

# UC Berkeley

## IGS Poll

### Title

Tabulations from a February 2023 Poll of California Registered Voters about Gun Violence and Mass Shootings in California

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/948077pf>

### Author

DiCamillo, Mark

### Publication Date

2023-02-28



University of California, Berkeley  
Institute of Governmental Studies  
109 Moses Hall, #2370  
Berkeley, CA 94720-2370  
Tel: 510-642-1473  
Fax: 510-642-3020  
Email: [igs@berkeley.edu](mailto:igs@berkeley.edu)

**Tabulations from a February 2023 Poll of  
California Registered Voters about Gun Violence and Mass  
Shootings in California**

*by the*  
***Berkeley IGS Poll***  
**Institute of Governmental Studies**  
**University of California, Berkeley**

**February 14-20, 2023**

## **Introduction**

The statistical tabulations in this volume are based on a *Berkeley IGS Poll* completed online in English and Spanish February 14-20, 2023 among 7,512 California registered voters. The survey was conducted by the Institute of Governmental Studies (IGS) at the University of California, Berkeley. Funding for the poll was provided in part by the *Los Angeles Times*.

The poll was conducted by distributing email invitations to stratified random samples of the state's registered voters. Each email invited voters to participate in a non-partisan survey conducted by the University and provided a link to the IGS website where the survey was housed. Reminder emails were distributed to non-responding voters and an opt out link was provided for voters not wishing to receive further email invitations.

Samples of California registered voters with email addresses were derived from information contained on the official voter registration rolls and provided to IGS by Political Data, Inc., a leading supplier of registered voter lists.

To protect the anonymity of respondents, voters' email addresses and all other personally identifiable information derived from the original voter listing were purged from the data file and replaced with a unique and anonymous identification number during data processing. In addition, after the completion of data collection, post-stratification weights were applied to the survey data file to align the sample of registered voters to population characteristics of the registered voters statewide and within major regions of the state.

The sampling error associated with the survey results is difficult to calculate precisely because of sample stratification and the post-stratification weighting. Nevertheless, it is likely that findings based on the overall sample of registered voters are subject to a sampling error of approximately +/-2 percentage points at the 95% confidence level.

## **Regional definitions referenced in this report**

The results from the state's geographic regions referenced in this volume are comprised of the following counties:

Los Angeles County (Los Angeles County)

Orange County (Orange County)

San Diego County (San Diego County)

Inland Empire (Riverside, San Bernardino and Imperial counties)

Central Coast (Ventura, Santa Barbara, San Luis Obispo, Monterey, Santa Cruz and San Benito counties)

Sacramento Valley (Shasta, Tehama, Glenn, Butte, Colusa, Yuba, Placer, Sutter, Yolo, El Dorado, and Sacramento counties)

San Joaquin Valley (San Joaquin, Stanislaus, Merced, Madera, Fresno, Kings, Tulare, and Kern counties)

Central Valley (net) (includes all counties in the Sacramento Valley and San Joaquin Valley referenced above)

SF Bay Area (Alameda, Contra Costa, San Francisco, Marin, Napa, Sonoma, Solano, San Mateo, Santa Clara).

North Coast/Sierras (Del Norte, Siskiyou, Modoc, Humboldt, Trinity, Lassen, Mendocino, Plumas, Sierra, Nevada, Lake, Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Tuolumne, Mono, Mariposa, Inyo counties.)

## **About the Institute of Governmental Studies**

The Institute of Governmental Studies (IGS) is an interdisciplinary organized research unit that pursues a vigorous program of research, education, publication and public service. A component of the University of California system's flagship Berkeley campus, IGS is the oldest organized research unit in the UC system and the oldest public policy research center in the state. IGS's co-directors are Professor Eric Schickler and Associate Professor G. Cristina Mora.

IGS conducts periodic surveys of public opinion in California on matters of politics and public policy through its *Berkeley IGS Poll*. The poll seeks to provide a broad measure of contemporary public opinion, and to generate data for subsequent scholarly analysis. The director of the *Berkeley IGS Poll* is Mark DiCamillo. For a complete listing of stories issued by the *Berkeley IGS Poll* go to <https://www.igs.berkeley.edu/research/berkeley-igs-poll>.

