UCSF

UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Oral health education for pediatric nurse practitioner students.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/93q7q7r2>

Journal

Journal of dental education, 77(5)

ISSN

0022-0337

Authors

Golinveaux, Jay
Gerbert, Barbara
Cheng, Jing
et al.

Publication Date

2013-05-01

DOI

10.1002/j.0022-0337.2013.77.5.tb05506.x

Peer reviewed

Oral Health Education for Pediatric Nurse Practitioner Students

Journal:	<i>Journal of Dental Education</i>
Manuscript ID:	Draft
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Keywords:	Oral Health Education < Oral Health, Interdisciplinary Education < Dental Education, Dental Health Services < Dental Health Care Delivery, Dental Care Access < Dental Health Care Delivery
Author designated keywords:	Pediatric nurse practitioner students

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Oral Health Education for Pediatric Nurse Practitioner Students

Jay Golinveaux, Barbara Gerbert, Jing Cheng, Karen Duderstadt, Abbey Alkon, Shirin Mullen, Brent Lin, Arthur Miller, Ling Zhan

Corresponding Author:

Ling Zhan, DDS, PhD
Assistant Professor
Department of Preventive and Restorative Dental Sciences
Department of Orofacial Sciences
Box 0758 , 707 Parnassus Ave. D2258
University of California, San Francisco
San Francisco, CA. 94143 - 0758
Email: zhanl@dentistry.ucsf.edu
Campus Phone: 415-514-0495
Fax 415-476-0858

Other Authors contact information:

Jay Golinveaux, DDS, MS
Pediatric Dentist
San Francisco, California
Email: aygolinveaux@gmail.com

Barbara Gerbert, PhD
Professor
Address: Department of Preventive and Restorative Dental Sciences
Box 0758 , 707 Parnassus Ave.
University of California, San Francisco
San Francisco, CA. 94143 - 0758
E-mail: Barbara.Gerbert@ucsf.edu

Jing Cheng, PhD,
Associate Professor
Center to Address Disparities in Children's Oral Health
Division of Oral Epidemiology & Dental Public Health
Department of Preventive and Restorative Dental Sciences

University of California at San Francisco
3333 California St, Ste 495
San Francisco, CA 94143-1361
E-mail: Jing.Cheng@ucsf.edu

Karen G. Duderstadt, RN, PhD, CPNP, PCNS
Clinical Professor
Dept. of Family Health Care Nursing
2 Koret Way, #N-405D
San Francisco, CA 94143-0606
Telephone: (415) 476-4954 · Fax: 415-753-2161
Email: karen.duderstadt@nursing.ucsf.edu

Abbey Alkon, RN PNP PhD
Professor, UCSF School of Nursing
Director, California Childcare Health Program
2 Koret Way
SF, CA 94143-0606
415-476-4695
415-753-2161 FAX
abbey.alkon@nursing.ucsf.edu

Shirin Mullen, DDS
Pediatric Resident
Division of Pediatric Dentistry
University of California, San Francisco
707 Parnassus Ave, Box 0753
San Francisco, CA, 94143
Shirin.mulle@ucsf.edu

Brent Lin, DMD
Clinical Professor
Division of Pediatric Dentistry
University of California, San Francisco
707 Parnassus Ave, Box 0753
San Francisco, CA, 94143
415-476-0686
LinB@dentistry.ucsf.edu

Arthur Miller, PhD
Professor
UCSF School of Dentistry
Department of Orofacial Sciences
707 Parnassus Ave, Dentistry
San Francisco, CA, 94143
Telephone 415-476-8397

1 Fax 415-476-2044
2
3 Email: Art.Miller@ucsf.edu
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Review Only

1 1. Title: 13 words

2
3 2. Key words:

4
5
6 Oral health education

7
8
9 Pediatric nurse practitioner students

10
11
12 Interdisciplinary education

13
14
15 3. Abstract: 192 words

16
17
18 4. Body of manuscript: 3625 words

19
20
21 5. Tables and figures: 4 tables

22
23
24 6. References: 39

25
26
27 7. Journal for submission: J. Dental Education

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Abstract

Purpose: The aim of this study is to evaluate whether an interdisciplinary, multifaceted oral health education program delivered to pediatric nurse practitioner students at the University of California, San Francisco, would improve their knowledge, confidence and attitudes, and behaviors regarding the provision of oral health assessments, consultations, referrals, and services to young children during well-child visits.

Methods: Thirty pediatric nurse practitioner students were enrolled in the study. Participants completed a written survey before and after receiving an interdisciplinary educational intervention that included didactic education, simulation exercises, and clinical observation by a pediatric dental resident.

Results: Between preintervention and postintervention, a significant improvement was seen in the pediatric nurse practitioners' knowledge of oral health topics ($p < 0.001$), confidence when providing oral health counseling ($p < 0.001$), and attitudes about including oral health counseling in their examinations ($p = 0.006$). In the postintervention survey, 83% of the subjects reported having incorporated oral examinations into their well-child visits.

