eurpsy.2010.08.010 [PubMed: 21036554]
- Thornicroft G, Brohan E, Rose D, Sartorius N, Leese M, 2009. Global pattern of experienced and anticipated discrimination against people with schizophrenia: A cross-sectional survey. *Lancet* 373, 408–415. 10.1016/S0140-6736(08)61817-6 [PubMed: 19162314]
- Ventura J, Green M, Shaner A, Liberman R, 1993. Training and quality assurance on the BPRS: “the drift busters”. *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research.* 3, 221–224.
- Ventura J, Liberman RP, Green MF, Shaner A, Mintz J, 1998. Training and quality assurance for the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID – I/P). *Psychiatry Res.* 79, 163–173. 10.1016/s0165-1781(98)00038-9 [PubMed: 9705054]
- Ventura J, Lukoff D, Nuechterlein KH, Liberman RP, Green MF, Shaner A, 1993. Appendix 1: Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS) expanded version (4.0) scales, anchor points and administration manual. *Int. J. Methods Psychiatr. Res* 3, 227–244.
- Wood L, Byrne R, Varese F, Morrison AP, 2016. Psychosocial interventions for internalised stigma in people with a schizophrenia-spectrum diagnosis: A systematic narrative synthesis and meta-analysis. *Schizophr. Res* 176, 291–303. 10.1016/j.schres.2016.05.001 [PubMed: 27256518]
- Yanos PT, Lysaker PH, Roe D, 2010. Internalized stigma as a barrier to improvement in vocational functioning among people with schizophrenia-spectrum disorders. *Psychiatry Res.* 178, 211–213. 10.1016/j.psychres.2010.01.003 [PubMed: 20417973]
- Yanos PT, Lysaker PH, Silverstein SM, Vayshenker B, Gonzales L, West ML, Roe D, 2019. A randomized-controlled trial of treatment for self-stigma among persons diagnosed with schizophrenia-spectrum disorders. *Soc. Psychiatry Psychiatr. Epidemiol.* 54, 1363–1378. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-019-01702-0> [PubMed: 30937510]
- Yanos PT, Roe D, Markus K, Lysaker PH, 2008. Pathways Between Internalized Stigma and Outcomes Related to Recovery in Schizophrenia Spectrum Disorders. *Psychiatr. Serv* 59, 1437–1442. 10.1176/appi.ps.59.12.1437 [PubMed: 19033171]

- Yanos PT, West ML, Gonzales L, Smith SM, Roe D, Lysaker PH, 2012. Change in internalized stigma and social functioning among persons diagnosed with severe mental illness. *Psychiatry Res.* 200, 1032–1034. [10.1016/j.psychres.2012.06.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2012.06.017) [PubMed: 22763091]
- Yilmaz E, Okanli A, 2015. The effect of internalized stigma on the adherence to treatment in patients with schizophrenia. *Arch. Psychiatr. Nurs* 29, 297–301. [10.1016/j.apnu.2015.05.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apnu.2015.05.006) [PubMed: 26397432]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 1.

Demographic and clinical characteristics.

Variables	All participants (n = 98)	VA site (n = 55)	SFMHC site (n = 43)
Age	47.6 (13.2)	55.1 (9.6)	38.1 (10.9)
Male	79 (80.6%)	49 (89.1%)	30 (69.8%)
Education	12.9 (1.9)	13.0 (1.7)	12.8 (2.1)
Race			
White	43 (43.9%)	13 (23.6%)	30 (69.8%)
Black	36 (36.7%)	34 (61.8%)	2 (4.7%)
Asian	11 (11.2%)	3 (5.5%)	8 (18.6%)
Other	8 (8.2%)	5 (9.1%)	3 (7.0%)
Hispanic Ethnicity	38 (38.8%)	10 (18.2%)	28 (65.1%)
Diagnosis			
Schizophrenia	69 (70.4%)	43 (78.2%)	26 (60.5%)
Schizoaffective disorder	14 (14.3%)	5 (9.1%)	9 (20.9%)
Bipolar or depressive disorder	12 (12.2%)	4 (7.3%)	8 (18.6%)
Other psychotic disorder	3 (3.1%)	3 (5.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Illness duration (years)	21.3 (12.5)	27.9 (11.7)	13.5 (8.2)
Antipsychotic medication			
Atypical	83 (84.7%)	48 (87.3%)	35 (81.4%)
Typical	5 (5.1%)	2 (3.6%)	3 (7.0%)
BPRS			
Positive symptoms	6.7 (3.0)	6.7 (3.4)	6.8 (2.6)
Affective symptoms	7.9 (3.1)	7.5 (3.2)	8.4 (3.0)
CAINS			
Motivation and Pleasure	18.3 (8.6)	14.3 (7.5)	23.4 (7.1)
Expression	6.6 (5.5)	3.5 (3.9)	10.5 (4.7)
Non-social cognition (MCCB)			
BACS-SC	40.5 (12.0)	44.4 (9.4)	35.3 (13.2)
CPT-IP	39.5 (11.7)	40.9 (12.2)	37.6 (10.8)
HVLTR	37.8 (8.7)	38.9 (9.5)	36.3 (7.4)
Letter-Number Span	37.8 (11.3)	47.6 (10.7)	35.2 (11.7)
NAB Mazes	44.5 (10.6)	42.3 (10.0)	40.3 (10.2)
Composite score	40.2 (8.2)	42.3 (7.8)	37.2 (7.8)
Social cognition			
Facial Emotion Recognition	44.1 (6.4)	44.4 (6.4)	43.7 (6.4)
PONS	76.7 (8.4)	77.6 (7.2)	75.5 (9.8)
TASIT – Part 2	44.5 (9.6)	45.6 (8.4)	42.9 (11.0)
Composite score	0.0 (0.7)	0.1 (0.7)	-0.1 (0.8)
Level of work in the past 12 months			
No useful work	46 (46.9%)	26 (47.3%)	20 (46.5%)
Supported or sheltered work with pay	4 (4.1%)	4 (7.3%)	0 (0.0%)

