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Recent identification of transmembrane protein with unknown function 16 (TMEM16A) as the calcium-activated chloride channel (CaCC) first described in the frog oocyte has enabled molecular studies of this novel ion channel family (1, 2). Homologs of this channel have been identified in organisms throughout the evolutionary lineage, including yeast, plants, invertebrates, and vertebrates (3). In the mouse, TMEM16A-CaCC regulates smooth muscle contraction and fluid secretion. In addition, TMEM16A and its close homolog TMEM16B contribute to neuronal, exocrine, and smooth muscle functions. TMEM16A belongs to a family of integral membrane proteins that includes another CaCC, TMEM16B, responsible for controlling action potential waveform and synaptic efficacy, and a small-conductance calcium-activated nonselective cation channel, TMEM16F, linked to Scott syndrome. We find that these channels in the TMEM16 family share a homodimeric architecture facilitated by their cytoplasmic N termini. This dimerization domain is important for channel assembly in eukaryotic cells, and the in vitro association of peptides containing the dimerization domain is consistent with a homotypic protein–protein interaction. Amino acid substitutions in the dimerization domain affect functional TMEM16A-CaCC channel expression, as expected from its critical role in channel subunit assembly.

Results

TMEM16 Family Proteins Form Dimeric Protein Complexes. TMEM16A belongs to a family of 10 members in vertebrates (15). Recent studies have characterized TMEM16A, TMEM16B, and TMEM16F as functional calcium-activated ion channels. These channels are closely related, with TMEM16B and TMEM16F sharing 61% and 37% amino acid identity to TMEM16A in the mouse, respectively (Figs. S1 and S2). Because mouse TMEM16A immunoprecipitates TMEM16A and forms homodimers (13, 14) in biochemical studies, including our own (Fig. 1 A–C), we asked whether mouse TMEM16B and TMEM16F are also capable of forming oligomers when expressed in human embryonic kidney (HEK 293) cells. Indeed, GFP-tagged TMEM16B coimmunoprecipitated with mCherry-tagged TMEM16B and GFP-tagged TMEM16F coimmunoprecipitated with mCherry-tagged TMEM16F when each pair was coexpressed in HEK 293 cells (Fig. 1A). Nondenaturing PAGE of GFP-tagged TMEM16B or TMEM16F revealed the presence of dimers that were converted to the monomeric form upon denaturation in increasing concentrations of SDS (Fig. 1B). These dimeric species also appeared on denaturing SDS/PAGE when membrane complexes were stabilized by treating living cells with increasing concentrations of the amine-reactive chemical cross-linkers 3,3′-dithiobis(sulfosuccinimidylpropionate) (DTSSP) or dithiobis(sulfosuccinimidylpropionate) (DSP) (Fig. 1C), suggesting that the dimeric protein is present in physiologically intact cells.

Because the similar quaternary structure of these proteins may arise from the conservation of a specific dimerization domain of TMEM16 family members, we wondered whether these homologs are able to form heterodimers in vitro. We therefore attempted to coimmunoprecipitate mCherry-labeled mouse TMEM16A with other mouse TMEM16 family members coexpressed in HEK 293 cells. We found that TMEM16A coimmunoprecipitated with the more closely related homolog, TMEM16B, but not the more distant homolog, TMEM16F (Fig. 1D). Similarly, TMEM16B coimmunoprecipitated with TMEM16A but not TMEM16F (Fig. 1E). Moreover, TMEM16F did not coimmunoprecipitate with either TMEM16A or TMEM16B (Fig. 1F). The ability for close homologs to interact extended even across species, as Xenopus TMEM16A was coimmunoprecipitated by both mouse TMEM16A and TMEM16B (Fig. 1G), with which it shares 75% and 61% sequence identity (Fig. S1). Thus, ion channels in the TMEM16 family are dimeric proteins, and the interactions responsible for channel assembly appear to be well-conserved specifically in other CaCCs of the TMEM16 family.
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of TMEM16A channels, we expressed the first 366 residues containing the entire N-terminal domain along with the first transmembrane segment of TMEM16A in a HEK 293 cell line that stably expressed mCherry-tagged TMEM16A. The population of mCherry-tagged TMEM16A proteins on the cell surface and accessible to labeling by a membrane-impermeable amine-reactive biotin was progressively reduced in cells transfected with increasing concentrations of this truncation mutant (Fig. 2C). This process led to a reduction in functional channel expression as detected in electrophysiological recordings of calcium-activated chloride currents in HEK 293 cells cotransfected with this mutant and wild-type TMEM16A (P < 0.01, unpaired t test) (Fig. 2D–F). Second, we also tested whether this interaction could be detected using coimmunoprecipitation assays. We found that mCherry-tagged TMEM16A coimmunoprecipitated with not only the truncation mutant containing residues 1–366, but also mutants containing only residues 1–321 without a transmembrane segment (Fig. 3A and B).

