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ABSTRACT

Introduction

Fms-like tyrosine kinase 3 (FLT3) is one of the most commonly mutated genes in adult acute myeloid leukemia (AML) with internal tandem duplication (FLT3-ITD) mutations identifiable in approximately 25% of cases and activating tyrosine kinase domain (FLT3-TKD) mutations occurring in about 7% of cases. Both FLT3-ITD and FLT3-TKD are ligand-independent, constitutively activated kinases that signal through the canonical downstream pathways phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) and mitogen-activated protein kinases (RAS-MAPK) and broadly impact cell
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proliferation, differentiation, and survival. Notably, FLT3-ITD also activates STAT5, a distinguishing feature from FLT3-TKD and ligand-stimulated wild-type (WT) FLT3.2,3

Intrinsic apoptosis regulates survival through balancing anti- and pro-apoptotic proteins. The BCL-2 family of anti-apoptotic proteins includes B-cell lymphoma 2 (BCL-2), B-cell lymphoma-extra-large (BCL-X) and myeloid cell leukemia 1 (MCL-1) that bind and neutralize pro-apoptotic BH3-only proteins and pro-apoptotic effector proteins. BCL-2-associated X protein (BAX) and BCL-2 antagonist/killer (BAK),4 preventing induction of apoptosis. The development of BH3 mimetic compounds, including venetoclax (ABT-199/GDC-0199), that specifically target individual BCL-2 family members has helped clarify dependence of cancer cells on BCL-2,5,6 BCL-X7,8 and/or MCL-19,10 and also revealed mechanisms of venetoclax resistance, including upregulation of BCL-X and/or MCL-1.2

Venetoclax, a highly potent, specific inhibitor of BCL-2, has preclinical and clinical activity across a range of hematologic malignancies.11-13 In AML, while venetoclax has limited monotherapy activity,14 venetoclax combined with low-dose cytarabine (LDAC) or hypomethylating agents (HMA) has broad activity across mutation subsets.15-16 In the venetoclax monotherapy trial,17 new FLT3-ITD mutations emerged at progression in 4 of 15 patients with initial venetoclax response and 5 of 5 patients with baseline FLT3-ITD mutations showed no measurable reduction in bone marrow (BM) blasts.18 Initial molecular analysis of samples from the venetoclax combination trials revealed that relapse may also be associated with FLT3-ITD mutations.19 In 25 patients evaluated at relapse, FLT3-ITD mutations expanded in three patients treated with venetoclax plus HMA and new FLT3-ITD mutations were detected in two patients treated with venetoclax plus LDAC. While patient numbers reported in these studies are low, the association of FLT3-ITD mutations with primary resistance and emergence of FLT3-ITD mutations at relapse suggests that mutant FLT3 may influence sensitivity to venetoclax and warrants further investigation.

Resistance to apoptosis can be achieved through oncogenic signaling or transcriptional regulation that alter expression of apoptotic proteins. RAS-MAPK, PI3K and the Janus kinase (JAK)-STAT pathways can all regulate anti- and pro-apoptotic proteins.20 As such, STAT5, a known transcriptional regulator of BCL-X and BCL-2,21 can also regulate MCL-1 expression in FLT3-ITD+ cells.22 Given that FLT3-ITD regulates multiple survival pathways and is linked to increased BCL-X expression and MCL-1 expression, which can promote venetoclax resistance, there is strong scientific rationale to investigate BH3 mimetics combined with FLT3-ITD inhibition. Ma et al. described combination activity of venetoclax and the FLT3 inhibitors midostaurin and gilteritinib in FLT3-ITD+ AML models.23 However, direct comparison of BCL-2, BCL-X, and MCL-1 inhibition in reducing survival when combined with FLT3-ITD inhibition has not been investigated, nor has the role of individual signaling pathways downstream of FLT3-ITD in regulating BCL-2 family members. Therefore, we aimed to thoroughly investigate the combined efficacy of FLT3-ITD and BCL-2 family inhibition using potent and selective pharmacologic inhibitors in pre-clinical models of FLT3-ITD+ AML. We describe herein the superiority of targeting BCL-2, rather than BCL-X, or MCL-1, in combination with FLT3 inhibition in FLT3-ITD+ AML. Given that venetoclax is presently being tested in AML clinical trials, our preclinical data provides a strong mechanistic rational for further evaluation of venetoclax combinations with FLT3-ITD inhibitors for the treatment of FLT3-ITD+ patients.

