UC Davis

UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Neuropsychological changes in FMR1 premutation carriers and onset of fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/98f8z3hx

Journal

Journal of Neurodevelopmental Disorders, 14(1)

ISSN

1866-1947

Authors

Famula, Jessica Ferrer, Emilio Hagerman, Randi J et al.

Publication Date

2022-12-01

DOI

10.1186/s11689-022-09436-y

Peer reviewed

RESEARCH Open Access



Neuropsychological changes in *FMR1* premutation carriers and onset of fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome

Jessica Famula^{1,2}, Emilio Ferrer³, Randi J. Hagerman^{1,4}, Flora Tassone^{1,5}, Andrea Schneider^{1,4}, Susan M. Rivera^{1,3,6} and David Hessl^{1,2*}

Abstract

Background: Carriers of the *FMR1* premutation are at increased risk of developing a late-onset progressive neurodegenerative disease, fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome (FXTAS), characterized by intention tremor, gait ataxia, and cognitive decline. Cross-sectional studies to date have provided evidence that neuropsychological changes, such as executive function alterations, or subtle motor changes, may precede the onset of formal FXTAS, perhaps characterizing a prodromal state. However, the lack of longitudinal data has prevented the field from forming a clear picture of progression over time within individuals, and we lack consensus regarding early markers of risk and measures that may be used to track response to intervention.

Methods: This was a longitudinal study of 64 male *FMR1* premutation carriers (Pm) without FXTAS at study entry and 30 normal controls (Nc), aged 40 to 80 years (Pm M = 60.0 years; Nc M = 57.4 years). Fifty of the Pm and 22 of the Nc were re-assessed after an average of 2.33 years, and 37 Pm and 20 Nc were re-assessed a third time after an average of another 2.15 years. Eighteen of 64 carriers (28%) converted to FXTAS during the study to date. Neuropsychological assessments at each time point, including components of the Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery (CANTAB), tapped domains of episodic and working memory, inhibitory control, visual attention, planning, executive control of movement, and manual speed and dexterity. Age-based mixed models were used to examine group differences in change over time on the outcomes in the full sample, and differences were further evaluated in 15 trios (n = 45; 15 Pm "converters," 15 Pm "nonconverters," 15 Nc) that were one-one matched on age, education, and socioeconomic status.

Results: Compared to Nc, Pm showed significantly greater rates of change over time in visual working memory, motor dexterity, inhibitory control, and manual movement speed. After multiple comparison correction, significant effects remained for motor dexterity. Worsening inhibitory control and slower manual movements were related to progression in FXTAS stage, but these effects became statistically non-significant after correcting for multiple comparisons. Higher *FMR1* mRNA correlated with worsening manual reaction time but did not survive multiple comparisons and no other molecular measures correlated with neuropsychological changes. Finally, trio comparisons revealed greater rate of decline in planning and manual movement speed in Pm converters compared to Pm nonconverters.

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



^{*}Correspondence: drhessl@ucdavis.edu

¹ MIND Institute, University of California Davis Health, 2825 50th Street, Sacramento, CA 95817, USA

Conclusions: Accelerated decline in executive function and subtle motor changes, likely mediated by frontocerebellar circuits, may precede, and then track with the emergence of formal FXTAS symptoms. Further research to develop and harmonize clinical assessment of *FMR1* carriers across centers is needed to prepare for future prophylactic and treatment trials for this disorder.

Keywords: CANTAB, Fragile X premutation, Tremor, Ataxia, Executive function, FXTAS

Background

Carriers of the fragile X premutation, an allelic variant of the FMR1 gene with 55–200 cytosine-guanine (CGG) repeats, are at risk of developing a late-onset, progressive neurodegenerative disease—fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome (FXTAS). FXTAS is primarily characterized by intention tremor, gait ataxia, and radiological signs of white matter changes in the middle cerebellar peduncles and other regions, as well as brain atrophy. However, the disease frequently presents with comorbid cognitive changes including memory deficits and executive dysfunction and dementia in later stages [1, 2]. A primary underlying molecular mechanism is mRNA toxicity, which leads to protein sequestration, DNA damage and repeat-associated non-AUG (RAN) translation, and ultimately to FXTAS degeneration [3]. By the eighth decade of life, roughly 47% of male premutation carriers develop FXTAS, reaching 75% in those above 80 years [4]; however, clinical presentation is highly heterogeneous in terms of disease severity, age of onset, and course [5–7]. As the gene is X-linked, FXTAS has generally been found to have a lower penetrance and milder clinical presentation in female carriers as compared to males [8, 9].

Though recent studies have pointed toward potential biomarkers that can distinguish which carriers are at greatest risk of developing the FXTAS [10–13], clinical measures to assess individual risk, or even detect the disease in its earliest stages, are limited. Even after disease onset, some patients experience a rapid acceleration in symptom severity, while in others the disease appears to plateau or advance slowly [14, 15]. We still lack reliable methods for predicting which of these scenarios each patient will face.

The neuropsychological profile of premutation carriers of various ages with and without FXTAS has been studied broadly since the disease was first described, with all studies to date taking a cross-sectional approach [16–18]. Among carriers without symptoms of FXTAS, studies indicate that subtle cognitive effects may be present before motor signs develop, and the effects are associated with the extent of white matter changes in the brain [19]. Subsequent well-powered brain volumetric studies show that morphological changes may occur in carriers decades before any formal signs of FXTAS are present [20]. Significantly, functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI)

studies of premutation carriers have revealed irregular brain activity during working memory [21, 22], associative memory recall [18], and magnitude estimation [22] tasks—further illustrating the neurological underpinnings of these neuropsychological findings. However, the cross-sectional nature of prior work, while extensive, precludes a clear delineation of the prodrome of FXTAS and how the disease first emerges from a neuropsychological perspective. Longitudinal research plays a critical role in identifying which carriers are at the greatest risk of developing the disease, which factors may influence the age of onset and rate of progression of the disease, and which treatment domains may be the most important to target in future trials. Here, we present preliminary results from a longitudinal neuropsychological and neurological study of male fragile X premutation carriers at risk for FXTAS.

