# **UC Berkeley UC Berkeley Previously Published Works**

# **Title**

Electrocoagulation of high-salinity produced water: lessons learned from its early applications in unconventional reservoir plays

## **Permalink**

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9bp0x38x>

### **Authors**

Seth, Kushal Busse, Margaret Jang, Gyoung [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9bp0x38x#author)

### **Publication Date**

2023-12-01

### **DOI**

10.1016/j.coche.2023.100952

## **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

#### **Electrocoagulation of high salinity produced water: Lessons learned from its**

#### **early applications in unconventional reservoir plays**

Kushal Seth,<sup>a</sup> Margaret Busse,<sup>b</sup> Gyoung Jang,<sup>c</sup> Sanket Joag,<sup>d</sup> Kyungho Kim,<sup>d</sup> Thomas Pankratz,<sup>e</sup> Divyansh Sahu,<sup>a</sup> Ramesh Sharma,<sup>f</sup> Jennifer Stokes-Draut,<sup>b</sup> Costas Tsouris,<sup>c</sup> and Shankararaman Chellam,<sup>d. g.\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> CrudeChem Technology, Pattison, TX 77423

<sup>b</sup> Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720

<sup>c</sup> Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, 37831

<sup>d</sup> Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843

<sup>e</sup> Global Water Intelligence, Houston, Texas 77234

<sup>f</sup> Low Carbon Team, ConocoPhillips, Houston, TX 77079

<sup>g</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843

\* Corresponding author. chellam@tamu.edu

#### **Abstract**

 Ample research has demonstrated that electrocoagulation is a versatile technology capable of facilitating the removal of a wide range of physical, biological, organic, and inorganic constituents such as suspended solids, turbidity, bacteria, viruses, oil and grease, organic carbon (including chemical and biological oxygen demand), silica, fluoride, etc. Despite its purported advantages and extensive lab-scale evaluations, it has not yet been widely adopted for water and wastewater treatment and reuse at commercial scale. This is symptomatic of a chasm between its technological capabilities on one hand and field performance and reputation on the other. Herein, we opine as to why this might be the case using our collective experiences with the oil and gas industry as an exemplar. We highlight scientific, technological, engineering, and business issues that need to be addressed to realize the full potential of this promising technology.

 **1. Background and motivation.** Conventional coagulation using salts such as alum and ferric sulfate is chemically intensive [1]. Manufacturing necessary chemicals, transporting them to (waste)water treatment and reuse facilities, storing them on site prior to dosing, and optimizing coagulation chemistry generates substantial greenhouse gas emissions, is fraught with safety risks, requires a skilled workforce for monitoring and maintenance, and increases costs especially when implemented at remote locations associated with oil exploration and production.

19 A potential alternative is electrocoagulation wherein sacrificial aluminum or iron electrodes are intentionally corroded to release precursorsthat hydrolyze and precipitate *in situ* to coagulate suspended solids [2]. This technology shows promise for distributed (waste)water treatment by alleviating many of the issues related to conventional coagulation because it (i) replaces hazardous chemicals with non-toxic solid electrodes, (ii) inherently neutralizes acidic hydrolysis products of conventional coagulants by cathodic production of hydroxide ions reducing the need to externally add strong base, (iii) can be automated and responds quickly to changing feedwater chemistry, and (iv) may decrease sludge production [3-5]. Additionally, electrifying a chemically intensive process enables decarbonization as more renewable energy is adopted, enabling stakeholders to achieve their environmental, social and 28 governance (ESG) goals while avoiding high costs of managing hazardous chemicals onsite [6]. Consequently, electrochemical technologies are well-suited for small-scale installations to treat municipal and industrial (waste)waters of widely varying composition even at remote locations [4, 7, 8].

 Although electrolytically adding coagulants *in situ* by oxidizing sacrificial Fe(0) and Al(0) anodes provides numerous advantages, electrocoagulation has not lived up to its promise due to a variety of scientific, technological, and business reasons which we opine on herein. We focus our attention on saline feedwaters typical of (waste)water streams associated with unconventional oil and gas production and exploration primarily because (i) our team has extensive experiences in this arena from some of its early suboptimal applications to generate "clean brine" for reuse in hydraulic fracturing, (ii) the low internal electrical resistance reduces energy consumption making it very attractive, and (iii) there are significant research and application opportunities in this realm. We categorize "high salinity" as waters with total 39 dissolved solids (TDS) concentrations > 70 g/L, typical of the Permian Basin [9].

