



University of California, Berkeley  
Institute of Governmental Studies  
109 Philosophy Hall, #2370  
Berkeley, CA 94720-2370  
Tel: 510-642-1473  
Fax: 510-642-3020  
Email: [igs@berkeley.edu](mailto:igs@berkeley.edu)

**Tabulations from an early January 2024 Poll of  
California Registered Voters about  
Governor Gavin Newsom and the  
State Budget Deficit**

*by the*  
***Berkeley IGS Poll***  
**Institute of Governmental Studies**  
**University of California, Berkeley**

**January 4-8, 2024**

## Introduction

The statistical tabulations in this volume are based on a *Berkeley IGS Poll* completed online in English and Spanish January 4-8, 2024 among 8,199 registered voters throughout California. The survey was conducted by the Institute of Governmental Studies (IGS) at the University of California, Berkeley. Funding for the poll was provided in part by the *Los Angeles Times*.

The poll was conducted by distributing email invitations to stratified random samples of the state's registered voters. Each email invited voters to participate in a non-partisan survey conducted by the University and provided a link to the IGS website where the survey was housed. Reminder emails were distributed to non-responding voters and an opt out link was provided for voters not wishing to receive further email invitations.

Samples of California registered voters with email addresses were derived from information contained on the official voter registration rolls and provided to IGS by Political Data, Inc., a leading supplier of registered voter lists.

To protect the anonymity of respondents, voters' email addresses and all other personally identifiable information derived from the original voter listing were purged from the data file and replaced with a unique and anonymous identification number during data processing. In addition, after the completion of data collection, post-stratification weights were applied to the survey data file to align the sample of registered voters to population characteristics of the registered voters statewide and within major regions of the state.

The sampling error associated with the survey results is difficult to calculate precisely because of sample stratification and the post-stratification weighting. Nevertheless, it is likely that findings based on the overall sample of registered voters are subject to a sampling error of approximately +/-1.5 percentage points at the 95% confidence level.

## **Regional definitions referenced in this report**

The results from the state's geographic regions referenced in this volume are comprised of the following counties:

Los Angeles County (Los Angeles County)

Orange County (Orange County)

San Diego County (San Diego County)

Inland Empire (Riverside, San Bernardino and Imperial counties)

Central Coast (Ventura, Santa Barbara, San Luis Obispo, Monterey, Santa Cruz, and San Benito counties)

Sacramento Valley (Shasta, Tehama, Glenn, Butte, Colusa, Yuba, Placer, Sutter, Yolo, El Dorado, and Sacramento counties)

San Joaquin Valley (San Joaquin, Stanislaus, Merced, Madera, Fresno, Kings, Tulare, and Kern counties)

Central Valley (net) (includes all counties in the Sacramento Valley and San Joaquin Valley referenced above)

SF Bay Area (Alameda, Contra Costa, San Francisco, Marin, Napa, Sonoma, Solano, San Mateo, Santa Clara).

North Coast/Sierras (Del Norte, Siskiyou, Modoc, Humboldt, Trinity, Lassen, Mendocino, Plumas, Sierra, Nevada, Lake, Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Tuolumne, Mono, Mariposa, Inyo counties.)

## About the Institute of Governmental Studies

The Institute of Governmental Studies (IGS) is an interdisciplinary organized research unit that pursues a vigorous program of research, education, publication and public service. A component of the University of California system's flagship Berkeley campus, IGS is the oldest organized research unit in the UC system and the oldest public policy research center in the state. IGS's co-directors are Professor Eric Schickler and Associate Professor G. Cristina Mora.

IGS conducts periodic surveys of public opinion in California on matters of politics and public policy through its *Berkeley IGS Poll*. The poll seeks to provide a broad measure of contemporary public opinion, and to generate data for subsequent scholarly analysis. The director of the *Berkeley IGS Poll* is Mark DiCamillo. For a complete listing of stories issued by the *Berkeley IGS Poll* and a more detailed description of the methods used to conduct each survey go to <https://www.igs.berkeley.edu/research/berkeley-igs-poll>.