Methods

Participants

The full study sample consisted of 94 males, 64 with the *FMR1* premutation (Pm), and 30 non-carrier controls (Nc). *FMR1* allele size was confirmed in all participants; premutation carriers had > 54 and < 200 CGG repeats, and controls had < 45 CGG repeats (Table 1). All participants were between 40 and 80 years of age at their first visit (time 1), and the groups did not significantly differ by age, IQ, education, income level, or ethnicity/race (Table 1). Of the 94 participants, 72 had follow-up data at time 2 (50 Pm; interval length = 2.33

Table 1 Group descriptive statistics

	Control m (SD)	Premutation m (SD)	Р
Age in years	57.4 (9.34)	60.0 (8.85)	.198
Education level ⁺	6.00 (1.34)	6.13 (1.01)	.612
Income level*	4.45 (1.18)	4.63 (1.80)	.679
FSIQ	122.6 (13.4)	122.8 (14.3)	.933
CGG repeats	29.6 (3.95)	86.08 (19.3)	< 0.001
Psychoactive medication use (%)	20.0	34.4	.159
Race: non-Hispanic Caucasian (%)	80.0	91.0	.087

⁺ Education level of 6.0 is equal to a BA/BS degree

FSIQ full scale IQ

^{*}Income levels between 4 to 5 are equal to \$75,000 to \$150,000 per year household income

 \pm 0.88 years), and 57 participants had Time 3 data (37 Pm; interval length = 2.15 \pm 0.66 years). Note that the reduced sample sizes with subsequent visits do not indicate drop-out as the study is currently ongoing, and future visits at 2-year increments (or longer, given COVID pandemic constraints) will be completed and reported elsewhere.

FMR1 premutation carriers were recruited primarily through announcements shared by the National Fragile X Foundation, and by referrals from colleagues at other institutions studying children with FXS and their families for research or in clinic. Non-carrier controls were recruited from the Institute's research registry system, social media announcements, and presentations given to local organizations. Carriers traveled to UC Davis in Sacramento, CA, from various locations in North America, while the noncarrier control population was recruited locally throughout Northern California. During screening, no participants reported having symptoms of tremor or ataxia interfering with activities of daily living (ADLs), nor did they have a history of a significant medical condition or a brain injury. Upon neurological exam, 18 of the carriers (28.1%) showed no clinical signs of FXTAS at study entry (FXTAS stage 0 or 1) but developed clear FXTAS symptoms by visit 2 or 3 (FXTAS stage \geq 2) and were classified as "Converters."

All participants provided a full list of their current prescription and over-the-counter medications at each visit. Medication lists were reviewed for those known to have antidepressant, anxiolytic, anticonvulsant, antipsychotic, stimulant, or other known neurologic effects. Groups did not differ significantly on psychoactive medication use (Table 1).

Measures and procedures

FXTAS assessment

Diagnosis of FXTAS and the evaluation of disease stage was carried out by a physician using established guidelines [4, 16, 23]. The examination was composed of standardized movement disorder assessments from the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS; [24]), International Cooperative Ataxia Rating Scale (ICARS; [25]), Clinical Rating Scale for Tremor (CRST), and the Scale for the Assessment and Rating of Ataxia (SARA; [26]) and review of brain MRI to assess white matter disease associated with FXTAS.

Neuropsychological assessment

The neuropsychological assessment battery consisted of an intelligence assessment [27, 28], subtests of the Wechsler Memory Scales, Third [29] or Fourth Edition [30], Behavioral Dyscontrol Scale-2 [BDS-2 [31];], Purdue Pegboard Test (Lafayette Instrument, Lafayette, IN),

and the Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery (CANTAB; Cambridge Cognition, UK). The subtests of the CANTAB included: Paired Associates Learning (PAL), Simple and Five-Choice Reaction Time (RTI), Rapid Visual Processing (RVP), Spatial Working Memory (SWM), Stop Signal Task (SST), and One Touch Stockings of Cambridge (OTS). Together, these tests yielded study measures of spatial memory, episodic memory, auditory working memory, visual working memory, motor dexterity and control, response inhibition, sustained attention, planning, and problem solving, executive control of movement, manual movement speed, and manual reaction time (Table 2).

Molecular measures

Genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted from 3 mL of peripheral blood leukocytes using standard methods (Qiagen). CGG repeat allele sizing and methylation status by Southern Blot and PCR analysis were measured as previously described [32, 33]. *FMR1* mRNA expression levels were measured by real time qRT-PCR using *FMR1* specific primer and probes as previously reported [34].

Statistical analyses

We first compared Pm and Nc groups at study entry on measures of age, education level, income, IQ, and use of psychoactive medication to identify any potentially

Table 2 Neuropsychological functional domains and variables chosen for each domain

Functional domain	Variable
Episodic memory	
Visual	CANTAB PAL* total errors
Verbal	WMS Logical Memory II - Recall
Working memory	
Visual	CANTAB SWM between errors
Auditory	WMS Letter-Number Sequencing
Inhibitory control	CANTAB SST median correct RT on Go (ms)
Visual attention	CANTAB RVP A' signal detection
Planning	CANTAB OTS problems solved on first choice
Motor function	
Executive control of movement	BDS-2
Manual movement speed	CANTAB RTI 5-choice movement time (ms)
Manual reaction time	CANTAB RTI 5-choice reaction time (ms)
Manual dexterity	Purdue Pegboard (R+L+both hands)

