Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
Recent Work

Title
RF SYSTEM CONSIDERATIONS IN INTERLACED-BEAM INJECTOR SYNCHROTRONS

Permalink
https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9780h565

Authors
Tool, G.S.
Kerns, Q.A.

Publication Date
1967-03-16

eScholarship.org Powered by the California Digital Library
University of California

UCRL-17451

University of California

Ernest O. Lawrence Radiation Laboratory

RF SYSTEM CONSIDERATIONS IN INTERLACED-BEAM INJECTOR SYNCHROTRONS

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.
For a personal retention copy, call
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

For Proceedings of the 1967
U. S. National Particle Accelerator
Conference, Washington, D. C.,
March 1-3, 1967

UCRL-17451
Preprint

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
Berkeley, California

AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

RF SYSTEM CONSIDERATIONS IN INTERLACED-BEAM INJECTOR SYNCHROTRONS

G. S. Tool and Q. A. Kerns

March 16, 1967

UCRL-17451

RF SYSTEM CONSIDERATIONS IN INTERLACED-BEAM INJECTOR SYNCHROTRONS*

G. S. Tool and Q. A. Kerns

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California

Summary

The general characteristics of the RF system high-power components and suitable accelerating structures have been studied for several variations of the interlaced multi-ring synchrotron. This type of machine has been considered as a possible component of the injection system for the 200-BeV accelerator.

Introduction

Many different types of injectors have been proposed and considered for the 200-BeV accelerator.[1] One type which has been subjected to extensive engineering analysis is the interlaced-beam synchrotron having several independent orbits which share some of the accelerator components such as gradient magnets and accelerating cavities.

Several applications of this general machine type have been considered. Among these are an 8-BeV four-ring QUART replacing the conventional rapid-cycling booster, and a three-stage injection scheme incorporating a 400-600 MeV QUART or three-ring TART between a 30-60 MeV linac and the 8-BeV rapid-cycling booster. Of the many possible schemes, the three-stage scheme appeared to be the most attractive and hence received the most detailed analysis.

General Machine Parameters

Two variations of a weak-focusing interlaced multi-beam synchrotron were studied in detail. The general parameters pertinent to RF system design of these two machines (TART and QUART) are listed in Table I. As one would anticipate from the similar sets of parameters for these two machines, the electrical characteristics of the RF acceleration systems for these two machines are very similar. The combination of a larger number of magnets, smaller machine radius, and greater number of beam crossings in the QUART resulted in a very tight mechanical layout of the accelerator components. Accelerating cavities with drift tubes shorter than a half-wavelength were required if one wished to match RF frequencies of the QUART and the 8-BeV booster. The set of parameters chosen for the TART allowed a greater amount of clearance between components and provided ample drift length between magnets for RF cavities having half-wavelength drift tubes.

Table I. Machine parameters.

	TART	QUART
Number of beams	3	4
Machine radius (m)	28.8	21.6
Ejection energy (MeV)	600	600
Peak magnetic field (kG)	10	12
Injection energy (MeV)	28	28
Magnetic radius (m)	4.1	3.4
Number of bending magnets	24	32
Repetition frequency (Hz)	21	21
Guide field	sinusoidal	sinusoidal
Intensity (p/pulse)	3.8×10^{12}	3.8×10^{12}

TART RF System

A plot of peak RF voltage as a function of time required to contain the momentum spread and provide acceleration with some safety factor is shown in Fig. 1, and a plot of the required radio frequency as a function of time is shown in Fig. 2. The large tuning range of approximately 2.5 to 1 is one of the dominating factors in choosing an RF system for this machine. An accelerating structure which can operate over a wide frequency range is required.

Although the three interlaced beams share the same magnet structure, it is feasible and perhaps desirable to give each beam its own independent accelerating components. It is not clear, however, that individual control of the beams is essential for the accelerator to operate successfully. Although the three beams have separate orbits, these orbits intersect each other periodically around the ring. These intersections are forced to be at small angles by constraints such as ring diameter, magnet size, and peak field allowed. The small angle of intersection and non-zero widths of each of the beams result in very short and narrow regions in which to place individual beam-accelerating structures. This situation, coupled with a desire to match the ejection radio frequency of the TART to the injection frequency of the 8-BeV booster, leads one to consider accelerating structures which span the full width of the interlaced beams and provide acceleration for all the beams simultaneously. If individual control of the separate beams is necessary, small, inexpensive trimming cavities can be used to provide individual orbit control.