Another consideration is that unconventional oil and gas extraction is highly water intensive [10,

41 11], which only increases further when coupled to drilling innovations such as using multiple well pads

and longer lateral lengths (i.e., hydraulic fracturing stages). Well completion water volumes in the

43 Permian Basin increased nearly 3-fold from ~128 thousand barrels to ~358 thousand barrels in the 4-year

**Deleted:** n



69 of these oxidants has not yet been quantified, the presence of highly reactive radicals derived from Fenton

70 chemistry and/or chlorine allow the possibility of intensifying coagulation to include *in situ* contaminant

71 oxidation and disinfection [27], another potential advantage.



*Figure 1. Schematic of iron (left panel) aluminum (right panel) electrocoagulation using elemental electrodes.*

 Although recent research has uncovered many underlying mechanisms [28-30], more insights are needed into homogeneous Fenton chemistry to identify and quantify associated short-lived intermediates and elucidate their interactions with contaminants including reaction rates. This is critical to our ability to design and operate electrocoagulation at its full potential. Further, the heterogenous chemistry of electrode corrosion in the presence of high concentrations of pitting enhancers and inhibitors further obfuscates electrocoagulation mechanisms [31-33]. Resolving issues related to electrode fouling and passivation, critical considerations for long-term operation [34], typically require collaborations between electrochemists, materials scientists, engineers, and field operators [35-37]. A hindrance to engineering this technology and its widespread adoption (especially with iron, and to a lesser extent, with aluminum) is our incomplete knowledge of its complex aqueous and interfacial chemistry in hypersaline matrices. **2. Technological considerations for field-scale applications.** *Lack of standardization.* The absence of well-accepted guidelines and operating protocols makes it

 challenging to consistently implement on a commercial scale necessitating the establishment of 87 standardized practices and design criteria [38].

 *Complex system design and scalability.* Electrode spacing, reactor configuration, and process optimization need to be carefully considered and standardized to ensure efficient and repeatable performance at larger scale [39]. Also, scale-up equations and procedures are not yet widely available posing challenges for the design and implementation of larger systems necessitating trial and error considerations and engineering expertise [40].

*Difficulties in predicting electrode behavior.* Systems consist of electrolytic cells, electrodes, and other

components that need regular maintenance to ensure optimal performance. Electrodes foul, scale, and

degrade over time, necessitating periodic cleaning or replacement [33]. Proper maintenance is essential

to ensure sustained performance and equipment longevity and avoid electrode overheating and failure.

 Electrode replacement requires continual operating expenses in terms of manual labor. Additionally, non-uniform corrosion (e.g., due to formation of impermeable scale) can lead to incomplete dissolution of

electrodes and their out-of-phase replacement needs.

- *Limited demonstration and validation***.** Although electrocoagulation has shown promising results in laboratory studies (and, to a limited extent, at pilot-scale) [34, 41], there is a need for more comprehensive demonstration and validation of its performance and reliability under real-world, commercial-scale applications in shale plays. The limited availability of case studies and proven track records can thwart its adoption, particularly when it is competing with well-established conventional technologies, which have a long history of full-scale applications and operator comfort levels.
- *Safety.* Electrocoagulation units for saline waters may be operated at high current (hundreds of amps and tens of volts), which due to its proximity to water, makes electrical insulation of paramount importance. Another consideration is hydrogen gas evolution that requires adequate ventilation to avoid explosion hazards.
- *Regulatory acceptance.* Primacy agencies often require comprehensive long-term data on performance,

reliability, and safety of new water treatment technologies before granting regulatory approval [42]. The

limited number of commercial full-scale applications and lack of standardized guidelines may contribute

to the hesitation of regulatory bodies in endorsing and permitting electrocoagulation systems.

- Some pictures of electrocoagulation in the Permian Basin are shown in Figure 2 to orient the
- reader on its scale under field conditions.



