UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

An insert with less than spherical medial conformity causes a loss of passive internal rotation after calipered kinematically aligned TKA

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/971105pg

Journal Archives of Orthopaedic and Trauma Surgery, 141(12)

ISSN

0936-8051

Authors

Nedopil, Alexander J Shekhar, Adithya Howell, Stephen M <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2021-12-01

DOI

10.1007/s00402-021-04054-0

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed

KNEE ARTHROPLASTY



An insert with less than spherical medial conformity causes a loss of passive internal rotation after calipered kinematically aligned TKA

Alexander J. Nedopil¹ · Adithya Shekhar² · Stephen M. Howell³ · Maury L. Hull³

Received: 15 February 2021 / Accepted: 1 July 2021 / Published online: 15 July 2021 © The Author(s) 2021

Abstract

Introduction In total knee arthroplasty (TKA), the level of conformity, a medial stabilized (MS) implant, needs to restore native (i.e., healthy) knee kinematics without over-tensioning the flexion space when the surgeon chooses to retain the posterior cruciate ligament (PCL) is unknown. Whether an insert with a medial ball-in-socket conformity and lateral flat surface like the native knee or a less than spherical medial conformity restores higher and closer to native internal tibial rotation without anterior lift-off, an over-tension indicator, when implanted with calipered kinematic alignment (KA), is unknown. **Methods and materials** Two surgeons treated 21 patients with calipered KA and a PCL retaining MS implant. Validated verification checks that restore native tibial compartment forces in passive flexion without release of healthy ligaments were used to select the optimal insert thickness. A goniometer etched onto trial inserts with the ball-in-socket and the less than spherical medial conformity measured the tibial rotation relative to the femoral component at extension and 90° and 120° flexion. The surgeon recorded the incidence of anterior lift-off of the insert.

Results The insert with the medial ball-in-socket and lateral flat surface restored more internal tibial rotation than the one with less than spherical medial conformity, with mean values of 19° vs. 17° from extension to 90° flexion (p < 0.01), and 23° vs. 20°–120° flexion (p < 0.002), respectively. There was no anterior lift-off of the insert at 90° and 120° flexion.

Conclusion An MS insert with a medial ball-in-socket and lateral flat surface that matches the native knee's spherical conformity restores native tibial internal rotation when implanted with calipered KA and PCL retention without over-tensioning the flexion space.

Keywords Medial stabilized \cdot Spherical \cdot Conforming \cdot Insert \cdot Rotation \cdot Total knee arthroplasty \cdot Total knee replacement \cdot Kinematic alignment \cdot Calipered

Alexander J. Nedopil nedopil@me.com

> Adithya Shekhar adithya.shekhar6487@cnsu.edu

Stephen M. Howell sebhowell@mac.com

Maury L. Hull mlhull@ucdavis.edu

- ¹ Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, König-Ludwig-Haus, University of Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany
- ² California Northstate University, Elk Grove, USA
- ³ Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of California, Davis, 451 E. Health Sciences Drive, Room 2303, Davis, CA 95616, USA

Introduction

In total knee arthroplasty (TKA), the optimal level of conformity between the femoral component and tibial insert when the posterior cruciate ligament (PCL) is excised or retained is unknown. Comparisons of a medial stabilized (MS) design with PCL excision against PCL retaining (CR), posterior stabilized (PS), and ultra-congruent (UC) geometries showed that an MS insert with a medial ball-in-socket and a lateral flat surface with spherical conformity like the native knee restored greater medial anterior–posterior (A-P) stability and internal tibial rotation during gait than the others (Fig. 1). The lateral flat surface enabled internal tibial rotation, whereas the posterolateral rim of the PS, CR, and UCs' insert stopped internal rotation like a chock block [1, 2]. Hence, articular geometry is a critical factor in governing knee kinematics [1]. **Fig. 1** Schematics show an MS implant with a medial spherical femoral condyle and the different insert conformity between the medial ball-in-socket and lateral flat surface, and the anteromedial goniometer scale that measured the I–E orientation of the tibia relative to a longitudinal line on the trial femoral component with the TKA in 90° flexion



Restoring the flexion and extension and internal tibial rotation of the native (i.e., healthy) knee with knee flexion are useful functional goals after TKA [3]. In the native knee, an intact PCL enables internal tibial rotation with the kinematic benefit of decreasing the Q-angle during knee flexion [4–6]. Decreasing the Q-angle optimizes the retinacular ligaments' tension that guides patellofemoral tracking, which might reduce the risks of patellar tilt and lateral displacement and anterior knee pain in TKA [7, 8].

