Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

SPINS OF CESIUM-127, CESIUM-129, AND CESIUM-130

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/97j1t1r4>

Authors

Nierenberg, William A.
Shugart, Howard A.
Silsbee, Henry B.
et al.

Publication Date

1956-08-03

UCRL 3487

~~FILE~~
AC

UNIVERSITY OF
CALIFORNIA

Radiation Laboratory

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

*This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.
For a personal retention copy, call
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545*

BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Radiation Laboratory
Berkeley, California

Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

SPINS OF CESIUM-127, CESIUM-129, AND CESIUM-130

William A. Nierenberg, Howard A. Shugart, Henry B. Silsbee,
and Robert J. Sunderland

August 3, 1956

Printed for the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission

SPINS OF CESIUM-127, CESIUM-129, AND CESIUM-130

William A. Nierenberg, Howard A. Shugart, Henry B. Silsbee,
and Robert J. Sunderland

Department of Physics and Radiation Laboratory
University of California, Berkeley, California

August 3, 1956

Abstract

The spins of three neutron-deficient radioactive isotopes of cesium have been measured by atomic beam methods. The results are: for 6-hour Cs^{127} , $I = 1/2$; for 31-hour Cs^{129} , $I = 1/2$; for 30-minute Cs^{130} , $I = 1$.

SPINS OF CESIUM-127, CESIUM-129, AND CESIUM-130*

William A. Nierenberg, Howard A. Shugart, Henry B. Silsbee,
and Robert J. Sunderland

Department of Physics and Radiation Laboratory
University of California, Berkeley, California

August 3, 1956

Experimental Method

The isotopes Cs^{127} (6 hour), ^{1, 4} Cs^{129} (31 hour), ¹ and Cs^{130} (30 minutes)^{2, 3, 4} were produced in the Berkeley 60-inch cyclotron by (α, kn) reactions on iodine. About 5 mg of CsI carrier was added to a solution of the BaI_2 target material; then the barium was eliminated by precipitation of its carbonate, and the excess iodine was sublimed off as NH_4I . The resulting CsI was transferred to an atomic-beam oven and reduced by heating with calcium to form a beam of atomic cesium. The beam could be obtained in a little more than an hour after the end of the bombardment.

The $\text{I}^{127}(\alpha, \text{n})\text{Cs}^{130}$ reaction could be relatively enhanced by using short bombardments and placing aluminum foils in front of the target material to cut the α -beam energy to a point where the $\text{I}^{127}(\alpha, 2\text{n})\text{Cs}^{129}$ cross section would be low but the Cs^{130} yield would be reasonably high.

Similarly the $\text{I}^{127}(\alpha, 4\text{n})\text{Cs}^{127}$ production could be favored by using a target so thin that the α beam left the back of the material before the $\text{I}^{127}(\alpha, 2\text{n})\text{Cs}^{129}$ cross section became high. This was important, because Cs^{127} does not K-capture with high probability,¹ and hence the x-ray counters are relatively inefficient for this isotope.

The spins of the three isotopes were investigated in an atomic-beam resonance apparatus by techniques previously described.⁵

* Research supported jointly by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission and the Office of Naval Research.

Cesium-130 Results

Table I compares the counting rates obtained at conditions appropriate to several integral spin values. The rates have been corrected for counter background, extrapolated to a common time, and normalized for variations in beam intensity (as indicated by the resonance height observed for the carrier isotope).

Table I

Counting rates for Cs ¹³⁰ , integral spin values					
Spin value	0	1	2	3	4
Counting rate (arbitrary units)	14 ± 7	100 ± 13	7 ± 5	14 ± 6	9 ± 3

There is a clear indication that $I = 1$. This was confirmed by a repetition of the experiment.

Figure 1 compares the decay of the $I = 1$ sample with that of a sample of the full beam (obtained by turning off the deflecting fields and removing the stop). The full-beam sample shows the 30-minute Cs¹³⁰ component superimposed on a 31-hour Cs¹²⁹ background. The $I = 1$ sample shows a marked enrichment of the 30-minute activity, indicating that Cs¹³⁰ is responsible for the $I = 1$ signal.

Cesium-127 and Cesium-129 Results

Table II gives the results of a search at half-integral spin values.

Table II

Counting rates for Cs ¹²⁷ and Cs ¹²⁹ , half-integral spin values					
Spin value	1/2	3/2	5/2	7/2	9/2
Counting rate (arbitrary units)	100 ± 1.3	1.4 ± .2	1.9 ± .2	1.8 ± .2	1.4 ± .2

A significant signal appears only for $I = 1/2$.

In Fig. 2 the decay of the $I = 1/2$ sample is compared with that of the full beam. It is clear that both the 6-hour Cs¹²⁷ and the 31-hour Cs¹²⁹ are present in both samples and in the same ratio. The same result has been obtained in other runs in which the relative amounts of the two isotopes were appreciably different. The conclusion is that both Cs¹²⁷ and Cs¹²⁹ have $I = 1/2$.

Remarks

The measured spin, $I = 1$, for Cs¹³⁰ agrees with the prediction by Smith, Mitchell, and Caird³ deduced from the decay scheme, and--as they point out--it is consistent with a shell-model picture of an unpaired ($d_{5/2}$) proton coupled with a ($d_{3/2}$) neutron.

A ground-state spin of $1/2$ for either Cs¹²⁷ or Cs¹²⁹ is hard to fit into the shell picture. If the spin is due to a single proton it must occupy an ($s_{1/2}$) state, which is presumed to lie at the top of the shell,⁶ while the ($g_{7/2}$) and ($d_{5/2}$) levels at the bottom of the shell are available. Furthermore, no coupling scheme with the five protons outside the closed shell at $Z = 50$, all in the ($g_{7/2}$) level or all in the ($d_{5/2}$) level, can give $I = 1/2$; nor can three protons

in one of these levels and a canceling pair in the other give $I = 1/2$. Five protons in the $(h_{11/2})$ level can produce $I = 1/2$, but coupling to such a low spin value seems unlikely. It is conceivable but improbable that the neutrons contribute to the spin.

The most likely possibility seems to be that the observed properties are those of an isomeric state rather than the ground state.

A measurement of the hyperfine structure may throw light on the problem. Preliminary results indicate that the hyperfine splitting for both isotopes is in the neighborhood of 8000 to 9000 Mc.

If protons give a ground-state spin of $1/2$ for Cs^{127} and Cs^{129} , then the observed spin of 1 for Cs^{130} may be due to these protons coupled to an $(s_{1/2})$ neutron. Again a measurement of the hyperfine structure would be useful.

This work was done under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

References

1. Fink, Reynolds, and Templeton, Phys. Rev. 77, 614 (1950).
2. Risser and Smith, private communication from Lark-Horowitz cited by Hollander, Perlman, and Seaborg, Revs. Modern Phys. 25, 469 (1953).
3. Smith, Mitchell, and Caird, Phys. Rev. 87, 454 (1952).
4. Mathur and Hyde, Phys. Rev. 95, 708 (1954).
5. Hobson, et al., Phys. Rev. (to be published)
6. Mayer and Jensen, Elementary Theory of Nuclear Shell Structure, Wiley, New York, 1955.

Legends

- Fig. 1. Decay of $I = 1$ sample (Cs^{130}).
- Fig. 2. Decay of $I = 1/2$ sample (Cs^{127} and Cs^{129}).

Fig 2