Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

LBL Publications

Title

Ribosome-inactivation by a class of widely distributed C-tail anchored membrane proteins

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/94g2j4z9

Authors

Njenga, Robert Karari Boele, Julian Drepper, Friedel <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2024-10-01

DOI 10.1016/j.str.2024.09.019

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed

1	Ribosome-inactivation by a class of widely distributed C-tail anchored membrane proteins
2	
3	Robert Njenga ^{1,2} , Julian Boele ¹ , Friedel Drepper ² , Kasturica Sinha ¹ , Eirini Marouda ¹ , Pitter F.
4	Huesgen ^{2,3} , Crysten Blaby-Haas ^{4,5} and Hans-Georg Koch ^{1,*}
5	¹ Institut für Biochemie und Molekularbiologie, ZBMZ, Faculty of Medicine, Albert-Ludwigs-
6	Universität Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany
7	² Fakultät für Biologie, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Freiburg, 79104, Germany
8	³ CIBSS Centre for Integrative Biological Signalling
9	⁴ Molecular Foundry, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA
10	⁵ DOE Joint Genome Institute, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA
11	
12	
13	* Correspondence and lead contact: Hans-Georg Koch, Hans-Georg.Koch@biochemie.uni-
14	freiburg.de
15	
16	

17 Summary

18 Ribosome hibernation is a commonly used strategy that protects ribosomes under unfavorable conditions and regulates developmental processes. Multiple ribosome-hibernation factors have 19 20 been identified in all domains of life, but due to their structural diversity and the lack of a common inactivation mechanism, it is currently unknown how many different hibernation 21 factors exist. Here, we show that the YqjD/ElaB/YgaM paralogs, initially discovered as 22 membrane-bound ribosome binding proteins in E. coli, constitute an abundant class of 23 ribosome-hibernating proteins, which are conserved across all proteobacteria and some other 24 bacterial phyla. Our data demonstrate that they inhibit *in vitro* protein synthesis by interacting 25 with the 50S ribosomal subunit. In vivo cross-linking combined with mass spectrometry reveals 26 their specific interactions with proteins surrounding the ribosomal tunnel exit and even their 27 penetration into the ribosomal tunnel. Thus, YqjD/ElaB/YgaM inhibit translation by blocking 28 29 the ribosomal tunnel and thus mimic the activity of antimicrobial peptides and macrolide antibiotics. 30

31

Key words: YqjD, ElaB, YgaM, translation; stress response, antimicrobial peptides, macrolide
antibiotics.

34

35 Introduction

Unicellular organisms, such as bacteria, are constantly challenged by fluctuations in 36 their immediate environment. Consequently, bacteria have developed sophisticated strategies 37 for sensing environmental conditions and for converting this information into metabolic 38 responses ¹⁻³. In bacteria, these responses are primarily controlled by transcriptional regulators 39 that adjust gene expression in response to intra- and extracellular cues ⁴⁻⁷. These transcriptional 40 control mechanisms are complemented by multiple post-transcriptional response strategies, 41 such as stress-induced adaptation of ribosome biogenesis and protein synthesis⁸⁻¹¹. Important 42 players of this adaptation are ribosome-inactivating proteins, which are present in all domains 43 of life and which can inactivate ribosomes either reversibly or irreversibly ¹⁸⁻²². Examples are 44 RNA-specific N-glycosidases, such as the plant toxin ricin or the bacterial Shiga toxin, which 45 inactivate ribosomes by depurinating adenine nucleotides within the sarcin-ricin RNA loop of 46 the 23S rRNA in bacteria or the 28S rRNA in eukaryotes ^{23,24}. This irreversible ribosome 47 inactivation primarily serves as a defense mechanism against predators or competitors, while 48 reversible ribosome inactivation causing transient ribosome hibernation is used as an important 49 strategy for stress adaptation and for regulating developmental processes ^{1,20,25-29}. The *E. coli* 50 ribosome-modulation factor (RMF) and the hibernation-promoting factor (HPF) are well 51 studied bacterial hibernation factors ^{30,31}. RMF binds to the 30S ribosomal subunit where it 52 53 interacts with the ribosomal protein bS1 and induces the dimerization of two 70S ribosomes to an instable 90S dimer, which is subsequently converted into the stable 100S dimer by HPF³²⁻ 54 ³⁶. In stationary *E. coli* cells, approx. 40 to 80% of all ribosomes appear to exist as silent 100S 55 ribosomes ^{32,37,38}. Silencing ribosomes protects them against RNAse-dependent degradation ³⁹ 56 and adjusts the overall protein synthesis to available nutrients ^{19,26,31}. Upon nutrient re-supply, 57 the 100S ribosomes are converted within minutes to active 70S ribosomes ^{18,40}. While RMF is 58 only found in γ -proteobacteria, HPF homologues are found in almost all bacteria ²⁰. E. coli 59 contains additional putative ribosome-inactivating proteins, such as the short HPF-paralog 60

RaiA (ribosome-associated inhibitor A, also referred to as YfiA)³⁶, Sra (stationary-phaseinduced ribosome associated)^{19,41,42} or RsfS (ribosome silencing factor S)⁴³, which are like RMF and HPF soluble proteins that are primarily expressed during stationary phase ²².

Furthermore, E. coli contains three paralogous membrane-anchored proteins, YqjD, 64 ElaB and YgaM that are shown or predicted to interact with ribosomes during stationary phase. 65 YqjD, ElaB and YgaM belong to the small number of C-tail anchored membrane proteins in E. 66 *coli* ⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶. Their production is controlled by the stationary phase specific σ -factor RpoS¹ and 67 YqjD was shown to interact with 70S and 100S ribosomes during stationary phase ⁴⁵. However, 68 whether these proteins influence ribosomal activity is not known. Here, we show that 69 YqjD/ElaB/YgaM constitute a widely distributed class of membrane-bound ribosome 70 71 hibernation factors. YqjD and ElaB inactivate ribosomes by binding to proteins surrounding the ribosomal tunnel exit and even partially protrude into the ribosomal tunnel. Thus, they 72 73 inactivate ribosomes likely by blocking the ribosomal tunnel, a mechanism that is also observed for macrolide antibiotics and some antimicrobial peptides. 74

75

76 **Results**

77

78 YqjD, ElaB and YgaM paralogs are present in many bacterial phyla

YqjD, ElaB and YgaM are largely uncharacterized proteins with a predicted C-terminal 79 transmembrane domain (TM) (Fig. 1A), and they are suggested to be involved in tethering 80 ribosomes to the cytoplasmic membrane ^{44,45}. Based on Alphafold2 structural predictions ⁴⁷, 81 82 they are largely α -helical proteins with a conserved helix-breaking proline residue immediately following the transmembrane domain (Fig. 1B). Our bioinformatic analyses show that the 83 paralogs exhibit significant sequence conservation with 37% sequence identity between YqjD 84 and ElaB and 42% sequence identity between YqjD and YgaM (Fig. 1B). The sequence identity 85 between ElaB and YgaM is 34% and their similarity is 38%. 86

YqjD, ElaB, and YgaM contain DUF883 domains ⁴⁸ (IPR043604: DUF883, N-terminal 87 domain, IPR043605: DUF883, C-terminal domain, and the overlapping IPR010279: Inner 88 membrane protein YqjD/ElaB domain as defined in the InterPro database) that are widespread 89 90 in different prokaryotic phyla (Figs. 1A &1C, Suppl. Fig. S1). Using a sequence similarity 91 network analysis of proteins matching IPR010279 (which encompasses the N- and C-terminal DUF883 regions), we identified DUF883-containing proteins mainly in Pseudomonadota (9281 92 93 proteins), with some homologs found in the PVC group (Planctomycetota-Verruromicrobiota-Chlamydiota (300)), Spirochaetota (130), the delta/epsilon subdivisions (26), Acidobacteriota 94 (19), Campylobacterota (18), Bdellovibrionota (12), and Thermodesulfobacteriota (11) (Fig. 95 1C). Although some eukaryotic DUF883-containing proteins are predicted, the absence of 96 97 DUF883-like proteins among closely related eukaryotes suggests that these few eukaryotic 98 proteins could possibly be the result of bacterial contamination in the genome assemblies. In contrast, three separate species from the Archaeal genus Methanocalculus contain DUF883 99 100 proteins, suggesting that these rare archaeal DUF883 proteins are more likely to be genuine.

Across the YqjD/ElaB/YgaM family, the helix-breaking proline and several glycine 101 102 residues within the TM helix are highly conserved (Fig. 2A). Analysis of the YqjD, ElaB and YgaM subfamilies reveals the presence of a conserved tryptophan residue that follows the 103 104 conserved proline, and C-terminal double arginine motifs for YqjD and ElaB, or an argininelysine motif for YgaM (Fig. 2A). These putative interfacial residues may stabilize a particular 105 transmembrane orientation ⁴⁹. The TM region is predicted to be slightly longer for YqjD, 106 followed by ElaB, and then YgaM that has the shortest predicted TM helix but the longest C-107 terminal periplasmic tail (Fig. 1A). Patches of conserved residues among each 108 YqjD/ElaB/YgaM subfamily were also identified (Fig. 2A) as well as residues that have been 109 110 maintained since the last common ancestor (Suppl. Fig. S2 & S3).

In addition to the taxonomic diversity, our data show that the YqjD/ElaB/YgaM family 111 can be divided into multiple distinct similarity clusters (Fig. 1C, Suppl. Fig. S3). At the 112 113 similarity threshold used to build the network, YqjD, ElaB and YgaM are found in each of three distinct regions of the network connected to a large cluster dominated by sequences from 114 115 Pseudomonadota, with numerous smaller protein clusters representing more divergent 116 sequences (Fig. 1C). Based on phylogenetic reconstruction of representatives from each cluster, the family is relatively complex with multiple possible instances of gene duplication, gene loss, 117 118 and horizontal gene transfer (Fig. 2B, Suppl. Fig. 2 & 3). Based on the conserved presence of 119 yqjK-like and/or yqjE-like genes, next to genes encoding DUF883 throughout the family (i.e., forming syntenic blocks) (Fig. 2B, Suppl. Fig. S3), YqjD likely represents the archetype of the 120 121 DUF883 family. The yqjK and yqjE genes encode for predicted membrane proteins of unknown function. The ElaB and YgaM subfamilies appear to have evolved by subsequent duplication 122 events in Enterobacterales (Suppl. Fig. S2). One early duplication resulted in the YqjD clade 123 and the common ancestor of YgaM/ElaB. A second subsequent duplication event resulted in 124 separate YgaM and ElaB clades (Suppl. Fig. S2). The relatively recent duplication events are 125 evident at the amino acid level, which show significant sequence conservation (Figs. 1B & 2A). 126

127

128 Growth-phase dependent expression of the paralogous proteins YqjD, ElaB and YgaM

Synthesis of YqjD, ElaB and YgaM is regulated by the σ -factor RpoS and the 129 transcriptional regulator CsrA^{45,50}, indicating that they are produced during stationary phase⁴⁵. 130 In case of YqjD and ElaB, this is supported by mass spectrometry data, which show increased 131 abundance during stationary phase (Supp. Fig. S3C)^{22,51}. In contrast, the abundance of YgaM 132 was generally lower and MS-data did not reveal increased steady-state levels of YgaM in 133 stationary phase (Supp. Fig. S3C)⁵¹. For validating the growth-phase dependent protein levels 134 of YqjD, ElaB and YgaM, we generated peptide antibodies for western blotting with the 135 136 corresponding knock-out strains as controls. YqjD was detected in wild-type cells after 4h of 137 growth (corresponding to approx. $OD_{600} = 3.0$) and its levels stayed constant up to 12h (OD_{600} ~ 5.0) (Fig. 3A). ElaB was also detected after 4h and remained largely unchanged up to 12h 138 (Fig. 3B). The endogenous YgaM levels could not be reliably detected with the available 139 peptide antibodies, which probably reflects its low copy number (Suppl. Fig. S3C) ⁵¹. In 140 summary, synthesis of YqjD and ElaB starts at late exponential/early stationary phase and their 141 steady-state levels remain stable for several hours. 142

For monitoring the importance of YqjD/ElaB/YgaM for growth and survival during 143 stationary phase, we performed a long-term growth experiment by counting the viable cell 144 145 number over 60h on LB-medium for wt and the yqjD/elaB/ygaM-deletion strains. The initial growth rate of all four strains was largely comparable, with the exception of the $\Delta ygaM$ strain, 146 which showed a reduced growth in comparison to the wt (Fig. 3C). Importantly, while the cell 147 148 number of wild type cells stayed almost constant up to 48h of growth before the cell number declined, the three deletion strains showed a rapid decline already after 20h (Fig. 3C). This 149 150 supports a particular function of YqjD/ElaB/YgaM during the transition into and during the 151 stationary phase.

Although the generated peptide antibodies recognized YqjD and ElaB in E. coli cell 152 153 extracts, the detection required either a very long exposure (YqjD) or the antibodies recognized additional low-molecular weight bands (ElaB) (Figs. 3A & B). In addition, YgaM could not be 154 155 reliably detected in whole cell extracts. We therefore constructed copies of yajD, elaB and ygaM with an N-terminal Xpress-His₆-tag in the arabinose-inducible pBAD24 vector 5^{2} . Wild-type 156 cells expressing these constructs were then grown in the presence of arabinose and fractionated 157 by differential centrifugation. All three proteins were recognized by α -His antibodies 158 159 exclusively in the inner membrane vesicle (INV) fraction, but not in the outer membrane fraction (OMV). As controls, α -YidC and α -OmpC antibodies detected the localization of the 160 161 inner membrane protein YidC and the outer membrane protein OmpC, respectively. As an additional control, INV of wild-type cells without plasmid were analyzed. This confirms that 162 YqjD, ElaB and YgaM are inner membrane proteins (Fig. 3D). 163

164

165 YqjD, ElaB and YgaM tether the large ribosomal subunit to the membrane

Whether the YqjD levels influenced the amount of ribosomes bound to INVs was probed 166 with antibodies against ribosomal proteins. Antibodies against the 30S ribosomal protein uS5 167 did not reveal large differences in the amount of uS5 bound to wild type INVs, INVs from the 168 *yqjD*-expressing strain or the $\Delta yqjD$ strain (Fig. 4A). In contrast, INVs from the *yqjD*-169 expressing strain contained increased levels of the 50S ribosomal protein uL2 in comparison to 170 wild-type INVs or $\Delta yqiD$ INVs. Sucrose gradient purified wild-type ribosomes, containing 70S, 171 172 50S and 30S particles, and antibodies against the inner membrane protein YidC served as controls. 173

We also tested the localization of the 30S and 50S subunits in INVs of the *elaB* and *ygaM* overexpressing strains. As seen for YqjD, the amounts of uS5 in these INVs were comparable to wild-type INVs, but the levels of uL2 were increased (Fig. 4B). These data indicate that YqjD, ElaB and YgaM preferentially tether the 50S ribosomal subunit to the

membrane, but not the 30S subunit. However, this does not exclude that YqjD/ElaB/YgaM
initially bind to 70S ribosomes, which then dissociate during INV preparation.