## Feb 2023 Berkeley IGS Poll — Statewide Registered Voters

Table 1: Q21(1) To what extent do you think the following government actions would help in reducing the occurrence of mass shootings in California in the future? — Passing stricter gun laws (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region									Urbanicity			Party Registration				Likely Voter			
		Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	North SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sier-ras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Other	NPP	Likely voter	Not likely voter
unweighted n	7512.00	1781.00	893.00	538.00	716.00	497.00	1124.00	627.00	497.00	1826.00	137.00	3328.00	3136.00	1048.00	4204.00	1366.00	1942.00	465.00	1477.00	5941.00	1571.00
weighted n	7512.00	1903.00	691.00	597.00	851.00	477.00	1304.00	618.00	685.00	1537.00	153.00	3291.00	3137.00	1084.00	3468.00	1755.00	2289.00	538.00	1751.00	5149.00	2363.00
Would help a great deal	0.45	0.53	0.43	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.31	0.33	0.30	0.51	0.34	0.50	0.44	0.34	0.66	0.10	0.41	0.38	0.41	0.43	0.50
Would help some	0.18	0.21	0.20	0.16	0.11	0.19	0.16	0.18	0.15	0.22	0.09	0.21	0.18	0.13	0.22	0.10	0.20	0.17	0.21	0.18	0.18
Would not help very much	0.34	0.24	0.35	0.38	0.44	0.35	0.49	0.46	0.52	0.26	0.58	0.27	0.37	0.51	0.11	0.78	0.37	0.44	0.35	0.37	0.29
No opinion	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.03

Table 2: Q21(1) To what extent do you think the following government actions would help in reducing the occurrence of mass shootings in California in the future? — Passing stricter gun laws (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age						Race							Nativity		Union member in household				
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+(net)	65-74	75+	White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish dominant (Latino)	English dominant (Latino)	Black	Asian/Pac Isle	Amer Indian/Native Amer	Tribal affiliation	No tribal affiliation	US	Another country	Yes	No
unweighted n	7512.00	3802.00	3708.00	1195.00	1161.00	1172.00	1989.00	1995.00	1232.00	763.00	4001.00	2011.00	695.00	1169.00	594.00	771.00	149.00	41.00	108.00	5988.00	1507.00	1558.00	5929.00
weighted n	7512.00	3667.00	3843.00	1324.00	1341.00	1194.00	1752.00	1902.00	1167.00	735.00	3958.00	2002.00	610.00	1241.00	444.00	1007.00	135.00	32.00	103.00	5947.00	1561.00	1527.00	5968.00
Would help a great deal	0.45	0.38	0.52	0.49	0.44	0.45	0.46	0.43	0.42	0.45	0.40	0.50	0.72	0.42	0.55	0.52	0.29	0.42	0.25	0.42	0.59	0.43	0.46
Would help some	0.18	0.18	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.20	0.17	0.18	0.16	0.19	0.19	0.17	0.10	0.21	0.17	0.22	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.18	0.19	0.16	0.19
Would not help very much	0.34	0.42	0.27	0.31	0.35	0.32	0.36	0.37	0.39	0.33	0.40	0.31	0.15	0.36	0.22	0.23	0.64	0.52	0.68	0.38	0.19	0.39	0.33
No opinion	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.06	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02

Table 3: Q21(1) To what extent do you think the following government actions would help in reducing the occurrence of mass shootings in California in the future? — Passing stricter gun laws (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Political ideology					Education					Household income					Tenure				
		Strongly con- ser- va- tive	Somewhat con- ser- va- tive	Moderate	Somewhat lib- eral	Strongly lib- eral	Not a high school graduate	High school graduate	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own (total)	Single-family detached	Not single-family detached	Renter
unweighted n	7512.00	634.00	996.00	2343.00	1679.00	1832.00	156.00	704.00	2048.00	2378.00	2200.00	651.00	746.00	886.00	1458.00	2208.00	1362.00	4016.00	3207.00	808.00	2766.00
weighted n	7512.00	899.00	1115.00	2491.00	1473.00	1528.00	222.00	1027.00	2926.00	2096.00	1212.00	781.00	928.00	1012.00	1591.00	2015.00	1019.00	3661.00	2944.00	714.00	2979.00
Would help a great deal	0.45	0.12	0.19	0.43	0.59	0.74	0.61	0.36	0.42	0.49	0.50	0.51	0.48	0.48	0.44	0.43	0.44	0.39	0.39	0.43	0.52
Would help some	0.18	0.09	0.09	0.20	0.27	0.20	0.18	0.20	0.16	0.20	0.21	0.19	0.15	0.17	0.16	0.19	0.23	0.20	0.19	0.21	0.17
Would not help very much	0.34	0.78	0.68	0.34	0.13	0.06	0.19	0.42	0.39	0.30	0.28	0.28	0.32	0.31	0.39	0.38	0.32	0.40	0.41	0.34	0.28
No opinion	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03