Conclusions: Our study suggests that providing an interdisciplinary oral health educational program for pediatric nurse practitioner students can improve their knowledge, confidence, attitudes, and behaviors regarding the incorporation of oral health-care services during routine well-child visits.

INTRODUCTION

Dental caries is the most prevalent chronic disease in children in the United States today.^{1, 2}

Caries prevention is especially challenging for children aged 2 to 5 years, which is the only age group that displayed an increased caries prevalence between the periods 1988–1994 and 1999–2000 in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey.¹ Furthermore, the caries distribution is skewed since 80% of tooth decay is found in 25% of children, large numbers of whom live in low-income households and lack access to dental care.² The incidence of untreated dental caries is high in these children and can have significant adverse effects on their systemic health as well as impact their ability to eat, speak, and learn.^{1, 2} It has also been reported that 1.6 million school days are missed annually for reasons related to dental decay.³

Dental caries is a transmissible infectious disease, and early prevention service to combat it can provide a lifelong benefit to children's oral health. Caries can develop in children as early as 10 months of age, and by 3 years, 25% of children enrolled in the Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) program have developed caries.⁸ However, early intervention, such as maternal use of xylitol gums at infancy, has significantly reduced caries causing bacteria colonization and caries in children up to age 10.^{9, 10} Children who had had early preventive dental visits or counseling had significantly fewer caries lesions and dental expenses than those who had not received them.^{11–14} Therefore, providing early prevention and oral health-care services is critical for promotion of children's oral health.

Although the American Association of Pediatric Dentistry recommends that all infants have established dental homes by age 1,¹⁵ many children do not have one by that age. Recent studies reported that only 2% of children had had a dental visit by age 1.^{16–19} The incidence increased to 11% by age 2 and to 26% by age 3.¹⁸ The average age of a child at his or her first

1 dental visit was 4 years in the U.S.^{16–19} Factors contributing to the low rate of access to oral
2 health care in young children include: a shortage and maldistribution of dentists, especially
3 those who treat Medicaid or State Children’s Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) recipients;
4 cultural and societal barriers; and parents’ limited English proficiency and lack of health literacy.
5 These barriers are especially predominant in children with disparities who live in low
6 socioeconomic conditions or are recent immigrants.^{20–23}

17 In contrast, most infants have a well-established medical home at an early stage of life.^{19,}
18
19 ²⁴ Therefore, nondental primary-care providers (such as family physicians, pediatricians,
20 physician assistants, and nurse practitioners) are in a unique position to play a role in promoting
21 oral health in young children and to compensate for the shortage of dentists. The American
22 Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) recommends that children have a minimum of seven routine visits
23 to their pediatric primary-care providers in the first years of life. These visits can provide a
24 valuable opportunity for pediatric primary-care providers to perform basic oral health
25 assessments and prevention service, to provide oral health-care guidance to parents of infants,
26 and to refer infants, especially those at high risk, to dentists for early oral preventive care.

39 Pediatric nurse practitioners have been an important component of pediatric primary-care
40 provision since the 1960s, the beginning of a nationwide shortage of pediatricians that continues
41 today.²⁵ Currently there are approximately 100 pediatric nurse practitioner educational programs
42 in the U.S.²⁶ As vital pediatric primary-care providers, pediatric nurse practitioners are uniquely
43 positioned to play a significant role in the promotion of oral health in children.

52 Although studies have shown that incorporating caries prevention into pediatric well-child visits
53 significantly improves infants’ access to oral preventive services and reduces their caries
54 prevalence,^{12, 14} recent studies have also shown that pediatric primary-care providers and
55 pediatric nurse practitioners lack competence in performing oral health assessment and

1 providing counseling for children.^{28–31} These results indicate that pediatric health care providers
2
3 need additional oral health education.
4
5

6
7 There has been limited research done on incorporating oral health education into pediatric
8
9 nurse practitioner educational programs although a few studies have investigated oral health
10
11 education programs aimed at improving pediatric primary-care providers' knowledge of,
12
13 attitudes toward, and behaviors concerning oral health examinations. Adding an interdisciplinary
14
15 oral health educational intervention to an existing program is feasible and may encourage
16
17 pediatric nurse practitioners to provide oral health consultation, referrals, and preventive
18
19 services to children during well-child visits.
20
21
22
23

24
25 The aim of this study is to evaluate whether oral health education, which would include didactic
26
27 education, simulation exercises, and clinical observation of a pediatric dentist, delivered to
28
29 pediatric nurse practitioner students at the University of California, San Francisco, (UCSF)
30
31 would improve their knowledge, confidence, attitudes, and behaviors regarding the provision of
32
33 oral health assessments, consultations, referrals, and services to young children during well-
34
35 child visits.
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

43 **Study Design**

44
45 The study was approved by the UCSF Committee on Human Research (Approval #H68200-
46
47 35300-01). All of the first-year students enrolled in the pediatric nurse practitioner program at
48
49 UCSF were recruited to participate in an interdisciplinary, multifaceted oral health educational
50
51 intervention in 2009. A written consent form was obtained from each subject. This intervention
52
53 was incorporated as part of the students' preclinical and clinical educational curriculum. A
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

written survey was administered before and 5-9 months after the completion of the educational intervention. The survey assessed their knowledge of oral health topics as well as their confidence, attitudes toward and behaviors of providing oral health services. The changes in their knowledge, confidence, attitudes and behaviors on providing oral health services were compared before and after the education intervention.

