Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

LBL Publications

Title

I-BEAT: Ultrasonic method for online measurement of the energy distribution of a single ion bunch

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9dj8r0xw

Journal Scientific Reports, 9(1)

ISSN

2045-2322

Authors

Haffa, Daniel Yang, Rong Bin, Jianhui <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2019

DOI

10.1038/s41598-019-42920-5

Peer reviewed

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

Received: 19 October 2018 Accepted: 10 April 2019 Published online: 30 April 2019

I-BEAT: Ultrasonic method for online measurement of the energy distribution of a single ion bunch

Daniel Haffa¹, Rong Yang¹, Jianhui Bin^{1,4}, Sebastian Lehrack¹, Florian-Emanuel Brack^{5,6}, Hao Ding^{2,3}, Franz S. Englbrecht¹, Ying Gao¹, Johannes Gebhard¹, Max Gilljohann^{2,3}, Johannes Götzfried², Jens Hartmann¹, Sebastian Herr¹, Peter Hilz¹, Stephan D. Kraft⁵, Christian Kreuzer¹, Florian Kroll^{5,6}, Florian H. Lindner¹, Josefine Metzkes-Ng⁵, Tobias M. Ostermayr^{1,3,4}, Enrico Ridente¹, Thomas F. Rösch¹, Gregor Schilling², Hans-Peter Schlenvoigt⁵, Martin Speicher¹, Derya Taray¹, Matthias Würl¹, Karl Zeil⁵, Ulrich Schramm^{5,6}, Stefan Karsch^{2,3}, Katia Parodi¹, Paul R. Bolton¹, Walter Assmann¹ & Jörg Schreiber^{1,3}

The shape of a wave carries all information about the spatial and temporal structure of its source, given that the medium and its properties are known. Most modern imaging methods seek to utilize this nature of waves originating from Huygens' principle. We discuss the retrieval of the complete kinetic energy distribution from the acoustic trace that is recorded when a short ion bunch deposits its energy in water. This novel method, which we refer to as Ion-Bunch Energy Acoustic Tracing (I-BEAT), is a refinement of the ionoacoustic approach. With its capability of completely monitoring a single, focused proton bunch with prompt readout and high repetition rate, I-BEAT is a promising approach to meet future requirements of experiments and applications in the field of laser-based ion acceleration. We demonstrate its functionality at two laser-driven ion sources for quantitative online determination of the kinetic energy distribution in the focus of single proton bunches.

Laser-plasma accelerator development has been advancing rapidly in the past few decades, opening a new frontier in accelerator physics. High particle numbers at a broad range of relativistic energies, originating from an exceptionally confined region in space and time, are some of the outstanding features of laser-plasma-based ion accelerators¹⁻⁴. Tremendous efforts and progress regarding increasing intensity, repetition rate and various target refinements bring many applications within reach of today's capability⁵⁻¹¹. This impressive progress enhances the need for innovative diagnostics development. The direct measurement of the ion kinetic energy distributions that satisfy emerging online evaluation requirements, such as high repetition rate detection at increased ion energies while being robust and EMP (electromagnetic pulse) resistant, is the primary motivation for this work.

Volumetric detectors such as stacks of radiation-sensitive films¹²⁻¹⁴, CR39^{15,16}, copper activation^{17,18} and scintillators¹⁹⁻²¹ allow recording of the energy-dependent angular distribution across the full ion bunch energy spectrum. Other methods to date typically rely on sampling a minor fraction of an ion bunch in magnetic and Thomson parabola spectrometers²²⁻²⁴. The endeavor to use the ions for applications requires efforts for collecting and guiding a large portion of the diverging ion bunch²⁵⁻²⁹. Due to the energy selectivity typical of particle optics, the need arises to reliably characterize the particles' full energy distribution from a single bunch directly at application sites⁵ ideally with direct, prompt single bunch readout.