Table 4: Q21(1) To what extent do you think the following government actions would help in reducing the occurrence of mass shootings in California in the future? — Passing stricter gun laws (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Marital status				Voting in Nov 2020 election				Party identification									
		Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Non-voter	Voted (net)	Biden voter	Trump voter	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other
unweighted n	7512.00	3859.00	611.00	1143.00	1846.00	1398.00	6114.00	3096.00	845.00	4872.00	2662.00	2206.00	1714.00	682.00	1031.00	2609.00	1084.00	618.00	906.00
weighted n	7512.00	3560.00	579.00	1276.00	2072.00	1712.00	5800.00	3604.00	1904.00	4268.00	2230.00	2037.00	2265.00	998.00	1265.00	2750.00	1014.00	769.00	967.00
Would help a great deal	0.45	0.43	0.45	0.46	0.50	0.48	0.44	0.63	0.09	0.65	0.74	0.55	0.12	0.09	0.14	0.37	0.57	0.11	0.36
Would help some	0.18	0.18	0.16	0.17	0.21	0.17	0.19	0.23	0.11	0.23	0.19	0.27	0.11	0.08	0.13	0.17	0.23	0.10	0.16
Would not help very much	0.34	0.38	0.37	0.34	0.27	0.31	0.35	0.13	0.78	0.10	0.04	0.17	0.76	0.83	0.71	0.44	0.19	0.77	0.43
No opinion	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.05

Table 5: Q21(2) To what extent do you think the following government actions would help in reducing the occurrence of mass shootings in California in the future? — Expanding the availability of mental health services (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Party Registration				Likely Voter		
		Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	North Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Other	NPP	Likely voter	Not likely voter
unweighted n	7512.00	1781.00	893.00	538.00	716.00	497.00	1124.00	627.00	497.00	1826.00	137.00	3328.00	3136.00	1048.00	4204.00	1366.00	1942.00	465.00	1477.00	5941.00	1571.00
weighted n	7512.00	1903.00	691.00	597.00	851.00	477.00	1304.00	618.00	685.00	1537.00	153.00	3291.00	3137.00	1084.00	3468.00	1755.00	2289.00	538.00	1751.00	5149.00	2363.00
Would help a great deal	0.58	0.62	0.60	0.55	0.57	0.60	0.58	0.60	0.56	0.55	0.55	0.60	0.57	0.56	0.63	0.47	0.59	0.63	0.58	0.57	0.62
Would help some	0.29	0.26	0.29	0.27	0.33	0.32	0.32	0.34	0.30	0.29	0.39	0.27	0.30	0.34	0.29	0.34	0.27	0.26	0.28	0.31	0.27
Would not help very much	0.10	0.10	0.08	0.14	0.10	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.10	0.14	0.04	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.06	0.17	0.11	0.09	0.12	0.11	0.10
No opinion	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02

Table 6: Q21(2) To what extent do you think the following government actions would help in reducing the occurrence of mass shootings in California in the future? — Expanding the availability of mental health services (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Race								Nativity		Union member in household		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish dominant (Latino)	English dominant (Latino)	Black	Asian/Pac Isle	American Indian/Alaska Native	Tribal affiliation	No tribal affiliation	US country	Another country	Yes	No
unweighted n	7512.00	3802.00	3708.00	1195.00	1161.00	1172.00	1989.00	1995.00	1232.00	763.00	4001.00	2011.00	695.00	1169.00	594.00	771.00	149.00	41.00	108.00	5988.00	1507.00	1558.00	5929.00
weighted n	7512.00	3667.00	3843.00	1324.00	1341.00	1194.00	1752.00	1902.00	1167.00	735.00	3958.00	2002.00	610.00	1241.00	444.00	1007.00	135.00	32.00	103.00	5947.00	1561.00	1527.00	5968.00
Would help a great deal	0.58	0.54	0.62	0.65	0.61	0.56	0.58	0.53	0.54	0.51	0.57	0.60	0.62	0.59	0.63	0.54	0.51	0.58	0.49	0.59	0.56	0.60	0.58
Would help some	0.29	0.32	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.30	0.29	0.33	0.31	0.35	0.32	0.27	0.24	0.28	0.21	0.28	0.23	0.22	0.24	0.30	0.28	0.29	0.30
Would not help very much	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.06	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.13	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.15	0.25	0.19	0.27	0.09	0.13	0.09	0.10
No opinion	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.06	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02