Study Participants

The study participants were enrolled in a two-year pediatric nurse practitioner program that includes six months of didactic education followed by 18 months of both didactic and clinical education. These students had been registered nurses before beginning the program.

Oral Health Educational Intervention

The interdisciplinary, multifaceted educational intervention included three components. First, a one-hour lecture developed by the pediatric nurse practitioner faculties based on the First Smiles and American Association of Pediatrics (AAP) curricula was given.^{32, 33} A week later, a one-hour skills simulation exercise was administered to reinforce the topics presented in the lecture. The skills simulation included short videos available on the AAP website that provided basic instruction in examining children and applying fluoride varnish.³⁴ The participants then practiced examination techniques and applied fluoride varnish on each other's teeth.

The third component was a half-day observation session at the UCSF Pediatric Dentistry Clinic as part of the students' clinical rotations; this was supervised by a pediatric dental resident, Jay Golinveaux. The observation began with a review and question-and-answer session on previously covered oral health topics. The students next observed regular oral examinations and dental treatments of children aged 0 to 3. Some participants performed dental examinations and applied fluoride varnish on these children's teeth.

Data Collection

Each participant completed the preintervention survey in November of 2009 and a follow-up survey in October of 2010. Their clinical practice session began in January 2010. All participants completed the three components of oral health education intervention between January and May 2010. The preintervention and the postintervention surveys were identical except that on the postintervention survey the respondents were asked to explain their reasons for not providing a specific service.

The survey had four sections: demographics, knowledge, confidence and attitudes, and behaviors (Table 1). The questions were adapted from survey instruments that had been used in previously published studies on oral health interventions directed at primary-care providers.^{35,}

³⁶ Each study subject's survey was assigned a random identifier, and the data were analyzed anonymously.

Statistical Analyses

Descriptive statistics, including means and standard deviations for the sum of scores in each domain, frequencies and proportions for categorical demographic variables, and individual scores for questions, were used to summarize the demographics of the participants and their survey responses for knowledge, confidence, attitudes and behaviors before and after receiving the education.

In the oral health knowledge section, one score was given for each question answered correctly, and a score of 0 was assigned for each one answered incorrectly. In the confidence section, answers were scored as 0 for *not confident*, 1 for *somewhat confident*, and 2 for *very confident*. In the attitudes section, answers were scored as 0 for *strongly disagree*, 1 for *disagree*, 2 for *agree*, and 3 for *strongly agree*. In the behavior section, answers were scored as 0 for a

1 response of 0–10 or *never*, 1 for a response of 11–20 or *rarely*, 2 for a response of 21–30 or
2
3
4 *occasionally*, 3 for a response of 31–40 or *frequently*, and 4 for a response of >40 or *always*.

5
6
7 A Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to determine whether there had been an overall change
8
9 in the sum of each participant’s scores in each section (confidence, attitude, behavior) after she
10
11 or he had received the education. In order to investigate any change in responses to the
12
13 individual questions after the education, the exact McNemar’s test³⁷ was used for dichotomous
14
15 response in the knowledge section; the marginal homogeneity over the multiple categories of
16
17 response before and after the education was tested with the Bhapkar test³⁸ in the confidence,
18
19 attitude, and behavior sections.
20
21
22
23

24
25 **RESULTS**

26
27
28 **Subject Demographics**

29
30
31
32 A total of 31 students were enrolled in the study. Thirty students completed both the
33
34 preintervention and postintervention surveys as well as all of the components of the
35
36 intervention. One subject failed to complete the baseline survey and was excluded from the
37
38 analysis.
39
40
41

42
43 The subjects’ demographic data are presented in Table 2. Sixty percent of participants were
44
45 between the ages of 26 and 30, and 93% were female. Most participants had between one and
46
47 six years of prior nurse experience. Seventeen (57%) of the subjects reported a preference for
48
49 working in primary care clinical settings after graduation, and the remaining reported a
50
51 preference for working in a hospital or specialty clinic. Only six (20%) stated that they had
52
53 received oral health education before participating in the study.
54
55
56

57
58 **Oral Health Knowledge**
59
60

Measures of the participants' oral health knowledge before and after the intervention are presented in Table 3. After the intervention, a significant improvement was seen in the subjects' overall knowledge of oral health topics (mean (median) sum of scores = 8.9 (9.0) and 10.3 (10.0) respectively before and after the intervention, Wilcoxon signed-rank test, $p < 0.001$). In the postintervention survey, eight questions were answered correctly by more than 85% of the participants. Specifically, 94% of the subjects answered the question on reimbursement for fluoride-varnish treatment by non-dental health care professionals correctly, demonstrating a significant improvement over their preintervention responses (62%) (exact McNemar's test, $p = 0.003$). However, only between 33 and 57% of subjects correctly answered the questions regarding the systemic effect of fluoride, fluoride use for children under age 3, age of a child's first dental visit, and remineralization of the early carious lesions.