# January 2024 Berkeley IGS Poll — Statewide Registered Voters

Table 1: Q24 Next, turning to matters here in California. Do you think things in California are generally going in the right direction or do you feel things are off on the wrong track? (Statewide Registered Voters)

Total	Region										Urbanicity			Gender		Age							
	Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sier-ras	Urban	Sub-urban	Rural/na	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	
unweighted n	8199.00	1775.00	973.00	626.00	806.00	513.00	1241.00	728.00	513.00	2078.00	187.00	3522.00	3470.00	1207.00	3958.00	4238.00	1478.00	1609.00	1305.00	1897.00	1910.00	1214.00	696.00
weighted n	8199.00	2095.00	722.00	676.00	949.00	501.00	1443.00	671.00	772.00	1641.00	172.00	3643.00	3413.00	1142.00	3953.00	4246.00	1489.00	1485.00	1269.00	1926.00	2030.00	1359.00	671.00
Right direction	0.33	0.34	0.32	0.27	0.31	0.39	0.26	0.26	0.27	0.38	0.27	0.34	0.33	0.27	0.33	0.32	0.33	0.31	0.27	0.30	0.40	0.38	0.44
Wrong track	0.57	0.54	0.60	0.59	0.60	0.49	0.63	0.63	0.62	0.51	0.66	0.54	0.57	0.62	0.58	0.55	0.49	0.60	0.61	0.62	0.52	0.53	0.49
No opinion	0.11	0.12	0.09	0.14	0.08	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.06	0.12	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.13	0.18	0.09	0.12	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.07

Table 2: Q24 Next, turning to matters here in California. Do you think things in California are generally going in the right direction or do you feel things are off on the wrong track? (Statewide Registered Voters)

Total	Race							Nativity		Marital status			Sexual orientation				
	White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish dominant (Latino)	English dominant/bilingual (Latino)	Black	Asian/Pac Isle	Amer Indian/Native Amer	US	Another country	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Straight	LGBTQ	Refused	
unweighted n	8199.00	4623.00	1858.00	551.00	1301.0	452.00	746.00	165.00	6767.00	1417.00	4110.00	759.00	1164.00	2126.00	6572.00	929.00	582.00
weighted n	8199.00	3946.00	2245.00	456.00	1769.0	495.00	1255.00	168.00	6580.00	1613.00	3749.00	723.00	1389.00	2318.00	6720.00	845.00	564.00
Right direction	0.33	0.35	0.31	0.38	0.3	0.35	0.29	0.25	0.33	0.32	0.31	0.35	0.35	0.33	0.30	0.53	0.28
Wrong track	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.44	0.6	0.51	0.56	0.65	0.57	0.55	0.60	0.53	0.56	0.53	0.59	0.32	0.61
No opinion	0.11	0.08	0.12	0.19	0.1	0.14	0.15	0.10	0.10	0.12	0.09	0.12	0.09	0.14	0.10	0.15	0.11

Table 3: Q24 Next, turning to matters here in California. Do you think things in California are generally going in the right direction or do you feel things are off on the wrong track? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education				Household income					Tenure			Union affiliation		
		High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Rent	Other	Yes	No
unweighted n	8199.00	828.00	2111.00	2769.00	2473.00	634.00	802.00	948.00	1553.00	2441.00	1615.00	4356.00	3164.00	679.00	1797.00	6372.00
weighted n	8199.00	1291.00	3131.00	2373.00	1393.00	883.00	1053.00	1128.00	1548.00	2246.00	1180.00	3847.00	3533.00	819.00	1682.00	6502.00
Right direction	0.33	0.27	0.28	0.35	0.44	0.29	0.31	0.34	0.32	0.33	0.37	0.33	0.33	0.32	0.35	0.32
Wrong track	0.57	0.58	0.63	0.52	0.48	0.56	0.54	0.53	0.58	0.59	0.55	0.60	0.54	0.54	0.56	0.57
No opinion	0.11	0.15	0.09	0.12	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.13	0.10	0.09	0.07	0.08	0.14	0.13	0.09	0.11