To assess whether an MS implant with PCL retention restores internal tibial rotation with passive knee flexion, it is of interest to evaluate a highly congruent medial conforming insert which constrains anterior-posterior (A-P) movement of the medial femoral condyle similar to the spherical medial compartment of the native knee as described by Freeman and Pinskerova [9]. Their knee dissections and image analysis showed that the medial femoral condyle hardly moves anterior-posterior from 0° to 120°, behaving like a ballin-socket joint. The lateral tibia's flat cartilage surface and posteriorly mobile lateral meniscus enable the lateral tibia's anterior movement about a longitudinal axis centered in the medial compartment. They reported 18° and 23° of internal tibial rotation from extension to 90° and 120° flexion, desirable arcs of tibial rotation for TKA that a trial insert goniometer can measure (Fig. 1) [4, 9, 10].

Not only is implant design a critical factor governing knee kinematics, but also implant alignment is a critical factor as well [11]. As an alternative to mechanical alignment TKA with a patient reported dissatisfaction rate as high as 20%, kinematic alignment (KA) was conceived in 2006 to improve outcomes by restoring native knee kinematics without the release of healthy ligaments and without increasing the risk of revision surgery [12]. KA with PCL retention optimizes soft-tissue balance by restoring the patient's pre-arthritic joint lines and native tibial compartment forces and laxities in passive flexion, which is evidence of not over-tensioning the PCL [13–16]. In TKA, anterior lift-off or 'booking' of the trial insert (or baseplate) detects an over-tensioned PCL and a tight flexion space [17] (Fig. 2). Because the optimal medial insert congruency for an MS design when used with calipered KA and PCL retention is unknown, there is a need to evaluate inserts with a ball-in-socket and a less than spherical medial conformity, which are contrasted schematically in the sagittal plane in Fig. 3.

The present study evaluated 21 patients with calipered KA and determined which medial insert conformity achieved higher internal tibial rotation at 90° and 120° flexion and whether one conformity more closely restored the values of internal tibial rotation reported for the native knee without evidence of an over-tensioned PCL and flexion space as detected by anterior lift-off.

Methods and materials

Our institutional review board approved the study (IRB 1632230-1). Between mid-May 2020 and early June 2020, two surgeons treated 36 consecutive patients with a primary TKA using calipered KA, PCL retention, and patella resurfacing through a mid-vastus approach. Each patient fulfilled the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services guidelines for medical necessity for TKA treatment including: (1) radiographic evidence of Kellgren–Lawrence Grade II to IV arthritic change or osteonecrosis; (2) any

Fig. 2 Intraoperative photographs of a left TKA in 90° flexion show no lift-off and liftoff of the trial insert (not goniometric) from the trial baseplate, which indicates kinematic conflict and PCL over-tension. The lift-off occurred between the insert and the trial baseplate because the insert does not lock into the baseplate and a cruciate stem firmly fixes the baseplate to the tibia



Fig. 3 Schematics of a medialview sectioned in the middle of the spherical femoral condyle show the difference in insert conformity with the knee in extension and 90° flexion. The arrows point to expanded sections (square) of the posterior region of the insert of the less than spherical conformity that shows loss of congruency, enabling abnormal A–P tibial motion and a loss of internal tibial rotation with flexion

Ball-in-Socket Medial Conformity
Less Than Spherical Medial Conformity

Image: Conference of the strength of the strengt of the strength of the strength of the strength of the

severity of clinical varus or valgus deformity); (3) and any severity of flexion contracture. Patients were treated with a calipered KA TKA performed with PCL retention (GMK Sphere, Medacta International, Castel San Pietro, Switzerland) (Fig. 1). An implant company manufactured pairs of 3-D printed one-time use trial goniometers with either a spherical or less than spherical medial ball-insocket with a lateral flat insert in 10, 11, and 12 mm thicknesses for size 3, 4, and 5 left and right tibial baseplates. Figure 3 schematically contrasts the sagittal difference in conformity between the between the spherical and less than spherical medial ball-in-socket conformity. Because of the goniometer insert's limited inventory, the surgeons had to perform 36 consecutive primary TKAs before they could perform the analysis on 21 patients because of the limited inventory of five size 3, nine size 4, and seven size 5 trial goniometer inserts. The tibial baseplate has an anatomically shaped footprint and a posterior cut-out for retention of the PCL that, when best-fit to the tibial resection, sets the anterior–posterior (A-P) orientation parallel to the flexion–extension (F–E) plane of the pre-arthritic knee [18].

