UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Binding of glycoprotein Srr1 of Streptococcus agalactiae to fibrinogen promotes attachment to brain endothelium and the development of meningitis.

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/97z346wf

Journal PLoS Pathogens, 8(10)

Authors

Seo, Ho Mu, Rong Kim, Brandon <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2012

DOI

10.1371/journal.ppat.1002947

Peer reviewed

Binding of Glycoprotein Srr1 of *Streptococcus agalactiae* to Fibrinogen Promotes Attachment to Brain Endothelium and the Development of Meningitis

Ho Seong Seo¹, Rong Mu², Brandon J. Kim², Kelly S. Doran^{2,3}, Paul M. Sullam¹*

1 Division of Infectious Diseases, Veterans Affairs Medical Center and the University of California, San Francisco, California, United States of America, 2 Department of Biology and Center for Microbial Sciences, San Diego State University, San Diego, California, United States of America, 3 Department of Pediatrics, University of California at San Diego, School of Medicine, La Jolla, California, United States of America

Abstract

The serine-rich repeat glycoprotein Srr1 of *Streptococcus agalactiae* (GBS) is thought to be an important adhesin for the pathogenesis of meningitis. Although expression of Srr1 is associated with increased binding to human brain microvascular endothelial cells (hBMEC), the molecular basis for this interaction is not well defined. We now demonstrate that Srr1 contributes to GBS attachment to hBMEC via the direct interaction of its binding region (BR) with human fibrinogen. When assessed by Far Western blotting, Srr1 was the only protein in GBS extracts that bound fibrinogen. Studies using recombinant Srr1-BR and purified fibrinogen *in vitro* confirmed a direct protein-protein interaction. Srr1-BR binding was localized to amino acids 283–410 of the fibrinogen A α chain. Structural predictions indicated that the conformation of Srr1-BR is likely to resemble that of SdrG and other related staphylococcal proteins that bind to fibrinogen through a "dock, lock, and latch" mechanism (DLL). Deletion of the predicted latch domain of Srr1-BR abolished the interaction of the BR with fibrinogen. In addition, a mutant GBS strain lacking the latch domain exhibited reduced binding to hBMEC, and was significantly attenuated in an *in vivo* model of meningitis. These results indicate that Srr1 can bind fibrinogen directly likely through a DLL mechanism, which has not been described for other streptococcal adhesins. This interaction was important for the pathogenesis of GBS central nervous system invasion and subsequent disease progression.

Citation: Seo HS, Mu R, Kim BJ, Doran KS, Sullam PM (2012) Binding of Glycoprotein Srr1 of *Streptococcus agalactiae* to Fibrinogen Promotes Attachment to Brain Endothelium and the Development of Meningitis. PLoS Pathog 8(10): e1002947. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002947

Editor: Michael R. Wessels, Children's Hospital Boston, United States of America

Received May 25, 2012; Accepted August 20, 2012; Published October 4, 2012

This is an open-access article, free of all copyright, and may be freely reproduced, distributed, transmitted, modified, built upon, or otherwise used by anyone for any lawful purpose. The work is made available under the Creative Commons CC0 public domain dedication.

Funding: This study was supported by the Department of Veterans Affairs and the VA Merit Review program, the Northern California Institute for Research and Education, grants R01-Al41513 (P.M.S.), R01-Al057433 (P.M.S.), a Fellowship Award from the American Heart Association, Western Affiliate (H.S.S), and R01-NS051247 (K.S.D.) from the National Institutes of Health. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: paul.sullam@ucsf.edu

Introduction

The serine-rich repeat (SRR) glycoproteins are a large and diverse family of adhesins found in Gram-positive bacteria [1,2]. Each SRR protein is encoded within a large locus that also contains genes encoding proteins responsible for glycosylating the SRR protein, as well as an accessory Sec system that is dedicated to the export of the adhesin. The SRR proteins have a highly conserved domain organization, including a long and specialized signal sequence, two extensive serine-rich repeat regions that undergo glycosylation, and a typical LPXTG cell wall anchoring motif [3,4]. The N-termini also contain a binding region that varies considerably, both in terms of structure and adherence properties (Figure 1).

Among the best-characterized is GspB of *Streptococcus gordonii*, which binds human platelets through its interaction with sialyl-T antigen on the platelet receptor GPIb α [2,5]. This appears to be an important event in the pathogenesis of infective endocarditis, since disruption of Siglec-mediated binding results in reduced virulence, as measured by an animal model of endocardial infection [3,4]. A number of other SRR proteins have been shown to contribute to virulence, including SraP of *Staphylococcus aureus*,

PsrP of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, and the two SRR proteins (Srr1 and Srr2) of GBS [6–11]. However, the molecular basis for binding by these other adhesins is less defined. Their binding regions have no homology to that of GspB, indicating that they are not Siglec-like adhesins. Although SraP mediates binding to platelets, the receptor for this SRR protein has not been identified [6]. PsrP binds cytokeratin 10 *in vitro*, which appears to be important for binding to pulmonary epithelial cells and subsequent pneumonia [12].

Expression of Srr1 or Srr2 by GBS has been shown to contribute to virulence in models of meningitis [7,8]. Srr1 mediates binding to several types of human epithelial cell lines, as well as human brain microvascular endothelial cells (hBMEC) [7,13]. Binding of these cells appears to be important for both colonization and invasion. *In vitro* studies have indicated that one ligand for Srr1 is human keratin 4, which may facilitate attachment to cervical, vaginal, and pharyngeal cells [13,14]. We now report, however, that Srr1 also binds human fibrinogen directly through its interaction with the A α chain of the heteromultimeric protein. This interaction mediates the binding of GBS both to fibrinogen and to hBMEC, and appears to be important for virulence in the setting of meningitis.

Author Summary

Streptococcus agalactiae (Group B streptococcus, GBS) is a leading cause of meningitis in newborns and infants. This life-threatening infection of the brain and surrounding tissues continues to result in a high incidence of morbidity and mortality, despite antibiotic therapy. A key factor in disease production is the ability of this organism to invade the central nervous system, via the bloodstream. We now report that a GBS surface protein called Srr1 binds fibrinogen, a major protein in human blood. This interaction enhances the attachment of GBS to brain vascular endothelial cells, and contributes to the development of meningitis. A mutation in Srr1 that specifically disrupted binding to fibrinogen significantly reduced GBS attachment to brain endothelium, and markedly reduced virulence in an in vivo model of GBS disease. These studies have identified a new mechanism by which Srr1 contributes to GBS invasion of the central nervous system and may provide a basis for novel therapies targeting Srr1 binding.

