## UCLA UCLA Previously Published Works

## Title

Advances in endovascular aneurysm management: flow modulation techniques with braided mesh devices

**Permalink** https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9544p61m

**Journal** Stroke and Vascular Neurology, 5(1)

**ISSN** 2059-8688

## **Authors**

Campos, Jessica K Cheaney, Barry Lien, Brian V <u>et al.</u>

**Publication Date** 

2020-03-01

## DOI

10.1136/svn-2020-000347

Peer reviewed



# Advances in endovascular aneurysm management: flow modulation techniques with braided mesh devices

Jessica K Campos <sup>(b)</sup>, <sup>1</sup> Barry Cheaney II <sup>(b)</sup>, <sup>2</sup> Brian V Lien, <sup>1</sup> David A Zarrin, <sup>3</sup> Chau D Vo, <sup>4</sup> Geoffrey P Colby, <sup>5</sup> Li-Mei Lin <sup>(b)</sup>, <sup>6</sup> Alexander L Coon <sup>(b)</sup> <sup>6</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

Flow diverters and flow disruption technology, alongside nuanced endovascular techniques, have ushered in a new era of treating cerebral aneurysms. Here, we provide an overview of the latest flow modulation devices and highlight their clinical applications and outcomes.

#### INTRODUCTION

The arrival of the Guglielmi detachable coil system has given rise to the field of neuroendovascular intervention. Multiple randomised controlled trials have demonstrated the efficacy and safety of coil embolisation<sup>1 2</sup>; however, post-treatment aneurysm recanalisation remained a significant challenge at that time, with rates in some reports up to 50%.<sup>34</sup>

Since then, many technological advancements have been developed to address the shortcomings of endovascular coiling, including significant changes in coil properties.<sup>3 4</sup> Adjunctive devices such as intracranial stents and balloons were developed to augment coil embolisation. Balloon-assisted coil embolisation reduces the risk of coil prolapse into the parent artery and can provide immediate proximal control with balloon inflation in case of intraprocedural aneurysm rupture. Similarly, stent-assisted coiling allows for increased coil packing density, critical for the treatment of wide-necked, large and giant aneurysms, thereby significantly improving the obliteration rate.5-

Despite these technological developments, aneurysms with unfavourable parameters such as large diameters (>10 mm), wide necks, small dome-to-neck ratios (<2) and fusiform morphologies remain significant dilemmas, with poor outcomes including aneurysm recurrence as well as treatment-related morbidity and mortality.<sup>8</sup> <sup>9</sup> Flow modulation techniques with braided mesh devices have been designed to tackle these challenges and ushered in a new era of endovascular neurointervention.

#### FLOW DIVERSION (FD) EMBOLISATION

The concept of FD stems from the lessons learnt in the development of stent-assisted coiling. The association of denser coil packing with better angiographical and clinical outcomes is explained by haemodynamic flow modulation as endovascular stents directly disrupt blood flow into the aneurysmal sac from the parent artery and accelerate intraaneurysmal thrombosis.<sup>10 11</sup> FD with braided mesh device is based on two principles:1) the placement of a high-mesh density device in the parent vessel alters blood flow away from the aneurysm lumen, and 2) the device construct provides a scaffold on which endothelium can grow in a process termed 'neoendothelialisation', thereby isolating the aneurysm from the parent circulation, allowing for gradual intra-aneurysmal thrombosis, and eventually resulting in a curative outcome with complete radiographical occlusion of the aneurysm.<sup>12</sup> The advantage of FD techniques lies in the ability to treat the weakened arterial wall. Neoendothelialisation leads to more resilient aneurysm occlusion, compared with the high rate of recurrence associated with coil embolisation. Additionally, the endoluminal approach in deploying flow diverters (FD) does not require direct access to the aneurysmal sac, thereby removing the risk of intraprocedural aneurysm rupture inherent with coiling.

In 2007, the arrival of the Pipeline Embolization Device (PED; Medtronic Neurovascular, Irvine, California, USA) marked the first clinical application of FD in treating cerebral aneurysms.<sup>13</sup> Many FDs have since continued to expand the neuroendovascular field, including Surpass (Stryker Neurovascular, Fremont, California, USA), Silk (Balt Extrusion, Montmorency, France), Flow-Redirection Endoluminal Device (FRED; MicroVention, Tustin, California, USA), p64 Flow Modulation Device (Phenox, Bochum, Germany), Derivo Embolization Device (Acandis, Pforzheim, Germany) and

**To cite:** Campos JK, Cheaney II B, Lien BV, *et al.* Advances in endovascular aneurysm management: flow modulation techniques with braided mesh devices. *Stroke & Vascular Neurology* 2020;**5**: e000347. doi:10.1136/svn-2020-000347

Received 9 February 2020 Accepted 28 February 2020 Published Online First 24 March 2020

#### ( Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2020. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Neurosurgery, University of California Irvine School of Medicine, Orange, California, USA

<sup>2</sup>Oregon Health and Science University School of Medicine, Portland, Oregon, USA <sup>3</sup>Neurosurgery, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

<sup>4</sup>Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA <sup>5</sup>UCLA Neurosurgery, Ronald Reagan UCLA Medical Center, Los Angeles, California, USA <sup>6</sup>Carondelet Neurological Institute, St. Joseph's Hospital, Carondelet Health Network, Tucson, Arizona, United States

#### **Correspondence to**

Dr Alexander L Coon; dralexandercoon@gmail.com





1

Tubridge (MicroPort Medial, Shanghai, China). In the USA, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved PED in 2011, Surpass in 2018, and FRED in 2019, all for the treatment of large or giant, wide-neck intracranial aneurysms along the internal carotid artery (ICA) (FDA. gov). Comparatively, most FDs are commercially available outside the USA. Table 1 summarises the technical specifications for the more commonly used FDs to date. Table 2 summarises major studies for the different flow diverter devices.

#### **FD** indications

#### On-label large and giant ICA aneurysms *Pipeline Embolization Device (PED)*

The efficacy, safety and cost-effectiveness of the initial PED experience was demonstrated in the literature primarily for on-label usage in large and giant ICA aneurysms. Initial experiences from Buenos Aires and Budapest case series showed complete angiographical occlusion rates of 90%–93% at 6 months' follow-up.<sup>14 15</sup> The Pipeline Embolization Device for the Intracranial Treatment of Aneurysm (PITA)trial and the Pipeline Embolization Device for Uncoilable or Failed Aneurysms (PUFS) trial followed and simarly showed acceptable occlusion rates (81.8%–93.3% at 6 months) with low complication rates reported (5.6%-6.5%).<sup>13</sup><sup>16</sup> The literature continues to grow as newer generations are released. The pipeline flex with shield technology, with its new phosphorylcholine stent-surface modification aimed at minimising thrombogenicity, was used in two studies, and adequate occlusion rates were achieved with similar morbidity and mortality to previous PED reports.<sup>17 18</sup>

#### Surpass

Initial experience from The Netherlands showed a 94% complete neck coverage and aneurysm occlusion with no major periprocedural morbidity or mortality at 6 month of follow-up.<sup>19</sup> A prospective, multicenter study of 165 patients with 190 intracranial aneurysms treated with Surpass was conducted by Wakhloo *et al.* Follow-up angiography available in 158 (86.8%) intracranial aneurysms showed complete occlusion in 75% of cases. Permanent neurological morbidity and mortality were 6.0% and 2.7%, respectively.<sup>20</sup>

The Surpass Intracranial Aneurysm Embolization System Pivotal Trial to Treat Large or Giant Wide Neck Aneurysms (SCENT) trial is a multicenter, prospective, single-arm, non-randomised, interventional trial of the Surpass Flow Diverter for uncoilable or previously treated but failed aneurysms of the intracranial ICA extending from the petrous segment to the carotid terminus at its bifurcation into anterior cerebral artery (ACA) and middle cerebral artery (MCA). Twelve-month primary effectiveness rate was 62.8%, and 12-month major ipsilateral stroke or neurological death rate was 8.3%.<sup>21</sup> Historically, FD of posterior communication artery (PCoA) aneurysms had been met with concerns for aneurysm persistence and occlusion of the covered (or jailed) vessel.<sup>22</sup> The SCENT trial included the highest percentage of PCoA aneurysms compared with pre-existing FD clinical trials with resulting comparative efficacy, as well as morbidity and mortality rates.<sup>21</sup>

#### Silk

The Silk and Silk+ are the first-generation and secondgeneration FDs from Balt (Balt Extrusion, Montmorency, France). Lubicz and colleagues reported their experience with Silk in treating 29 patients with 34 aneurysms. Angiographical follow-up demonstrated complete occlusion in 20 aneurysms (69%). Morbidity and mortality rates were 15% and 4%, respectively.<sup>23</sup> Several other groups have demonstrated success with Silk, reporting complete occlusion rates of 68% at 6 months to 93.9% at 1 year. Morbidity and mortality rates are comparable to other FDs at 4%–10% and 2%–3%, respectively.<sup>24 25</sup>

Due to technical complications related to the lower radial force, the second-generation Silk+ was developed. Lubicz *et al* conducted a retrospective study of 58 patients with 70 aneurysms and found a 73% complete occlusion rate with no recanalisation or retreatment necessary. Permanent neurological morbidity was 5.5% (all within the subgroup of patients treated with the first-generation Silk) with no procedure-related mortality.<sup>26</sup>

