UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Ascarids exposed: a method for in vitro drug exposure and gene expression analysis of anthelmintic naïve Parascaris spp

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/968091kw>

Journal

Parasitology, 147(6)

ISSN

0031-1820

Authors

Scare, JA Dini, P Norris, JK [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/968091kw#author)

Publication Date

2020-05-01

DOI

10.1017/s0031182020000189

Peer reviewed

[cambridge.org/par](https://www.cambridge.org/par)

Research Article

Cite this article: Scare JA, Dini P, Norris JK, Steuer AE, Scoggin K, Gravatte HS, Howe DK, Slusarewicz P, Nielsen MK (2020). Ascarids exposed: a method for in vitro drug exposure and gene expression analysis of anthelmintic naïve Parascaris spp. Parasitology 147, 659–666. [https://doi.org/10.1017/](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182020000189) [S0031182020000189](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182020000189)

Received: 12 June 2019 Revised: 8 January 2020 Accepted: 23 January 2020 First published online: 12 February 2020

Key words:

Anthelmintic; ascarid; in vitro; Parascaris; transcriptome

Author for correspondence: J. A. Scare, E-mail: Jessica.scare@uky.edu

© Cambridge University Press 2020

Ascarids exposed: a method for in vitro drug exposure and gene expression analysis of anthelmintic naïve Parascaris spp

J. A. Scare¹ \bullet [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9309-9879) P. Dini¹, J. K. Norris¹, A. E. Steuer¹, K. Scoggin¹, H. S. Gravatte¹, D. K. Howe¹, P. Slusarewicz² and M. K. Nielsen¹

¹Department of Veterinary Science, M.H. Gluck Equine Research Center, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, USA and ²MEP Equine Solutions, 3905 English Oak Circle, Lexington, KY 40514, USA

Abstract

Ascarid parasites infect a variety of hosts and regular anthelmintic treatment is recommended for all species. Parascaris spp. is the only ascarid species with widespread anthelmintic resistance, which allows for the study of resistance mechanisms. The purpose of this study was to establish an in vitro drug exposure protocol for adult anthelmintic-naïve Parascaris spp. and report a preliminary transcriptomic analysis in response to drug exposure. Live worms were harvested from foal necropsies and maintained in RPMI-1640 at 37 °C. Serial dilutions of oxibendazole (OBZ) and ivermectin (IVM) were prepared for in vitro drug exposure, and worm viability was monitored over time. In a second drug trial, worms were used for transcriptomic analysis. The final drug concentrations employed were OBZ at 40.1 μ M (10 μ g mL⁻¹) and IVM at 1.1 μ M (1 μ g mL⁻¹) for 24 and 3 h, respectively. The RNA-seq analysis revealed numerous differentially expressed genes, with some being potentially related to drug detoxification and regulatory mechanisms. This report provides a method for in vitro drug exposure and the phenotypic responses for Parascaris spp., which could be extrapolated to other ascarid parasites. Finally, it also provides preliminary transcriptomic data following drug exposure as a reference point for future studies of Parascaris spp.

Introduction

Ascarid parasites infect a variety of hosts, including humans (Ascaris lumbricoides Jourdan et al., [2018\)](#page-8-0), swine (A. suum, Thamsborg et al., [2013\)](#page-8-0), companion animals (Toxocara spp., Overgaauw, [1997](#page-8-0)), poultry (Ascaridia galli, Kilpinen et al., [2005](#page-8-0)) and horses (Parascaris spp., Nielsen, [2016\)](#page-8-0), where Toxocara spp. and A. suum can result in zoonotic transmissions. Infections are commonly associated with wasting disease in children (Jourdan et al., [2018](#page-8-0)), decreased productivity in livestock (Kilpinen et al., [2005](#page-8-0); Thamsborg et al., [2013\)](#page-8-0) and stunted growth and intestinal obstruction in companion animals (Overgaauw, [1997](#page-8-0); Nielsen, [2016](#page-8-0)). This array of clinical diseases warrants the routine use of anthelmintics for therapeutic and preventative measures.

Anthelmintic resistance is prevalent and of grave concern among strongylid parasite species (Kaplan, [2004\)](#page-8-0), but the Ascarididae family has received less attention on this issue. Parascaris spp. is the only example of an ascarid parasite with major issues of anthelmintic resistance (Lyons et al., [2008,](#page-8-0) [2011](#page-8-0); Armstrong et al., [2014;](#page-8-0) Peregrine et al., [2014;](#page-8-0) Martin et al., [2018](#page-8-0)), as only single case reports of failed anthelmintic efficacy exist for other ascarid species (Yazwinski et al., [2013;](#page-8-0) Krücken et al., [2017](#page-8-0)). This unique but troubling status of Parascaris spp. provides an opportunity for identifying drug resistance and metabolism mechanisms that could be extrapolated to other ascarid parasites before resistance levels rise to become a major problem in those as well. Unfortunately, little work has been done to unravel drug response mechanisms for Parascaris spp. Currently, only one published report exists (Janssen et al., [2013](#page-8-0)), but work is currently being carried out by other investigators and some of this was recently presented at the 2019 World Association for the Advancement of Veterinary Parasitology (W.A.A.V.P.) conference (personal communications, Alexander Gerhard, Nicolas Lammassiaude, Frida Martin and Eva Tydén). The apparent slow progress is due to numerous challenges when studying Parascaris spp. Physical challenges include obtainment of parasites as they are only intermittently established in foals, variation in worm viability/lifespan when harvested from different equine hosts as the host immune system effects on worm viability are unknown, a lack of in vitro protocols for parasite maintenance (nutritional and environmental requirements), difficulties maintaining adult parasites in vitro due to their large size, a lack of in vitro anthelmintic exposure protocols (drug concentration and duration of exposure) and understanding how this drug exposure differs from in vivo drug exposure. Molecular challenges include the absence of a fully annotated Parascaris spp. genome/transcriptome, lack of understanding how the transcriptome differs between parasitic stages/tissues and sex and which of these are most relevant to drug metabolism and resistance, distant phylogenetic relationships between Parascaris spp. and more commonly studied nematodes (Haemonchus contortus, Caenorhabditis elegans), inadequate knowledge of

