

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

CHEMISTRY AND MORPHOLOGY OF COAL LIQUEFACTION Quarterly Report: 01/1/1986-03/31/1986.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/99v1114g>

Authors

Heinemann, H.
Fish, R.H.

Publication Date

1986-03-01

ca



Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Materials & Molecular Research Division

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
BERKELEY LABORATORY

MAR 19 1986

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

CHEMISTRY AND MORPHOLOGY OF COAL LIQUEFACTION.
Quarterly Report: January 1, 1986-March 31, 1986

H. Heinemann and R.H. Fish

March 1986

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

*This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.*



LBL-21340
ca

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

LBL-21340

QUARTERLY REPORT

January 1, 1986 - March 31, 1986

CHEMISTRY AND MORPHOLOGY OF COAL LIQUEFACTION

Principal Investigators: Heinz Heinemann and Richard H. Fish

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

This work was supported by the Assistant Secretary for Fossil Energy, Office of Technical Coordination, U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098 through the Pittsburgh Energy Technology Center, Pittsburgh, PA.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I	Technical Program for FY 1986	3
II	Highlights.	4
III	Progress of Studies	5
IV	Presented and Submitted Papers.	6

I. TECHNICAL PROGRAM FOR FY 1986

Hydrodenitrogenation - Novel Methods for Nitrogen Removal from Polynuclear, Nitrogen Containing, Heteroaromatic Model Coal Compounds

Having recently discovered that polynuclear heteroaromatic nitrogen compounds can be selectively reduced only at the nitrogen containing ring, we have now turned our attention towards the cleavage of the carbon nitrogen bonds in this reduced ring.

We are currently focusing on the use of highly loaded supported nickel catalysts for the hydrodenitrogenation of the selectively reduced aromatic, 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline, as well as for the HDN of quinoline. The conditions we are investigating are mild, 1 atm hydrogen at 200°C to 300°C.

We need to characterize better all of the products being formed in these reactions, both liquids and gasses. We also need to determine the kinetics of the various reactions involved in HDN. We are currently planning to construct a new reactor system that will allow for on-line product sampling (both gas and liquid) and so facilitate the above studies.

Additionally, more information is needed about the morphology and structure/activity relations for the catalysts we are studying. We plan to obtain XPS, TEM, SEM and BET surface area data for various catalysts in used and unused states. Hopefully this data will allow us to explain more effectively the different HDN activities we have observed in various catalysts, and will enable us to make qualitative predictions about the HDN activity of a yet untried materials.

II. HIGHLIGHTS

1. Studies with the 50% nickel oxide catalyst clearly show that 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline(THQ) provides approximately 20% more liquid product than does quinoline. Thus a first step selective hydrogenation to 1,2,3,4-THQ would enhance the overall HDN reaction to provide a more substantial conversion to substituted benzene and aniline derivatives.
2. The dramatic effect of the nitrogen atom is to inhibit aromatic ring hydrogenation and, to some extent, carbon-carbon bond hydrogenolysis reactivity. This is shown with propylbenzene as the substrate, where only methane gas is produced in the HDN reaction with the 50% nickel oxide catalyst.
3. The HDN reaction of cyclohexylamine only provides benzene and methane, with no apparent formation of cyclohexane. Thus dehydrogenation is an important side reaction.

III. PROGRESS OF STUDIES

1. HDN Chemistry of 1,2,3,4-Tetrahydroquinoline, 2-propylaniline, quinoline, cyclohexylamine and propylbenzene

We have continued our HDN chemistry experiments to verify the amount of methane being formed. We have rerun several of our substrates to substantiate the formation of the products and by using an internal standard (GC) we were able to ascertain, by difference, the amount of methane formed.

Table 1 compares the results of our HDN chemistry experiments with 1,2,3,4-THQ, 2-propylaniline and propylbenzene and shows that 22% of the 1,2,3,4-THQ is converted to methane, while only 8% methane was formed from 2-propylaniline. Dramatically, the non-nitrogen compound, propylbenzene, is entirely converted to methane. Thus, the nitrogen atom has a profound effect on the course of the HDN reaction.