¹Lehrstuhl für Medizinphysik, Fakultät für Physik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, 85748, Garching b. München, Germany. ²Lehrstuhl für Experimentalphysik - Laserphysik, Fakultät für Physik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, 85748, Garching b. München, Germany. ³Max-Planck-Institut für Quantenoptik, 85748, Garching b. München, Germany. ⁴Accelerator Technology and Applied Physics Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, 94720, USA. ⁵Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden–Rossendorf (HZDR), Bautzner Landstr. 400, 01328, Dresden, Germany. ⁶Technische Universität Dresden, 01062, Dresden, Germany. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to D.H. (email: Daniel.Haffa@physik.lmu.de) or R.Y. (email: Rong.Yang@ physik.lmu.de)



Figure 1. Experimental scheme of I-BEAT. (a) The short ion bunch enters the water volume via an $11 \,\mu\text{m}$ thick titanium foil (1 cm diameter), depositing its energy in the water and generating an acoustic wave, which is measured via a transducer. This generates a signal as shown in (b), where the orange curve is an example trace for a mono-energetic 9.4 MeV proton bunch measured at the MLL Tandem accelerator and the blue curve represents simulated results, considering an ideal detector with equal conditions.

Here we report on a new method for ion energy measurements, relying on analysis of the acoustic wave, generated when an ion bunch dissipates its energy into a water volume^{30,31}. The concept of measuring acoustic signal of ionizing particles in water^{32,33} has recently been advanced to verify the range of mono-energetic ion beams^{30,31} in particular in combination with ultrasonic imaging^{34,35} for future clinical applications³⁶. The short bunch duration and therefore intense particle flux of laser-accelerated protons allows for the first time a reconstruction of the depth dose distribution and therefore the complete and more complex energy distribution of a single proton bunch (without any averaging or scanning as required in previous work)³⁴.

I-BEAT consists of two parts, the detector that measures the acoustic traces (Fig. 1, details can be found in supplementary material) and the reconstruction algorithm that yields the complete energy distribution. As seen in Fig. 1a the proton bunch enters the cylindrical water chamber from the left (4 cm diameter and length of 10 cm), through an 11 µm thick titanium foil with 1 cm diameter. The ultrasound transducer (Videoscan V311, Olympus), operating in the MHz regime, records the acoustic waves propagating towards the on-axis transducer. If the bunch duration is much shorter than the typical duration of the acoustic wave period (i.e. on the order of μ s) the energy deposition can be considered instantaneous. The pressure signal on the axis of propagation at a distance $z = z_d$ (position of the ultrasound detector) is then obtained by solving the wave equation (see supplementary material) as follows

$$p(z_d, t) = \frac{\Gamma n_i}{4\pi c \sigma_r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{z_d - ct}^{z_d + ct} B_s(z') e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_r^2} [c^2 t^2 - (z_d - z')^2]} dz',$$
(1)

where $B_s(z') = \int B(E_{kin}, z') f(E_{kin}) dE_{kin}$ represents the instantaneously generated spread out Bragg curve, $f(E_{kin})$ corresponds to the normalized kinetic energy distribution of n_i ions in a single bunch, c is the speed of sound in the medium in which the ions are stopped and Γ is the Grüneisen parameter³⁷. For simplicity we assume the transverse profile of the ion bunch in water to be Gaussian with standard deviation σ_r .

The blue curve in Fig. 1b exemplarily shows the pressure wave that would be recorded from a mono-energetic 9.4 MeV proton bunch. The signal can be viewed in three segments. In addition to the pulse that propagates directly to the transducer and arrives 36.5 µs after proton impact (segment 1), a second pulse initially propagates in the opposite direction towards the entrance window, and is subsequently reflected back towards the transducer (segment 3). The combination of direct and reflected acoustic signals (segments 1 & 3) images the pressure source from two sides and can thus even be interpreted as a first step towards tomographic analysis. The smaller intermediate signal (segment 2) originates from the energy deposition at the entrance window. Reflections from the side walls of the water housing are temporally well separated and highly attenuated and do not disturb the signal.