Methods

Orthotopic cell line xenograft models

Cell line xenograft studies were approved by Genentech’s Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) and adhere to the Eighth Edition of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (NRC 2011). NOD/SCID/IL-2R null (NSG) mice (Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME) were housed in autoclaved individually ventilated cages. Eight to 10 week old mice were pre-conditioned with busulfan (20 mg/kg, Sigma) by intraperitoneal administration 24 hours prior to cell line inoculation. Luciferase-positive AML cell lines (2x10^6 cells) were suspended in Hanks’ balanced salt solution (HBSS) and injected via tail vein. Engraftment of leukemic cells and disease burden was determined by bioluminescence imaging (BLI). Equally engrafted mice were grouped out based on BLI at 7 (Molm13) or 14 days (MV4;11) post inoculation and treated as described for 21 continuous days. Animals were monitored for signs of disease progression and euthanized at first measurement of greater than 20% weight loss or when reaching any humane endpoint.

Primary patient-derived xenograft models

Primary patient samples were collected and utilized at the M.D. Anderson Cancer Center (MDACC). All patients gave informed consent in accord with the Declaration of Helsinki under Institutional Review Board-approved protocols. Studies were approved by the MDACC IACUC and adhere to the Eighth Edition of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (NRC 2011). Seven week old NSG mice were pre-conditioned by...
sublethal irradiation (250 cGy) 24 hours prior to cell inoculation. FLT3 WT or FLT3-ITD+ primary patient samples (1x10^6 cells) were suspended in Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and inoculated through tail vein injection. Engraftment and disease burden were determined by co-staining for human and murine anti-CD45 (BioLegend, San Diego, CA) in peripheral blood samples. Mice were grouped out based upon engraftment (WT average 2.2% engraftment; ITD average 0.8% engraftment) and treated as described for 28 continuous days. Animals were monitored for signs of disease progression and euthanized at first measurement of greater than 20% weight loss or when reaching any humane endpoint.

**BLISS analysis**
Details are provided in the Online Supplementary Appendix.

**BH3 profiling**
Details are provided in the Online Supplementary Appendix.

**Statistical analysis**
Statistical comparisons included unpaired t-test or one-way ANOVA with Tukey post hoc test or Dunnett post-test, as indicated with \( P<0.05 \) considered statistically significant. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis was used for in vivo studies and significance was determined by log-rank test with \( P<0.05 \) considered significant.

All analyses were completed using Prism software package (version 7; Graphpad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA).

**Results**

Venetoclax combined with FLT3-ITD inhibition prolonged survival of FLT3-ITD+ leukemic mice in vivo

In order to explore the impact FLT3-ITD on venetoclax activity, we assessed venetoclax treatment in combination with the selective FLT3 inhibitor quizartinib (AC220) in FLT3-ITD+ cell line xenograft models (MV4;11 and MolM13). Mice with established leukemia were treated orally with vehicle, venetoclax (100 mg/kg), quizartinib (2.5 or 5 mg/kg), or the combination for 21 continuous days. Consistent with clinical data, venetoclax alone demonstrated little to no efficacy based on survival with marginal improvement in the MV4;11 model (Figure 1A-C) and no anti-tumor activity in the MolM13 model (Figure 1D-F), while quizartinib significantly reduced disease burden and increased survival compared to vehicle in both models (Figure 1A-F). Venetoclax combined with quizartinib led to further improvement in survival and reduction in tumor burden compared to quizartinib alone (Figure 1A-F). Additionally, in the MV4;11 model a subset
of mice remained disease-free showing long-term survival following combination treatment with venetoclax that was not observed with Quizartinib monotherapy. All drug treatments were well tolerated based on minimal changes in body weight (Online Supplementary Figure S1). Importantly, combination activity was demonstrated at clinically relevant doses, as 5 mg/kg Quizartinib achieved Cmax of ~1 μM in NSG mice (Online Supplementary Figure S2), similar to the clinically efficacious dose of 60 mg/day in patients.33