Confidence in and Attitudes on Providing Oral Health Service during Well-Child Visits

The subjects' confidence in their ability to provide oral health service was low at preintervention. However, in the postintervention survey, there was significant improvement in their overall level of confidence (see Table 3, mean (median) sum of scores = 7.8 (7.0) and 14.1 (13.5), respectively, out of 20 questions answered before and after the intervention, $p < 0.001$). A significant improvement was also noted in participants' responses to each question in the confidence section in the postintervention survey (Bhapkar test, $p < 0.01$).

In the preintervention survey, most participants demonstrated an overall positive attitude toward providing oral health services during well-child visits. Only five participants did not agree about providing dental referrals for infants by age 1. Nevertheless, a significant improvement in participant scores overall was evidenced in the postintervention responses (Wilcoxon signed-rank test, $p < 0.01$). There were no significant improvements in participants' attitudes toward

1 incorporating counseling about dental prevention and prescribing fluoride supplements ($p >$
2
3 0.49) into the well-child visits.
4
5

6
7 **Behaviors in Providing Oral Health Service in Well-Child Visits**
8
9

10
11 Because the participants were at the didactic phase of their education during the preintervention
12 survey with no chance of participating in clinical practice at that time, their behavior regarding
13 oral health care was only evaluated in the postintervention survey. Eighty-three percent of the
14 participants stated that they had performed more than 10 dental examinations during their
15 routine well-child visits (Table 4), a response that was well mirrored in the number of routine
16 well-child visits reported by the participants. In addition, the majority of the participants reported
17 that they frequently or always inquired about the feeding habits of the children and emphasized
18 the importance of regular dental visits during their routine well-child examinations although
19 fewer than half of the participants reported that they frequently or always made a dental referral
20 for high-risk patients. Assessment of the need for and prescription of fluoride supplements or
21 consultation on the use of fluoride toothpastes was not done frequently by more than two-thirds
22 of the participants. The participants reported that they very rarely inquired about the parents'
23 oral health during their routine well-child examinations (Table 4). All of the participants reported
24 having applied fluoride varnish in between 0 and 10 cases, indicating that few, if any, fluoride
25 varnish applications were performed by participants. The participants' descriptive answers
26 revealed that fluoride varnish had not been available in the clinics where they had practiced.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49
50 **DISCUSSION**
51
52
53

54
55 Our study focused on evaluating the effectiveness of an interdisciplinary oral health educational
56 program, including didactic education, simulation exercises, and clinical observation of a
57 pediatric dentist, delivered to pediatric nurse practitioner students on their knowledge,
58
59
60

confidence, attitudes, and behaviors regarding the incorporation of oral health assessments, consultations, preventive treatments, and referral services during routine well-child visits.

First, we assessed the students' previous oral health educational experiences, knowledge, confidence, and attitudes about oral health care before they received the intervention. Interestingly, only 20% of the group reported that they had received any oral health education in the past, which is considerably lower than 65% reported for pediatric residents.³¹ Our study showed that although the participants had adequate knowledge of some aspects of oral health care, such as cariogenic feeding habits and the role of fluoride in caries prevention, a significant number of them lacked knowledge in several important aspects, such as recommendation of children for the first dental visit by age 1, the hazardous effect of fluoride ingestion, and the fact that non-dental health care providers could be reimbursed for fluoride varnish application. These areas of lack of knowledge about oral health care are similar to the findings in previous studies of primary pediatric providers or pediatric residents.^{23, 35, 36, 39}

It was not surprising to find that the majority of the participants were not confident to provide oral health assessments, consultations, preventive treatments, and referrals, although almost all had positive attitudes and were willing to provide these services during their practice. These findings also agree with those of previous studies of pediatric residents, medical students, pediatric primary-care providers, and pediatric nurse practitioners.²⁸⁻³¹ As reported previously, lack of knowledge and confidence may act as barriers to prevent pediatric primary-care providers from providing oral health care services to young children.^{23, 35, 36, 39} All participants in our study indicated that they were planning to work in a primary care hospital or specialty clinic after graduation. Adequate oral health education to these students will enable them to provide efficient oral health consultations, preventive services,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

and proper referrals after graduation as well as boost the workforce of pediatric medical providers who are competent in providing oral health care services to young children.