 $\begin{array}{c} 117 \\ 118 \end{array}$ Figure 2. Representative photographs from the Permian Basin to visualize the scale of electrocoagulation under field conditions. Top left: typical set up *showing a portable electrocoagulation unit, electrical generator, and a treated water holding tank. Top right: different view showing the pump jack extracting oil and generating the produced water. Bottom left: Close up view of the electrocoagulation unit and associated plumbing. Bottom middle: Treated water after electrocoagulation pumped into the holding pond for sedimentation. The cloudiness and white color of aluminum flocs is apparent on the top right of this image. Bottom right: Dramatic visual differences in the color and opacity of the produced water before and after treatment.*

 **3. Early user's perspective.** Initially, electrocoagulation was touted as a "silver bullet" in the oil industry and its capabilities were often exaggerated. It was marketed as an emulsion breaker, replacement for conventional coagulation to remove solids and iron, and both as a standalone technology and pretreatment process. Although low technology readiness level research (i.e., TRL 2-4) had demonstrated its potential, there was a lack of extensive mid-TRL testing at pilot-scale under real-world conditions. This resulted in a critical knowledge gap required to successfully transition from controlled laboratory experiments to larger-scale commercial applications. Field engineers within the energy industry carried the burden of necessary, onsite technical evaluation in order to identify its operational envelope under real world conditions and separating it from the hype. One early lesson learnt was that electrocoagulation performed well within a treatment train that included flocculation, sedimentation, and filtration but was insufficient as a standalone technology. While it was a workhorse for facilitating turbidity removal, its capabilities with respect to boron, metals, and hydrogen sulfide were markedly lower than what was promoted and were not cost-effective. It successfully floated oil and grease only when skimming equipment was installed. Often, the need for auxiliary equipment to realize electrocoagulation's capabilities was not fully appreciated resulting in suboptimal installation, a poor user experience, high retrofitting costs, and consequently hurting its credibility with end users.

 Example results from a successful electrocoagulation installation are provided in Figure 3, validating its capabilities. The effluent turbidity was consistently below 20 FAU, iron concentrations were always < 4 mg/L (achieved via NaOH addition), and silica removal was excellent (>90%). However, implementation required careful and extensive attention by competent technical staff, not unlike chemical coagulation, which unfortunately was not always available, resulting in several failed applications.

Page 8









*Figure 3. Facile removal of turbidity (top panel), iron (middle panel 7.5≤pH≤8.5), and silica (bottom panel, pH =* 

 *9.0)) from a month-long field test (250-300 gallons per minute) of aluminum electrocoagulation in the Permian Basin. Effluent turbidity was relatively high because no attempt was made to further minimize turbidity since it* 

 $met$  process requirements.

Page 9



Deleted: compared to



 *Figure 4. Neutron computed tomography of porous aluminum foam electrodes before and after 6-hours and 12- hours of electrocoagulation (left panel). Energy comparison between solid aluminum electrodes and 40-pores per inch (ppi) porous aluminum electrodes (right panel) for the same mass of dissolved aluminum. Figures adopted from reference [46].*

 Challenges associated with maintaining stable electrocoagulation performance over extended time periods include:



many operating cycles, which can reduce Faradaic efficiency, overall energy efficiency, and contaminant

 removal. A porous and thin oxide layer is formed on the anode, which traps Fe(II) allowing it to grow in thickness, thus decreasing the mass transfer of Fe(II) to the bulk solution necessary for its oxidation, precipitation, and uptake of contaminants. Over time, the porous oxide layer is transformed into a dense passivation layer consisting of less-conductive iron oxide minerals that increases charge transfer 180 resistance and energy consumption. Eventually, the increasing potential favors oxidation of H<sub>2</sub>O to O<sub>2</sub> instead of coagulant electrodissolution [33, 34]. Techniques to mitigate passivation-layer growth and stabilize performance have been proposed: (i) reversing electrode polarity (not labor intensive), (ii) storing electrodesin a dry environment (not labor intensive), and (iii) mechanical abrasion (highly labor intensive). Figure 5 shows a black iron oxide anodic layer, which was removed after polarity reversal 185 attributed to H<sub>2</sub>(g) evolution (Figure 5b inset). It was >150  $\mu$ m thick (Figures 5c and 5d) and significantly 186 worsened electrical energy efficiency and identified to be  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  (Figure 5e).