Table 4: Q24 Next, turning to matters here in California. Do you think things in California are generally going in the right direction or do you feel things are off on the wrong track? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Party Registration					Likely voters		Political ideology					Vote for Pres in 2020			
		Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	NPP / other	Likely	Not likely	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Non-voters	Voters (net)	Biden voters	Trump voters
unweighted n	8199.00	4188.00	2144.00	1412.00	455.00	1867.00	5548.00	2651.00	834.00	1271.00	2413.00	1723.00	1927.00	1305.00	6894.00	4582.00	1790.00
weighted n	8199.00	3841.00	1958.00	1842.00	558.00	2400.00	4470.00	3729.00	898.00	1424.00	2784.00	1592.00	1482.00	2124.00	6075.00	3845.00	2076.00
Right direction	0.33	0.53	0.04	0.24	0.19	0.23	0.37	0.27	0.09	0.06	0.26	0.51	0.66	0.33	0.33	0.50	0.03
Wrong track	0.57	0.35	0.92	0.60	0.70	0.63	0.56	0.57	0.88	0.89	0.60	0.34	0.23	0.52	0.58	0.39	0.93
No opinion	0.11	0.12	0.04	0.15	0.11	0.14	0.07	0.15	0.03	0.04	0.14	0.15	0.11	0.16	0.09	0.11	0.05

January 2024 Berkeley IGS Poll

Table 5: Q25 Do you approve or disapprove of the way Gavin Newsom is handling his job as Governor of California? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Region										Urbanicity			Gender		Age							
	Total	Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sierras	Urban	Sub-urban	Rural/na	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+
unweighted n	8199.00	1775.00	973.00	626.00	806.00	513.00	1241.00	728.00	513.00	2078.00	187.00	3522.00	3470.00	1207.00	3958.00	4238.00	1478.00	1609.00	1305.00	1897.00	1910.00	1214.00	696.00
weighted n	8199.00	2095.00	722.00	676.00	949.00	501.00	1443.00	671.00	772.00	1641.00	172.00	3643.00	3413.00	1142.00	3953.00	4246.00	1489.00	1485.00	1269.00	1926.00	2030.00	1359.00	671.00
Approve (net)	0.46	0.48	0.48	0.41	0.45	0.49	0.37	0.38	0.35	0.55	0.40	0.50	0.45	0.37	0.44	0.48	0.41	0.42	0.43	0.47	0.55	0.53	0.59
Approve strongly	0.17	0.20	0.19	0.14	0.17	0.18	0.13	0.14	0.13	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.17	0.14	0.16	0.19	0.08	0.13	0.13	0.22	0.26	0.24	0.31
Approve somewhat	0.29	0.28	0.29	0.27	0.27	0.30	0.23	0.24	0.23	0.37	0.22	0.32	0.28	0.24	0.28	0.30	0.34	0.30	0.30	0.25	0.29	0.29	0.28
Disapprove (net)	0.47	0.43	0.48	0.54	0.50	0.45	0.58	0.56	0.60	0.38	0.56	0.42	0.49	0.58	0.50	0.45	0.43	0.52	0.50	0.49	0.44	0.45	0.41
Disapprove somewhat	0.14	0.16	0.14	0.16	0.12	0.08	0.16	0.16	0.17	0.13	0.06	0.16	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.15	0.18	0.20	0.18	0.12	0.07	0.08	0.05
Disapprove strongly	0.33	0.27	0.35	0.38	0.38	0.37	0.42	0.40	0.42	0.25	0.50	0.27	0.36	0.45	0.37	0.30	0.24	0.32	0.32	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.35
No opinion	0.06	0.08	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.04	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.16	0.05	0.07	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.01

