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Water administration and the risk of syncope and presyncope during blood donation: a randomized clinical trial

Karin van den Berg, Jameson Lam, Roberta Bruhn, Brian Custer, and Edward L. Murphy

BACKGROUND: Blood centers rely heavily on adolescent donors to meet blood demand, but syncope and presyncope are more frequent in younger donors. Studies have suggested administration of water before donation may reduce syncope and/or presyncope in this group.

STUDY DESIGN AND METHODS: We conducted a randomized, controlled trial to establish the effect of preloading with 500 mL of water on the rate of syncope and presyncope in adolescent donors. School collection sites in Eastern Cape Province of South Africa were randomized to receive water or not. Incidence of syncope and presyncope was compared between randomization groups using multivariable logistic regression.

RESULTS: Of 2464 study participants, 1337 received water and 1127 did not; groups differed slightly by sex and race. Syncope or presyncope was seen in 23 (1.7%) of the treatment and 18 (1.6%) of the control arm subjects. After adjusting for race, sex, age, and donation history, there was no difference in outcome between the water versus no water arms (adjusted odds ratio [OR], 0.80; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.42-1.53). Black donors had sevenfold lower odds of syncope or presyncope than their white counterparts (adjusted OR, 0.14; 95% CI, 0.04-0.47).

CONCLUSION: Preloading adolescent donors with 500 mL of water did not have a major effect in reducing syncope and presyncope in South African adolescent donors. Our adolescent donors had lower overall syncope and presyncope rates than similar populations in the United States, limiting the statistical power of the study. We confirmed much lower rates of syncope and presyncope among young black donors.

As with all blood transfusion services throughout the world, it remains the mission of the blood transfusion services in South Africa to provide sufficient, safe blood for all the patients of the country. While the advances in blood banking have substantially improved the safety of the blood supply over the past decades, the provision of a constant and sufficient blood supply remains a challenge. In South Africa, historical events resulted in poor representation of nonwhite donors in the donor pool. Correcting this imbalance in the face of the background human immunodeficiency virus prevalence has placed the local blood services under pressure. Additionally, an aging population is increasing the blood dependency ratio, and stricter deferral criteria on donors are shrinking the donor pool.

The South African National Blood Service has therefore turned increasingly to the recruitment of young, school-aged donors who comprise 19.7% of collections in South Africa and up to 25% in the Eastern Cape region. This may be compared to 2006 data for the American Red Cross, in which blood donations by 16- to 19-year-olds...
accounted for 14.5% of annual donations. The adolescent age group is especially prone to syncopal events. Syncope and presyncope increase the risk of serious injury and donors who suffer adverse events have a lower return rate. In the Eastern Cape, we have anecdotally observed an increase in young donors sustaining serious injuries due to falls associated with syncope events, and future collections are reduced at sites where such injuries have occurred. Some studies have suggested that preload- ing young donors with water may reduce their syncope and/or presyncope rates, and the procedure has been introduced in some blood organizations.

For these reasons, we conducted a randomized controlled trial to measure the efficacy of water preloading in reducing syncope and presyncope events among school age donors in the Eastern Cape of South Africa, during October 2009.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study design and subjects**

We conducted a randomized clinical trial on the effect of water preloading on syncope and presyncope among adolescent donors in the Eastern Cape during October 2009. The study subjects included high school blood donors in the Eastern Cape of South Africa, who donated blood at mobile blood drives at their schools and who were 16 to 20 years old. Both first-time and repeat donors were included in the study. Standard donor acceptance criteria applied and donors who were deferred in accordance with standard operating procedures were not included in the study. Donors are deferred if donating poses a risk to their health (e.g., cardiac conditions) or an infectious risk to the recipient (e.g., injection drug use or unsafe sexual practices). It was logistically impossible to randomize donors at the individual level, so we performed randomization at the school level. Recruitment commenced with verbal and or written consultation with the headmasters of the various schools, informing them of the planned study and requesting their permission to include data from their school blood drives in the study. All the headmasters contacted agreed to participate. A random-numbers table was used to assign each school to either the treatment or the control arm of the study.

We were able to identify 79 high schools in the Eastern Cape that participate in regular blood drives (Fig. 1). Only 75 schools were due for blood drives during October 2011.

Fig. 1. Flow chart showing participation and randomization status of schools and donors participating in the Water Intervention Study during October 2009 in the Eastern Cape, South Africa.
Of these, 11 are located in the greater Mthatha region of the Eastern Cape. This area is located in the rural Eastern Cape and is logistically difficult to reach. As a result, they were excluded from the randomization process. Finally, 64 schools were included in the randomization process.