**FLT3-ITD inhibition combines with venetoclax in primary patient samples**

Studies were expanded to primary AML patient samples to confirm combination activity ex vivo. FLT3-WT and FLT3-ITD+ primary samples were treated with Quizartinib, venetoclax or the combination of both drugs and colony forming units were determined after 14 days. In 3 of 4 FLT3-ITD+ samples, venetoclax plus Quizartinib impaired colony formation greater than each agent alone (Figure 2A), suggesting that the co-treatment targeted more FLT3-ITD+ progenitor cells, which is an important factor related to greater clinical response.

In vivo efficacy of Quizartinib and Venetoclax was also investigated in FLT3-WT and FLT3-ITD+ patient-derived xenograft (PDX) models (see Online Supplementary Figure S3A for cytogenetic characteristics). Following engraftment, mice were treated orally with vehicle, venetoclax (100 mg/kg), Quizartinib (5 mg/kg), or the combination for 28 continuous days. Quizartinib prolonged survival of the
Figure 4. BCL-2, BCL-X<sub>L</sub> and MCL-1 contribute to survival of FLT3-ITD<sup>+</sup> cells <em>in vivo</em>. NOD/SCID/IL-2R<sub>γ</sub>-<sup>null</sup> (NSG) mice were engrafted with luciferase expressing MV4;11 cells and leukemic engraftment was confirmed by BLI and treatment began 14 days post inoculation. Mice were treated orally with 5 mg/kg quizartinib, 100 mg/kg venetoclax, 100 mg/kg navitoclax or 10 mg/kg AMG 176 as single agents or in combinations as indicated once daily for 21 days. All treatment groups were run concurrently, however for clarity survival data has been split between panels A and B and vehicle, quizartinib, venetoclax, navitoclax and AMG 176 single agent groups have been plotted in both panels for reference. (A) Kaplan-Meier survival curves for quizartinib in combination with AMG 176, venetoclax or navitoclax. N=8-10 animals/group. Median survival and statistics were determined by log-rank test: *P=0.0059 for quizartinib + venetoclax vs. quizartinib + AMG 176; and **P=0.0095 for quizartinib + navitoclax vs. quizartinib + AMG 176. (B) Kaplan-Meier survival curves for AMG 176 in combination with venetoclax or navitoclax. N=8-10 animals/group. Median survival and statistics were determined by log-rank test: *P=0.0008 for venetoclax + AMG 176 vs. quizartinib; and **P=0.0002 for navitoclax + AMG 176 vs. quizartinib. (C) Quantification of the bioluminescence imaging (BLI) signal from MV4;11-engrafted mice in each group at indicated time post-inoculation: *P<0.005 for quizartinib single agent and all combinations vs. venetoclax, AMG 176 or navitoclax single agents at week 5 by one-way ANOVA with Tukey post-test; **P<0.05 for quizartinib + venetoclax, quizartinib + navitoclax, quizartinib + AMG 176 and navitoclax + AMG 176 vs. quizartinib single agent on week 7 by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett post-test; ***P<0.0001 for quizartinib + venetoclax, quizartinib + navitoclax, quizartinib + AMG 176, venetoclax + AMG 176 and navitoclax + AMG 176 vs. single agent quizartinib at week 9 by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett post-test.
FLT3-ITD+ model whereas venetoclax prolonged survival of the FLT3-WT model (Figure 2B). Venetoclax combined with quizartinib further improved survival of the FLT3-ITD+ model compared to quizartinib alone, while no combination effect was observed in the FLT3-WT model (Figure 2B). Analysis of leukemia cells from vehicle-treated mice showed that FLT3-WT cells had elevated BCL-2 protein expression relative to BCL-X<sub>L</sub> or MCL-1, which may account for venetoclax sensitivity. Conversely, the FLT3-ITD+ cells showed elevated MCL-1 expression compared to BCL-2 or BCL-X<sub>L</sub>, consistent with the lack of single agent venetoclax activity (Online Supplementary Figure S3B).