Table 6: Q25 Do you approve or disapprove of the way Gavin Newsom is handling his job as Governor of California? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Race								Nativity		Marital status			Sexual orientation			
	Total	White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish dominant (Latino)	English dominant/bilingual (Latino)	Black	Asian/Pac Isle	American/Indian/Native Amer	US	Another country	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/Single/divorced/widowed	Never married	Straight	LGBTQ	Refused
unweighted n	8199.00	4623.00	1858.00	551.00	1301.00	452.00	746.00	165.00	6767.00	1417.00	4110.00	759.00	1164.00	2126.00	6572.00	929.00	582.00
weighted n	8199.00	3946.00	2245.00	456.00	1769.00	495.00	1255.00	168.00	6580.00	1613.00	3749.00	723.00	1389.00	2318.00	6720.00	845.00	564.00
Approve (net)	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.66	0.41	0.57	0.46	0.36	0.45	0.51	0.45	0.48	0.51	0.46	0.45	0.67	0.37
Approve strongly	0.17	0.18	0.19	0.34	0.15	0.23	0.12	0.17	0.16	0.22	0.17	0.14	0.24	0.14	0.17	0.24	0.15
Approve somewhat	0.29	0.28	0.27	0.32	0.26	0.34	0.34	0.19	0.29	0.30	0.27	0.34	0.27	0.32	0.28	0.43	0.23
Disapprove (net)	0.47	0.50	0.47	0.24	0.52	0.30	0.44	0.58	0.49	0.41	0.51	0.46	0.44	0.43	0.50	0.24	0.54
Disapprove somewhat	0.14	0.13	0.15	0.11	0.17	0.11	0.18	0.16	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.15	0.11	0.18	0.14	0.14	0.13
Disapprove strongly	0.33	0.37	0.32	0.13	0.36	0.19	0.27	0.42	0.34	0.27	0.38	0.31	0.33	0.26	0.35	0.11	0.41
No opinion	0.06	0.04	0.07	0.10	0.07	0.13	0.10	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.11	0.06	0.09	0.09

Table 7: Q25 Do you approve or disapprove of the way Gavin Newsom is handling his job as Governor of California? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education				Household income						Tenure			Union affiliation	
		High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Rent	Other	Yes	No
unweighted n	8199.00	828.00	2111.00	2769.00	2473.00	634.00	802.00	948.00	1553.00	2441.00	1615.00	4356.00	3164.00	679.00	1797.00	6372.00
weighted n	8199.00	1291.00	3131.00	2373.00	1393.00	883.00	1053.00	1128.00	1548.00	2246.00	1180.00	3847.00	3533.00	819.00	1682.00	6502.00
Approve (net)	0.46	0.41	0.41	0.49	0.59	0.45	0.50	0.47	0.45	0.44	0.51	0.46	0.48	0.43	0.48	0.46
Approve strongly	0.17	0.14	0.17	0.17	0.22	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.17	0.16	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.12	0.17	0.17
Approve somewhat	0.29	0.27	0.24	0.32	0.37	0.28	0.32	0.29	0.27	0.28	0.33	0.28	0.30	0.32	0.30	0.29
Disapprove (net)	0.47	0.48	0.54	0.44	0.38	0.44	0.43	0.45	0.50	0.51	0.46	0.51	0.43	0.45	0.48	0.47
Disapprove somewhat	0.14	0.13	0.14	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.13	0.16	0.14	0.15	0.15	0.13	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.14
Disapprove strongly	0.33	0.34	0.40	0.29	0.23	0.29	0.30	0.30	0.36	0.36	0.31	0.38	0.28	0.30	0.33	0.33
No opinion	0.06	0.11	0.05	0.07	0.03	0.12	0.08	0.08	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.09	0.11	0.04	0.07

