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Abstract
Background: Residual kidney function (RKF) has consistently been a predictor of greater survival in maintenance hemodialysis (MHD) patients. The relationship between hemodialysis (HD) treatment frequency and RKF preservation has not been well examined. We hypothesized that initial twice-weekly HD helps in maintaining a longer RKF. Methods: In a dialysis center in Shanghai, 168 ESRD patients were screened and finally 85 patients were identified for this main cohort study. We first examined these 85 MHD patients; 30 of them were initiated with twice-weekly HD for 6 months or longer and 55 patients were started and maintained on thrice-weekly HD treatment. Then a subcohort study in 48 incident MHD patients was implemented to assess the independent risk factors responsible for RKF decline during the first year of HD therapy. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was then employed to examine the odds ratio of RKF loss. Results: The main cohort study showed that the clinical outcomes were almost the same between the two groups in 85 patients, but the percent of patients with RKF loss was significantly lower in the twice-weekly group compared with the thrice-weekly group, especially during the first year of HD initiation. In the 48 incident MHD patients, we found no significant differences between the two groups except for variations in the HD frequency, weekly Kt/V. The multivariate analysis showed that factors such as the male gender, HD frequency, URR and intradialytic hypotension episode were associated with RKF loss, and the odds ratio of RKF loss for each additional HD treatment per week was 7.2. Conclusion: Twice-weekly HD during the first year of dialysis therapy appears to be associated with better RKF preservation.

Introduction

The importance of residual kidney function (RKF) has been increasingly recognized as a significant determinant that influences the adequacy and frequency of dialysis, quality of life and mortality in end-stage renal disease (ESRD) patients [1–3]. In addition to providing small solute clearance, RKF continues to maintain important metabolic functions. In this study, we aimed to examine the association between HD treatment frequency and RKF preservation in a cohort of ESRD patients. The results suggest that initial twice-weekly HD may help in maintaining a longer RKF.
abolish, hemodynamic and endocrine functions, and plays
a crucial role in maintaining the overall cardiovascular
health, nutritional status, mineral metabolism balance
and well-being of patients undergoing dialysis [4–6].
Many clinical guidelines have clearly recommended that
striving to slow down the RKF loss should be the primary
objective of dialysis treatment [7, 8].

Even though the importance of the preservation of
RKF has been emphasized in the peritoneal dialysis (PD)
therapy, less attention has been given to RKF in patients
who undergo hemodialysis (HD). Indeed the importance
of RKF is often ignored in HD treatment strategies, even
though several studies have demonstrated a similar asso-
ciation between RKF loss and mortality or other impor-
tant clinical outcomes in both PD and HD patients [9–
12]. A more rapid decline in RKF has been noted among
patients on HD compared to those on PD, and most pa-
tients nearly completely lose the RKF usually within the
first year of dialysis therapy in incident HD patients [13–
15]. Potential predictors of decline in RKF in HD pa-
tients, as mentioned in the literature, are ESRD etiology,
level of blood pressure (BP), intradialysis hypotension,
extracellular fluid volume depletion, congestive heart
failure, use of ultrapure dialysis fluid and biocompatible
dialysis membranes; predictors of decline are also men-
tioned in the literature of various medications [6–18].

A recent study has showed that frequent nocturnal (six-
times-per-week) HD was associated with faster RKF de-
cline compared to conventional (three-times-per-week)
HD [19]. The role of these predictors of RKF loss in HD
patients remains to be elucidated in clinical practice. The
K-DOQI guidelines state that the required frequency of
HD can be achieved by following a three-times-per-week
HD regimen in patients with residual native kidney urea
clearance of less than 2 ml/min/1.73 m², but these guide-
lines do not specify any appropriate recommendations
for patients with residual native kidney urea clearance of
more than 2 ml/min/1.73 m² [7].