Fig. 1. An illustration of the study design (IVM, ivermectin; OBZ, oxibendazole).

genes (HKGs) and how they might vary between parasite stages and drug exposures, how the drugs enter the parasite (orally or transcuticularly), and the mode of action of anthelmintic drugs and involvement of drug metabolizing enzymes. While the challenges seem endless, recent and current studies are making progress by establishing in vitro maintenance protocols for adult (Scare et al., [2018\)](#page-8-0) and larval stages (personal communication, Eva Tydén), availability of a partially annotated genome (Wang et al., [2017\)](#page-8-0), identification of p-glycoproteins in various tissues (Chelladurai and Brewer, [2019\)](#page-8-0) and preliminary evidence of genes involved with drug resistance and drug exposure (Janssen et al., [2013;](#page-8-0) personal communications Alexander Gerhard, Nicolas Lamassiaude and Frida Martin).

Leading researchers have suggested that studying drug responses at the transcriptomic level in known susceptible isolates will enhance the understanding of anthelmintic resistance (Beech et al., [2011;](#page-8-0) Kotze et al., [2014\)](#page-8-0). Utilizing susceptible isolates may reduce challenges due to genetic variation as resistant isolates have increased genetic diversity (Beech et al., [2011](#page-8-0); Kotze et al., [2014\)](#page-8-0). Xenobiotic defence mechanisms and/or drug responses of parasites are not widely known, and it is uncertain if these defence mechanisms are naturally occurring or developed as a result of drug selection and anthelmintic resistance. Current researchers are exploring the transcriptomic response of parasites belonging to the order Ascarididae following in vitro drug exposure; however, to the authors' knowledge, no results have been published. The unique albeit widespread macrocyclic lactone (ML) resistance status of Parascaris spp. urges the need for uncovering this parasite's response to xenobiotics, which may provide valuable insight to preserve anthelmintic efficacy for other ascarid species and beyond. While several investigators are exploring the effects of in vitro anthelmintic exposure on Parascaris spp. (personal communications Alexander Gerhard, Nicolas Lamassiaude and Frida Martin), there is only one published report (Janssen et al., [2013](#page-8-0)), but the phenotypic and transcriptomic responses to various drug concentrations are unknown. Only recently has an *in vitro* maintenance and viability assessment method been tested and established for intestinal stages of Parascaris spp.

(Scare et al., [2018\)](#page-8-0), and this provides a basis for establishing an in vitro anthelmintic exposure method while monitoring the phenotypic and transcriptomic responses.

The purpose of this study was to aid in overcoming some of the previously mentioned challenges by (1) establishing a method for in vitro anthelmintic exposure of adult Parascaris spp. using different concentrations of anthelmintic drugs, (2) reporting the phenotypic responses to anthelmintic exposure in terms of worm viability over time using a previously established objective scoring system (Scare et al., [2018](#page-8-0)), and (3) providing a preliminary examination of transcriptomic responses of drug-susceptible adult Parascaris spp. to ivermectin (IVM), an ML drug to which resistance is widely established on managed horse farms, and to oxibendazole (OBZ), a drug that remains effective.

Materials and methods

Study design

This study consisted of two parts. Part 1 was to perform in vitro drug exposures at varying concentrations and observe the worm responses using a motility-based scoring system (Scare et al., [2018\)](#page-8-0). Part 1 ended with the determination of the sub-lethal concentration for each drug type and exposure length where worm viability decreased approximately \geq 25%. In Part 2, these predetermined parameters were used for a second in vitro drug exposure. Subsequently, RNA sequencing and gene expression analysis was used to provide a preliminary report of changes in gene expression following drug exposure compared to both in vitro and in situ controls. An illustration of the study design can be found in Fig. 1.

Parasite sources

The study took place over the course of four foal necropsies from December 2017 to September 2018. The foals were born in a herd housed at the University of Kentucky that has not been treated with any anthelmintics since 1979 and have been Table 1. Number of Parascaris spp. worms used to observe responses to drug exposure in vitro for Part 1 of this study

IVM, ivermectin; OBZ, oxibendazole; Imm, immature worms; RPMI-1640, Roswell Park Memorial Institute-1640 medium; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide.

Drugs were prepared in 10% DMSO. Worms were maintained in 200 mL RPMI-1640 media at 37 °C.

documented harbouring a variety of equine parasites (Lyons et al., [1990\)](#page-8-0). Foals were humanely euthanized following the American Veterinary Medical Association guidelines for the euthanasia of animals when they reached 4.5–5 months old and subsequently necropsied. The research was conducted under the approval from the University of Kentucky's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee under protocol number 2012-1046.

Collection of Parascaris spp.