Variables	All participants (<i>n</i> = 98)	VA site (<i>n</i> = 55)	SFMHC site (<i>n</i> = 43)
Employed for ¼ of the year	11 (11.2%)	3 (5.5%)	8 (18.6%)
Employed for ½ of the year	8 (8.2%)	6 (10.9%)	2 (4.7%)
Employed for ¾ of the year	7 (7.1%)	3 (5.5%)	4 (9.3%)
Employed full-time continuously	15 (15.3%)	10 (18.2%)	5 (11.6%)

Note. Data are shown as mean (SD) or n (percentage). CAINS = Clinical Assessment Interview for Negative Symptoms; MCCB = MATRICS Consensus Cognitive Battery; BPRS = Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale; TASIT = The Awareness of Social Inference Test; PONS = Profile of Nonverbal Sensitivity.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 2.

Structure matrix of ISMI principal component analysis.

Subscales	Items	Component 1 Experiential Stigma	Component 2 Stereotype Endorsement	Component 3 Stigma Resistance
Alienation	1. I feel out of place in the world	0.68	0.40	0.00
	5. I am embarrassed or ashamed	0.64	0.39	-0.06
	8. I feel inferior to others	0.59	0.32	-0.03
	16. I am disappointed in myself	0.60	0.48	-0.05
	17. Having a mental illness has spoiled my life	0.65	0.63	-0.22
	21. People without mental illness could not understand me	0.51	0.38	0.10
Stereotype Endorsement	2. Mentally ill people tend to be violent	0.34	0.67	0.01
	6. Mentally ill people shouldn't get married	0.39	0.82	-0.13
	10. Cannot live a good, rewarding life	0.56	0.72	-0.07
	18. People can tell that I have a mental illness	0.54	0.57	-0.17
	19. I need others to make most decisions	0.45	0.63	0.05
	23. I can't contribute anything to society	0.59	0.75	-0.29
	29. Stereotypes about the mentally ill apply to me	0.55	0.68	-0.05
Discrimination Experience	3. People discriminate against me	0.73	0.46	0.03
	15. People often patronize me	0.70	0.40	-0.06
	22. People ignore me or take me less seriously	0.72	0.48	-0.08
	25. Nobody would be interested in getting close to me	0.62	0.47	-0.22
	28. Others think that I can't achieve much in life	0.69	0.49	0.01
Social Withdrawal	4. I avoid getting close to people without a mental illness	0.70	0.38	-0.28
	9. I don't socialize as much as I used to	0.75	0.39	-0.03
	11. I don't talk about myself much	0.62	0.37	0.14
	12. Negative stereotypes against people with mental illness	0.77	0.51	-0.11
	13. Being around people who don't have a mental illness	0.69	0.37	-0.13
	20. I stay away from social situations	0.65	0.54	-0.20
Stigma Resistance	7. Important contributions to society	0.00	-0.12	0.86
	14. I feel comfortable being seen in public	0.08	0.14	0.45
	24. Mental illness has made me a tough survivor	0.04	-0.18	0.74
	26. I am able to live life the way I want to	-0.18	-0.13	0.81
	27. I can have a good, fulfilling life	-0.11	-0.04	0.86

Note. The highest component loading of each item is shown in bold type.

Table 3.

Correlations between ISMI component scores and clinical, cognitive, and functional variables.

Variables	Experiential Stigma component		Stereotype Endorsement component		Stigma Resistance component		
	<i>n</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>p</i>
BPRS							
Positive Symptoms	97	0.11	0.276	-0.03	0.763	-0.10	0.355
Affective Symptoms	98	0.30	0.003	0.20	0.054	0.03	0.797
CAINS							
Motivation and pleasure	98	0.14	0.178	0.13	0.197	-0.16	0.111
Expression	98	0.17	0.102	0.12	0.231	-0.09	0.383
Non-social cognition composite score	89	-0.13	0.223	-0.22	0.040	0.33	0.002
Social cognition composite score	89	-0.06	0.568	-0.16	0.139	0.27	0.011
Vocational status	91	-0.25	0.018	-0.04	0.711	0.31	0.003

Note. *P*-values < 0.02 are in bold type.

Table 4.

Results from the linear regressions examining the amount of variance in self-stigma explained by selected predictor variables.

Variables	Standardized coefficient	<i>p</i>	<i>VIF</i>	<i>Tolerance</i>	<i>n</i>	R²
Outcome: Experiential Stigma component						
Overall model					91	0.13
BPRS Affective Symptoms	0.29	0.005	1.01	0.99		
Vocational status	-0.25	0.013	1.01	0.99		
Outcome: Stigma Resistance component						
Overall model					79	0.20
Non-social cognition	0.32	0.010	1.40	0.72		
Social cognition	0.02	0.881	1.40	0.71		
Vocational status	0.25	0.018	1.04	0.97		

Note. *P*-values < 0.05 are in bold type.