**Identification of the TMEM16A Dimerization Domain.** Having found that TMEM16A assembles into dimeric complexes, we next sought to identify the region responsible for this interaction. Because several other channel proteins (9, 11, 12) oligomerize via their cytosolic regions, we began by purifying each of the predicted cytosolic domains (16) from mouse TMEM16A on glutathione-conjugated beads and used to affinity capture interacting proteins in cell lysates from HEK 293 cells expressing TMEM16A-GFP. Proteins copurifying with the GST tag were separated by SDS/PAGE and identified by Western blot analysis with an anti-GFP antibody. (C) HEK 293 cells transfected with mTMEM16A-GFP, mTMEM16B-GFP, or mTMEM16F-GFP were incubated with increasing concentrations of either DTSSP or DSP. Proteins in HEK 293 cell lysates were separated by SDS/PAGE and identified by Western blot analysis with an anti-GFP antibody. (D) HEK 293 cells transfected with mTMEM16A-GFP, mTMEM16B-GFP, or mTMEM16F-GFP was coexpressed in HEK 293 cells with wild-type TMEM16A-GFP. Average steady-state whole-cell currents were measured at -60 mV to +100 mV with 300 nM internal free calcium and symmetric chloride. Each bar represents average ± SEM. (E) I–V curve of the cells in D. Plots represent steady-state whole-cell currents recorded with 300 nM internal free calcium and symmetric chloride from −60 mV to +100 mV in +20 mV steps lasting 500 ms. (F) Representative traces of cells illustrated in D and E.
We next attempted to map the dimerization domain by shortening the truncation mutant further. Because the first 116 residues of the N-terminal domain is not well-conserved between TMEM16A and TMEM16B (Fig. S2), and because Ferrera et al. (17) has reported that the first half of the N-terminal domain is not necessary for TMEM16A function, we hypothesized that the first 116 residues are also not required for protein dimerization. Indeed, when the first 116 residues were removed, residues 117–321 remained sufficient for coimmunoprecipitation with full-length TMEM16A (Fig. 3C). This dimerization domain appears to interact homotypically because residues 117–321 also coimmunoprecipitated with just the N-terminal domain (residues 1–321) and with itself (residues 117–321) (Fig. 3D).

To further define the dimerization domain, we made a series of smaller truncation mutants based on the predicted secondary structure (18–20) and the sequence conservation in the N-terminal domains of the TMEM16A and TMEM16B homologs (Fig. S4). We tested each with coimmunoprecipitation experiments to identify the minimally necessary region for protein–protein interaction. Constructs spanning residues 117–296, residues 117–266, and residues 117–231 were able to interact with both the full-length TMEM16A protein (Fig. 3E) and residues 117–321 (Fig. 3F), but residues 117–151 could not, suggesting that the 78 amino acids corresponding to residues 152–231 are critical for TMEM16A dimerization. In fact, not only are these 78 residues necessary for dimer interaction, they are also sufficient, because peptides containing these residues tagged with GFP or mCherry were able to coimmunoprecipitate (Fig. 3G). Focusing on this critical region, we made another series of truncations (Fig. 4A) and found that although residues 117–218, residues 117–204, and residues 117–179 were able to interact with full-length TMEM16A, constructs containing only residues 117–160 could not (Fig. 4B). The interaction in this minimal region appears to be homotypic because residues 117–179 not only bound to all other truncation mutants containing residues 117–179 (Fig. 4C), it also bound to itself (Fig. 4D).