The sample size calculation used a 3° difference to detect in internal tibial rotation from 0° to 90° of flexion between the levels of spherical conformity of the medial insert. Assuming a Type I error (alpha) of 0.05, a power (1-beta) of 80%, and a standard deviation of $\pm 6^{\circ}$, the sample size was 18 patients.

Descriptive statistics of preoperative clinical characteristics, knee conditions, and function of included (n=21) and not-included (n=15) patients are shown (Table 1). Preoperatively, there were no significant differences in age, proportion of women, body mass index, extension, flexion, varus or valgus deformities, Oxford Knee Score, Knee Society Score, or Knee Function Score between included and not-included patients, which reduced the risk of a selection bias that could limit the generalization of the study's findings.

Overview of the unrestricted calipered KA technique and accuracy analysis of component placement

For the femoral component, the varus–valgus (V–V) and I–E orientations and the A–P and proximal–distal (P–D) positions were set coincident with the patient's pre-arthritic distal and posterior joint lines [13]. An accuracy analysis showed these steps restore the distal lateral femoral joint line of 97% of patients within the normal left to right symmetry and set the I–E orientation of the femoral component with a deviation of 0.3° (external) \pm 1.1° from the KA target of the F–E plane of the patient's knee [13, 14, 19, 20].

The surgeon followed six options in a decision-tree to set the V–V and posterior slope orientation of the tibial component to restore the patient's pre-arthritic tibial joint line and limb alignment and balance the knee by restoring the native tibial compartment forces (Figs. 4 and 5) [16, 21, 22]. The thickness of the resected tibial bone was measured using a caliper and the varus–valgus orientation of the proximal tibial resection was adjusted working in 1° or 2° increments by shaving the bone with a saw or using a varus or valgus tibial recut guide [23]. With the knee in extension, the tibial resection's varus-valgus orientation was correct when the spacer block indicated a tight rectangular space, and there was little medial and lateral lift-off of the trial tibial insert from the femoral component during a varus-valgus laxity. An accuracy analysis showed these steps restore the proximal medial tibial joint line of 97% of patients within the normal left to right symmetry [14, 20, 24]. The posterior slope was adjusted by setting an angel wing inserted through the medial slot of the tibial guide parallel to the patient's pre-arthritic slope. An accuracy analysis showed a 0° mean difference between the tibial component's posterior slope and the patient's pre-arthritic posterior slope [24]. A best-fit of the largest anatomically shaped trial tibial baseplate inside the cortical rim of the proximal tibial resection method set the I-E orientation and A-P and medial-lateral (M-L) positions. An accuracy analysis showed a mean 2° (external) $\pm 5^{\circ}$ deviation of the I-E orientation of the tibial component from the KA target of the F-E plane of the patient's knee [13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 25].

The following steps determined the optimal insert thickness within $a \pm 1$ mm target. Place the knee in 90° flexion and palpate the PCL to verify that it is intact. Insert a goniometric tibial insert that matches the thickness of the spacer block. Place the knee in extension and verify that the knee hyperextends a few degrees, like the pre-arthritic knee. When the knee has a flexion contracture, insert a thinner insert or release the posterior capsule. Verify that the V–V laxity is negligible in full extension and the lateral compartment has a 3–4 mm gap and the medial compartment a negligible gap with the knee in 15°–30° flexion. When necessary, fine-tune the V–V plane of the tibial resection. Place the knee in 90° flexion and determine whether passive

Preoperative demographics and clinical and radiographic characteristics	Included patients $N=21$	Not-included patients $N=15$	Significance
	Demographics		
Age (years)	70 (±7.9)	$68 (\pm 8.8)$	n.s
Sex (male)	8 (38%)	7 (47%)	n.s
Body Mass Index (kg/m ²)	29.2 (±5.3)	30.2 (±4.4)	n.s
	Preoperative motion, deformity, ACL condition, and Kellgren-Lawrence Score		
Extension (°)	7 (±5)	7 (±8)	n.s
Flexion (°)	112 (±6.4)	110 (±8.7)	n.s
Varus (+)/Valgus (-) Deformity (degrees)	$-12.2(\pm 3.1)$	$-10.8 (\pm 3.1)$	n.s
Kellgren–Lawrence Score	$3.6(\pm 0.6)$	3.4 (±0.5)	n.s
	Preoperative function		
Oxford Score (48 is best, 0 is worst)	21 (±8.4)	16 (±6.5)	n.s
Knee Society Score	38 (±11.7)	38 (±16.4)	n.s
Knee Function Score	55 (±21.5)	46 (±16.1)	n.s