Direct comparison and mismatch between the measured (orange) and the ideal pressure signal predicted by Eq. (1) (blue) reveals the need for applying the detector response³⁸ correction, i.e. the detector transfer function. Therefore a calibration was performed at the MLL Tandem accelerator in Garching, using well defined proton bunches of 40 ns duration with an energy of 9.4 MeV ($dE/E = 10^{-4}$) (see supplementary material). The calibration allows the determination of the expected observed acoustic trace $S_m(t)$ in volts for a given energy distribution $f(E_{kin})$. The I-BEAT reconstruction algorithm varies $f(E_{kin})$, calculates $S_m(t)$ and compares it to the measured curve. With this so called simulated annealing³⁹ (see methods), we can iteratively retrieve the discretized energy distribution function $f(E_{kin})$ and the transverse bunch size σ_r (see supplementary material). A first successful I-BEAT reconstruction of the energy distribution of proton beams with a narrow energy spread has also been shown at the tandem accelerator (see supplementary material).

After calibration and characterization, I-BEAT was demonstrated using laser-accelerated ion bunches at the Laboratory of Extreme Photonics (LEX Photonics) in Garching near Munich (Fig. 2a)⁴⁰. The ATLAS-300 is a Ti:sapphire laser system delivering 2.2 J energy (on target) within 30 fs at a central wavelength of 800 nm and repetition rate of 1 Hz with $10^{20} Wcm^{-2}$ (on target). By focusing it onto a 250 nm gold foil, a proton bunch with a typically broad TNSA spectrum up to 9 MeV emerged from the surface contamination layers of the plasma source^{1,2,41}. A permanent magnetic quadrupole (PMQ) doublet^{42,43} placed closely behind the proton source



Figure 2. Results for laser-accelerated proton bunches: (a) Shows the schematic of the experiment setup. A high power laser (ATLAS-300) is focused with an off-axis parabola (OAP) onto a foil target. Two permanent magnetic quadrupoles (PMQ) are used to focus a short ion bunch. A dipole magnet (DM) is used to remove electrons and low energy ions from the swift ion bunch, which is focused within the ionoacoustic detector. (b) Acoustic signals of single proton bunches. The design energies attenuated to 6.2, 6.7, 7.2 and 7.8 MeV on entering the water volume, are set by positioning of the PMQs. The solid line is the measured acoustic signal and the dashed line corresponds to the calculated signal from the retrieved spectrum in (**d**). (**c**) Depth dose curves corresponding to the different energy settings. The dose on the central axis is $D(z) = \frac{1}{\rho} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_r^2} \cdot B_s(z)$. (**d**)

Absolute proton energy distributions of single proton bunches of the different design energy settings in the ion focus. The inset reveals a focal plane image of a single proton bunch at the position of the detector entrance, taken with an image plate. The σ_r marks the lateral extension evaluated with I-BEAT.

.....

collected a large portion of this bunch and focused it to the application site outside of the vacuum chamber⁵. The PMQ doublet chromaticity was exploited to focus the design energy to a desired position (application site) by adjusting both the distances to the target and each component of the doublet. The energy distribution of the proton bunch at the focal position was thereby filtered (i.e. narrowed down to a range around the design energy as depicted in Fig. 2d) and not measurable with existing high repetition rate techniques. I-BEAT, placed at application site, enables this measurement. The bunch exited the vacuum chamber through a 50 µm kapton window (1 cm wide in horizontal and 5 cm in vertical dimensions), traversed 3.3 cm of air and the 11 µm thick titanium detector entrance window before reaching the water volume. An additional dipole magnet with an effective field of 150 mT over a length of 0.1 m was employed to 'clean' the signal, i.e. directing potential contamination attributed to energetic electrons and low energy ions away from the detector entrance²³.

Acoustic traces corresponding to single proton bunches with design energies attenuated to 6.2, 6.7, 7.3 and 7.8 MeV at the water tank are presented in Fig. 2b. The spot size at the focus of the laser-accelerated proton bunch was not well defined (a picture taken with an image plate can be seen in the inset of Fig. 2d). While the highest dose is located in an area smaller than $\sigma_r = 1.5 \pm 0.2$ mm (error is due to the step size of the fitting algorithm) it features also radial caustic shapes at lower dose⁴⁴. Although this overall shape is not Gaussian, the evaluation of the residuals Σ (see methods) for different σ_r produced a comparable result as long as σ_r less than 2.5 mm was chosen. To accelerate the reconstruction process σ_r was fixed to 1.5 mm for the reconstruction of the different energy settings, since this was the best fit for reconstruction of the 6.2 MeV case (see supplementary material). Note that even though the reconstructed diameter fits the extensions of the ion focus well, it is only an estimation relying on the assumption of a symmetric lateral distribution (in our case Gaussian). For more complicated lateral distributions, measurements with more transducers in complementary directions will be required.