Results

Srr1 mediates GBS binding

We first measured the adherence of GBS strain COH31 (a serotype III clinical isolate) to a variety of host plasma and matrix proteins. As shown in Fig. 2A, GBS adhered to immobilized human fibrinogen at levels (mean: $16\pm2.8\%$ of inoculum) that were significantly higher than those seen to with the negative control, casein (<1%). Low levels of binding (<2%) were observed with thrombin, fibronectin, laminin, plasminogen, collagen IV, and fetuin. Binding was significantly inhibited by pretreatment of immobilized fibrinogen with anti-fibrinogen IgG, indicating that the interaction between GBS and fibrinogen was specific (Figure 2B). We also examined eight additional GBS isolates, representing a range of capsular types, all of which were found to bind immobilized fibrinogen. As was seen with the COH31 strain,



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of three staphylococcal fibrinogen binding proteins (ClfA, SdrG and ClfB) and the serine rich repeat proteins Srr1 and GspB. Level of identity (%) between regions is indicated. *S: signal sequence; N1, N2, and N3: DEv-IgG domains; B1 and B2, repeats of unknown function; SD: serine and aspartic acid rich region; SRR1 and SRR2: serine rich regions; CNA: IgG fold domain; Siglec: sialic acid binding domain; LPxTG: cell wall anchoring motif.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002947.g001

binding of all GBS strains tested was significantly reduced during treatment with IgG specific for fibrinogen. These data indicate that GBS can adhere specifically to immobilized fibrinogen and adherence to fibrinogen is a general property of GBS.

To better characterize the GBS surface components responsible for fibrinogen interaction, we examined the binding of soluble human fibrinogen to GBS cell wall proteins by Far Western blotting. Although the GBS cell wall extracts contained numerous proteins (Figure 2C, left panel), fibrinogen binding was restricted to a group of high MW bands (300–400 kDa) (middle panel). Probing the membranes with WGA revealed binding of the lectin to one or more proteins of similar size, indicating that they were glycosylated (right panel). Since the serine-rich repeat protein Sr1 of GBS is a high MW glycoprotein, we next assessed the impact of deleting *sr1* on WGA and fibrinogen binding. When cell wall extracts of COH31 Δ *srr1* (PS954) were probed with WGA or fibrinogen, no binding was observed, confirming that the glycoprotein bound by fibrinogen was Sr1.

To examine the impact of Srr1 expression on bacterial binding to fibrinogen, we tested the ability of GBS strains COH31 and NCTC 10/84, and $\Delta srr1$ variants to bind to immobilized fibrinogen. As shown in Figure 3A, deletion of srr1 markedly reduced GBS binding to fibrinogen. Similar results were observed with additional GBS strains H36B and 515 (data not shown). To confirm the role of Srr1 expression in fibrinogen binding by GBS, we next assessed whether binding by COH31 and NCTC 10/84 to fibrinogen was inhibited by rabbit anti-Srr1 IgG (Figure 3B and Figure S1). In control studies, co-incubation of either strain with rabbit IgG had no effect on fibrinogen binding. In contrast, coincubation of GBS with anti-Srr1 IgG significantly reduced binding to fibrinogen. The level of inhibition was concentrationdependent, with 100 µg/ml of anti-Srr1 IgG being sufficient to reduce WT GBS binding to levels comparable to those seen with GBS Δ srr1. Complementation of the srr1 mutation in trans restored fibrinogen binding by NCTC 10/84 $\Delta srr1$ (Figure S2), thereby demonstrating that the loss of binding observed with srr1 disruption was not due to polar or pleiotropic effects. These results indicate that GBS binding to immobilized fibrinogen is mediated by the surface expressed Srr1 protein.

The attachment of GBS to human brain microvascular endothelial cells (hBMEC) is thought to be important for the invasion of the central nervous system by this organism [15–17]. Previous studies indicate that binding of GBS to brain endothelium is mediated by Srr1 [7]. To assess whether fibrinogen contributed to this interaction, we assessed the role of fibrinogen in Srr1-mediated binding of GBS to hBMEC. Fibrinogen was detectable on the surface of washed hBMEC, as measured by immunofluorescence microscopy (Figure 4A). Exposure of the cells to exogenous human fibrinogen (20 µg/ml), markedly increased the amount of the protein on the cell surface, indicating that hBMEC are capable of binding fibrinogen. Strain NCTC10/84 and an isogenic $\Delta srr1$ variant (PS2645) were incubated with hBMEC in tissue culture wells. After 30 min, WT GBS efficiently adhered to these cells, whereas the $\Delta srr1$ mutant was significantly reduced in binding (p < 0.01) (Figure 4B). Preincubation of bacteria with purified human fibrinogen (20 μ g/ml) enhanced the binding of the WT strain to hBMEC, but had no effect on binding of the $\Delta srr1$ mutant strain.

Biochemical characterization of the binding domain of Srr1

The ligand binding site of the SRR proteins characterized to date has been localized to the region bridging the two serine-rich repeat domains (Figure 1) [1-3,6,9]. To confirm that the putative



Figure 2. Binding of GBS to fibrinogen. (A) GBS strain COH31 strain was incubated with wells pretreated with collagen, thrombin, fibrinogen, fibronectin, laminin, fetuin, or plasminogen (0.1 μ M per well). Values represent mean \pm S.D. of the percent of inoculum bound. (B) Immobilized fibrinogen was preincubated with rabbit anti-fibrinogen IgG (100 μ g/ml) or rabbit IgG (100 μ g/ml) prior to testing for binding by GBS. Unbound IgG was removed by washing and GBS binding was assessed. Values represent percent of GBS binding as compared with untreated fibrinogen. (C) Far Western blotting of cell wall proteins from strain COH1 (WT) and PS954 ($\Delta srr1$). Left panel shows SDS-PAGE of cell wall preparations. Proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose and probed with purified fibrinogen (fibrinogen; 10 μ g/ml, middle panel) or biotinylated WGA lectin (0.2 μ g/ml, right panel). Bound fibrinogen and lectin were detected with anti-fibrinogen IgG or HRP conjugated streptavidin, respectively. * = P<0.01. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002947.g002

binding region of Srr1 (Srr1-BR) interacts with fibrinogen, we assessed the binding of the purified FLAG tagged binding region ($_{FLAG}Srr1-BR$) with fibrinogen. In control studies, no significant binding by $_{FLAG}Srr1-BR$ to immobilized casein blocking regent was detected. In contrast, $_{FLAG}Srr1-BR$ showed significant binding to fibrinogen, which increased in direct proportion to the amount of protein applied (Figure 5A). No fibrinogen binding activity was detected by either the N-terminal of Srr1-BR (AA303–479) or C-terminus (AA480–641) alone, indicating that entire region is required. To determine the apparent K_D for the binding of $_{FLAG}Srr1-BR$ to fibrinogen, we analyzed data from six independent ELISA-based binding assays, as described previously. The calculated mean K_D was 7.51×10^{-8} , which is within the range reported for staphylococcal fibrinogen binding proteins [18]. To validate these findings, we also examined the inhibition of this

interaction with either anti-fibrinogen IgG or unlabeled Srr1-BR (Figure 5C and D). When immobilized fibrinogen was pretreated with anti-fibrinogen IgG, the binding of $_{FLAG}$ Srr1-BR to the protein was subsequently reduced (Figure 5C). In addition, when $_{FLAG}$ Srr1-BR was co-incubated with unlabeled (non-tagged) Srr1-BR, subsequent binding was effectively blocked (Figure 5D). These findings indicate that the fibrinogen binding domain of the Srr1 is indeed located in the binding region (AA 303–641).