Because residues 117–179 are sufficient to interact with wild-type TMEM16A channels in coimmunoprecipitation assays, we wondered whether overexpression of this fragment would also act as a dominant-negative in electrophysiological assays of channel function. When wild-type TMEM16A was cotransfected into

![Fig. 3. A region in the cytoplasmic N terminus is responsible for subunit dimerization.](image)

![Fig. 4. Residues 117–179 are sufficient for a homotypic dimer interaction.](image)
HEK 293 cells with a construct containing only residues 117–179, functional channel expression was decreased relative to cells cotransfected with an empty vector ($P < 0.01$, unpaired $t$ test) (Fig. 4E–G). The decrease in whole-cell current was smaller than that observed when residues 1–399 were cotransfected (Fig. 2D), possibly because a fragment containing only residues 117–179 is expected to be cytosolic and would therefore interact with wild-type TMEM16A subunits less efficiently than constructs containing a transmembrane segment.

Because this region contains a cysteine at residue 166 (Fig. S3A), we performed further mutagenesis experiments to test the role of C166 and disulfide bond formation in subunit dimerization. Mutant N-terminal domains with triple alanine substitutions scanning the region including C166 were still able to coimmunoprecipitate with full-length TMEM16A proteins as well as truncation mutants containing only residues 117–204 (Fig. S3B–C). This result is consistent with the location of the dimerization domain in the cytoplasmic N terminus where it is exposed to the reducing intracellular environment, and thus unlikely to form disulfide bonds. In addition, C166 is poorly conserved across TMEM16A/B/F homologs, suggesting that it is dispensable for channel oligomerization.

**TMEM16A Dimerization Domain Is Responsible for Specific Subunit Interactions.** Having observed that TMEM16F subunits normally form homodimers even though TMEM16A subunits do not heterodimerize with TMEM16F subunits (Fig. 1D and F), we wondered whether the TMEM16F dimerization domain is also in its N-terminal region and whether the dimerization domain is responsible for this specificity in subunit interactions. To test these questions, we engineered chimera mutants by substituting residues 117–179 in TMEM16A’s dimerization domain with the homologous sequence from TMEM16F (A/F chimera 117–179) (Fig. S4). The dimerization domain in these mutants appeared to be sufficient for homodimerization in the context of the TMEM16A protein and facilitated functional channel assembly when expressed in HEK 293 cells (Fig. 5A–C). However, the whole-cell calcium-activated chloride currents generated by these channels were smaller than wild-type currents ($P < 0.05$, Dunn’s test) (Fig. 5D).

Hypothesizing that the reduction in current may be the result of inefficient protein folding due to the mutation of such a large region within the N-terminal domain of TMEM16A, we engineered a second chimera protein targeting a smaller region for mutagenesis. Because truncation mutants containing residues 117–179 and residues 152–231 were able to form homodimers in vitro (Fig. 4D), we reasoned that residues 152–179 could be a critical region sufficient for protein–protein interaction. We therefore replaced TMEM16A residues 152–179 with the homologous region from TMEM16F (A/F chimera 152–179) (Fig. S4). This smaller mutation was able to efficiently form homodimers when expressed in HEK 293 cells and produced whole-cell calcium-activated chloride currents with amplitudes similar to those observed with wild-type TMEM16A channels (Fig. 5A–C).

Having found that both chimera mutants were able to form homodimers when expressed in HEK 293 cells, we next tested the ability of these mutants to form heterodimers. As expected, neither chimera mutant coimmunoprecipitated with wild-type TMEM16A or TMEM16B subunits (Fig. 5D and E), suggesting that the dimerization domains of TMEM16F and TMEM16A are not compatible for heterodimeric interactions despite their homology (Fig. S4). Thus, we have identified a dimerization domain within the TMEM16A protein that facilitates specific subunit assembly among TMEM16 family members.