*CANTAB subtest abbreviations are as follows: *PAL* Paired Associates Learning, *SWM* Spatial Working Memory, *SST* Stop Signal Task, *RVP* Rapid Visual Processing, *OTS* One Touch Stockings of Cambridge. *RTI* reaction time

confounding variables for subsequent analyses. Second, we applied age-based mixed models using the MIXED procedure in SAS [35] to compare neuropsychological function of all Pm and Nc and to examine differences between these groups in the rate of change over time for each test. Third, to examine potential effects of changes with FXTAS onset (presence vs absence) and to examine potential effects of molecular variables (FMR1 CGG size and mRNA) and in combination with increasing age, we again used mixed models, this time including only Pm. Finally, to examine differences between Pm "converters," "non-converters" and controls, we selected 15 trios (n=45), one-one matched on age, education level, and income and carried out the age-based mixed models described, with a specific focus on differences in the rate of change over time:

$$Y_{it} = \beta_{0i} + \beta_{1i} \bullet age + c_1 \bullet \beta_{0i} + c_2 \bullet \beta_{0i} + c_3 \bullet age \bullet \beta_{1i} + c_4 \bullet age \bullet \beta_{1i} + e_{it}$$

where Y_{it} is the outcome variable for any given individual *i* at time $t_i = \beta_{0i}$ is the intercept, β_{1i} is the linear slope based on age, c1 is the contrast testing for differences in the intercept between Pm converters (+1) and nonconverters (-1), c_2 is the contrast testing for differences in the intercept between Pm converters (+1) and Nc (-1), c_3 is the contrast testing for differences in the age slope between Pm converters (+1) and Pm nonconverters (-1), c_4 is c_3 is the contrast testing for differences in the age slope between Pm converters (+1) and controls (-1), and e_{it} is the residual variance. Correction for multiple comparisons was applied using the Benjamini-Hochberg [36] false discovery rate (FDR) method for all effects pertaining to group differences in change over time. Footnotes at the bottom of tables provide guidance for statistical interpretation.

Results

Demographic descriptive statistics

Demographic, general intelligence and psychoactive medication use in Pm and Nc at study entry (time 1) are shown in Table 1. The two groups did not differ significantly according to these variables and were generally well above average in intellectual functioning. At study entry, antidepressant medications were reported by 15 Pm and 5 Nc; anxiolytic medications were reported by 9 Pm and 0 Nc; anticonvulsant medications were reported by 5 Pm and 0 Nc; antipsychotic medications were reported by 0 Pm and 0 Nc; stimulant medications were reported by 0 Pm and 1 Nc; and medication with other neurologic effects were reported by 2 Pm and 0 Nc.

Neuropsychological group differences and comparisons of rates of change with age

Age-based mixed models revealed no significant differences between groups in the value for any variable at age 40 (the youngest age, used as intercept) but showed significantly different rates of change over time between Pm and Nc. Specifically, compared to Nc, Pm showed significantly greater declines in visual working memory, motor dexterity, inhibitory control, and manual movement speed over time (Table 3). However, only the differential rates of change effect in motor dexterity survived multiple comparison correction, while visual working memory and manual movement speed approached significance after correction. Changes in performance over time in the other domains of measurement did not differ between groups.

Neuropsychological changes associated with FXTAS stage

The models examining changes associated with FXTAS stage revealed effects for two measures of interest: inhibitory control and manual movement speed. While higher FXTAS stage (≥ 2 on the scale) was associated with poorer inhibitory control scores at age 40, inhibitory control improved slightly over time, with a positive slope reaching statistical significance for those with FXTAS symptoms, potentially due to there being more data from those with symptoms. Manual movement speed was significantly slower at age 40 among those with higher FXTAS stage, but significant change over time was not detected for this measure. In these models, Pm without FXTAS showed significant worsening on several measures including visual episodic memory, auditory working memory, motor dexterity, inhibitory control, and manual movement speed (the "age" factor in Table 4).

Neuropsychological changes associated with FMR1 molecular measures

Models examining the effect of elevated *FMR1* mRNA showed a significant effect on manual reaction time in Pm (higher mRNA, worsening reaction time with age) and a marginally significant negative effect of mRNA on planning. These effects did not survive multiple comparison correction, and CGG repeat number was not significantly associated with any measure of interest.

Neuropsychological changes in premutation converters, non-converters, and controls (matched trios)

In the domain of visual working memory, Pm converters performed significantly worse than Nc and better than Pm non-converters overall; however, Pm converters had a significantly greater rate of decline than Pm nonconverters (Table 5). Pm converters performed worse than Nc on motor dexterity but their rate of change on this

Table 3 Parameter estimates from age-based mixed models (full cohort)

	Estimate (SE)	t-value	Р
Visual episodic mem	nory (PAL errors)		
Intercept	9.47 (2.92)	3.24	.002
Age	.356 (.168)	2.15	.034
Group	- 2.23 (3.94)	- 0.57	.573
Group*age	.224 (.212)	1.06	.294
	nory (WMS LM2 score)		
Intercept	26.89 (2.33)	11.52	.001
Age	.084 (.107)	0.78	.435
Group	762 (3.02)	- 0.25	.802
Group*age	089 (.137)	- 0.65	.519
	emory (WMS LNS score)	1	
Intercept	13.07 (1.05)	12.4	.001
Age	059 (.043)	- 1.36	.175
Group	515 (1.34)	-0.38	.702
Group*age	018 (.054)	- 0.33	.740
Visual working mem			
Intercept ¹	31.15 (5.30)	5.88	.001
Age	254 (.260)	- 0.98	.330
Group	0= (=)	=	=
Group*age	.438 (.176)	2.49	.014+
	due Pegboard score)		
Intercept ¹	41.17 (1.18)	34.7	.001
Age	150 (.061)	- 2.46	.015
Group	0= (=)	=	=
Group*Age	200 (.046)	- 4.38	.001*
Inhibitory control [§] (S			
Intercept	527.8 (31.2)	16.9	.001
Age	1.55 (1.78)	0.87	.358
Group	0= (=)	=	=
Group*age	2.94 (1.40)	2.10	.038
Visual attention (RVF			
Intercept	.946 (.018)	52.6	.001
Age	001 (.001)	- 0.61	.544
Group	.011 (.023)	0.47	.636
Group*age	001 (.001)	- 0.96	.337
Planning (OTS score			
Intercept	11.43 (.834)	13.7	.001
Age	021 (.038)	– 0.55	.582
Group	.147 (1.07)	0.14	.891
Group*age	025 (.048)	– 0.51	.608
	ovement (BDS-2 score)		
Intercept	24.08 (.693)	34.7	.001
Age	041 (.037)	- 1.10	.275
Group	054 (.934)	- 0.06	.954
Group*age	046 (.048)	- 0.97	.334
Movement time (RT			.55 .
Intercept	217.2 (20.6)	10.5	.001
Age	1.05 (1.15)	0.91	.367
Group	- 15.1 (27.8)	- 0.54	.589