Collection of live worm specimens at necropsy occurred as previously described (Scare et al., [2018\)](#page-8-0). Brief details are provided in the Supplementary files. For Part 2 of this study (see [Fig. 1\)](#page-2-0), additional worm specimens for in situ controls were obtained by leaving numerous worms within a 30 cm section of the jejunum. Intestinal content was allowed to remain in the segment and both ends were tied shut with string. The segment was placed in a closed container and then into the water bath to maintain its temperature at 37 °C. The purpose of the in situ controls was to mimic the natural environment of the worms while minimizing disturbances. Therefore, this in situ control was used as a comparison for the in vitro non-drug-treated controls.

In vitro maintenance and viability assessment of Parascaris spp.

Worms for in vitro drug exposure were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium (R8758, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, US) within TPP tissue culture flasks (300 cm², MidSci, St. Louis, MO) at 37 °C as described by Scare et al. ([2018](#page-8-0)). Media were changed every 12 h (Scare et al., [2018\)](#page-8-0). Worm viability was assessed at regular time intervals using a motility-based objective scoring system on a 0–6 scale as previously described (Scare et al., [2018](#page-8-0)).

Anthelmintics

The anthelmintics employed in this study were powder formulations of IVM (22,23-dihydroavermectin B1, Sigma-Aldrich) and OBZ (methyl carbamate, Sigma-Aldrich). Stock solutions of both drugs were individually prepared as described by Hu et al. ([2013](#page-8-0)), where 11.4μ M IVM and 40.1μ M OBZ (both 100μ g mL⁻¹) were individually dissolved in 100% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Tenfold serial dilutions were carried out using 10% DMSO to achieve the concentrations of 0.1, 1.0, and 10.0 and $100.0 \,\mu\text{g} \,\text{mL}^{-1}$. These concentrations were based on previous studies using similar concentrations (Hanser et al., [2003;](#page-8-0) Hu et al., [2013;](#page-8-0) Janssen et al., [2013](#page-8-0)).

Four millilitres of the prepared drug suspensions were added to the pre-assigned flasks containing 196 mL of RPMI-1640 media so that the final concentration of DMSO in the flask was 0.2% and the drug suspension was 1/50 of the final volume (Hu et al., [2013\)](#page-8-0). Control flasks containing only 0.2% DMSO were also prepared. Worms were allowed a 24 h acclimation period before the anthelmintics were added. Drug treatments were applied at every medium change thereafter.

Part 1: initial assessment of parasite responses to in vitro drug exposure

Part 1 was dedicated to observing worm viability in response to in vitro drug exposure at varying concentrations of IVM and OBZ anthelmintics to determine optimal sub-lethal drug concentrations and length of exposure. The number of worms evaluated for each drug concentration can be found in Table 1. Viability assessments as described by Scare et al. ([2018](#page-8-0)) occurred at the following time points: 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 12, 18, 24, 30, 42, 54, 66 and 78 h.

The drug concentrations and time points for future snap freezing and transcriptomic analysis (Part 2) were determined when mean worm viability decreased by approximately \geq 25%, but remained sub-lethal. The final determined duration of exposure was extended by 2 h for IVM and 12 h for OBZ to ensure that the decrease in viability was stable, and not due to variation between specimens.

Part 2: RNA-sequencing analysis

In Part 2, a second drug trial was performed using worms harvested from a second necropsy. Subsequently, these specimens were used for RNA-seq analysis. Only adult worms were used due to the lack of immature worms present. Worms were maintained in vitro in groups of four, consisting of two adult males and two adult females. The drug exposure parameters determined from Part 1 were applied. One male and one female for each drug treated/control group were used for further analysis. At the predetermined time points, these worms were snap frozen live in liquid nitrogen and kept at −80 °C until use.

RNA isolation, library preparation and RNA sequencing

Frozen whole worms were ground into a fine powder using a mortar and pestle while continuously adding small amounts of liquid nitrogen. Approximately 100 mg of worm powder was used for RNA isolation which was carried out using TRIzol RNA isolation reagent (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer's

instructions. Next, DNase treatment was performed (DNA-free DNA removal kit, Thermo Fisher Scientific). RNA quantity and quality was determined at the University of Kentucky Genomics Core Lab using the Agilent bioanalyser (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA).

RNA samples were sent to the University of Louisville CGeMM DNA Core Facility [\(http://louisville.edu/genetics/gemm](http://louisville.edu/genetics/gemm-dna-facility-core)[dna-facility-core](http://louisville.edu/genetics/gemm-dna-facility-core)) for library preparation and sequencing. Libraries were prepared with Illumina's TruSeq stranded total RNA library kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) with Ribo-Zero Gold depletion. Libraries were sequenced using the NextSeq 500 High Output v2 75 cycles kit. Samples were run in 1×75 base pair configuration, generating up to 400 million reads total, approximately 40 million reads per sample.

RNA-seq analysis and selection of genes of interest

Specific details pertaining to RNA-seq analysis can be found in the Supplementary files. Reads were aligned and annotated to the Parascaris univalens reference genome and transcriptome, respectively (Wang et al., [2017](#page-8-0)). Mapping statistics are shown in Supplementary Table S1. The RNA sequencing data from this study were deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO, NCBI, NIH) database under study GSE129514.

Functional annotation of the differentially expressed genes based on gene ontology (GO; biological process and molecular function) was performed using Pantherdb.org, a pathway analysis program (Mi et al., [2013,](#page-8-0) [2017\)](#page-8-0).