Low-frequency HD therapy (less than thrice-weekly)
is not only widely used in many developing countries and
emerging economies including India and China [20–22],
but is also used sporadically in Europe and in the United
States [23, 24]. Some studies suggest that compared to the
conventional thrice-weekly treatment pattern, no worse
and possibly even better survival has been demonstrated
in some patients treated with twice-weekly HD including
in studies from both the United States Renal Data System
(USRDS) and Shanghai Dialysis Registration Database
[20, 24]. Some authors report that patients who had suf-
ficient urine output and who underwent twice-weekly
HD exhibited better preservation of RKF due to fewer
intradialytic hypotensive episodes [25]. Incremental di-
alysis based on the clinical conditions and the rate of
RKF decline has been advocated in PD patients for more
than a decade [26–28] but very few opinion leaders have
paid attention to this concept of HD treatment [29, 30].

In the dialysis center of Huashan hospital affiliated to
the Fudan University in Shanghai, either thrice-weekly
HD or twice-weekly HD is selected for the initial treat-
ment of incident ESRD patients. This decision is made by
the attending nephrologist based on the physician’s clin-
cal judgment and patient preference, among others, in-
cluding but not limited to their baseline RKF, comorbid
conditions, economic conditions and family situation.
Although this kind of decision making is not randomized,
there is no uniform guideline for deciding on the treat-
ment regimen and hence the variability is rather large.
The current study was designed to compare the rate of
RKF decline and other clinical outcomes in incident
ESRD patients treated with twice-weekly HD with that of
the patients on thrice-weekly HD during the first several
months of dialysis initiation, and to confirm whether the
initial one-year HD frequency would have any bearing on
RKF loss in incident HD patients.

**Subjects and Methods**

**Participants**

In the first part of the study, we examined a historical cohort
by comparing the RKF loss and clinical outcomes in 85 MHD pa-
tients with different initial HD frequency in the dialysis center of
Huashan hospital (Fudan University, Shanghai, P.R. China). A to-
tal of 168 ESRD patients who started MHD therapy after August
2002 and continued the treatment for more than 6 months until
the end of September 2012 were screened. They were divided into
two groups based on the initial six-month HD frequency: Group
A included 58 patients who received twice-weekly HD for at least
6 months and did not switch to the thrice-weekly HD pattern dur-
ing this period. Group B included 110 MHD patients who started
HD treatment thrice-weekly and maintained this regimen so con-
sistently until the end of the cohort. Subsequently, 83 patients were
excluded for the following reasons: death (n = 34), having severe
complications (n = 7), being bed-ridden (n = 3), experiencing ma-
lignancy (n = 3), baseline urine output <500 ml/day on starting HD
treatment (n = 13), using non-AVF vascular accesses (n = 6), or
stopped being part of the study (n = 17) because patients either
switched over to peritoneal dialysis or got the transplant done, or
moved out to another dialysis center. Finally, 85 patients remained
in this main cohort study and there was no major bias exhibited
during patient selection given the similar demographic and clinical
characteristics (table 1). All selected patients were treated with bio-
compatible membranes (polysulfone), ultrapure water and bicar-
bonate buffered dialysis fluid throughout the cohort. All the data
were collected at the time of enrollment in September 2012.
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Table 1. Details of patient selection in the main cohort study at the time of enrollment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A 2/week HD</th>
<th>Group B 3/week HD</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Screen, n</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death, % (n)</td>
<td>15.52 (9)</td>
<td>2.73 (25)</td>
<td>0.269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survival time, year</td>
<td>10.1±5.8</td>
<td>6.6±5.3</td>
<td>0.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD1</td>
<td>8.62 (5)</td>
<td>13.64 (15)</td>
<td>0.340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor</td>
<td>1.72 (1)</td>
<td>2.73 (3)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>5.17 (3)</td>
<td>6.36 (7)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferring, % (n)</td>
<td>13.79 (8)</td>
<td>8.18 (9)</td>
<td>0.252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>1.72 (1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal transplantation</td>
<td>1.72 (1)</td>
<td>4.55 (5)</td>
<td>0.617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other HD units</td>
<td>10.34 (6)</td>
<td>3.64 (4)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusion, % (n)</td>
<td>18.97 (11)</td>
<td>19.09 (21)</td>
<td>0.984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having severe complications2</td>
<td>3.45 (2)</td>
<td>4.55 (5)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Being bed-ridden status</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>22.73 (3)</td>
<td>0.512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiencing malignancy</td>
<td>1.72 (1)</td>
<td>1.82 (2)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline urine output</td>
<td>&lt;500 ml/day</td>
<td>10.34 (6)</td>
<td>0.539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-AVF vascular accesses</td>
<td>3.45 (2)</td>
<td>3.64 (4)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final enrolled, % (n)</td>
<td>51.72 (30)</td>
<td>50 (35)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Including coronary heart disease or coronary artery disease, cardiac arrhythmia, ischemic cardiomyopathy, heart failure, cardiac sudden death, abnormal angiogram or peripheral vascular disease; 2 Including advanced liver cirrhosis, active infection, acute myocardial infarction, or other severe diseases. PD = Peritoneal dialysis; HD = hemodialysis; AVF = arteriovenous fistula.