#### Flow-Redirection Endoluminal Device (FRED)

Safety and Efficacy Analysis of FRED Embolic Device in Aneurysm Treatment(SAFE) is a single-arm, prospective, multicentre, observational study conducted by Pierot *et al.* A total of 103 aneurysms were treated, and at 1 year of follow-up, complete occlusion was observed in 73.3% with no evidence of recurrence. Morbidity and mortality were 2.9% and 1.9%, respectively.<sup>27</sup> Several additional case series demonstrate the effectiveness of FRED, each showing excellent radiographical outcomes and low morbidity and mortality.<sup>28 29</sup>

#### Derivo

Brazilian Registry of Aneurysms Assigned to Intervention with the Derivo Embolization Device (BRAIDED) is a multicentre, prospective, single-arm trial with 183 aneurysms treated. Trivelato *et al* reported complete occlusion in 113 of 140 (80.7%) aneurysms with available follow-up at 6 months and 74 of 83 (89.2%) at 12 months. Morbidity was 4.1% and mortality was 1.4%.<sup>30</sup> The literature has reported an occlusion rate of 80.7% at 6 months to 89.2% at 1 year with Derivo FD. Morbidity and mortality are comparable to other FDs.<sup>31 32</sup>

#### *P64*

Fischer and colleagues studied 130 aneurysms treated with P64 and reported a 79.6% complete occlusion rate in 106 aneurysms at 9 months of follow-up. Permanent morbidity and mortality were 1.7% and 0.8%, respectively.<sup>33</sup> Complete occlusion has been reported at 66% at 6 months, up to 98.5% at 2 years, with a morbidity of 0%–2.5% and mortality of up to 1%.<sup>34 35</sup>

Table 1 Te	schnical spec	ifications fo	r flow diverte	irs									
Flow diverter	Pipeline (PED)	Pipeline Flex	Pipeline Shield	Surpass	Silk	Silk+	Silk Vista Baby	FRED	FRED Jr.	p64	Derivo	Tubridge	FloWise
CE (Europe) approval	2008	2014	2015	2011	2008	2012	2018	2012	2015	2012	2013	Not reported	Not reported
FDA approval	2011	2015	N/A	2018	N/A	N/A	N/A	2019	2019	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Material	25% platinum- tungsten; 75% cobalt- chromium	25% platinum- tungsten; 75% cobalt- chromium	25% platinum- tungsten; 75% cobalt- chromium- nickel alloy	Cobalt-chromium	92% Nitinol; 8% Platinum	Nitinol, platinum	Nitinol, platinum	Nitinol	Nitinol, tantalum	Nitinol	Nitinol	Nitinol, patinum- iridium	Nitinol, platinum
Number of braids	48	48	48	48-96	48	48	48	64	52	64	48	64	48
Generation	First	Second	Third	First	First	Second	Third	First	Second	First	First	First	First
Delivery system	Empty catheter via 0.027-inch microcatheter	Empty catheter via 0.027-inch microcatheter	Empty catheter via 0.027-inch microcatheter	Preloaded over- the-wire delivery system with a 0.040" inner diameter delivery micocatheter and pusher	Empty catheter via 0.021, 0.023- or 0.025-inch microcatheter	Empty catheter via 0.021-, 0.023- or 0.025-inch microcatheter	Empty catheter via 0.017-inch microcatheter	Empty catheter via 0.027-inch microcatheter	Empty catheter via 0.021-inch microcatheter	Empty catheter via 0.027-inch microcatheter	Empty catheter via 0.027-inch nicrocatheter	Empty catheter via 0.029-inch microcatheter	Empty catheter via 0.027-inch microcatheter
Resheath feature	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Occlusion rate	81.8-93.3% at 6 months	77% at 12 mo	78.8-90.3% 6-18 mo	62-94% 6-12 mo	68% at 6 mo, up to 93.9% at 12 mo	73% (mean 22 mo)	63% (mean 2.7 mo)	73% 6 mo, up to 96% 12-24 mo	87% (6-24 mo)	66% at 6 mo; up to 98.5% at 24 mo	80.7% at 6 mo; 89.2% at 12 mo	75.34% at 6 mo	66.7% at 6 mo
FDA. Food and E	Jrua Administration	1: FRED. Flow-Re	direction Endolum	inal Device: N/A. not at	oplicable: PED. P	ipeline Embolizati	ion Device.						

Table 2	Major studies of flow	diverter devices			
Flow diverter	Author, year	Patients, aneurysms (n)	Type of aneurysms treated	Occlusion rate	Morbidity (%), mortality (%)
PED	Lylyk <i>et al</i> , 2009 <sup>14</sup>	53, 63	Wide-necked large and giant aneurysms for which previous treatment attempts failed	93% at 6 months	5; 0
	Szikora <i>et al</i> , 2010 <sup>15</sup>	18, 19	Large, giant, fusiform or wide-necked aneurysms	94.4% at 6 months	5.5, 5.5
	Nelson <i>et al</i> , 2011 (PITA) <sup>13</sup>	31, 31	Unruptured wide-necked aneurysms or failed previous therapy	93.3% at 6 months	6.5, 0
	Becske et al, 2013 (PUFS) <sup>16</sup>	108, 108	Unruptured large/giant wide-necked aneurysms of proximal ICA	73.6% at 6 months, 86.8% at 1 year, 93.4% at 3 years and 95.2% at 5 years	2.8, 2.8
	Atasoy <i>et al</i> , 2019 (PEDSU) <sup>17</sup>	41, 44	Mostly large/giant, wide-neck, saccular aneurysms	78.8% at 6 months and 90.3% at 18 months	6.8, 2.3
PED Shield	Trivelato <i>et al</i> , 2019 <sup>18</sup>	151, 182	Mostly large and giant aneurysms, mostly saccular	79.7% at 6 months, 85.3% at 1 year	6.0, 0.7
Surpass	De Vries <i>et al</i> , 2013 <sup>19</sup>	37, 49	Unruptured, complex, mostly saccular ICA aneurysms	94% at 6 months	3, 0
	Wakhloo <i>et al</i> , 2015 <sup>20</sup>	165, 190	Mostly unruptured, anterior circulation, wide-necked ICA aneurysms	75% at 6 months	6.0, 2.7
	Meyers <i>et al</i> , 2019 (SCENT) <sup>21</sup>	180, 180	Uncoilable or previously treated but failed ICA aneursyms	62.8% at 1 year	8.3, 2.2
Silk	Lubicz <i>et al</i> , 2010 <sup>23</sup>	29, 34	Fusiform or wide-necked, unruptured aneurysms	69% at 6 months	15, 4
	Berge <i>et al</i> , 2012 <sup>24</sup>	65, 77	Unruptured or recanalised, saccular aneurysms	68% at 6 months, 84.3% at 1 year	7.8, 3
	Shankar e <i>t al</i> , 2016 <sup>103</sup>	92, 103	Mostly unruptured saccular ICA aneurysms	83.1% (median 1 year)	8.7, 2.2
	Pumar <i>et al</i> , 2017 <sup>104</sup>	157, 180	Unruptured, saccular ICA aneurysms	78.1% at 1 year	9.6, 3.2
	Foa Torres <i>et al</i> , 2018 <sup>25</sup>	246, 293	Unruptured, saccular ICA aneurysms	93.9% at 1 year	4.2, 2.1
Silk+	Lubicz <i>et al</i> , 2015 <sup>26</sup>	58, 70	Saccular and fusiform aneurysms	73% (mean follow-up of 22 months)	5.5, 0
FRED	Möhlenbruch e <i>t al</i> , 2015 <sup>28</sup>	29, 34	Mixture of wide-neck saccular, fusiform/ dissecting, large/giant aneurysms	73% at 6 months	3.4, 0
	Pierot <i>et al</i> , 2019 (SAFE) <sup>27</sup>	103, 103	Unruptured saccular aneurysms	73.3% at 1 year	2.9; 1.9
	Piano <i>et al</i> , 2019 <sup>29</sup>	162, 165	Mostly unruptured, saccular or fusiform/ dissecting aneurysms	96% at 12-24 months	7.3 (6.2 related to FRED), 4.3 (2.4 related to FRED)
Derivo	Akgul <i>et al</i> , 2016 <sup>31</sup>	24, 34	Wide-necked, mostly medium-sized and fusiform aneurysms	71.4% at 3 months, 77.8% at 9 months	8.4, 4.3
	Daglioglu <i>et al</i> , 2019 <sup>32</sup>	146, 182	Mean aneurysm size was 8.3 mm	78.7% at 7.02 months	3.4, 2.7
	Trivelato <i>et al</i> , 2019 <sup>30</sup> (BRAIDED)	146, 183	Mostly saccular and unruptured aneurysms	80.7% at 6 months, 89.2% at 1 year	4.1, 1.4
P64	Fischer <i>et al</i> , 2015 <sup>33</sup>	121, 130	Mostly unruptured, saccular sidewall aneurysms	79.6% at 9 months	1.7, 0.8
	Briganti <i>et al</i> , 2017 <sup>34</sup>	40, 50	Mostly unruptured, small, saccular ICA aneurysms	88% at 6-24 months	2.5, 0
	Morais <i>et al</i> , 2017 <sup>65</sup>	39, 48	Mostly unruptured, saccular aneurysms	66.6% at 6 months,	0, 0

85.7% at 1 year

Table 2	Continued				
Flow diverter	Author, year	Patients, aneurysms (n)	Type of aneurysms treated	Occlusion rate	Morbidity (%), mortality (%)
	Sirakov <i>et al</i> , 2019 <sup>35</sup>	72, 72	Mostly saccular aneurysms	91.4% at 1 year, 98.5% at 2 years, 100% at 3 years	1.4, 0
Tubridge	Zhou <i>et al</i> , 2014 <sup>37</sup>	28, 28	Large or giant ICA aneurysms	72% at mean 9.9 months	0, 0
	Liu <i>et al</i> , 2018 (PARAT) <sup>36</sup>	82, 82	Mostly unruptured large/giant aneurysms of ICA	75.3% at 6 months	2.4, 1.6
FloWise	Kim <i>et al</i> , 2019 <sup>38</sup>	10, 14	Paraclinoid or ophthalmic ICA aneurysms	66.7% at 6 months, 83.3% at 1 year	0, 0

FRED, Flow-Redirection Endoluminal Device; ICA, internal carotid artery; PARAT, Parent Artery Reconstruction for Large or Giant Cerebral Aneurysms Using the Tubridge Flow Diverter; PEDSU, Pipeline Embolization Device with Shield Technology in Unruptured Aneurysms; PITA, Pipeline Embolization Device for the Intracranial Treatment of Aneurysm; PUFS, Pipeline Embolization Device for Uncoilable or Failed Aneurysms; SAFE, Safety and Efficacy Analysis of FRED Embolic Device in Aneurysm Treatment; SCENT, Surpass Intracranial Aneurysm Embolization System Pivotal Trial to Treat Large or Giant Wide Neck Aneurysms.