Table 3 (continued)

	Estimate (SE)	t-value	Р
Group*age	3.55 (1.47)	2.41	.018+
Reaction time (RT)			
Intercept	297.7 (14.9)	19.9	.001
Age	1.33 (1.03)	1.29	.200
Group	7.77 (19.1)	0.41	.684
Group*age	1.33 (1.28)	1.04	.301

"Intercept" = value at age 40 for controls except for (1), which represents value at age for overall sample. "Age" = change in value per year of age for controls. "Group" = change in intercept value for Pc compared to Nc. "Group*Age" = change in slope value for Pc relative to Nc. "0= parameter fixed to zero. $N_{\rm Nc}=30$, 22, 20 (visit 1–visit 3); $N_{\rm Pm}=64$, 50, 37 (visit 1–visit 3). *<0.5, +<0.10 after Benjamini Hochberg correction for multiple comparisons

measure did not differ from the other two groups. Pm converters performed better than Pm nonconverters on visual attention and planning overall but showed a significantly greater rate of decline on planning. In contrast, manual movement speed was significantly slower in Pm converters than in Pm nonconverters, and Pm converters became slower over time (p = .055). None of these significant effects survived multiple comparison correction (11 test domains, 22 slope comparison p values).

Discussion

Here, we present the results of the first longitudinal study of aging FMR1 premutation carriers, with a focus on neuropsychological functioning. The primary importance of this study's cohort and design is its emphasis on enrollment prior to the onset of FXTAS and the subsequent tracking of changes in functioning during the emergence of disease. The results demonstrate (a) that age-related declines in executive functions including visual working memory and inhibitory control, as well as manual movement speed, appear to be greater in male premutation carriers than controls without an FMR1 mutation, and (b) that the onset of the FXTAS disease process ("conversion") is marked by subtle bradykinesia (slowing of movement) and possibly by changes in frontal-lobe mediated planning ability and working memory. Prior cross-sectional studies have suggested that changes in executive function [21, 37-40] and movement [40] may be key early indicators of imminent or emerging FXTAS; the present longitudinal study documents accelerated changes in these parameters over time, providing key support for these initial observations.

Findings of a greater rate of decline in working memory in FXTAS converters are in line with neuroimaging results we have reported in cross-sectional studies of *FMR1* premutation carriers compared to controls. Using

Table 4 Parameter estimates from age-based mixed models (Pm group by FXTAS status)

	Estimate (SE)	t-value	P
Visual episodic memor	y (PAL errors)		
Intercept	7.06 (3.07)	2.30	.025
Age	.572 (.163)	3.51	.001
FXTAS intercept	1.56 (5.38)	0.29	.773
FXTAS slope	035 (.239)	- 0.15	.883
Verbal episodic memor			
Intercept	26.60 (1.86)	14.3	.001
Age	004 (.095)	-0.04	.970
FXTAS intercept	-4.07 (3.13)	– 1.31	.193
FXTAS slope	.131 (.136)	0.97	.336
Auditory working mem	nory (WMS LNS score)		
Intercept	12.93 (.892)	14.5	.001
Age	084 (.041)	- 2.07	.042
FXTAS intercept	714 (1.27)	- 0.56	.575
FXTAS slope	.007 (.054)	0.13	.897
Visual working memory	(SWM errors)		
Intercept	33.77 (8.15)	4.15	.001
Age	.108 (.375)	0.29	.773
FXTAS intercept	– 17.75 (12.6)	- 1.41	.162
FXTAS slope	.654 (.542)	1.21	.232
Motor dexterity (Purdu	e Pegboard score)		
Intercept	39.56 (1.62)	24.5	.001
Age	245 (.073)	- 3.37	.001
FXTAS intercept	- 2.53 (2.25)	– 1.13	.264
FXTAS slope	.044 (.095)	0.46	.647
Inhibitory control [§] (SST			
Intercept	495.3 (47.2)	10.5	.001
Age	5.44 (2.29)	2.37	.020
FXTAS intercept	186.1 (75.7)	2.46	.016
FXTAS slope	- 6.56 (3.27)	- 2.00	.049
Visual attention (RVP sc			
Intercept	.960 (.016)	61.7	.001
Age	001 (.001)	– 1.88	.064
FXTAS intercept	010 (.028)	– 0.35	.724
FXTAS slope	.001 (.001)	0.05	.956
Planning (OTS score)			
Intercept	11.03 (.796)	13.8	.001
Age	023 (.038)	- 0.61	.544
FXTAS intercept	1.83 (1.14)	1.60	.115
FXTAS slope	072 (.049)	- 1.46	.149
Executive control of mo			
Intercept	23.48 (.977)	24.1	.001
Age	080 (.041)	– 1.93	.053
FXTAS intercept	111 (1.33)	- 0.08	.934
FXTAS slope	.033 (.054)	0.61	.541
Manual movement spe		'	.511
Intercept	185.2 (22.6)	8.18	.001
Age	4.85 (1.17)	4.16	.001
FXTAS intercept	95.0 (38.9)	2.44	.017

Table 4 (continued)

	Estimate (SE)	t-value	P
FXTAS slope	- 2.97 (1.71)	- 1.74	.086
Manual reaction time (RT)			
Intercept	314.6 (13.0)	24.1	.001
Age	1.49 (.941)	1.58	.118
FXTAS intercept	- 7.95 (28.7)	- 0.28	.782
FXTAS slope	1.86 (1.44)	1.30	.199