180 The interaction between YqjD and ribosomes was further studied in an *in vitro* approach 181 using purified YqjD and sucrose gradient-purified ribosomes isolated from cells grown to either 182 exponential or stationary phase. This revealed binding of YqjD to both types of ribosomes, 183 although binding to stationary phase ribosomes was slightly less efficient (Suppl. Fig. S4A). *E.* 184 *coli* ribosomes isolated via sucrose-gradient centrifugation are almost exclusively non-185 translating ^{11,53}, showing that YqjD primarily interacts with non-translating ribosomes.

If YqjD inactivates ribosomes, high concentrations of YqjD should reduce the number 186 187 of translation-competent ribosomes and increase chloramphenicol sensitivity, because chloramphenicol targets translating ribosomes by inhibiting their peptidyltransferase activity. 188 The minimal inhibitory concentration of chloramphenicol is in the range of 20-30 μ g/ml⁵⁴, 189 190 however, yqjD-expressing cells were already inhibited at 0.75 µg/ml (Suppl. Fig. S4B). This hypersensitivity of yqjD-expressing cells against chloramphenicol supports a possible role of 191 192 YqjD in ribosome hibernation. We did not observe increased chloramphenicol resistance in the 193 $\Delta yqjD$ strain, which is likely explained by the surplus of ribosomes over YqjD in *E. coli* cells.

194

195 YqjD prevents translation in vitro

For validating whether YqjD interferes with translation, we employed a coupled in vitro 196 transcription/translation system, consisting of a purified cell extract (CTF, cytosolic translation 197 factors) and purified ribosomes ⁵⁵. Adding increasing amounts of purified YqjD to the *in vitro* 198 system inhibited synthesis of mannitol permease (MtlA), which is frequently used as model 199 membrane protein for in vitro studies 56 (Fig. 4C). This was different for two additional 200 ribosome-interacting proteins, YchF and SecA^{11,57,58}, which did not inhibit MtlA synthesis 201 (Figs. 4C & 4D). YqjD also inhibited in vitro synthesis of the cytosolic protein YchF and the 202 secretory protein OmpA (Fig. 4E), although the inhibitory effect was slightly less pronounced 203

than the inhibition of MtlA synthesis. This could relate to differences in the *in vitro* translation
speed due to codon usage or mRNA length ⁵⁹. Finally, a concentration dependent inhibition of
MtlA synthesis was also observed with ElaB, although full inhibition required higher
concentrations than in the case of YqjD (Supp. Figs. S5A & B).

If YqjD stoichiometrically inactivates E. coli ribosomes, this effect should depend on 208 the ribosome concentration in the in vitro assay. The in vitro system routinely contains 10-30 209 nM ribosomes ⁵⁵, although there are variations in the translational activities between different 210 ribosome preparations. MtlA synthesis was analyzed at different ribosome concentrations in 211 the absence or presence of 30 nM YqjD. In the absence of YqjD, MtlA synthesis increased with 212 213 increasing ribosome concentrations and reached saturation at approx. 20 nM ribosomes (Fig. 4F). In the presence of YqjD, synthesis was strongly reduced up to approx. 10 nM ribosomes, 214 but then gradually increased (Fig. 4F). At approx. 20 nM ribosomes, MtlA synthesis was 215 216 detectable even in the presence of YqjD. These data demonstrate that YqjD prevents translation by inactivating ribosomes. 217

218

219 Ribosome-inactivation by YqjD depends on the N-terminus and on dimerization

Previous data had indicated that YqjD interacts via its N-terminus with ribosomes ⁴⁵ and 220 221 we therefore tested MtlA synthesis in the presence of N-terminally truncated YqjD variants. In vitro MtlA synthesis was almost completely blocked by the addition of 5 nM full-length YqjD 222 (Fig. 5A). In contrast, gradually deleting N-terminal amino acids diminished YqjD's ability to 223 prevent MtlA synthesis (Fig. 5A), demonstrating that the N-terminus is required for ribosome 224 inactivation. Surprisingly, deleting the C-terminal transmembrane domain (ΔTM -YqjD) also 225 significantly reduced the inhibitory effect of YqjD on translation (Fig. 5A). The in vitro 226 227 translation assays were performed in the presence of purified YqjD, but in the absence of membranes, demonstrating that membrane tethering of ribosomes cannot be responsible for 228 impaired translation in our assay. Adding the purified transmembrane helix of YqjD to the in 229

vitro synthesis assay did also not reduce MtlA synthesis (Fig. 5B), indicating that the TM is only indirectly involved in ribosome inactivation. Whether the lack of the TM influenced ribosome binding was analyzed by incubating purified YqjD, Δ TM-YqjD and Δ N(12)-YqjD with purified ribosomes. In comparison to full-length YqjD, both truncated variants showed reduced ribosome binding (Suppl. Fig. S6A), but the truncation of the N-terminus had a stronger effect than the deletion of the C-terminus. Thus, even in the absence of the TM, YqjD retains some ribosome binding activity but completely fails to inactivate ribosomes.

YqjD contains several glycine residues in its transmembrane domain (Fig. 2A). Such 237 glycine motifs have been shown to promote homo-dimer formation ⁶⁰ and indeed, YqjD shows 238 239 a strong propensity for dimer formation, which are stable even on SDS-PAGE (Fig. 5C). Dimerization of ElaB and YgaM was less pronounced, but this could simply reflect reduced 240 stability of the dimer on SDS-PAGE (Suppl. Fig. S5C). YqjD dimerization was not influenced 241 242 by the His-tag, because the tag-free YqjD showed a comparable amount of dimer (Suppl. Fig. S6B). Interestingly, YqjD dimerization was completely diminished when the C-terminal TM 243 244 was deleted (Fig. 5C), while the N-terminal truncations had no influence on dimerization (Figs. 5C & 5D). Thus, dimerization of YqjD depends on the TM and the lack of ribosome inactivation 245 by Δ TM-YqjD could reflect its failure to dimerize. This was further tested by constructing YqjD 246 247 variants, in which the native TM was replaced by the N-terminal TM of either FtsQ or YfgM or by the C-terminal TM of the mitochondrial protein Fis1. These variants showed the same 248 inhibitory effect on MtlA synthesis as wild-type YqjD (Suppl. Fig. S6C), and also formed SDS-249 resistant dimers, although dimer stability on SDS-PAGE was reduced in comparison to the 250 native YqjD (Suppl. Fig. S6D). Thus, the nature of the TM is not important for ribosome 251 inactivation. Instead, the data rather indicate that the TM is required for YqjD dimerization, 252 which in turn promotes ribosome inactivation via the N-terminus. 253

Dimerization could induce ribosome inactivation by reducing the topological flexibility of the N-terminus, which potentially also explains the conservation of the proline residue in

immediate vicinity to the TM of YqjD. Proline residues can act as α -helix breaking amino acids, 256 which promote rigid body motions of helical segments ⁶¹. This is visible in the Alphafold 257 structural prediction of YqjD (Fig. 1B), which shows that the proline residue induces a strong 258 259 kink after the TM. The importance of the proline residue was tested by replacing it with either glycine, which has a lower helix-breaking propensity than proline, or with alanine, which 260 stabilizes the α -helix. The YqjD(P₈₀G) variant prevented MtlA synthesis to a lesser extent than 261 wild-type YqjD (Fig. 5E), and the inhibitory activity was even further reduced in the 262 $YqjD(P_{80}A)$ variant (Fig. 5E). In summary, ribosome inactivation by YqjD is likely dependent 263 on the correct orientation of its N-terminus, which is compromised when the C-terminal TM is 264 265 deleted or when the conserved proline residue is mutated.

266

267 YqjD contacts ribosomal proteins close to the peptide tunnel

268 For determining the YqjD-ribosome contacts in more detail, we used an in vivo sitedirected cross-linking approach. The UV-sensitive phenylalanine derivative para-benzoyl-L-269 270 phenylalanine (pBpa) was site specifically inserted at the N-terminal positions 10 and 39 of YqjD, using an amber-suppressor tRNA and a cognate tRNA synthetase ^{62,63}. E. coli cells 271 expressing either YqjD(L10pBpa) or YqjD(I39pBpa) were then grown on LB-medium in the 272 presence or absence of pBpa. The two YqjD variants were only visible in the presence of pBpa, 273 demonstrating the successful insertion of pBpa into YqjD (Fig. 6A). The two YqjD variants 274 also showed the same propensity for dimerization as the wild-type YqjD (Fig. 6A). When 275 YqjD(L10pBpa) or YqjD(I39pBpa) expressing E. coli cells were exposed to UV-light, multiple 276 additional bands were recognized by α -Xpress antibodies in the UV-treated sample, but not in 277 the sample not exposed to UV-light (Fig. 6B). Some additional bands were also visible in UV-278 279 treated wild-type cells, lacking pBpa (Fig. 6B). These pBpa-independent cross-linking products are likely the result of UV-induced radical formation of aromatic amino acids that favor non-280 specific protein-protein and protein-nucleic acid cross-links ^{64,65}. The cross-linked material was 281

then further enriched by affinity chromatography and probed with α-Xpress antibodies. This
revealed UV-dependent cross-linked bands at approx. 40 kDa and 55 kDa for YqjD(L10pBpa)
and YqjD(I39pBpa), which were not visible for wild-type YqjD lacking pBpa (Fig. 6C). For
YqjD(L10pBpa) additional weaker bands at approx. 110 kDa were also visible.

Proteins surrounding the ribosomal tunnel exit on the 50S subunit serve as hot spots for 286 ribosome-interacting proteins ^{57,66,67}. As YqjD likely interacts with the 50S ribosomal subunit 287 288 (Fig. 4A), the cross-link samples were analyzed with antibodies against the ribosomal proteins uL22 and uL29, which are located next to the tunnel exit. uL22 has a MW of 12 kDa and the α-289 uL22 antibodies recognized several UV-dependent bands; the most prominent migrated just 290 291 below the 25 kDa marker band and could reflect a cross-link between monomeric YqjD and uL22 (Fig. 6D, upper panel). At approx. 35 kDa, three UV-dependent bands were observed for 292 both YqjD(L10pBpa) and YqjD(I39pBpa), which could reflect a cross-link between dimeric 293 294 YqjD and uL22 (Fig. 6D, upper panel). The migration of a single cross-linking product in multiple species on SDS-PAGE is often observed ⁶⁸⁻⁷⁰ and reflects different three-dimensional 295 296 shapes of the covalently linked proteins.

The α -uL29 antibodies also recognized several UV-dependent bands, which 297 corresponded in size to crosslinks between the 7.3 kDa uL29 and monomeric and dimeric YqjD. 298 299 (Fig. 6D, lower panel). Overall, the sequence similarity of ribosomal proteins and the low specificity of the available antibodies did not allow for an unambiguous identification of cross-300 links between YqjD and 50S ribosomal proteins. The samples after cross-linking were therefore 301 302 analyzed by mass spectrometry. This identified two UV-dependent uL22 cross-linking products 303 of 23 kDa and 38 kDa, respectively, which were observed for both YqjD(L10pBpa) and 304 YqjD(I39pBpa) (Fig. 6E). This demonstrates that both monomeric and dimeric YqjD is cross-305 linked to uL22. The MS analyses also revealed two uL29 cross-linking products of YqjD(L10pBpa) at 21 kDa and 37 kDa, while for YqjD(I39pBpa) only one cross-linking 306 product at 35 kDa was detected (Fig. 6E). In addition, the MS revealed single cross-linking 307

products between uL23 and YqjD(I39pBpa) and between uL24 and YqjD(I39pBpa) (Suppl.
Fig. 7A), which were not detected by antibodies. Proteins uL23 and uL24 are, like uL29 and
uL22, located in close vicinity to the ribosomal tunnel exit and form a platform for multiple
ribosome-interacting proteins ^{66,67,71,72}. In summary, these data demonstrate that YqjD interacts
with 50S ribosomal proteins that surround the ribosomal tunnel exit.

313

314 ElaB prevents translation by mimicking anti-microbial peptides

The contact between YqjD and the ribosomal proteins uL22 and uL23 is intriguing, 315 because both proteins are not only exposed to the ribosomal surface but also contact the interior 316 317 of the ribosomal tunnel via β -hairpin loops. Subunit uL22 together with uL4 forms a central constriction within the ribosomal tunnel, which serves as a binding site for macrolide antibiotics 318 and antimicrobial peptides ⁷³⁻⁷⁵. The intra-tunnel loop of uL23 is located closer to the tunnel 319 exit and acts as a nascent chain sensor that binds to the protein targeting factors SRP and SecA 320 ^{57,66,67}. Thus, it appeared possible that YqjD interferes with translation by inserting into the 321 ribosomal peptide tunnel and as such mimics antimicrobial peptides ^{23,74,76,77}, or eukaryotic 322 323 ribosome hibernation factors ^{29,78}.