 *Figure 5. (a) Black rust layer formation on the anode after operation over 15 days while treating a simulated secondary municipal wastewater effluent. (b) Surface cleaning after polarity reversal. Shown in the inset is a broken piece of the passivating oxide film formed on the anode after polarity reversal. The yellow dashed line indicates the area of film removal by polarity reversal. (c) Scanning electron microscopy image of the broken film. (d) Corresponding cross-sectional electron micrograph of the film. (e) X-ray diffraction pattern of the broken oxide film showing Fe3O4 presence.* 

 **5. Estimating performance and costs for full scale electrocoagulation.** Cost analyses of electrocoagulation should account for initial investment costs, operational expenses, maintenance requirements, and residuals disposal and evaluate payback periods. Most available models of system cost and energy consumption neglect crucial scale-up issues. For example, the energy associated with the electrode dissolution processes can been estimated based on Faraday's law but empirical models have 199 not been validated at high current densities (>10 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>) [49]. Simply optimizing Faradaic efficiency does not translate to optimized target contaminant removal efficiency at pilot-scale due to confounding  factors such as long residence times required for electrode cleaning [50]. While energy use associated with electrode dissolution, mixing within reactors, and producing a metal ingot and metal sheet are typically estimated [47], energy inputs for pumps, instrumentation, controls, and downstream treatment processes along with indoor temperature control (if any) are not. Cost models sometimes neglect key factors such as maintenance [51], electrode manufacturing, sludge management and disposal, labor, chemical reagents, ancillary equipment and installation costs, and conveyance, e.g., [52, 53]. These costs are required for accurate economic analysis at full-scale.

 Published costs and energy demands span a wide range and, understandably, depend on the application, location, and estimation methodology. Comparing results is difficult when they are reported in inconsistent units and using different cost categories. For example, energy consumption for oil 211 elimination has been reported as 0.43 kWh/g of oil and gas for biodiesel wastewater and 0.74 kWh/m<sup>3</sup> and 32 kWh/g of phenol for two different studies of petroleum refinery wastewater [54].

**6. Path forward.** Finding the right fit for electrocoagulation within the oil and gas market requires an improved scientific understanding of (i) associated homogeneous, heterogeneous, and interfacial chemistry including formation of reactive intermediates, (ii) the extent of and mechanisms underlying contaminant removal (including microorganisms), and (iii) kinetics of electrode corrosion and fouling/passivation. An essential consideration is the variability and high concentrations of myriad electrolytes, organic matter, and proprietary chemicalsin oilfield brines [14], which necessitates advanced geochemical and electrochemical modeling coupled with high energy characterization techniques to interpret experimental data [55, 56]. Follow-up process engineering work should target (i) establishing 221 technology operating envelope and lower/upper bounds of its capability, (ii) making electrode corrosion more uniform and predictable, (iii) experimentation with flow-through reactors based on batch tests, and (iv) upscale laboratory tests to mid-TRLs via long-term pilot-scale evaluations with close collaboration between equipment manufacturers, energy companies, and end users. Identifying operational parameters where electrocoagulation has the greatest advantage(s) over conventional coagulation techniques (e.g., flow rates, water chemistry, turbidity influent/effluent limits, etc.) is also essential. Technical work to integrate electrocoagulation within a complete treatment train needs to be augmented with building trust and familiarity by training end users and carefully documenting its competences and limitations to realize its full potential and streamline commercial-scale installation. Similarly, better documentation of cost and energy demands of full-scale systems evaluated with consistent units would provide helpful information to end users considering adopting electrocoagulation. This could be facilitated via academic-industrial collaborative technoeconomic analyses using extensive datasets



- lessons can be extrapolated to other brines including seawater [57], brackish (ground)water [58], reverse
- osmosis and nanofiltration concentrates [59], and other industrial process water and wastewater [60].