"Intercept" = Value at age 40 for individuals without FXTAS. "Age" = change in value per year of age for individuals without FXTAS. "FXTAS intercept" = change in intercept value for individuals with FXTAS. "FXTAS slope" = change in slope value for individuals with FXTAS. "0= (=)" = parameter fixed to zero. Only Pm participants included. $N_{\text{no FXTAS}} = 44$, 26, 16 (visit 1 – visit 3); $N_{\text{FXTAS}} = 24$, 20, 21 (visit 1 – visit 3). *< .05, * < .10 after Benjamini Hochberg correction for multiple comparisons

Table 5 Parameter estimates from age-based mixed models (matched trios)

	Estimate (SE)	t-value	Pr > t
Visual working memory (SWM e	errors)		
Intercept	32.37 (5.05)	6.41	<.001
Age	- 0.087 (0.33)	-0.26	0.796
Conv vs. NConv	- 17.90 (6.92)	- 2.59	0.013
Conv vs. Cont	18.7 (6.98)	2.49	0.011
ConvSlope vs NConvSlope	1.136 (0.46)	2.50	0.017+
ConvSlope vs ContSlope	- 0.70 (0.46)	— 1.55	0.128
Motor dexterity (Purdue Pegboa	ard score)		
Intercept	38.97 (1.18)	32.90	<.001
Age	- 0.24 (0.08)	-3.16	<.001
Conv vs. NConv	1.89 (1.64)	1.15	0. 255
Conv vs. Cont	- 3.37 (1.64)	-2.06	0.046
ConvSlope vs NConvSlope	- 0.067 (0.11)	-0.64	0.528
ConvSlope vs ContSlope	- 0.036 (0.10)	-0.34	0.735
Planning (OTS score)			
Intercept	10.52 (0.56)	18.53	<.001
Age	0.02 (0.04)	0.45	0.654
Conv vs. NConv	2.52 (0.79)	3.21	0.003
Conv vs. Cont	- 1.28 (0.78)	— 1.63	0.110
ConvSlope vs NConvSlope	- 0.15 (0.05)	- 2.94	0.005*
ConvSlope vs ContSlope	0.10 (0.05)	2.01	0.051+

"Age" = change in value per year of age, centered at youngest age in this matched sample which is 47.9 years. * < .05, $^+$ < .10 after Benjamini Hochberg correction for multiple comparisons

functional MRI, we have reported reduced hippocampus activation during recall [18], altered hippocampal-prefrontal function during memory encoding [41], reduced activation in the right ventral inferior frontal cortex and left premotor/dorsal inferior frontal cortex during a verbal working memory task [21], and dysfunctions in the "when" pathway (right temporoparietal junction) during a working memory task requiring temporal order

judgments [22]. Using structural neuroimaging, we have also reported significant reductions of fractional anisotropy in multiple white matter tracts, including the middle and superior cerebellar peduncle, cerebral peduncle, and the fornix and stria terminalis—areas that transmit information from the hippocampus and integrate limbic information and monitor valence [42].

Findings in the present study of a significantly greater rate of decline in motor planning, and significantly slower manual movement speed in converters than in the non-converters is also in line with past structural neuroimaging findings. In these cross-sectional studies, we have reported weaker structural connectivity in motor fiber tracts: middle and superior cerebellar peduncles, descending motor tracts (containing the corticospinal, corticobulbar, and corticopontine tracts), and the anterior body of the corpus callosum [43]. In addition, we have reported involvement of subcortical gray structures: thalamus, caudate nucleus, putamen, and globus pallidus (which serve the functions of relaying motor signals to the cerebral cortex, planning and execution of movement, and regulation of voluntary movements) in FXTAS [44]. Finally, in a report of early longitudinal neuroimaging findings from the same cohort described here, we found that decreasing width of the middle cerebellar peduncle (MCP) appeared to be sensitive to early structural changes associated with FXTAS development [11]. The MCP is a structure through which passes the predominant afferent fiber of the corticopontocerebellar pathway—a pathway involved in the communication between the cerebellum and the prefrontal cortex for the coordination and planning of motor responses.

Among our matched trios of controls, Pm converters, and Pm nonconverters, there were two domains in which the converters performed better than nonconverters overall, but the rate of change over time showed a sharper decline in performance among converters compared to nonconverters: visual working memory and planning/ problem solving. The trio sample sizes were small, and because we examined multiple domains of function, correction for multiple comparisons made differences hard to confirm. If these findings are upheld with greater numbers and/or replication, they would align with those from a previous study of neuroimaging abnormalities in premutation carriers, in which executive dysfunction and cognitive processing scores were decreased in correlation with white matter changes in the frontocerebellar region of the brain [19]. Interestingly, increased cognitive "load" during standardized walking protocols significantly impairs gait in premutation carriers [45, 46], further highlighting the role of changes in frontocerebellar pathways and functional connectivity in the development of cognitive and motor symptom decline in this population.

An important finding in this study is the motor and executive function changes that occur before and during the onset of FXTAS. These results suggest that prophylactic treatments for molecular abnormalities that have been reported such as oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction [47-49] could be initiated in patients thus identified at high risk, before the onset of FXTAS, to try to stall disease progression. Future targeted treatments for FXTAS and other neurological and neuropsychological symptoms associated with the premutation will need to rely on a well-validated and scalable battery of outcome measures to track treatment response across research and clinical centers. The research presented here points to several neuropsychological domains that may be important to capture in such studies, especially those capturing specific executive functions and cognitive tasks mediated by frontocerebellar activity. Future work should focus on comparing psychometric properties and sensitivity of various outcome measures for premutation carriers and FXTAS in order to refine and then establish a harmonized battery to facilitate collaboration across centers and prepare for future clinical trials.

