# **UC Merced**

# **Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society**

## **Title**

Peoples (inconsistent) attitudes about foundational moral beliefs

## **Permalink**

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/98v5m1wk

#### **Journal**

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 40(0)

#### **Authors**

Reinecke, Madeline Horne, Zachary

#### **Publication Date**

2018

## Peoples (inconsistent) attitudes about foundational moral beliefs

#### **Madeline Reinecke**

Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, United States

#### **Zachary Horne**

Arizona State University, Phoenix, Arizona, United States

#### Abstract

The idea that morality depends on God is an intuitive and widely held lay belief. Does this belief affect peoples intuitions about foundational moral claims (e.g., it ismorally wrong to kill someone just for fun)? Here, we discuss data across multiple studies which investigate how considering Gods omnipotence may affect peoplesintuitions about basic moral claims. Our evidence suggests that people think it is impossible to alter foundational moral truthsthat is, it is impossible for moral wrongs to ever be right and for moral rights to be wrong. Yet, people also maintain thatGodcould changethe truth-values of these same propositions, regardless of their own religious views. We discuss the implications of this inconsistency both in peoples moral beliefs and in underlying cognitive mechanisms.