Table 7: Q21(2) To what extent do you think the following government actions would help in reducing the occurrence of mass shootings in California in the future? — Expanding the availability of mental health services (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Political ideology					Education					Household income					Tenure				
		Strongly con- ser- va- tive	Somewhat con- ser- va- tive	Moderate	Somewhat lib- eral	Strongly lib- eral	Not a high school grad- uate	High school grad- uate	Some col- lege/trad- e	College grad- uate (BA)	Post grad- uate edu- ca- tion	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000- \$39,999	\$40,000- \$59,999	\$60,000- \$99,999	\$100,000- \$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own (to- tal)	Single- family de- tached	Not single- family de- tached	Renter
unweighted n	7512.00	634.00	996.00	2343.00	1679.00	1832.00	156.00	704.00	2048.00	2378.00	2200.00	651.00	746.00	886.00	1458.00	2208.00	1362.00	4016.00	3207.00	808.00	2766.00
weighted n	7512.00	899.00	1115.00	2491.00	1473.00	1528.00	222.00	1027.00	2926.00	2096.00	1212.00	781.00	928.00	1012.00	1591.00	2015.00	1019.00	3661.00	2944.00	714.00	2979.00
Would help a great deal	0.58	0.45	0.46	0.60	0.61	0.68	0.58	0.61	0.61	0.55	0.53	0.67	0.60	0.58	0.61	0.58	0.48	0.54	0.54	0.56	0.64
Would help some	0.29	0.35	0.34	0.27	0.30	0.27	0.27	0.28	0.27	0.33	0.31	0.23	0.28	0.31	0.27	0.31	0.35	0.32	0.34	0.27	0.25
Would not help very much	0.10	0.17	0.17	0.09	0.08	0.05	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.11	0.13	0.07	0.10	0.08	0.10	0.10	0.15	0.12	0.11	0.17	0.08
No opinion	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.06	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02

Table 8: Q21(2) To what extent do you think the following government actions would help in reducing the occurrence of mass shootings in California in the future? — Expanding the availability of mental health services (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Marital status				Voting in Nov 2020 election				Party identification									
		Married	Not mar- ried/ live to- gether	Separated/ divorced/ wid- owed	Single/ never mar- ried	Non- voter	Voted (net)	Biden voter	Trump voter	Democrat (net)	Strong Demo- crat	Not strong Demo- crat	Republican (net)	Strong Re- pub- lican	Not strong Re- pub- lican	Independent other (net)	Lean Demo- crat	Lean Re- pub- lican	Pure Inde- pen- dent/other
unweighted n	7512.00	3859.00	611.00	1143.00	1846.00	1398.00	6114.00	3096.00	845.00	4872.00	2662.00	2206.00	1714.00	682.00	1031.00	2609.00	1084.00	618.00	906.00
weighted n	7512.00	3560.00	579.00	1276.00	2072.00	1712.00	5800.00	3604.00	1904.00	4268.00	2230.00	2037.00	2265.00	998.00	1265.00	2750.00	1014.00	769.00	967.00
Would help a great deal	0.58	0.55	0.57	0.54	0.67	0.61	0.57	0.62	0.48	0.63	0.64	0.62	0.47	0.47	0.47	0.58	0.63	0.44	0.63
Would help some	0.29	0.32	0.33	0.30	0.24	0.25	0.31	0.30	0.34	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.35	0.33	0.36	0.28	0.26	0.35	0.24
Would not help very much	0.10	0.11	0.08	0.13	0.07	0.11	0.10	0.07	0.17	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.17	0.18	0.16	0.12	0.09	0.19	0.09
No opinion	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.04

Table 9: Q22a How much do you personally worry that you or someone close to you could become the victim of gun violence? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Party Registration				Likely Voter		
		Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	North SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sier-ras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Other	NPP	Likely voter	Not likely voter
unweighted n	7512.00	1781.00	893.00	538.00	716.00	497.00	1124.00	627.00	497.00	1826.00	137.00	3328.00	3136.00	1048.00	4204.00	1366.00	1942.00	465.00	1477.00	5941.00	1571.00
weighted n	7512.00	1903.00	691.00	597.00	851.00	477.00	1304.00	618.00	685.00	1537.00	153.00	3291.00	3137.00	1084.00	3468.00	1755.00	2289.00	538.00	1751.00	5149.00	2363.00
Worried (net)	0.63	0.71	0.59	0.63	0.63	0.59	0.57	0.56	0.57	0.64	0.38	0.71	0.60	0.49	0.78	0.36	0.61	0.72	0.58	0.60	0.70
Very worried	0.30	0.38	0.28	0.28	0.32	0.23	0.25	0.18	0.31	0.29	0.24	0.35	0.28	0.23	0.40	0.11	0.30	0.32	0.29	0.27	0.38
Somewhat worried	0.33	0.32	0.31	0.36	0.32	0.36	0.32	0.38	0.26	0.36	0.14	0.36	0.32	0.25	0.38	0.24	0.32	0.40	0.29	0.33	0.32
Not worried (net)	0.35	0.27	0.39	0.35	0.35	0.40	0.42	0.43	0.41	0.34	0.58	0.27	0.39	0.50	0.21	0.62	0.37	0.26	0.40	0.39	0.27
Not too worried	0.23	0.18	0.27	0.20	0.23	0.28	0.25	0.27	0.22	0.25	0.37	0.18	0.26	0.31	0.16	0.35	0.25	0.15	0.28	0.27	0.16
Not at all worried	0.12	0.09	0.12	0.15	0.11	0.12	0.17	0.16	0.19	0.09	0.20	0.09	0.13	0.19	0.04	0.27	0.12	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.11
No opinion	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.03

