University of California

Ernest O. Lawrence Radiation Laboratory

Berkeley, California

UCRL-10089

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
Berkeley, California

Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

SEARCH FOR FOUR-PION RESONANCE AND SOME DECAY MODES OF THE ρ AND ω MESONS

Nguyen Huu Xuong and Gerald R. Lynch

February 12, 1962

SEARCH FOR FOUR-PION RESONANCE AND SOME DECAY MODES OF THE ρ AND ω MESONS*

Nguyen Huu Xuong † and Gerald R. Lynch

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California

February 12, 1962

Since the discovery of the two-pion and the three-pion resonances,[1-3], the search for four-pion resonance has acquired much interest. The interest is threefold:

1. Chew and Frautschi,[4] using the "Regge poles" theory, predict a possible resonance (or unstable particle) with spin 2 and with the same other quantum numbers as the vacuum (T=0, parity even) at the region of 1 Bev. This particle could decay into two, four, or six pions. But the four-pion decay could be favored because a two-pion decay would require a d wave, whereas a four-pion decay would need only two pion sets in p wave.

The four-pion resonance could also came from a decay of χ^0, the pseudo-scalar meson with T=0 formulated by many theoreticians.[5]

2. The omega meson (T=0 three-pion resonance at 780 Mev) has been attributed the spin and parity 1^{--} (the first superscript refers to the parity, and the second to the G parity), if the decay is through strong interactions.[6] But Duerr and Heisenberg suggest that a 0^{-+} meson or a 1^{++} meson can violate G parity and decay into three pions.[7] They even estimate that the three-pion decay could be much stronger than the four-pion decay for the latter mesons, and, since the ω meson has a small width ($\Gamma/2$ 12 Mev and could be 0 Mev),[2] (2), they predict for this meson a spin and parity 0^{-+} and not 1^{--}. However, the decay of the 1^{--} meson into four pions would be completely negligible

compared with the three-pion decay. So the very existence of a four-pion resonance at 780 Mev would rule out the 1^{--} spin parity assignment; its nonexistence would rule out the possibility of the 1^{++} spin parity, but not the possibility of the 0^{-+} spin parity.

3. It would also be interesting to see the decay of a ρ meson into four pions. This decay is allowed by strong interactions, but is not as favorable as the two-pion decay. Of special interest is the decay mode $\rho \to \pi + \eta$[8] with $\eta \to \pi^+ + \pi^- + \pi^0$ (η being the T=0 550-Mev three-pion resonance discovered by Pevsner et al.[3]). Because the G parity of ρ is +1 and that of π is -1, this decay is allowed if the G parity of η is -1 and forbidden if it is +1.

We have analyzed 595 six-prong events produced by antiprotons of 1.61 Bev/c in the 72-inch hydrogen bubble chamber.[9] As we have stated before, these events are a very pure sample of involving annihilation six charged pions,[2]

$$\overline{p} + p \to 3\pi^+ + 3\pi^- + n\pi^0.$$

After fitting these six-prong events by using the kinematic program KICK, we found

153 that fit the hypothesis $\overline{p} + p \to 3\pi^+ + 3\pi^-$;
let us call them "6π" events;

239 that fit the hypothesis $\overline{p} + p \to 3\pi^+ + 3\pi^- + \pi^0$;
let us call them "7π" events;

139 that do not fit the two preceding hypotheses and have a missing mass 280 Mev (within 1 standard deviation) and could have two or more π^0 missing; let us call them "8π" events.

Most of the remaining 64 have a negative missing energy or imaginary missing mass, and can be attributed to Dalitz pairs associated with four-prong events.

An event is considered fitted to the hypothesis $\bar{p} + p \to 3\pi^+ + 3\pi^-$ when it has a $\chi^2 \leq 30.0$ for this hypothesis. It is considered fitted to the reaction $\bar{p} + p \to 3\pi^+ + 3\pi^- + \pi^0$ when it has a $\chi^2 \geq 30.0$ for the first hypothesis and a $\chi^2 \leq 5.1$ for the latter.