#### Turbridge

The Parent Artery Reconstruction for Large or Giant Cerebral Aneurysms Using the Tubridge Flow Diverter trial evaluated the safety and efficacy of the Tubridge FD (with and without adjunctive coils) in the treatment of large or giant ICA aneurysms in comparison with stent-assisted coiling. Six-month follow-up imaging showed complete occlusion rates of 75.34%. The procedure-related morbidity and mortality were 2.4% and 3.66%, respectively.<sup>36</sup> A similar occlusion rate was shown in a study by Zhou *et al*, with no morbidity or mortality.<sup>37</sup>

#### FloWise

In a single-centre prospective pilot study published in 2019, the FloWise flow diverter (Taewoong Medical, Seoul, South Korea) was used to treat 14 ICA aneurysms. There were no treatment-related complications, with complete occlusion of 66.7% of aneurysms at 6 months of follow-up and occlusion of 83.3% aneurysms at 12 month follow-up.<sup>38</sup>

#### Small aneurysms

Flow diverters hold unique advantages in treating small aneurysms. Lin et al retrospectively reviewed a singleinstitution aneurysm database of 44 PED cases for small (<10 mm) ICA aneurysms in 41 patients. Angiographical occlusion was observed in 80% at 6 months of follow-up, and mortality was 2.3%.<sup>39</sup> Other studies have also established the effectiveness and safety of PED treatment in patients with small intracranial aneurysms, with lower rates of retreatment compared with both simple coiling and stent-assisted techniques.<sup>40–42</sup> The Prospective Study on Embolization of Intracranial Aneurysms with the Pipeline Device(PREMIER) trial was created to evaluate the safety and efficacy of PED in the treatment of widenecked aneurysms, measuring  $\leq 12$  mm, located along the ICA or the vertebral artery. At 1 year, 76.8% of patients had complete occlusion without parent vessel stenosis  $(\leq 50\%)$  or retreatment. The combined major morbidity and mortality rate was 2.1%.43 In 2019, Pipeline Flex

indications were subsequently expanded by the FDA to include treatment of small or medium wide-neck saccular or fusiform brain aneurysms of the ICA. This is important as over 80% of all cerebral aneurysms in the general population are less than 10 mm in size,<sup>44 45</sup> and the majority of ruptured aneurysms are smaller than 10 mm.<sup>46</sup> Additionally, prospective 25-year, single-centre studies of 1306 aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage (SAH) has shown that very small ruptured aneurysms (<5 mm) rose from 29% during the initial 5-year period (1991–1996) to 50% in the most recent period (2012–2016).<sup>47</sup>

#### Aneurysms beyond the ICA

Until recently, there lacked evidence on the effectiveness of FD for aneurysms at the anterior communicating arteries, MCA bifurcation and basilar apex, which collectively account for a majority of aneurysmal SAH. Atallah et al reported a complete occlusion rate of 78.3% in 23 distal circulation aneurysms treated with PED (11/23 MCA, 6/23 posterior cerebral artery (PCA), 3/23 ACA (A1/A2, pericallosal artery) and 3/23 PICA) with a good clinical outcome in 95% of patients.48 Michelozzi et al studied the use of FD in the treatment of 30 aneurysms in 29 patients (21 located in MCA bifurcation, 8 in anterior communicating artery (ACoA) and 1 in pericallosal artery bifurcation). The overall occlusion rate was 82.1% (23/28), with permanent morbidity of 3.4% and no mortality. One recanalisation occurred during the follow-up time.<sup>49</sup> Colby and colleagues reported a series of 50 cases of ACoA aneurysms treated with PED with 85% complete occlusion at the last follow-up and a permanent neurological morbidity rate of 4%.50 Cagnazzo et al conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of 14 studies (published 2009-2018) that included 148 unruptured saccular ACoA aneurysms treated with FD. PED was used in 97/148 (65.6%) cases, FRED in 21/148 (14.2%), Silk in 18/148 (12.1%) and Surpass in 12/148 (8.1%). Long-term complete/near-complete occlusion rate was 87.4%; treatment-related complication rate was 8.6%; and morbidity and mortality rates of 3.5% and 2.5%, respectively.<sup>51</sup> Pagiola *et al*<sup> $\tilde{p}^2$ </sup> analysed 30 ACoA aneurysms in 30 patients treated with PED (8), Surpass (2), Silk (15) and FRED Jr (5) FDs. Follow-up angiography was available for 23/30 patients (76.6%), and total occlusion occurred in 17/23 patients (73.9%) and adequate occlusion in 86.9%. One patient (3.3%) experienced symptomatic ischaemic stroke.<sup>52</sup>

Initial experiences with FD of MCA aneurysms suggest lower occlusion rates and high rates of covered branch flow modification with unclear symptomatic consequences.<sup>34</sup> Cagnazzo *et al* evaluated 244 MCA aneurysms from 12 studies (published from 2008 to May 2017). PED was most commonly used (71%) followed by Silk (11.4%). The authors reported a complete/near-complete occlusion rate of 78.7%. The rupture rate of treated aneurysms during follow-up was 0.4% per aneurysm-year. The rate of treatment-related complications was 20.7%, and approximately 10% of complications were permanent. The mortality rate was close to 2%. Nearly 10% of jailed arteries were occluded during follow-up, whereas 26% had slow flow. Rates of symptoms related to occlusion and slow flow were close to 5%.<sup>53</sup>

Recent studies further characterise the challenges associated with FD of bifurcation aneurysms and a strategy to improve occlusion outcomes without increasing treatment risk. A single-institution study of occlusion outcomes following 445 anterior circulation PED treatment showed that branch vessel location was a significant predictor of aneurysm persistence on 12-month angiography (OR 2.2, p=0.035). Combining FD with adjunctive coiling in a single stage was the only technique that improved occlusion outcomes (OR 0.3, p=0.036). <sup>54 55</sup>

Aneurysms of the pericallosal artery treated with PED were studied by De Macedo Rodrigues *et al.* Of seven aneurysms, five showed a complete aneurysm occlusion at 6-12 months of follow-up with angiography. The two with persistent aneurysm filling showed decreased aneurysm sac volume on follow-up angiograms (96% and 60%). There was no evidence of implant stenosis or intimal hyperplasia. No thromboembolic or haemorrhagic complications were seen during the follow-up period.<sup>56</sup>

#### Small-diameter vessels

Treatment of aneurysms located beyond the ICA with FD requires technical nuances of managing small-diameter vessels while navigating further into the intracranial vasculature. Advances in vascular access systems and FD deployment have enabled the effective treatment of these aneurysms despite tortuous anatomy and distal intracranial positions. Modern access systems with multiple coaxially introduced catheters of varying stiffness provide proximal support to facilitate navigation of tortuosity within the circle of Willis. Additionally, improved atraumatic catheter tips and catheter tractability reduce the likelihood of vessel injury. Improved modifications in FD systems include the added ability to resheath partially deployed devices, optimised mechanical interaction of the pusher wire and stent during deployment, and increased stent radiopacity. These technical advances have collectively reduced errors during device deployment and enabled the effective use of FDs for treatment of aneurysms beyond the ICA.

There are numerous FDs whose use in small-vessel case series has been reported widely and are summarised here. Most common is the PED, but also the FRED and SILK series. There is less research supporting the efficacy of Surpass, p64, Derivo and Tubridge stents in small vessels.

#### Pipeline Embolization Device

Sweid *et al* retrospectively stratified patients receiving a PED or PED FLEX between 2010 and 2019 into small-calibre (devices $\leq$ 3.0 mm diameter) and large-calibre vessel groups. There were no significant differences between the small-calibre and large-calibre vessel groups in morbidity, mortality or complete aneurysm occlusion. Further, small-calibre vessels were an independent predictor of aneurysm obliteration (2.6 times higher) in multivariate logistic regression.<sup>57</sup>

Bhogal *et al* quantified vessel diameters in a singlecentre experience of small vessel (average diameter was 2.1 m, 1.3–2.5 mm range).<sup>58</sup> Aneurysm occlusion rates were high, with 94% Raymond-Roy grade 1 occlusion and 6% Raymond-Roy grade 3 (complete filling). Neurological status at 90 days was 2 patients had a modified Rankin Score (mRS) of 6 (one unrelated, one due to enlarging dissecting aneurysm); 27 of 29 patients had mRS≤2; and 24 of 29 had mRS=0.