 **Acknowledgements.** This material is based upon work supported by the National Alliance for Water 237 Innovation (NAWI), funded by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Energy Efficiency and Renewable

- Energy Office, Advanced Manufacturing Office under Funding Opportunity Announcement DE-FOA-
- 239 0001905. This manuscript has been authored by UT-Battelle, LLC, under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725
- 240 with the DOE. The US government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication,
- acknowledges that the US government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to
- 242 publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for US government
- 243 purposes. DOE will provide public access to these results of federally sponsored research in accordance
- with the DOE Public Access Plan (http://energy.gov/downloads/doe-public-access-plan).

#### **REFERENCES**

- Papers of particular interest, published within the past 2-3 years, have been highlighted as:
- 247 of special interest
- 248 ●● of outstanding interest
- [1] M.M. Benjamin, D.F. Lawler, Water Quality Engineering : Physical/Chemical Treatment Processes, Wiley, Hoboken, New Jersey, 2013.
- [2] S. Garcia-Segura, M.M.S.G. Eiband, J.V. de Melo, C.A. Martínez-Huitle, Electrocoagulation and advanced electrocoagulation processes: A general review about the fundamentals, emerging applications and its association with other technologies, Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry, 801 (2017) 267-299.
- [3] M.Y. Mollah, R. Schennach, J.R. Parga, D.L. Cocke, Electrocoagulation (EC)-science and applications, Journal of Hazardous materials, 84 (2001) 29-41.
- [4] B.P. Chaplin, The Prospect of Electrochemical Technologies Advancing Worldwide Water Treatment, Accounts of Chemical Research, 52 (2019) 596-604.
- [5] Y.J. Feng, L.S. Yang, J.F. Liu, B.E. Logan, Electrochemical technologies for wastewater treatment and resource reclamation, Environmental Science-Water Research & Technology, 2 (2016) 800-831.
- [6] D.B. Gingerich, J. Liu, M.S. Mauter, Carbon Benefits of Drinking Water Treatment Electrification, ACS ES&T Engineering, 2 (2021) 367-376.
- ●● quantitatively estimates carbon reduction potential of water treatment electrification in comparison with chemical-intensive conventional processes
- [7] M.S. Mauter, P.S. Fiske, Desalination for a circular water economy, Energy & Environmental Science, 13 (2020) 3180-3184.
- [8] J. Radjenovic, D.L. Sedlak, Challenges and Opportunities for Electrochemical Processes as Next- Generation Technologies for the Treatment of Contaminated Water, Environmental Science & Technology, 49 (2015) 11292-11302.
- [9] W. Jiang, X. Xu, R. Hall, Y. Zhang, K.C. Carroll, F. Ramos, M.A. Engle, L. Lin, H. Wang, M. Sayer, P. Xu, Characterization of produced water and surrounding surface water in the Permian Basin, the United States, Journal of Hazardous materials, 430 (2022) 128409.
- 273 comprehensive water quality analysis of produced water from the Permian Basin
- [10] B.R. Scanlon, S. Ikonnikova, Q. Yang, R.C. Reedy, Will Water Issues Constrain Oil and Gas Production in the United States?, Environmental Science and Technology, 54 (2020) 3510-3519.
- ●● Analyses the water sustainability of oil and gas production including rising water needs for fracking and higher volumes of produced water generated.
- [11] C.M. Cooper, J. McCall, S.C. Stokes, C. McKay, M.J. Bentley, J.S. Rosenblum, T.A. Blewett, Z. Huang, A. Miara, M. Talmadge, A. Evans, K.A. Sitterley, P. Kurup, J.R. Stokes-Draut, J. Macknick, T. Borch, T.Y. Cath, L.E. Katz, Oil and Gas Produced Water Reuse: Opportunities, Treatment Needs, and Challenges, ACS ES&T Engineering, 2 (2021) 347-366.
- [12] J. Chapman, A. Parker, H. Brown, The Future of the Water Midstream: Emerging Opportunities for Water Players in the Permian Basin, 2020.
- [13] Morgan Stanley, How Water Can Impact Shale Economics, 2019.
- [14] M. Elsner, K. Hoelzer, Quantitative Survey and Structural Classification of Hydraulic Fracturing Chemicals Reported in Unconventional Gas Production, Environmental Science & Technology, 50 (2016) 3290-3314.