Table 1 Preoperative patient demographics and clinical and radiographic characteristics of included and not-included patients

Fig. 4 Box plots show that the mean internal tibial rotation from extension to 90° flexion (transverse line in the middle of the green diamond) of 19° for the ball-in-socket was significantly greater than the 17° for the less than spherical medial insert when implanted with calipered KA and PCL retention (p < 0.01). The top and bottom edges of the green diamond indicate the 95% confidence interval limits



Medial Ball-in-Socket

Less than Spherical Medial Compartment





I–E rotation of the tibia approximates $\pm 15^{\circ}$ like the native knee [15].

Methods for measuring the orientation of the tibia with the insert goniometer and recording anterior lift-off of the trial insert

The scrub tech randomly selected either the spherical or less than spherical medial ball-in-socket and lateral flat insert trial goniometric insert, which the surgeon inserted. The mid-vastus exposure maintained the resurfaced patella in the prosthetic trochlea throughout the motion arc. The surgeon used the back of the wrist to lift the heel and passively extend the knee without applying an I–E moment to the ankle. The surgeon recorded the angle in degrees where the reference line on the medial condyle of the trial femoral component intersected the goniometer's angular arc (+ external/– internal) (Fig. 1). The surgeon also recorded

the tibial orientation at 90° and 120° flexion and anterior lift-off of the insert with the foot resting on the operating table and supporting the leg's weight. The surgeon repeated these assessments with the other insert.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using statistical software (JMP® Pro 15.2.1, SAS, Cary, NC, USA). The mean and standard deviation described the continuous variables. A Student's paired *t* test determined the significance of differences in internal tibial rotation from extension to 90° and 120° flexion between the insert with the medial ball-in-socket and the less than spherical conformity. Significance was p < 0.05.

To quantify reproducibility, two observers (SMH and AJN) measured the I–E orientation of the tibia at maximum extension and 90° flexion in seven knees. A two-factor mixed-model analysis of variance (ANOVA) with random effects computed the intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC). The first factor was the observer (2 levels), and the second was the patient (7 patients). An ICC value of > 0.9 indicates excellent agreement, and 0.75–0.90 indicates good agreement. ICC values of 0.82 for the measurement of tibial orientation at extension and 0.87 at 90° flexion indicated good reproducibility.

Results

The mean I–E tibial orientation in extension for the insert with the ball-in-socket and less than spherical medial conformity was comparable (p=0.128), and the insert with the ball-in-socket had 2° and 3° more internal tibial orientation at 90° and 120° (p < 0.0001, p < 0.0001), respectively. The insert with the ball-in-socket restored more internal tibial rotation than the one with less than spherical medial conformity, with mean values of $19^{\circ} \pm 3^{\circ}$ vs. $17^{\circ} \pm 4^{\circ}$ from extension to 90° flexion (p < 0.01), and $23^{\circ} \pm 4^{\circ}$ vs. $20^{\circ} \pm 4^{\circ}$ degrees from extension to 120° flexion (p < 0.002), respectively (Figs. 4 and 5). There was no evidence of over-tensioning of the PCL and flexion space as neither medial insert conformity had anterior lift-off at 90° and 120°.

Discussion

The most important finding of the present study of 21 patients treated with calipered KA and PCL retention was that an MS insert with a spherical medial ball-in-socket and lateral flat surface restored a modest 3° more internal tibial rotation than an insert with less than spherical medial conformity indicating that subtle differences on sagittal conformity affect passive knee kinematics. Because the internal

tibial rotation with a spherical medial ball-in-socket insert was comparable to values reported for the native knee and there was no anterior lift-off, the calipered KA did not overtension the PCL and flexion space.