Retrieved absolutely calibrated proton energy distributions in the PMQ doublet focal plane are presented in Fig. 2d. The proton number of 10^8 may seem small but considering that the bunch length in 1.5 m distance is about 15 ns (for a proton bunch with spectrum covering 4 to 8 MeV) and a Gaussian width of 1.5 mm, the proton flux is intense. For the case of 6.2 MeV in Fig. 2, the peak bunch current reaches about 1 mA. As part of the numerical reconstruction, those final retrieved energy distributions ($n_i f(E_{kin})$) are used to calculate an expected signal S_m (t), employing Eq. (1) and the transfer function (dashed curves in Fig. 2b). The excellent conformity of the final retrieved and measured signals demonstrates successful reconstruction of the ion energy distribution. The corresponding on-axis depth dose distribution in Fig. 2c is of particular interest for biomedical application and is a natural byproduct of I-BEAT.





We note that there currently exists no other established method, to which we could reliably compare our results at the presented proton energies (~7 MeV) with similar energy resolution in a focused beam. Therefore we conducted another experiment at the Dresden Laser Acceleration Source (Draco) petawatt laser at Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf (HZDR)⁴⁵, enabling a direct comparison of I-BEAT to the well-established radiochromic film (RCF) stack detector and further allowing a demonstration of the feasibility of I-BEAT at a higher fluence level approaching 10⁹ protons per bunch. Particle numbers beyond 10¹⁰ per bunch are foreseen as realistic (see supplementary material).

The Draco laser is a Ti:sapphire petawatt laser system, capable of delivering an energy of up to 30 J on target within 30 fs at a repetition rate of 1 Hz. Here it was operated at reduced, 12 J on target, due to a temporal pulse cleaning via a plasma mirror⁴⁶. It was focused onto a 200 nm thin plastic foil, generating a proton bunch with a typically broad TNSA spectrum with energies up to 30 MeV. A pulsed solenoid^{29,47} was used to focus a design energy into the detector outside of the vacuum chamber⁶ (Fig. 3a, see methods). This time, energies up to 30 MeV enabled a comparison with an RCF stack (EBT3 Gafchromic film, calibrated with an X-ray tube). Figure 3 shows a shot for a design energy of about 16 MeV and its comparison to an RCF stack. This energy setting has been chosen to optimize the signal-to-noise ratio recorded by the I-BEAT detector. Figure 3b shows the measured signal and the simulated signal using the evaluated spectrum of Fig. 3c as an input. Figure 3d validates that I-BEAT can reconstruct the depth dose distribution quantitatively. The depth resolution (horizontal spacing between data points) of I-BEAT is due to the sampling rate and transfer function and the error bar due to the limited band width of the transducer (10 MHz). The dose-error of I-BEAT results mainly from the calibration at the Tandem accelerator and noise in the measured signal. For the RCF stack (EBT3-films) an overall accuracy in dose determination better than 5% can be obtained. However, due to higher linear energy transfers (LET) in the Bragg peak region (with respect to the plateau region) of a mono-energetic proton beam, quenching effects might occur, which can lead to higher dose uncertainties. The grey bar t_{RCF} illustrates the water equivalent thickness and thus the lateral resolution of an RCF. Fitting a 2D Gaussian to the Gafchromic film with the highest dose yields $\sigma_{\rm h}$ of 3.6 mm and $\sigma_{\rm v}$ of 2.2 mm with an average of 2.8 mm. The fitting result of I-BEAT with $\sigma_{\rm r}$ = 3.0 ± 0.2 mm matches and confirms that the transversal information can be retrieved with a single transducer. Even though the error-bars of the measured dose obtained with I-BEAT are larger than the ones obtained with an RCF stack, the dose matches well. The error-bars of I-BEAT can be reduced with more advance calibration techniques. The energy resolution of I-BEAT at this stage is already better than that of the RCF stack (spatial resolution is nearly doubled, Fig. 3d).