- [15] USEPA, Hydraulic Fracturing for Oil and Gas: Impacts from the Hydraulic Fracturing Water Cycle on Drinking Water Resources in the United States, Main Report (EPA/600/R-16/236fa), 2016.
- [16] Groundwater Protection Council, Produced Water Report, 2019.
- [17] P. Canizares, C. Jimenez, F. Martinez, C. Saez, M.A. Rodrigo, Study of the electrocoagulation process using aluminum and iron electrodes, Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 46 (2007) 6189- 6195.
- [18] C.A. Martinez-Huitle, M.A. Rodrigo, I. Sires, O. Scialdone, Single and Coupled Electrochemical Processes and Reactors for the Abatement of Organic Water Pollutants: A Critical Review, Chemical Reviews, 115 (2015) 13362-13407.
- [19] S. Chellam, M.A. Sari, Aluminum electrocoagulation as pretreatment during microfiltration of surface water containing NOM: A review of fouling, NOM, DBP, and virus control, Journal of Hazardous materials, 304 (2016) 490-501.
- [20] S.R.S. Bandaru, C.M. van Genuchten, A. Kumar, S. Glade, D. Hernandez, M. Nahata, A. Gadgil, Rapid and efficient arsenic removal by iron electrocoagulation enabled with *in situ* generation of hydrogen peroxide, Environmental Science & Technology, 54 (2020) 6094-6103.
- [21] P. Canizares, M. Carmona, J. Lobato, F. Martinez, M.A. Rodrigo, Electrodissolution of aluminum electrodes in electrocoagulation processes, Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 44 (2005) 4178-4185.
- [22] M.Y. Mollah, P. Morkovsky, J.A. Gomes, M. Kesmez, J. Parga, D.L. Cocke, Fundamentals, present and future perspectives of electrocoagulation, Journal of Hazardous materials, 114 (2004) 199-210.
- [23] M.A. Sari, S. Chellam, Mechanisms of boron removal from hydraulic fracturing wastewater by aluminum electrocoagulation, Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 458 (2015) 103-111.
- [24] D. Lakshmanan, D.A. Clifford, G. Samanta, Ferrous and ferric ion generation during iron electrocoagulation, Environmental Science & Technology, 43 (2009) 3853-3859.
- [25] W. Stumm, G.F. Lee, Oxygenation of ferrous iron, Industrial and Engineering Chemistry, 53 (1961) 143-146.
- [26] C. Zhang, D. He, J. Ma, T.D. Waite, Active chlorine mediated ammonia oxidation revisited: Reaction mechanism, kinetic modelling and implications, Water Research, 145 (2018) 220-230.
- [27] C.T. Tanneru, N. Jothikumar, V.R. Hill, S. Chellam, Relative insignificance of virus inactivation during aluminum electrocoagulation of saline waters, Environmental Science & Technology, 48 (2014) 14590-14598.
- [28] Z. Qi, T.-T. Lim, S.A. Snyder, S. You, F. Sun, T. An, Concomitant Electro-Fenton Processes in Iron-Based 320 Electrocoagulation Systems for Sulfanilamide Degradation: Roles of Ca<sup>2+</sup> in Fe(II)/Fe(III) Complexation and Electron Transfer, ACS ES&T Water, 2 (2022) 778-785.
- Innovative way of improving iron electrocoagulation by oxidizing refractory organic pollutants via induced electro-Fenton reactions
- [29] S. Liang, W. Zheng, L. Zhu, W. Duan, C. Wei, C. Feng, One-step treatment of phosphite-laden wastewater: A single electrochemical reactor integrating superoxide radical-induced oxidation and electrocoagulation, Environmental Science & Technology, 53 (2019) 5328-5336.
- [30] E.K. Maher, K.N. O'Malley, J. Heffron, J. Huo, Y. Wang, B.K. Mayer, P.J. McNamara, Removal of estrogenic compounds via iron electrocoagulation: Impact of water quality and assessment of removal mechanisms, Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology, 5 (2019) 956-966.
- [31] S. Müller, T. Behrends, C.M. van Genuchten, Sustaining efficient production of aqueous iron during repeated operation of Fe(0)-electrocoagulation, Water Research, 155 (2019) 455-464.
- [32] C.M. van Genuchten, K.N. Dalby, M. Ceccato, S.L.S. Stipp, K. Dideriksen, Factors affecting the Faradaic efficiency of Fe(0) electrocoagulation, Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering, 5 (2017) 4958-4968.
- [33] M. Ingelsson, N. Yasri, E.P.L. Roberts, Electrode passivation, faradaic efficiency, and performance enhancement strategies in electrocoagulation—a review, Water Research, 187 (2020) 116433.
- [34] S.R.S. Bandaru, A. Roy, A.J. Gadgil, C.M. van Genuchten, Long-term electrode behavior during treatment of arsenic contaminated groundwater by a pilot-scale iron electrocoagulation system, Water Research, 175 (2020) 115668.