For monitoring whether YqjD reaches into the peptide tunnel of the ribosome, 324 ribosomes that contained the cross-linker pBpa at the tip of the intra-tunnel β-hairpin loop of 325 uL23 (position 71) or at position 52 of the surface-exposed globular domain of uL23 were 326 generated, isolated ^{57,66} and incubated *in vitro* with purified YqjD, followed by UV-exposure. 327 As a control, these ribosomes were incubated with purified SRP, which was previously shown 328 to contact both uL23 residues ⁶⁶. While UV-dependent cross-links to Ffh, the protein component 329 of the *E. coli* SRP⁷⁹, were observed from both residue 71 and residue 52 (Fig. 7A, left panel), 330 no cross-links between uL23 to YqjD were visible in this in vitro approach (Fig. 7A, right 331 panel). 332

We therefore switched to an *in vivo* approach. E. coli $\Delta rplW$ cells, which lack the uL23-333 encoding *rplW* gene on the chromosome but contain either the plasmid-encoded 334 uL23(E52pBpa) or the uL23(G71pBpa) variant, were grown to exponential or stationary phase 335 336 and then UV-exposed. The *rplW* (uL23) gene is essential in *E. coli*, and cells containing these plasmid-encoded versions were only able to grow in the presence of pBpa, demonstrating that 337 these pBpa-containing ribosomes are functional, which is in agreement with previous reports 338 ^{57,66}. After cell lysis, cells were fractionated into the soluble ribosome fraction and the crude 339 membrane fraction, which were then decorated with α -YqjD antibodies. However, the low 340 specificity of these antibodies did not allow us to detect specific cross-linking bands (Suppl. 341 342 Fig. 7B). In contrast, when the same material was decorated with α-ElaB antibodies, we found weak UV-dependent cross-links at approx. 20 kDa for both position 52 and 71 of uL23 (Fig. 343 7B, indicated by *), fitting to the size of a ElaB-uL23 cross-link. Importantly, these cross-links 344 345 were only visible in cells grown to stationary phase, but not exponentially grown cells. This observation is explained by the increased production of native ElaB when cells enter stationary 346 347 phase (Fig. 3B). As ElaB is a membrane protein (Fig. 3D), the cross-linked band was detected only in the membrane fraction, but not in the soluble ribosome fraction. The cross-linking 348 product is rather weak, but this experiment was executed in the presence of native ElaB, which 349 is greatly sub-stoichiometric to ribosomes in vivo. Nevertheless, due to the non-specific 350 351 recognition of many proteins by the α -ElaB peptide antibody (Fig. 7B, indicated by ⁺) and the lack of detectable YqjD-uL23 cross-links, additional studies need to further validate that ElaB 352 and potentially also YqjD/YgaM inactivate ribosomes by penetrating into the ribosomal peptide 353 354 tunnel. This would then indicate that YqjD/YgaM/ElaB mimic the strategy of antimicrobial peptides ⁷⁴ and eukaryotic ribosome-hibernation factors, such as Dap1b ²⁹ and Mdf2 ⁷⁸. 355 356 However, considering that the YqjD dimerization appears to be important for ribosome inactivation, the N-termini of a YqjD dimer will not be able to reach deeply enough into the 357

- tunnel to reach the peptidyltransferase center of the ribosome. Still, the proximal vestibule of
- the ribosomal tunnel is likely wide enough to accommodate two N-termini 80,81 .

360

361 Discussion

362 Ribosome biogenesis and protein synthesis are the most energy consuming cellular processes and they are therefore strictly regulated in response to nutrient availability and stress 363 conditions ^{9,13,22,82,83}. This saves energy and reduces the overall production of damage-prone 364 proteins, while a basal level of protein synthesis is maintained. Complementary to these 365 strategies are ribosome-inactivating mechanisms, which provide the fast and reversible means 366 for shutting down the activity of already assembled ribosomes ^{20,21,27,30,41,84-86}. Due to the high 367 structural diversity of hibernation factors and the lack of common mechanisms by which they 368 interfere with ribosomal activity ^{19,31}, it is currently unknown how many different hibernation 369 370 factors exist in bacteria. Recently, a novel hibernation factor, called Balon, was identified in the cold-adapted bacterium Psychrobacter urativorans and shown to occupy the ribosomal A-371 site in complex with EF-Tu⁸⁸. Balon homologues were found in 23 out of 27 bacterial phyla, 372 but are absent in E. coli⁸⁸. 373

Previous studies had identified YqjD as an E. coli ribosome-interacting protein, which 374 inhibited cell growth when over-produced ⁴⁵. It was therefore suggested that YqjD and its 375 paralogs ElaB and YgaM might act as membrane-bound ribosome-hibernation factors in E. coli 376 ^{1,18,50}. This was experimentally verified in our study, which demonstrates that YqjD and ElaB 377 378 inhibit in vitro protein synthesis in a dose-dependent manner. Our study also identified 379 YqjD/ElaB/YgaM proteins in many bacterial species, suggesting that they potentially represent a widely distributed family of ribosome hibernation factors. Although sequence similarity can 380 be a poor predictor of biological function, sequence similarity combined with gene neighbor 381 382 conservation (yqjE- and yqjK-like genes) suggests that the function of these proteins could be conserved across and outside of the Pseudomonadota phylum. Since there have clearly been 383 384 multiple instances of gain/loss of paralogs and, potentially, horizontal gene transfer, functional tailoring has occurred in different lineages and species. However, whether such tailoring has 385

affected molecular and/or biological functions of any individual uncharacterized protein is as-of-yet unknown.

Based on sucrose-gradient centrifugation, YqjD was suggested to bind to the 30S ribosomal subunit ⁴⁵. However, our data indicate that YqjD/ElaB/YgaM preferentially interact with the 50S ribosomal subunits and tether them to the *E. coli* membrane. Furthermore, by *in vivo* cross-linking combined with mass spectrometry, we demonstrate that the N-terminus of YqjD interacts with the ribosomal proteins uL22, uL23, uL24 and uL29, which encircle the ribosomal peptide tunnel exit on the 50S ribosomal subunit. Thus, it is possible that YqjD can bind to both ribosomal subunits.

395 Previous studies have revealed the importance of the ribosomal peptide tunnel as binding site for chaperones and targeting factors. ^{57,66,67,89-91}. Eukaryotic dormancy factors ^{29,78} 396 or antimicrobial peptides and macrolide antibiotics ^{74,92} also target the ribosomal peptide tunnel. 397 398 Intriguingly, the YqjD paralog ElaB, inserts into the ribosomal tunnel and contacts the β -hairpin loop of uL23, which was previously identified as an intra-tunnel nascent chain sensor ^{57,66}. The 399 400 uL23 β-hairpin loop is located in the lower section of the ribosomal tunnel, which is generally wide enough to allow proteins to enter ^{91,93}. This has been demonstrated for SRP ^{66,94}, SecA ⁵⁷ 401 and the cytosolic loops of SecY ^{95,96}. On the other hand, antimicrobial peptides, such as oncocin 402 403 or bactenecin, have been shown to contact the A-site tRNA binding pocket and the A-site crevice, demonstrating that they deeply insert into the ribosomal tunnel ^{97,98}. Whether ElaB is 404 also able to contact the upper section of the tunnel is currently unknown. While ElaB can enter 405 the ribosomal tunnel, we did not observe this for YqjD. Thus, we can currently not exclude that 406 ElaB and YqjD inhibit protein synthesis by different mechanisms, as also deduced from the low 407 sequence conservation of their respective N-termini, differences in dimer stability and lower 408 ribosome inactivation potential of ElaB in comparison to YqjD. 409

The importance of YqjD's N-terminus for ribosome binding was already shown previously ⁴⁵ and we demonstrate here that the N-terminal truncated YqjD is impaired in

ribosome inactivation. Surprisingly, deleting the C-terminal TM of YqjD also significantly 412 reduced ribosome inactivation. The transmembrane domain of YqjD contains several conserved 413 glycine residues, which are often involved in dimerization or oligomerization ^{60,99}. In support 414 of this, our data show that the propensity of YqjD to form stable dimers even on SDS-PAGE is 415 strictly dependent on the TM. The lack of ribosome inactivation when the dimerization-416 promoting TM of YqjD is missing could indicate that the YqjD-ribosome interaction is 417 primarily avidity-driven. Thus, each YqjD has only a low affinity for ribosomes, but high 418 affinity ribosome binding is achieved when two or more YqjD monomers oligomerizes. 419 Avidity-driven interactions with the ribosome are not unusual and have been shown for example 420 for trigger factor dimers ¹⁰⁰. This probably also explains the importance of the conserved proline 421 residue. Proline-kinked a-helices have been suggested to form cage- or funnel-like structures 422 ¹⁰¹, and their reduced topological flexibility could help to orient multiple N-termini in close 423 proximity to the ribosome. The reduced ribosome binding of the Δ TM-YqjD variant, supports 424 425 such an avidity-driven interactions. Still, it is surprising that although Δ TM-YqjD retains some 426 residual ribosome binding activity, it completely fails to inactivate ribosomes. Thus, it is 427 possible that ribosome inactivation depends on the simultaneous binding of both N-termini of the YqjD dimer to a single ribosome, but this needs to be further explored (Fig. 8). 428

429 C-tail anchored membrane proteins such as YqjD/ElaB/YgaM are generally rather rare in E. coli⁴⁶, and the benefit of having membrane-anchored hibernation factors in addition to 430 several soluble hibernation factors is not entirely clear ^{18,20,22}. YqjD and its paralogs are 431 primarily located at the cell poles ^{44,102}, where a large majority of ribosomes is also located ¹⁰³⁻ 432 ¹⁰⁵. Thus, ribosome hibernation is promoted by co-localizing YqjD and a large majority of 433 ribosomes at the cell poles during stationary phase. The determinants for the polar localization 434 435 of YqjD still need to be further explored, but it has been suggested that specific interactions between YqjD's TM and phosphatidic acid-rich membrane clusters are involved ¹⁰². This would 436 then explain why YqjD/ElaB/YgaM require a TM. However, it is also possible that the 437

438 oligomerization combined with ribosome binding, is sufficient to restrict YqjD's diffusion in 439 the membrane and to tether it to the cell poles. The polar localization also explains why 440 moderate overexpression of yqjD is tolerated without drastic growth defects, because only those 441 ribosomes located at the cell poles would be inhibited.

In conclusion, the membrane-localization of YqjD/ElaB/YgaM, their enrichment at the cell pole and their mechanism of ribosome inactivation by interacting with the ribosomal tunnel define them as a novel and widely distributed class of bacterial ribosome-hibernation factors.

445

Acknowledgments: HGK, FD and PH gratefully acknowledge support from the Deutsche 446 Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (grants KO2184/8, KO2184/9 (SPP2002), and RTG 2202, 447 Project-ID 278002225 to HGK, and SFB1381, Project-ID 403222702 to HGK, FD and PH). 448 449 Work at the Molecular Foundry was supported by the Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. The 450 451 work conducted at the U.S. Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute 452 (https://ror.org/04xm1d337), a DOE Office of Science User Facility, is supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy operated under Contract No. DE-AC02-453 05CH11231. 454

455

Author contributions: Conceptualization: HGK. Investigation: RN, JB, KS, EM, FD, CBH;
Visualization: RN, JB, FD, CBH, HGK; Funding acquisition: HGK, CBH, FD, PH;
Supervision: HGK; Writing: RN, JB, KS, EM, CBH, FD, PH, HGK. All authors have read and
commented on the manuscript.

460

461 **Declaration of interest**: The authors declare no competing interests.

20

462 Figure Legends:

463

Figure 1. YqjD, ElaB and YgaM represent a widely distributed class of C-tail anchored 464 membrane proteins. (A) Sequence alignment of YqjD, ElaB and YgaM. The locations of 465 DUF883 regions are shaded according to the color key. The predicted C-terminal 466 transmembrane regions (TM) of each protein are indicated by the green box. (B) AlphaFold2-467 468 predicted structural models for YqjD, ElaB and YgaM. The cartoons are colored according to model confidence, *i.e.* pLDDT that corresponds to the model's prediction of its score on the 469 local Distance Difference Test. Lines at the bottom are used to indicate pairwise amino acid 470 471 similarity and identity. Dotted lines encircle the DUF883 regions (colored according to the key). Green boxes are used to indicate the location of predicted TM regions. (C) Sequence similarity 472 network representing proteins containing DUF883. Nodes are colored by phylum according to 473 474 the color key. Clusters of proteins containing at least six nodes are labeled. Dotted lines are used to delineate the clusters labelled "SSN cluster" in Fig. 2B. Node information can be found 475 in Supplemental data file S1. 476

477

478

Figure 2. YqjD, ElaB and YgaM represent three distinct subfamilies conserved in 479 Enterobacterales. (A) AlphaFold2-predicted models of YqjD, ElaB and YgaM colored 480 according to sequence conservation in the multiple sequence alignment of proteins from the 481 482 YqjD, ElaB and YgaM subfamilies. Regions of higher conservation, as well as the transmembrane (TM) region (green box), are shown as sequence logos. Colors represent the 483 conservation values according to the keys. (B) Phylogenetic reconstruction of representative 484 485 DUF883-containing proteins in beta- and gamma-proteobacteria under maximum likelihood. The "SSN cluster" column corresponds to clusters 3, 4, 6 and 7 in Fig. 1. A complete 486 phylogenetic reconstruction of all clusters is shown in Suppl. Fig. S3A. Taxonomic 487

classification for each node is given according to the color key. The protein labels for each leaf 488 489 list the organism, followed by the cluster number corresponding to Fig. 1C, followed by the paralog number and followed by the total number of identified paralogs for that organism. If 490 491 the paralog number is "0', then that organism has a single DUF883-containing protein, where as "1" indicates 1 of N paralogs. Bootstrap values greater than 0.5 are represented with a 492 493 normalized purple circle according to the key. The YqjD, ElaB and YgaM clades are shaded 494 with a light blue. For each protein in the gene neighborhood is given. Genes are colored according to shared domains; light pink genes encode proteins with no identified domain. All 495 genes are shown as transparent, except for those genes and homologs listed in the key. 496

497

Figure 3. YqjD, ElaB, and YgaM are inner membrane proteins and produced in late 498 exponential phase. (A) E. coli wild-type cells BW25113 and the corresponding $\Delta yqjD$ strain 499 were grown on LB-medium and at the indicated times, $1 \ge 10^8$ cells were precipitated by 5% 500 trichloroacetic acid (TCA) and loaded on a 15 % SDS-PAGE. The membrane after western 501 502 blotting was decorated with peptide antibodies against YqjD and OmpC as loading control. (B) 503 As in (A), but wild type and $\Delta elaB$ cells were analyzed with peptide antibodies raised against ElaB. α -YidC antibodies served as a control. (C) Long-term growth experiment of wt, $\Delta yqjD$, 504 $\Delta elaB$, and $\Delta ygaM$ cells on LB medium. Cell counting was performed by the QUANTOM TxTM 505 Microbial Cell Counter using the QUANTOM viable staining kit, which is an image-based 506 automatic cell counting system that detects individual viable bacterial cells. Indicated are viable 507 508 cells/ml over time. (D) E. coli BW25113 cells expressing His-tagged versions of YqjD, ElaB 509 or YgaM in plasmid pBAD24 were grown to exponential phase and subsequently fractionated 510 after cell breakage. Aliquots of the different fractions were then separated by SDS-PAGE and 511 decorated after western blotting with α -His antibodies. Antibodies against the inner membrane protein YidC and the outer membrane protein OmpC served as controls. S30 and P30 512 correspond to the supernatant and pellet after a 30.000 x g centrifugation step following cell 513

breakage. The S30 supernatant was then further separated via a 150.000 x g centrifugation into the S150 supernatant and the P150 pellet, the latter was further separated via sucrose gradient centrifugation into the inner membrane vesicle fraction (INV) and the outer membrane fraction (OMV). INVs of the wild type *E. coli* BW25113 served as control. In YgaM-producing cells, α -His antibodies recognized a double band, but it was not further analyzed whether this reflects partial proteolysis.