Table 8: Q25 Do you approve or disapprove of the way Gavin Newsom is handling his job as Governor of California? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Party Registration					Likely voters		Political ideology					Vote for Pres in 2020			
		Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	NPP / other	Likely	Not likely	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Non-voters	Voters (net)	Biden voters	Trump voters
unweighted n	8199.00	4188.00	2144.00	1412.00	455.00	1867.00	5548.00	2651.00	834.00	1271.00	2413.00	1723.00	1927.00	1305.00	6894.00	4582.00	1790.00
weighted n	8199.00	3841.00	1958.00	1842.00	558.00	2400.00	4470.00	3729.00	898.00	1424.00	2784.00	1592.00	1482.00	2124.00	6075.00	3845.00	2076.00
Approve (net)	0.46	0.72	0.07	0.37	0.35	0.37	0.51	0.41	0.13	0.13	0.42	0.73	0.78	0.45	0.47	0.70	0.05
Approve strongly	0.17	0.30	0.02	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.22	0.11	0.07	0.05	0.14	0.25	0.33	0.15	0.18	0.28	0.02
Approve somewhat	0.29	0.42	0.05	0.28	0.25	0.27	0.29	0.30	0.06	0.08	0.28	0.48	0.45	0.30	0.29	0.43	0.04
Disapprove (net)	0.47	0.21	0.90	0.54	0.58	0.55	0.47	0.47	0.85	0.84	0.49	0.21	0.15	0.42	0.49	0.25	0.91
Disapprove somewhat	0.14	0.14	0.10	0.19	0.18	0.19	0.10	0.19	0.04	0.15	0.19	0.14	0.11	0.17	0.13	0.15	0.10
Disapprove strongly	0.33	0.07	0.80	0.35	0.41	0.36	0.37	0.28	0.82	0.69	0.29	0.07	0.04	0.25	0.36	0.10	0.82
No opinion	0.06	0.07	0.03	0.09	0.07	0.08	0.02	0.12	0.01	0.03	0.09	0.06	0.07	0.13	0.04	0.04	0.03



Table 9: Q26a State budget analysts are now projecting that the state will be facing a large budget deficit next year, and unless economic conditions improve, in the following years as well. How serious a problem do you feel this is for the state? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Region										Urbanicity			Gender		Age							
	Total	Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+
unweighted n	8199.00	1775.00	973.00	626.00	806.00	513.00	1241.00	728.00	513.00	2078.00	187.00	3522.00	3470.00	1207.00	3958.00	4238.00	1478.00	1609.00	1305.00	1897.00	1910.00	1214.00	696.00
weighted n	8199.00	2095.00	722.00	676.00	949.00	501.00	1443.00	671.00	772.00	1641.00	172.00	3643.00	3413.00	1142.00	3953.00	4246.00	1489.00	1485.00	1269.00	1926.00	2030.00	1359.00	671.00
Serious (net)	0.87	0.87	0.82	0.90	0.87	0.88	0.87	0.88	0.87	0.86	0.88	0.86	0.87	0.88	0.86	0.88	0.80	0.86	0.85	0.89	0.91	0.92	0.90
Extremely serious	0.50	0.47	0.50	0.53	0.53	0.50	0.57	0.53	0.60	0.43	0.62	0.46	0.51	0.58	0.48	0.52	0.39	0.48	0.53	0.55	0.52	0.52	0.53
Somewhat serious	0.37	0.39	0.32	0.37	0.34	0.39	0.31	0.35	0.27	0.43	0.27	0.40	0.36	0.30	0.38	0.36	0.41	0.38	0.32	0.34	0.39	0.39	0.38
Not serious (net)	0.08	0.07	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.10	0.06	0.11	0.10	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.07
Not too serious	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.06
Not at all serious	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01
No opinion	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.09	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.03