**Intervention and outcomes**

All donors at schools in the treatment arm were urged to consume 500 mL of water shortly before donating between 475 to 575 mL of whole blood. A 500-mL plastic bottle of water at room temperature was given to the donor at the time of registration. The time delay from registration to donation is between 15 and 30 minutes. At the schools randomized to receive water, the staff recorded whether water was administered and what portion of water was consumed, allowing analysis according to the “dose” of the fluid. No water was provided at schools randomized to the control arm, although refreshments were available after donation for both arms of the study. No refreshments were available during the donation process. Donor demographics and donation history were recorded in the blood center computer system for all donations and extracted for later data analysis. Race was recorded as “white,” “black,” “colored,” “Asian,” and “other”; the term “colored” is used in South Africa to denote persons of mixed race.

The primary outcome variable was a dichotomous variable of yes or no for syncope or presyncope. A secondary outcome was the severity of the events, which was recorded as an ordinal variable of none, mild, moderate, or severe. Staff was retrained to ensure consistency in identifying and grading syncope and presyncope. Donors were actively monitored for all adverse events, including syncope and presyncope. They were asked about their general well-being but not specifically questioned as to the presence of presyncopal symptoms. A donor was marked as having had an event if he or she had a mild, moderate, or severe event. Mild events are those where the donor feels dizzy, pales, and becomes diaphoretic. The donor may also feel nauseous and vomit, but there is no loss of consciousness and the blood pressure remains stable. If the donor has any loss of consciousness, the event is recorded as being moderate. In addition, the blood pressure may drop from the predonation baseline, but recovers quickly. Severe events are those with sudden and even prolonged loss of consciousness with or without convulsions and prolonged low blood pressure.

**Statistical analysis**

We evaluated the success of the randomization by assessing the distribution of the demographic characteristics of the study group. Standard summary statistics were used to characterize the study subjects by age, sex, race, and donation history. The primary “intent-to-treat” analysis compared the outcome of syncope and presyncope between the randomization groups using unadjusted logistic regression. The secondary outcome analysis compared “mild,” “moderate,” and “severe” reactions, as defined above, between the groups. A “per-protocol” analysis, according to the proportion of water actually consumed (recorded as “none, 1/4, 1/2, or 3/4”) was also performed.

Multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed to assess the effect of the intervention on the primary outcome while controlling for potential imbalances between the groups. Subgroup analyses compared the effect of the water intervention in subgroups defined by age, sex, and race. All statistical calculations were performed using computer software (STATA, Version 11.2, StataCorp, College Station, TX).

Power calculations were performed before the study. Because donor adverse events had not been recorded in the blood center computer system, the syncope and presyncope rates among our South African adolescent donors were unknown. We therefore used the results of Wiltbank and colleagues, which described a syncope and presyncope rate of 3.9% in 17- to 18-year-olds. We felt that a reduction in the syncope and presyncope rate from the estimated 4% to 2% would be operationally significant. Using an event rate of 4.0% in controls and 2.0% in the treatment arm, a two-sided alpha of 0.05 and power (1—beta) of 0.80, we calculated the total sample size to be 1237 per group, or 2474 overall.

**Ethical considerations**

Ethical approval to conduct the study, including a waiver of individual and parental consent, was obtained from the SANBS Research Ethics Committee. All the headmasters of the participating schools were contacted and informed of the study. Their permission was requested to use the data from their schools in the study. In South Africa parental consent is not required for donors 16 years and older to donate blood.

**RESULTS**

Of the 64 schools included in the study, 33 were randomized to receive water and 31 were randomized to the control arm of the study (Fig. 1). Due to scheduling conflicts between the dates allocated for the school blood drives and other large events at the schools, one school in the treatment arm and four schools in the control arm had to cancel their blood drives at short notice. Of the 32 schools remaining in the treatment arm, 28 (87%) were coeducation schools, four (13%) were boys-only schools, and none were girls-only schools. In the 27 schools remaining in the control arm, 20 (74%) were coeducation, five (18%) were boys-only, and two (7%) were small girls-only schools.
A total of 3077 donors presented at the school blood drives. In accordance with standard operating procedures, 375 donors were deferred for a variety of reasons: included were 202 in the treatment arm and 173 in the control arm (Fig. 1). All donors older than 20 years, including 234 teachers and staff at some of the schools, were excluded from the data analysis. Two donors were identified for whom parts of their data were missing and both were excluded from the analysis. Of the remaining 2466 study participants, 1339 were in the water arm and 1127 were in the control arm (Table 1). The randomization groups were similar with respect to age distribution and donor status, but differed in relation to sex and race. In the treatment arm, donors were more likely to be male and of white versus black race than in the control arm.

Overall, of the 2466 scholars who donated, only 41 (1.7%) had any syncope or presyncope events (Table 2). Of these, the majority (76%) were minor, with only nine moderate and one severe event; the latter occurred in the treatment arm. Syncope or presyncope occurred in 23 donors (1.7%) in the treatment arm compared to 18 (1.6%) donors in the control group (unadjusted odds ratio [OR], 1.08; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.58-2.01). In the treatment arm, there was a significant trend toward fewer syncopal events in those who consumed all of their water compared to consumption of fractional amounts (p trend = 0.049).