In the postintervention survey, we observed a significant improvement in the students' knowledge, confidence, attitudes, and behaviors concerning oral health care. More than 85% of the subjects correctly answered 8 out of 13 knowledge questions, including those in areas such as reimbursements to non-dental health care providers for administering fluoride varnish treatments, maternal transmission of caries-causing bacteria, the preventive effects of xylitol use, the side effects of fluoride ingestion, and the benefits of having young children brush their teeth with fluoride toothpaste. Since the postintervention survey was conducted between five and nine months after the students had completed the training course, the findings suggest that they retained the knowledge gained during their education. There was also a significant (81%) increase in the average sum of the students' scores that measured their confidence in their ability to advise parents about their children's oral health. The students' level of confidence nearly doubled after they participated in the intervention. Significant improvement was also evident in their attitudes toward incorporating oral health care services during routine well-child visits, even though the majority had already indicated in the preintervention surveys that they had positive attitudes about these practices.

The most exciting result was the finding that 84% of participants reported actively incorporating oral health service as a part of their routine well-child visits. A majority of the participants (about 80%) frequently inquired about children's feeding habits and discussed the importance of regular dental visits with parents during the well-child examinations. This result suggests, with adequate knowledge and confidence, the majority of the participants would be motivated and would apply their knowledge of oral health care to provide oral health

assessments, consultations, preventive treatments, and referrals for children during routine well-child visits. The provision of these services by pediatric nurse practitioners could enhance the workforce to improve oral health care to young children, especially to those who do not have a dental home but have an established medical home.

Despite the significant overall improvement in participants' knowledge and confidence in oral health care, we still observed areas of weakness at postintervention. Between 40 and 57% incorrectly answered questions about mechanism of fluoride use in caries prevention or timing of a child's first tooth eruption. These weak areas were consistent with the areas in which respondents were less confident on. Lack of knowledge and confidence in these areas may also explain the low number of participants who included the assessment and prescription of fluoride supplements and consultation on fluoride toothpaste use in children during well-child exam. These weak areas of knowledge were similar to those reported in two other studies on pediatric dental residents.^{23, 35} Future studies should incorporate mechanisms to specifically generate feedback from students about these areas in order to seek effective ways to strengthen and improve curriculum content.

It was disappointing to find that despite the significant amount of knowledge and the enthusiasm gained regarding fluoride varnish treatment and its benefits for oral health, very few if any of them were able to apply fluoride varnish during their practice because either it was not available in the clinic where they practiced. An organizational change to support and encourage fluoride varnish application at all pediatric clinic settings is necessary so that clinicians can provide this important preventive dental service.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

There were also some limitations in the study. One limitation was the absence of a control group. Due to our limited resources, we could not identify enough subjects to have a control group. It also would have been unethical not to provide the educational intervention considered part of their curriculum to some of the students. Schaff-Blass and colleagues attempted to compare the effectiveness of an oral health educational intervention at the University of North Carolina with the other pediatric residency programs located nearby as control groups.³⁶ They were unable to make a justified comparison of their program to the other programs due to poor follow-up of the neighboring schools control groups. Therefore, future study will need proper collaboration with similar pediatric nurse practitioner programs to identify a large enough study population to serve as controls and to ensure proper administration and follow-ups of surveys.

Also, our study's reliance on participants' self-reporting on their practice habits may have introduced some reporting bias. Future study using objective measurements of actual oral health-care services provided with treatment codes would greatly enhance the validity of the study.

In summary, our study demonstrates that incorporating an interdisciplinary, multifaceted oral health educational curriculum in a pediatric nurse practitioner program can successfully improve students' knowledge, confidence, attitudes, and behaviors regarding the inclusion of oral health assessments, consultations, preventive treatments, and referrals during routine well-child visits. These results support the successes of two multidisciplinary educational programs in improving the knowledge, confidence, attitudes, and behaviors of pediatric residents reported by Schaff-Blassa et al. and Mouradian et al.^{23, 36} Interdisciplinary education between the pediatric dental and medical education programs should

be the model of future education for pediatric primary care providers and can play an important role in improving oral health in children.

This project is one of many examples of interdisciplinary teaching and practice initiatives that has led to a recently established Center for Innovation in Interprofessional Healthcare Education at the University of California San Francisco.

CONCLUSIONS

Our study investigated whether an interdisciplinary oral health educational curriculum that included didactic education, simulation exercises, and observation in a pediatric dental clinic delivered to pediatric nurse practitioner students at UCSF would improve their knowledge, confidence, attitudes, and behaviors in providing oral health assessments, consultations, referrals, and services to young children during well-child visits. The preintervention survey results clearly demonstrate the students' lack of knowledge and lack of confidence to provide oral health-care services, although the majority had positive attitudes about providing the services. The results demonstrated that incorporating this curriculum into the program had successfully improved the students' knowledge, confidence, attitudes, and behaviors concerning the incorporation of oral health services during their routine well-child visits. Incorporation of oral health education program into the curriculum of pediatric nurse practitioner programs could help to increase the workforce for oral health care services to young children, especially those who do not have access to an established dental home.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Dr. Cheng's work was supported by NIH/NIDCR grant U54 DE019285.

References

1. Beltran-Aguilar ED, Barker LK, Canto MT, Dye BA, Gooch BF, Griffin SO, et al. Surveillance for dental caries, dental sealants, tooth retention, edentulism, and enamel fluorosis—United States, 1988-1994 and 1999-2002. *MMWR Surveill Summ.* 2005;54(3):1-43.