520

Figure 4. YqjD, ElaB and YgaM are ribosome-inactivating proteins. (A) The inner 521 membrane fractions (INV) of wild type cells (wt), wild type cells containing pBAD-YqjD and 522 523 $\Delta yqjD$ cells were separated on SDS-PAGE and after western blotting decorated with antibodies against the 30S ribosomal protein uS5 or the 50S ribosomal protein uL2. Antibodies against the 524 inner membrane protein YidC and sucrose-gradient purified wild type ribosomes served as a 525 526 control. (B) The INV fractions of wt cells and wt cells containing either pBAD-YqjD, pBAD-ElaB or pBAD-YgaM were processed and controlled as in (A). (C) YqjD was solubilized from 527 E. coli membranes, purified via affinity chromatography and added to a cell-free E. coli in vitro 528 529 transcription/translation system containing 30 nM ribosomes 55. In vitro synthesis of the model protein mannitol permease (MtlA) was performed in the presence of ³⁵S-labelled cysteine and 530 531 methionine. MtlA synthesis in the presence of detergent-containing buffer served as reference (0 nM YqjD). As further controls, the effect of the purified ribosome-interacting proteins YchF 532 and SecA on MtlA synthesis in the in vitro system was analyzed. For these controls, detergent-533 free buffer was used as reference. Samples were separated by SDS-PAGE and analyzed by 534 phosphorimaging. (D) Quantification of four independent experiments as shown in (C). The 535 536 amount of MtlA synthesized was quantified using a phosphorimager and the Image1/Fiji 537 software and synthesis in the absence of YqjD, YchF, or SecA, respectively, was set to 100%. The values on the X-axis refer to the final concentration (nM) of the added protein (YqjD, 538 YchF, or SecA) in the cell-free transcription/translation system. (E) As in (C) and (D), but in 539

23

vitro synthesis of the outer membrane protein OmpA and the cytosolic protein YchF were also
tested in the presence of increasing YqjD concentrations. (F) *In vitro* protein synthesis of MtlA
was performed either in the absence of YqjD (-YqjD) or in the presence of 30 nM YqjD (+
YqjD) and increasing concentrations of sucrose-gradient purified ribosomes. Quantification
after SDS-PAGE and phosphorimaging was performed as in (D) and (E) and MtlA synthesis at
40 nM ribosomes was set to 100%. The error bars indicate the SD.

546

Figure 5: Both N- and C-terminus of YqjD are required for inhibiting protein synthesis. 547 (A) In vitro MtlA synthesis was performed as described in the legend to Fig. 4 and analyzed in 548 549 the absence of YqjD (control) and in the presence of 5 nM wild-type YqjD, or YqjD variants that were N-terminally truncated or lacked the C-terminal 18 amino acids (ΔTM-YqjD). MtlA 550 synthesis in the absence of YqjD was set to 100 %. Shown are the mean values of 3 independent 551 552 experiments and the error bar reflect the SD. (B) The TM helix of YqjD was chemically synthesized and added in increasing concentrations to the MtlA synthesizing in vitro translation 553 554 system. Samples were separated by SDS-PAGE and analyzed by phosphorimaging as in (A). (C) Purified YqjD, $\Delta N(12)$ -YqjD and ΔTM -YqjD were denatured in loading dye at 56 °C, 555 separated on SDS-PAGE and probed with α -Xpress antibodies. (D) As in (C), but $\Delta N(30)$, 556 $\Delta N(45)$ and $\Delta N(60)$ were analyzed and compared to full-length YqiD (wt). (E) Purified YqiD 557 558 and its variants in which the proline residue at position 80 was replaced by either glycine or alanine were added in different concentrations to the MtlA synthesizing in vitro translation 559 system. After SDS-PAGE and phosphorimaging, the MtlA synthesis in the absence of YqjD 560 was set to 100 %. Shown are the quantifications of three independent experiments and the error 561 bars reflect the SD. The values on the X-axis refer to the final concentration (nM) of the added 562 563 protein (YqjD, YqjD($P_{80}G$), or YqjD($P_{80}A$)) in the *in vitro* transcription/translation system.

564

565 Figure 6: YqjD interacts via its N-terminus with proteins of the ribosomal peptide tunnel.

566 (A) Cells producing either wild-type YqjD or its variants containing the amber stop codons at position 10 or 39 for inserting the UV-sensitive amino acid derivative para-benzoyl-L-567 phenylalanine (pBpa) were grown in the presence or absence of pBpa. After TCA precipitation 568 of whole cells, the material was separated by SDS-PAGE. After western blotting, the samples 569 were decorated with antibodies against the N-terminal Xpress tag. Indicated are the YqjD 570 571 monomer and dimer. (B) As in (A), but E. coli cells were UV-exposed for inducing the crosslink reaction. After TCA precipitation and SDS-PAGE, the material was decorated with α -572 Xpress antibodies. (C) As in (B), but after UV exposure of whole cells, YqjD and its cross-573 574 linked partner proteins were affinity purified via its His-tag and analyzed after SDS-PAGE by immune detection with Xpress antibodies. (D) The material in (C) was probed with antibodies 575 576 against the ribosomal protein uL22 (upper panel) or uL29 (lower panel). Indicated are putative 577 cross-links between YqjD and ul22 or uL29, respectively. (E) Affinity-purified YqjD and its cross-linked partner proteins as in (C) were separated on SDS-PAGE and the gel lanes were 578 579 sliced into multiple slices, which were separately processed and analyzed by mass spectrometry. 580 Shown are the normalized intensities of uL22 and uL29 peptides in the -UV and +UV treated samples of wild-type YqjD, YqjD(I39) and YqjD(L10). 581

582

Figure 7: ElaB enters the ribosomal peptide tunnel in vivo. (A) In vitro site directed cross-583 linking was performed with ribosomes containing the cross-linker pBpa either at the surface-584 585 exposed position 52 of uL23 or at position 71 within the ribosomal peptide tunnel. Ribosomes were purified via sucrose-gradient centrifugation and incubated for 20 min at 30 °C with 586 equimolar amounts (500 nM) of purified signal recognition particle (SRP) or a 10-fold excess 587 588 of purified YqjD. After incubation, the sample was exposed to UV light and then separated by SDS-PAGE and decorated with antibodies against Ffh, the protein component of the E. coli 589 SRP (A, left panel) or antibodies against the Xpress tag of YqjD (A, right panel). Wild-type 590

ribosomes (no pBpa) and samples without ribosomes served as controls. Indicated are the cross-591 592 links to SRP from the surface exposed uL23 residue E52 and the intra-tunnel residue G71. (B) In vivo site-directed cross-linking was performed with E. coli cells producing pBpa-containing 593 594 ribosomes. The E. coli $\Delta rplW$ (uL23) deletion strain expressing uL23(E52pBpa) or uL23(E71pBpa) was grown to exponential or stationary phase and UV-exposed. After UV 595 exposure of whole cells, cells were fractionated into the soluble ribosome fraction and the crude 596 597 membrane fraction. These fractions were then separated by SDS-PAGE and probed with peptide antibodies against the native ElaB. The potential cross-links between ElaB and 598 uL23(G71pBpa) and uL23(E52pBpa) are labelled by (*). Note, that the antibody non-599 600 specifically recognizes multiple bands, which are indicated by (+).

601

Figure 8: Hypothetical model for ribosome inactivation by YqjD. The C-tail anchored 602 603 membrane protein YqjD shows a strong propensity for dimerization and interacts via its Nterminus with the ribosomal proteins uL22, uL23, and uL29. In addition, YqjD contacts uL24 604 605 (not shown). These proteins encircle the ribosomal peptide tunnel exit and form an important 606 platform for ribosome-interacting proteins. As a consequence of YqjD binding to ribosomes, ribosomes are inactivated. N-terminally truncated YqjD variants fail to bind to ribosomes and 607 are unable to prevent translation. Deletion of the C-terminal transmembrane domains prevents 608 YqiD dimerization and ribosome inactivation, although this Δ TM-YqiD variant still shows 609 ribosome binding, Thus, it is possible that for full ribosome inactivation, both N-termini of the 610 YqjD dimer need to simultaneously bind to a single ribosome, but this needs to be further 611 612 validated. Note, that the YqjD paralog, ElaB, can even protrude into the ribosomal tunnel.

613 STAR Methods

614 **RESOURCE AVAILABILITY**

615

616 *Lead contact*

- 617 Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to the lead
- 618 contact, Hans-Georg Koch (<u>Hans-Georg.Koch@biochemie.uni-freiburg.de</u>)

619 Materials availability

- 620 All plasmids are available upon request, subject to a material transfer agreement (MTA), from
- 621 Hans-Georg Koch (<u>Hans-Georg.Koch@biochemie.uni-freiburg.de</u>)

622 Data and Code availability

- All data reported in this paper will be shared by the lead contact upon request.
- The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange
- 625 Consortium via the PRIDE ¹⁰⁶ partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD052307
- and 10.6019/PXD05230. Any additional information required to reanalyze the data

627 reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

628

629 Experimental model and subject details

All bacterial strains used in this study are derived from wild type *E. coli* K-12 strain ¹⁰⁷⁻ *i*¹¹⁰. *E. coli* strains BW25113 or MC4100 served as wild-type strains and were routinely grown on LB medium ¹¹¹ unless stated otherwise. The $\Delta yqjD$ (JW3069), $\Delta elaB$ (JW2261) and $\Delta ygaM$ (JW2647) strains were obtained from the Keio collection and were purchased via Horizon Discovery Ltdⁱⁱ (Cambridge, UK9). Plas hilds were propagated in *E. coli* strains BW25113, BL21, BL21(DE3) or C43(DE3) (Novagen/Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) for purification. HiFi DNA

637 assembly Master Mix

638

639 . The sequence of all plasmids was confirmed by sequencing. All primers used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S2. 640

641 ratio. For mutagenesis, the NEB Site directed mutagenesis kit using the manufacture's protocol

642^{was} **METHOD DETAILS**

Construction of YqjD, ElaB, and YgaM encoding plasmids 643

Plasmids encoding yqjD, elaB or ygaM with an N-terminal His-tag in a pBAD24 backbone 644 (pBADHisA) were obtained from BioCat GmbH (Heidelberg, Germany). The YqjD variants 645 were generated by using the Q5 site-directed mutagenesis kit (NEB, Frankfurt, Germany) and 646 647 the following primer pairs. 1F/1R for the $\Delta N(12)$ -YqjD (deletion of the first 12 residues at the 648 N-terminus region of YqjD), 2F/2R for the ΔTM -YqjD (deletion of the 18 residues 649 (corresponding to the TM) at the C-terminus region of YqjD), 3F/3R for generation of $YqjD(P_{80}A)$ (the highly conserved Proline residue at position 80 in YqjD substituted to Alanine) 650 651 and 4F/4R for generating YqjD(P₈₀G) (the highly conserved Proline residue at position 80 in YqjD substituted to Glycine). The construction of the YqjD amber-stop codon variants for site-652 directed cross-linking used the primer pair 5F/5R for YqjD(L10pBpa) and 6F/6R for 653 YqjD(I39pBpa). Additional YqjD truncations were generated and included the $\Delta N(30)$ -YqjD 654 (deletion of the first 30-residues at the N-terminus region of YqjD) using primer pair 7F/7R, 655 656 primers 8F/8R for $\Delta N(45)$ -YqjD (deletion of the first 45-residues at the N-terminus region of YqjD), 9F/9R for $\Delta N(60)$ -YqjD (deletion of the first 60-residues at the N-terminus region of 657 YqjD). Deletion of the 6xHis and the Xpress-epitope tags attached to the N-terminus region of 658 659 YqjD were deleted using primer pair 10F/10R. The nucleotide sequences of all primers is listed in Supp. Table S2. YqjD variants in which the nucleotide sequence encoding the YqjD-TM 660 motif was substituted with the nucleotide sequence of the TM-domains of YfgM, FtsQ, or Fis1 661 were obtained from BioCat GmbH (Heidelberg, Germany). BioCat GmbH (Heidelberg, 662 Germany) also synthesized the YqjD-TMD peptide. 663

664

665 Viable cell staining and counting

The assay was performed using the QUANTOM TxTM Microbial Cell Counter and the 666 QUANTOMTM Viable Cell Staining Kit obtained from BioCat GmbH (Heidelberg, Germany) 667 The kit stains live bacterial cells to be counted. The optical density of the cell-culture was 668 determined using a spectrophotometer at each time point and an aliquot corresponding to 669 approx. 1 x 10^8 cells were collected. The cells were washed, the culture media was completely 670 removed and the cells were resuspended in the QUANTOMTM Viable Cell Dilution Buffer. 671 10.0 µL of the cell culture was taken into a clean 1.5mL Eppendorf-tube and 2.0µL of the 672 QUANTOMTM Viable Cell Staining Dye was added and mixed gently and carefully. The cells 673 were then incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes in the dark. Thereafter 8.0µL QUANTOMTM Cell 674 Loading Buffer I was added and mixed gently without creating bubbles. 5.0µL of this mixture 675 was loaded onto a QUANTOMTM M50 Cell Counting Slide and centrifuged at 300 x rcf for 10 676 minutes in a QUANTOMTM Centrifuge at room-temperature. The slide was then inserted into 677 the QUANTOM TxTM cell counter and cells were counted with the light intensity level set to 678 either 7 or 9. The obtained viable cell numbers were then blotted against time. 679

680

681 Purification of YqjD, ElaB, YchF, SecA and SRP

The *E. coli* BW25113 strain expressing either ElaB, YqjD or its variants from the pBADHisA vector or *E. coli* BL21(DE3) expressing pET19b-SecA-His ⁵⁶ or *E. coli* Δ *ychF* (JW1194, Km^S)¹¹² expressing pBAD24-YchF-His were all grown on LB-medium supplemented with 100 µgmL⁻¹ ampicillin to an optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) of 0.5 and then induced with the corresponding inducers. For ElaB, YqjD and its mutants, as well as for YchF production, cells were induced with 0.02% arabinose for 1-2 hours, while for SecA production the cells were induced with 0.5 mM IPTG for 3-4 hours. Cell growth was stopped on ice for 10-15 minutes and cells were harvested at 7,460 x g for 15 minutes using a JLA9.100 rotor (Beckman Coulter).