**Conserved Peptide of 19 Residues Within the Dimerization Domain Is Necessary for TMEM16A Assembly.** We noticed that the region spanning residues 161–179 in the dimerization domain is predicted to form α-helical structures in solution. Hypothesizing that this region might form the core structure of the dimerization domain, we wondered whether disruption of this segment might impede TMEM16A-CaCC channel assembly and activity. Transfection of TMEM16A mutants where residues 161–179 (Δ161–179) have been deleted did not result in the expression of calcium-activated chloride currents in HEK 293 cells ($P < 0.001$, Dunn’s test with respect to wild-type channels) (Fig. 6A–C), suggesting that TMEM16A is unable to assemble into functional channels without this segment of its dimerization domain. The loss of functional channel expression is likely caused by the failure of TMEM16A subunits to properly assemble into quaternary structures because mutants lacking residues 161–179 failed to bind to other TMEM16A subunits in coimmunoprecipitation assays (Fig. 6D) and also mislocalized from the plasma membrane to the ER (Fig. 6E and Fig. S5). This phenomenon has been observed in previous studies of transmembrane proteins (21, 22) and may be attributed to quality-control mechanisms in the ER that prevent the export of proteins until they can be folded into a native conformation and fully assembled or tagged for degradation (23).

Because the deletion of 19 residues is a large mutation that may cause major conformational rearrangements and protein misfolding, we also tested several smaller mutations to preserve as much of the native structure as possible while still disrupting
the dimerization domain’s intermolecular associations. Because the peptide of 19 residues within the dimerization domain is predicted to be α-helical, we mutated A169 at the center of this peptide to proline (A169P), an amino acid known to have low helix-forming properties (24). Substitution of alanine 169 with proline completely abolished calcium-activated chloride currents ($P < 0.001$, Dunn’s test with respect to wild-type channels) (Fig. 6 $A–C$), eliminated protein–protein interaction (Fig. 6$D$), and trafficking to the cell membrane (Fig. 6$E$ and Fig. S5).

The predicted helical domain within the dimerization domain also contains the cysteine residue at position 166. Because our immunoprecipitation experiments showed that C166 is dispensable for TMEM16A dimerization (Fig. S3), we wondered whether small perturbations of this region, such as substituting this cysteine with serine, would have any effect on channel assembly or function. The C166S mutation of the dimerization domain tended to reduce the whole cell calcium-activated chloride current in HEK 293 cells (Fig. 6$A–C$). However, this reduction in channel activity was not statistically significant, consistent with the observations that the mutant channel still coimmunoprecipitated with other TMEM16A subunits (Fig. 6$D$) and transported to the plasma membrane (Fig. 6$E$ and Fig. S5). Thus, C166 does not appear to be required for channel assembly and substitution of C166 with either serine or alanine (Fig. S3) does not compromise protein interactions in the N-terminal dimerization domain.

## Discussion

Many ion channels are assembled as modular complexes of identical or closely homologous pore-forming subunits. In addition to interactions of the membrane-spanning regions of these channel subunits, their cytosolic regions often harbor interaction domains that are important for channel assembly. Our study of the TMEM16A-CaCC extends this trend with a dimerization domain in the cytosolic N-terminal region crucial for channel assembly and function. We identify a region that is necessary and sufficient for homotypic protein–protein interactions in biochemical and electrophysiological assays. Perturbation of this domain interferes with channel subunit assembly and disrupts channel function. Replacement of this segment with homologous residues from TMEM16F alters the specificity of subunit interactions.

In TMEM16A-CaCC, this domain resides in a region highly conserved among the TMEM16 homologs, with the predicted helical segment (residues 161–179 of mTMEM16A) varying at just three residues in species that are as evolutionary divergent as rats, turkeys, chimpanzees, and zebrafish. The domain that we have identified is similar in size compared with the homotypic interaction domains found in other proteins. The T1 domain of KV1 channels is ~87-residues long (25) and the A-domain tail of the Kv7 channels is ~41 residues long (26). Despite its short length, this region of the TMEM16A protein contains a segment that is predicted with high probability to be in an α-helical conformation (18–20), possibly forming a core for protein–protein interactions. It is conceivable that these interactions are further stabilized by other parts of the protein.