2. Oral health in America: a report of the Surgeon General. *J Calif Dent Assoc.* 2000;28(9):685-95.

3. Adams PF, Hendershot GE, Marano MA. Current estimates from the National Health Interview Survey, 1996. *Vital Health Stat* 10. 1999;(200):1-203.

4. Wan AK, Seow WK, Purdie DM, Bird PS, Walsh LJ, Tudehope DI. A longitudinal study of streptococcus mutans colonization in infants after tooth eruption. *J Dent Res.* 2003;82(7):504-8.

5. Wan AK, Seow WK, Walsh LJ, Bird P, Tudehope DL, Purdie DM. Association of streptococcus mutans infection and oral developmental nodules in pre-dentate infants. *J Dent Res.* 2001;80(10):1945-8.

6. Alaluusua S, Renkonen OV. Streptococcus mutans establishment and dental caries experience in children from 2 to 4 years old. *Scand J Dent Res.* 1983;91(6):453-7.

7. Tenovuo J, Lehtonen OP, Aaltonen AS. Caries development in children in relation to the presence of mutans streptococci in dental plaque and of serum antibodies against whole cells and protein antigen I/II of streptococcus mutans. *Caries Res.* 1990;24(1):59-64.

8. Douglass JM, Tinanoff N, Tang JM, Altman DS. Dental caries patterns and oral health behaviors in Arizona infants and toddlers. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol.* 2001;29(1):14-22.

9. Soderling EM. Xylitol, mutans streptococci, and dental plaque. *Adv Dent Res.* 2009;21(1):74-8.

10. Soderling E, Isokangas P, Pienihakkinen K, Tenovuo J, Alanen P. Influence of maternal xylitol consumption on mother-child transmission of mutans streptococci: 6-year follow-up. *Caries Res.* 2001;35(3):173-7.

11. Savage MF, Lee JY, Kotch JB, Vann WF, Jr. Early preventive dental visits: effects on subsequent utilization and costs. *Pediatrics.* 2004;114(4):e418-23.

12. Minah G, Lin C, Coors S, Rambob I, Tinanoff N, Grossman LK. Evaluation of an early childhood caries prevention program at an urban pediatric clinic. *Pediatr Dent*. 2008;30(6):499-504.
13. Weinstein P, Harrison R, Benton T. Motivating mothers to prevent caries: confirming the beneficial effect of counseling. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2006;137(6):789-93.
14. Wawrzyniak MN, Boulter S, Giotopoulos C, Zivitski J. Incorporating caries prevention into the well-child visit in a family medicine residency. *Fam Med*. 2006;38(2):90-2.
15. Guidelines on infant oral health care. *Pediatr Dent*. 2008;30(7 Suppl):90-3.
16. Schroth RJ, Cheba V. Determining the prevalence and risk factors for early childhood caries in a community dental health clinic. *Pediatr Dent*. 2007;29(5):387-96.
17. Malik-Kotru G, Kirchner L, Kisby L. An analysis of the first dental visits in a Federally Qualified Health Center in a socioeconomically deprived area. *J Clin Pediatr Dent*. 2009;33(3):265-8.
18. Slayton RL, Warren JJ, Levy SM, Kanellis MJ, Islam M. Frequency of reported dental visits and professional fluoride applications in a cohort of children followed from birth to age 3 years. *Pediatr Dent*. 2002;24(1):64-8.
19. Lee JY, Bouwens TJ, Savage MF, Vann WF, Jr. Examining the cost-effectiveness of early dental visits. *Pediatr Dent*. 2006;28(2):102-5; discussion 92-8.
20. American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry CoCA. Policy on workforce issues and delivery of oral health care services in a dental home. Reference manual. *J. Pediatr Dent*. 2011;33(6):27-30.
21. Beil HA, Rozier RG. Primary health care providers' advice for a dental checkup and dental use in children. *Pediatrics*. 2010;126(2):e435-41.
22. Bethell CD, Kogan MD, Strickland BB, Schor EL, Robertson J, Newacheck PW. A national and state profile of leading health problems and health care quality for US children: key insurance disparities and across-state variations. *Acad Pediatr*. 2011;11(3 Suppl):S22-33.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

23. Mouradian WE, Schaad DC, Kim S, Leggott PJ, Domoto PS, Maier R, et al. Addressing disparities in children's oral health: a dental-medical partnership to train family practice residents. J Dent Educ. 2003;67(8):886-95.

24. AAP. American Academy of Pediatrics recommendations for preventive pediatric health care; 2008.

25. Silver HK, Ford LC, Stearly SG. A program to increase health care for children: the pediatric nurse practitioner program. Pediatrics. 1967;39(5):756-60.