The present study showed that an insert with a medial ball-in-socket and a lateral flat surface is an optimal MS design when implanted with calipered KA and PCL retention because it restored passive internal tibial rotation comparable to the values of 18° at 90° of flexion and 23° at 120° flexion reported for the native knee even with excision of the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) which is an unexpected finding not previously reported for the TKA and the native knee [4, 9, 10]. In the native knee, an intact PCL and ACL enable I-E tibial rotation, and sectioning of these ligaments eliminates it [5]. An explanation for the TKA's restoration of native internal tibial rotation is the insert's medial ballin-socket conformity provided a mechanical A-P stop like intact cruciate ligaments and preserved the native PCL tension that drives the rotational kinematics [4-6]. The absence of anterior lift-off of the insert indicated that over-tensioning of the PCL and flexion space did not occur at 90° and 120° flexion and is explained by calipered KA accurately setting the femoral and tibial components coincident within 0 ± 0.5 mm of the pre-arthritic joint lines without releasing healthy ligaments, which restores native tibial compartment forces and laxities during passive flexion [13–16, 19, 22, 26].

The present study showed that native internal tibial rotation is compromised when the insert's medial compartment's conformity is less than spherical. Less than spherical medial insert conformity enables anterior tibial motion that slackens the PCL and lowers the ligament force that drives tibial rotation [1, 2]. The PCL's resection in the cadaveric knee reduced internal tibial rotation at high-flexion angles beginning at 60° [6]. A 3-D fluoroscopic analysis of a deep knee bend in patients with a PCL injury in one knee and the other intact showed a decreased internal tibial rotation throughout the range of flexion in the PCL-deficient knee, which correlated with patellar tilt ($R^2 = 0.73$) and medial-lateral patellar translation $(R^2 = 0.63)$ [27, 28]. Hence, surgeons and bioengineers should consider restoring the native knee's kinematic coupling between internal tibial rotation and patellofemoral tracking and loading when developing surgical techniques such as TKA [28].

The present study has several limitations. Because the I–E measurement of tibial orientation provided by the insert goniometer is for a medial ball-in socket MS design, its usefulness for intraoperatively measuring tibial rotation needs to validated for shallower, non-spherical MS design that enable large amounts of A–P motion like the PCL retaining (CR), posterior stabilized (PS), and ultra-congruent (UC) geometries [1, 2]. The degree of internal tibial rotation measured in the present study at 90° and 120° might not apply to PS, PCL

retaining, and ultra-congruent geometries with a posterolateral insert rim that functions as a chock block. The degree of internal tibial rotation is likely less for implants placed with mechanical alignment that does not restore the patient's prearthritic joint lines, and ligaments are released to encourage motion in the over-constrained TKA [29, 30]. While the restoration of normal knee motion is the target of knee surgery, and the spherical medial conformity restored native internal tibial rotation that is associated with less post-operative pain, the present study did not determine whether the loss of 3° of internal tibial rotation from using a less than spherical medial conformity has any clinical adverse effects [31–34].

Conclusion

Surgeons that use an MS implant should understand that the level of medial conformity determines the magnitude of internal tibial rotation and that a medial ball-in-socket and lateral flat insert that matches the conformity of the native knee restores native internal tibial rotation when implanted with calipered KA and PCL retention without over-tensioning the flexion space. In contrast, a less than spherical medial conformity causes a loss of internal tibial rotation.

Author contributions All authors have made substantial contributions to all of the following: (1) the conception and design of the study, acquisition of data and analysis and interpretation of data, (2) drafting the article (3) final approval of the version submitted.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. No funding was received for this study.

Declarations

Conflict of interest Authors AJN and SMH have received consultant honoraria from Medacta. Author MLH has research funding from Medacta. Author SMH has received royalties from Medacta. Author AS has no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Ethical approval Our institutional review board approved the study (IRB 1632230-1).