Table 10: Q26a State budget analysts are now projecting that the state will be facing a large budget deficit next year, and unless economic conditions improve, in the following years as well. How serious a problem do you feel this is for the state? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Race								Nativity		Marital status			Sexual orientation			
	Total	White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish dominant (Latino)	English dominant/bilingual (Latino)	Black	Asian/Pac Isle	American Indian/Native American	US	Another country	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Straight	LGBTQ	Refused
unweighted n	8199.00	4623.00	1858.00	551.00	1301.00	452.00	746.00	165.00	6767.00	1417.00	4110.00	759.00	1164.00	2126.00	6572.00	929.00	582.00
weighted n	8199.00	3946.00	2245.00	456.00	1769.00	495.00	1255.00	168.00	6580.00	1613.00	3749.00	723.00	1389.00	2318.00	6720.00	845.00	564.00
Serious (net)	0.87	0.89	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.84	0.85	0.94	0.87	0.84	0.88	0.84	0.87	0.85	0.88	0.81	0.85
Extremely serious	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.37	0.53	0.56	0.46	0.63	0.51	0.46	0.53	0.45	0.51	0.45	0.52	0.30	0.60
Somewhat serious	0.37	0.39	0.35	0.48	0.32	0.28	0.39	0.31	0.37	0.37	0.35	0.39	0.36	0.39	0.36	0.51	0.25
Not serious (net)	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.11	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.13	0.06
Not too serious	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.03	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.09	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.11	0.05
Not at all serious	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02
No opinion	0.05	0.03	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.09	0.08	0.02	0.05	0.09	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.09

Table 11: Q26a State budget analysts are now projecting that the state will be facing a large budget deficit next year, and unless economic conditions improve, in the following years as well. How serious a problem do you feel this is for the state? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education				Household income						Tenure			Union affiliation	
		High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Rent	Other	Yes	No
unweighted n	8199.00	828.00	2111.00	2769.00	2473.00	634.00	802.00	948.00	1553.00	2441.00	1615.00	4356.00	3164.00	679.00	1797.00	6372.00
weighted n	8199.00	1291.00	3131.00	2373.00	1393.00	883.00	1053.00	1128.00	1548.00	2246.00	1180.00	3847.00	3533.00	819.00	1682.00	6502.00
Serious (net)	0.87	0.84	0.88	0.84	0.90	0.85	0.83	0.86	0.86	0.88	0.90	0.90	0.84	0.85	0.86	0.87
Extremely serious	0.50	0.54	0.55	0.44	0.44	0.49	0.49	0.47	0.52	0.52	0.46	0.54	0.46	0.46	0.50	0.50
Somewhat serious	0.37	0.31	0.33	0.40	0.46	0.36	0.34	0.39	0.34	0.36	0.44	0.36	0.37	0.39	0.35	0.37
Not serious (net)	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.10	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.07
Not too serious	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.09	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.09	0.06
Not at all serious	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01
No opinion	0.05	0.08	0.05	0.06	0.03	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.07	0.08	0.04	0.06

Table 12: Q26a State budget analysts are now projecting that the state will be facing a large budget deficit next year, and unless economic conditions improve, in the following years as well. How serious a problem do you feel this is for the state? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Party Registration					Likely voters		Political ideology					Vote for Pres in 2020			
		Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	NPP / other	Likely	Not likely	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Non-voters	Voters (net)	Biden voters	Trump voters
unweighted n	8199.00	4188.00	2144.00	1412.00	455.00	1867.00	5548.00	2651.00	834.00	1271.00	2413.00	1723.00	1927.00	1305.00	6894.00	4582.00	1790.00
weighted n	8199.00	3841.00	1958.00	1842.00	558.00	2400.00	4470.00	3729.00	898.00	1424.00	2784.00	1592.00	1482.00	2124.00	6075.00	3845.00	2076.00
Serious (net)	0.87	0.83	0.95	0.85	0.90	0.86	0.89	0.84	0.94	0.94	0.86	0.87	0.76	0.83	0.88	0.85	0.94
Extremely serious	0.50	0.35	0.80	0.47	0.58	0.50	0.54	0.44	0.83	0.72	0.51	0.33	0.23	0.44	0.52	0.37	0.79
Somewhat serious	0.37	0.48	0.14	0.39	0.31	0.37	0.35	0.39	0.11	0.21	0.35	0.54	0.53	0.38	0.36	0.48	0.15
Not serious (net)	0.08	0.11	0.02	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.02	0.04	0.06	0.09	0.17	0.08	0.08	0.11	0.03
Not too serious	0.07	0.10	0.02	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.01	0.03	0.05	0.08	0.14	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.02
Not at all serious	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01
No opinion	0.05	0.06	0.03	0.07	0.03	0.06	0.03	0.09	0.04	0.02	0.08	0.04	0.06	0.10	0.04	0.04	0.03