In the second part of the study, we examined a subcohort of 48 incident MHD patients with baseline urine output >500 ml/day and HD vintage less than 12 months to find out the independent risk factors associated with the decline of RKF during the first year of HD therapy in the same dialysis center during the same cohort period. This subcohort comprised 32 patients from the main cohort and 16 new patients. The patients were prescribed with twice- or thrice-weekly HD treatment at the start of the study. The exclusion criteria applied were the same as those applied to the main cohort. Demographic and clinical data were obtained 0 to 4 weeks before the start of MHD treatment and at the time of enrollment. RKF loss was defined as a condition when the daily urine output <200 ml.

The Ethics Committee on Human Research at Huashan Hospital examined and approved these studies and all patients provided written informed consent.

Statistics Analysis
The mean ± SD or number (percentage) was used to summarize the basic characteristics. The differences between the two groups were determined by tests such as Student’s t test, chi-square test, and nonparametric statistical test wherever appropriate. Univariate logistic regression was firstly used to analyze the predictors of loss of RKF. Exploratory variables included age, sex, SBP, DBP, HD frequency, UF rate, weekly Kt/V, URR, intradialytic hypotension episode, BMI, nPNA, usage of ACEI/ARB, CCB, NSAIDs, and phosphate binders. Predictors with p < 0.20 were included in the multivariate logistic regression analysis and the algorithm of backward selection was used to determine the significant variables in the best fitted model. Logistic regression analyses were employed to examine the odds ratio (OR) of RKF loss adjusting for confounders. For all comparisons, a p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed with SAS 9.23 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, Ill., USA).

Results
Main Cohort: Less HD Frequency Is Associated with RKF Preservation in MHD Patients
Thirty patients of Group A started with twice-weekly HD; out of the 30 patients, 6 maintained this treatment...
dose until the end of the cohort and 24 (80%) patients switched to thrice-weekly HD after HD treatment for average 1.5 years. They switched over because of reduced urine output, trends of fluid retention, uncontrolled electrolytes imbalance, or patients’ preference. Table 2 shows the current data at the time of enrollment in September 2012. The current frequency of HD treatment in Group A (twice-weekly) patients was still lower than that of Group B (thrice-weekly) patients, but weekly Kt/V as well as URR were not significantly different between the two groups, suggesting that twice-weekly treatment can achieve the comparable HD adequacy. The average ultrafiltration rate per HD session was similar between the two groups. There were no significant differences between the two groups in gender, age, HD vintage, blood pressure and primary cause of ESRD. The prevalence of CVD and hospitalization rate in the previous year was also similar in each group of patients. The main biochemical and clin-
ical measures such as hemoglobin, albumin, total cholesterol, BMI, nPNA, hsCRP, and iPTH showed no difference between the two groups. A slightly lower serum phosphorus was observed in patients of Group A, perhaps due to the higher proportion of patients with adequate RKF in this group (table 3), which might lead to the excretion of phosphorous in the urine.