Interestingly, we also ran quinoline (Table 2), the dehydrogenated product of 1,2,3,4-THQ, and found that it produced twice as much methane as 1,2,3,4-THQ. This suggests that prior selective hydrogenation could enhance the overall HDN reaction (with the 50% nickel oxide catalyst). Cyclohexylamine was also run under our HDN reaction condition to evaluate the fate of a possible cyclohexyl product. To our surprise, cyclohexylamine gives mostly benzene and methane, but no cyclohexane was detected by GC-EIMS analysis. Thus hydrogenolysis and dehydrogenation are important reactions for the substrates studied.

2. Surface Morphology Studies of the 50% Nickel Oxide Catalyst

We were able to obtain transmission electron micrographs, electron diffraction and x-ray diffraction patterns of the 50% nickel oxide catalyst and they showed three phases of nickel, with the surface a nickel oxide and possibly a nickel aluminate surrounding a Ni(0) inner phase.

From these preliminary surface analysis results, we can say definitively that nickel (2+) or higher valent nickel oxide species are responsible for the observed HDN chemistry, but that nickel (0) is not.

IV. PRESENTED AND SUBMITTED PAPERS

We recently (March 20, 1986) presented this study at the Spring California Catalysis Society meeting that was held at Chevron Research Corporation, Richmond, CA.

In addition, a paper of the same content was also submitted to the Journal of Catalysis: "Hydrodenitrogenation Chemistry, 1: Cleavage of Alkylcarbon-Nitrogen Bonds, Methane and Ammonia Formation in the HDN Reaction of 1,2,3,4-Tetrahydroquinoline with a Nickel Oxide Catalyst Supported on Silica", R.H. Fish, A.D. Thormodsen, R.S. Moore, D.L. Petty and H. Heinemann, J. Catal. 1986 (submitted).

TABLE 1

**HDN chemistry of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline,
2-propylaniline and propylbenzene with the
50% nickel oxide catalyst^{a,b}**

Products (mmol%)	1,2,3,4-Tetrahydroquinoline	2-propylaniline	propylbenzene
benzene	4.9	15.9	trace
toluene	0.9	2.1	-
ethylbenzene	0.4	0.4	-
propylbenzene	trace	14.4	-
aniline	4.6	2.6	-
2-methylaniline	8.5	5.3	-
2-ethylaniline	5.8	1.8	-
2-propylaniline	1.5	30.6	-
quinoline	21	-	-
5,6,7,8-tetrahydroquinoline	11	-	-
1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline	7.3	0.4	-
indole	1.2	-	-
2-methylindole	3.6	0.4	-
unidentified products ^c	7.6	18.0	-
methane ^d	21.7	8.1	100

- a) Quantitation and identification of the products was accomplished by capillary column gas chromatography with an internal standard and by GC-EIMS. These numbers are the average of three runs and are based on the mmoles of THQ reacted.
- b) Reaction conditions are 250 °C, 30 ml/min hydrogen gas flow at 1 atm. The 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline used was 3.3 mmol, 2-propylaniline 2.84 mmol and propylbenzene 2.84 mmol. The reaction time was 3hr.
- c) While total identification of these products was not established (GC-EIMS), we did identify several substituted pyridine derivatives such as 2,3-dimethylpyridine.

TABLE 2

HDN Chemistry of Quinoline and Cyclohexyl Amine^a

Products (mmol%)	Quinoline	Cyclohexylamine
benzene	6.1	36
toluene	1.3	0.2
ethylbenzene	0.4	0.8
propylbenzene	0.1	-
		0.1 (cyclohexylamine)
aniline	4.5	3.0
2-methylaniline	6.1	-
2-ethylaniline	2.2	-
2-propylaniline	0.4	-
quinoline	24	-
5,6,7,8-tetrahydroquinoline	3.1	-
1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline	2.7	-
indole	1.5	-
methylindole	1.5	-
unidentified	6.70	2.9
methane	39	57

a) 250 °C, 30 ml/min H₂

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

*LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720*