We believe that about 85% of the 139 "8π" events actually do have two missing pions, because the missing mass distirubtion of these events follows, within statistics, the effective mass distribution of two charged pions coming from the same events.

For all categories of events we have evaluated the four-body effective mass:

$$M_4 = \left((E_1 + E_2 + E_3 + E_4)^2 - (\vec{p}_1 + \vec{p}_2 + \vec{p}_3 + \vec{p}_4)^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

for each pion quadruplet.

For the "6π" and "8π" events we can get only the combinations $Q = 0$ and $|Q| = 2$. For the "7π" events we can also get the $|Q| = 1$ combination.

For the "8π" events we can also calculate the effective mass of two charged pions and two neutral pions by calculating the missing mass of the system consisting of the incoming antiproton, the proton target, and the four remaining visible charged pions:

$$M'_4 = \left((E_{\bar{p}} + M_p - E_1 - E_2 - E_3 - E_4)^2 - (\vec{p}_{\bar{p}} - \vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_2 - \vec{p}_3 - \vec{p}_4)^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

For M'_4, we can form only the $Q = 0$ and $|Q| = 2$ combinations. We calculated for each value of M_4 or M'_4 an uncertainty δM_4 or $\delta M'_4$ by using the error matrix propagated by KICK. For the "8π" events the half-width $\Gamma/2$ of the resolution function of M_4 is 14.5 Mev, and that of M'_4 is 15 Mev (at the region of 1000 Mev). However, because of systematic errors known to exist in our track reconstruction, our estimate of $\Gamma/2$ probably should be multiplied by $\sqrt{2}$ to give $\Gamma/2 = 20.5$ Mev for M_4 and $\Gamma/2 = 21$ Mev for M'_4. For the "6π" and the "7π" events $\Gamma/2$ is a little smaller.

1. Figure 1a is the histogram of the M_4 distribution of the $Q = 0$ combination of the "6π" events. The solid curve represents the background distribution estimated from the $|Q| = 2$ distribution of the same events. (Smooth curve drawn through $|Q| = 2$ distribution).

Figures 1b and 1c are the histograms of the M_4 distribution of the "7π" events, respectively, with $Q = 0$ and $|Q| = 1$. We use the $|Q| = 1$ and $|Q| = 2$ distributions to estimate the phase-space distribution (solid curves). In Figure 1d we renormalize the $|Q| = 1$ distribution of the "7π" events and plot it against the neutral distribution of the same events.

None of these histograms shows any strong disaccord with the background distribution.

In Fig. 2 we plot separately the histogram of the neutral distribution of $M_4(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-)$ (Fig. 2a) and the histogram of the neutral distribution of $M'_4(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0)$ (Fig. 2b). The solid curves represent the background distribution estimated from a smooth curve drawn through the sum of the distributions of $M_4(\pi^\pm\pi^\pm\pi^\pm\pi^\mp)$ and of $M'_4(\pi^\pm\pi^\pm\pi^0\pi^0)$ with $|Q| = 2$ of the same events (Fig. 3a). Figure 3b is the histogram of the sum of the neutral distributions of M_4 and M'_4.

We don't find any statistically significant peak, but the neutral distribution shows a suggestive deviation from the background at the region of 1040 Mev. If this peak really exists, it may be a resonance with T=0 or T=1. It could come from a possible decay of the χ^0 meson (spin = 0, T=0, parity odd) or the particle predicted by Chew and Frautschi (spin = 2, T=0, parity even). In the latter case, it could also decay into two pions or two kaons.

2. To estimate the ratio $R(\omega \rightarrow 4\pi/\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ we note that we have seen in the same sample of $\bar{p}p$ interactions 79 ± 18 interactions of the form $\bar{p} + p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ + 2\pi^- + \omega$ with $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ + \pi^- + \pi^0$.[2] If the ω produced by the preceding

reaction were to decay by $\omega \to \pi^+ + \pi^- + \pi^0 + \pi^0$ we would see them in our "8π" events. But the distribution of $M'_4(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0)$ (Fig. 2b) does not show anything over the phase space at the region 780 ± 20 Mev. At this energy the background is about 26 pion quadruplets; so we can estimate a maximum of 10 pions quadruplets that could come from the decay of the ω, and the upper limit of the ratio of $R(\omega \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0/\omega \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ is about 12%.