The longitudinal spatial resolution of I-BEAT is in general limited by the frequency of the detector (transducer) and the transfer function. Since the spatial resolution, to first order, is constant along the propagation direction (Fig. 3d), the energy resolution of I-BEAT intrinsically increases for higher ion energies (Fig. 3c). This is in contrast to techniques based on magnetic deflection^{22–24}, where the energy resolution decreases with increasing ion energies. For I-BEAT, the energy resolution approaching high kinetic energies is likely limited by energy loss straggling⁴⁸ as for all depth range monitors such as RCF stacks (in general termed position sensitive calorimeters).

We demonstrated I-BEAT for determining the absolute kinetic energy distribution of single focused ultrashort proton bunches using one transducer. In contrast to previous work³⁴, no averaging or scanning was required. Even though we demonstrated the use of I-BEAT in air, the detector can also be operated in vacuum without modification (see supplementary material). The harsh conditions typically encountered near laser-plasmas (notably strong electromagnetic pulses)⁴⁹ are key challenges in the design and evaluation of online electronic detectors. In contrast, the relatively low speed of sound results in an inherent µs delay of the acoustic signal, which effectively stores the information. This allows ample time for the decay of prompt undesirable artifacts of the intense laser-plasma interaction, rendering I-BEAT measurements unaffected. While short, intense ion bunches typically saturate detectors (or even cause radiation damage), I-BEAT, using water as a medium, is nearly indestructible and offers a high dynamic range from 10⁷ (Fig. 2) to (at least) 10¹¹ (see supplementary material) protons/mm² for intense ion bunches. Further key advantages of I-BEAT include compactness, robustness, simplicity of operation and low cost. With its demonstrated merits, especially in certain situations (e.g. focused beams), we see I-BEAT as a complement and promising addition to the pool of ion diagnostics for research and application at existing and upcoming high repetition rate petawatt laser facilities.

Currently I-BEAT allows the reconstruction of the absolute depth-dose distribution at application sites, in particular downstream of energy selective transport and focusing optics. Furthermore the reconstruction of a broad proton spectrum, typically generated in TNSA-based acceleration schemes has been simulated (see supplementary material). In combination with magnetic energy selection optics (including quadrupoles or solenoids) the detailed diagnosis of more complicated particle bunches consisting of mixed species (for example protons and carbons), can be incorporated in the reconstruction algorithm (see supplementary material for an example). The energy range in which I-BEAT operates can be adapted by proper choice of medium and size to accommodate the complete Bragg curve (starting from several MeV as presented in Fig. 2 up to several 100 of MeV protons)⁴⁸. The clear advantage of I-BEAT is its potential for even higher repetition rate systems. The duration of the I-BEAT signal remains shorter than twice the range of ions divided by the speed of sound, for 100 MeV protons this is 100 µs. The I-BEAT detector could thus be advanced to an operation with repetition rates up to kHz. By employing more transducers in additional directions tomographic reconstruction of the 3D dose distribution seems feasible⁵⁰. This is particularly attractive when the particle energy is completely deposited within a sample, in the simplest case water⁵¹.

Methods

Simulated annealing. The method of simulated annealing relies on a random variation of an initial spectrum $f_i(E_{kin})$ to obtain a modified spectrum $f_m(E_{kin})$, and comparing the two predicted acoustic signals $S_i(t)$, $S_m(t)$ from the initial and the modified inputs with the measured signal $S_0(t)$ by the least squares method. If the residual Σm is smaller than Σi , the algorithm continues with the modified spectrum as the updated input distribution for the next cycle. Otherwise, with probability $exp(-(\Sigma_m - \Sigma_i)/T)$, the modified spectrum is rejected, while with the probability $1 - exp(-(\Sigma_m - \Sigma_i)/T)$ the initial spectrum is taken into the next cycle to avoid being caught in a local minimum. *T* is the annealing schedule temperature and is set to 1. After a sufficient amount (few hundred) of iterations, Σi converges to a global minimum value, the final residual.