Statistical analyses

Part 1: initial assessment of parasite responses to in vitro drug exposure

Mean viability per flask of worms at each timepoint was calculated using the following formula (Scare et al., [2018](#page-8-0)):

% Viability = 100
-
$$
\left(\frac{\text{(initial score - score at time 'X')}}{\text{initial score}} \times 100\% \right)
$$
.

Mean scores and 95% confidence intervals were determined using Microsoft Excel 2016 (Redmond, WA, USA). Further statistical analysis was carried out using SAS software (version 9.4, SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA). Two mixed-model analyses with repeated measures over time were performed to examine the effects of worm stage (immature/adult) and sex (male/female), and the effects of each drug (IVM or OBZ) at different concentrations on worm viability. The details for the covariates examined and random effects can be found in the Supplementary file materials and methods section.

For both analyses described above, covariates identified as significant (α = 0.05) were further examined in a 'least squares means' analysis for a Tukey's pairwise comparison.

Part 2: RNA-sequencing analysis

Identification of differentially expressed genes was performed using a total of five one-way ANOVA analyses, using the Benjamini–Hochberg correction for false discovery rate $(P <$ 0.01). Analyses were performed using JMP software (JMP®, Version 13. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA, 1989–2019). The first four analyses ignored the influence of worm sexes. The first analysis considered all treated worms, regardless of drug used, vs all control worms, regardless of in situ or in vitro. The second analysis compared only the IVM-treated and IVM-control worms, and the third analysis compared only the OBZ-treated and OBZ-control worms. The fourth analysis

compared all in vitro controls to all in situ control worms. Finally, the fifth analysis ignored drug/control group and considered any differences between worm sexes.

Because small sample sizes were a limitation of this study, the comparison of primary interest was between all drug-treated (OBZ and IVM; $n = 4$) and all control (in vitro and in situ controls; $n = 6$) worms. The functionality of the top ten significantly different genes within this comparison was explored using Wormbase (Parasite.Wormbase.org) and NCBI. Lastly, due to a lack of verified HKGs for Parascaris spp., two commonly used HKGs, namely nuclear cap-binding protein subunit 2 (ncbp) and RNA polymerase RPABC1 large subunit (ama), were observed for stable expression (Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped reads; FPKM values) between the different drug treatments and corresponding controls, in vitro and in situ controls, and all treated and all controls. These HKGs were selected based on a previous study examining HKG expression in treated H. contortus (Lecová et al., [2015\)](#page-8-0).

Functional annotation of the differentially expressed genes based on GO (biological process and molecular function) was performed using Pantherdb.org, a pathway analysis program (Mi et al., [2013,](#page-8-0) [2017](#page-8-0)).

Results

Part 1: initial assessment of parasite responses to in vitro drug exposure

A graphical representation of worm viability following in vitro drug exposure can be found in [Fig. 2](#page-5-0). Overall, IVM had a more immediate effect on worm viability than OBZ.

Neither the worm stage nor sex had a significant influence on viability ($P = 0.1868$). Between the two drug treatments, worms exposed to IVM at 0.1 μ M (0.1 μ g mL⁻¹) had significantly lower viability than the RPMI-1640 control worms at hours 3 and 4. No other significant differences were found between groups for the other time points, including the RPMI-1640 (no drug control) and the DMSO 10% control, which indicates that the DMSO did not affect worm viability and any observed changes were due to the drug.

Because of the lack of significant differences in worm viability between the three IVM concentrations tested, 1.1μ M (1μ g mL^{-1'}) was chosen because it was the median concentration employed. Due to the lack of significant differences in worm viability between all OBZ concentrations and control worms, the highest OBZ concentration of 40.1 μ M (10 μ g mL⁻¹) was chosen for Part 2. Viability had decreased by \geq 25% for all IVM concentrations at 1 h posttreatment and at 12 h for OBZ at 40.1μ M $(10 \mu g \text{ mL}^{-1})$. The final timepoints for Part 2 were extended by 2 h for IVM and 12 h for OBZ to ensure a representative decrease in viability rather than inter-specimen variation for final exposure lengths of 3 and 24 h, respectively.

Part 2: RNA-sequencing analysis

[Figure 3](#page-5-0) illustrates the viability of the worms collected from the second necropsy and subsequently used for RNA sequencing. The total number of genes with significantly different expression levels (α = 0.01) for each of the group comparisons can be found in [Table 2](#page-5-0). A list of all the significantly different genes for each comparison is available in the Supplementary files. [Figure 4](#page-6-0) shows the expression of two HKGs, ncbp and ama, and four other genes identified as having potential functional relevance that were significantly different between the treated and control worms. These genes were cytochrome p450 4c1 (cyp4504c1), frmd4a, klhdc10 and sup-9.

Fig. 2. A graphical representation of mean worm viability following in vitro anthelmintic exposure. Control worms were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium only or with dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) which was used to prepare the anthelmintics. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals $(\alpha = 0.05)$.

Fig. 3. A graphical representation of mean worm viability of worms used in Part 2 of this study, where those exposed to oxibendazole (OBZ) at 40.1 μ M (10 μ g mL⁻¹) for 24 h and ivermectin (IVM) at 1.1μ M $(1 \mu g \text{ mL}^{-1})$ for 3h were used for RNA-sequencing analysis. Control worms were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium only or with dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) which was used to prepare the anthelmintics. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals (α = 0.05).