If the ω mesons produced by the reaction $\bar{p} + p \to 2\pi^+ + 2\pi^- + \omega$ were to decay into $2\pi^+ + 2\pi^-$, we would see them in the reaction $\bar{p} + p \to 4\pi^+ + 4\pi^-$. We have only 4 ± 2 of the latter reactions.[10] This gives a maximum of 5%. for $R(\omega \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-/\omega \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$. We can then conclude that the ratio $R(\omega \to 4\pi/\omega \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ is less than 17%, and can very possibly be zero.

If the ω produced by $\bar{p} + p \to 2\pi^+ + 2\pi^- + \omega$ were to decay in the neutral mode, it would show in the distribution of the missing mass of the reaction $\bar{p} + p \to 2\pi^+ + 2\pi^- + n\pi^0$. By ω → neutral, we mean the decays $\omega \to 3\pi^0$, $\omega \to 2\gamma$; and $\omega \to \pi^0 + \gamma$. Looking at the latter distribution, J. Button et al.[1] reported seeing no "peak" at the region of 780 Mev, and, using our value of 0.6 ± .15 mb for the cross section of the reaction $\bar{p} + p \to 2\pi^+ + 2\pi^- + \omega$ with $\omega \to \pi^+ + \pi^- + \pi^0$,[2] they estimate $R(\omega \to \text{neutral}/\omega \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \leq 0.5$.[1]

The small value of $R(\omega \to 4\pi/\omega \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ agrees with a spin and parity assignment of 1^{--} and rules out the 1^{++} assignment, but does not rule out the possibility of 0^- for the spin and parity of the omega meson.[7]

The ratio of $R(\omega \to \text{neutral}/\omega \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ is estimated by Duerr and Heisenberg to be larger than 3/2 for the 0^{-+} assignment and very small (10^{-4}) for the 1^{--} assignment.[7] Our value of the two ratios $R(\omega \to 4\pi/\omega \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ and $R(\omega \to \text{neutral}/\omega \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$, which can be very small, agrees with the 1^{--} assignment and disagrees with the 0^{-+} assignment for the spin and parity of the ω meson. Since all other interpretations of spin and parity (with spin equal to or less than 1) can be ruled out by the present data,[6] we conclude that the spin and parity of the ω meson is most probably 1^{--}. This agrees with the conclusion reached by Stevenson et al.[6]

3. To estimate the ratio of $R(\rho \to 4\pi/\rho \to 2\pi)$ we use some results from J. Button et al.[1] They find about 386 ρ^0 with $\rho^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$ and about 274 $\rho^\pm$ with $\rho^\pm \to \pi^\pm\pi^0$ by analyzing the reaction $\bar{p} + p \to 2\pi^+ + 2\pi^- + \pi^0$, from a smaller sample of the same $\bar{p}$ picture of our experiment. In our larger sample this would correspond to 482 $\rho^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$ and 323 $\rho^\pm \to \pi^\pm\pi^0$. If the ρ mesons produced by the same mechanism decay into $\rho^0 \to 2\pi^+ + 2\pi^-$ and $\rho^\pm \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^\pm\pi^0$ we would see them in the M_4 distributions of the "7π" events (Fig. 1b and 1c). In the region around 750 Mev in these distributions we see nothing exceeding phase space, and we estimate a maximum of 2% for $R(\rho^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-/\rho^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-)$ and a maximum of 5% for $R(\rho^\pm \to \pi^\pm\pi^0\pi^+\pi^-/\rho^\pm \to \pi^\pm\pi^0)$. To estimate the ratio $R(\rho^\pm \to \pi^\pm + \eta,\ \eta \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0/\rho^\pm \to \pi^\pm\pi^0)$ we analyze carefully all the $|Q| = 1$ quadruplets with effective mass M_4 in the region 750 ± 50 Mev (41 quadruplets). In particular we compare the distribution of the effective mass of three pions coming from these quadruplet with $Q = 0$ $(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ to that with $|Q| \geq 1 (\pi^\pm\pi^\pm\pi^\mp$ and $\pi^\pm\pi^\pm\pi^0)$ (the latter is used here as an estimated background). In the region of 548 Mev (± 10 Mev) we have 19 neutral triplets and 15 charged triplets. This enables us to estimate the number of $\rho^\pm \to \pi^\pm + \eta$ with $\eta \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ to be 4 ± 6, and the ratio $R(\rho^\pm \to \pi^\pm + \eta,\ \eta \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0/\rho^\pm \to \pi^\pm\pi^0)$ to be $1.2 \pm 2.0\%$. This result agrees very well with the estimate by Rosenfeld et al., who find $R(\rho^+ \to \pi^+ + \eta, \eta \to \text{neutral}/\rho \to \pi^+ + \pi^0) \leq 0.6\%$.[11] The actual data on the η meson seem to rule out all spin parity assignments except 1^{--} and 0^{-+}.[3] The theoretical ratio $R(\rho \to \pi + \eta/\rho \to \pi\pi)$ is very small for 0^{-+}. In the case of 1^{--}, this ratio is not yet well determined (25% for a simple phase-space calculation,[11] 1% after Glashow and Sakurai.[11]). We conclude that the small