The cell pellet was resuspended in HKM buffer (25 mM HEPES, 200 mM KCl, 10 mM 691 MgCl₂ x 6 H₂O and 10% glycerol, pH 7.5). Just before the cell lysis, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol 692 (β-ME), 0.5 mM PMSF (Carl Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany) and cOmpleteTM EDTA-free Protease 693 Inhibitor Cocktail (1 tablet/ 50.0 mL cell culture, Sigma Aldrich, Germany) were added and the 694 cell mixture homogenized using an IKA homogenizer (T 10 basic Ultra TURRAX[®]). Cells were 695 then lysed by passing them 2-3 cycles through either Emulsiflex C3 (Avestin) or Maximator 696 type HPL6 (Maximator GmbH) at 800-1000 bar (11,603 - 14,503 psi). The broken cells were 697 698 cleared using a Sorvall RC6 (Thermo Scientific) at 30,000 x g for 30 minutes set at 4°C in an SS34 rotor and the cell debris discarded. The S30 (cleared cell lysate or supernatant) was further 699 700 centrifuged at 183,700 x g for 2¹/₂ hours in a Ti50.2 rotor using Sorvall WX-90 Ultra Series 701 (Thermo Scientific) set at 4°C. For membrane proteins, the supernatant (S150) was discarded, and the crude membrane pellet was homogenized using a Dounce homogenizer in solubilization 702 703 buffer (HKM buffer plus 1.0% n-dodecyl-β-D-maltoside (DDM, Carl Roth), 5 mM β-ME, 0.5 704 mM PMSF and cOmplete[™] EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail). Solubilization was performed for 1 h at 4 °C. The solubilized membranes were centrifuged using a Sorvall RC6 705 centrifuge (Thermo Scientific) at 30,000 x g for 15 minutes at 4°C in an SS34 rotor. The 706 707 solubilized materials were added directly to the equilibrated TALON[®] resin (TaKaRa; Clonetech) and incubated for 2.0 hours on a rotating shaker. For cytosolic proteins, the S150 708 (supernatant after the ultracentrifugation at 183,700 x g for 2¹/₂ hours in a Ti50.2 rotor at 4°C) 709 was directly added to the equilibrated TALON® resin and incubated for 2.0 hours on a rotating 710 shaker. The resin was washed 3-times, for 15 minutes each with washing buffer (HKM buffer 711 with 0.03% DDM and 5 mM imidazole pH 8.0). The washed TALON[®] material was centrifuged 712 using an Eppendorf 5804R centrifuge at 2,937 x g for 5 min at 4°C. The TALON[®] resin were 713 then transferred into 15 mL polypropylene columns and proteins were eluted with elution buffer 714

(HKM buffer with 0.03% DDM) containing initially 20 mM imidazole pH 8.0 and then 200
mM imidazole. The eluted proteins were buffer-exchanged using PD-10 desalting columns
Disposable (Sigma Aldrich; Merck) against storage buffer (50 mM HEPES, 50 mM potassium
acetate, 10 mM magnesium-acetate and 1.0 mM DTT pH 7.5). The buffer was supplemented
with 0.03% DDM if membrane proteins were to be stored. The concentrations were determined
by either BCA assays or A₂₈₀, while the purity was established on an SDS-PAGE. The proteins
were aliquoted, frozen in liquid nitrogen and kept at -80 °C for further usage.

For the purification of SRP, its protein component Ffh was expressed from pTrc99a-722 His-Ffh in *E. coli* BL21¹¹³. Cells were induced at an OD₆₀₀ of 0.5 with 1 mM IPTG for 3 h, 723 724 harvested, washed and lysed with the Emulsiflex Homogenizer (Avestin Europe, Mannheim, Germany). The lysate was cleared at 30,000 x g for 20 min at 4 °C in an SS-34 rotor and loaded 725 on buffer-equilibrated (25 mM HEPES-KOH, 1 M NH-acetate, 10 mM Mg-acetate, 1 mM β-726 727 mercaptoethanol, 15% glycerol, 5 mM imidazole, pH 7.6) Talon beads for 1 h. After several washing steps with the equilibration buffer, Ffh was eluted with the same buffer containing 200 728 729 mM imidazole, re-buffered into HT buffer (50 mM HEPES/KOH pH 7.5, 100 mM potassium 730 acetate pH 7.5, 10 mM magnesium acetate pH 7.5, 1 mM DTT, 50% glycerol) and stored at -20 °C. A reconstitution of Ffh with 4.5S RNA is usually not required, as Ffh has sufficient 4.5S 731 RNA bound ^{113,114}. 732

733

734 **Ribosome and membrane purification**

High-salt washed wild-type 70S ribosomes were purified from strains MC4100 or BW25113. Ribosomes bearing pBpa at uL23 were purified from MC4100 $\Delta rplW$::kan containing pCDF-L23(E52pBpa) or L23(G71pBpa) ⁶⁶ and pSup-BpaRS-6TRN ⁶³ for pBpa incorporation. The cells were propagated in S150-medium consisting of 1% (g/w) yeast extract, 1% (g/w) tryptonepeptone, 41 mM KH₂PO₄, 166 mM K₂HPO₄ and 1% (g/w) glucose. Except for wt ribosomes, the medium was supplemented with 50 µg/mL streptomycin (Sigma Aldrich) and 0.5 mM

IPTG. For pBpa incorporation, 35 µg/mL chloramphenicol (Sigma Aldrich) and 0.5 mM pBpa 741 742 (Bachem, Bubendorf, Switzerland) were added to the medium. When the cell density reached OD_{600} of 1.6-1.8, the growth was stopped on ice for 10-15 min, and the cells were harvested, 743 washed and homogenized with the Emulsiflex C3. The lysate was cleared at 30,000 x g for 30 744 min and the crude ribosomes were collected at 184,000 x g in a Ti50.2 rotor for 2.5 h. 745 Ribosomes were dissolved in high-salt buffer (50 mM Triethanolamine acetate; 1M potassium 746 747 acetate; 15 mM magnesium acetate; 1 mM DTT; pH 7.5) and purified through a 1.44 M sucrose cushion at 344,000 x g for 1 h. 70S ribosomes were isolated via centrifugation through a 0.29-748 1.17 M sucrose gradient at 29,000 rpm for 17 h in a TH-641 swing-out rotor (Thermo Fisher 749 750 Scientific). The ribosomal fractions were withdrawn from the gradient, concentrated at 344,000 x g for 1h in a TLA120.2 rotor and resuspended in CTF-buffer at pH 7.5 with 1 mM DTT. The 751 isolation of ribosomes from stationary phase E. coli cells followed the same protocol, but E. 752 753 coli cells were grown for 24 h up to an optical density of about 6.0.

For membrane isolation, cells were grown to approx. OD_{600} = 1.5-1.8 on LB medium, 754 755 harvested and resuspended in INV buffer (50 mM triethanolamine acetate, pH 7.5, 250 mM 756 sucrose, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT) supplemented with 0.5 mM PMSF and cOmpleteTM EDTAfree Protease Inhibitor Cocktail. Next, the samples were lysed as described above and the cell 757 debris was removed by centrifugation at 30,000 x g for 30 minutes in an SS34 rotor. The 758 759 supernatant (S30) was further centrifuged at 184,000 x g for 2,5 hours at 4 °C in a Ti50.2 rotor and the pellet containing the crude bacterial membranes was dissolved in INV buffer, loaded 760 761 onto a 10-30% sucrose gradient and the inner membrane fraction (inverted inner membrane vesicles, INV) and the outer membrane fraction were separated as described ¹¹⁵. 762

763

764 **Ribosome binding assays**

For ribosome-YqjD binding assays, 10 nM of the purified wild-type YqjD was incubated with varying concentrations of sucrose-gradient purified *E. coli* ribosomes in 50 mM

32

HEPES, 50 mM potassium acetate, 10 mM magnesium-acetate, pH 7.5, 1.0 mM DTT, 0.03% 767 768 DDM and 1.0 mM spermidine. Ribosomes were isolated as described above from cells grown to either exponential (2.5 h) or stationary phase (24 h) and prepared in high salt buffer. After 769 mixing the components, they were incubated at 30 °C for 30 minutes. The reaction mix was 770 overlaid onto a 30% sucrose cushion (in the above buffer) in TLA 120.2 rotor tubes and 771 772 centrifuged at 344,000 x g for 2.0 hours. The supernatant was carefully collected into a separate 773 tube, while the pellet was also resuspended and collected in a second tube. The proteins were 774 precipitated by adding 10% TCA, denatured and separated by SDS-PAGE, followed by western blotting. 775

776

777 *In vitro* protein synthesis

For *in vitro* protein synthesis, a purified transcription/translation system composed of 778 cytosolic translation factors (CTF) and high salt washed ribosomes ⁵⁵ was used. The ³⁵S-779 Methionine/³⁵S-Cysteine labeling mix was obtained from Hartmann Analytics (Braunschweig, 780 Germany). After 30 min at 37 °C, the in vitro reaction was directly precipitated with 10% 781 trichloroacetic acid (TCA). Next, the samples were denatured at 56 °C for 10 minutes in 25 µl 782 of TCA loading dye (prepared by mixing one part of Solution III (1M dithiothreitol) with 4 783 parts of Solution II (8.3% SDS (w/v), 0.083 M Tris-Base, 30% glycerol and 0.03% 784 Bromophenol blue) and 5 parts of Solution I (0.2 M Tris, 0.02 M EDTA pH 8)) and analyzed 785 on SDS-PAGE and by phosphor imaging. 786

787

788 Immune detection and antibodies

For immune detection after SDS-PAGE, samples were electro blotted onto
Nitrocellulose 0.45 μm membranes (GE Healthcare) with a current of 750 mA for 2.5 hours in
a tank buffer system (transfer-buffer: 20 mM Tris, 150 mM Glycine, 20% Ethanol (v/v), 0.02%
SDS (w/v)). Membranes were blocked with 5% milk powder in T-TBS buffer for at least 1 h.

Polyclonal antibodies against YidC and Ffh were raised in rabbits against the complete and 793 SDS-denatured protein ^{55,116}. Monoclonal antibodies against the His6-tag were purchased from 794 Thermo Scientific and from Roche. Antibodies against the Xpress epitope tag were purchased 795 796 from Invitrogen Life technologies. Peroxidase-coupled goat anti-rabbit and goat-anti mouse antibodies from SeraCare were purchased via medac GmbH (Wedel, Germany) and were used 797 as secondary antibodies with ECL (GE Healthcare) ^{55,117}. Antibodies against *E. coli* ribosomal 798 proteins were raised in sheep and were a gift from Richard Brimacombe (Max-Planck-Institut 799 für Molekulare Genetik, Berlin). 800

Peptide antibodies against YqjD (MSKEHTTEHLRAEL), ElaB (VLRSSGDPADQKYV) and YgaM (GSDAKGEAEAARSK) were raised in rabbits by GeneScript (Leiden,
Netherlands).

804

805 In vivo and in vitro cross-linking

p-benzoyl-l-phenylalanine (pBpa) for cross-linking was obtained from Bachem 806 807 (Bubendorf, Switzerland). For site-directed in vivo cross-linking C43(DE3) cells containing the amber-stop codon variants of YqjD on a plasmid and pEVol were cultured overnight in LB 808 medium at 37 °C. 10 ml of the overnight culture were used for inoculation of 1000 ml LB 809 810 medium supplemented with 1 ml pBpa (final concentration 0.5 mM, dissolved in 1 M NaOH), 100 μ g/ μ l of ampicillin and 25 μ g/ μ l of chloramphenicol. The cultures were further incubated 811 at 37 °C until they reached the early exponential growth phase ($OD_{600} = 0.5 - 0.8$) and induced 812 with 0.02% arabinose. After induction, the cultures were grown for 1-2 hours at 37 °C, cooled 813 down on ice for 10-15 minutes and harvested by centrifugation at 7,460 x g in a JLA 9.1000 814 815 rotor for 15 minutes. The cell pellets were resuspended in PBS buffer (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na₂HPO₄ and 1.8 mM KH₂PO₄), harvested again as above, resuspended in 10 ml 816 PBS buffer, and divided into two multi-well plates. One plate was exposed to UV light (365nm) 817

on ice for 30 minutes (UV chamber: BLX-365, from Vilber Lourmat) while the other plate was
kept in the dark. After UV irradiation, the cell suspension was transferred to SS34 tubes and
cells were collected by centrifugation at 11,950 x g for 15 minutes. Each cell pellet was
resuspended in 10 ml of lysis buffer (25 mM HEPES, 200 mM KCl and 10 mM MgCl₂ x 6H₂O)
and 10% glycerol, pH 7.5), including protease inhibitors (0.5 mM PMSF and cOmpleteTM
EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail) and YqjD was purified as described above.

824 For *in vivo* crosslinking with the uL23-pBpa variants, the strain MC4100*ArplW::kan* containing pCDF-L23(E52pBpa) or L23(G71pBpa)^{57,66} and pSup-BpaRS-6TRN⁶³ was used 825 and the LB medium additionally supplemented with 50 µg/mL streptomycin and 0.5 mM IPTG. 826 827 Cells were either grown to $OD_{600} = 1$ (exponential phase) or $OD_{600} = 4.5$ (stationary phase), cooled, harvested, UV exposed and then lysed in CTF buffer (50 mM triethanolamine acetate 828 pH 7.5, 50 mM potassium acetate pH 7.5, 5 mM magnesium acetate pH 7.5, 1 mM DTT) as 829 830 described above. Subsequently, bacterial membranes were prepared, and crude ribosomes purified as before. 500 µg of total protein for each sample was TCA-precipitated, separated by 831 832 SDS-PAGE and analyzed by Western blot.

For *in vitro* crosslinking, purified *E. coli* 70S ribosomes (500 nM) were combined with purified SRP (500 nM) or purified YqjD (5 μ M), mixed 20 min at 30 °C in CTF buffer in a total volume of 50 μ l and crosslinked by UV exposure using a Biolink 365 nM-crosslinking chamber (Vilber-Lourmat) for 30 min on ice. Samples were then TCA-precipitated, separated on PAGE, and analyzed by western blot.

838

839 **Bioinformatic analyses**

DUF883-containing proteins were identified by searching the UniProt database ¹¹⁸ for
the InterPro domain IPR010279 ¹¹⁹. The sequence similarity network was built using the EFIEST webtool ¹²⁰ using IPR010279 with an alignment score of 20. Nodes were collapsed at 95%
identity. The network was visualized with Cytoscape v3.10.1 using the Prefuse Force Directed

OpenCL Layout. Nodes were colored based on the phylum of the representative sequence. 844 845 Phylogenetic trees were built using MAFFT for multiple sequence alignments on the CIPRES Science Gateway ^{23,121} with default parameters, and either the IQ-Tree web server ^{122,123} with 846 LG+F+G4 (the best-fit model according to the Bayesian information criterion, BIC) ¹²⁴ and 847 ultrafast bootstrap (1000 replicates)¹²⁵ for the tree in Suppl. Figure S3 (and extracted subtrees 848 in Fig. 2B), or with FastTreeMP on XSEDE ¹²⁶ for the tree in Suppl. Fig. 2A. The resulting 849 trees were visualized and annotated in iTol ¹²⁷. Sequences for the tree in Suppl. Fig. S3A were 850 selected based on the clusters identified in the SSN, such that each numbered cluster contained 851 at least two representatives, and for any given organism, all paralogs were included. In this way, 852 some clusters are represented by more than 2 sequences. For the tree in Suppl. Fig. S2A, YqjD, 853 YgaM and ElaB were used to search UniRef90 clusters in the UniProt database with blastp; the 854 top 250 UniRef90 clusters were collected and duplicates removed. The representative 855 856 sequences for the resulting UniRef90 clusters were used to build the tree.