Even though our data show that this N-terminal domain is necessary and sufficient for dimerization, it is unlikely to be the only intersubunit contact present in the TMEM16A-CaCC channel. The interaction between TMEM16A subunits are probably additionally facilitated by the high effective concentration of proteins embedded in the lipid bilayer, the favorable energetic of side-chain packing in the transmembrane domains, as well as the other protein–protein interfaces present in other portions of the channel (27). These disparate sources of interaction are well established in the channel structure literature and each channel family seems to adopt a unique strategy for protein oligomerization (11, 26, 28, 29). Because the dimerization domain identified in our studies exhibits no homology to any other known protein according to protein BLAST algorithms, further investigation of this channel will likely reveal unique oligomerization
strategies that may not have been evident from these previously described models.

TMEM16A plays an important physiological role in many different cell types (2). In addition, its close homologs TMEM16B and TMEM16F have been implicated in sensory transduction and modulation of central neuronal signaling (4, 5) and blood coagulation diseases (6, 7), respectively. To gain mechanistic insights to the function of this family of calcium-activated ion channels, it is important to probe the structural features of these unique proteins. Our study continues this effort by identifying a region critical for directing proper channel assembly. These results will have implications for understanding the molecular interactions that regulate ion conductance through the channel as well as for developing chemical modulators of this channel.

Materials and Methods

**Immunoprecipitation.** Transfected HEK 293 cells were lysed by homogenization in PBS (1.5 mM KH2PO4, 4.3 mM Na2HPO4, 2.7 mM KCl, 137 mM NaCl at pH 7.4) supplemented with 1% cholic acid, 1% Triton X-100, and 1× Complete protease inhibitor (Roche) with brief sonication. Insoluble matter was removed by centrifuging at 20,000 × g for 40 min at 4 °C. Supernatants were incubated with mouse monoclonal antibodies raised against GFP conjugated Sepharose beads (Invitrogen) for 2 h at 4 °C. Beads were washed three times with PBS and resuspended by centrifuging at 20,000 × g for 40 min at 4 °C. Supernatants were then incubated with mouse monoclonal antibodies raised against GFP (Neurona) overnight at 4 °C and then pulled-down with protein A-conjugated Sepharose beads (Invitrogen) for 2 h at 4 °C. Beads were washed three times with lysis buffer and once with PBS before elution with SDS/PAGE running buffer.

7. Yang H, et al. (2012) TMEM16F forms a Ca2+-activated cation channel required for 40 min at 4 °C. Supernatants were then incubated with mouse monoclonal antibodies raised against GFP (Neurona) overnight at 4 °C and then pulled-down with protein A-conjugated Sepharose beads (Invitrogen) for 2 h at 4 °C. Beads were washed three times with lysis buffer and once with PBS before elution with SDS/PAGE running buffer.

11. Li M, Jan YN, Jan LY (1992) Specific amino-acid residues in the N-terminus and TM3 im-

12. Lagree V, et al. (2003) Specific amino-acid residues in the N-terminus and TM3 im-


**Western Blot Analysis.** Proteins denatured by heating for 5–10 min at 95 °C in Laemmli sample buffer (30) were separated by SDS/PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. After blocking in TTBS buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% Tween-20, and 5% [w/v] skim milk powder), the membranes were incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C followed by horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature. Detection was performed using enhanced chemiluminescence kit and hyperfilm MP (Amersham).

**Electrophysiology.** HEK 293 cells transiently transfected for 14–20 h were plated onto poly-L-lysine–coated coverslips 2–3 h before recording. Whole-cell recordings were performed at room temperature on transfected cells using an Axopatch 2008 patch-clamp amplifier and pClamp9 software (Molecular Devices) following conditions modified from Schroeder et al. (31). External solutions contained 140 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 2 mM CaCl2, 1 mM MgCl2, and 10 mM Hepes and 300 nM free Ca2+ internal solutions contained 140 mM NaCl, 5 mM CaCl2, 12 mM EGTA; calcium concentrations were determined using Maxchelator software (http://maxchelator.stanford.edu) and the pH-metric method (32). All solutions were titrated with NaOH to pH 7.2.