*Work done under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

A ferrite-tuned resonant cavity with a half-wavelength drift tube to span all three beams is shown schematically in Fig. 3. This cavity is an adaptation of the cavity design proposed for the 8-BeV rapid-cycling booster which has been previously described.[2,3] The end of the cavity which is shown open in the figure would be closed off (except for beam clearance holes) with connections to the accelerator vacuum system. The ferrite required for tuning is placed in four separate stacks or tuners, all connected in parallel to maintain a low impedance in this section of the cavity where the RF currents are highest. A separate RF power amplifier for each cavity would be mounted as an integral part of the accelerating structure, since the output circuit of the amplifier forms part of the resonant circuit which must be tuned over the 2.5 to 1 frequency range. All other electronic components, including ferrite biasing supplies, would be located remote from the cavity to provide radiation protection and access for maintenance during operation. The cavity dimensions for the TART with parameters as in Table I are given below:

a	92 cm
b	11.6 cm
ℓ	2.82 m
h	40.8 cm
D1	34 cm
D2	50 cm

The requirements of an RF system based on this cavity design and individual modules compatible with those proposed for the 8-BeV booster and 200-BeV main ring were determined and are given in Table II, along with rough cost estimates for the system. The cost figures are for hardware only and do not include any safety factors, development costs, or contingencies.

Figure 4 shows the RF power that must be supplied to the cavity by each RF amplifier in the system as a function of time. It is obvious that the ferrite losses dominate the system, as one might expect from the wide tuning range required. One way to reduce the size and cost of the RF system is to reduce the energy range of the accelerator. The tuning range is more sensitive to a change in the injection energy than to an equal change in the ejection energy. An indication of the effect of linac energy on the size and cost of the TART RF system is given below:

Linac energy (MeV)	Freq. range (MHz)	Cavities	Cost (k$)
28	12.7-42.1	9	3250
50	16.7-42.1	7	2420
60	18.1-42.1	6	2210

As the figures indicate, the size and cost of the RF system are reduced if one chooses a higher linac energy. However, the higher energy linac is more expensive, so any attempt at optimization must consider the overall accelerator.[4]

Table II. Parameters and cost of TART RF system.

System Parameters	
Number of cavities installed	11
Number of cavities operating	9
Total system RF power, maximum (kW)	370
Total ferrite volume (m^3)	1.3
Injection frequency (MHz)	12.7
Ejection frequency (MHz)	42.1
Harmonic number	32
Ferrite $\mu\Delta$ range	33.5-1.5
Peak gap voltage, maximum (kV)	8.8
Cost of Components	**k$**
Final power amplifiers	360
Driver and predriver amplifiers	250
Power supplies, modulators, controls	1070
Accelerating cavities and ferrite system	1460
Miscellaneous	110
System total	3250

References

1. J. M. Peterson, Choice of the Injector System for the 200 BeV Accelerator, paper F-24, this conference.

2. Q. A. Kerns, G. S. Tool, and J. E. Katz, Ferrite Measurements for Synchrotron RF Accelerating System Design, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. NS-12, No. 3, 185-190, June 1965.

3. 200 BeV Accelerator Design Study, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Report UCRL-16000, June 1965.

4. F. B. Selph and J. M. Peterson, Selection of Injector Synchrotron Parameters to Minimize Cost of the 200 BeV Accelerator, paper G-18, this conference.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. Peak RF voltage vs time for 24-magnet weak-focusing 600-MeV TART RF system.

Fig. 2. Radio frequency vs time for 24-magnet weak-focusing 600-MeV TART RF system.

Fig. 3. Typical accelerating structure - idealized.

Fig. 4. Individual cavity RF power vs time. A, total power; B, power dissipated in the ferrite; C, power delivered to the beam; D, power dissipated in the copper parts of the cavity.


RING VOLTAGE (PEAK VOLTS) (*10 5)
2.0
1.6
1.2
.8
.4
.0
.0
.2
.4
.6
.8
1.0
NORMALIZED ACCELERATION TIME
XBL 673-1286

Fig. 1


RADIO FREQUENCY (HERTZ) (×10 ⁷)
6.0
4.8
3.6
2.4
1.2
.0
.0
.2
.4
.6
.8
1.0
NORMALIZED ACCELERATION TIME
XBL 673-1287

Fig. 2


FERRITE & COPPER RINGS
INNER DIA = D1
OUTER DIA = D2
BEAM TRAJECTORIES
h
a
b
l
XBL 673-1288

Fig. 3


CAVITY POWER LOSS (WATTS) (*10 *)
6.0
4.8
3.6
2.4
1.2
.0
.0
.2
.4
.6
.8
1.0
NORMALIZED ACCELERATION TIME
A
B
C
D
XBL 673-1289

Fig. 4

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.