A cohort of drug-treated mice (n=3/group) were assessed at the end of dosing. Venetoclax reduced splenomegaly and leukemic cells in peripheral blood (PB), BM and spleen (Figure 3A-B; Online Supplementary Figure S3C) in the FLT3-WT model. In the FLT3-ITD+ model, quizartinib treatment significantly reduced splenomegaly and leukemic blasts in the PB, BM and spleen while venetoclax demonstrated little activity (Figure 3A-B; Online Supplementary Figure S3C). Although quizartinib monotherapy profoundly reduced FLT3-ITD+ PB blasts, significant blasts were still present in the BM (~50%) and spleen (~12%; Figure 3B; Online Supplementary Figure S3C). Notably, the addition of venetoclax to quizartinib reduced leukemic cells in the BM and spleen to less than 1% (Figure 3B; Online Supplementary Figure S3C). Treatment was well tolerated as determined by minimal changes in body weight (Online Supplementary Figure S3D). These results further demonstrate that venetoclax, when combined with quizartinib, is more efficacious than either single agent alone in FLT3-ITD+ primary AML localized within a biologically relevant tumor microenvironment at clinically achievable doses.

**Figure 5. Venetoclax synergistically combined with quizartinib in FLT3-ITD+ cell lines.** (A) Cell lines were treated for 48 hours with venetoclax, quizartinib or the combination at indicated concentrations. ATP content was determined by CellTiter-Glo and Bliss sums were calculated and plotted for each cell line. Bliss sum of >100 is highly synergistic. (B) Cell lines were treated for 48 hours with quizartinib, venetoclax or the combination as indicated and cell viability was assessed by CellTiter-Glo. Values are normalized to the average of the untreated samples for each cell line. (C) Fms-like tyrosine kinase 3 (FLT3) internal tandem duplication (FLT3-ITD+) cell lines were treated for 48 hours with combinations of quizartinib and venetoclax, AMG 176 or A1331852 as indicated. ATP content was determined by CellTiter-Glo and Bliss sums were calculated and plotted for each cell line. (D) FLT3-ITD+ cell lines were treated for 48 hours with combinations of venetoclax, AMG 176, A1331852 or navitoclax as indicated. ATP content was determined by CellTiter-Glo and Bliss sums were calculated and plotted for each cell line.
BCL-2, BCL-X<sub>L</sub>, and MCL-1 promote survival of FLT3-ITD+ cells in vivo

In order to understand the role of BCL-X<sub>L</sub> and MCL-1 in FLT3-ITD mediated survival in vivo, we utilized selective inhibitors to pharmacologically assess the contributions of the anti-apoptotic proteins. Drug combinations of quizartinib, venetoclax, navitoclax (dual BCL-2/BCL-X<sub>L</sub> inhibitor)<sup>8</sup> and AMG 176 (MCL-1 inhibitor)<sup>11</sup> were tested in the MV4;11 model at well-tolerated and clinically relevant doses. AMG 176 dosed at 10 mg/kg achieved a C<sub>max</sub> of ~2 μM in peripheral blood of NSG mice (Online Supplementary Figure S2), which is within the cumulative weekly dose range recently reported<sup>11</sup> and 100 mg/kg dose of navitoclax overlaps with clinically achievable exposure.<sup>8,9</sup> Other than quizartinib, single agents targeting the anti-apoptotic proteins showed minimal improvement in survival compared to vehicle control while all combinations with quizartinib enhanced its single agent activity.