Experimental setup at the draco laser. In the experiment, Draco delivered 30 fs pulses with an energy of about 12 J with enhanced temporal contrast using a re-collimating single plasma mirror on target. Using plastic foil targets with a thickness of about 200 nm, the laser drives a TNSA proton source with cut-off energies in the range of 30 MeV. The tunable solenoid magnet^{29,47} is positioned 80 mm behind the target and is therefore able to collect the high energetic part of the beam without particle loss. It acts as chromatic lens and can be used to generate a focus of a desired mean energy at the irradiation site in air about two meters downstream of the target. The energy bandwidth of the transported proton bunch amounts to about 20% (FWHM) at the focus position. For the presented experiment, a mean proton energy of 15.4 MeV was focused into the I-BEAT detector, corresponding to a solenoid current of 12 kA leading to a magnetic field of ca. 10 T, accordingly. This proton energy has been chosen to optimize the signal-to-noise ratio recorded by the I-BEAT detector.

Data Availability

The data that support the plots within this paper and other findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request. The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

References

- Macchi, A., Borghesi, M. & Passoni, M. Ion acceleration by superintense laser-plasma interaction. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 85, 751–793 (2013).
- 2. Daido, H., Nishiuchi, M. & Pirozhkov, A. S. Review of laser-driven ion sources and their applications. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **75**, 056401 (2012).
- Schreiber, J., Bolton, P. R. & Parodi, K. Invited Review Article: "Hands-on" laser-driven ion acceleration: A primer for laser-driven source development and potential applications. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 87, 071101 (2016).
- 4. Snavely, R. A. et al. Intense high-energy proton beams from petawatt-laser irradiation of solids. Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 2945 (2000).
- 5. Bin, J. et al. A laser-driven nanosecond proton source for radiobiological studies. Appl. Phys. Lett. 101, 243701 (2012).
- 6. Zeil, K. et al. Dose-controlled irradiation of cancer cells with laser-accelerated proton pulses. Appl. Phys. B 110, 437-444 (2013).