Page 15

- [35] J. Sun, J. Huo, B. Li, Z. Gu, C. Hu, J. Qu, Anode passivation mitigation by homogenizing current density distribution in electrocoagulation, Water Research, 223 (2022) 118966.
- **••** Numerous electrochemical techniques were applied to investigate electrode passivation during electrocoagulation and the homogeneous current distribution was found to be critical to minimize the passivation
- 345 [36] M.M. El-Naggar, Effects of Cl<sup>−</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>−</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2−</sup> anions on the anodic behavior of carbon steel in deaerated 0.50M NaHCO3 solutions, Applied Surface Science, 252 (2006) 6179-6194.
- [37] P. Lorbeer, W.J. Lorenz, The Kinetics of Iron Dissolution and Passivation in Solutions Containing Oxygen, Electrochimica Acta, 25 (1980) 375-381.
- [38] K.L. Dubrawski, M. Mohseni, Standardizing electrocoagulation reactor design: iron electrodes for NOM removal, Chemosphere, 91 (2013) 55-60.
- [39] J. Cao, S. Monroe, Efficient Si Removal from Recycled Water for Steam Injection Using Unique Electrocoagulation Process, in: SPE International Symposium on Oilfield Chemistry, 2015.
- [40] K. Seth, I. Valenzuela, M. Ault, R. Parks, Sustainable Fracturing: Reducing Fresh Water Demand and Disposal Volumes, in: 59th Southwestern Petroleum Short Course, 2012.
- [41] C.T. Tanneru, S. Chellam, Mechanisms of virus control during iron electrocoagulation-microfiltration of surface water, Water Research, 46 (2012) 2111-2120.
- [42] K.D. Miller, M.J. Bentley, J.N. Ryan, K.G. Linden, C. Larison, B.A. Kienzle, L.E. Katz, A.M. Wilson, J.T. Cox, P. Kurup, K.M. Van Allsburg, J. McCall, J.E. Macknick, M.S. Talmadge, A. Miara, K.A. Sitterley, A. Evans, K. Thirumaran, M. Malhotra, S.G. Gonzalez, J.R. Stokes-Draut, S. Chellam, Mine Water Use, Treatment, and Reuse in the United States: A Look at Current Industry Practices and Select Case Studies, ACS ES&T Engineering, 2 (2021) 391-408.
- [43] Z. Liu, X. Yuan, S. Zhang, J. Wang, Q. Huang, N. Yu, Y. Zhu, L. Fu, F. Wang, Y. Chen, Y. Wu, Three- dimensional ordered porous electrode materials for electrochemical energy storage, NPG Asia Materials, 11 (2019).
- [44] K. Sharma, Y.H. Kim, J. Gabitto, R.T. Mayes, S. Yiacoumi, H.Z. Bilheux, L.M. Walker, S. Dai, C. Tsouris, Transport of ions in mesoporous carbon electrodes during capacitive deionization of high-salinity solutions, Langmuir, 31 (2015) 1038-1047.
- [45] J. Newman, W. Tiedemann, Porous-electrode theory with battery applications, AIChE Journal, 21 (1975) 25-41.
- [46] G.G. Jang, Y. Zhang, J.K. Keum, Y.Z. Bootwala, M.C. Hatzell, D. Jassby, C. Tsouris, Neutron tomography of porous aluminum electrodes used in electrocoagulation of groundwater, Frontiers in Chemical Engineering, 4 (2022).
- [47] Y.-H. Liu, Y.Z. Bootwala, G.G. Jang, J.K. Keum, C.M. Khor, E.M.V. Hoek, D. Jassby, C. Tsouris, J. Mothersbaugh, M.C. Hatzell, Electroprecipitation Mechanism Enabling Silica and Hardness Removal through Aluminum-Based Electrocoagulation, ACS ES&T Engineering, 2 (2022) 1200–1210.
- 