value of the ratio $R(\rho \rightarrow \pi + \eta/ \rho \rightarrow \pi + \pi)$ favors the 0^{-+} assignment for the spin, parity, and G parity of the η meson; whether this can rule out the 1^{--} assignment depends on a more precise calculation.

We want to thank Professor Luis W. Alvarez for his constant encouragement during the experiment and for his foresight and effort that made this experiment possible. We want to also thank Professor Geoffrey Chew, Professor Murray Gell-Mann, Dr. Bogdan Maglić, Professor Arthur H. Rosenfeld, Professor M. Lynn Stevenson, for many helpful discussions and advice. We wish to acknowledge the active participation of Dr. Cecil Tate and Mr. Joseph Requa in the analysis of the data. The film used in this measurement was obtained in collaboration with Dr. Janice Button, Dr. Philippe Eberhard, Dr. George R. Kalbfleisch, Dr. Joseph Lannutti, Dr. Bogdan C. Maglić, Dr. Morris Pripstein, and Professor M. L. Stevenson; this experiment would not have been possible without their help. One of us (N.H.X.) is grateful for the scholarship provided by the Agency for International Development.

References and Footnotes

* Work done under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

† On leave from the Atomic Energy Office, Saigon, South Viet-Nam.

1. A. R. Erwin, R. March, W. D. Walker, and E. West, Phys. Rev. Letters 6, 628 (1961); E. Pickup, D. K. Robinson, and E. W. Salant, Phys. Rev. Letters 7, 192 (1961); D. Carmony and R. Van de Walle, Phys. Rev. Letters 8, 73 (1962); J. Button, G. R. Kalbfleisch, G. R. Lynch, B. C. Maglić, A. H. Rosenfeld, and M. L. Stevenson, Pion-Pion Interaction in the Reaction $p + p \rightarrow 2\pi^{+} + 2\pi^{-} + n\pi^{0}$, Phys. Rev. (to be published).
2. B. C. Maglić, L. W. Alvarez, A. H. Rosenfeld, and M. L. Stevenson, Phys. Rev. Letters 7, 178 (1961); N. H. Xuong and G. R. Lynch, Phys. Rev. Letters 7, 327 (1961).
3. A. Pevsner, R. Kraemer, M. Nussbaum, C. Richardson, P. Schlein, R. Strand, T. Toohig, M. Block, A. Engler, R. Gessaroli, and C. Meltser, Phys. Rev. Letters 7, 421 (1961); P. Bastien, J. P. Berge, O. I. Dahl, M. Ferro-Luzzi, D. H. Miller, J. J. Murray, A. H. Rosenfeld, and M. B. Watson, Phys. Rev. Letters 8, 114 (1962); D. C. Carmony, A. H. Rosenfeld, R. Van de Walle, Phys. Rev. Letters 8, 117 (1962).
4. G. F. Chew and S. C. Frautschi, Phys. Rev. Letters 8, 41 (1962); G. F. Chew and S. C. Frautschi, Phys. Rev. Letters 7, 395 (1961).
5. M. Ikeda, S. Ogawa, and Y. Ohnuki, Progr. Theoret. Phys. (Kyoto) 22, 715 (1959); Y. Ohnuki, in Proceedings of the 1960 Annual International Conference on High-Energy Physics at Rochester (Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, 1960); Y. Yamaguchi, Progr. Theoret. Phys. (Kyoto) Suppl. No. 11 (1959); J. Wess, Nuovo cimento 10, 15 (1960); Shigeo Minami, Heavy Mesons and Hard Core in Nuclear Force, Preprint,