Table 3 shows that the percentage of patients with RKF (urine volume ≥200 ml/day) at the time of enrollment in September 2012 [34] was significantly higher in Group A treated with fewer initial HD frequency compared to Group B treated with regular thrice-weekly HD. The estimated residual GFR in patients with RKF was also greater in Group A than that of Group B, even though the daily urine output did not show any significant changes between the two groups. Accordingly, the percentage of patients with RKF loss (urine volume <200 ml/day) was significantly lower in Group A compared with Group B. The average time for RKF loss after the start of HD was obviously longer in Group A than in Group B. If subdivided the patients into three HD vintage, the significant difference of RKF loss between Group A and Group B patients was detected only during the first year of HD treatment. The loss of RKF was more likely to occur within the first year of HD treatment in all patients as shown in figure 1.

Subcohort: HD Frequency Is a Predictor of RKF Decline in MHD Patients

The main cohort study showed that the percent of patients with RKF loss was significantly lower in the twice-weekly group compared with the thrice-weekly group, especially during the first year; so we further examined 48 patients with MHD vintage less than one year to assess the potential predictors of the decline of RKF. The HD frequency prescribed to these patients was 2.69 ± 0.43 times per week. Thirteen patients started and were kept on twice-weekly HD, 10 patients switched to thrice-weekly HD after initiation of twice-weekly HD for an average 3.2 months and the other 25 patients maintained thrice-weekly HD. The percent of the thrice-weekly HD patients in the two groups of the subcohort during the period of the subcohort study is shown in figure 2. Compared to the 85 patients of the main cohort, the 48 incident patients of the subcohort had better-controlled blood pressure, anemia, mineral metabolism, and nutritional status and less comorbid states (table 4). In the subcohort study, we found no significant differences between the two groups except for the HD frequency, weekly Kt/V as shown in table 4. The declining percent of the daily urine output was significantly less in the twice-weekly group (46 ± 39%) compared to the thrice-weekly group (72 ± 32%) (p = 0.02). Three patients lost their RKF almost immediately after suffering from acute decompensated heart failure, severe pneumonia with sepsis, and upper gastrointestinal bleeding with substantial blood loss, while the other 45

Table 3. RKF-related factors of 85 MHD patients currently in the main cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A 2/week HD (n = 30)</th>
<th>Group B 3/week HD (n = 55)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients with RKF, % (n)</td>
<td>40 (12)</td>
<td>18 (10)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual GFRa, ml/min/1.73 m²</td>
<td>1.82±1.97</td>
<td>0.35±0.29</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine output, ml/day</td>
<td>579.17±602.06</td>
<td>390.00±284.6</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with RKF lossb, % (n)</td>
<td>60 (18)</td>
<td>82 (45)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤1 years on HD</td>
<td>10 (3)</td>
<td>40 (22)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–3 years on HD</td>
<td>37 (11)</td>
<td>25 (14)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3 years on HD</td>
<td>13 (4)</td>
<td>16 (9)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average time for RKF loss, month</td>
<td>33.8±32.3</td>
<td>20.7±18.5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values indicate means ± SDs or percentage (number). RKF = Residual kidney function; URR = urea reduction ratio.

* Estimated as the arithmetic mean of creatinine and urea clearance in MHD patients with urine.

** Defined as urine volume <200 ml/day.
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Patients exhibited slower decline in their RKF. The previously reported risk factors associated with RKF decline, including intradialytic hypotensive episode, congestive heart failure and other severe conditions leading to dehydration or the decrease of the body volume, are also described in table 4.

The logistic regression analysis of factors associated with RKF loss is reported in table 5. A new variable expressed as the decline rate of GFR, which was adjusted for baseline GFR and dialysis duration, was introduced to further analyze RKF loss in patients. The decline rate of GFR was calculated as: \((\text{current GFR} - \text{baseline GFR}) / \text{dialysis duration} \times 1.73 \text{ m}^2 / \text{month}\).