Bender *et al* reported their experience with 67 aneurysms in 57 patients with even smaller parent vessels, measuring on average 1.93 and 1.70 mm preoperatively at the proximal and distal ends of the stent landing zone, respectively. Complete occlusion was high: 88% at 6 months and 89% at last follow-up. The major morbidity rate of 4.5% and mortality rate of 1.5% compare favourably to both on-label PED and small aneurysm PED series.<sup>59</sup>

#### Silk Vista Baby (SVB)

The latest in the Silk line of FDs is the SVB, a stent designed specifically deployment in small parent vessels. SVB is the only FD capable of being delivered through a 0.017 ID microcatheter.

Martínez-Galdámez *et al* reviewed the safety and technical feasibility of the SVB in a multicentre, retrospective review of 41 patients with 43 aneurysms treated with SVB.<sup>60</sup> The average proximal and distal parent vessel diameters were 2.28 and 2.00 mm, respectively (range 0.9–3.6 mm). Immediate occlusion outcomes showed 8 (18.6%) aneurysms showing complete occlusion, 5 (11.6%) aneurysms showing near-complete occlusion, 4 (9.6%) aneurysms showing incomplete filling and 26 cases (60.4%) showing persistent filling. There were five intraprocedural complications which were resolved without clinical consequences, and there were no neurological deficits. Postoperative morbidity was 7.3%.<sup>60</sup>

Schob *et al* presented longer follow-up results on SVB performance in a series of 25 prospectively included patients. There were no technical or clinical complications.<sup>61</sup> Follow-up (average 2.7 months postoperatively) was available for 24/27 aneurysms: 17 (70.8%) aneurysms were completely occluded; 6 (25%) showed decreased influx; and one (4.1%) showed no haemodynamic change. The authors feel that enhanced visibility and radial force likely reduce non-opening issues associated with SILK and SILK+. SVB series reporting long-term clinical and radiographical outcomes are needed and will further characterise the efficacy of SVB as a small-vessel FD.

#### FRED Jr

FRED Jr, designed specifically for small-vessel aneurysms, has been shown to be safe and effective for treating distal circulation aneurysms. Möhlenbruch et al reported a multicentre observational clinical study of 42 patients and 47 aneurysms, all successfully embolised with FRED Jr.<sup>62</sup> The median parent vessel diameter was 2.4 mm (range 1.4–3.6 mm). There was one disabling ischaemic stroke, one minor stroke with full recovery and one transient ischaemic attack (TIA). Follow-up angiography demonstrated 27/41 aneurysms occluded at 1 month; 21/27 aneurysms occluded at 6 months; and 11/11 aneurysms occluded at 12 months. The author's comment on the stability of this dual-layered FD, its lengthy profile of 41 mm allowing for fewer stent deployments, and only 16 wires in the outer layer reducing stent-to-catheter friction during stent deployment. Rautio et al conducted a study of 15 aneurysms and observed a complete occlusion rate of 87%, with no morbidity or mortality.<sup>63</sup> In a separate study by Sivansankar et al, 12 patients with 15 small aneurysms were treated with FRED Junior. Twelve of 15 aneurysms were unruptured; 1 was treated in an acutely ruptured setting; and 2 (presented with SAH) were initially treated with balloon assisted coiling and then treated with an FD. Complete occlusion was observed 80% of aneurysms. There were no complications in the form of TIAs, stroke or death.<sup>64</sup>

#### Surpass, p64 and Tubridge

The initial clinical assessment of Surpass, published in 2013, included 11 patients (14 aneurysms) treated with a 2.0 mm diameter Surpass device.<sup>19</sup> Good occlusion outcomes were achieved in seven (64%) of these cases, with procedure-related morbidities in 18%. Literature supports the efficacy of p64 in treating distal circulation aneurysms,<sup>65 66</sup> but p64 series with quantified parent vessel diameters are scant. There are minimal or no reports to our knowledge describing experiences with Tubridge and Derivo in distal circulation.

#### Posterior circulation

Posterior circulation aneurysms represent a heterogenous group with a poor natural history. Non-saccular morphologies are more likely to produce ischaemic or compressive symptoms,<sup>67</sup> while saccular aneurysms in the posterior circulation are at higher risk of rupture than their anterior circulation counterparts,<sup>68</sup> which prompt the desire to treat electively. However, open surgery for posterior circulation aneurysms carries a high morbidity due to the challenging anatomy, and stent-assisted coiling faces high recurrence rates. Bender et al reported a series of 59 posterior circulation aneurysms treated with PED in 55 patients with acceptable occlusion rate (78% at 12 month post-PED implantation) and safety (five major complications, 8%). These studies include seven basilar apex aneurysm and an additional seven adjacent aneurysms in which the PED crossed the basilar apex.<sup>69</sup> Griessenauer and colleagues conducted a multicentre study of 131 posterior circulation aneurysms treated with PED. Twenty-nine (22.1%) dissecting, 53 (40.5%) fusiform and 49 (37.4%) saccular lesions were included. Treatment of 39 aneurysms (29.8%) was performed in the immediate, acute or remote setting of SAH. Complete or near-complete occlusion was 78.1%. Major ( $\geq 2$  points in mRS score change) and minor (<2 in mRS score change) complications occurred in 10.1% and 14.7% of procedures, respectively. Thromboembolic complications occurred in 22.5% of procedures overall. Mortality within 30 days was 6.3% (eight patients) and after 30 days (six patients) was 4.7%. Major complications were highest in fusiform aneurysms and mortality was highest in dissecting aneurysms.<sup>70</sup> Dmytriw et al studied 16 basilar apex aneurysms treated with either PED or FRED. Five aneurysms (31.3%) were treated in the setting of SAH. Seven aneurysms (43.8%) were treated with FD alone, while nine (56.2%) underwent FD and adjunctive coiling. Complete or near complete occlusion was reported in 11 (68.8%) aneurysms. Retreatment with an additional FD and adjunctive coiling occurred in two aneurysms with wide necks. There was one mortality in a patient (6.3%) who experienced PCA and cerebellar strokes as well as SAH after the placement of an FD. Minor complications occurred in two patients (12.5%).<sup>71</sup> Taschner et al reported the safety and efficacy of Surpass in a multicentre, observational study of 52 patients with posterior circulation aneurysms. Angiographical follow-up for 44 patients with a median follow-up of 11.3 months (5.9-12.7 months) showed complete occlusion was in 29 patients (66%). Overall morbidity and mortality rates were 27%. Nine (17.3) patients died, with seven directly related to the procedure. Asymptomatic patients had 5% morbidity and 0% mortality, while symptomatic patients had 44% morbidity and 28% mortality.<sup>7</sup>

#### **Additional FD advantages**

Institutional level studies have continued to establish FD embolisation as an effective and safe treatment, with similarly high angiographical success rates and low rates of morbidity and mortality as reported in previous clinical trials.<sup>38–41 50 73</sup> In particular, the PED was also shown to be more cost effective than traditional stent-assisted coiling of large anterior circulation aneurysms (27.1%

cost reduction per millimetre of aneurysm treated in the PED arm compared with stent-assisted coiling).<sup>6</sup> Malhotra et al studied the cost effectiveness of PED versus stent-assisted coiling and found that for small unruptured anterior circulation aneurysms, PED embolisation is more cost-effective with stent-assisted coiling and found that for small unruptured anterior circulation aneurysms, PED embolisation is more cost-effective with the main drivers being lower aneurysm recurrence rate and lower morbidity and mortality.<sup>74</sup> These findings were supported by Twitchell et al's retrospective study on the cost of clipping, coiling and FD. The authors report that coiling (mean total cost 0.25%±0.20%) had a higher cost than FD (mean 0.20%±0.16%) and clipping (mean  $0.17\% \pm 0.14\%$ , p<0.01).<sup>75</sup> Using the PED, the treatment of large and giant proximal ICA aneurysms requires less radiation, less fluoroscopy time and less contrast use than traditional coiling techniques.<sup>76</sup> Average radiation dose with PED treatment is of 2840 mGy, compared with 4010 mGy using traditional coiling techniques.<sup>76</sup> The increasing body of evidence has firmly established FDs as the standard treatment for large and giant aneurysms, as evidenced by the decrease in use of both coil and stent since their introduction.<sup>76 77</sup>

#### **Dual-antiplatelet therapy (DAT) and FD**

Regular use of DAT consisting of aspirin (ASA) and clopidogrel in the neurointerventional field was inherited from cardiology, where DAT was shown to decrease ischaemic complications after percutaneous coronary intervention. DAT was adopted in neurointerventional practice to address ischaemic complications associated with aneurysm coils and intraluminal implantation such as FDs and vascular reconstruction devices. The efficacy of placing patients undergoing FD embolisation on ASA and clopidogrel has since been widely accepted in preoperative planning.