26. Practitioners NAO PN. Pediatric Nurse Practitioner school list**. Cherry Hill (NJ); 2011. Available from: <http://www.napnap.org/forstudents/pnpschoollisting.aspx>

27. Kagihara LE, Niederhauser VP, Stark M. Assessment, management, and prevention of early childhood caries. J Am Acad Nurse Pract. 2009;21(1):1-10.

28. Bay RDJDC. Oral Health Competencies for Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners. J Physician Assistant Education. 2006;17(4):12-6.

29. Krol DM. Educating pediatricians on children's oral health: past, present, and future. Pediatrics. 2004;113(5):e487-92.

30. Freed GL, Dunham KM, Switalski KE, Jones MD, Jr., McGuinness GA. Recently trained general pediatricians: perspectives on residency training and scope of practice. Pediatrics. 2009;123 Suppl 1:S38-43.

31. Caspary G, Krol DM, Boulter S, Keels MA, Romano-Clarke G. Perceptions of oral health training and attitudes toward performing oral health screenings among graduating pediatric residents. Pediatrics. 2008;122(2):e465-71.

32. AAP. American Academy of Pediatrics oral health initiative. Available from: <http://www.aap.org/oralhealth/links-training.cfm>

33. CDA. California Dental Association Foundation. First smiles. Available at: http://www.cdafoundation.org/learn/first_smiles_education_and_training_program

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
34. Douglass JM. Knee-to-knee positioning. Smiles for life (STFM). Storrs (CT):University of Connecticut School of Dental Medicine; 2007.
35. Douglass JM, Douglass AB, Silk HJ. Infant oral health education for pediatric and family practice residents. *Pediatr Dent*. 2005;27(4):284-91.
36. Schaff-Blass E, Rozier RG, Chattopadhyay A, Quinonez R, Vann WF, Jr. Effectiveness of an educational intervention in oral health for pediatric residents. *Ambul Pediatr*. 2006;6(3):157-64.
37. McNemar Q. Note on the sampling error of the difference between correlated proportions or percentages. *Psychometrika*. 1947;12(2):153-7.
38. Bhapkar VP. A note on the equivalence of two test criteria for hypotheses in categorical data. *J American Statistical Association*. 1966;61:228–35.
39. Hallas D, Shelley D. Role of pediatric nurse practitioners in oral health care. *Acad Pediatr*. 2009;9(6):462-6.

the physical exam

b. Referral to a dentist by 1 year of age	☐	☐	☐	☐
c. Counseling on the prevention of dental problems (e.g., dental decay, gingivitis, trauma)	☐	☐	☐	☐
d. Prescription of fluoride supplements when indicated	☐	☐	☐	☐

Behavior Questions

1. Approximately how many routine physical exams have you performed over the past 3 months?

1) 0–10 2) 11–20 3) 21–30 4) 31–40 5) > 40

2. Approximately how many oral health exams have you included in your routine examinations in the past 3 months?

1) 0–10 2) 11–20 3) 21–30 4) 31–40 5) > 40

3. Approximately how many fluoride varnish applications have you administered as part of your routine examinations in the past 3 months?

1) 0–10 2) 11–20 3) 21–30 4) 31–40 5) > 40

4. How often over the past 3 months did you perform the following tasks during a routine health exam?

Always Frequently Occasionally Rarely Never

a. Assess a child's fluoride intake to determine the need for supplementation	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
b. Prescribe a dietary fluoride supplement	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
c. Discuss the use of fluoride toothpaste with parents	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
d. Inquire whether a child is taking a bottle to bed	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
e. Counsel parents on the importance of regular dental visits	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
f. Inquire about a mother's dental health	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
g. Refer a high-risk patient to a dentist	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Table 2. Subject Demographics

Numbers of subjects (%)		
Age		
25 years old or younger	3	(10%)
26–30 years old	18	(60%)
31-35 years old	3	(10%)
36 years old or older	6	(20%)
Gender		
Female	28	(93%)
Male	2	(7%)
Years of experience prior the study		
no experience	3	(10%)
1–6 years	21	(70%)
> 7 years of experience	6	(20%)
Future working plan after graduation		
primary care clinic	17	(57%)
hospital or specialty clinic	13	(43%)
Prior formal oral health education		
Yes	6	(20%)
No	24	(80%)