January 2024 Berkeley IGS Poll

Table 13: Q26b How would you prefer that the state deal with this deficit? You may select more than one category. (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Region										Urbanicity			Gender		Age							
	Total	Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sierras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+
unweighted n	8199.00	1775.00	973.00	626.00	806.00	513.00	1241.00	728.00	513.00	2078.00	187.00	3522.00	3470.00	1207.00	3958.00	4238.00	1478.00	1609.00	1305.00	1897.00	1910.00	1214.00	696.00
weighted n	8199.00	2095.00	722.00	676.00	949.00	501.00	1443.00	671.00	772.00	1641.00	172.00	3643.00	3413.00	1142.00	3953.00	4246.00	1489.00	1485.00	1269.00	1926.00	2030.00	1359.00	671.00
Through spending cuts to government services	0.51	0.46	0.54	0.61	0.54	0.52	0.54	0.55	0.53	0.46	0.59	0.45	0.56	0.54	0.57	0.45	0.43	0.48	0.50	0.53	0.57	0.54	0.61
By tapping into the state's rainy day reserve fund	0.35	0.35	0.36	0.25	0.35	0.31	0.37	0.40	0.35	0.36	0.37	0.36	0.33	0.36	0.31	0.38	0.26	0.32	0.35	0.38	0.40	0.40	0.41
By borrowing from special funds	0.17	0.18	0.16	0.15	0.18	0.17	0.15	0.16	0.13	0.21	0.11	0.19	0.16	0.18	0.15	0.20	0.18	0.17	0.16	0.18	0.17	0.17	0.19
By raising taxes	0.13	0.14	0.13	0.13	0.06	0.13	0.11	0.14	0.09	0.18	0.08	0.16	0.11	0.09	0.16	0.11	0.16	0.16	0.10	0.11	0.13	0.13	0.14
No opinion	0.15	0.18	0.12	0.12	0.15	0.13	0.14	0.12	0.15	0.15	0.06	0.17	0.14	0.11	0.11	0.18	0.23	0.15	0.16	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.11

Table 14: Q26b How would you prefer that the state deal with this deficit? You may select more than one category. (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Race								Nativity		Marital status			Sexual orientation			
	Total	White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish dominant (Latino)	English dominant/bilingual (Latino)	Black	Asian/Pac Isle	American/Indian/Native American	US	Another country	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Straight	LGBTQ	Refused
unweighted n	8199.00	4623.00	1858.00	551.00	1301.00	452.00	746.00	165.00	6767.00	1417.00	4110.00	759.00	1164.00	2126.00	6572.00	929.00	582.00
weighted n	8199.00	3946.00	2245.00	456.00	1769.00	495.00	1255.00	168.00	6580.00	1613.00	3749.00	723.00	1389.00	2318.00	6720.00	845.00	564.00
Through spending cuts to government services	0.51	0.54	0.48	0.50	0.47	0.36	0.53	0.56	0.50	0.52	0.58	0.44	0.46	0.44	0.53	0.34	0.50
By tapping into the state's rainy day reserve fund	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.39	0.34	0.48	0.29	0.39	0.35	0.34	0.32	0.36	0.46	0.32	0.35	0.40	0.30
By borrowing from special funds	0.17	0.17	0.16	0.07	0.18	0.24	0.20	0.20	0.18	0.14	0.16	0.17	0.20	0.18	0.17	0.20	0.15
By raising taxes	0.13	0.16	0.09	0.02	0.11	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.10	0.12	0.15	0.10	0.17	0.12	0.25	0.11
No opinion	0.15	0.11	0.19	0.21	0.18	0.18	0.17	0.08	0.14	0.17	0.12	0.17	0.14	0.19	0.14	0.15	0.18