- [48] C.M. van Genuchten, S.R. Bandaru, E. Surorova, S.E. Amrose, A.J. Gadgil, J. Pena, Formation of macroscopic surface layers on Fe(0) electrocoagulation electrodes during an extended field trial of arsenic treatment, Chemosphere, 153 (2016) 270-279.
- [49] Z. Gu, Z. Liao, M. Schulz, J.R. Davis, J.C. Baygents, J. Farrell, Estimating Dosing Rates and Energy Consumption for Electrocoagulation Using Iron and Aluminum Electrodes, Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 48 (2009) 3112-3117.
- [50] S. Glade, S.R. Bandaru, M. Nahata, J. Majmudar, A. Gadgil, Adapting a drinking water treatment technology for arsenic removal to the context of a small, low-income California community, Water Research, 204 (2021) 117595.
- [51] K. Ansari, A. Shrikhande, M. Malik, A. Alahmadi, M. Alwetaishi, A. Alzaed, A. Elbeltagi, Optimization and Operational Analysis of Domestic Greywater Treatment by Electrocoagulation Filtration Using Response Surface Methodology, Sustainability, 14 (2022).
- [52] J. Xu, T. Phakdon, A. Achilli, K. Hickenbottom, J. Farrell, Pretreatment of Reverse Osmosis Concentrate from Reclaimed Water for Conventional and High-Efficiency Reverse Osmosis and Evaluation of Electrochemical Production of Reagents, ACS ES&T Water, 2 (2022) 1022-1030.
- Systematically compares the performance and technoeconomic feasibility of various unit operations to fully electrify to reclaim reverse osmosis concentrate
- [53] S. Uludag-Demirer, N. Olson, R. Ives, J.P. Nshimyimana, C.A. Rusinek, J.B. Rose, W. Liao, Techno- Economic Analysis of Electrocoagulation on Water Reclamation and Bacterial/Viral Indicator Reductions of a High-Strength Organic Wastewater—Anaerobic Digestion Effluent, Sustainability, 12 (2020).
- [54] C. An, G. Huang, Y. Yao, S. Zhao, Emerging usage of electrocoagulation technology for oil removal from wastewater: A review, Science of Total Environment, 579 (2017) 537-556.
- [55] S.E. Bone, H.-G. Steinrück, M.F. Toney, Advanced Characterization in Clean Water Technologies, Joule, 4 (2020) 1637-1659.
- [56] L. Ben Gaïda, J.B. Gros, C.G. Dussap, Activity coefficients of concentrated strong and weak electrolytes by a hydration equilibrium and group contribution model, Fluid Phase Equilibria, 289 (2010) 40-48.
- [57] T.C. Timmes, H.C. Kim, B.A. Dempsey, Electrocoagulation pretreatment of seawater prior to ultrafiltration: Bench-scale applications for military water purification systems, Desalination, 249 (2009) 895-901.
- [58] M.A. Sari, S. Chellam, Electrocoagulation process considerations during advanced pretreatment for brackish inland surface water desalination: Nanofilter fouling control and permeate water quality, Desalination, 410 (2017) 66-76.
- [59] S.P. Azerrad, M. Isaacs, C.G. Dosoretz, Integrated treatment of reverse osmosis brines coupling electrocoagulation with advanced oxidation processes, Chemical Engineering Journal, 356 (2019) 771-780.
- [60] A.F. Meese, D.J. Kim, X. Wu, L. Le, C. Napier, M.T. Hernandez, N. Laroco, K.G. Linden, J. Cox, P. Kurup, J. McCall, D. Greene, M. Talmadge, Z. Huang, J. Macknick, K.A. Sitterley, A. Miara, A. Evans, K.



Challenges for Industrial Water Treatment and Reuse, ACS ES&T Engineering, 2 (2022) 465-488.