---

**Figure 6.** FLT3-ITD signaling regulates the expression of BCL-X<sub>L</sub> and MCL-1 in vitro. Fms-like tyrosine kinase 3 wild-type (FLT3-WT) (HL60 and OCI-AML3) and FLT3 internal tandem duplication (FLT3-ITD+) (MV4;11 and Molm13) cell lines treated for 24 hours with indicated concentration of quizartinib and cell lysates analyzed by western blot for (A) FLT3-ITD downstream effector proteins and (B) BCL2 family proteins as indicated. (C) Cell lines were treated with 10 nM quizartinib for indicated time and MCL-1 expression was assessed in whole cell lysate by western blot.
While MCL-1 inhibition (AMG 176) plus quizartinib improved survival, the response was inferior to venetoclax or navitoclax (BCL-2/BCL-XL) combined with quizartinib (Figure 4 A,C), demonstrating that inhibition of BCL-2 promotes enhanced anti-tumor efficacy compared to targeting MCL-1 in combination with FLT3-ITD inhibition. Co-targeting of BCL-2 and MCL-1 (venetoclax + AMG 176) resulted in shorter median survival compared with inhibition of BCL-2, BCL-XL and MCL-1 (navitoclax + AMG 176; Figure 4B-C) indicating that in order to achieve maximum efficacy in the MV4;11 model \textit{in vivo}, inhibition of all three anti-apoptotic proteins may be required. Quizartinib plus venetoclax was superior to navitoclax plus AMG 176, suggesting that FLT3-ITD inhibition...
blocked additional pro-survival nodes. Treatments were well tolerated based on minimal changes in body weight (Online Supplementary Figure S4). These data underscore the need to target all three BCL-2 family members to robustly induce FLT3-ITD+ leukemia cell death in vivo.

**Maximum efficacy is demonstrated in vitro when simultaneously inhibiting BCL-2, BCL-X\(_L\), and MCL-1 in FLT3-ITD+ cell lines**

In order to further dissect the combination of venetoclax and quizartinib, survival and signaling pathways were pharmacologically investigated in vitro. FLT3-ITD+ and FLT3-WT (HL60 and OCI-AML3) cell lines were treated in a dose matrix with quizartinib and venetoclax and analyzed by the Bliss independence model\(^4\) to determine synergy. Bliss scores added within a cell line are referred to as a Bliss sum and > 100 was considered highly synergistic. Only the FLT3-ITD+ cell lines showed positive Bliss scores, indicating the combination is synergistic in vitro (Figure 5A; Online Supplementary Figure S5A). Confirming the Bliss analysis, co-treatment with low dose quizartinib and venetoclax reduced proliferation and increased apoptosis in the FLT3-ITD+ cell lines (Figure 5B; Online Supplementary Figure S5B). Venetoclax combined with sorafenib or midostaurin also reduced viability (Online Supplementary Figure S5C) indicating FLT3-ITD-specific response. In order to pharmacologically assess the contribution of MCL-1 and BCL-X\(_L\) in vitro, AMG 176 and A1331852 (BCL-X\(_L\) specific)\(^9\) were utilized in combination with quizartinib. Bliss analysis demonstrated that quizartinib combined with AMG 176 or A1331852 was not synergistic in vitro (Figure 5C).

In order to understand the dependence of FLT3-ITD+ cell lines on BCL-2, BCL-X\(_L\), and MCL-1 in vitro, combinations of venetoclax, navitoclax (BCL-2/BCL-X\(_L\)), A1331852 (BCL-X\(_L\)) and AMG 176 (MCL-1) were tested. In both cell lines, co-inhibition of BCL-2, BCL-X\(_L\), and MCL-1 (AMG 176 + navitoclax) was as synergistic as quizartinib plus venetoclax highlighting that all three proteins mediated survival. However, differential responses between cell lines were observed for other combinations. For the Molm13 cell line, venetoclax plus A1331852 (BCL-X\(_L\)) or AMG 176 (MCL-1) showed comparable or more synergy than quizartinib plus venetoclax, respectively and AMG 176 plus A1331852 (MCL-1 and BCL-X\(_L\) inhibition) was least synergistic (Figure 5D; Online Supplementary Figure S6A). These data suggest that BCL-2 may play an important survival role in Molm 13 cells and needs to be co-targeted. The combination of navitoclax plus AMG 176 was less effective than venetoclax plus AMG 176, which could be a result of the reduced potency of navitoclax toward BCL-2 compared to venetoclax.\(^3\) This is reflected by the combination activity observed at lower doses of venetoclax (≤4 nM) when combined with AMG 176 compared to navitoclax plus AMG 176 (Online Supplementary Figure S6A).