Among male premutation carriers, it is estimated that approximately 75% will develop FXTAS by the ninth decade of life, with variable ages of onset and severity of course. Thus, the penetrance of the mutation is incomplete and a variety of environmental or secondary genetic factors are likely to affect outcomes. To date, we have limited information about how to predict which carriers will develop FXTAS and when. Limited studies suggest that CGG repeat length is associated with age of symptom onset and age of death among FXTAS carriers [50], but this molecular marker is not an especially powerful predictor. The prodrome of FXTAS, or the early signs or symptoms indicating the imminent onset of the disease, is not yet fully defined. This is an important area of investigation to better identify carriers in need of intervention, prophylactic treatment or lifestyle changes, and monitoring.

A potential weakness of the current investigation is the reliance on touch-screen technology to measure cognition in a population affected by tremor and movement disorder. However, several CANTAB tests (OTS, SWM) are unaffected by reaction time or manual movement accuracy and were sensitive to cognitive changes. Also, the CANTAB has been implemented to detect mild cognitive impairment (MCI) in Parkinson's disease [51], and it is sensitive to differences between patients with MCI versus those with Alzheimer's disease [52], demonstrating its broad utility in the assessment of cognitive neurodegeneration. This battery also provides a highly standardized and objectively quantified method that may be scalable for future multi-center studies or clinical trials. We did not include

balance or gait measures in this report, whereas ataxia is a primary clinical feature of FXTAS. Detailed gait and balance metrics have been collected and will be reported elsewhere. This study presents the only longitudinal neuropsychological data from premutation carriers in the literature to date. However, because we examined multiple neuropsychological domains in the protocol, requiring multiple comparison adjustments, some group differences in change over time were difficult to confirm. This study focused on male premutation carriers, as fragile X-associated disorders are X chromosome linked, and thus males are more likely to be affected. However, female carriers do develop FXTAS and other neurological symptoms [9, 53], and future longitudinal studies should enroll females to examine phenotypic effects and identify individuals most at risk for neurodegenerative changes, as well as protective factors. Finally, it is important to be aware that individuals identified as "non-converters" might present with signs and symptoms of FXTAS after the period of follow-up in this study, as an average period of 5 years might not be adequate to determine clinical conversion.

Conclusions

In this prospective longitudinal study, we show that compared to controls, men with the FMR1 premutation have accelerated decline in manual dexterity and certain domains of executive functioning, including visual working memory, inhibitory control, and that conversion to FXTAS is associated with deterioration in inhibitory control, planning and problem solving, and slowing of manual movement. The findings, in conjunction with prior brain imaging literature, provide critical support for the hypothesis that the prodrome of FXTAS is characterized by executive dysfunction mediated by white matter changes in frontocerebellar pathways. Additional research is needed to select and validate a set of standardized outcome measures that can be used in future multicenter clinical trials of targeted treatments for FXTAS and prophylactic interventions of fragile X carriers who are at increased risk for neurodegeneration.

Abbreviations

FXTAS: Fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome; Pm: Premutation; Nc: Normal control; CANTAB: Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery; CGG: Cytosine-guanine-guanine; RAN: Repeat-associated non-AUG; IRB: Institutional Review Board; ADL: Activities of daily living; UPDRS: Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale; ICARS: International Cooperative Ataxia Rating Scale; CRST: Clinical Rating Scale for Tremor; SARA: Scale for the Assessment and Rating of Ataxia; MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging; BDS-2: Behavioral Dyscontrol Scale, Second Edition; PAL: Paired Associates Learning; RTI: Simple and Five Choice Reaction Time; RVP: Rapid Visual Processing; SWM: Spatial Working Memory; SST: Stop Signal Task; OTS: One Touch Stockings of Cambridge; PCR: Polymerase chain reaction; mRNA: Messenger ribonucleic acid; MCP: Middle cerebellar peduncle; MCI: Mild cognitive impairment.

Acknowledgements

We thank the participants and their families for their effort and dedication to this research. We also thank Drs. Corrisa Jacomini and Sundas Pasha for neuropsychological and psychiatric examinations of participants.

Authors' contributions

JF coordinated all participant screening, enrollment, and assessment activities and wrote the initial draft of the manuscript. EF contributed to the design of the study and completed all statistical analyses. RJH completed neurological and medical examinations of the majority of participants and determined participant FXTAS staging and diagnostic information. FT directed all molecular assays. AS assisted with design of the study and measure selection, completed neuropsychological assessments of participants, and contributed to interpretation of study results. SMR is a co-equal principal investigator (with DH) and obtained funding and wrote sections of the manuscript pertaining to integration of study findings with existing brain MRI literature. DH is a co-equal principal investigator and lead the design of the neuropsychological aspects of the project, obtained funding, directed assessment activities, wrote the discussion, and finalized the manuscript. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by National Institute of Health Grants MH078041 and NS110100 to Drs. Hessl and Rivera; HD036071 and AG032115 to Dr. Hagerman; HD02274 to Dr. Tassone; 90DD0596 from Health and Human Services Administration of Developmental Disabilities; and by the MIND Institute Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities Research Center (P50 HD103526).

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at UC Davis (ID# 473010), and all participants gave informed consent.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

Dr Hessl has received funding from the following, all of which is directed to UC Davis, in support of fragile X syndrome treatment programs, and he receives no personal funds and has no relevant financial interest in any of the commercial entities listed: Autifony, Ovid, Tetra, Healx, and Zynerba pharmaceutical companies to consult on outcome measures and clinical trial design. RJH has received funding from Zynerba and the Azrieli foundation for treatment studies in Fragile X syndrome and unrelated to this study.

Author details

¹MIND Institute, University of California Davis Health, 2825 50th Street, Sacramento, CA 95817, USA. ²Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, University of California Davis School of Medicine, Sacramento, CA, USA. ³Department of Psychology, University of California Davis, Davis, Davis, CA, USA. ⁴Department of Pediatrics, University of California Davis School of Medicine, Sacramento, CA, USA. ⁵Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Medicine, University of California Davis School of Medicine, Davis, CA, USA. ⁶Center for Mind and Brain, University of California Davis, Davis, CA, USA.