**Miscellaneous.** Additional information can be found in SI Materials and Methods. For a list of primers used in this study, see Table S1.
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SI Materials and Methods

Materials. The ECL kit, hyperfilm MP, and glutathione-Sepharose 4B were purchased from GE Healthcare; EZ-Link Sulfo-NHS-LC-Biotin and Streptavidin agarose resin from Thermo Scientific; Lipofectamine 2000, Alexa fluor 647-streptavidin, DAPI, and Prolong Gold antifade reagent from Invitrogen; cholic acid from USB; complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor mixture from Roche; PBS, DMEM, t-glutamine, sodium pyruvate, and 0.25% trypsin from University of California, San Francisco Cell Culture Facility; and all other chemicals were purchased from Sigma.

Antibodies. Mouse monoclonal GFP antibody was purchased from ImmunoResearch Laboratories. Rabbit polyclonal dsRed antibody from Clontech; and HRP-goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L), HRP-goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L), rhodamine-goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) from Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories.

DNA Constructs. Mouse-derived TMEM16A (30547439), TMEM16B (5357763), and TMEM16F (6409332) cDNAs were obtained from Open Biosystems, and Xenopus-derived TMEM16A cDNA was obtained from Schroeder et al. (1). cDNAs were cloned into pEGFP-N1 and pmCherry-N1 vectors (Clontech) for mammalian expression. Truncation mutants were generated by PCR, chimera mutants were generated by overlap extension PCR, and site-directed mutagenesis was performed using the QuikChange kit (Stratagene) using primers listed in Table S1.

Cell Culture and Transfection. HEK 293 cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 4.5 g/L d-glucose, 110 mg/L sodium pyruvate, 584 mg/L t-glutamine, and 10% (vol/vol) FBS. Cell culture media was supplemented with 200 µg/mL Geneticin and 100 µg/mL hygromycin to maintain selection pressure for stably transfected TMEM16A cell lines. Cells were maintained at 37 °C in a humidified incubator with 5% (vol/vol) CO2 and were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen).

Native Gel Electrophoresis. Transfected cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS and harvested with 2× native sample buffer (Invitrogen). Cells were homogenized by brief sonication and insoluble material was precipitated at 20,000 × g for 20 min at 4 °C. Supernatants were treated with differing concentrations of SDS for 30 min at room temperature, resolved using the NativePAGE kit (Invitrogen), and detected by Western blotting.

Cross-Linking. Transfected cells were cooled on ice, washed twice with ice-cold PBS, and washed with different concentrations of 3,3′-dithiobis(sulfosuccinimidylpropionate) (DTSSP) or di-thiobis(sulfosuccinimidylpropionate) (DSP) in PBS for 20 min on ice. Untreated reagent was removed with four ice-cold PBS washes and quenched with two 100 mM glycine in ice-cold PBS washes. Cultures were harvested in buffer A (PBS, 1% Triton X-100, 1% cholic acid, and protease inhibitor mixture). The homogenates were centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 15 min at 4 °C. The resulting complexes were resolved by SDS/PAGE and identified by Western blot analysis.

Surface Biotinylation. Transfected cells were cooled on ice, washed twice with ice-cold PBS, and incubated with 1 mg/mL Sulfo-NHS-S-S-Biotin in PBS for 20 min on ice. Unreacted biotinylation reagent was removed with four ice-cold PBS washes and quenched with two 100 mM glycine in ice-cold PBS washes. Cultures were harvested in buffer A. The homogenates were centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 15 min at 4 °C. The resulting supernatant was incubated with 50 µL streptavidin-beads for 3 h at 4 °C. The resulting complexes were washed three times with 1 mL of buffer A and once with PBS without detergent, resolved by SDS/PAGE and identified by Western blot analysis.

Protein Purification. Peptides cloned into pGEX-4T-1 vectors were expressed in Escherichia coli BL21(DE3) in 2XYT broth at 25 °C and induced at 0.6–0.8 OD600 with 1 mM isopropl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside for 4 h. Cells were lysed by sonication in buffer A and insoluble matter was cleared by centrifugation. Cleared supernatants were equilibrated with glutathione-conjugated Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare) and washed with PBS. Purified peptides on the beads were added directly to cell lysates for GST-pull-down experiments.

GST Pull-Down. Membrane proteins were extracted by sonication from HEK 293 cell cultures in buffer A. Cleared lysates were allowed to equilibrate with GST-tagged peptides on glutathione-conjugated Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare) for 2 h at 4 °C. Beads were washed three times with buffer A and once with PBS without detergent, and copurifying proteins were separated by SDS/PAGE and identified by Western blot analysis and Ponceau S staining.