Identification of the binding site for Srr1-BR

We next sought to characterize the region within fibrinogen responsible for Srr1-BR binding. Fibrinogen is a complex protein consisting of two subunits, each containing three polypeptide chains (A α , B β and γ). When separated by SDS-PAGE under reducing conditions, fibrinogen appeared as three bands corre-



Figure 3. GBS binding to fibrinogen is mediated by Srr1. (A) GBS strains COH31 (left) and NCTC 10/84 (right) were compared with their $\Delta srr1$ variants ($\Delta srr1$) for binding to the wells pretreated with fibronectin or fibrinogen (0.1 μ M per well). (B) Inhibition of GBS binding to fibrinogen by anti-Srr1 lgG. COH31 strain was co-incubated with rabbit anti-Srr1 lgG or normal rabbit lgG, and relative binding to immobilized with fibrinogen. Values are mean \pm S.D. of relative binding, normalized for WT levels of binding to fibrinogen. *=P<0.01. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002947.g003

sponding to the A α , B β , and γ chains (A α = 63.5 kDa, B β = 56 kDa, γ = 47 kDa) having the expected masses (Figure 6B). When transferred to nitrocellulose and probed with purified _{FLAG}Srr1-BR, the A α chain was readily detected, with low levels of binding seen to the B β and γ chains (Figure 6B). We also assessed the binding of Srr1-BR to recombinant forms of each chain, expressed as MalE fusion proteins. In this case, _{FLAG}Srr1-

BR was found to bind the MalE:A α chain, while no binding was seen to the MalE:B β and MalE: γ chains (Figure S3).

We next sought to identify the domains within the A α chain bound by Srr1-BR, by examining the binding of Srr1-BR to a series of recombinant A α chain truncates (Figure 6A and 6C). Far Western blot analysis showed that binding of _{FLAG}Srr1-BR was localized to subdomains containing residues 283–410, which correspond to the tandem repeat region of the A α chain (Figure 6C). To confirm that this region was the Srr1-BR binding site, we assessed by ELISA the interaction of _{FLAG}Srr1-BR with the immobilized fibrinogen A α subdomains (Fig. 6D). As was observed with the Far Western analysis, we found no significant binding of _{FLAG}Srr1-BR to immobilized MalE:A $\alpha_{198-282}$ or MalE:A $\alpha_{(198-282+411-610)}$. However, FLAGSrr1-BR exhibited levels of binding to MalE:A $\alpha_{283-410}$ that were comparable to recombinant full length A α chain (MalE:A α_{1-610}), indicating that the Srr1-BR binding site is indeed the 13 AA tandem repeat region within the A α chain of fibrinogen.

Next we examined whether fibrinogen binding by GBS was mediated by the interaction of Srr1-BR with $A\alpha_{283-410}$. GBS strains COH31 and NCTC 10/84, and their respective $\Delta srr1$ mutants (PS954 and PS2645) were incubated with either immobilized MalE: $A\alpha_{283-410}$ or MalE: $A\alpha_{198-282}$ (Fig. 7A and B). The $\Delta srr1$ mutant strains exhibited low levels of binding to both $A\alpha$ chain truncates. In contrast, WT GBS strains had high levels of binding to MalE: $A\alpha_{283-410}$, as compared with MalE: $A\alpha_{198-282}$. In addition, we found that GBS binding to immobilized fibrinogen was subsequently reduced during co-incubation with MalE: $A\alpha_{283-410}$ (Figure S4), suggesting that Srr1-BR binds fibrinogen specifically within AA 283–410 of the A α chain, and that this interaction is important for GBS fibrinogen binding.

Sequence analysis of Srr1-BR

To gain a better understanding of the structural determinants present within the binding region of Srr1, bioinformatic analysis was performed on the predicted binding region sequence (AA 303–641). Interestingly, PSI-BLAST analysis identified this region to be related to the fibrinogen binding domain of the staphylococcal adhesins SdrG and ClfA (sharing 22% and 23% identity respectively). Structure prediction analysis using PHYRE -[19], Swiss-Model [20], and HHPRED [21] algorithms also identified



Figure 4. GBS adherence to hBMEC is mediated by the interaction of Srr1 and fibrinogen. (A) Fibrinogen on the surface of hBMEC pretreated with or without exogenous fibrinogen (Fg; 20 μ g/ml). Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue) and fibrinogen was detected with anti-fibrinogen IgG, followed by Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (red). (B) NCTC 10/84 (WT) or PS2645 (Δ *srr1*) incubated with hBMEC, with or without fibrinogen pretreatment (20 μ g/ml). Unbound bacteria were washed out and bound bacteria were counted. Values represent percent (mean \pm S.D.) of total GBS inoculum bound to the monolayers. *=P<0.01. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002947.g004



Figure 5. Interaction of the binding region (BR) of Srr1 with fibrinogen. (A) Binding of purified Srr1-BR, N terminal Srr1-BR, or Cterminal Srr1-BR protein (FLAGSrr1-BR, FLAGN-Srr1-BR, FLAGC-Srr1-BR) to immobilized fibrinogen (0.1 µM). Bound proteins were detected with anti-FLAG antibody. (B) Inhibition of FLAG Srr1-BR binding to immobilized fibrinogen with anti-fibrinogen IgG. Immobilized fibrinogen was preincubated with the indicated concentration of anti-fibrinogen antibody, and then tested for binding by FLAGSrr1-BR (5 µg/ml). Bound proteins were detected with anti-FLAG IgG. Normal rabbit IgG served as a control. (C) Inhibition of FLAGSrr1-BR binding to fibrinogen by unlabeled Srr1-BR. Immobilized fibrinogen was coincubated with the indicated concentrations of $_{\text{FLAG}}\text{Srr1-BR}$ (5 $\mu\text{g/ml})$ or unlabeled Srr1-BR. Bound proteins were detected with anti-FLAG antibody. Values represent percent of FLAGSrr1-BR binding to the wells treated with fibrinogen. Bars indicate the means (\pm S.D.). *=P<0.01. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002947.g005

the binding region of Srr1 as having structural similarity to the fibrinogen-binding region of SdrG (HHPred; 100% probability, e = 4.5e - 51) and ClfA (HHPred; 100% probability, e value = 9.2e - 51) (Fig. S5).

The binding regions of ClfA and SdrG are composed of two domains (N2 and N3) (Figure 1), each of which adopts an IgG-like fold [22–24]. This domain architecture enables fibrinogen binding through a "dock, lock, and latch" mechanism (DLL) [24], in which fibrinogen engages a binding cleft between the N2 and N3 domains. As the ligand "dock", the flexible C-terminal extension of the N3 domain (the "latch") changes conformation, so that it "locks" the ligand in place, and forms a β strand complex with the N2 domain [24]. Bacterial adhesins that are structurally related to Clf-Sdr family are able to bind fibrinogen using this mechanism, which appears to represent a general mode of ligand-adhesin binding [24–28]. Collectively, our bioinformatic analysis suggests that the binding region of Srr1 structurally resembles the binding region of the Clf-Sdr family proteins (SdrG, ClfA, ClfB) and may have a similar binding mechanism.