The biological mechanism of antiplatelet therapy is slightly altered, depending on the combination of drugs administered. ASA is commonly paired with either clopidogrel (Plavix), prasugrel (Effient) or ticagrelor (Brilinta). Clopidogrel and ticagrelor both inhibit P2Y12 receptors, thereby reducing dense granule secretion and subsequent platelet aggregation.<sup>78</sup> Clopidogrel requires a combination of enteric and hepatic metabolism prior to P2Y12 receptor binding. Perhaps due to this complex metabolic pathway, approximately 30% of patients exhibit clopidogrel hyporesponse (<30% P2Y12 receptor inhibition on routine dosing) due to heterozygosity in the CYP2C19 gene. In contrast, ticagrelor binds directly to the P2Y12 receptor. Ticagrelor and prasugrel have been shown to have more favourable pharmacokinetics than clopidogrel and correspondingly elicit a more timely and potent antiplatelet effect.<sup>79</sup>

DAT consisting of ASA and clopidogrel is the gold standard. However, the aforementioned interindividual variability in clopidogrel response has prompted research into adoption of prasugrel or ticagrelor as alternatives. Studies indicate no difference in morbidity, mortality or angiographical outcome when comparing clopidogrel/ASA and ticagrelor/ASA.<sup>78 80</sup> Though ticagrelor has been shown to be more potent and reliable than clopidogrel, its use is largely limited to clopidogrel hyporesponders because of its expense.<sup>78</sup>

Prasugrel has also been shown to safely replace clopidogrel in DAT for clopidogrel hyporesponders. Of 22 patients receiving ASA and prasugrel, 4.5% demonstrated in-stent stenosis (ISS) compared with 6.1% for the ASA and clopidogrel group.<sup>79</sup> There were no long-term recurrences among the prasugrel group, and the postprocedural complication rate of the prasugrel group was statistically insignificantly lower than that of the clopidogrel group. Some centres have fully adopted prasugrel as the efficacy of this antiplatelet agent is further explored.

#### Platelet Function Testing

Lack of predictability in a patient's response to DAT added to the need for accurate and convenient platelet function tests to personalise DAT regimens. Lab-based methods include Light Transmission Aggregometry (LTA), Impedance Aggregometry, and Thromboelastography (TEG). While LTA is traditionally the gold standard, it is a timeconsuming process calling for centrifugation of blood samples. The VerifyNow P2Y12 clopidogrel assessment is a convenient point-of-care method of assessing clopidogrel response. Kim et al suggest use of routine platelet function in patients at high risk for thrombosis to identify clopidogrel non-responders and provide alternate DAT regimens as previously discussed, including ticagrelor, prasugrel, or cilostazol.<sup>81</sup> However, Bender et al reported on this assay's imprecision in their experience with PED patients: they observed that 24% of patients shifted between the categories of hypo-response, therapeutic, and hyper-response within a 24 hours period. The authors suggest that this high variability in the VerifyNow P2Y12 clopidogrel response assessment may reflect assay or biological imprecision, and suggest caution when guiding clinical decision based on P2Y12 levels.<sup>82</sup>

#### In-stent stenosis (ISS) and acute stent thrombosis

ISS refers to the gradual occlusion of the stented arterial segment in part from intimal hyperplasia and platelet interactions with FD wires and may be observed in shortterm angiographical follow-up with an incidence of 3.5%–57% with various FDs. This wide range is due to the different definitions and grading of ISS used by different authors. A majority of cases show complete resolution or improvement on long-term follow-up with DAT.<sup>83</sup> In regard to small-vessel FD, smaller diameter PEDs are less contourable, resulting in a proximal in vivo device diameter that will mirror the distal diameter (mirroring the smallest calibre vessel size of the PED landing zone), which may portend to a higher theoretical risk of ISS. Despite this theoretical risk, only 1 of 66 successful cases described experienced flow delay due to ISS, and this patient remained asymptomatic.<sup>69</sup>

Acute stent thrombosis, another safety concern with small-vessel FD, refers to rapid platelet aggregation on the stent and subsequent ischaemia. Various institutions have reported their experience with stent thrombosis in smallvessel FD. Cagnazzo et al reported two strokes due to stent thrombosis from 17 patients treated for distal ACA aneurysms.<sup>84</sup> Bhogal *et al* reported no stent thrombosis across 29 patients receiving PED in vessels no larger than 2.5 mm.<sup>58</sup> Martínez-Galdámez et al reported no instances of stent thrombosis across 41 patients treated with SVB with an average parent vessel diameter of 2.0 mm.<sup>60</sup> In a series of 67 small-vessel aneurysms treated with FD, Bender et al reported five (7.5%) instances of intraprocedural stent thrombosis, all of which were successfully managed with intraprocedural abciximab dosing according to Lin et al's dosing strategy for the management of acute intraprocedural thromboembolic complications during PED treatment.<sup>59</sup> Intra-arterial (IA) abciximab (ReoPro) has been shown to be a safe and effective strategy for managing acute intraprocedural thromboembolic complications during PED treatment. Lin et al identified 30 cases where thromboembolic complications occurred during PED placement. After using a dosing strategy of either 5 mg increments or a 0.125 mg/kg IA bolus (half cardiac dosing) complete or partial recanalisation was achieved in 100% of cases with a low rate of complications and long-term morbidity.<sup>85</sup> Heightened vigilance and appropriate perioperative management of stent thrombosis is necessary in small-vessel FD.

#### INTRASACCULAR FLOW DISRUPTION Woven EndoBridge (WEB) device

Success with FD techniques expanded the neuroendovascular field into creative solutions with flow modulation approaches and braided mesh devices. The WEB intrasaccular flow disrupter (MicroVention/Sequent Medical, Aliso Viejo, California, USA) uses a self-expanding braided mesh implant composed of nitinol and platinum attached to a flexible delivery wire. The WEB device was designed for treatment of wide-neck bifurcation aneurysms (WNBAs). After deployment, the implant is electrothermally detached from the delivery wire.<sup>86</sup> Dmytriw et al reviewed 11 key WEB device trials from articles published between 2014 and 2018.<sup>87</sup> Adequate occlusion (complete occlusion or residual neck) ranged from 51.7% to 96%, with a mean follow-up time ranging from 1.7 to 39.0 months. Morbidity rates ranged from 0% to 23%, and mortality rates ranged from 0% to 8.5%.

The Woven EndoBridge Intrasaccular Therapy (WEB-IT) Study was the first FDA premarket approval trial for an intrasaccular aneurysm device, and the first trial for a device used to specifically treat WNBAs.<sup>88</sup> On angiographical follow-up, 53.8% of patients experienced complete occlusion, while 84.6% of patients experienced adequate occlusion (residual neck or complete occlusion). The rate of complete occlusion for WEB device is similar when compared with other endovascular treatments for

wide-necked aneurysms, such as stent-assisted coiling (45.7%, n=70) and Low-profile Visualised Intraluminal Support(LVIS) stent system (62.5%, n=153).<sup>89 90</sup> However, compared with parent artery stenting and endovascular treatments requiring dual-antiplatelet medication, the results of the WEB-IT study suggest that the WEB device has a superior safety profile up to 1 year after implantation. The safety and effectiveness of the WEB device has been demonstrated in several studies.<sup>87 91 92</sup>

In a recent publication, Goertz *et al* performed a comparative analysis of a newer generation WEB device (WEB 17) with the predecessor WEB devices. The WEB 17 was designed for smaller aneurysms and a 0.017-inch delivery microcatheter, compared with its predecessor's (WEB 21), which uses a 0.021-inch microcatheter for delivery. The WEB 17 had a lower failure rate, 0% compared with 10.3% in its predecessors devices (p=0.05). The rate of neurological complications and rate of complete occlusion were not significantly different; however, the WEB 17 had a significantly lower thromboembolic event rate (14.3%) compared its predecessors (5.3%).<sup>93</sup> The safety and effectiveness of WEB 17 has also been suggested by van Rooji *et al* and Maurer *et al* based on retrospective studies.<sup>94,95</sup>

Mounting evidence continues to validate the utility and safety of the WEB device for the treatment of widenecked intracranial aneurysms, and more is being learnt about optimising its use and predicting the potential risk of WEB implantation for patients with varying aneurysm morphologies. A multicentre, retrospective study published by Goertz et al focused on risk factors of procedural complications due to WEB endovascular treatment of WNBAs. The authors analysed 120 patients with 120 aneurysms and found that an unfavourable aneurysm height to width ratio significantly increased the risk for procedural complications.<sup>96</sup> Cagnazzo *et al* sought to predict the factors contributing to adequate intracranial aneurysm occlusion after WEB device implantation. In a single-centre retrospective study with 86 patients with 86 aneurysms with at least a 12-month angiographical follow-up, the authors found using that aneurysms with a neck wider than 4 mm or greater were independently associated with incomplete occlusion.<sup>97</sup> Recently, Goertz et al demonstrated that the WEB device can also be used as an endovascular treatment for ICA sidewall aneurysms and no procedural-related morbidity or mortality<sup>98</sup> Table 3 summarises the major studies involving WEB.