Since data in table 3 suggested that the patients treated with fewer initial HD frequency had less incidence of RKF loss than patients treated with regular thrice-weekly HD, a Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) analysis was performed to decide the cut-off value of a 50% decline rate of GFR in a year for predicting whether the patient has lost RKF or not, as previously reported [35, 36]. We found the best cut-off value was 0.044 (4.4%) with a specificity of 75% and a sensitivity of 64% (AUC = 0.65, p < 0.05) (see online suppl. Appendix; for all online suppl. material, see www.karger.com/doi/10.1159/000365819). Therefore, in following logistic regression analyses, patients with a decline rate of GFR greater than 0.044 ml/min per 1.73 m²/month were considered having lost the RKF, or else, maintaining the RKF. The univariate variable screening showed that the variables sex, HD frequency, UF rate, URR, and intradialytic hypotension episode were significant at \(p < 0.20\) and were considered potential

---

**Table 4. Characteristics of 48 incident MHD patients of the subcohort (dialysis vintage ≤ 12 months)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>3/week HD (n = 32)</th>
<th>2/week HD (n = 16)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demographics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>58.1±11.7</td>
<td>59.5±10.6</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, % male</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP, mm Hg</td>
<td>127.9±27.5</td>
<td>139.5±20.8</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP, mm Hg</td>
<td>78.8±14.2</td>
<td>81.6±12.5</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary cause of ESRD, % (n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic glomerulonephritis</td>
<td>19 (6)</td>
<td>25 (4)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic nephropathy</td>
<td>22 (7)</td>
<td>31 (5)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertensive nephrosclerosis</td>
<td>13 (4)</td>
<td>19 (3)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polycystic kidney disease</td>
<td>19 (6)</td>
<td>13 (2)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/unknown</td>
<td>28 (9)</td>
<td>13 (2)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD and RKF related</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD vintage, months</td>
<td>10.8±2.9</td>
<td>9.8±4.1</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD frequency, times/week</td>
<td>2.97±0.07</td>
<td>2.09±0.21</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultratilration rate, ml/session</td>
<td>2,186±869</td>
<td>2,378±1,037</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly Kt/V</td>
<td>3.4±0.6</td>
<td>2.6±0.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URR, %</td>
<td>64.7±10.1</td>
<td>69.4±6.6</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial GFR, ml/min/1.73 m²</td>
<td>6.4±2.4</td>
<td>7.2±2.9</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current GFR, ml/min/1.73 m²</td>
<td>0.9±1.0</td>
<td>2.4±2.6</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial urine output, ml/day</td>
<td>860±495</td>
<td>1,067±643</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current urine output, ml/day</td>
<td>241±351</td>
<td>558±559</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with RKF loss, % (n)</td>
<td>47 (15)</td>
<td>25 (4)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbid factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intradialytic hypotension episodeb</td>
<td>0.72±1.01</td>
<td>0.68±1.19</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive heart failure</td>
<td>0.01±0.02</td>
<td>0.02±0.03</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Othersc</td>
<td>0.03±0.04</td>
<td>0.02±0.03</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory values</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin, g/dl</td>
<td>11.4±1.5</td>
<td>11.3±1.3</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin, g/dl</td>
<td>4.0±0.3</td>
<td>4.0±0.2</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol, mg/dl</td>
<td>169.9±41.7</td>
<td>169.9±50.3</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>22.0±4.0</td>
<td>22.7±3.2</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nPNA, g/kg/day</td>
<td>1.1±0.3</td>
<td>1.2±0.4</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsCRP, mg/l</td>
<td>6.7±9.6</td>
<td>1.4±5.4</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum phosphorus, mg/dl</td>
<td>5.8±1.3</td>
<td>6.1±1.2</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum Calcium, mg/dl</td>
<td>9.36±0.76</td>
<td>9.05±1.85</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iPTH, pg/ml</td>
<td>181.8±97.5</td>
<td>174.3±113.6</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values indicate mean ± SDs or percentage (number). MHD = Maintenance hemodialysis; ESRD = end stage renal disease; RKF = residual kidney function; URR = urea reduction ratio; nPNA = normalized protein nitrogen appearance; hsCRP = high sensitive C reactive protein; iPTH = intact parathyroid hormone.

a These data indicate the total number of episodes that happened per month in each patient.

b Defined as a drop of systolic blood pressure greater than 25% of basal, or systolic blood pressure lower than 90 mm Hg that required rescue fluid supplementation.

c Other events leading to dehydration or the decrease of the body volume, such as the shock for any reason, severe infection, gastrointestinal bleeding, etc. These data indicate the total number of episodes that happened per month in each patient.