Impact of Srr1 latch domain on GBS adherence

Using structure prediction searches (HHPRED) [21], we did not identify a latch-like sequence in C-terminal end of the Srr1-BR. However, a highly homologous TYTFTDYVD-like "latching cleft" sequence between the D1 and E1 strands was identified at AA 412~420 (TYTWTRYAS) (Figure S5 and Table S3). To investigate whether the C-terminal end of Srr1-BR contained a functional latch-like domain, we generated a variant of Srr1-BR, in which the C-terminal 13 AA had been deleted (FLAGSrr1-BRAlatch). As shown Figure 8A, this mutation abolished the binding of the Srr1-BR. Moreover, untagged Srr1-BRAlatch (100 $\mu g/ml$) failed to inhibit the binding of _{FLAG}Srr1-BR binding to immobilized fibrinogen (data not shown). The Srr1-BR protein readily bound to hBMEC and this interaction was increased by preincubating hBMEC with fibringen (20 ug/ml) (Figure 8B). In contrast, the Srr1-BRAlatch protein exhibited lower levels of binding to hBMEC compared with the Srr1-BR protein, which were not enhanced by fibrinogen. To exclude the possibility that this deletion had produced changes in the secondary structure of the protein that might account for the reduction in fibrinogenbinding activity, we analyzed Srr1-BR and Srr1-BRAlatch proteins by circular dichroism (Fig. S6). The two proteins had a similar CD profile, with a maximum at less than 200 and a minimum at 216-218, resembling previously determined CD spectra for ClfA [29]. These results indicate that the Srr1-BR mediates Srr1 binding to fibringen, and that the C-terminal end of Srr1-BR contains a latch-like domain.

We next generated an isogenic variant of strain GBS NCTC 10/84 in which the latch-like domain of the Srr1-BR had been deleted. Of note, deletion of this region did not affect surface expression of Srr1 (Figure 8C). We then examined the impact of this mutation on GBS binding to fibrinogen and brain endothelium. As shown in Fig. 8D and E, deletion of the latch region significantly reduced GBS binding to fibrinogen and hBMEC, as compared with the parent strain. These results strongly suggest that GBS binding to fibrinogen is mediated by Srr1-BR via the "dock, lock, and latch" mechanism.

Impact of Srr1 latch domain on GBS virulence and the development of meningitis

To investigate the role of Srr1–mediated binding to fibrinogen in the pathogenesis of experimental meningitis, we compared the relative virulence of NCTC 10/84 with its isogenic latch-deficient variant. CD-1 mice were infected intravenously with either the



Figure 6. Srr1-BR binding to α **C domain of the fibrinogen A** α **chain.** (A) Schematic of fibrinogen A α organization. The 10 tandem repeating units of the α C domain are represented by the purple bars. Arrows #1–6 indicate the truncated forms of A α chain tested. (B) Binding of _{FLAG}Srr1-BR to fibrinogen A α chain. Purified human fibrinogen was separated by SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie blue (left) or transferred to nitrocellulose and probed with _{FLAG}Srr1-BR (5 µg/ml; right). (C) Recombinant MalE-A α variants were separated by SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie blue (left) or transferred to nitrocellulose and probed with _{FLAG}Srr1-BR (right). (D) _{FLAG}Srr1-BR (2 µg/ml) was incubated with immobilized recombinant MalE-A α variants. Binding was detected by ELISA with anti-FLAG antibody. Bars indicate the means (± S.D.). doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002947.q006



Figure 7. GBS binding to fibrinogen A α **variants.** GBS strains NCTC 10/84 (A) and COH31 (B) were compared with their Δ *srr1* variants (Δ *srr1*) for binding to MalE:A α ₁₉₈₋₂₈₂ or MalE:A α ₂₈₃₋₄₁₀. Values are mean \pm S.D. percent of WT GBS binding to immobilized MalE:A α ₂₈₃₋₄₁₀. *=P<0.01. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002947.g007



Figure 8. Impact of the Srr1 latch-like domain on GBS binding. (A) Binding of $_{FLAG}Srr1-BR$ and $_{FLAG}Srr1-BR\Delta$ latch proteins to immobilized fibrinogen. Indicated concentration of $_{FLAG}Srr1-BR$ and $_{FLAG}Srr1-BR\Delta$ latch were added to wells coated with fibrinogen or casein blocking reagent. (B) Binding of $_{FLAG}Srr1-BR$ and $_{FLAG}Srr1-BR\Delta$ latch proteins to hBMEC monolayers pretreated with PBS (left panels) or fibrinogen (20 µg/ml, right panels). After washing out unbound proteins, bound proteins were detected with anti-FLAG mAb, followed by Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated anti-mouse IgG (red). Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). (C) Expression of Sr1-WT and Sr1 Δ latch variant binding to immobilized fibrinogen. Values represent percent of WT GBS binding to fibrinogen. (E) GBS NCTC 10/84 WT, Δ *srr1* and Δ latch isogenic variant adherence to hBMEC monolayers. *=P<0.01. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002947.g008

WT or the $\Delta latch$ mutant strain. Twenty-four hours after challenge, the levels of GBS detected in the blood of each group were essentially identical (Figure 9A). Despite their initial similarities in establishing a high-grade bacteremia in the mouse, infection with the WT GBS strain resulted in significantly higher mortality (p = 0.017, Log Rank test). By 54 h, 50% of mice infected with NCTC10/84 had died. In contrast, all animals infected with GBS $\Delta latch$ were alive at 78 h (Figure 9B). At the time of death (or upon euthanasia at 78 h), blood and brain were harvested from each mouse for quantitative bacterial culture. Mice infected with the WT strain exhibited significantly higher final bacterial loads and penetrated into the brain more frequently than the $\Delta latch$ mutant (Figure 9C). Histologic examination of brain tissue from mice infected with the $\Delta latch$ mutant showed normal brain morphology with no signs of inflammation or injury (Figure 9D), whereas mice infected with WT GBS showed meningeal thickening, tissue destruction and neutrophil infiltration (Figure 9E and 9F).