Table 2. The number of significant transcripts (α = 0.01) based on FPKM values obtained from Part 2 of this study

Comparison	Number of significant transcripts ^{a}
In situ controls vs in vitro controls	115
All treated vs all controls	88
All males vs all females	5756
OBZ-treated 40.1 μ m (10 μ g mL ⁻¹) vs OBZ control	153
IVM-treated 1.1 μ _M (1 μ g mL ⁻¹) vs IVM control	57

OBZ, oxibendazole; IVM, ivermectin.

^aAll target genes had significantly higher expression in drug-treated worms than control worms.

For the GO analysis, the entire list of genes from the annotated genome (Wang et al., [2017\)](#page-8-0) was initially used. Of these, 6% did not have a listed gene product and 24% were considered annotated as a 'hypothetical protein', and were not included in the GO analysis. The following comparisons were made: all treated vs all controls, IVM 1.1 μ M (1 μ g mL⁻¹) vs IVM controls, and OBZ 40.1 μ M (10 μ g mL⁻¹) vs OBZ controls. The significantly different genes corresponding to these comparisons are listed in the Supplementary files. Predicted biological processes and molecular function pathways are presented in [Fig. 5](#page-7-0). Overall, it appears that treatment with IVM reduced the number of categories for genes involved with molecular functions and biological processes.

However, the categories of genes following OBZ treatment were similar to the gene categories representing all of the genes of the control worms.

Discussion

This study addresses some of the challenges associated with in vitro drug exposure of adult Parascaris spp. First, it has established a method for in vitro anthelmintic exposure of adult Parascaris spp. Second, it is the first report to objectively describe the phenotypic responses (viability) of anthelmintics with different modes of action at varying concentrations. Third, it provides a reference for using in situ controls which may better represent in vivo conditions rather than in vitro controls. Lastly, this report also provides preliminary data for a whole-transcriptome analysis of anthelmintic-naïve Parascaris spp. following in vitro drug exposure.

Prior to this study, there was no comparison of different anthelmintics at varying drug concentrations or exposure lengths for in vitro anthelmintic exposure of any adult ascarid parasite. Only one published report of in vitro drug exposure of Parascaris spp. exists employing IVM at 10^{-8} or 10^{-9} M for 12 h (Janssen et al., [2013](#page-8-0)), but no reference was provided for these parameters and the phenotypic effects were unknown. Therefore, the anthelmintic drug concentrations (0.1, 1.0 and $10 \,\mu\text{g} \,\text{mL}^{-1}$) chosen for the current study were based on a previous study examining in vitro anthelmintic effects of various nematode parasites, including immature Ascaris suum (Hu

Fig. 4. A graphical representation of select genes from the RNA-seq analysis performed in Part 2 of this study. The housekeeping genes are ama and ncbp. (A) In vitro vs in situ controls, (B) all control worms vs all treated worms, where the selected genes had significantly higher expression in the treated worms (α =0.01), (C) ivermectin (IVM) treated with $1.1 \mu \text{m}$ ($1 \mu \text{g} \text{m}$ L⁻¹), IVM control, oxibendazole (OBZ) treated with 40.1 μ M (10 μ g mL⁻¹), and OBZ control.

et al., [2013](#page-8-0)). It is also important to note that the method of drug preparation by dissolving powder formulations in DMSO allowed the drug to affect the worms and the DMSO did not influence the worm viability ([Fig. 2](#page-5-0)). The phenotypic responses, displayed by worm viability, were different between IVM and OBZ [\(Fig. 2\)](#page-5-0) and correspond to the drugs' mode of action. Overall, the

phenotypic effects of the different IVM concentrations on worms from the first necropsy did not differ significantly between stages/sexes, and decreases in viability were observed within 1 h. However, the effects of OBZ were more prominent in the immature worms, and not significantly different from the control worms for any stage/sex. Interestingly, there does not appear to be a dose-dependent effect on viability ([Figs 2](#page-5-0) and [3](#page-5-0)). The cause of this is unknown, but may be due to a number of reasons such as a lack of proper nutrition for the worms causing unstable viability, misinterpretations of drug bioavailability in Parascaris spp., the drug concentrations employed may be too high (IVM) or too low (OBZ), or perhaps there is too much interspecimen variation even when worms are harvested from the same foal.

The effects on viability reflect each drugs' mode of action, where IVM is known to have a more immediate paralytic effect (Martin, [1997\)](#page-8-0) and OBZ kills worms more slowly by disrupting energy metabolism (Lacey, [1988\)](#page-8-0). Therefore, future studies should examine the effects of OBZ at higher concentrations. Previously, Lloberas et al. [\(2015\)](#page-8-0) examined IVM concentrations within abomasal digesta and H. contortus following intraruminal IVM administration in lambs. They found IVM present at a concentration of 395 ng g⁻¹ in the abomasal content and at 102 ng g⁻¹ within H. contortus. The drug concentrations employed in the current study are within the same order of magnitude and may be considered physiologically relevant. However, there are some differences that must be considered, such as the different digestive anatomy between ruminants and horses, and the biological differences between H. contortus and Parascaris spp. Therefore, we cannot conclude how the concentrations employed herein would represent in vivo responses. Overall, while the described methodology makes in vitro anthelmintic exposure of adult Parascaris spp. possible, there are still many questions to be answered. Overall, we believe that the drug exposure method described herein will provide a reference for future drug exposure analysis.