For the MV4;11 cell line, synergy scores were similar for venetoclax plus AMG 176 (MCL-1) and AMG 176 plus A1331852 (MCL-1 and BCL-X\(_L\) inhibition) indicating that MCL-1 inhibition is synergistic with BCL-2 or BCL-X\(_L\) inhibition in vitro (Figure 5D; Online Supplementary Figure S6A). Interestingly, in vitro venetoclax plus AMG 176 and navitoclax plus AMG 176 responses were similar whereas in vivo navitoclax plus AMG 176 was superior to venetoclax plus AMG 176 (Figure 4B). Assessment of protein expression in cells grown in vitro versus in vivo revealed increased BCL-X\(_L\) expression and decreased BCL-2 expression in vivo (Online Supplementary Figure S6B) which could account for the greater dependence on BCL-X\(_L\) for survival in vivo. Venetoclax plus A1331852 (BCL-X\(_L\)) was not synergistic in vitro (Figure 5D), consistent with minimal navitoclax activity in vivo (Figure 4B). These data further demonstrate the need for simultaneous inhibition of BCL-2, BCL-X\(_L\) and MCL-1 in order to achieve maximal anti-tumor activity in FLT3-ITD+ models as each of these proteins appear to mediate survival.

**BCL-X\(_L\) and MCL-1 expression is regulated by FLT3-ITD in vitro**

Given that BCL-X\(_L\) and MCL-1 are described to be downstream of FLT3-ITD, we evaluated the effect of FLT3 inhibition on protein expression. Quizartinib treatment resulted in kinase inhibition only in FLT3-ITD+ cell lines as confirmed by reduced phosphorylation of downstream effectors ERK, AKT and STAT5 (Figure 6A). While protein levels remained unchanged in FLT3-WT cell lines, quizartinib reduced BCL-X\(_L\) protein by 20-40% and MCL-1 protein by 60-80%, but did not alter BCL-2 expression in FLT3-ITD+ cell lines (Figure 6B; Online Supplementary Figure S7A). Sorafenib and midostaurin also reduced MCL-1 protein in the FLT3-ITD+ cell lines (Online Supplementary Figure S7B), confirming FLT3-ITD specificity and consistent with reported data.\(^9\) A shorter treatment period demonstrated maximum MCL-1 downregulation as early as 8 hours post FLT3-ITD inhibition (Figure 6C; Online Supplementary Figure S7C) reflective of the short half-life for MCL-1 (~2 hours).\(^3\) Assessment of gene expression showed minimal decrease in mRNA expression following quizartinib treatment (Online Supplementary Figure S7D), suggesting post-transcriptional regulation. Further, proteasome (MG132) but not caspase (Z-VAD-FMK) inhibition rescued loss of MCL-1 protein induced by quizartinib (Online Supplementary Figure S7E). Given the long half-life of BCL-X\(_L\) (~20 hours\(^3\)) and toxicity associated with MG132, BCL-X\(_L\) protein changes were not captured. However, these data support that BCL-X\(_L\) and MCL-1 are indirectly regulated post-transcriptionally downstream of FLT3-ITD.

**FLT3-ITD+ cells become BCL-2 dependent following quizartinib treatment**

In order to determine if FLT3-ITD inhibition altered survival dependence, dynamic BH3 profiling was utilized. MV4;11 cells were pre-treated with quizartinib or sorafenib followed by treatment with BH3 domain mimetic peptides and assessed for mitochondrial cytochrome c (Cytc) loss.\(^3\) FLT3-ITD inhibition primed cells toward apoptosis as determined by increased Cytc loss when co-treated with low-dose BLM peptide (0.01 μM) compared to vehicle-treated (Figure 7A). FLT3-ITD inhibition increased Cytc loss when co-treated with BAD, MS-1 and FS-1 peptides, suggesting dependence on BCL-2/BCL-X\(_L\), MCL-1 and BCL2-related protein A1 (A1) proteins, respectively, with BAD (BCL-2/BCL-X\(_L\)) peptide showing greatest activity (Figure 7A). Co-treatment with HRK peptide (BCL-X\(_L\)) demonstrated little activity, while venetoclax combined with FLT3-ITD inhibition resulted in Cytc loss similar to BAD peptide confirming shifted survival dependency to BCL-2 in vitro (Figure 7A). Further,
quizartinib treatment increased BIM:BCL-2 complexes in FLT3-ITD+ cells (Figure 7B), and co-treatment of cells with quizartinib and venetoclax caused greater reduction in BCL-X, and MCL-1 protein expression in FLT3-ITD+ cell lines (Figure 7C) compared to single agents. Therefore, FLT3-ITD inhibition switched survival dependence to BCL-2 in vitro, providing mechanistic rationale for the synergistic activity of quizartinib and venetoclax.