Discussion

The SRR proteins of GBS are thought to be important both for colonization of the female genital tract, and for the pathogenesis of invasive diseases, such as sepsis and meningitis. Expression of Srr1 has been shown to enhance the attachment of bacteria to vaginal and cervical epithelial cells *in vitro*, and to facilitate genital



Figure 9. Impact of the latch-like domain on GBS virulence. Bacteria counts in the blood and brain of mice infected with GBS WT or Δ latch bacteria, determined 24 h post infection (A) or at the time of death (C). The horizontal lines denote the median number of bacteria in each group of 10 mice. (B) Kaplan-Meier survival curves of CD-1 male mice following i.v. infection with GBS NCTC 10/84 or Δ latch strains. (n = 10 per group). (D–F) Histopathology of representative brain tissues from mice infected with GBS Δ latch (D) and WT GBS (E, F). Note significant increase of meningeal thickness and neutrophil infiltration in WT infected brain tissue, but not in animals infected with the Δ latch variant. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002947.g009

colonization in mice [30]. These interactions may be mediated in part by the binding of Sr1 to cytokeratin 4 on the surface of these epithelial cells. Studies *in vitro* indicate that the Sr1 interacts with cytokeratin 4 to promote bacterial attachment to the cell surface [14,30]. However, binding can be blocked by sWGA, suggesting that the glycosylated serine-rich domains may also be involved in the interaction of Sr1 with cytokeratin 4 [14]. Strains expressing Sr1 are also more virulent in animal models of meningitis, as compared with their isogenic, *sr1*-deleted variants [7,8]. Expression of Sr1 enhances GBS binding to hBMEC, which is likely to be an essential step for initiating central nervous system invasion and meningitis [7].

Our results now demonstrate that Srr1 promotes the adherence of GBS to human fibrinogen, and that this process is likely to be important for the pathogenesis of meningitis. Binding occurs via the interaction of Srr1-BR with the C-terminus of the fibrinogen A α chain. This appears to be a specific event, requiring the entire Srr1-BR, and amino acids 283–410 of the A α chain. Although Srr1 has limited primary sequence similarity to other known

fibrinogen binding proteins, our secondary structure analyses indicate that Srr1-BR is likely to have a conformation resembling that of ClfA and possibly other related proteins, such as SdrG of Staphylococcus epidermidis. These and a number of other Grampositive bacterial adhesins are thought to bind fibrinogen through a "dock, lock, and latch" (DLL) mechanism [24-26], as described above. Deletion of the predicted latch-like domain of Srr1 significantly reduced fibrinogen binding by the recombinant protein, as well as by bacteria, suggesting that Srr1 binding occurred by a comparable mechanism. If so, this would be the first example of a streptococcal DLL adhesin. Notwithstanding these similarities, there are some notable differences between Srr1 and its staphylococcal counterparts. For example, while Srr1 binds the A α chain of fibrinogen, ClfA recognizes the C-terminus of the γ chain, and SdrG binds the N-terminus of the β chain [24,25,27]. Although both Srr1 and ClfB bind the C-terminus of the A α chain, their binding sites on fibrinogen appear to differ [27,28,31]. A recombinant peptide representing the A α chain binding site for ClfB (AA283-347) did not inhibit Srr1-BR binding to fibrinogen

(Figure S7). Conversely, a peptide containing A α chain residues 348–410 effectively blocked Srr1-BR binding, but no effect on ClfB binding to fibrinogen. These findings suggest that, while the binding of Srr1 to the A α chain has some features in common with ClfB, the interactions of these adhesins with fibrinogen must also differ significantly. Further understanding of the precise basis for Srr1 binding to fibrinogen, and whether it occurs via a DLL mechanism, will require solution of its crystal structure.

Srr1 binding to fibrinogen was also important for the attachment of GBS to hBMEC *in vitro*. Binding of GBS to brain endothelium was reduced by deletion of the putative latch domain of Srr1, and was significantly enhanced by adding human fibrinogen, at concentrations (20 μ g/ml) well within those found in whole blood (2–4 mg/ml) [32]. These findings indicate that the Srr1-fibrinogen binding is a relevant process for CNS invasion, and indeed we found that in mice with experimental meningitis, the latch deletion was also associated with significantly reduced levels of bacteria, mortality, and inflammation within the CNS. Of note, levels of the bacteria within the bloodstream were not altered by the above mutation, further indicating that the virulence properties associated with Srr1 and fibrinogen binding are specific to CNS infection.

FbsA and FbsB are two additional fibrinogen binding proteins of GBS that have been characterized [33,34]. These proteins appear to be structurally unrelated to Srr1 or other known fibrinogen binding proteins. FbsA and FbsB can bind fibrinogen directly in vitro, although their binding sites on fibrinogen have not been identified. FbsA can also enhance the attachment of GBS to hBMEC [35]. However, FbsA alone is not sufficient for cell invasion, but appears to require FbsB for this process [36]. The contribution of FbsA and FbsB, and their interactions with fibrinogen to virulence is not well-defined. Neither protein has been examined for its role in the pathogenesis of meningitis. Deletion of *fbsA* was associated with decreased virulence in an animal model of septic arthritis and septicemia [37]. However, neither active nor passive immunization with FbsA or FbsAspecific antibodies resulted in protection against subsequent infection [37], suggesting that the virulence properties of FbsA may be unrelated to fibrinogen binding. Two other GBS proteins (the fibronectin binding protein Fib and a predicted ABC transport protein SAG0242) have been shown to bind fibrinogen, but neither the mechanisms for protein binding, nor the biologic importance of these interactions, have been addressed [33].

In summary, our results show that Srr1 mediates the binding of GBS to fibrinogen, and that this interaction is likely to occur via a DLL-like mechanism, involving the C-terminus of the fibrinogen A α chain. It is the first streptococcal adhesin for which this type of binding has been identified, indicating that DLL binding may be a generalized mechanism for attachment by Gram-positive organisms. In addition, Srr1-fibrinogen binding appears to be important for the adherence to brain endothelium and the development of meningitis Given that Srr1 or its homolog Srr2 appear to be expressed by most clinical isolates of GBS, this interaction may prove to be a promising candidate for novel therapies targeting bacterial virulence.

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement

This study was carried out in strict accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health. The protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of San Diego State University (Animal Welfare Assurance Number: A3728-01). All efforts were made to minimize suffering of animals employed in this study.

Reagents

Purified human fibrinogen was obtained from Haematologic Technologies. Rabbit anti-fibrinogen IgG was purchased from Aniara. Rabbit anti-Srr1 IgG was generated using purified Srr1-BR protein (NeoPeptide).

Strains and growth conditions

The bacteria and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table S1 and S2. *S. agalatiae* strains were grown in Todd-Hewitt broth (Difco) supplemented with 0.5% yeast extract (THY). All mutant strains grow comparably well *in vitro* (data not shown). *Escherichia coli* strains DH5 α , BL21 and BL21(DE3) were grown at 37°C under aeration in Luria broth (LB; Difco). Appropriate concentrations of antibiotics were added to the media, as required.

Cloning and expression of Srr1-BR

Genomic DNA was isolated from GBS NCTC 10/84, using Wizard Genomic DNA purification kits (Promega), according to the manufacturer's instructions. PCR products were purified, digested, and ligated into pET28_{FLAG} to express FLAG-tagged versions of Srr1-BR (amino acids [AA] 303–641), the amino terminus of Srr1-BR (AA 303–479), the carboxy terminus of Srr1-BR (AA480–641) or the latch deletion of Srr1-BR (AA 303–628). Untagged Srr1-BR and Srr1-BRΔlatch were cloned into pET22b(+) (Novagen). The plasmids were then introduced to *E. coli* BL21(DE3) for over-expression. Proteins were purified by either Ni-NTA (Promega) or anti-FLAG M2 agarose affinity chromatography (Sigma-Aldrich), according to the manufacturers' instructions.