One of the primary challenges for in vitro work with Parascaris spp. is the ability to keep worms alive and viable (i.e. retaining motility) for longer than a few days. This challenge has been described by other investigators (personal communications Alexander Gerhard, Nicolas Lamassiaude and Frida Martin) as well as in a previous publication by our group (Scare et al., [2018\)](#page-8-0). The quick loss in viability complicates the reliability of worm health in vitro, and comparisons of drug-treated worms to in vitro controls should be interpreted with caution. Instead, it may be more reliable to compare drug treatment to in situ controls. As described above, it is possible to obtain in situ controls by excising a segment of the jejunum (about 30 cm) and tying the ends while worms and intestinal content remain inside. The segment can be incubated at 37 °C. This way, the worms undergo minimal handling/disruption and can remain in a more natural environment during recovery.

Another aim of the study was to provide a preliminary transcriptomic analysis following drug exposure. This was first examined using a GO analysis as described above. The predicted biological functions and metabolic pathways illustrate a variety of processes ([Fig. 5](#page-7-0)) that are presumably affected by anthelmintic exposure. At this time, it is unknown how the differentially expressed genes are influenced by in vitro drug exposure. We also cannot conclude if they would elicit the same response in vivo, or if they have a role in anthelmintic resistance mechanisms. The proportion of significant genes related to various biological processes and molecular functions differ between the IVMtreated/control and OBZ-treated/control comparisons. For the IVM comparison, the genes related to biological processes are only related to metabolic and cellular processes while those related to molecular function are defined as catalytic activity,

Fig. 5. A graphical representation of the gene ontology pathway analysis for significantly different genes (SDGs) between groups. From left to right: all genes, all treated worms vs all control worms, ivermectin (IVM) treated with 1.1 μ m (1 μ g mL⁻¹) vs IVM control, oxibendazole (OBZ) treated with 40.1 μ m (10 μ g mL $^{-1}$) vs OBZ control. The top row reflects biological processes (BP) and the bottom row reflects molecular functions (MF).

binding and molecular function regulation (Fig. 5). This is interesting given the IVMs' paralytic mode of action. The target receptors of IVM (GluCl and GABA channels) are highly expressed on the motor and sensory neurons, which regulate nematode locomotion, feeding behaviour and mediate sensory inputs (Wolstenholme, [2012\)](#page-8-0). The predicted functions appear to be in agreement with the IVM mode of action, such as the disruption of feeding behaviour may be related to changes in metabolic processes, and mediation of sensory inputs likely has some effect on cellular processes, catalytic activity and regulation of molecular function. The BZ mode of action is primarily to disrupt energy metabolism and cell structure (Lacey, [1988](#page-8-0)). The pathway analysis resulted in a variety of genes, where those related to biological processes were primarily involved with localization, biological regulation, metabolic and developmental processes. The molecular function was dominated by genes related to binding and catalytic activity. The disruption of cellular structure is likely involved in localization, biological regulation, binding and development processes. Likewise, it is not illogical to equate the disruption of energy metabolism with metabolic processes and catalytic activity. Overall, it can be speculated that the predicted GO pathways have some relevance to the drug mode of action, and there are potential pathways involved with drug responses to be explored.

The RNA-sequencing analysis revealed a number of gene transcripts that were significantly different between the treated and control groups [\(Table 2\)](#page-5-0). Four of the top ten significantly different genes exhibit functions in other organisms related to drug detoxification (cyp4504c1; Feyereisen, [1999;](#page-8-0) Cvilink et al., [2009](#page-8-0); Yilmaz et al., [2017](#page-8-0)), coordinating muscle contraction and regulation of membrane potential (Lesage and Lazunski, [2000;](#page-8-0) Perez de la Cruz et al., [2003\)](#page-8-0), microtubule polymerization (frmd4a; Stehbens et al., [2006](#page-8-0); Ikenouchi and Umeda, [2010](#page-8-0); Meng and Takeichi, [2009](#page-8-0)) and antioxidant defence mechanisms (klhdc10; James et al., [2009\)](#page-8-0). We hypothesize that these functions may compliment the IVM and OBZ modes of action. Because these genes were not significantly different between the in situ and in vitro controls, it appears that these changes were in fact a response to drug exposure rather than in vitro conditions ([Fig. 4A\)](#page-6-0). Although the fold-difference was small (≤ 2) , all four of these genes were significantly increased among the treated worms ([Fig. 4B](#page-6-0) and [C](#page-6-0)), and it should be noted that even minute changes in RNA expression have been associated with important biological

functions (Laurent et al., [2013](#page-8-0)). Given the low sample size (treated worms $n = 4$; control worms $n = 6$) and corresponding statistical limitations of Part 2, only speculations rather than conclusions can be made at this time. Interestingly, traditionally researched genes, such as p-glycoproteins (Janssen et al., [2013,](#page-8-0) [2015](#page-8-0); Chelladurai and Brewer, [2019\)](#page-8-0) and the multi-drug-resistant protein (Kotze et al., [2014\)](#page-8-0), were not observed to be differentially expressed between drug-treated and control worms.

In summary, this study provides a protocol for in vitro anthelmintic exposure of adult Parascaris spp. and proven useful for phenotypic analyses. It also introduces the concept of using an in situ control as an alternative and potentially more reliable comparison than in vitro controls. While the transcriptomic analysis results are preliminary and should be interpreted with caution, the GO analysis and early transcriptome analysis provide some support that the worms are capable of responding to drug exposure in vitro. This report utilized specimens from an anthelmintic-naïve population which provides a unique perspective as the majority of reports focus on resistant populations. Future studies should investigate the phenotypic and transcriptomic responses of various resistant populations compared to anthelmintic-naïve populations. The work presented herein serves to overcome a few of the known challenges when performing in vitro drug exposure of adult Parascaris spp., but many other existing challenges and the widespread resistance status of Parascaris spp. warrant further investigation of these topics.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182020000189>

Acknowledgements. We would like to acknowledge the hard work and dedication by the farm staff in providing the upmost care for this herd of research horses, 'The Gene Lyons Historic Parasitology Research Herd', and the supporters of this crowdfunding initiative. We are also grateful for the technical expertise and guidance provided by Dr Richard E. Davis and Dr Jianbin Wang.