#### LUNA/Artisse

The LUNA Aneurysm Embolization System (LUNA AES), also known as Artisse (Medtronic, Irvine, California, USA) is a self-expanding, mechanically detachable, endovascular flow disruption device with a double-layer nitinol mesh with platinum markers.<sup>87 99 100</sup> This device was evaluated for safety and efficacy in Europe in a prospective multicentre trial, named the LUNA AES Post-Market Clinical Follow-up.<sup>101</sup> Adequate occlusion in 78.0% in by 12 months and 79.2% by 36 months. These authors also

Table 3 Summary c	of intrasaccular f	low disruption de	vices		
Intrasaccular flow disruption devices	Author, year	Patients, aneurysms (n)	Aneurysm location	Occlusion rate	Morbidity (%), mortality (%)
WEB device	Klisch <i>et al</i> , 2011 <sup>86</sup>	2,2	Unruptured basilar tip and unruptured MCA bifurcaton	100% at 8 weeks	0, 0
	Mine <i>et al</i> , 2018 <sup>91</sup>	48, 49	29/49 (59%) located on the MCA, 7/49 on the basilar tip (14%), 5/49 on the ICA (10%), 5/49 ACoA (10%), 2/49 on the PICA (4%) and 1/49 on the vertebral artery (2%)	72.3% 34/47 (range 3–72 months, mean 25 months, median 24 months)	8.3, 0
	Arthur <i>et al</i> , 2019 (WEB-IT Study) <sup>88</sup>	150, 150 (148 successfully delivered)	Wide-necked bifurcation aneurysms	53.8% complete occlusion, 84.6% complete occlusion/residual neck at 1 year	0.7, 0
	Popielski <i>et al</i> , 2018 <sup>105</sup>	102, 101	86.3% anterior and 13.7% posterior ruptured and unruptured aneurysms	80.7% (63/78) at 3 months and 77.6% (38/49) at 12 months	4, 1
	Kaya <i>et al</i> , 2020 <sup>92</sup>	42; 42	MCA bifurcation in 29 (69%), basilar tip in 5 (12%), ACoA in 5 (12%), ICA tip in 2 (5%), and M1 segment of MCA in 1 (2%) patient	Adequate occlusion (complete or neck remnant) in 97.0% (35/36) at 1 year	0, 0
	Goertz <i>et al</i> , 2019 <sup>98</sup>	20; 20	ICA sidewall aneurysms paraophthalmic segment (n=10), the posterior communicating artery segment (n=9) and the anterior choroidal artery segment (n=1)	76.5% at a mean of 9.6±8.2 months	0, 0
WEB 17	van Rooij <i>et</i> a/, 2018 <sup>94</sup>	40; 46	ACoA in 17, MCA in 13, PICA in 7; pericallosal artery in 3; basilar tip in 3; and the anterior choroidal artery, carotid tip and superior cerebellar artery each in 1	72% at 3 months	0, 0
	Maurer <i>et al</i> , 2019 <sup>95</sup>	117; 127	26.0% ACoA, 8.6% ACA, 41.0% MCA bifurcation, 1.6% MCA M1, 7.8% ICA PComA, 4.0% ICA bifurcation, 5.4% basilar tip, 0.8% PCA, 2.4% PICA, 2.4% superior cerebellar artery	76.1% (70/92) at 3 months and 78.0% (32/41) 12 months.	1.7, 0
LUNA AES	Piotin <i>et al</i> , 2018 <sup>101</sup>	63; 64	23.4% ACoA, 6.3% basilar apex, 29.7 MCA, 12.5% ICA terminus, 4.7% ICA (cavernous), 4.7% ICA (ophthalmic), 4.7% ICA (PComm), 4.7% ICA (hypophyseal), 4.7% PCA, 3.1% ACA, 1.6% PICA	Adequate occlusion in 78.0% in 1 year and 79.2% in 3 years	1.6, 1.6
ACA, anterior cerebral a artery; PICA, posterior i	artery; ACoA, ante inferior cerebellar ¿	rior communicating artery; WEB, Woven	artery; ICA, internal carotid artery; MCA, middle cerebral artery; PCA, posteri EndoBridge.	r cerebral artery; PComm, posterior com	nmunicating

compare LUNA AES and the WEB device, and discuss the similar occlusion rates and low morbidity and mortality observed in studies of both devices. The WEB has been mostly studied for wide-neck aneurysms, while the LUNA AES has been studied for small and medium aneurysms. More testing is required to validate the use of LUNA AES for large and wide-neck aneurysms. As such, LUNA/ Artisse has not yet achieved FDA approval for the U.S. Table 3 summarises the literature to date on LUNA AES.

#### Contour

A newer device to enter the market is the Contour Neurovascular System (Cerus Endovascular, Fremont, California, USA), an electrically detachable endovascular device made of nitinol with radio-opaque markers similar to the WEB device. Early trials are ongoing, and it is being considered for CE mark approval in Europe. It is designed to be deployed at the neck and to avoid the dome. The Contour is meant to act as a flow disruptor and flow redirector for a wider range of aneurysms not limited by size or morphology.<sup>100</sup>

#### CONCLUSION

The use of braided mesh devices in the neuroendovascular space for the treatment of cerebral aneurysms has quickly evolved since the initial introduction of FD technology in 2007. Cerebral aneurysms that are challenging to treat and not previously amenable to endovascular therapy can now be safely and effectively treated with FDs.<sup>102</sup> Over the past decade, adoption of FD techniques has continued to increase with resultant paradigm shift of choosing FDs as first-line treatment for large and giant ICA aneurysms. At present, PED, Surpass, and FRED are the only commercially available devices approved by the FDA for use in the United States. Many other FDs are available in Europe and other countries internationally. With increased adoption of FDs, use of this technique has also expanded with success into small aneurysms, posterior circulation aneurysms, and distal intracranial aneurysms beyond the ICA. Following the evolution of FD techniques, the neuroendovascular space has continued to iterate with additional flow modulation devices such as the intrasaccular flow disrupter.

Twitter Jessica K Campos @drjessicacampos, Li-Mei Lin @drlimeilin and Alexander L Coon @dralexandercoon

**Contributors** JKC, BL, BC, DAZ and CDV drafted the manuscript. JKC, GPC, L-ML and ALC critically revised the important intellectual content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Funding** The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

**Competing interests** L-ML is a consultant and proctor for Stryker Neurovascular as well as consultant for MicroVention-Terumo and Cerenovus Neurovascular. GPC is a proctor for Stryker Neurovascular, MicroVention-Terumo and Medtronic Neurovascular, and is a consultant for Stryker Neurovascular. ALC is a consultant and shareholder for InNeuroCo; and a consultant and proctor for Medtronic Neurovascular, MicroVention-Terumo and Stryker Neurovascular.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

#### **ORCID iDs**

Jessica K Campos http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1075-0764 Barry Cheaney II http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3580-133X Li-Mei Lin http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6963-9799 Alexander L Coon http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1105-4333

#### REFERENCES

- Molyneux A. International subarachnoid aneurysm trial (ISAT) of neurosurgical clipping versus endovascular coiling in 2143 patients with ruptured intracranial aneurysms: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2002;360:1267–74.
- 2 Spetzler RF, McDougall CG, Zabramski JM, *et al.* The Barrow ruptured aneurysm trial: 6-year results. *J Neurosurg* 2015;123:609–17.
- 3 Brinjikji W, White PM, Nahser H, et al. HydroCoils Are Associated with Lower Angiographic Recurrence Rates Than Are Bare Platinum Coils in Treatment of "Difficult-to-Treat" Aneurysms: A Post Hoc Subgroup Analysis of the HELPS Trial. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2015;36:1689–94.
- 4 Taschner CA, Chapot R, Costalat V, *et al*. GREAT-a randomized controlled trial comparing HydroSoft/HydroFrame and bare platinum coils for endovascular aneurysm treatment: procedural safety and core-lab-assessedangiographic results. *Neuroradiology* 2016;58:777–86.
- 5 Akpek S, Arat A, Morsi H, *et al*. Self-expandable stent-assisted coiling of wide-necked intracranial aneurysms: a single-center experience. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2005;26:1223–31.
- 6 Colby GP, Lin L-M, Paul AR, et al. Cost comparison of endovascular treatment of anterior circulation aneurysms with the pipeline embolization device and stent-assisted coiling. *Neurosurgery* 2012;71:944–50. discussion 948–50.
- 7 Piotin M, Blanc R, Spelle L, et al. Stent-assisted coiling of intracranial aneurysms: clinical and angiographic results in 216 consecutive aneurysms. *Stroke* 2010;41:110–5.
- 8 Dengler J, Maldaner N, Gläsker S, et al. Outcome of Surgical or Endovascular Treatment of Giant Intracranial Aneurysms, with Emphasis on Age, Aneurysm Location, and Unruptured Aneuryms--A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Cerebrovasc Dis 2016;41:187–98.
- 9 Hauck EF, Welch BG, White JA, *et al*. Stent/coil treatment of very large and giant unruptured ophthalmic and cavernous aneurysms. *Surg Neurol* 2009;71:19–24. discussion 24.
- 10 Aenis M, Stancampiano AP, Wakhloo AK, et al. Modeling of flow in a straight stented and nonstented side wall aneurysm model. J Biomech Eng 1997;119:206–12.
- 11 Hoi Y, Woodward SH, Kim M, *et al.* Validation of CFD simulations of cerebral aneurysms with implication of geometric variations. *J Biomech Eng* 2006;128:844–51.
- 12 Jiang B, Paff M, Colby GP, et al. Cerebral aneurysm treatment: modern neurovascular techniques. BMJ 2016;1:93–100.
- 13 Nelson PK, Lylyk P, Szikora I, et al. The pipeline embolization device for the intracranial treatment of aneurysms trial. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2011;32:34–40.
- 14 Lylyk P, Miranda C, Ceratto R, et al. Curative endovascular reconstruction of cerebral aneurysms with the pipeline embolization device: the Buenos Aires experience. *Neurosurgery* 2009;64:632–43. discussion 642–3; quiz N6.
- 15 Szikora I, Berentei Z, Kulcsar Z, et al. Treatment of intracranial aneurysms by functional reconstruction of the parent artery: the Budapest experience with the pipeline embolization device. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2010;31:1139–47.
- 16 Becske T, Kallmes DF, Saatci I, et al. Pipeline for uncoilable or failed aneurysms: results from a multicenter clinical trial. *Radiology* 2013;267:858–68.
- 17 Atasoy D, Kandasamy N, Hart J, et al. Outcome study of the pipeline embolization device with shield technology in unruptured aneurysms (PEDSU). AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2019;40:2094–101.
- 18 Trivelato FP, Wajnberg E, Rezende MTS, *et al.* Safety and effectiveness of the pipeline flex embolization device with shield technology for the treatment of intracranial aneurysms: midterm

#### **Open access**

results from a multicenter study. *Neurosurgery* 2019. doi:10.1093/ neuros/nyz356