Immunocytochemistry. HEK 293 cells plated onto poly-l-lysine coated coverslips were allowed to express transiently transfected proteins for 48 h. To visualize the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) compartment, HEK 293 cells were cotransfected with an ER-localized CD4-KXXX-GFP marker (2). Membrane proteins were labeled with a membrane-impermeable amine-reactive biotinylation reagent. Cells were fixed with 4% (wt/vol) paraformaldehyde and 4% (wt/vol) sucrose, permeabilized and blocked with 5% (vol/vol) normal donkey serum in PBS containing 0.1% Triton X-100, and equilibrated overnight with rabbit antibodies raised against mCherry/dsRed (Clontech). Labeled proteins were detected by incubation with a rhodamine-conjugated anti-rabbit antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch) and an Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated avidin (Invitrogen) for 1 h at room temperature and examined under a Leica TCS SP2 confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems).


Fig. S1. Dendrogram showing sequence conservation between TMEM16 homologs in mouse (m) and Xenopus (x). Sequence alignment was calculated using ClustalW2 (1). Schematic was generated with Jalview (2). Pairwise sequence identity was calculated with www.ch.embnet.org/software/LALIGN_form.html. Numbers indicate percent amino acid identity and length of branches are inversely proportional to homology.

Fig. S2. Sequence alignment between mTMEM16A, xTMEM16A, mTMEM16B and mTMEM16F calculated using ClustalW2 (1). Schematic was generated with Jalview (2). Yellow box indicates mTMEM16A residues 117–321 used in truncation mutant experiments.

Fig. S3. Cysteine residue in the TMEM16A dimerization domain is dispensable for subunit dimerization. (A) Schematic diagram of alanine substitution mutations. (B-C) GFP (Vector) or GFP fused to residues 117-204 (wild-type, 164AAA, 165AAA, or 166AAA) was co-expressed in HEK 293 cells with mCherry-tagged full length (FL) TMEM16A (B), or mCherry-tagged residues 117-204 (wild-type, 164AAA, 165AAA, or 166AAA) (C). Proteins were immunoprecipitated from HEK 293 cell lysates with an anti-GFP antibody. Immunoprecipitating proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and identified by Western blot analysis with anti-dsRed and anti-GFP antibodies.