TM regions were predicted using DeepTMHMM ¹²⁸. AlphaFold2-predicted structures were downloaded from the Alpha Fold Protein Structure Database ¹²⁹. ChimeraX ¹³⁰ was used to visualize structural models and perform conservation analysis using AL2CO ¹³¹.

860

861 Mass spectrometry

For identification of UV-crosslinked proteins, gel lanes from SDS-PAGE of UVilluminated or control samples analysis were cut in 26 slices which were individually subjected to in-gel protein digestion using trypsin as previously described ¹¹. Peptide mixtures were desalted using self-packed C18 Stop And Go Extraction tips¹³² using two layers of 1.0×1.0 mm C18 Empore Disks (3M, St. Paul, USA) and directly analysed by liquid chromatographytandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). For chromatographic separation an Ultimate 3000 RSLCnano system was coupled online to an Orbitrap Elite mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher

Scientific, Bremen, Germany). Peptides were washed and preconcentrated on nanoEaseTM M/Z 869 Symmetry C18 pre-columns (20 mm x 180 µm inner diameter; Waters) at a flow rate of 10 870 µl/min and separated using nanoEase[™] M/Z HSS C18 T3 columns (25 cm x 75 µm inner 871 diameter; pore size, 100 Å; particle size, 1.8 µm; Waters) at a flow rate of 0.3 µl/min and 40°C. 872 Peptide elution was controlled with a binary solvent system consisting of 0.1% (v/v) FA 873 (solvent A) and 0.1% (v/v) FA/50% (v/v) MeOH/30% (v/v) ACN (solvent B) using a gradient 874 of 7 - 65% solvent B in 30 min, 65 - 80% in 5 min, and 3 min at 80%, interfaced online with 875 876 the Nanospray Flex ion source with PST-HV-NFU liquid junction (MS Wil, The Netherlands) and fused silica emitters (EM-20-360; MicrOmics Technologies LLC). MS instruments were 877 operated in data-dependent mode with parameters as follows: mass range of m/z 370 - 1,700 for 878 MS1 scans with a resolution of 120,000 (at m/z 400), a target value (AGC) of 1 x 10⁶ ions, and 879 a maximum injection time (IT) of 200 ms. For MS2 scans, up to 15 most intense precursor 880 881 peptides with a charge ≥ 2 were selected for collision induced dissociation (CID) in the linear trap with a normalized collision energy of 35%, activation time 10 sec, q-value=0.25, a 882 resolution of 35,000, AGC of 5 x 10⁴ ions, a max. IT of 150 ms, and dynamic exclusion for 45 883 s. Mass spectrometric raw data were processed using MaxQuant (version 2.0.2.0; ¹³³) searching 884 against the E.coli-specific database from UniProt (release 2022_01). Database searches were 885 performed with tryptic specificity and a maximum number of two missed cleavages. Mass 886 tolerances were set to 4.5 ppm for precursor ions and 0.5 Da for fragment ion matchings. 887 Carbamidomethylation of cysteine residues was considered as fixed modification, oxidation of 888 methionine and N-terminal protein acetylation were set as variable modifications. The options 889 890 'match between runs' and 'iBAQ' were enabled. Proteins were identified with at least one unique peptide and a false discovery rate of 0.01 on both peptide and protein level. 891

892

893 **Data quantification and statistical analyses**

Western blot and autoradiography samples were analyzed by using the *ImageQuant* (GE Healthcare) or *ImageJ/ Fiji* plug-in software (NIH, Bethesda, USA). All experiments were performed at least three-times as independent biological replicates and representative gels/blots/images are shown. When data were quantified, at least three independent biological replicates with several technical replicates were performed. Mean values and SEM values were determined by using either Excel (Microsoft Corp.) or GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Prism Corp. San Diego).

901

902 Supplemental information

- Table S1: Excel file containing additional data too large to fit in a PDF, related to the YqjD
- sequence similarity network nodes in Figs 1, 2, S1, S2.
- Document S1: Table S2 and Figures S1-S7, related to Figs. 1-8.

906

907 References.

908	1.	Starosta, A.L., Lassak, J., Jung, K., and Wilson, D.N. (2014). The bacterial translation
909		stress response. FEMS Microbiol Rev 38, 1172-1201. 10.1111/1574-6976.12083.
910	2.	Gottesman, S. (2019). Trouble is coming: Signaling pathways that regulate general
911		stress responses in bacteria. J Biol Chem 294, 11685-11700.
912		10.1074/jbc.REV119.005593.
913	3.	Manganelli, R., and Gennaro, M.L. (2017). Protecting from Envelope Stress:
914		Variations on the Phage-Shock-Protein Theme. Trends Microbiol 25, 205-216.
915		10.1016/j.tim.2016.10.001.
916	4.	Rosner, J.L., and Storz, G. (1997). Regulation of bacterial responses to oxidative
917		stress. Curr Top Cell Regul 35, 163-177. 10.1016/s0070-2137(97)80007-6.
918	5.	Imlay, J.A. (2008). Cellular defenses against superoxide and hydrogen peroxide. Annu
919		Rev Biochem 77, 755-776. 10.1146/annurev.biochem.77.061606.161055.
920	6.	Becker, G., Klauck, E., and Hengge-Aronis, R. (1999). Regulation of RpoS
921		proteolysis in Escherichia coli: the response regulator RssB is a recognition factor that
922		interacts with the turnover element in RpoS. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 96, 6439-6444.
923		10.1073/pnas.96.11.6439.
924	7.	Nocker, A., Hausherr, T., Balsiger, S., Krstulovic, N.P., Hennecke, H., and
925		Narberhaus, F. (2001). A mRNA-based thermosensor controls expression of rhizobial
926		heat shock genes. Nucleic Acids Res 29, 4800-4807. 10.1093/nar/29.23.4800.
927	8.	Preissler, S., Deuerling, E., Deuerling, E., and Bukau, B. (2012). Ribosome-associated
928		chaperones as key players in proteostasis. Trends Biochem Sci 37, 274-283.
929		10.1016/j.tibs.2012.03.002.

- 930 9. Zegarra, V., Bedrunka, P., Bange, G., and Czech, L. (2023). How to save a bacterial
- ribosome in times of stress. Semin Cell Dev Biol *136*, 3-12.

932 10.1016/j.semcdb.2022.03.015.

- 933 10. Mogk, A., Huber, D., and Bukau, B. (2011). Integrating protein homeostasis strategies
 934 in prokaryotes. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol *3*, a004366.
- 935 10.1101/cshperspect.a004366.
- 11. Landwehr, V., Milanov, M., Angebauer, L., Hong, J., Jüngert, G., Hiersemenzel, A.,
- 937 Siebler, A., Schmit, F., Öztürk, Y., Dannenmaier, S., et al. (2021). The Universally
- 938 Conserved ATPase YchF Regulates Translation of Leaderless mRNA in Response to
- 939 Stress Conditions. Front Mol Biosci *8*, 643696. 10.3389/fmolb.2021.643696.
- 940 12. Bange, G., Brodersen, D.E., Liuzzi, A., and Steinchen, W. (2021). Two P or Not Two
- 941 P: Understanding Regulation by the Bacterial Second Messengers (p)ppGpp. Annu
 942 Rev Microbiol 75, 383-406. 10.1146/annurev-micro-042621-122343.
- 13. Czech, L., Mais, C.N., Kratzat, H., Sarmah, P., Giammarinaro, P., Freibert, S.A.,
- 944 Esser, H.F., Musial, J., Berninghausen, O., Steinchen, W., et al. (2022). Inhibition of
- 945 SRP-dependent protein secretion by the bacterial alarmone (p)ppGpp. Nat Commun
- 946 *13*, 1069. 10.1038/s41467-022-28675-0.
- 947 14. Bowlin, M.Q., and Gray, M.J. (2021). Inorganic polyphosphate in host and microbe
 948 biology. Trends Microbiol 29, 1013-1023. 10.1016/j.tim.2021.02.002.
- Gray, M.J., and Jakob, U. (2015). Oxidative stress protection by polyphosphate--new
 roles for an old player. Curr Opin Microbiol 24, 1-6. 10.1016/j.mib.2014.12.004.
- 951 16. Ito, K., Chiba, S., and Pogliano, K. (2010). Divergent stalling sequences sense and
- 952 control cellular physiology. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 393, 1-5.
- 953 10.1016/j.bbrc.2010.01.073.

954	17.	Öztürk, Y., Andrei, A., Blaby-Haas, C.E., Daum, N., Daldal, F., and Koch, H.G.
955		(2023). Metabolic Sensing of Extracytoplasmic Copper Availability via Translational
956		Control by a Nascent Exported Protein. mBio 14, e0304022.
957	18.	Maki, Y., and Yoshida, H. (2021). Ribosomal Hibernation-Associated Factors in
958		Escherichia coli. Microorganisms 10. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms10010033.
959	19.	Matzov, D., Bashan, A., Yap, M.F., and Yonath, A. (2019). Stress response as
960		implemented by hibernating ribosomes: a structural overview. FEBS J 286, 3558-
961		3565. 10.1111/febs.14968.
962	20.	Prossliner, T., Skovbo Winther, K., Sorensen, M.A., and Gerdes, K. (2018). Ribosome
963		Hibernation. Annu Rev Genet 52, 321-348. 10.1146/annurev-genet-120215-035130.
964	21.	Trösch, R., and Willmund, F. (2019). The conserved theme of ribosome hibernation:
965		from bacteria to chloroplasts of plants. Biol Chem 400, 879-893. 10.1515/hsz-2018-
966		0436.
967	22.	Njenga, R., Boele, J., Öztürk, Y., and Koch, H.G. (2023). Coping with stress: how
968		bacteria fine-tune protein synthesis and protein transport. J Biol Chem 299, 105163.
969		10.1016/j.jbc.2023.105163.
970	23.	Miller, M., Pfeiffer, W., and Schwartz, T. (2010). Creating the CIPRES Science
971		Gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees. Gateway Computing Environments
972		Workshop (GCE), 1-8.
973	24.	Tumer, N.E., and Li, X.P. (2012). Interaction of ricin and Shiga toxins with
974		ribosomes. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 357, 1-18. 0.1007/82_2011_174.
975	25.	Wilson, D.N., and Nierhaus, K.H. (2007). The weird and wonderful world of bacterial
976		ribosome regulation. Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol 42, 187-219. 10.1002/wrna.1212.
977	26.	McKay, S.L., and Portnoy, D.A. (2015). Ribosome hibernation facilitates tolerance of
978		stationary-phase bacteria to aminoglycosides. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 59,
979		6992-6999. 10.1128/AAC.01532-15.
		40

- 980 27. Wang, T., Liang, C., Zheng, M., Liu, L., An, Y., Xu, H., Xiao, S., and Nie, L. (2020).
- 981 Ribosome Hibernation as a Stress Response of Bacteria. Protein Pept Lett 27, 1082-
- 982 1091. 10.2174/0929866527666200610142118.
- 983 28. Bürk, J., Weiche, B., Wenk, M., Boy, D., Nestel, S., Heimrich, B., and Koch, H.G.
- 984 (2009). Depletion of the signal recognition particle receptor inactivates ribosomes in
- 985 Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 191, 7017-7026. 10.1128/JB.00208-09.
- 986 29. Leesch, F., Lorenzo-Orts, L., Pribitzer, C., Grishkovskaya, I., Roehsner, J.,
- 987 Chugunova, A., Matzinger, M., Roitinger, E., Belacic, K., Kandolf, S., et al. (2023). A
- 988 molecular network of conserved factors keeps ribosomes dormant in the egg. Nature
- 989 *613*, 712-720. 10.1038/s41586-022-05623-y.
- 990 30. Yoshida, H., Yamamoto, H., Uchiumi, T., and Wada, A. (2004). RMF inactivates
- ribosomes by covering the peptidyl transferase centre and entrance of peptide exit

992 tunnel. Genes Cells 9, 271-278. 10.1111/j.1356-9597.2004.00723.x.

- 993 31. Polikanov, Y.S., Blaha, G.M., and Steitz, T.A. (2012). How hibernation factors RMF,
- HPF, and YfiA turn off protein synthesis. Science *336*, 915-918.
- 995 10.1126/science.1218538.
- 996 32. Beckert, B., Turk, M., Czech, A., Berninghausen, O., Beckmann, R., Ignatova, Z.,
- 997 Plitzko, J.M., and Wilson, D.N. (2018). Structure of a hibernating 100S ribosome
- reveals an inactive conformation of the ribosomal protein S1. Nat Microbiol 3, 1115-
- 999 1121. 10.1038/s41564-018-0237-0.
- 1000 33. Wada, A., Yamazaki, Y., Fujita, N., and Ishihama, A. (1990). Structure and probable
- 1001 genetic location of a "ribosome modulation factor" associated with 100S ribosomes in
- stationary-phase Escherichia coli cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 87, 2657-2661.
- 1003 10.1073/pnas.87.7.2657.
- 1004 34. Yamagishi, M., Matsushima, H., Wada, A., Sakagami, M., Fujita, N., and Ishihama,
- 1005 A. (1993). Regulation of the Escherichia coli rmf gene encoding the ribosome