Informed consent Informed consent was not obtained.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Schutz P, Taylor WR, Postolka B, Fucentese SF, Koch PP, Freeman MAR, Pinskerova V, List R (2019) Kinematic evaluation of the GMK sphere implant during Gait activities: a dynamic videofluoroscopy study. J Orthop Res 37(11):2337–2347. https://doi. org/10.1002/jor.24416
- Gray HA, Guan S, Young TJ, Dowsey MM, Choong PF, Pandy MG (2020) Comparison of posterior-stabilized, cruciate-retaining, and medial-stabilized knee implant motion during gait. J Orthop Res 38(8):1753–1768. https://doi.org/10.1002/jor.24613
- Koh IJ, Chalmers CE, Lin CC, Park SB, McGarry MH, Lee TQ (2021) Posterior stabilized total knee arthroplasty reproduces natural joint laxity compared to normal in kinematically aligned total knee arthroplasty: a matched pair cadaveric study. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 141(1):119–127. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00402-020-03624-y
- Freeman MA, Pinskerova V (2003) The movement of the knee studied by magnetic resonance imaging. Clin Orthop Relat Res 410:35–43. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.blo.0000063598.67412. 0d
- Fukubayashi T, Torzilli PA, Sherman MF, Warren RF (1982) An in vitro biomechanical evaluation of anterior-posterior motion of the knee. Tibial displacement, rotation, and torque. J Bone Joint Surg Am 64(2):258–264
- Li G, Gill TJ, DeFrate LE, Zayontz S, Glatt V, Zarins B (2002) Biomechanical consequences of PCL deficiency in the knee under simulated muscle loads—an in vitro experimental study. J Orthop Res 20(4):887–892. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0736-0266(01) 00184-X
- Freedman BR, Brindle TJ, Sheehan FT (2014) Re-evaluating the functional implications of the Q-angle and its relationship to invivo patellofemoral kinematics. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon) 29(10):1139–1145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2014. 09.012
- Mizuno Y, Kumagai M, Mattessich SM, Elias JJ, Ramrattan N, Cosgarea AJ, Chao EY (2001) Q-angle influences tibiofemoral and patellofemoral kinematics. J Orthop Res 19(5):834–840. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0736-0266(01)00008-0
- Freeman MA, Pinskerova V (2005) The movement of the normal tibio-femoral joint. J Biomech 38(2):197–208. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jbiomech.2004.02.006
- Hill PF, Vedi V, Williams A, Iwaki H, Pinskerova V, Freeman MA (2000) Tibiofemoral movement 2: the loaded and unloaded living knee studied by MRI. J Bone Joint Surg Br 82(8):1196–1198. https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620x.82b8.10716
- Wuertele N, Beckmann J, Meier M, Huth J, Fitz W (2019) Posterior condylar resections in total knee arthroplasty: current standard instruments do not restore femoral condylar anatomy. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 139(8):1141–1147
- Klasan A, de Steiger R, Holland S, Hatton A, Vertullo CJ, Young SW (2020) Similar risk of revision after kinematically aligned, patient-specific instrumented total knee arthroplasty, and all other total knee arthroplasty: combined results from the Australian and New Zealand joint replacement registries. J Arthroplasty 35(10):2872–2877. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2020.05.065
- Nedopil AJ, Howell SM, Hull ML (2020) Deviations in femoral joint lines using calipered kinematically aligned TKA from virtually planned joint lines are small and do not affect clinical outcomes. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 28(10):3118–3127. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-019-05776-w
- Nedopil AJ, Singh AK, Howell SM, Hull ML (2018) Does calipered kinematically aligned TKA restore native left to right symmetry of the lower limb and improve function? J Arthroplasty 33(2):398–406. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2017.09.039