- 7. Roth, M. et al. Fast Ignition by Intense Laser-Accelerated Proton Beams. Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 436-439 (2001).
- Cobble, J. A., Johnson, R. P., Cowan, T. E., Renard-Le Galloudec, N. & Allen, M. High resolution laser-driven proton radiography. J. Appl. Phys. 92, 1775–1779 (2002).
 - 9. Krushelnick, K. *et al.* Ultrahigh-intensity laser-produced plasmas as a compact heavy ion injection source. *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.* 28, 1110–1155 (2000).
- 10. Barberio, M. et al. Laser-accelerated particle beams for stress testing of materials. Nat. Commun. 9 (2018).
- 11. Hidding, B. et al. Laser-plasma-based Space Radiation Reproduction in the Laboratory. Sci. Rep. 7 (2017).
- 12. McLaughlin, W. L. et al. Novel Radiochromic Films for Clinical Dosimetry. Radiat. Prot. Dosimetry 66, 263-268 (1996).
- Niroomand-Rad, A. et al. Radiochromic film dosimetry: Recommendations of AAPM Radiation Therapy Committee Task Group 55. Med. Phys. 25, 2093–2115 (1998).
- 14. Butson, M. J., Yu, P. K., Cheung, T. & Metcalfe, P. Radiochromic film for medical radiation dosimetry. *Mater. Sci. Eng. R Rep.* 41, 61–120 (2003).
- Fleischer, R. L., Price, P. B. & Walker, R. M. Ion Explosion Spike Mechanism for Formation of Charged-Particle Tracks in Solids. J. Appl. Phys. 36, 3645–3652 (1965).
- 16. Jeong, T. W. et al. CR-39 track detector for multi-MeV ion spectroscopy. Sci. Rep. 7 (2017).
- 17. Tarisien, M. et al. NATALIE: A 32 detector integrated acquisition system to characterize laser produced energetic particles with nuclear techniques. Rev. Sci. Instrum. 82, 023302 (2011).
- Yang, J. M. et al. Nuclear reactions in copper induced by protons from a petawatt laser-foil interaction. Appl. Phys. Lett. 84, 675–677 (2004).
 Metzkes, J. et al. A scintillator-based online detector for the angularly resolved measurement of laser-accelerated proton spectra. Rev.
- Sci. Instrum. 83, 123301 (2012).
- 20. Metzkes, J. *et al.* An online, energy-resolving beam profile detector for laser-driven proton beams. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **87**, 083310 (2016).
- 21. Goulet, M. et al. Novel, full 3D scintillation dosimetry using a static plenoptic camera. Med. Phys. 41 (2014).
- 22. Jung, D. et al. A novel high resolution ion wide angle spectrometer. Rev. Sci. Instrum. 82, 043301 (2011).
- Lindner, F. H. *et al.* A novel approach to electron data background treatment in an online wide-angle spectrometer for laseraccelerated ion and electron bunches. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 89, 013301 (2018).
- 24. Harres, K. *et al.* Development and calibration of a Thomson parabola with microchannel plate for the detection of laser-accelerated MeV ions. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **79**, 093306 (2008).
- 25. Schollmeier, M. *et al.* Controlled Transport and Focusing of Laser-Accelerated Protons with Miniature Magnetic Devices. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101** (2008).
- 26. Kar, S. et al. Guided post-acceleration of laser-driven ions by a miniature modular structure. Nat. Commun. 7, 10792 (2016).
- 27. Pommarel, L. *et al.* Spectral and spatial shaping of a laser-produced ion beam for radiation-biology experiments. *Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams* **20** (2017).
- Toncian, T. et al. Ultrafast laser-driven microlens to focus and energy-select mega-electron volt protons. Science 312, 410–413 (2006).
- 29. Busold, S. et al. Focusing and transport of high-intensity multi-MeV proton bunches from a compact laser-driven source. Phys. Rev. Spec. Top. Accel. Beams 16, 101302 (2013).
- Assmann, W. et al. Ionoacoustic characterization of the proton Bragg peak with submillimeter accuracy. Med. Phys. 42, 567–574 (2015).
- 31. Parodi, K. & Assmann, W. Ionoacoustics: A new direct method for range verification. Mod. Phys. Lett. A 30, 1540025 (2015).
- Askariyan, G. A., Dolgoshein, B. A., Kalinovsky, A. N. & Mokhov, N. V. Acoustic detection of high energy particle showers in water. Nucl. Instrum. Methods 164, 267–278 (1979).
- Sulak, L. et al. Experimental studies of the acoustic signature of proton beams traversing fluid media. Nucl. Instrum. Methods 161, 203–217 (1979).
- 34. Kellnberger, S. *et al.* Ionoacoustic tomography of the proton Bragg peak in combination with ultrasound and optoacoustic imaging. *Sci. Rep.* **6** (2016).
- 35. Patch, S. K. *et al.* Thermoacoustic range verification using a clinical ultrasound array provides perfectly co-registered overlay of the Bragg peak onto an ultrasound image. *Phys. Med. Biol.* **61**, 5621 (2016).
- 36. Knopf, A.-C. & Lomax, A. In vivo proton range verification: a review. Phys. Med. Biol. 58, R131-R160 (2013).
- 37. Wang, L. V. & Wu, H. Biomedical optics: principles and imaging. (Wiley-Interscience, 2007).
- Caballero, M. A. A., Rosenthal, A., Buehler, A., Razansky, D. & Ntziachristos, V. Optoacoustic determination of spatio- temporal responses of ultrasound sensors. *IEEE Trans. Ultrason. Ferroelectr. Freq. Control* 60, 1234–1244 (2013).
- 39. Kirkpatrick, S., Gelatt, C. D. & Vecchi, M. P. Optimization by Simulated Annealing. Science 220, 671-680 (1983).
- 40. Gao, Y. *et al.* An automated, 0.5 Hz nano-foil target positioning system for intense laser plasma experiments. *High Power Laser Sci. Eng.* 5 (2017).
- 41. Fuchs, J. et al. Laser-driven proton scaling laws and new paths towards energy increase. Nat. Phys. 2, 48–54 (2006).
- 42. Rösch, T. F. *et al.* Considerations on employing a PMQ-doublet for narrow and broad proton energy distributions. *Curr. Dir. Biomed. Eng.* **3** (2017).
- Eichner, T. et al. Miniature magnetic devices for laser-based, table-top free-electron lasers. Phys. Rev. Spec. Top. Accel. Beams 10 (2007).
- 44. Schillaci, F. *et al.* Characterization of the ELIMED Permanent Magnets Quadrupole system prototype with laser-driven proton beams. *J. Instrum.* **11**, T07005–T07005 (2016).
- 45. Schramm, U. et al. First results with the novel petawatt laser acceleration facility in Dresden. J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 874, 012028 (2017).
- Obst, L. et al. On-shot characterization of single plasma mirror temporal contrast improvement. Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion, https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6587/aab3bb (2018).
- 47. Busold, S. et al. Towards highest peak intensities for ultra-short MeV-range ion bunches. Sci. Rep. 5 (2015).
- 48. Lehrack, S. *et al.* Submillimeter ionoacoustic range determination for protons in water at a clinical synchrocyclotron. *Phys. Med. Biol.* **62**, L20–L30 (2017).
- 49. Mead, M. J., Neely, D., Gauoin, J., Heathcote, R. & Patel, P. Electromagnetic pulse generation within a petawatt laser target chamber. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **75**, 4225–4227 (2004).
- 50. Reinhardt, S., Hillbrand, M., Wilkens, J. J. & Assmann, W. Comparison of Gafchromic EBT2 and EBT3 films for clinical photon and proton beams. *Med. Phys.* **39**, 5257–5262
- 51. Senje, L. *et al.* Experimental investigation of picosecond dynamics following interactions between laser accelerated protons and water. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **110**, 104102 (2017).