Cloning and expression of fibrinogen chains

cDNAs encoding the A α -, B β - and γ -chains of human fibrinogen were generously provided by Professor Susan Lord (University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill) [38–40]. The full length and truncated forms of chains were amplified and cloned into pMAL-C2X (New England Laboratory) to express MalEtagged versions of the chains. Plasmids were then introduced to *E. coli* BL21 by transformation. All recombinant proteins were purified by affinity chromatography with amylose resin, according to the manufacturer's instructions (New England Biolabs).

Analysis of Srr1-BR binding to fibrinogen by Far Western blotting

Purified human fibrinogen and recombinant fibrinogen chains were separated by electrophoresis through 4–12% NuPAGE Tris-Acetate gels (Invitrogen) and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes. The membranes were treated with casein-based blocking solution (Western Blocking Reagent; Roche) at room temperature, and then incubated for 1 h with FLAG-tagged Sr1-BR (0.5 μ M) suspended in PBS-0.05% Tween 20 (PBS-T). The membranes were then washed three times for 15 min in PBS-T, and bound proteins were detected with mouse anti-FLAG antibody (Sigma-Aldrich).

Analysis of Srr1-BR binding to fibrinogen by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

Purified fibrinogen $(0.1 \,\mu\text{M})$ was immobilized in 96-well microtiter dishes by overnight incubation at 4°C. The wells were washed twice with PBS and blocked with 300 μ l of a casein-based

blocking solution for 1 h at room temperature [41,42]. The plates were washed three times with PBS-T, and _{FLAG}Srr1-BR, _{FLAG}Srr1-BR-N, _{FLAG}Srr1-BR-C or _{FLAG}Srr1-BRAlatch in PBS-T was added over a range of concentrations. The plates were then incubated for 1 h at 37°C. Unbound protein was removed by washing with PBS-T, and the plates were incubated with mouse anti-FLAG antibodies diluted 1:4000 in PBS-T for 1 h at 37°C. Wells were washed and incubated with HRP-conjugated rabbit anti-mouse IgG diluted 1:5000 in PBS-T for 1 h at 37°C. The dissociation constant $K_{\rm D}$ for Srr1 binding was calculated using Prism software v. 4.0 (GraphPad).

For inhibition assays, the wells containing immobilized with fibrinogen (0.1 μ M) were pretreated with rabbit anti-fibrinogen or rabbit IgG for 30 min, followed by washing to remove unbound antibody prior to the addition of _{FLAG}Srr1-BR. In addition, _{FLAG}Srr1-BR was coincubated with anti-Srr1 IgG or purified untagged Srr1-BR proteins on the wells immobilized with fibrinogen. After washing out unbound proteins, bound _{FLAG}Srr1-BR was then assessed as described above.

Fluorescent microscopy

hBMEC were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and fibrinogen was stained with rabbit anti-fibrinogen IgG (1:1000) and Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Invitrogen). Coverslips were mounted on glass slides using Vectashield (Vector labs) and visualized with a confocal laser scanning microscope (Leica Microsystems).

Binding of GBS to immobilized fibrinogen

Overnight cultures of GBS were harvested by centrifugation and adjusted to a concentration of 10^6 CFU/ml in PBS. Purified fibrinogen (0.1 μ M) was immobilized in 96-well microtiter plates as described above, and then incubated with 100 μ l of GBS suspension for 30 min at 37°C. The wells were then washed to remove unbound bacteria, and then treated with 100 μ l of trypsin (2.5 mg/ml) for 10 min at 37°C to release the attached bacteria. The number of bound bacteria was determined by plating serial dilutions of the recovered bacteria onto THB agar plates as previously described [41].

Cell lines and infection assay

The human brain microvascular endothelial cell line (hBMEC) was developed and kindly provided by Kwang Sik Kim (Johns Hopkins University) [43,44] and cultured as previously described [45]. Bacterial adherence assays were performed as described [46]. In brief, bacteria were grown to mid-log phase and then added to confluent hBMEC monolayers at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.1. After 30 min incubation, monolayers were washed 6 times with PBS to remove non-adherent bacteria, lysed and plated on THB agar to enumerate the bacteria. Bacterial adherence was calculated as (recovered CFU/initial inoculum CFU)×100%. In indicated experiments exogenous fibrinogen (20 μ g/ml) was added directly to bacteria and incubated 1.5 hours with rotation at 37°C prior to addition to hBMEC monolayers.

Western blot and lectin blot analysis of GBS Srr1

GBS cell wall extracts were prepared by treatment with spheroplasting buffer (500 units/ml mutanolysin, 20 mM Tris, 10 mM MgCl₂·6H₂O, and 0.5 M raphinose), as described previously [47,48]. Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE with 3–8% Tris-Acetate gels (Invitrogen) under reducing conditions and then were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. After blocking with casein based blocking reagent (Roche), the membranes incubated with either 1) anti-Srr1-BR IgG (1:3000) following by incubation with anti-rabbit IgG (1:10,000); or 2) biotin conjugated wheat germ agglutinin (WGA; Vector Labs) (0.2 μ g/ml) followed by incubation with HRP conjugated streptavidin (0.2 μ g/ml).

Mouse model of meningitis

A murine model of hematogenous GBS meningitis has been described previously [46]. Outbred 6- to 8-week old male CD-1 mice (Charles River Laboratories; 10 mice per group) were injected via the tail vein with 5×10^7 CFU WT GBS (NCTC 10/ 84) or GBS Δ *latch* mutant. At 24 h post GBS injection, blood was collected via tail vein (20 µl) and plated on THB agar to determine the bacterial load in the bloodstream. Mouse survival was accessed over time. At the time of death, or at 78 h post infection, blood and brain tissue were collected aseptically from mice after euthanasia. Bacterial counts were in blood and tissue homogenates were determined by plating serial 10-fold dilutions on THB agar. Brain sections were also embedded in paraffin and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E).

Bioinformatic analysis

Amino acid similarity was compared using PSI-BLAST and secondary structure was determined by the prediction servers (PHYRE and HHPRED) [19,49,50].