- Roth JD, Howell SM, Hull ML (2015) Native Knee Laxities at 0°, 45°, and 90° of flexion and their relationship to the goal of the gap-balancing alignment method of total knee arthroplasty. J Bone Joint Surg Am 97(20):1678–1684. https://doi.org/10.2106/ JBJS.N.01256
- 16 Shelton TJ, Nedopil AJ, Howell SM, Hull ML (2017) Do varus or valgus outliers have higher forces in the medial or lateral compartments than those which are in-range after a kinematically aligned total knee arthroplasty? Limb and joint line alignment after kinematically aligned total knee arthroplasty. Bone Joint J 99-B(10):1319–1328. https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.99B10. BJJ-2017-0066.R1
- Scott RD, Chmell MJ (2008) Balancing the posterior cruciate ligament during cruciate-retaining fixed and mobile-bearing total knee arthroplasty: description of the pull-out lift-off and slideback tests. J Arthroplasty 23(4):605–608. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.arth.2007.11.018
- Nedopil AJ, Zamora T, Shelton T, Howell SM, Hull M (2020) A best-fit of an anatomic tibial baseplate closely parallels the flexion-extension plane and covers a high percentage of the proximal tibia. J Knee Surg. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0040-1710367
- Nedopil AJ, Howell SM, Hull ML (2016) Does malrotation of the tibial and femoral components compromise function in kinematically aligned total knee arthroplasty? Orthop Clin North Am 47(1):41–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocl.2015.08.006
- Howell SM, Gill M, Shelton TJ, Nedopil AJ (2021) Reoperations are few and confined to the most valgus phenotypes 4 years after unrestricted calipered kinematically aligned TKA. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00167-021-06473-3
- Roth JD, Howell SM, Hull ML (2018) Kinematically aligned total knee arthroplasty limits high tibial forces, differences in tibial forces between compartments, and abnormal tibial contact kinematics during passive flexion. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 26(6):1589–1601. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00167-017-4670-z
- 22. Shelton TJ, Howell SM, Hull ML (2019) Is there a force target that predicts early patient-reported outcomes after kinematically aligned TKA? Clin Orthop Relat Res 477(5):1200–1207. https:// doi.org/10.1097/CORR.000000000000000
- 23. Klasan A, Putnis SE, Grasso S, Neri T, Coolican MR (2020) Conventional instruments are more accurate for measuring the depth of the tibial cut than computer-assisted surgery in total knee arthroplasty: a prospective study. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 140(6):801–806. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00402-020-03403-9
- Johnson JM, Mahfouz MR, Midillioglu MR, Nedopil AJ, Howell SM (2017) Three-dimensional analysis of the tibial resection plane relative to the arthritic tibial plateau in total knee arthroplasty. J Exp Orthop 4(1):27. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40634-017-0099-z
- Roth JD, Howell SM, Hull ML (2019) Analysis of differences in laxities and neutral positions from native after kinematically aligned TKA using cruciate retaining implants. J Orthop Res 37(2):358–369. https://doi.org/10.1002/jor.24196

- Shelton TJ, Howell SM, Hull ML (2019) A total knee arthroplasty is stiffer when the intraoperative tibial force is greater than the native knee. J Knee Surg 32(10):1008–1014. https://doi.org/10. 1055/s-0038-1675421
- Li G, Papannagari R, Li M, Bingham J, Nha KW, Allred D, Gill T (2008) Effect of posterior cruciate ligament deficiency on in vivo translation and rotation of the knee during weightbearing flexion. Am J Sports Med 36(3):474–479. https://doi.org/10.1177/03635 46507310075
- Li G, Papannagari R, Nha KW, Defrate LE, Gill TJ, Rubash HE (2007) The coupled motion of the femur and patella during in vivo weightbearing knee flexion. J Biomech Eng 129(6):937–943. https://doi.org/10.1115/1.2803267
- Hirschmann MT, Moser LB, Amsler F, Behrend H, Leclerq V, Hess S (2019) Functional knee phenotypes: a novel classification for phenotyping the coronal lower limb alignment based on the native alignment in young non-osteoarthritic patients. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 27(5):1394–1402. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00167-019-05509-z
- Peters CL, Jimenez C, Erickson J, Anderson MB, Pelt CE (2013) Lessons learned from selective soft-tissue release for gap balancing in primary total knee arthroplasty: an analysis of 1216 consecutive total knee arthroplasties: AAOS exhibit selection. J Bone Joint Surg Am 95(20):e152. https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.L. 01686
- 31. Kawaguchi K, Inui H, Taketomi S, Yamagami R, Kono K, Sameshima S, Kage T, Tanaka S (2021) Intraoperative Rotational kinematics and its influence on postoperative clinical outcomes differ according to age in unicompartmental knee arthroplasty. Res Square. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-275676/v1
- Lutzner J, Kirschner S, Gunther KP, Harman MK (2012) Patients with no functional improvement after total knee arthroplasty show different kinematics. Int Orthop 36(9):1841–1847. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00264-012-1584-8
- 33. Matsuzaki T, Matsumoto T, Kubo S, Muratsu H, Matsushita T, Kawakami Y, Ishida K, Oka S, Kuroda R, Kurosaka M (2014) Tibial internal rotation is affected by lateral laxity in cruciateretaining total knee arthroplasty: an intraoperative kinematic study using a navigation system and offset-type tensor. Knee Surg Sport Traumatol Arthrosc 22(3):615–620. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00167-013-2627-4
- Nakamura E, Banks SA, Tanaka A, Sei A, Mizuta H (2009) Threedimensional tibiofemoral kinematics during deep flexion kneeling in a mobile-bearing total knee arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty 24(7):1120–1124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2008.08.008

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.