Table 10: Q22a How much do you personally worry that you or someone close to you could become the victim of gun violence? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Race								Nativity		Union member in household		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish dominant (Latino)	English dominant (Latino)	Black	Asian/Pac Isle	American Indian/Native American	Tribal affiliation	No tribal affiliation	US	Another country	Yes	No
unweighted n	7512.00	3802.00	3708.00	1195.00	1161.00	1172.00	1989.00	1995.00	1232.00	763.00	4001.00	2011.00	695.00	1169.00	594.00	771.00	149.00	41.00	108.00	5988.00	1507.00	1558.00	5929.00
weighted n	7512.00	3667.00	3843.00	1324.00	1341.00	1194.00	1752.00	1902.00	1167.00	735.00	3958.00	2002.00	610.00	1241.00	444.00	1007.00	135.00	32.00	103.00	5947.00	1561.00	1527.00	5968.00
Worried (net)	0.63	0.55	0.71	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.62	0.56	0.56	0.58	0.54	0.75	0.90	0.68	0.78	0.73	0.56	0.48	0.59	0.59	0.78	0.62	0.63
Very worried	0.30	0.22	0.38	0.38	0.32	0.28	0.32	0.23	0.27	0.18	0.19	0.46	0.72	0.35	0.44	0.40	0.23	0.08	0.28	0.25	0.49	0.32	0.30
Somewhat worried	0.33	0.32	0.33	0.29	0.35	0.39	0.29	0.33	0.29	0.39	0.35	0.28	0.18	0.33	0.34	0.33	0.33	0.40	0.31	0.34	0.29	0.30	0.33
Not worried (net)	0.35	0.43	0.27	0.30	0.32	0.32	0.37	0.42	0.42	0.41	0.46	0.23	0.08	0.29	0.19	0.22	0.43	0.52	0.40	0.39	0.21	0.37	0.35
Not too worried	0.23	0.27	0.19	0.18	0.21	0.20	0.24	0.30	0.29	0.31	0.31	0.13	0.06	0.15	0.13	0.17	0.24	0.12	0.28	0.25	0.15	0.22	0.24
Not at all worried	0.12	0.16	0.08	0.12	0.11	0.12	0.13	0.12	0.14	0.10	0.15	0.10	0.02	0.14	0.07	0.05	0.19	0.40	0.12	0.13	0.06	0.15	0.11
No opinion	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02

Table 11: Q22a How much do you personally worry that you or someone close to you could become the victim of gun violence? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Political ideology					Education					Household income					Tenure				
		Strongly con- ser- va- tive	Somewha con- ser- va- tive	Moderat lib- eral	Somewha lib- eral	Strongly lib- eral	Not a high school grad- uate	High school grad- uate	Some col- lege/trad- itional	College grad- uate (BA)	Post grad- uate edu- ca- tion	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000- \$39,999	\$40,000- \$59,999	\$60,000- \$99,999	\$100,000- \$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own (to- tal)	Single- family de- tached	Not single- family de- tached	Renter
unweighted n	7512.00	634.00	996.00	2343.00	1679.00	1832.00	156.00	704.00	2048.00	2378.00	2200.00	651.00	746.00	886.00	1458.00	2208.00	1362.00	4016.00	3207.00	808.00	2766.00
weighted n	7512.00	899.00	1115.00	2491.00	1473.00	1528.00	222.00	1027.00	2926.00	2096.00	1212.00	781.00	928.00	1012.00	1591.00	2015.00	1019.00	3661.00	2944.00	714.00	2979.00
Worried (net)	0.63	0.41	0.46	0.65	0.71	0.78	0.84	0.63	0.62	0.64	0.57	0.66	0.68	0.67	0.63	0.59	0.59	0.58	0.55	0.71	0.68
Very worried	0.30	0.20	0.19	0.32	0.32	0.40	0.68	0.31	0.30	0.31	0.21	0.38	0.39	0.33	0.31	0.26	0.20	0.25	0.22	0.38	0.35
Somewhat worried	0.33	0.21	0.27	0.33	0.39	0.38	0.16	0.32	0.32	0.33	0.36	0.28	0.29	0.35	0.32	0.33	0.39	0.33	0.32	0.33	0.33
Not worried (net)	0.35	0.58	0.53	0.32	0.28	0.21	0.16	0.33	0.35	0.34	0.42	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.37	0.40	0.39	0.41	0.44	0.27	0.30
Not too worried	0.23	0.29	0.35	0.21	0.23	0.15	0.09	0.22	0.22	0.25	0.27	0.19	0.19	0.20	0.23	0.28	0.26	0.27	0.29	0.17	0.19
Not at all worried	0.12	0.29	0.18	0.11	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.11	0.13	0.10	0.15	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.14	0.12	0.13	0.14	0.15	0.10	0.11
No opinion	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02