Data analysis

Data were expressed as means \pm standard deviations and were compared for statistical significance by the unpaired *t* test.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Inhibition of GBS NCTC10/84 binding to fibrinogen by anti-Srr1 IgG. 10^6 CFU of GBS strain NCTC 10/84 were incubated with anti-Srr1 IgG or rabbit IgG in 96 well plates coated with fibrinogen. Values represent percent of WT GBS binding to wells treated with fibrinogen. *= P<0.01. (TIF)

Figure S2 Complementation of the *srr1* mutation *in trans* restores fibrinogen binding by NCTC 10/84 Δ *srr1*. A. Fibrinogen binding by NCTC (WT), its Δ *srr1* mutant, and the mutant complemented with pDE123 alone or the vector encoding *sr*1. The *srr1* mutant complemented with encoding *srr1* gene demonstrated significantly greater levels of binding than Δ *srr1* and Δ *srr1* with pDE123 control vector. *=P<0.01. B. Expression of Srr1 on the cell surface of complementation strain. Isolated cell wall proteins were probed by Western blotting with WGA lectin. Note lower level of Srr1 expression on the complementation strain. (TIF)

Figure S3 Binding of Srr1-BR to recombinant MalE-A α chain. Recombinant MalE-A α , B β , and γ chains were separated by SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie blue (left) or transferred to nitrocellulose, and probed with _{FLAG}Srr1-BR (right). (TIF)

Figure S4 Inhibition of GBS COH31 binding to fibrinogen by purified MalE:A $\alpha_{283-410}$. 10⁶ CFU of WT or $\Delta srr1$ GBS were incubated with MalE:A $\alpha_{283-410}$ in 96 well plates coated with fibrinogen. Values represent percent of WT GBS binding. *=P<0.01. (TIF)

Figure S5 Sequence analysis of Srr1 and SdrG. Sequence alignment of the Srr1-BR with corresponding regions of SdrG.

Red, blue and black letters represent charged, polar and hydrophobic residues, respectively. Blue box and red box indicates TYTFTDYVD-like "latching cleft" and "latch" motif respectively.

(TIF)

Figure S6 Analysis of secondary-structure by far-UV CD spectroscopy.

(TIF)

Figure S7 Inhibition of ClfB-N2N3 and Srr1-BR binding to immobilized fibrinogen with MalE fused fibrinogen $Aa_{283-347}$ (A) or $Aa_{348-410}$ (B). (TIF)

Table S1Bacterial strains.(DOC)

Table S2Plasmids.

(DOCX)

References

- Zhou M, Wu H (2009) Glycosylation and biogenesis of a family of serine-rich bacterial adhesins. Microbiology 155: 317–327.
- Bensing BA, Lopez JA, Sullam PM (2004) The Streptococcus gordonii surface proteins GspB and Hsa mediate binding to sialylated carbohydrate epitopes on the platelet membrane glycoprotein Ibalpha. Infect Immun 72: 6528–6537.
- Pyburn TM, Bensing BA, Xiong YQ, Melancon BJ, Tomasiak TM, et al. (2011) A structural model for binding of the serine-rich repeat adhesin GspB to host carbohydrate receptors. PLoS Pathog 7: e1002112.
- Xiong YQ, Bensing BA, Bayer AS, Chambers HF, Sullam PM (2008) Role of the serine-rich surface glycoprotein GspB of *Streptococcus gordonii* in the pathogenesis of infective endocarditis. Microb Pathog 45: 297–301.
- Bensing BA, Gibson BW, Sullam PM (2004) The Streptococcus gordonii platelet binding protein GspB undergoes glycosylation independently of export. J Bacteriol 186: 638–645.
- Siboo IR, Chambers HF, Sullam PM (2005) Role of SraP, a Serine-Rich Surface Protein of *Staphylococcus aureus*, in binding to human platelets. Infect Immun 73: 2273–2280.
- van Sorge NM, Quach D, Gurney MA, Sullam PM, Nizet V, et al. (2009) The group B streptococcal serine-rich repeat 1 glycoprotein mediates penetration of the blood-brain barrier. J Infect Dis 199: 1479–1487.
- Seifert KN, Adderson EE, Whiting AA, Bohnsack JF, Crowley PJ, et al. (2006) A unique serine-rich repeat protein (Srr-2) and novel surface antigen (epsilon) associated with a virulent lineage of serotype III Streptococcus agalactiae. Microbiology 152: 1029–1040.
- Sanchez CJ, Shivshankar P, Stol K, Trakhtenbroit S, Sullam PM, et al. (2010) The pneumococcal serine-rich repeat protein is an intra-species bacterial adhesin that promotes bacterial aggregation in vivo and in biofilms. PLoS Pathog 6: e1001044.
- Orihuela CJ (2009) Role played by psrP-secY2A2 (accessory region 34) in the invasive disease potential of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. J Infect Dis 200: 1180–1181; author reply 1181–1182.
- Obert C, Sublett J, Kaushal D, Hinojosa E, Barton T, et al. (2006) Identification of a Candidate *Streptococcus pneumoniae* core genome and regions of diversity correlated with invasive pneumococcal disease. Infect Immun 74: 4766–4777.
- Shivshankar P, Sanchez C, Rose LF, Orihuela CJ (2009) The Streptococcus pneumoniae adhesin PsrP binds to Keratin 10 on lung cells. Mol Microbiol 73: 663–679.
- Sheen TR, Jimenez A, Wang NY, Banerjee A, van Sorge NM, et al. (2011) Serine-rich repeat proteins and pili promote *Streptococcus agalactiae* colonization of the vaginal tract. J Bacteriol 193: 6834–6842.
- Samen U, Eikmanns BJ, Reinscheid DJ, Borges F (2007) The surface protein Srr-1 of *Streptococcus agalactiae* binds human keratin 4 and promotes adherence to epithelial HEp-2 cells. Infect Immun 75: 5405–5414.
- Nizet V, Kim KS, Stins M, Jonas M, Chi EY, et al. (1997) Invasion of brain microvascular endothelial cells by group B streptococci. Infect Immun 65: 5074– 5081.
- Charland N, Nizet V, Rubens CE, Kim KS, Lacouture S, et al. (2000) Streptococcus suis serotype 2 interactions with human brain microvascular endothelial cells. Infect Immun 68: 637–643.
- Quach D, van Sorge NM, Kristian SA, Bryan JD, Shelver DW, et al. (2009) The CiaR response regulator in group B Streptococcus promotes intracellular survival and resistance to innate immune defenses. J Bacteriol 191: 2023–2032.
- Sillanpaa J, Nallapareddy SR, Houston J, Ganesh VK, Bourgogne A, et al. (2009) A family of fibrinogen-binding MSCRAMMs from *Enterococcus faecalis*. Microbiology 155: 2390–2400.
- Kelley LA, Sternberg MJ (2009) Protein structure prediction on the Web: a case study using the Phyre server. Nat Protoc 4: 363–371.

Table S3Latching cleft and latch domains within thebinding regions of fibrinogen binding proteins.(DOCX)

Acknowledgments

We thank Barbara Bensing, Ravin Seepersaud, and Tina Iverson (Vanderbilt University) for their helpful scientific and editorial advice, Nai-Yu Wang for technical assistance, Kwang Sik Kim (Johns Hopkins) for providing hBMEC, Craig Rubens (University of Washington at Seattle) for providing GBS isolates, and Susan Lord (University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill) for providing us with fibrinogen plasmids.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: HSS RM BJK KSD PMS. Performed the experiments: HSS RM BJK. Analyzed the data: HSS RM BJK KSD PMS. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: HSS KSD PMS. Wrote the paper: HSS KSD PMS.