- 1006 modulation factor: growth phase- and growth rate-dependent control. EMBO J *12*,
- 1007 625-630. 10.1002/j.1460-2075.1993.tb05695.x
- 1008 35. Yoshida, H., Maki, Y., Kato, H., Fujisawa, H., Izutsu, K., Wada, C., and Wada, A.
- 1009 (2002). The ribosome modulation factor (RMF) binding site on the 100S ribosome of
- 1010 Escherichia coli. J Biochem *132*, 983-989. 10.1093/oxfordjournals.jbchem.a003313.
- 1011 36. Agafonov, D.E., and Spirin, A.S. (2004). The ribosome-associated inhibitor A reduces
- 1012 translation errors. Biochem Biophys Res Commun *320*, 354-358.
- 1013 10.1016/j.bbrc.2004.05.171.
- 1014 37. Wada, A. (1998). Growth phase coupled modulation of Escherichia coli ribosomes.
- 1015 Genes Cells *3*, 203-208. 10.1046/j.1365-2443.1998.00187.x.
- 1016 38. Wada, A., Igarashi, K., Yoshimura, S., Aimoto, S., and Ishihama, A. (1995).
- 1017 Ribosome modulation factor: stationary growth phase-specific inhibitor of ribosome
- 1018 functions from Escherichia coli. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 214, 410-417.
- 1019 10.1006/bbrc.1995.2302.
- 1020 39. Prossliner, T., Gerdes, K., Sorensen, M.A., and Winther, K.S. (2021). Hibernation
- 1021 factors directly block ribonucleases from entering the ribosome in response to
- starvation. Nucleic Acids Res *49*, 2226-2239. 10.1093/nar/gkab017.
- 1023 40. Song, S., and Wood, T.K. (2020). ppGpp ribosome dimerization model for bacterial
- 1024 persister formation and resuscitation. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 523, 281-286.
- 1025 10.1016/j.bbrc.2020.01.102.
- 1026 41. Reier, K., Liiv, A., and Remme, J. (2023). Ribosome Protein Composition Mediates
- 1027 Translation during the Escherichia coli Stationary Phase. Int J Mol Sci 24, 3128.
- 1028 10.3390/ijms24043128.
- 1029 42. Khusainov, I., Fatkhullin, B., Pellegrino, S., Bikmullin, A., Liu, W.T., Gabdulkhakov,
- 1030 A., Shebel, A.A., Golubev, A., Zeyer, D., Trachtmann, N., et al. (2020). Mechanism of

- 1031 ribosome shutdown by RsfS in Staphylococcus aureus revealed by integrative
- structural biology approach. Nat Commun *11*, 1656.
- 1033 43. Nikolay, R., Hilal, T., Schmidt, S., Qin, B., Schwefel, D., Vieira-Vieira, C.H., Mielke,
- 1034 T., Bürger, J., Loerke, J., Amikura, K., et al. (2021). Snapshots of native pre-50S
- 1035 ribosomes reveal a biogenesis factor network and evolutionary specialization. Mol
- 1036 Cell *81*, 1200-1215 e1209. 10.1016/j.molcel.2021.02.006.
- 1037 44. Li, G., and Young, K.D. (2012). Isolation and identification of new inner membrane-
- associated proteins that localize to cell poles in Escherichia coli. Mol Microbiol 84,
- 1039 276-295. 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2012.08021.x.
- 1040 45. Yoshida, H., Maki, Y., Furuike, S., Sakai, A., Ueta, M., and Wada, A. (2012). YqjD is
- an inner membrane protein associated with stationary-phase ribosomes in Escherichia
 coli. J Bacteriol *194*, 4178-4183. 10.1128/JB.00396-12.
- 1043 46. Borgese, N., and Righi, M. (2010). Remote origins of tail-anchored proteins. Traffic
 1044 *11*, 877-885. 10.1111/j.1600-0854.2010.01068.x.
- 1045 47. Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O.,
- 1046 Tunyasuvunakool, K., Bates, R., Žídek, A., Potapenko, A., et al. (2021). Highly
- accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. Nature *596*, 583-589.
- 1048 10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2.
- 1049 48. Renthal, R. (2010). Helix insertion into bilayers and the evolution of membrane
- 1050 proteins. Cell Mol Life Sci 67, 1077-1088. 10.1007/s00018-009-0234-9.
- 1051 49. Afrose, F., Greathouse, D.V., and Koeppe, R.E., 2nd (2020). Influence of interfacial
- 1052 tryptophan residues on an arginine-flanked transmembrane helix. Biochim Biophys
- 1053 Acta Biomembr *1862*, 183134. 10.1016/j.bbamem.2019.183134.
- 1054 50. Pourciau, C., Yakhnin, H., Pannuri, A., Gorelik, M.G., Lai, Y.J., Romeo, T., and
- 1055Babitzke, P. (2023). CsrA coordinates the expression of ribosome hibernation and
- 1056 anti- σ factor proteins. mBio 14, e0258523.

1057	51.	Schmidt, A.,	Kochanowski.	K.,	Vedelaar, S.	., Ahrné.	E.,	Volkmer.	B.,	Callipo	o, 1	L.
			,,			.,,	/	,				

- 1058 Knoops, K., Bauer, M., Aebersold, R., and Heinemann, M. (2016). The quantitative
- and condition-dependent Escherichia coli proteome. Nat Biotechnol 34, 104-110.
- 1060 10.1038/nbt.3418.
- 1061 52. Guzman, L.M., Belin, D., Carson, M.J., and Beckwith, J. (1995). Tight regulation,
- 1062 modulation, and high-level expression by vectors containing the arabinose PBAD
- 1063 promoter. J Bacteriol 177, 4121-4130. 10.1128/jb.177.14.4121-4130.1995.
- 1064 53. Liu, B., and Qian, S.B. (2016). Characterizing inactive ribosomes in translational
 1065 profiling. Translation (Austin) *4*, e1138018.
- Moreira, M.A., Oliveira, J.A., Teixeira, L.M., and Moraes, C.A. (2005). Detection of a
 chloramphenicol efflux system in Escherichia coli isolated from poultry carcass. Vet
 Microbiol *109*, 75-81. 10.1016/j.vetmic.2005.04.012.
- 1069 55. Koch, H.G., Hengelage, T., Neumann-Haefelin, C., MacFarlane, J., Hoffschulte, H.K.,
- 1070 Schimz, K.L., Mechler, B., and Muller, M. (1999). In vitro studies with purified
- 1071 components reveal signal recognition particle (SRP) and SecA/SecB as constituents of
- 1072 two independent protein-targeting pathways of Escherichia coli. Mol Biol Cell 10,
- 1073 2163-2173. 10.1091/mbc.10.7.2163.
- 1074 56. Beha, D., Deitermann, S., Muller, M., and Koch, H.G. (2003). Export of beta-
- 1075 lactamase is independent of the signal recognition particle. J Biol Chem 278, 22161-
- 1076 22167. 10.1074/jbc.M300929200.
- 1077 57. Knüpffer, L., Fehrenbach, C., Denks, K., Erichsen, V., Petriman, N.A., and Koch,
- H.G. (2019). Molecular Mimicry of SecA and Signal Recognition Particle Binding to
 the Bacterial Ribosome. MBio *10*, e01317-01319.
- 1080 58. Huber, D., Rajagopalan, N., Preissler, S., Rocco, M.A., Merz, F., Kramer, G., and
- 1081 Bukau, B. (2011). SecA interacts with ribosomes in order to facilitate posttranslational
- translocation in bacteria. Mol Cell *41*, 343-353. 10.1016/j.molcel.2010.12.028.

	1083	59.	Lyu, X.,	Yang, O	., Zhao, F	., and Liu,	Y. (2	2021).	Codon usage a	nd protein	length
--	------	-----	----------	---------	------------	-------------	-------	--------	---------------	------------	--------

- 1084
 dependent feedback from translation elongation regulates translation initiation and
- elongation speed. Nucleic Acids Res 49, 9404-9423. 10.1093/nar/gkab729.
- 1086 60. Neumann, J., Klein, N., Otzen, D.E., and Schneider, D. (2014). Folding energetics and
- 1087 oligomerization of polytopic alpha-helical transmembrane proteins. Arch Biochem
- 1088 Biophys 564, 281-296. 10.1111/mmi.12826.
- 1089 61. O'Neil, K.T., and DeGrado, W.F. (1990). A thermodynamic scale for the helix-
- 1090 forming tendencies of the commonly occurring amino acids. Science 250, 646-651.
- 1091 10.1126/science.2237415.
- 1092 62. Chin, J.W., Martin, A.B., King, D.S., Wang, L., and Schultz, P.G. (2002). Addition of
 a photocrosslinking amino acid to the genetic code of Escherichiacoli. Proc Natl Acad
 1094 Sci U S A 99, 11020-11024. 10.1073/pnas.172226299.
- 1095 63. Ryu, Y., and Schultz, P.G. (2006). Efficient incorporation of unnatural amino acids
 1096 into proteins in Escherichia coli. Nature Methods *3*, 263-265. 10.1038/nmeth864.
- 1097 64. Neves-Petersen, M.T., Klitgaard, S., Pascher, T., Skovsen, E., Polivka, T., Yartsev, A.,
- 1098 Sundstrom, V., and Petersen, S.B. (2009). Flash photolysis of cutinase: identification
- and decay kinetics of transient intermediates formed upon UV excitation of aromatic

residues. Biophys J 97, 211-226. 10.1016/j.bpj.2009.01.065

- 1101 65. Harris, M.E., and Christian, E.L. (2009). RNA crosslinking methods. Methods in
- 1102 Enzymology 468, 127-146. 10.1016/S0076-6879(09)68007-1.
- 1103 66. Denks, K., Sliwinski, N., Erichsen, V., Borodkina, B., Origi, A., and Koch, H.G.
- 1104 (2017). The signal recognition particle contacts uL23 and scans substrate translation
- inside the ribosomal tunnel. Nature Microbiology 2, 16265.
- 1106 10.1028/nmicrobiol.2016.265.

- 67. Kramer, G., Boehringer, D., Ban, N., and Bukau, B. (2009). The ribosome as a 1107
- 1108 platform for co-translational processing, folding and targeting of newly synthesized proteins. Nat Struct Mol Biol 16, 589-597. 10.1038/nsmb.1614. 1109
- 1110 68. Mori, H., and Ito, K. (2006). Different modes of SecY-SecA interactions revealed by
- site-directed in vivo photo-cross-linking. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103, 16159-1111
- 1112 16164. 10.1073/pnas.0606390103.
- 1113 69. Kuhn, P., Draycheva, A., Vogt, A., Petriman, N.A., Sturm, L., Drepper, F., Warscheid,
- 1114 B., Wintermeyer, W., and Koch, H.G. (2015). Ribosome binding induces repositioning
- of the signal recognition particle receptor on the translocon. J Cell Biol 211, 91-104. 1115
- 1116 10.1111/mmi.13321.
- 70. Das, S., and Oliver, D.B. (2011). Mapping of the SecA.SecY and SecA.SecG 1117
- interfaces by site-directed in vivo photocross-linking. J Biol Chem 286, 12371-12380. 1118 1119
- 10.1074/jbc.M110.182931.
- 71. Sandikci, A., Gloge, F., Martinez, M., Mayer, M.P., Wade, R., Bukau, B., and Kramer, 1120
- 1121 G. (2013). Dynamic enzyme docking to the ribosome coordinates N-terminal
- 1122 processing with polypeptide folding. Nat Struct Mol Biol 20, 843-850.
- 10.1038/nsmb.2615. 1123
- 1124 72. Holtkamp, W., and Wintermeyer, W. (2014). Interplay between trigger factor and

other protein biogenesis factors on the ribosome. Nature communications 5, 4180. 1125

- 10.1038/ncomms5180. 1126
- 1127 73. Kannan, K., Kanabar, P., Schryer, D., Florin, T., Oh, E., Bahroos, N., Tenson, T.,
- Weissman, J.S., and Mankin, A.S. (2014). The general mode of translation inhibition 1128
- by macrolide antibiotics. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111, 15958-15963. 1129
- 1130 10.1126/science.1257522.

1131	74.	Graf, M., and Wilson, D.N. (2019). Intracellular Antimicrobial Peptides Targeting the
1132		Protein Synthesis Machinery. Adv Exp Med Biol 1117, 73-89. 10.1007/978-981-13-
1133		3588-4_6.
1134	75.	Steinberg, R., and Koch, H.G. (2021). The largely unexplored biology of small
1135		proteins in pro- and eukaryotes. Febs J 288, 7002-7004. 10.1111/febs.15845.
1136	76.	Krizsan, A., Knappe, D., and Hoffmann, R. (2015). Influence of the yjiL-mdtM Gene
1137		Cluster on the Antibacterial Activity of Proline-Rich Antimicrobial Peptides
1138		Overcoming Escherichia coli Resistance Induced by the Missing SbmA Transporter
1139		System. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 59, 5992-5998. 10.1128/aac.01307-15.
1140	77.	Mardirossian, M., Sola, R., Beckert, B., Valencic, E., Collis, D.W.P., Borišek, J.,
1141		Armas, F., Di Stasi, A., Buchmann, J., Syroegin, E.A., et al. (2020). Peptide Inhibitors
1142		of Bacterial Protein Synthesis with Broad Spectrum and SbmA-Independent
1143		Bactericidal Activity against Clinical Pathogens. J Med Chem 63, 9590-9602.
1144		10.1021/acs.jmedchem.0c00665.
1145	78.	Barandun, J., Hunziker, M., Vossbrinck, C.R., and Klinge, S. (2019). Evolutionary
1146		compaction and adaptation visualized by the structure of the dormant microsporidian
1147		ribosome. Nat Microbiol 4, 1798-1804. 10.1038/s41564-019-0514-6.
1148	79.	Steinberg, R., Knupffer, L., Origi, A., Asti, R., and Koch, H.G. (2018). Co-
1149		translational protein targeting in bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Lett 365, 1-15.
1150		10.1093/femsle/fny095.
1151	80.	Kosolapov, A., and Deutsch, C. (2009). Tertiary interactions within the ribosomal exit
1152		tunnel. Nat Struct Mol Biol 16, 405-411. 10.1038/nsmb.1571.
1153	81.	Marino, J., von Heijne, G., and Beckmann, R. (2016). Small protein domains fold
1154		inside the ribosome exit tunnel. FEBS Lett 590, 655-660. 10.1002/1873-3468.12098.

- 1155 82. Hauryliuk, V., Atkinson, G.C., Murakami, K.S., Tenson, T., and Gerdes, K. (2015).
- 1156 Recent functional insights into the role of (p)ppGpp in bacterial physiology. Nat Rev
 1157 Microbiol *13*, 298-309. 10.1038/nrmicro3448.
- 1158 83. Leiva, L.E., Zegarra, V., Bange, G., and Ibba, M. (2023). At the Crossroad of
- 1159 Nucleotide Dynamics and Protein Synthesis in Bacteria. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 87,
- 1160 e0004422. 10.1128/mmbr.00044-22.
- 1161 84. Lang, M., Krin, E., Korlowski, C., Sismeiro, O., Varet, H., Coppée, J.Y., Mazel, D.,
- and Baharoglu, Z. (2021). Sleeping ribosomes: Bacterial signaling triggers RaiA
- 1163 mediated persistence to aminoglycosides. iScience 24, 103128.
- 1164 10.1016/j.isci.2021.103128.
- 1165 85. Agafonov, D.E., Kolb, V.A., and Spirin, A.S. (2001). Ribosome-associated protein
- that inhibits translation at the aminoacyl-tRNA binding stage. EMBO Rep 2, 399-402.
 10.1093/embo-reports/kve091.
- 1168 86. Ueta, M., Wada, C., Daifuku, T., Sako, Y., Bessho, Y., Kitamura, A., Ohniwa, R.L.,
- 1169 Morikawa, K., Yoshida, H., Kato, T., et al. (2013). Conservation of two distinct types
- 1170 of 100S ribosome in bacteria. Genes Cells *18*, 554-574. 10.1111/gtc.12057.
- 1171 87. Agafonov, D.E., Kolb, V.A., Nazimov, I.V., and Spirin, A.S. (1999). A protein
- residing at the subunit interface of the bacterial ribosome. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
- 1173 96, 12345-12349. 10.1093/embo-reports/kve091.
- 1174 88. Helena-Bueno, K., Rybak, M.Y., Ekemezie, C.L., Sullivan, R., Brown, C.R.,
- 1175 Dingwall, C., Baslé, A., Schneider, C., Connolly, J.P.R., Blaza, J.N., et al. (2024). A
- new family of bacterial ribosome hibernation factors. Nature 626, 1125-1132.
- 1177 10.1038/s41586-024-07041-8.
- 1178 89. Kramer, G., Rauch, T., Rist, W., Vorderwulbecke, S., Patzelt, H., Schulze-Specking,
- 1179 A., Ban, N., Deuerling, E., and Bukau, B. (2002). L23 protein functions as a
- 1180 chaperone docking site on the ribosome. Nature *419*, 171-174. 10.1038/nature01047.