- 19 De Vries J, Boogaarts J, Van Norden A, et al. New generation of flow Diverter (surpass) for unruptured intracranial aneurysms: a prospective single-center study in 37 patients. Stroke 2013;44:1567–77.
- 20 Wakhloo AK, Lylyk P, de Vries J, et al. Surpass flow diverter in the treatment of intracranial aneurysms: a prospective multicenter study. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2015;36:98–107.
- 21 Meyers PM, Coon AL, Kan PT, et al. Scent trial. Stroke 2019:50:1473–9.
- 22 Kan P, Srinivasan VM, Mbabuike N, et al. Aneurysms with persistent patency after treatment with the pipeline embolization device. J Neurosurg 2017;126:1894–8.
- 23 Lubicz B, Collignon L, Raphaeli G, et al. Flow-diverter stent for the endovascular treatment of intracranial aneurysms: a prospective study in 29 patients with 34 aneurysms. *Stroke* 2010;41:2247–53.
- 24 Berge J, Biondi A, Machi P, et al. Flow-diverter silk stent for the treatment of intracranial aneurysms: 1-year follow-up in a multicenter study. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2012;33:1150–5.
- 25 Foa Torres G, Roca F, Noguera A, et al. Silk flow-diverter stent for the treatment of complex intracranial aneurysms: a one-year followup multicenter study. *Interv Neuroradiol* 2018;24:357–62.
- 26 Lubicz B, Van der Elst O, Collignon L, et al. Silk flow-diverter stent for the treatment of intracranial aneurysms: a series of 58 patients with emphasis on long-term results. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2015;36:542–6.
- 27 Pierot L, Spelle L, Berge J, et al. Safe study (safety and efficacy analysis of Fred embolic device in aneurysm treatment): 1-year clinical and anatomical results. J Neurointerv Surg 2019;11:184–9.
- 28 Möhlenbruch MA, Herweh C, Jestaedt L, et al. The Fred flowdiverter stent for intracranial aneurysms: clinical study to assess safety and efficacy. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2015;36:1155–61.
- 29 Piano M, Valvassori L, Lozupone E, *et al*. Fred Italian registry: a multicenter experience with the flow re-direction endoluminal device for intracranial aneurysms. *J Neurosurg* 2019:1–8.
- 30 Trivelato FP, Abud DG, Ulhôa AC, et al. Derivo embolization device for the treatment of intracranial aneurysms. Stroke 2019;50:2351–8.
- 31 Akgul E, Onan HB, Akpinar S, *et al*. The DERIVO embolization device in the treatment of intracranial aneurysms: short- and midterm results. *World Neurosurg* 2016;95:229–40.
- 32 Daglioglu E, Akmangit I, Acik V, et al. The experience of derivoŽ embolisation device in intracranial aneurysms. *Turk Neurosurg* 2019.
- 33 Fischer S, Aguilar-Pérez M, Henkes E, et al. Initial experience with p64: a novel mechanically detachable flow Diverter for the treatment of intracranial saccular Sidewall aneurysms. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2015;36:2082–9.
- 34 Briganti F, Leone G, Ugga L, et al. Mid-Term and long-term followup of intracranial aneurysms treated by the p64 flow modulation device: a multicenter experience. J Neurointerv Surg 2017;9:70–6.
- 35 Sirakov S, Sirakov A, Bhogal P, et al. The p64 Flow Diverter-Midterm and Long-term Results from a Single Center. *Clin Neuroradiol* 2019. doi:10.1007/s00062-019-00823-y
- 36 Liu J-M, Zhou Y, Li Y, et al. Parent artery reconstruction for large or giant cerebral aneurysms using the Tubridge flow Diverter: a multicenter, randomized, controlled clinical trial (PARAT). AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2018;39:807–16.
- 37 Zhou Y, Yang P-F, Fang Y-B, et al. A novel flow-diverting device (Tubridge) for the treatment of 28 large or giant intracranial aneurysms: a single-center experience. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2014;35:2326–33.
- 38 Kim BM, Park KY, Lee JW, et al. A Newly-Developed flow Diverter (FloWise) for internal carotid artery aneurysm: results of a pilot clinical study. *Korean J Radiol* 2019;20:505–12.
- 39 Lin L-M, Colby GP, Kim JE, et al. Immediate and follow-up results for 44 consecutive cases of small (<10 mm) internal carotid artery aneurysms treated with the pipeline embolization device. Surg Neurol Int 2013;4:114.
- 40 Chalouhi N, Zanaty M, Whiting A, et al. Safety and efficacy of the pipeline embolization device in 100 small intracranial aneurysms. J Neurosurg 2015;122:1498–502.
- 41 Griessenauer CJ, Ogilvy CS, Foreman PM, et al. Pipeline embolization device for small intracranial aneurysms: evaluation of safety and efficacy in a multicenter cohort. *Neurosurgery* 2017;80:579–87.
- 42 Malhotra A, Wu X, Miller T, *et al.* Comparative effectiveness analysis of pipeline device versus coiling in unruptured aneurysms smaller than 10 mm. *J Neurosurg* 2019:1–9.

- 43 Hanel RA, Kallmes DF, Lopes DK, et al. Prospective study on embolization of intracranial aneurysms with the pipeline device: the premier study 1 year results. J Neurointerv Surg 2020;12:62–6.
- 44 , Morita A, Kirino T, UCAS Japan Investigators, et al. The natural course of unruptured cerebral aneurysms in a Japanese cohort. N Engl J Med 2012;366:2474–82.
- 45 Wiebers DO, Whisnant JP, Huston J, *et al.* Unruptured intracranial aneurysms: natural history, clinical outcome, and risks of surgical and endovascular treatment. *Lancet* 2003;362:103–10.
- 46 Roessler K, Cejna M, Zachenhofer I. Aneurysmatic subarachnoidal haemorrhage: incidence and location of small ruptured cerebral aneurysms - a retrospective population-based study. *Wien Klin Wochenschr* 2011;123:444–9.
- 47 Bender MT, Wendt H, Monarch T, *et al.* Small aneurysms account for the majority and increasing percentage of aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: a 25-year, single institution study. *Neurosurgery* 2018;83:692–9.
- 48 Atallah E, Saad H, Mouchtouris N, *et al*. Pipeline for distal cerebral circulation aneurysms. *Neurosurgery* 2019;85:E477–84.
- 49 Michelozzi C, Darcourt J, Guenego A, et al. Flow diversion treatment of complex bifurcation aneurysms beyond the circle of Willis: complications, aneurysm sac occlusion, reabsorption, recurrence, and jailed branch modification at follow-up. J Neurosurg 2018;131:1–12.
- 50 Colby GP, Bender MT, Lin L-M, et al. Endovascular flow diversion for treatment of anterior communicating artery region cerebral aneurysms: a single-center cohort of 50 cases. J Neurointerv Surg 2017;9:679–85.
- 51 Cagnazzo F, Limbucci N, Nappini S, et al. Flow-Diversion treatment of unruptured saccular anterior communicating artery aneurysms: a systematic review and meta-analysis. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2019;40:497–502.
- 52 Pagiola I, Mihalea C, Caroff J, *et al*. Flow diversion treatment of aneurysms of the complex region of the anterior communicating artery: which stent placement strategy should 'I' use? A single center experience. *J Neurointerv Surg* 2019;11:1118–22.
- 53 Cagnazzo F, Mantilla D, Lefevre P-H, et al. Treatment of middle cerebral artery aneurysms with Flow-Diverter stents: a systematic review and meta-analysis. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2017;38:2289–94.
- 54 Bender MT, Wendt H, Monarch T, et al. Shifting treatment paradigms for ruptured aneurysms from open surgery to endovascular therapy over 25 years. World Neurosurg 2017;106:919–24.
- 55 Bender MT, Colby GP, Lin L-M, et al. Predictors of cerebral aneurysm persistence and occlusion after flow diversion: a singleinstitution series of 445 cases with angiographic follow-up. J Neurosurg 2018;130:1–9.
- 56 De Macedo Rodrigues K, Kühn AL, Tamura T, et al. Pipeline embolization device for Pericallosal artery aneurysms: a retrospective single center safety and efficacy study. Oper Neurosurg 2018;14:351–8.
- 57 Sweid A, Starke RM, Herial N, *et al*. Predictors of complications, functional outcome, and morbidity in a large cohort treated with flow diversion. *Neurosurgery* 2019;114.
- 58 Bhogal P, Chudyk J, Bleise C, et al. The use of flow diversion in vessels ≤2.5 mm in Diameter-A single-center experience. World Neurosurg 2018;118:e575–83.
- 59 Bender MT, Zarrin DA, Campos JK, et al. Tiny pipes: 67 cases of flow diversion for aneurysms in distal vessels measuring less than 2.0 MM. World Neurosurg 2019;127:e193–201.
- 60 Martínez-Galdámez M, Biondi A, Kalousek V, *et al.* Periprocedural safety and technical outcomes of the new silk vista baby flow diverter for the treatment of intracranial aneurysms: results from a multicenter experience. *J Neurointerv Surg* 2019;11:723–7.
- 61 Schob S, Hoffmann K-T, Richter C, et al. Flow diversion beyond the circle of Willis: endovascular aneurysm treatment in peripheral cerebral arteries employing a novel low-profile flow diverting stent. J Neurointerv Surg 2019;11:1227–34.
- 62 Möhlenbruch MA, Kizilkilic O, Killer-Oberpfalzer M, et al. Multicenter experience with Fred jr flow Re-Direction endoluminal device for intracranial aneurysms in small arteries. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2017;38:1959–65.
- 63 Rautio R, Rahi M, Katila A, *et al.* Single-Center experience with six-month follow-up of Fred Jr® flow diverters for intracranial aneurysms in small arteries. *Acta Radiol* 2019;60:917–24.
- 64 Sivasankar R, Shrivastava M, Limaye US. Experience with Fred junior flow diverter in treatment of cerebral aneurysms at or distal to the circle of Willis. J Clin Neurosci 2019;69:166–9.
- 65 Morais R, Mine B, Bruyère PJ, *et al*. Endovascular treatment of intracranial aneurysms with the p64 flow diverter stent: mid-

## 6

term results in 35 patients with 41 intracranial aneurysms. *Neuroradiology* 2017;59:263–9.