Table 12: Q22a How much do you personally worry that you or someone close to you could become the victim of gun violence? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Marital status				Voting in Nov 2020 election				Party identification									
		Married	Not mar- ried/ live to- gether	Separat- ed/ di- vorced/ wid- owed	Single/ never mar- ried	Non- voter	Voted (net)	Biden voter	Trump voter	Democrat (net)	Strong Demo- crat	Not strong Demo- crat	Republican (net)	Strong Re- pub- lican	Not strong Re- pub- lican	Independ- ent (net)	Lean Demo- crat	Lean Re- pub- lican	Pure Inde- pend- ent/other
unweighted n	7512.00	3859.00	611.00	1143.00	1846.00	1398.00	6114.00	3096.00	845.00	4872.00	2662.00	2206.00	1714.00	682.00	1031.00	2609.00	1084.00	618.00	906.00
weighted n	7512.00	3560.00	579.00	1276.00	2072.00	1712.00	5800.00	3604.00	1904.00	4268.00	2230.00	2037.00	2265.00	998.00	1265.00	2750.00	1014.00	769.00	967.00
Worried (net)	0.63	0.61	0.70	0.59	0.67	0.68	0.62	0.74	0.38	0.76	0.80	0.72	0.39	0.38	0.39	0.57	0.69	0.38	0.61
Very worried	0.30	0.28	0.40	0.25	0.33	0.36	0.28	0.35	0.15	0.37	0.42	0.32	0.14	0.12	0.16	0.28	0.29	0.17	0.35
Somewhat worried	0.33	0.32	0.30	0.34	0.34	0.31	0.33	0.39	0.23	0.39	0.38	0.40	0.24	0.26	0.23	0.30	0.40	0.20	0.26
Not worried (net)	0.35	0.38	0.27	0.39	0.30	0.29	0.37	0.25	0.61	0.23	0.19	0.27	0.59	0.61	0.58	0.39	0.30	0.60	0.33
Not too worried	0.23	0.27	0.18	0.23	0.19	0.17	0.25	0.20	0.35	0.18	0.15	0.21	0.35	0.33	0.36	0.24	0.23	0.30	0.20
Not at all worried	0.12	0.12	0.09	0.16	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.05	0.25	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.25	0.27	0.23	0.16	0.07	0.30	0.14
No opinion	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.05

Table 13: Q22b When it comes to gun ownership laws, which do you think is more important? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Party Registration				Likely Voter		
		Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	Bay Area	North SF	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Other	NPP	Likely voter
unweighted n	7512.00	1781.00	893.00	538.00	716.00	497.00	1124.00	627.00	497.00	1826.00	137.00	3328.00	3136.00	1048.00	4204.00	1366.00	1942.00	465.00	1477.00	5941.00	1571.00
weighted n	7512.00	1903.00	691.00	597.00	851.00	477.00	1304.00	618.00	685.00	1537.00	153.00	3291.00	3137.00	1084.00	3468.00	1755.00	2289.00	538.00	1751.00	5149.00	2363.00
Protecting Americans' 2nd Amendment right to bear arms	0.34	0.26	0.31	0.36	0.43	0.34	0.49	0.46	0.51	0.24	0.50	0.26	0.36	0.50	0.08	0.82	0.36	0.44	0.33	0.35	0.30
Imposing greater controls on gun ownership	0.60	0.67	0.65	0.55	0.51	0.58	0.44	0.47	0.42	0.70	0.44	0.67	0.58	0.42	0.86	0.12	0.57	0.51	0.59	0.58	0.64
No opinion	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.09	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.08	0.07	0.06

Table 14: Q22b When it comes to gun ownership laws, which do you think is more important? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age						Race								Nativity		Union member in household			
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish dominant (Latino)	English dominant (Latino)	Black	Asian/Pac Isle	American/Native American	Tribal affiliation	No tribal affiliation	US	Another country	Yes	No
unweighted n	7512.00	3802.00	3708.00	1195.00	1161.00	1172.00	1989.00	1995.00	1232.00	763.00	4001.00	2011.00	695.00	1169.00	594.00	771.00	149.00	41.00	108.00	5988.00	1507.00	1558.00	5929.00
weighted n	7512.00	3667.00	3843.00	1324.00	1341.00	1194.00	1752.00	1902.00	1167.00	735.00	3958.00	2002.00	610.00	1241.00	444.00	1007.00	135.00	32.00	103.00	5947.00	1561.00	1527.00	5968.00
Protecting Americans' 2nd Amendment right to bear arms	0.34	0.41	0.27	0.33	0.33	0.32	0.34	0.36	0.36	0.35	0.37	0.31	0.16	0.37	0.23	0.28	0.67	0.65	0.68	0.37	0.21	0.37	0.33
Imposing greater controls on gun ownership	0.60	0.51	0.68	0.59	0.58	0.62	0.59	0.61	0.60	0.62	0.58	0.62	0.77	0.56	0.69	0.65	0.31	0.35	0.29	0.56	0.73	0.56	0.61
No opinion	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.08	0.10	0.06	0.06	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.06