Department of Physics, Osaka City University, Osaka; Murray Gell-Mann, Symmetries of Baryons and Mesons, Phys. Rev. (to be published).

6. M. L. Stevenson, L. W. Alvarez, B. C. Maglić, and A. H. Rosenfeld, Phys. Rev. 125, 687 (1962); N. H. Xuong and G. R. Lynch, Phys. Rev. Letters 7, 327 (1961).

7. H. P. Duerr and W. Heisenberg, The Quantum Numbers of the ω Meson (preprint).

8. G. Feinberg is the first one to mention the $\rho \rightarrow \pi + \eta$ decay: Phys. Rev. Letters 8, 151 (1962).

9. J. Button, P. Eberhard, G. R. Kalbfleisch, J. E. Lannutti, G. R. Lynch, B. C. Maglić, M. L. Stevenson, and N. H. Xuong, Phys. Rev. 121, 1788 (1961).

10. Bob Foulks, (Lawrence Radiation Laboratory), private communication.

11. A. H. Rosenfeld, D. Carmony, R. Van de Walle, Is the η Meson the 0^{-+} χMeson (UCRL-10055, Feb. 1962), to be submitted to Phys. Rev. Letters.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1. Histograms of the distribution of the effective masses (M_4) of pion quadruplets; (a) is for quadruplets of ($\bar{p} + p \rightarrow 3\pi^+ + 3\pi^-$) events with Q = 0, (b) and (c) are for quadruplets of ($\bar{p} + p \rightarrow 3\pi^+ + 3\pi^- + \pi^0$) events with Q = 0 and $|Q| = 1$, respectively. In (d) and (c) distribution (shaded area) is compared with the (b) distribution.

Fig. 2. Histograms of the distribution of effective masses of neutral pion quadruplets of ($\bar{p} + p \rightarrow 3\pi^+ + 3\pi^- + 2\pi^0$) events; (a) is for distribution of M_4 ($\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$), (b) is for distribution of M'_4 ($\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$). The same smooth curve has been drawn on (a) and (b).

Fig. 3. Histograms of the distribution of effective masses of pion quadruplets of ($\bar{p} + p \rightarrow 3\pi^+ + 3\pi^- + 2\pi^0$) events; (a) is for quadruplets with $|Q| = 2$, (b) is for quadruplets with Q = 0. The same smooth curve has been drawn on (a) and (b).


(a)
- One quadruplet
(b)
- One quadruplet
(c)
- One quadruplet
(d)
Number of pion quadruplets
80
40
0
80
40
0
120
80
40
0
80
40
0
.600
.800
1.000
1.200
1.400
1.600
1.800
2.000
Effective mass, M_4 (Bev)
MUB-607

Fig. 1


80
60
40
20
0
(a)
80
60
40
20
0
(b)
Number of quadruplets
0.6
0.8
1.0
1.2
1.4
1.6
Effective mass, M_4 (Bev)
MUB-946

Fig. 2


Number of quadruplets
0
40
80
0
40
80
120
160
0.6
0.8
1.0
1.2
1.4
1.6
(a)
(b)
Effective mass, M_4 (Bev)
MUB-947

Fig. 3