---

Fig. 2. The percent of the 3/week HD patients in the 2 groups of the subcocort study over the time.
predictors of RKF loss in the multivariate analysis. The multivariate analysis found that the male gender (OR, 0.08; 95% CI, 0.01–0.64; p = 0.018), HD frequency (OR, 7.2; 95% CI, 1.10–47.09; p = 0.039), URR (OR, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.72–0.998; p = 0.047), and intradialytic hypotension episode (OR, 2.51; 95% CI, 1.06–5.95; p = 0.036) were statistically significant with RKF loss. An increase of one HD per week or 1 episode of intradialytic hypotension during the initial first year treatment increased the risk of RKF loss about 7.20- and 2.51-fold in MHD patients and incident MHD patients respectively. An increase of 1% in URR was associated with a relatively 15% risk reduction of RKF loss.

**Discussion**

In this historical cohort study of 85 MHD patients in Shanghai, we found that patients who underwent twice-weekly HD for 6 months or longer had better RKF than patients who started and maintained thrice-weekly HD treatment; there was no significant difference between the two groups in terms of HD adequacy, biochemical measures, cardiovascular comorbidity or hospitalization rates. The rate of RKF loss (defined as urine volume <200 ml/day) was significantly lower in the twice-weekly group compared with the thrice-weekly group, especially during the first year of HD initiation (10 vs. 40%, p = 0.03). In a subcohort of 48 incident MHD patients who received on average 2.69 ± 0.43 HD treatments per week, the odds ratio of RKF loss for each additional HD treatment per week was 7.2 (95% confidence interval: 1.1–47.1, p = 0.04). These unprecedented data have major clinical implications as they suggest that twice-weekly HD during the first year of dialysis therapy is associated with substantially better RKF preservation.

Preservation of RKF is considered an important goal in the management of patients with ESRD, irrespective of the choice of dialysis modality, be it HD or PD therapy [1, 2, 37]. However, evidence regarding the factors influencing the decline rate of RKF in HD patients is not clear. The present data suggest that the HD frequency (twice vs. thrice weekly) during the first 6 months of dialysis initiation was associated with the decline of RKF in MHD patients, which we believe has led to a clinically relevant discovery in that RKF could be preserved by modulating the HD frequency during the first year of dialysis initiation.