Received: 22 October 2021 Accepted: 14 March 2022 Published online: 23 March 2022

References

 Cabal-Herrera AM, Tassanakijpanich N, Salcedo-Arellano MJ, Hagerman RJ. Fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome (FXTAS): pathophysiology and clinical implications. Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21(12):4391.

- Hall DA, Robertson E, Shelton AL, Losh MC, Mila M, Moreno EG, et al. Update on the clinical, radiographic, and neurobehavioral manifestations in FXTAS and FMR1 premutation carriers. Cerebellum. 2016;15(5):578–86.
- Glineburg MR, Todd PK, Charlet-Berguerand N, Sellier C. Repeat-associated non-AUG (RAN) translation and other molecular mechanisms in fragile X tremor ataxia syndrome. Brain Res. 2018;1693(Pt A):43–54.
- Jacquemont S, Hagerman RJ, Leehey MA, Hall DA, Levine RA, Brunberg JA, et al. Penetrance of the fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome in a premutation carrier population. JAMA. 2004;291(4):460–9.
- Famula JL, McKenzie F, McLennan YA, Grigsby J, Tassone F, Hessl D, et al. Presence of middle cerebellar peduncle sign in FMR1 premutation carriers without tremor and ataxia. Front Neurol. 2018;9:695.
- Hagerman PJ, Hagerman RJ. Fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome. Ann NY Acad Sci. 2015;1338:58–70.
- Zhao C, Liu Y, Wang Y, Li H, Zhang B, Yue Y, et al. A Chinese case of fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome (FXTAS) with orthostatic tremor:case report and literature review on tremor in FXTAS. BMC Neurol. 2020;20(1):145.
- Rodriguez-Revenga L, Madrigal I, Pagonabarraga J, Xuncla M, Badenas C, Kulisevsky J, et al. Penetrance of FMR1 premutation associated pathologies in fragile X syndrome families. Eur J Hum Genet. 2009;17(10):1359–62.
- Schneider A, Summers S, Tassone F, Seritan A, Hessl D, Hagerman P, et al. Women with fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome. Mov Disord Clin Pract. 2020;7(8):910–9.
- Renaud M, Perriard J, Coudray S, Sevin-Allouet M, Marcel C, Meissner WG, et al. Relevance of corpus callosum splenium versus middle cerebellar peduncle hyperintensity for FXTAS diagnosis in clinical practice. J Neurol. 2015;262(2):435–42.
- Shelton AL, Wang JY, Fourie E, Tassone F, Chen A, Frizzi L, et al. Middle cerebellar peduncle width-a novel MRI biomarker for FXTAS? Front Neurosci. 2018:12:379.
- Zafarullah M, Palczewski G, Rivera SM, Hessl DR, Tassone F. Metabolic profiling reveals dysregulated lipid metabolism and potential biomarkers associated with the development and progression of Fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome (FXTAS). FASEB J. 2020;34(12):16676–92.
- Zafarullah M, Tang HT, Durbin-Johnson B, Fourie E, Hessl D, Rivera SM, et al. FMR1 locus isoforms: potential biomarker candidates in fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome (FXTAS). Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):11099.
- Leehey MA. Fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome: clinical phenotype, diagnosis, and treatment. J Investig Med. 2009;57(8):830–6.
- Robertson EE, Hall DA, McAsey AR, O'Keefe JA. Fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome: phenotypic comparisons with other movement disorders. Clin Neuropsychol. 2016;30(6):849–900.
- Bacalman S, Farzin F, Bourgeois JA, Cogswell J, Goodlin-Jones BL, Gane LW, et al. Psychiatric phenotype of the fragile X-associated tremor/ ataxia syndrome (FXTAS) in males: newly described fronto-subcortical dementia. J Clin Psychiatry. 2006;67(1):87–94.
- Grigsby J, Brega AG, Engle K, Leehey MA, Hagerman RJ, Tassone F, et al. Cognitive profile of fragile X premutation carriers with and without fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome. Neuropsychology. 2008;22(1):48–60.
- 18. Koldewyn K, Hessl D, Adams J, Tassone F, Hagerman PJ, Hagerman RJ, et al. Reduced hippocampal activation during recall is associated with elevated FMR1 mRNA and psychiatric symptoms in men with the fragile X premutation. Brain Imaging Behav. 2008;2(2):105–16.
- Filley CM, Brown MS, Onderko K, Ray M, Bennett RE, Berry-Kravis E, et al. White matter disease and cognitive impairment in FMR1 premutation carriers. Neurology. 2015;84(21):2146–52.
- 20. Wang JY, Hessl D, Hagerman RJ, Simon TJ, Tassone F, Ferrer E, et al. Abnormal trajectories in cerebellum and brainstem volumes in carriers of the fragile X premutation. Neurobiol Aging. 2017;55:11–9.
- Hashimoto R, Backer KC, Tassone F, Hagerman RJ, Rivera SM. An fMRI study of the prefrontal activity during the performance of a working memory task in premutation carriers of the fragile X mental retardation 1 gene with and without fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome (FXTAS). J Psychiatr Res. 2011;45(1):36–43.
- Kim SY, Hashimoto R, Tassone F, Simon TJ, Rivera SM. Altered neural activity of magnitude estimation processing in adults with the fragile X premutation. J Psychiatr Res. 2013;47(12):1909–16.