**BCL-X, and MCL-1 expression is maintained in vitro by multiple FLT3-ITD downstream pathways**

In order to determine the FLT3-ITD signaling pathways regulating expression of BCL-2, BCL-X, and MCL-1, selective kinase inhibitors pictisilib (PI3K), cobimetinib (MEK) or ruxolitinib (JAK) were utilized. BCL-X, and BCL-2 protein were unchanged by any treatment in the FLT3-ITD+ cell lines while PI3K inhibition slightly reduced MCL-1 protein across all cell lines and JAK inhibition reduced MCL-1 protein only in FLT3-ITD+ cell lines (Online Supplementary Figure S8A-B). In order to pharmacologically test dependence on these pathways, Bliss analysis was performed. PI3K and BCL-2 co-inhibition was the most synergistic in the FLT3-ITD+ cell lines followed by MEK and BCL-2 co-inhibition. Although ruxolitinib reduced MCL-1 protein expression, the combination was not synergistic in FLT3-ITD+ cell lines (Online Supplementary Figure S8C). All Bliss sums ranked lower than quizartinib plus venetoclax. The reduced synergy and inability of individual downstream pathways to modulate BCL-X, and MCL-1 protein support directly targeting FLT3-ITD rather than downstream effectors in combination with venetoclax. Together, the data presented here demonstrated that the combination of quizartinib and venetoclax targets all three anti-apoptotic proteins through indirect regulation of BCL-X, and MCL-1 by FLT3-ITD inhibition and potent inhibition of BCL-2 by venetoclax.

**Discussion**

Although minimally active as a monotherapy, venetoclax combined with HMA or LDAC is efficacious in AML patients who are unfit for high intensity chemotherapy across diverse mutation subsets. Given this activity, there is clinical rationale for developing combination regimens that maximize efficacy while minimizing toxicity. With this in mind, we provide strong mechanistic rationale for a combination regimen of FLT3-ITD inhibition and venetoclax to be tested clinically.

FLT3 inhibitors became standard of care in FLT3-mutant AML with midostaurin plus chemotherapy in frontline AML and gilteritinib monotherapy in relapsed/refractory AML receiving Food and Drug Administration approval. However, duration of response is often short-lived and patients can relapse with aggressive disease. Therefore, combining FLT3 inhibitors with agents that potently induce apoptosis has the potential to achieve more durable responses for FLT3-ITD+ patients. Combination activity of midostaurin or gilteritinib and venetoclax in preclinical FLT3-ITD+ models has recently been described. However, the role of BCL-X, in FLT3-ITD+ cells or the contribution of FLT3-ITD downstream pathways in mediating BCL-2 family dependence was not explored in depth. Here we have demonstrated that BCL-2, BCL-X, and MCL-1 all promote survival of FLT3-ITD+ cells in vivo and that targeting of single pathways downstream of FLT3-ITD is insufficient to modulate BCL-X, and MCL-1. We have provided a more thorough investigation of the family dependencies in vitro and in vivo and demonstrate robust anti-leukemia activity of venetoclax combined with FLT3 inhibitors in FLT3-ITD+ preclinical AML models. The data presented here demonstrated that FLT3-ITD+ inhibition indirectly modulated BCL-X, and MCL-1 expression while venetoclax potently inhibited BCL-2 resulting in simultaneous targeting of all three anti-apoptotic proteins. Importantly, our studies utilized clinically achievable concentrations of inhibitors and demonstrated in vivo anti-tumor activity in models of established leukemia.