Financial support. This research was funded by the Gluck Equine Research Foundation and the Burroughs Wellcome Fund Collaborative Research Travel Award.

Conflict of interest. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Ethical standards. The research was conducted under the approval from the University of Kentucky's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee under protocol number 2012-1046.

References

- Armstrong SK, Woodgate RG, Gough S, Heller J, Sangster NC and Hughes KJ (2014) The efficacy of ivermectin, pyrantel and fenbendazole against Parascaris equorum infection in foals on farms in Australia. Veterinary Parasitology 205, 575–580.
- Beech RN, Skuce P, Bartley DJ, Martin RJ, Prichard RK and Gilleard JS (2011) Anthelmintic resistance: markers for resistance, or susceptibility? Parasitology 138, 160–174.
- Chelladurai JJ and Brewer MT (2019) Detection and quantification of Parascaris P-glycoprotein drug transporter expression with a novel mRNA hybridization technique. Veterinary Parasitology 267, 75–83.
- Cvilink V, Lamka J and Skálová L (2009) Xenobiotic metabolizing enzymes and metabolism of anthelmintics in helminths. Drug Metabolism Reviews 41, 8–26.
- Feyereisen R (1999) Insect P450 enzymes. Annual Review of Entomology 44, 507–533.
- Hanser Elena, Mehlhorn Heinz, Hoeben Dagmar and Vlaminck Kathleen (2003) In vitro studies on the effects of flubendazole against Toxocara canis and Ascaris suum. Parasitology Research 89(1), 63–74. doi: http:// dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00436-002-0668-6
- Hu Y, Ellis BL, Yiu YY, Miller MM, Urban JF, Shi LZ and Aroian RV (2013) An extensive comparison of the effect of anthelmintic classes on diverse nematodes. PLoS ONE 8, 1–12.
- Ikenouchi J and Umeda M (2010) FRMD4A regulates epithelial polarity by connecting Arf6 activation with the PAR complex. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 107, 2–7.
- James CE, Hudson AL and Davey MW (2009) Drug resistance mechanisms in helminths: is it survival of the fittest? Trends in Parasitology 25, 328–335.
- Janssen IJI, Krücken J, Demeler J, Basiaga M, Kornaś S and von Samson-Himmelstjerna G (2013) Genetic variants and increased expression of Parascaris equorum P-glycoprotein-11 in populations with decreased ivermectin susceptibility. PLoS ONE 8, 1–10. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0061635
- Janssen IJI, Krücken J, Demeler J and von Samson-Himmelstjerna G (2015) Transgenically expressed Parascaris P-glycoprotein-11 can modulate ivermectin susceptibility in Caenorhabditis elegans. International Journal for Parasitology: Drugs and Drug Resistance 5, 44–47.
- Jourdan PM, Lamberton PHL, Fenwick A and Addiss DG (2018) Soiltransmitted helminth infections. Lancet 391, 252–265.
- Kaplan RM (2004) Drug resistance in nematodes of veterinary importance: a status report. Trends in Parasitology 20, 477–481.
- Kilpinen O, Roepstorff A, Permit A, Norgaard-Nielsen G, Lawson LG and Simonsen HB (2005) Influence of Dermanyssus gallinae and Ascaridia Galli infections on behavior and health of laying hens (Gallus Gallus domesticus). British Poultry Science 46, 26–34.
- Kotze AC, Hunt PW, Skuce P, von Samson-Himmelstjerna G, Martin RJ, Sager H, Krücken J, Hodgkinson J, Lespine A, Jex AR, Gilleard JS, Beech RN, Wolstenholme AJ, Demeler J, Robertson AP, Charvet CL, Neveu C, Kaminsky R, Rufener L, Alberich M, Menez C and Prichard RK (2014) Recent advances in candidate-gene and whole-genome approaches to the discovery of anthelmintic resistance markers and the description of drug/receptor interactions. International Journal for Parasitology: Drugs and Drug Resistance 4, 164–184.
- Krücken Jürgen, Fraundorfer Kira, Mugisha Jean Claude, Ramünke Sabrina, Sifft Kevin C., Geus Dominik, Habarugira Felix, Ndoli Jules, Sendegeya Augustin, Mukampunga Caritas, Bayingana Claude, Aebischer Toni, Demeler Janina, Gahutu Jean Bosco, Mockenhaupt Frank P and von Samson-Himmelstjerna Georg (2017) Reduced efficacy of albendazole against Ascaris lumbricoides in Rwandan schoolchildren. International Journal for Parasitology: Drugs and Drug Resistance 7(3), 262–271. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpddr.2017.06.001
- Lacey E (1988) The role of the cytoskeletal protein, tubulin, in the mode of action and mechanism of drug resistance to benzimidazoles. International Journal for Parasitology 18, 885–936.
- Laurent GS, Shtokalo D, Tackett MR, Yang Z, Vyatkin Y, Milos PM, Seilheimer B, McCaffrey TA and Kapranov P (2013) On the importance of small changes in RNA expression. Methods 63, 18–24.
- Lecová L, Růžičková M, Laing R, Vogel H, Szotáková B, Prchal L, Lamka J, Vokřál I, Skálová L and Matoušková P (2015) Reliable reference gene selection for quantitative real time PCR in Haemonchus contortus. Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology 201, 123–127.