- 23. Hall DA, Birch RC, Anheim M, Jonch AE, Pintado E, O'Keefe J, et al. Emerging topics in FXTAS. J Neurodev Disord. 2014;6(1):31.
- Movement Disorder Society Task Force on Rating Scales for Parkinson's D. The Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS): status and recommendations. Mov Disord. 2003;18(7):738–50.
- Trouillas P, Takayanagi T, Hallett M, Currier RD, Subramony SH, Wessel K, et al. International Cooperative Ataxia Rating Scale for pharmacological assessment of the cerebellar syndrome. The Ataxia Neuropharmacology Committee of the World Federation of Neurology. J Neurol Sci. 1997;145(2):205–11.
- Schmitz-Hubsch T, du Montcel ST, Baliko L, Berciano J, Boesch S, Depondt C, et al. Scale for the assessment and rating of ataxia: development of a new clinical scale. Neurology. 2006;66(11):1717–20.
- Wechsler D. Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale, Third Edition. San Antonio: Pearson; 1997.
- 28. Wechsler D. Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale, Fourth Edition. San Antonio: Pearson; 2009.
- Wechsler D. Wechsler Memory Scale, Third Edition. San Antonio: Pearson; 1997.
- 30. Wechsler D. Wechsler Memory Scale, Fourth Edition. San Antonio: Pearson; 2009.
- Grigsby J, Kaye K, Robbins LJ. Reliabilities, norms and factor structure of the Behavioral Dyscontrol Scale. Percept Mot Skills. 1992;74(3 Pt 1):883–92.
- 32. Filipovic-Sadic S, Sah S, Chen L, Krosting J, Sekinger E, Zhang W, et al. A novel FMR1 PCR method for the routine detection of low abundance expanded alleles and full mutations in fragile X syndrome. Clin Chem. 2010;56(3):399–408.
- 33. Tassone F, Pan R, Amiri K, Taylor AK, Hagerman PJ. A rapid polymerase chain reaction-based screening method for identification of all expanded alleles of the fragile X (FMR1) gene in newborn and high-risk populations. J Mol Diagn. 2008;10(1):43–9.
- Tassone F, Hagerman RJ, Loesch DZ, Lachiewicz A, Taylor AK, Hagerman PJ. Fragile X males with unmethylated, full mutation trinucleotide repeat expansions have elevated levels of FMR1 messenger RNA. Am J Med Genet. 2000;94(3):232–6.
- 35. SAS Institute I. SAS/STAT 14.1 User's Guide. Cary: SAS Institute, Inc.; 2015.
- Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J R Stat Soc Ser B. 1995;57(1):289–300.
- Cornish KM, Hocking DR, Moss SA, Kogan CS. Selective executive markers of at-risk profiles associated with the fragile X premutation. Neurology. 2011;77(7):618–22.
- Cornish KM, Kogan CS, Li L, Turk J, Jacquemont S, Hagerman RJ. Lifespan changes in working memory in fragile X premutation males. Brain Cogn. 2009;69(3):551–8.
- Grigsby J, Cornish K, Hocking D, Kraan C, Olichney JM, Rivera SM, et al. The cognitive neuropsychological phenotype of carriers of the FMR1 premutation. J Neurodev Disord. 2014;6(1):28.
- Shickman R, Famula J, Tassone F, Leehey M, Ferrer E, Rivera SM, et al. Age- and CGG repeat-related slowing of manual movement in fragile X carriers: A prodrome of fragile X-associated tremor ataxia syndrome? Mov Disord. 2018;33(4):628–36.
- Wang JY, Hessl DH, Hagerman RJ, Tassone F, Rivera SM. Age-dependent structural connectivity effects in fragile x premutation. Arch Neurol. 2012;69(4):482–9.
- 42. Hashimoto R, Srivastava S, Tassone F, Hagerman RJ, Rivera SM. Diffusion tensor imaging in male premutation carriers of the fragile X mental retardation gene. Mov Disord. 2011;26(7):1329–36.
- 43. Wang JY, Hessl D, Schneider A, Tassone F, Hagerman RJ, Rivera SM. Fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome: influence of the FMR1 gene on motor fiber tracts in males with normal and premutation alleles. JAMA Neurol. 2013;70(8):1022–9.
- Wang JY, Hagerman RJ, Rivera SM. A multimodal imaging analysis of subcortical gray matter in fragile X premutation carriers. Mov Disord. 2013;28(9):1278–84.
- Kraan CM, Hocking DR, Georgiou-Karistianis N, Metcalfe SA, Archibald AD, Fielding J, et al. Age and CGG-repeat length are associated with neuromotor impairments in at-risk females with the FMR1 premutation. Neurobiol Aging. 2014;35(9):2179 e7-13.

- 46. O'Keefe JA, Guan J, Robertson E, Biskis A, Joyce J, Ouyang B, et al. The effects of dual task cognitive interference and fast-paced walking on gait, turns, and falls in men and women with FXTAS. Cerebellum. 2021;20(2):212–21.
- Napoli E, Flores A, Mansuri Y, Hagerman RJ, Giulivi C. Sulforaphane improves mitochondrial metabolism in fibroblasts from patients with fragile X-associated tremor and ataxia syndrome. Neurobiol Dis. 2021;157:105427.
- 48. Polussa J, Schneider A, Hagerman R. Molecular advances leading to treatment implications for fragile X premutation carriers. Brain Disord Ther. 2014;3.
- Song G, Napoli E, Wong S, Hagerman R, Liu S, Tassone F, et al. Altered redox mitochondrial biology in the neurodegenerative disorder fragile X-tremor/ataxia syndrome: use of antioxidants in precision medicine. Mol Med. 2016:22:548–59.
- Tassone F, Adams J, Berry-Kravis EM, Cohen SS, Brusco A, Leehey MA, et al. CGG repeat length correlates with age of onset of motor signs of the fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome (FXTAS). Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. 2007;144B(4):566–9.
- Lawson RA, Yarnall AJ, Duncan GW, Breen DP, Khoo TK, Williams-Gray CH, et al. Stability of mild cognitive impairment in newly diagnosed Parkinson's disease. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2017;88(8):648–52.
- Hammers D, Spurgeon E, Ryan K, Persad C, Heidebrink J, Barbas N, et al. Reliability of repeated cognitive assessment of dementia using a brief computerized battery. Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Demen. 2011;26(4):326–33.
- Hagerman RJ, Hagerman P. Fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome - features, mechanisms and management. Nat Rev Neurol. 2016;12(7):403–12.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