Table 15: Q22b When it comes to gun ownership laws, which do you think is more important? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Political ideology					Education					Household income					Tenure				
		Strongly con- ser- va- tive	Somewhat con- ser- va- tive	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Not a high school graduate	High school graduate	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999 or more	\$200,000 or more	Own (total)	Single-family detached	Not single-family detached	Renter
unweighted n	7512.00	634.00	996.00	2343.00	1679.00	1832.00	156.00	704.00	2048.00	2378.00	2200.00	651.00	746.00	886.00	1458.00	2208.00	1362.00	4016.00	3207.00	808.00	2766.00
weighted n	7512.00	899.00	1115.00	2491.00	1473.00	1528.00	222.00	1027.00	2926.00	2096.00	1212.00	781.00	928.00	1012.00	1591.00	2015.00	1019.00	3661.00	2944.00	714.00	2979.00
Protecting Americans' 2nd Amendment right to bear arms	0.34	0.82	0.71	0.33	0.08	0.03	0.17	0.44	0.38	0.29	0.26	0.28	0.28	0.33	0.40	0.35	0.31	0.40	0.41	0.33	0.27
Imposing greater controls on gun ownership	0.60	0.15	0.23	0.58	0.82	0.94	0.67	0.46	0.55	0.68	0.69	0.64	0.60	0.60	0.55	0.60	0.64	0.56	0.55	0.64	0.64
No opinion	0.06	0.03	0.06	0.09	0.09	0.03	0.16	0.10	0.07	0.03	0.05	0.09	0.12	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.09

Table 16: Q22b When it comes to gun ownership laws, which do you think is more important? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Marital status				Voting in Nov 2020 election				Party identification									
		Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Non-voter	Voted (net)	Biden voter	Trump voter	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent/other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other
unweighted n	7512.00	3859.00	611.00	1143.00	1846.00	1398.00	6114.00	3096.00	845.00	4872.00	2662.00	2206.00	1714.00	682.00	1031.00	2609.00	1084.00	618.00	906.00
weighted n	7512.00	3560.00	579.00	1276.00	2072.00	1712.00	5800.00	3604.00	1904.00	4268.00	2230.00	2037.00	2265.00	998.00	1265.00	2750.00	1014.00	769.00	967.00
Protecting Americans' 2nd Amendment right to bear arms	0.34	0.38	0.33	0.32	0.28	0.31	0.34	0.09	0.81	0.08	0.03	0.13	0.80	0.87	0.74	0.42	0.15	0.79	0.41
Imposing greater controls on gun ownership	0.60	0.57	0.60	0.63	0.63	0.62	0.59	0.84	0.13	0.87	0.93	0.80	0.16	0.09	0.22	0.50	0.79	0.17	0.46
No opinion	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.09	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.08	0.06	0.04	0.14

Table 17: Q22c Several years ago California adopted a “red flag law” that allows police to temporarily take away the guns of someone who poses a threat to themselves or to others. How do you feel the law is being used by officials in your area? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region									Urbanicity			Party Registration				Likely Voter			
		Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	North Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Other	NPP	Likely voter	Not likely voter
unweighted n	7512.00	1781.00	893.00	538.00	716.00	497.00	1124.00	627.00	497.00	1826.00	137.00	3328.00	3136.00	1048.00	4204.00	1366.00	1942.00	465.00	1477.00	5941.00	1571.00
weighted n	7512.00	1903.00	691.00	597.00	851.00	477.00	1304.00	618.00	685.00	1537.00	153.00	3291.00	3137.00	1084.00	3468.00	1755.00	2289.00	538.00	1751.00	5149.00	2363.00
Not being used enough	0.41	0.46	0.40	0.37	0.44	0.38	0.33	0.31	0.35	0.46	0.41	0.45	0.41	0.32	0.52	0.24	0.39	0.41	0.38	0.42	0.41
Used about the right amount	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.10	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.06
Used too often	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.01	0.05	0.04	0.07	0.07	0.02	0.13	0.05	0.08	0.05	0.05	0.06
Don't know enough about it to have an opinion	0.46	0.44	0.47	0.50	0.42	0.48	0.50	0.51	0.49	0.47	0.50	0.45	0.45	0.53	0.41	0.52	0.51	0.46	0.52	0.46	0.47