Table 15: Q26b How would you prefer that the state deal with this deficit? You may select more than one category. (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education				Household income						Tenure			Union affiliation	
		High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Rent	Other	Yes	No
unweighted n	8199.00	828.00	2111.00	2769.00	2473.00	634.00	802.00	948.00	1553.00	2441.00	1615.00	4356.00	3164.00	679.00	1797.00	6372.00
weighted n	8199.00	1291.00	3131.00	2373.00	1393.00	883.00	1053.00	1128.00	1548.00	2246.00	1180.00	3847.00	3533.00	819.00	1682.00	6502.00
Through spending cuts to government services	0.51	0.46	0.53	0.52	0.49	0.41	0.44	0.44	0.52	0.57	0.59	0.59	0.44	0.40	0.45	0.52
By tapping into the state's rainy day reserve fund	0.35	0.33	0.35	0.34	0.39	0.36	0.34	0.41	0.37	0.31	0.33	0.35	0.35	0.36	0.39	0.34
By borrowing from special funds	0.17	0.15	0.16	0.18	0.22	0.23	0.16	0.17	0.18	0.16	0.18	0.16	0.18	0.23	0.18	0.17
By raising taxes	0.13	0.08	0.10	0.16	0.20	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.13	0.14	0.16	0.12	0.15	0.12	0.15	0.13
No opinion	0.15	0.22	0.16	0.13	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.19	0.14	0.11	0.09	0.11	0.17	0.22	0.14	0.15

Table 16: Q26b How would you prefer that the state deal with this deficit? You may select more than one category. (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Party Registration					Likely voters		Political ideology					Vote for Pres in 2020			
		Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	NPP / other	Likely	Not likely	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Non-voters	Voters (net)	Biden voters	Trump voters
unweighted n	8199.00	4188.00	2144.00	1412.00	455.00	1867.00	5548.00	2651.00	834.00	1271.00	2413.00	1723.00	1927.00	1305.00	6894.00	4582.00	1790.00
weighted n	8199.00	3841.00	1958.00	1842.00	558.00	2400.00	4470.00	3729.00	898.00	1424.00	2784.00	1592.00	1482.00	2124.00	6075.00	3845.00	2076.00
Through spending cuts to government services	0.51	0.37	0.76	0.53	0.53	0.53	0.52	0.50	0.76	0.74	0.56	0.36	0.21	0.46	0.53	0.40	0.76
By tapping into the state's rainy day reserve fund	0.35	0.43	0.23	0.32	0.29	0.31	0.38	0.31	0.21	0.27	0.33	0.45	0.43	0.31	0.36	0.44	0.22
By borrowing from special funds	0.17	0.22	0.10	0.15	0.19	0.16	0.18	0.16	0.11	0.11	0.18	0.21	0.23	0.16	0.18	0.22	0.10
By raising taxes	0.13	0.20	0.03	0.13	0.08	0.12	0.15	0.11	0.03	0.03	0.07	0.19	0.36	0.13	0.13	0.19	0.02
No opinion	0.15	0.16	0.11	0.15	0.17	0.16	0.12	0.18	0.08	0.09	0.17	0.18	0.15	0.19	0.13	0.15	0.10