Fig. S4. Alignment from Fig. S2 of region replaced in chimera mutants between mTMEM16A and mTMEM16F. Residues 117–179 correspond to the shortest fragment of TMEM16A sufficient for coimmunoprecipitation in Fig. 4D; residues 152–179 correspond to the region of overlap between two homomeric fragments spanning residues 117–179 and residues 152–231 in Fig. 4D.
Fig. S5. Same cells from Fig. 6 with ER-specific label shown. TMEM16A-mCherry, Δ161–179-mCherry, A169P-mCherry, A169G-mCherry, V164G/A179G/L174G-mCherry, or C166S-mCherry was coexpressed in HEK 293 cells with CD4-KXXX-GFP (green). Immunostaining was performed using a dsRed antibody (magenta). (Scale bar, 20 μm.)
Table S1. Primers used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primers used for deletion mutagenesis</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>aagcttcgaattctgATGAGGGTCCCCGAGAAGTACTC</td>
<td>Forward primer to cut out the TMEM16A ORF with a 5′ EcoR1 site and 2-bp frame shift</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ggccgccaagggactttcggtaaacagggctttg</td>
<td>Reverse primer to cut out CDS of TMEM16A before TM1 with 3′ AgeI site offset by 2 bp for ORF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTTCAATTCTGAtggattaccatgaagtgcagcttc</td>
<td>Forward primer to cut out CDS of TMEM16A until bp505 with 3′ AgeI site offset by 2 bp for ORF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGCAGCCGTTGtggactttgcctttccttcacctc</td>
<td>Reverse primer to cut out CDS of TMEM16A until bp1021 (amino acid with 3′ AgeI site offset by 2 bp)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGCAGCCGTTGGactggggatgttttcgtccacagt</td>
<td>Reverse primer to cut out CDS of TMEM16A until bp955 with 3′ AgeI site offset by 2 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGCAGCCGTTGGatggattttggtatcctcgtcattc</td>
<td>Reverse primer to cut out CDS of TMEM16A until bp850 with 3′ AgeI site offset by 2 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGGTGGATCCCGctttgtggtctgtggcctgtgc</td>
<td>Reverse primer to cut out CDS of TMEM16A until bp812 with 3′ BamHI site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGGTGGATCCCGggggtctgtgatcttctgcagaa</td>
<td>Reverse primer to cut out CDS of TMEM16A until bp769 with 3′ BamHI site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGGTGGATCCCGctttgtgggcatctttagtttcaaaaa</td>
<td>Reverse primer to cut out CDS of TMEM16A until bp694 with 3′ BamHI site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGGTGGATCCCGcgcatggatcttcacaaacgc</td>
<td>Reverse primer to cut out CDS of TMEM16A until bp637 with 3′ BamHI site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTTCGAATTCTGCAGatgggtgtcgggtttgtgaagatcc</td>
<td>Forward primer to cut out CDS of TMEM16A at bp611 with 5′ EcoRI site and ATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTGGTGCTCGAGTGCttacttctttgtgggcatctttagtttc</td>
<td>Reverse primer to cut out CDS of TMEM16A until bp850 (with 3′ XhoI site and TAA stop)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGGCCATATGGCTatgccctggcatgtgctctgtagg</td>
<td>Forward primer to cut out CDS of TMEM16A at bp637 with NdeI site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGGCCATATGGCTatggattaccatgaagatgacaaacg</td>
<td>Forward primer to cut out CDS of TMEM16A at bp506 with NdeI site</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primers used for bacterial expression</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GGCAGCTCTGCTCttacttctttgtgggcatctttagtttc</td>
<td>Forward primer to cut out CDS of TMEM16A at bp637 with NdeI site</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primers used for site-directed mutagenesis</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>gcgccctggcatGAacctgtagggagaGGAgagtttttgaaa</td>
<td>Forward primer to add G mutation to front, center, and end of dimerization helix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGAgagtttttgaaacta</td>
<td>Reverse primer to add G mutation to front, center, and end of dimerization helix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tgtgctctagtggaaACAggttattggaaa</td>
<td>Forward primer to add P mutation to center of dimerization helix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tagtttctaaaactcTCttccctacagagTCatgcgggcgc</td>
<td>Reverse primer to add P mutation to center of dimerization helix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gttcgtctgtagggagCCAAaggttttgaaaa</td>
<td>Forward primer to add G mutation to center of dimerization helix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tagtttctaaaactcTCttccctacagagTCatgcgggcgc</td>
<td>Reverse primer to add G mutation to center of dimerization helix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cctgcttgatgtgTCcgggaagctgtttt</td>
<td>Forward primer to change 165-C &gt;-S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aaactcattctctGGAgagacatgcaagg</td>
<td>Reverse primer to change 165-C &gt;-S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gtgaagatcatgacgaagaagagtgtac</td>
<td>Forward primer to delete dimerization helix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gtacaccttttcttgcatgcatccttc</td>
<td>Reverse primer to delete dimerization helix</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primers used for overlap extension PCR</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tgtgacatcaattcgcctaaagaggttgacattccatcagttgagc</td>
<td>Forward primer to make TMEM16A/F dimerization domain chimera 3′ slice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gtctcaactgatttggccttttgagggaatttggtgtgcaca</td>
<td>Reverse primer to make TMEM16A/F dimerization domain chimera 3′ slice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>caggtctccagggagctttccaaagagctaatgtaaagagagacagag</td>
<td>Forward primer to make TMEM16A/F 117–179 chimera 5′ splice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ctctttctctttactgctgtgagttt</td>
<td>Reverse primer to make TMEM16A/F 117–179 chimera 5′ splice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gaatggcagagataaaactaagctttgctttgattacagagtcac</td>
<td>Forward primer to make TMEM16A/F 152–179 chimera 5′ splice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gtctacatcttacagaaagaagtaggtgacatccttc</td>
<td>Reverse primer to make TMEM16A/F 152–179 chimera 5′ splice</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>