Even though thrice-per-week HD is recommended as the standard of care to achieve adequate HD dose to...
ESRD patients by K-DOQI guidelines, twice-weekly HD is widely used as the initial treatment in China, India and many other developing countries for a variety of clinical and economic reasons [20, 21]. Lin et al. demonstrated that ESRD patients with sufficient RKF may safely undergo twice-weekly HD without suffering from inadequate dialysis dose and may maintain better RKF than patients on thrice-weekly HD, although this difference was not systematically analyzed as we did here [25]. Data from Shanghai Dialysis Registry showed that patients with twice-weekly HD had similar or even higher survival rates compared to the thrice-weekly HD patients [20]. A large study by Hanson et al. indicated that the overall mortality risk for patients treated with twice-weekly HD was 24% lower than those on thrice-weekly HD, which might be due to the higher pre-existing RKF [24]. Fernandez-Lucas et al. also suggested that initially, HD can be administered on a progressively increasing dosage, and to begin with two sessions per week was a safe prescription to preserve RKF [29]. However, this is still not the final conclusion. A recent study indicated a different result that patients dialyzed less than three times per week survived shorter than patients receiving a higher dialysis dose and frequency [38]. Therefore, the exact effects of dialysis frequency on survival outcomes of MHD patients should be further explored through prospective clinical trials. Although the present study was performed in the surviving MHD patients, the data clearly revealed that twice-weekly HD during the first several months after dialysis initiation did not produce any adverse effects; it also did not compromise the dialysis adequacy or long-term clinical outcomes of MHD patients but resulted in beneficial effects on slowing the loss of RKF compared to patients who followed the thrice-weekly HD pattern. In our study, all patients had sufficient RKF before the start of HD but the outcome was significantly different. Even though it may seem that patients in better clinical conditions, such as with more residual GFR, less fluid retention and manageable comorbid conditions, are assigned to twice-weekly HD as the initial treatment, in many cases, the selection of HD frequency also relates to patient choice, the level of compliance and the economic conditions. In our dialysis center, if the patient’s general health shows no deteriorating trends during the first several months of twice-weekly, the patient maintains twice-weekly HD treatment; however, if there are concerns or deteriorating trends, then the twice-weekly patient is convinced to switch over to the thrice-weekly treatment, and this usually occurs in the first year of MHD initiation. This practice can partly explain as to why the two groups of patients in our study, despite different initial HD frequency, showed no significant difference in their blood pressure, cardiovascular complications, hospitalization rates, nutritional status and comorbid conditions after five years of MHD treatment, even though the thrice-weekly HD group received 50% more HD treatment during the initial several months than the twice-weekly counterpart. A biologically plausible reason for our observed favorable outcomes in the twice-weekly group is the better preservation of RKF in these patients, which may offset the potential disadvantage of low frequent HD such as treatment inadequacy and guarantee survival and the clinical outcome [20, 23, 24, 39].

The relationship between the frequency of HD treatment and RKF preservation has aroused many interests recently. In Frequent Hemodialysis Network Daily and Nocturnal Trials, Daugirdas et al. reported that participants randomized to six-times-per-week nocturnal HD showed a more rapid decline in RKF compared with participants on conventional thrice-weekly HD. However, whether RKF also declined with frequent daily treatment was not determined [19]. Another study from Taiwan indicated that patients dialyzed twice-weekly had a slower decline of RKF, fewer intra-dialytic hypotensive episodes, and fewer hospitalizations versus those on thrice-weekly HD [25]. The present study further indicated that HD frequency during the initial several months is an independent predictor of RKF loss in MHD patients and an increase of one HD per week during the first one year might increase the risk of RKF loss 7.20-fold in incident patients. One plausible reason for this may be related to the lower incidence of ischaemia and the lower intradialytic hypotensive episodes, leading to less frequent and milder ischemic damage to the remnant kidneys and, hence, leading to less frequent both of acute kidney injury, which per se is a cause of worsening CKD [40]. Since in our study both HD frequency and intradialytic hypotension were independent predictors of RKF loss in the multivariate analyses, it is reasonable to speculate that the lower HD frequency may play a role using additional mechanisms, such as 30% less exposure to HD therapy and its inherent complications and less exposure to nephrotoxic drugs or agents that are given with each HD treatment [41]. It is well known that the HD process may induce chronic inflammatory response and oxidative damage in MHD patients because of biocompatibility, no matter how biocompatible the dialysis membranes are declared to be [42–44]. A higher HD frequency will cause more...
exposure of this blood-to-circuit contact activation process, leading to persistent damage in the remnant kidneys and accelerate the loss of RKF [19, 40, 42]. Furthermore, Bricker’s ‘intact nephron hypothesis’ [45] provides an additional assumption that the remaining nephrons could be preserved better when there is more accumulated uremic substances, which might be the stimulus for the remaining (intact) nephrons to function as super nephrons. Therefore, selecting less frequent, such as twice-weekly HD treatment as an initial treatment to ESRD patients with sufficient RKF may contribute to better RKF preservation and long-term clinical outcomes.