1181	90.	Houben, E.N., Zarivach, R., Oudega, B., and Luirink, J. (2005). Early encounters of a
1182		nascent membrane protein: specificity and timing of contacts inside and outside the
1183		ribosome. J Cell Biol 170, 27-35. 10.1083/jcb.200503035.
1184	91.	Wilson, D.N., and Beckmann, R. (2011). The ribosomal tunnel as a functional
1185		environment for nascent polypeptide folding and translational stalling. Curr Opin
1186		Struct Biol 21, 274-282. 10.1016/j.sbi.2011.01.007.
1187	92.	Arenz, S., Ramu, H., Gupta, P., Berninghausen, O., Beckmann, R., Vazquez-Laslop,
1188		N., Mankin, A.S., and Wilson, D.N. (2014). Molecular basis for erythromycin-
1189		dependent ribosome stalling during translation of the ErmBL leader peptide. Nat
1190		Commun 5, 3501. 10.1038/ncomms4501.
1191	93.	Rodnina, M.V., and Wintermeyer, W. (2016). Protein Elongation, Co-translational
1192		Folding and Targeting. J Mol Biol 428, 2165-2185. 10.1016/j.jmb.2016.03.022.
1193	94.	Mercier, E., Holtkamp, W., Rodnina, M.V., and Wintermeyer, W. (2017). Signal
1194		recognition particle binds to translating ribosomes before emergence of a signal
1195		anchor sequence. Nucleic Acids Res 45, 11858-11866. 10.1093/nar/gkx888.
1196	95.	Jomaa, A., Boehringer, D., Leibundgut, M., and Ban, N. (2016). Structure of the E.
1197		coli translating ribosome with SRP and its receptor and with the translocon. Nat.
1198		Comm. 7, 10471. 10.1038/ncomms15470.
1199	96.	Jomaa, A., Fu, Y.H., Boehringer, D., Leibundgut, M., Shan, S.O., and Ban, N. (2017).
1200		Structure of the quaternary complex between SRP, SR, and translocon bound to the
1201		translating ribosome. Nat Commun 8, 15470.
1202	97.	Seefeldt, A.C., Graf, M., Pérébaskine, N., Nguyen, F., Arenz, S., Mardirossian, M.,
1203		Scocchi, M., Wilson, D.N., and Innis, C.A. (2016). Structure of the mammalian
1204		antimicrobial peptide Bac7(1-16) bound within the exit tunnel of a bacterial ribosome.

1205 Nucleic Acids Res 44, 2429-2438. 10.1093/nar/gkv1545.

1206	98.	Gagnon, M.G., Roy, R.N., Lomakin, I.B., Florin, T., Mankin, A.S., and Steitz, T.A.
1207		(2016). Structures of proline-rich peptides bound to the ribosome reveal a common
1208		mechanism of protein synthesis inhibition. Nucleic Acids Res 44, 2439-2450.
1209		10.1093/nar/gkw018.
1210	99.	Teese, M.G., and Langosch, D. (2015). Role of GxxxG Motifs in Transmembrane
1211		Domain Interactions. Biochemistry 54, 5125-5135. 10.1021/acs.biochem.5b00495.
1212	100.	Morgado, L., Burmann, B.M., Sharpe, T., Mazur, A., and Hiller, S. (2017). The
1213		dynamic dimer structure of the chaperone Trigger Factor. Nat Commun 8, 1992.
1214		10.1038/s41467-017-02196-7.
1215	101.	von Heijne, G. (1991). Proline kinks in transmembrane alpha-helices. J Mol Biol 218,
1216		499-503. 10.1016/0022-2836(91)90695-3.
1217	102.	Szoke, T., Nussbaum-Shochat, A., and Amster-Choder, O. (2021). Evolutionarily
1218		conserved mechanism for membrane recognition from bacteria to mitochondria. FEBS
1219		Lett 595, 2805-2815. 10.1002/1873-3468.14203.
1220	103.	Bakshi, S., Choi, H., and Weisshaar, J.C. (2015). The spatial biology of transcription
1221		and translation in rapidly growing Escherichia coli. Front Microbiol 6, 636.
1222		10.3389/fmicb.2015.00636.
1223	104.	Mohapatra, S., and Weisshaar, J.C. (2018). Functional mapping of the E. coli
1224		translational machinery using single-molecule tracking. Mol Microbiol 110, 262-282.
1225		10.1111/mmi.14103.
1226	105.	Irastortza-Olaziregi, M., and Amster-Choder, O. (2020). Coupled Transcription-
1227		Translation in Prokaryotes: An Old Couple With New Surprises. Front Microbiol 11,
1228		624830. 10.3389/fmicb.2020.624830.
1229	106.	Perez-Riverol, Y., Csordas, A., Bai, J., Bernal-Llinares, M., Hewapathirana, S.,
1230		Kundu, D.J., Inuganti, A., Griss, J., Mayer, G., Eisenacher, M., et al. (2019). The

- 1231 PRIDE database and related tools and resources in 2019: improving support for
- 1232 quantification data. Nucleic Acids Res 47, D442-D450.
- 1233 107. Hanahan, D. (1983). Studies on transfromation of Escherichia coli with plasmids. J

1234 Mol Biol *166*, 557-580. 10.1016/s0022-2836(83)80284-8.

- 1235 108. Miroux, B., and Walker, J.E. (1996). Over-production of proteins in Escherichia coli:
- 1236 mutant hosts that allow synthesis of some membrane proteins and globular proteins at

1237 high levels. J Mol Biol *260*, 289-298. 10.1006/jmbi.1996.0399.

- 1238 109. Datsenko, K.A., and Wanner, B.L. (2000). One-step inactivation of chromosomal
- genes in Escherichia coli K12 using PCR products. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci USA 97,
- 1240 6640-6645. 10.1073/pnas.120163297.
- 1241 110. Baba, T., Ara, T., Hasegawa, M., Takai, Y., Okumura, Y., Baba, M., Datsenko, K.A.,
- 1242 Tomita, M., Wanner, B.L., and Mori, H. (2006). Construction of Escherichia coli K-12
- 1243 in-frame, single-gene knockout mutants: the Keio collection. Mol Syst Biol 2, 2006
- 1244 0008. 10.1038/msb4100050.
- 1245 111. Bertani, G. (1951). Studies on lysogenesis. I. The mode of phage liberation by
- 1246 lysogenic Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol *62*, 293-300. 10.1128/jb.62.3.293-300.1951.
- 1247 112. Wenk, M., Ba, Q., Erichsen, V., MacInnes, K., Wiese, H., Warscheid, B., and Koch,
- H.G. (2012). A universally conserved ATPase regulates the oxidative stress response
 in Escherichia coli. J Biol Chem 287, 43585-43598. 10.1074/ibc.M112.413070.
- 1250 113. Braig, D., Mircheva, M., Sachelaru, I., van der Sluis, E.O., Sturm, L., Beckmann, R.,
- and Koch, H.G. (2011). Signal sequence-independent SRP-SR complex formation at
- the membrane suggests an alternative targeting pathway within the SRP cycle. Mol
- 1253 Biol Cell 22, 2309-2323. 10.1091/mbc.E11-02-0152
- 1254 114. Welte, T., Kudva, R., Kuhn, P., Sturm, L., Braig, D., Muller, M., Warscheid, B.,
- 1255 Drepper, F., and Koch, H.G. (2012). Promiscuous targeting of polytopic membrane

1256		proteins to SecYEG or YidC by the Escherichia coli signal recognition particle. Mol
1257		Biol Cell 23, 464-479. 10.1091/mbc.E11-07-0590.
1258	115.	Hoffschulte, H.K., Drees, B., and Muller, M. (1994). Identification of a soluble
1259		SecA/SecB complex by means of a subfractionated cell-free export system. J Biol
1260		Chem 269, 12833-12839.
1261	116.	Koch, H.G., Moser, M., Schimz, K.L., and Muller, M. (2002). The integration of YidC
1262		into the cytoplasmic membrane of Escherichia coli requires the signal recognition
1263		particle, SecA and SecYEG. J Biol Chem 277, 5715-5718. 10.1074/jbc.C100683200.
1264	117.	Helde, R., Wiesler, B., Wachter, E., Neubuser, A., Hoffschulte, H.K., Hengelage, T.,
1265		Schimz, K.L., Stuart, R.A., and Muller, M. (1997). Comparative characterization of
1266		SecA from the alpha-subclass purple bacterium Rhodobacter capsulatus and
1267		Escherichia coli reveals differences in membrane and precursor specificity. J Bacteriol
1268		179, 4003-4012. 10.1128/jb.179.12.4003-4012.1997.
1269	118.	UniProt (2023). UniProt: the Universal Protein Knowledgebase in 2023. Nucleic
1270		Acids Res 51, D523-D531.
1271	119.	Paysan-Lafosse, T., Blum, M., Chuguransky, S., Grego, T., Pinto, B.L., Salazar, G.A.,
1272		Bileschi, M.L., Bork, P., Bridge, A., Colwell, L., et al. (2023). InterPro in 2022.
1273		Nucleic Acids Res 51, D418-D427. 10.1093/nar/gkac993.
1274	120.	Gerlt, J.A., Bouvier, J.T., Davidson, D.B., Imker, H.J., Sadkhin, B., Slater, D.R., and
1275		Whalen, K.L. (2015). Enzyme Function Initiative-Enzyme Similarity Tool (EFI-EST):
1276		A web tool for generating protein sequence similarity networks. Biochim Biophys
1277		Acta 1854, 1019-1037. 10.1016/j.bbapap.2015.04.015.
1278	121.	Katoh, K., and Standley, D.M. (2013). MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software
1279		version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol 30, 772-780.
1280		10.1093/molbev/mst010.

- 1281 122. Nguyen, L.T., Schmidt, H.A., von Haeseler, A., and Minh, B.Q. (2015). IQ-TREE: a
- 1282 fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood
- 1283 phylogenies. Mol Biol Evol *32*, 268-274. 10.1093/molbev/msu300.
- 1284 123. Trifinopoulos, J., Nguyen, L.T., von Haeseler, A., and Minh, B.Q. (2016). W-IQ-
- 1285 TREE: a fast online phylogenetic tool for maximum likelihood analysis. Nucleic
- 1286 Acids Res 44, W232-235. 10.1093/nar/gkw256.
- 1287 124. Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B.Q., Wong, T.K.F., von Haeseler, A., and Jermiin, L.S.
- 1288 (2017). ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. Nat
- 1289 Methods 14, 587-589. 10.1038/nmeth.4285.
- 1290 125. Hoang, D.T., Chernomor, O., von Haeseler, A., Minh, B.Q., and Vinh, L.S. (2018).
- 1291 UFBoot2: Improving the Ultrafast Bootstrap Approximation. Mol Biol Evol *35*, 5181292 522. 10.1093/molbev/msx281.
- 1293 126. Price, M.N., Dehal, P.S., and Arkin, A.P. (2010). FastTree 2--approximately
- 1294 maximum-likelihood trees for large alignments. PLoS One 5, e9490.
- 1295 10.1371/journal.pone.0009490
- 1296 127. Letunic, I., and Bork, P. (2021). Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for
- 1297 phylogenetic tree display and annotation. Nucleic Acids Res 49, W293-W296.
- 1298 10.1093/nar/gkab301.
- 1299 128. Hallgren, J., Tsirigo, K.D., Pedersen, M.D., Armenteros, J.J., Marcatili, P., Nielsen,
- 1300 H., Krogh, A., and Winther, O. (2022). DeepTMHMM predicts alpha and beta
- transmembrane proteins using deep neural networks. bioRxiv.
- 1302 https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.08.487609
- 1303 129. Varadi, M., Bertoni, D., Magana, P., Paramval, U., Pidruchna, I., Radhakrishnan, M.,
- 1304 Tsenkov, M., Nair, S., Mirdita, M., Yeo, J., et al. (2024). AlphaFold Protein Structure
- 1305 Database in 2024: providing structure coverage for over 214 million protein
- 1306 sequences. Nucleic Acids Res 52, D368-D375. 10.1093/nar/gkad1011.

- 1307 130. Meng, E.C., Goddard, T.D., Pettersen, E.F., Couch, G.S., Pearson, Z.J., Morris, J.H.,
- 1308 and Ferrin, T.E. (2023). UCSF ChimeraX: Tools for structure building and analysis.

1309 Protein Sci *32*, e4792. 10.1002/pro.4792.

- 1310 131. Pei, J., and Grishin, N.V. (2001). AL2CO: calculation of positional conservation in a
- 1311 protein sequence alignment. Bioinformatics *17*, 700-712.
- 1312 10.1093/bioinformatics/17.8.700.
- 1313 132. Rappsilber, J., Mann, M., and Ishihama, Y. (2007). Protocol for micro-purification,
- 1314 enrichment, pre-fractionation and storage of peptides for proteomics using StageTips.
- 1315 Nat Protoc 2, 1896-1906. 10.1038/nprot.2007.261.
- 1316 133. Tyanova, S., Temu, T., and Cox, J. (2016). The MaxQuant computational platform for
- 1317 mass spectrometry-based shotgun proteomics. Nat Protoc *11*, 2301-2319.
- 1318 10.1038/nprot.2016.136.

1319





(Njenga et al., Fig. 2)



(Njenga et al., Fig. 3)

А



ribosome concentration (nM)





Е







(Njenga et al., Fig. 5)





D

Е

1



(Njenga et al., Fig. 6)





(Njenga et al., Fig. 7)



(Njenga et al., Fig. 8)