We identified that inhibition of individual signaling pathways downstream of FLT3-ITD was insufficient in modulating anti-apoptotic proteins, suggesting that multiple downstream pathways need to be simultaneously inhibited to induce apoptosis. Such functional co-operation has been described for BCR-ABL, where RAS, STAT5 and PI3K individually contribute to survival and provide overlapping anti-apoptotic signals. Potently targeting FLT3-ITD presents an opportunity to inhibit multiple downstream pathways to indirectly reduce BCL-X, and MCL-1 expression and switch survival dependence to BCL-2. This switch in survival dependence sensitizes mutant cells to venetoclax treatment as demonstrated by enhanced anti-tumor activity of quizartinib plus venetoclax compared to monotherapies. While mechanisms of resistance to the combination of FLT3-ITD inhibition and venetoclax were not explored here, resistance to FLT3 inhibitors is well-described and includes acquired inhibitor-resistant point mutations, suboptimal drug concentrations in the BM, bypass of FLT3-ITD signaling, and alteration of apoptotic proteins. Additional mutations, in BCL-2 have been identified in chronic lymphocytic leukemia patients following relapse as a mechanism of venetoclax resistance. Based on the indirect regulation of BCL-X, and MCL-1 by FLT3-ITD signaling described here, potential resistance mechanisms to the combination of venetoclax and FLT3-ITD inhibition could include inhibitor-resistant point mutations that interfere with FLT3-ITD signaling inhibition or activating mutations in parallel signaling pathways, both of which would likely alter BCL-2 family expression. Investigation into resistance mechanisms is the focus of future work.

Importantly, venetoclax plus quizartinib combination activity was demonstrated in vivo in FLT3-ITD heterogeneous (Molm13) and homzygous (MV4;11) preclinical models. Superior long-term survival and disease free state was observed in the MV4;11 xenograft model, which could reflect stronger FLT3-ITD addiction in the homozygous model as described by Pratz et al. or result from different secondary mutations in the models. Combination activity was also demonstrated in a PDX model (Online Supplementary Figure S3A) with co-occurring FLT3/DNMT3A/NPM1 mutations, a subset associated with heavy disease burden and poor event free survival compared to non-triplet mutant patients. Notably, gilteritinib improved survival in FLT3/DNMT3A/NPM1 mutant patients compared to chemotherapy and our data indicate venetoclax may further enhance gilteritinib activity in this subset. While additional studies are required to parse out biological differences between FLT3-ITD heterozygous and homozygous mutations and the role of co-
This altered expression was also observed in MV4;11 cells from immortalized BM stromal cells increased BCL-XL multiple FLT3-ITD+ preclinical models. Sensitivity compared to samples cultured in normal media. The contribution of anti-apoptotic proteins in promoting survival may be underestimated outside of the tumor microenvironment. In support, quizzartinib monotherapy eliminated tumor cells from the periphery (<5% hCD45+) but not the spleen or BM of the FLT3-ITD+ PDX model while quizzartinib plus venetoclax more completely eliminated leukemic cells from the tumor microenvironment (Figure 5B). Importantly, anti-tumor activity was achieved with clinically relevant doses of both venetoclax and quizzartinib.

Together, the preclinical data presented here provides strong mechanistic rationale for the combination of venetoclax and FLT3 inhibitors in R/R AML. Indeed, clinical investigation has been initiated through a phase 1b multi-center study of venetoclax and gilteritinib in R/R AML (clinicaltrials.gov. Identifier: NCT03223885) and a phase 1b/II study of quizzartinib and venetoclax in R/R FLT3-mutated AML (clinicaltrials.gov. Identifier: NCT0375875). Perl et al. recently reported that the combination of venetoclax and gilteritinib is well-tolerated with febrile neutropenia (47%), anemia (27%), thrombocytopenia and neutropenia (each 7%) being the most common treatment-related adverse events. In the dose escalation portion of this study, the drug combination showed high response rates, with 90% of FLT3 mutant patients demonstrating blast clearance. The data collected from these on-going trials will be important to define the mechanistic activity and safety of venetoclax combined with FLT3-ITD inhibition in patients.
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