Table 18: Q22c Several years ago California adopted a “red flag law” that allows police to temporarily take away the guns of someone who poses a threat to themselves or to others. How do you feel the law is being used by officials in your area? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age						Race								Nativity		Union member in household			
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish dominant (Latino)	English dominant (Latino)	Black	Asian/Pac Isle	American/Native American	Tribal affiliation	No tribal affiliation	US	Another country	Yes	No
unweighted n	7512.00	3802.00	3708.00	1195.00	1161.00	1172.00	1989.00	1995.00	1232.00	763.00	4001.00	2011.00	695.00	1169.00	594.00	771.00	149.00	41.00	108.00	5988.00	1507.00	1558.00	5929.00
weighted n	7512.00	3667.00	3843.00	1324.00	1341.00	1194.00	1752.00	1902.00	1167.00	735.00	3958.00	2002.00	610.00	1241.00	444.00	1007.00	135.00	32.00	103.00	5947.00	1561.00	1527.00	5968.00
Not being used enough	0.41	0.36	0.47	0.39	0.36	0.43	0.40	0.48	0.43	0.55	0.41	0.44	0.51	0.41	0.47	0.38	0.30	0.23	0.32	0.41	0.44	0.41	0.42
Used about the right amount	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.09	0.24	0.04	0.06	0.10	0.07	0.06
Used too often	0.06	0.09	0.03	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.03	0.05	0.06	0.02	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.23	0.26	0.22	0.07	0.02	0.06	0.05
Don't know enough about it to have an opinion	0.46	0.47	0.46	0.47	0.52	0.45	0.50	0.41	0.44	0.35	0.49	0.41	0.33	0.45	0.38	0.49	0.38	0.27	0.42	0.47	0.45	0.45	0.47

Table 19: Q22c Several years ago California adopted a “red flag law” that allows police to temporarily take away the guns of someone who poses a threat to themselves or to others. How do you feel the law is being used by officials in your area? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Political ideology					Education					Household income					Tenure				
		Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Not a high school graduate	High school graduate	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own (total)	Single-family detached	Not single-family detached	Renter
unweighted n	7512.00	634.00	996.00	2343.00	1679.00	1832.00	156.00	704.00	2048.00	2378.00	2200.00	651.00	746.00	886.00	1458.00	2208.00	1362.00	4016.00	3207.00	808.00	2766.00
weighted n	7512.00	899.00	1115.00	2491.00	1473.00	1528.00	222.00	1027.00	2926.00	2096.00	1212.00	781.00	928.00	1012.00	1591.00	2015.00	1019.00	3661.00	2944.00	714.00	2979.00
Not being used enough	0.41	0.26	0.29	0.44	0.45	0.53	0.40	0.36	0.41	0.44	0.43	0.42	0.41	0.47	0.41	0.43	0.36	0.42	0.43	0.41	0.42
Used about the right amount	0.06	0.09	0.12	0.07	0.04	0.02	0.14	0.08	0.07	0.04	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.06
Used too often	0.06	0.15	0.14	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.07	0.08	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.07
Don't know enough about it to have an opinion	0.46	0.50	0.45	0.46	0.49	0.44	0.45	0.49	0.44	0.48	0.48	0.47	0.43	0.41	0.45	0.48	0.52	0.46	0.45	0.49	0.46

Table 20: Q22c Several years ago California adopted a “red flag law” that allows police to temporarily take away the guns of someone who poses a threat to themselves or to others. How do you feel the law is being used by officials in your area? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Marital status				Voting in Nov 2020 election				Party identification									
		Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Non-voter	Voted (net)	Biden voter	Trump voter	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent/other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other
unweighted n	7512.00	3859.00	611.00	1143.00	1846.00	1398.00	6114.00	3096.00	845.00	4872.00	2662.00	2206.00	1714.00	682.00	1031.00	2609.00	1084.00	618.00	906.00
weighted n	7512.00	3560.00	579.00	1276.00	2072.00	1712.00	5800.00	3604.00	1904.00	4268.00	2230.00	2037.00	2265.00	998.00	1265.00	2750.00	1014.00	769.00	967.00
Not being used enough	0.41	0.42	0.37	0.48	0.39	0.35	0.43	0.54	0.25	0.51	0.55	0.46	0.26	0.24	0.28	0.37	0.49	0.21	0.36
Used about the right amount	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.11	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.11	0.14	0.08	0.05	0.03	0.08	0.06
Used too often	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.04	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.02	0.12	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.12	0.10	0.14	0.08	0.01	0.18	0.08
Don't know enough about it to have an opinion	0.46	0.46	0.48	0.42	0.50	0.51	0.45	0.41	0.52	0.43	0.38	0.49	0.51	0.53	0.49	0.50	0.47	0.53	0.50