Table 3. Oral Health Knowledge of Pediatric Nurse Practitioners Before and After

Oral Health Educational Intervention

Questions		Number of Correct Answers				P-Value*
		Pre-test		Post-test		
		Subject #s	%	Subject #s	%	
1	On average a child's first tooth erupts between 9 months and 1 year of age.	13	43%	17	57%	0.344
2	The bacteria that cause dental decay can be transmitted from mother to child.	28	93%	30	100%	0.219
3	Xylitol is a carbohydrate that is not fermentable by oral bacteria.	18	60%	25	83%	0.065
4	Xylitol is a sugar substitute that has been shown to kill the oral bacteria that cause cavities.	18	60%	24	80%	0.109
5	Fluoride prevents tooth decay by making the teeth stronger.	28	93%	29	97%	1.000
6	Ingesting fluoride while the teeth are forming (before eruption) helps prevent tooth decay.	20	67%	12	40%	0.077
7	Toothpaste containing fluoride should not be used to brush a 3-year-old child's teeth due to the risk of fluorosis.	12	40%	10	33%	0.791
8	Fluoride prevents tooth decay when applied topically to the surfaces of teeth.	27	90%	28	93%	1.000
9	Nondental health professionals can be reimbursed for fluoride varnish applications.	21	70%	30	100%	0.003
10	A child's first dental exam should occur by 3 years of age or when all primary teeth have completed eruption.	14	47%	12	40%	0.754
11	Chalky white spots on a child's teeth can be remineralized with fluoride varnish.	13	43%	18	60%	0.302
12	Infants should not be put to bed with a bottle of juice or milk.	30	100%	30	100%	1.000
13	Frequent snacking with carbohydrates increases the risk of developing early childhood caries.	30	100%	30	100%	1.000

- Exact McNemar's test was used for pre- and post- comparison for each individual question.

Table 4. Confidence, Attitudes and Behavior of Pediatric Nurse Practitioners about Providing Oral Health Service Measured before and after Oral Health Educational Intervention.

	Preintervention (n=30) (Subject #s (%))			Postintervention (n=30) (Subject #s (%))			P-value*		
	very confident	slightly confident	not confident	very confident	slightly confident	not confident			
Confidence Questions									
Consult on child's oral hygiene	8 (27%)	19 (63%)	3 (10%)	17 (57%)	13 (43%)	0 (0%)	<0.01		
Consult on water fluoridation	3 (10%)	9 (31%)	17 (59%)	6 (21%)	23 (79%)	0 (0%)	<0.01		
Dietary consult on caries prevention	8 (27%)	19 (63%)	3 (10%)	24 (80%)	6 (20%)	0 (0%)	<0.01		
Consult on fluoride supplement in infancy	2 (7%)	8 (27%)	20 (66%)	9 (30%)	20 (67%)	1 (3%)	<0.01		
Consult on dental visits in infancy/childhood	5 (17%)	19 (63%)	6 (20%)	23 (77%)	7 (23%)	0 (0%)	<0.01		
Perform oral exam on infants and toddlers	3 (10%)	13 (43%)	14 (47%)	8 (27%)	22 (73%)	0 (0%)	<0.01		
Identify early childhood tooth decay	3 (10%)	13 (43%)	14 (47%)	8 (27%)	21 (70%)	1 (3%)	<0.01		
Identify other signs of oral pathology	0 (0%)	14 (37%)	16 (53%)	5 (17%)	18 (60%)	7 (23%)	<0.01		
Refer a child to a dentist	3 (10%)	19 (63%)	8 (27%)	12 (40%)	18 (60%)	0 (0%)	<0.01		
Preintervention (Subject #s (%))									
Postintervention (n=30) (Subject #s (%))									
	strongly agree	agree	disagree	strongly disagree	strongly agree	agree	disagree	strongly disagree	P-value*
Attitude Questions									
Assess early signs of dental problems during the physical exam	15 (50%)	15 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	25 (83%)	5 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0.06
Refer children aged 1 to a dentist	10 (33%)	15 (50%)	5 (17%)	0 (0%)	21 (70%)	7 (23%)	2 (7%)	0 (0%)	0.06
Consult on dental preventions	16 (53%)	14 (47%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	21 (70%)	9 (30%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0.49
Prescription of fluoride supplements	15 (50%)	15 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	20 (67%)	10 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0.57
Postintervention (Subject #s (%))									
	0–10 cases	11–20 cases	21–30 cases	31–40 cases	>40 cases				
Behavior Questions									
# routine physical exams over past 3 months	7 (23%)	10 (33%)	8 (27%)	3 (10%)	2 (7%)				
# oral exams in past 3 months	5 (17%)	10 (33%)	8 (27%)	3 (10%)	4 (13%)				
# fluoride varnish applied in past 3 months	30 (100%)	0	0	0	0				
How often performed the following tasks during a routine exam over past 3 months	Always	Frequently	Occasionally	Rarely	Never				
Assess a child's fluoride intake for the need of supplementation	1 (3%)	8 (27%)	6 (20%)	7 (23%)	8 (27%)				
Prescribe fluoride supplements	0 (0%)	5 (17%)	2 (7%)	5 (17%)	18 (60%)				
Discuss fluoride toothpaste use with parents	4 (13%)	6 (20%)	9 (30%)	3 (10%)	8 (27%)				
Inquire about a child's use of a bottle in bed	12 (40%)	13 (43%)	5 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)				
Counsel parents on the importance of regular dental visits	11 (37%)	12 (40%)	5 (17%)	0 (0%)	2 (7%)				
Inquire about mother's dental health	1 (3%)	2 (7%)	5 (17%)	14 (37%)	8 (27%)				
Refer high-risk patients to a dentist	5 (17%)	6 (20%)	5 (17%)	4 (13%)	10 (33%)				

• Bhapkar test was used for pre and post comparison for each individual question.