- 66 Bhogal P, AlMatter M, Bäzner H, et al. Flow diversion for the treatment of MCA bifurcation Aneurysms-A single centre experience. Front Neurol 2017;8:20.
- 67 International Study of Unruptured Intracranial Aneurysms Investigators. Unruptured intracranial aneurysms--risk of rupture and risks of surgical intervention. N Engl J Med 1998;339:1725–33.
- 68 Schievink WI, Wijdicks EF, Piepgras DG, et al. The poor prognosis of ruptured intracranial aneurysms of the posterior circulation. J Neurosurg 1995;82:791–5.
- 69 Bender MT, Colby GP, Jiang B, et al. Flow diversion of posterior circulation cerebral aneurysms: a single-institution series of 59 cases. *Neurosurgery* 2019;84:206–16.
- 70 Griessenauer CJ, Ogilvy CS, Adeeb N, et al. Pipeline embolization of posterior circulation aneurysms: a multicenter study of 131 aneurysms. J Neurosurg 2018;130:923–35.
- 71 Dmytriw AA, Adeeb N, Kumar A, et al. Flow diversion for the treatment of basilar apex aneurysms. *Neurosurgery* 2018;83:1298–305.
- 72 Taschner CA, Vedantham S, de Vries J, et al. Surpass flow Diverter for treatment of posterior circulation aneurysms. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2017;38:582–9.
- 73 Colby GP, Lin L-M, Huang J, et al. Utilization of the Navien distal intracranial catheter in 78 cases of anterior circulation aneurysm treatment with the pipeline embolization device. J Neurointerv Surg 2013;5:iii16–21.
- 74 Malhotra A, Wu X, Brinjikji W, et al. Pipeline endovascular device vs Stent-Assisted coiling in small unruptured aneurysms: a costeffectiveness analysis. *Neurosurgery* 2019;85:E1010–9.
- 75 Twitchell S, Abou-Al-Shaar H, Reese J, et al. Analysis of cerebrovascular aneurysm treatment cost: retrospective cohort comparison of clipping, coiling, and flow diversion. *Neurosurg Focus* 2018;44:E3.
- 76 Colby GP, Lin L-M, Nundkumar N, et al. Radiation dose analysis of large and giant internal carotid artery aneurysm treatment with the pipeline embolization device versus traditional coiling techniques. J Neurointerv Surg 2015;7:380–4.
- 77 Crobeddu E, Lanzino G, Kallmes DF, et al. Marked decrease in coil and stent utilization following introduction of flow diversion technology. J Neurointerv Surg 2013;5:351–3.
- 78 Moore JM, Adeeb N, Shallwani H, et al. A multicenter cohort comparison study of the safety, efficacy, and cost of ticagrelor compared to clopidogrel in aneurysm flow Diverter procedures. *Neurosurgery* 2017;81:665–71.
- 79 Atallah E, Saad H, Bekelis K, et al. The use of alternatives to clopidogrel in flow-diversion treatment with the pipeline embolization device. J Neurosurg 2018;129:1130–5.
- 80 Soize S, Foussier C, Manceau P-F, et al. Comparison of two preventive dual antiplatelet regimens for unruptured intracranial aneurysm embolization with flow diverter/disrupter: a matchedcohort study comparing clopidogrel with ticagrelor. J Neuroradiol 2019;46:378–83.
- 81 Kim KS, Fraser JF, Grupke S, *et al.* Management of antiplatelet therapy in patients undergoing neuroendovascular procedures. *J Neurosurg* 2018;129:890–905.
- 82 Bender MT, Zarrin DA, Campos JK, et al. Precision of VerifyNow P2Y12 assessment of clopidogrel response in patients undergoing cerebral aneurysm flow diversion. *Neurosurgery* 2019;85:543–9.
- 83 Essbaiheen F, AlQahtani H, Almansoori TM, et al. Transient in-stent stenosis at mid-term angiographic follow-up in patients treated with silk flow diverter stents: incidence, clinical significance and longterm follow-up. J Neurointerv Surg 2019;11:166–70.
- 84 Cagnazzo F, Cappucci M, Dargazanli C, et al. Treatment of distal anterior cerebral artery aneurysms with Flow-Diverter stents: a single-center experience. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2018;39:1100–6.
- 85 Lin L-M, Jiang B, Campos JK, et al. Abciximab (ReoPro) dosing strategy for the management of acute Intraprocedural thromboembolic complications during pipeline flow diversion treatment of intracranial aneurysms. *Interv Neurol* 2018;7:218–32.

- 86 Klisch J, Sychra V, Strasilla C, et al. The Woven EndoBridge cerebral aneurysm embolization device (web II): initial clinical experience. *Neuroradiology* 2011;53:599–607.
- 87 Dmytriw AA, Salem MM, Yang VXD, et al. Endosaccular flow disruption: a new frontier in endovascular aneurysm management. *Neurosurgery* 2019;366.
- 88 Arthur AS, Molyneux A, Coon AL, et al. The safety and effectiveness of the Woven EndoBridge (web) system for the treatment of widenecked bifurcation aneurysms: final 12-month results of the pivotal web intrasaccular therapy (WEB-IT) study. J Neurointerv Surg 2019;11:924–30.
- 89 Hetts SW, Turk A, English JD, et al. Stent-assisted coiling versus coiling alone in unruptured intracranial aneurysms in the matrix and platinum science trial: safety, efficacy, and mid-term outcomes. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2014;35:698–705.
- 90 Fiorella D, Boulos A, Turk AS, et al. The safety and effectiveness of the LVIS stent system for the treatment of wide-necked cerebral aneurysms: final results of the pivotal us LVIS trial. J Neurointerv Surg 2019;11:357–61.
- 91 Mine B, Goutte A, Brisbois D, et al. Endovascular treatment of intracranial aneurysms with the Woven EndoBridge device: mid term and long term results. J Neurointerv Surg 2018;10:127–32.
- 92 Kaya HE, Bakdık S, Keskin F, et al. Endovascular treatment of intracranial aneurysms using the Woven EndoBridge (web) device: retrospective analysis of a single center experience. *Clin Imaging* 2020;59:25–9.
- 93 Goertz L, Liebig T, Siebert E, et al. Low-Profile Intra-Aneurysmal flow disruptor web 17 versus web predecessor systems for treatment of small intracranial aneurysms: comparative analysis of procedural safety and feasibility. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2019;40:1766–72.
- 94 van Rooij SBT, Peluso JP, Sluzewski M, et al. The new Low-Profile web 17 system for treatment of intracranial aneurysms: first clinical experiences. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2018;39:859–63.
- 95 Maurer C, König I, Berlis A, et al. Two-Center experience in the endovascular treatment of intracranial aneurysms using the Woven EndoBridge 17 device including midterm follow-up results: a retrospective analysis. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2019;40:1517–22.
- 96 Goertz L, Liebig T, Siebert E, et al. Risk factors of procedural complications related to Woven EndoBridge (web) embolization of intracranial aneurysms. *Clin Neuroradiol* 2019;36.
- 97 Cagnazzo F, Ahmed R, Zannoni R, et al. Predicting factors of angiographic aneurysm occlusion after treatment with the Woven EndoBridge device: a single-center experience with midterm followup. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2019;40:1773–8.
- 98 Goertz L, Liebig T, Siebert E, et al. Extending the indication of Woven EndoBridge (web) embolization to internal carotid artery aneurysms: a multicenter safety and feasibility study. World Neurosurg 2019;126:e965–74.
- 99 Rajah G, Narayanan S, Rangel-Castilla L. Update on flow diverters for the endovascular management of cerebral aneurysms. *Neurosurg Focus* 2017;42:E2.
- 100 Bhogal P, Udani S, Cognard C, et al. Endosaccular flow disruption: where are we now? J Neurointerv Surg 2019;11:1024–5.
- 101 Piotin M, Biondi A, Sourour N, et al. The LUNA aneurysm embolization system for intracranial aneurysm treatment: shortterm, mid-term and long-term clinical and angiographic results. J Neurointerv Surg 2018;10:e34.
- 102 Al-Mufti F, Amuluru K, Gandhi CD, et al. Flow diversion for intracranial aneurysm management: a new standard of care. *Neurotherapeutics* 2016;13:582–9.
- 103 Shankar JJS, Tampieri D, Iancu D, et al. Silk flow diverter for complex intracranial aneurysms: a Canadian registry. J Neurointerv Surg 2016;8:273–8.
- 104 Pumar JM, Banguero A, Cuellar H, *et al.* Treatment of intracranial aneurysms with the silk embolization device in a multicenter study. A retrospective data analysis. *Neurosurgery* 2017;81:595–601.
- 105 Popielski J, Berlis A, Weber W, et al. Two-Center experience in the endovascular treatment of ruptured and unruptured intracranial aneurysms using the web device: a retrospective analysis. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2018;39:111–7.