Acknowledgements

The DFG-funded Cluster of Excellence Munich-Centre for Advanced Photonics (MAP) supported this work. We thank the support of the operation team of the MLL Tandem accelerator and the ATLAS-300 laser system. We thank S. Zherlytsin, T. Herrmannsdoerfer for useful discussions and the development of the pulsed solenoids and the Draco Laserteam S. Bock, R. Gebhardt, U. Helbig, T. Pueschel for excellent laser support. F.H.L. was

supported by the BMBF under contract 05P15WMEN9. T.F.R. is scholar of the German Academic Scholarship Foundation. J.H.B. is funded by a Feodor Lynen Fellowship of the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. M.W. and H.D. acknowledge financial support from the IMPRS-APS. J. Ge. acknowledges financial support from the Hanns Seidel Foundation. Partially supported by EC Horizon 2020 LASERLAB-EUROPE/LEPP (Contract No. 654148). The visualisation of I-BEAT (Fig. 1a) was created by Marcel Menke.

Author Contributions

D.H., C.K., T.M.O., and S.L. built the experimental infrastructure in LEX Photonics and designed the laser plasma experiment. D.H., R.Y., J.H.B., M.S., J.H., S.L., T.M.O., C.K., F.H.L., M.W., E.R., T.F.R., F.S.E., J. Ge. and Y.G. performed the experiment at LEX Photonics. T.F.R., J.H., S.H., P.H., D.T. and F.H.L. designed and prepared the magnetic transport system for the proton beam. S.L., R.Y., D.H., and W.A. designed and developed the I-BEAT detector. H.D., J. Gö., M.G., G.S., and S.K. built and operated the ATLAS-300 Laser. D.H., R.Y., and S.L. performed the experiment at the MLL Tandem accelerator. U.S., K.Z., J.M., S.D.K., F.K., F.E.B. and H.P.S. developed and built the Draco laser-ion-acceleration system including target, plasma mirror and pulsed solenoids. K.Z., F.K., F.E.B., H.P.S., D.H., R.Y., S.L. performed the experiment at Draco. R.Y., J.S., D.H., and J.H.B. analyzed the data, discussed and interpreted the results and prepared the manuscript. J.S., K.P., W.A. and P.R.B. conceived and supported the overall project and provided final input to the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-42920-5.

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019