- Arnold K, Bordoli L, Kopp J, Schwede T (2006) The SWISS-MODEL workspace: a web-based environment for protein structure homology modelling. Bioinformatics 22: 195–201.
- Biegert A, Mayer C, Remmert M, Soding J, Lupas AN (2006) The MPI Bioinformatics Toolkit for protein sequence analysis. Nucleic Acids Res 34: W335–339.
- Deivanayagam CC, Wann ER, Chen W, Carson M, Rajashankar KR, et al. (2002) A novel variant of the immunoglobulin fold in surface adhesins of *Staphylococcus aureus*: crystal structure of the fibrinogen-binding MSCRAMM, clumping factor A. Embo J 21: 6660–6672.
- Bowden MG, Heuck AP, Ponnuraj K, Kolosova E, Choe D, et al. (2008) Evidence for the "dock, lock, and latch" ligand binding mechanism of the staphylococcal microbial surface component recognizing adhesive matrix molecules (MSCRAMM) SdrG. J Biol Chem 283: 638–647.
- Ponnuraj K, Bowden MG, Davis S, Gurusiddappa S, Moore D, et al. (2003) A "dock, lock, and latch" structural model for a staphylococcal adhesin binding to fibrinogen. Cell 115: 217–228.
- Ganesh VK, Rivera JJ, Smeds E, Ko YP, Bowden MG, et al. (2008) A structural model of the *Staphylococcus aureus* ClfA-fibrinogen interaction opens new avenues for the design of anti-staphylococcal therapeutics. PLoS Pathog 4: e1000226.
- Davis SL, Gurusiddappa S, McCrea KW, Perkins S, Hook M (2001) SdrG, a fibrinogen-binding bacterial adhesin of the microbial surface components recognizing adhesive matrix molecules subfamily from *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, targets the thrombin cleavage site in the Bbeta chain. J Biol Chem 276: 27799– 27805.
- Xiang H, Feng Y, Wang J, Liu B, Chen Y, et al. (2012) Crystal Structures Reveal the Multi-Ligand Binding Mechanism of *Staphylococcus aureus* ClfB. PLoS Pathog 8: e1002751.
- Ganesh VK, Barbu EM, Deivanayagam CC, Le B, Anderson AS, et al. (2011) Structural and biochemical characterization of *Staphylococcus aureus* clumping factor B/ligand interactions. J Biol Chem 286: 25963–25972.
- Hartford OM, Wann ER, Hook M, Foster TJ (2001) Identification of residues in the *Staphylococcus aureus* fibrinogen-binding MSCRAMM clumping factor A (ClfA) that are important for ligand binding. J Biol Chem 276: 2466–2473.
- Sheen TR, Jimenez A, Wang NY, Banerjee A, van Sorge NM, et al. (2012) Serine-rich repeat proteins and pili promote *Streptococcus agalactiae* colonization of the vaginal tract. J Bacteriol 193: 6834–6842.
- Walsh EJ, Miajlovic H, Gorkun OV, Foster TJ (2008) Identification of the *Staphylococcus aureus* MSCRAMM clumping factor B (ClfB) binding site in the alphaC-domain of human fibrinogen. Microbiology 154: 550–558.
- Poon KW, Lyng FM, Knief P, Howe O, Meade AD, et al. (2012) Quantitative reagent-free detection of fibrinogen levels in human blood plasma using Raman spectroscopy. Analyst 137: 1807–1814.
- Margarit I, Bonacci S, Pietrocola G, Rindi S, Ghezzo C, et al. (2009) Capturing host-pathogen interactions by protein microarrays: identification of novel streptococcal proteins binding to human fibronectin, fibrinogen, and C4BP. Faseb J 23: 3100–3112.
- Rivera J, Vannakambadi G, Hook M, Speziale P (2007) Fibrinogen-binding proteins of Gram-positive bacteria. Thromb Haemost 98: 503–511.
- Tenenbaum T, Bloier C, Adam R, Reinscheid DJ, Schroten H (2005) Adherence to and invasion of human brain microvascular endothelial cells are promoted by fibrinogen-binding protein FbsA of *Streptococcus agalactiae*. Infect Immun 73: 4404–4409.
- Rosenau A, Martins K, Amor S, Gannier F, Lanotte P, et al. (2007) Evaluation of the ability of *Streptococcus agalactiae* strains isolated from genital and neonatal specimens to bind to human fibrinogen and correlation with characteristics of the fbsA and fbsB genes. Infect Immun 75: 1310–1317.

- Jonsson IM, Pietrocola G, Speziale P, Verdrengh M, Tarkowski A (2005) Role of fibrinogen-binding adhesin expression in septic arthritis and septicemia caused by *Streptococcus agalactiae*. J Infect Dis 192: 1456–1464.
- Lord ST (1985) Expression of a cloned human fibrinogen cDNA in Escherichia coli: synthesis of an A alpha polypeptide. DNA 4: 33–38.
- Bolyard MG, Lord ST (1988) High-level expression of a functional human fibrinogen gamma chain in Escherichia coli. Gene 66: 183–192.
- Lord ST, Strickland E, Jayjock E (1996) Strategy for recombinant multichain protein synthesis: fibrinogen B beta-chain variants as thrombin substrates. Biochemistry 35: 2342–2348.
- Seo HS, Xiong YQ, Mitchell J, Seepersaud R, Bayer AS, et al. (2010) Bacteriophage lysin mediates the binding of streptococcus mitis to human platelets through interaction with fibrinogen. PLoS Pathog 6: e1001047.
- Seo HS, Sullam PM (2011) Characterization of the fibrinogen binding domain of bacteriophage lysin from Streptococcus mitis. Infect Immun 79: 3518–3526.
- Stins MF, Prasadarao NV, Ibric L, Wass CA, Luckett P, et al. (1994) Binding characteristics of S fimbriated Escherichia coli to isolated brain microvascular endothelial cells. Am J Pathol 145: 1228–1236.
- Stins MF, Prasadarao NV, Zhou J, Arditi M, Kim KS (1997) Bovine brain microvascular endothelial cells transfected with SV40-large T antigen:

development of an immortalized cell line to study pathophysiology of CNS disease. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Anim 33: 243–247.

- Doran KS, Liu GY, Nizet V (2003) Group B streptococcal beta-hemolysin/ cytolysin activates neutrophil signaling pathways in brain endothelium and contributes to development of meningitis. J Clin Invest 112: 736–744.
- Doran KS, Engelson EJ, Khosravi A, Maisey HC, Fedtke I, et al. (2005) Bloodbrain barrier invasion by group B Streptococcus depends upon proper cellsurface anchoring of lipoteichoic acid. J Clin Invest 115: 2499–2507.
- McNab R, Jenkinson HF (1998) Altered adherence properties of a Streptococcus gordonii hppA (oligopeptide permease) mutant result from transcriptional effects on cshA adhesin gene expression. Microbiology 144 (Pt 1): 127–136.
- Jenkinson HF (1986) Cell-surface proteins of Streptococcus sanguis associated with cell hydrophobicity and coaggregation properties. J Gen Microbiol 132: 1575–1589.
- Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schaffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, et al. (1997) Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res 25: 3389–3402.
- Soding J, Biegert A, Lupas AN (2005) The HHpred interactive server for protein homology detection and structure prediction. Nucleic Acids Res 33: W244–248.