- Lesage F and Lazdunski M (2000) Molecular and functional properties of two-pore-domain potassium channels. American Journal of Physiology-Renal Physiology 279, F793–F801.
- Lloberas M, Alvarez L, Entrocasso C, Ballent M, Virkel G, Luque S, Lanusse C and Lifschitz A (2015) Comparative pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic response of single and double intraruminal doses of ivermectin and moxidectin in nematode-infected lambs. New Zealand Veterinary Journal 63, 227–234.
- Lyons ET, Drudge JH and Tolliver SC (1990) Prevalence of some internal parasites found (1971–1989) in horses born on a farm in Central Kentucky. Journal of Equine Veterinary Science 10, 99–107.
- Lyons ET, Tolliver SC, Ionita M and Collins SS (2008) Evaluation of parasiticidal activity of fenbendazole, ivermectin, oxibendazole, and pyrantel pamoate in horse foals with emphasis on ascarids (Parascaris equorum) in field studies on five farms in Central Kentucky in 2007. Parasitology Research 103, 287–291.
- Lyons ET, Tolliver SC, Kuzmina TA and Collins SS (2011) Further evaluation in field tests of the activity of three anthelmintics (fenbendazole, oxibendazole, and pyrantel pamoate) against the ascarid Parascaris equorum in horse foals on eight farms in Central Kentucky (2009-2010). Parasitology Research 109, 1193–1197.
- Martin RJ (1997) Modes of action of anthelmintic drugs. Veterinary Journal 154, 11–34.
- Martin F, Höglund J, Bergström TF, Karlsson Lindsjö O and Tydén E (2018) Resistance to pyrantel embonate and efficacy of fenbendazole in Parascaris univalens on Swedish stud farms. Veterinary Parasitology 264, 69–73.
- Meng W and Takeichi M (2009) Adherens junction: molecular architecture and regulation. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology 1, a002899.
- Mi H, Muruganujan A, Casagrande JT and Thomas PD (2013) Large-scale gene function analysis with the PANTHER-classification system. Nature Protocols 8, 1551–1566.
- Mi H, Huang X, Muruganujan A, Tang H, Mills C, Kang D and Thomas PD (2017) PANTHER Version 11: expanded annotation data from Gene Ontology and Reactome pathways, and data analysis tool enhancements. Nucleic Acids Research 45, D183–D189.
- Nielsen MK (2016) Evidence-based considerations for control of Parascaris Spp. infections in horses. Equine Veterinary Education 28, 224–231.
- Overgaauw PAM (1997) Aspects of Toxocara epidemiology: toxocarosis in dogs and cats. Critical Reviews in Microbiology 23, 233–251.
- Peregrine AS, Molento MB, Kaplan RM and Nielsen MK (2014) Anthelmintic resistance in important parasites of horses: does it really matter? Veterinary Parasitology 201, 1–8.
- Perez de la Cruz I, Levin JZ, Cummins C, Anderson P and and Horvitz HR (2003) sup-9, sup-10, and unc-93 may encode components of a two-pore K^+ channel that coordinates muscle contraction in Caenorhabditis elegans. Journal of Neuroscience 23, 9133–9145.
- Scare JA, Steuer AE, Shaffer CL, Slusarewicz P, Mousley A and Nielsen MK (2018) Long live the worms: methods for maintaining and assessing the viability of intestinal stages of Parascaris Spp. in vitro. Parasitology 18, 1–9.
- Stehbens SJ, Paterson AD, Crampton MS, Shewan AM, Ferguson C, Akhmanova A, Parton RG and Yap AS (2006) Dynamic microtubules regulate the local concentration of E-cadherin at cell-cell contacts. Journal of Cell Science 119, 1801–1811.
- Thamsborg SM, Nejsum P and Mejer H (2013) Impact of Ascaris suum in livestock. In Holland Celia (ed.), Ascaris the Neglected Parasite. Waltham, MA: Elsevier, pp. 363–381. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-396978-1.00014-8.
- Wang J, Gao S, Mostovoy Y, Kang Y, Zagoskin M, Sun Y, Zhang B, White LK, Easton A, Nutman TB, Kwok PY, Hu S, Nielsen MK and Davis RE (2017) Comparative genome analysis of programmed DNA elimination in nematodes. Genome Research 27, 2001–2014.
- Wolstenholme AJ (2012) Glutamate-gated chloride channels. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 287, 40232–40238.
- Yazwinski T.A., Tucker C.A., Wray E., Jones L. and Clark F.D. (2013) Observations of benzimidazole efficacies against Ascaridia dissimilis, Ascaridia galli, and Heterakis gallinarum in naturally infected poultry. Journal of Applied Poultry Research 22(1), 75–79. doi: http://dx.doi.org/ 10.3382/japr.2012-00606
- Yilmaz E, Ramünke S, Demeler J and Krücken J (2017) Comparison of constitutive and thiabendazole-induced expression of five cytochrome P450 genes in fourth-stage larvae of Haemonchus contortus isolates with different drug susceptibility identifies one gene with high constitutive expression in a multi-resistance. International Journal for Parasitology: Drugs and Drug Resistance 7, 362–369.