In accordance with the previous studies [16, 17, 46], the present data confirmed that the total number of intradialytic hypotension episodes is an independent risk factor for the rapid decline of RKF in MHD patients. Overzealous ECF volume depletion resulting in subclinical hypovolemia and reduced blood perfusion in remnant nephrons may aggravate the damage and promote the loss of RKF in ESRD patients [47, 48]. Both intradialytic hypotension in HD patients and clinically evident dehydration in PD patients are considered to be among the most important determinants for RKF loss [17, 49]. Although the patients on twice-weekly HD might require a greater UF per HD session due to longer interdialytic intervals than thrice-weekly HD patients, no difference in UF rate was observed between the two groups of patients in either the main cohort study or subcohort study. Moreover, we did not find any significant correlation between the UF rate and intradialytic hypotension or RKF loss in the incident MHD patients. Similar results were also reported in other studies [20].

The association between dialysis adequacy and RKF preservation is not quite clear. Theoretically, adequate dialysis can effectively remove uremic toxins, improve fluid balance and homeostasis, which may contribute to the better preservation of RKF [9, 26]. In our study, the higher URR has been found to reduce the risk of RKF decline, although the weekly Kt/V did not show significant correlation with RKF loss. There seems to be some possibility of bias in the assessment of weekly Kt/V in this study. Since the formula of spKt/V is derived from patients treated with thrice-weekly HD [32], this metrics may have inherent limitation for application on twice-weekly HD patients. Some literature has showed that URR is closely associated with the mortality of HD patients and the patients’ survival rate could improve with the improvement of URR [50]. It can be speculated that the clearance of urea during HD treatment may be more sensitive to predict the decline of RKF in patients treated with different frequency of HD. Another interesting finding in the current study is that the female gender was a predictor of increased risk of RKF loss in MHD patients, which is in contrast to the previous report [16]. However, the reason for this finding is not clear.

Considerable evidence suggests that certain medications, such as ACE inhibitors [51–53], calcium channel blockers (CCB) [54, 55] and NSAIDs [4, 56] may affect RKF loss among maintenance patients undergoing dialysis. In a prospective study by Li et al., 46 PD patients treated with ramipril showed a slower rate of RKF loss compared with the control group [51]. Moist et al. also demonstrated that the effect of ACEI and CCB, which was adjusted for mean arterial pressure (MAP), was significant in the total and PD-only analyses but was not significant in the HD population [16]. Thus, blockade of the renin-angiotensin system is considered to be a standard therapy to preserve RKF in PD patients currently [5, 51], although much less is known about the protective effects of ACEI/ARB or other medications in HD patients. The findings from our study suggest that none of the medications such as ACEI/ARB, CCB, NSAIDs or phosphorus binders would predict the progression of RKF loss in incident HD patients. With the emerging importance of RKF in HD patients, the pending question of whether these have a true effect on RKF loss remains relevant and deserves further research.

Our study does have several limitations. First, we enrolled only a small number of participants and followed a limited time course, in particular, because we had decided to study only those patients undergoing dialysis who had significant residual kidney function (≥500 ml/day) at baseline and who had an AV fistula and who survived the first 6 months of the dialysis therapy. The latter selection criteria were implemented since dialysis mortality is extremely high during the first 6 months of dialysis therapy [57]. Second, this was a retrospective cohort study, in which HD frequency was not assigned randomly and the results may be biased, although our inclusion and exclusion criteria led to 2 more commensurate groups at baseline. Third, the impact on mortality was not monitored in this study since only surviving patients were enrolled. Multicenter prospective randomized control trials are needed to circumvent these limitations.

In conclusion, present data demonstrated that twice-weekly HD for the first 6 months or longer upon dialysis initiation helped protect RKF and did not bring about worse clinical outcomes or HD inadequacy in ESRD patients with sufficient RKF. The higher (thrice-weekly) ini-
tial HD frequency during the first year treatment may indeed be an independent risk factor to promote faster decline in residual GFR in incident ESRD patients. In clinical practice, it is worth exploring the optimal initial HD frequency in patients with RKF. Initiation of HD with twice-weekly schedules and gradual transition to thrice-weekly therapy as RKF declines leading to the more convenient and likely better lifestyle status in ESRD patients may save lives and can improve outcomes. Conducting additional studies on this subject is an urgent need of the hour.
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