At 11 of the schools, more than one syncope or presyncope event occurred. Of these, six schools were in the treatment arm and involved 15 of the 26 events that occurred at these schools. No school had more than three events.

We performed multivariate logistic regression modeling to control for imbalances in the demographics of the two arms and other potential confounding variables (Table 3). In the final model, the adjusted OR for the treatment arm with respect to syncope and presyncope was 0.83 (95% CI, 0.43-1.57). Among female donors the odds for an event was almost twice that of their male counterparts, although not quite significant (OR, 1.80; 95% CI, 0.94-3.40). Black donors had a sevenfold smaller odds of having a syncopal event compared to white donors (adjusted OR, 0.14; 95% CI, 0.04-0.47). There was no significant association with age in the adjusted model. Finally, first-time donors were twice as likely to experience syncope or presyncope as were repeat donors (adjusted OR, 2.08; 95% CI, 1.11-3.87). There was no significant association with age in the adjusted model. Finally, first-time donors were twice as likely to experience syncope or presyncope as were repeat donors (adjusted OR, 2.08; 95% CI, 1.11-3.87).

We next performed a subgroup analysis to assess whether the water intervention had differing effects in different demographic and donation history subgroups (Fig. 2). Because of small numbers, donors were grouped into white and other race and ages less than 17, 17, and 18 to 20 years. CIs on all of these subgroup estimates were wide, but most ORs clustered around one, and none were significantly different from one. In particular, there was no indication that white donors, who have highest a priori risk of syncope, had benefitted from the intervention. If anything, there was a suggestion that other race donors benefitted more. Thus, we have no real evidence for a particular subgroup of donors who might benefit from water intervention.
DISCUSSION

During our randomized controlled study, we did not find a significant difference in the number of syncope or presyncope events between the water and control arms of our study. The statistical power of the study to detect minor effects of water was limited due to a lower than anticipated syncope or presyncope incidence of 1.7% among adolescent donors in the Eastern Cape in South Africa, which is lower than reported in other adolescent donor populations. Furthermore, we noted a sevenfold lower risk of syncope and presyncope among our black adolescent donors compared to the white adolescent donors. These data led us to conclude that there would be little operational or clinical benefit in introducing water preloading for adolescent donors in the South African setting.

Newman and colleagues\textsuperscript{17} demonstrated in 2007 that preloading young donors with 473 mL of water reduced syncope and presyncope reactions by 21%. Subsequently, a study in Japan showed that preloading at-risk donors with a drink may reduce the number of syncope or presyncope events in that group. Tomasulo and coworkers\textsuperscript{16} also indicated that introducing water preloading in combination with other interventions may reduce syncope or presyncope. More recently a small study in Ohio showed that consumption of 500 mL of water may reduce syncope and presyncope.\textsuperscript{15} It is not certain why our study showed different results. First, our study was not powered to detect a 20% reduction, so we might have missed a small effect of water loading. Second, the lower than anticipated overall number of syncope and presyncope events we observed suggest population differences in susceptibility to syncope, perhaps explained by the relatively large number of black donors in our sample. Having fewer “at-risk” donors would not only reduce the number of events, but possibly diminish the effect of interventions aimed at reducing the risk.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Associations</th>
<th>Syncopal event*</th>
<th>No syncopal event*</th>
<th>Unadjusted</th>
<th>Adjusted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Randomization arm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No water</td>
<td>18 (2)</td>
<td>1109 (98)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>23 (2)</td>
<td>1316 (98)</td>
<td>1.08 (0.58-2.01)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.43-1.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>18 (1)</td>
<td>1501 (99)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>23 (2)</td>
<td>924 (98)</td>
<td>2.08 (1.11-3.87)</td>
<td>1.80 (0.94-3.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>34 (2)</td>
<td>1354 (98)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colored</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>349 (99)</td>
<td>0.34 (0.10-1.12)</td>
<td>0.29 (0.09-0.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>3 (0.5)</td>
<td>655 (99.5)</td>
<td>0.18 (0.06-0.60)</td>
<td>0.14 (0.04-0.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other or missing</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>67 (99)</td>
<td>0.59 (0.08-4.40)</td>
<td>0.54 (0.07-4.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 and under</td>
<td>20 (3)</td>
<td>746 (97)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>14 (1)</td>
<td>922 (99)</td>
<td>0.57 (0.28-1.13)</td>
<td>1.28 (0.59-2.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-20</td>
<td>7 (1)</td>
<td>757 (99)</td>
<td>0.35 (0.14-0.82)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.36-2.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donation history</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeat</td>
<td>16 (1)</td>
<td>1842 (99)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First time</td>
<td>25 (4)</td>
<td>583 (96)</td>
<td>4.94 (2.62-9.31)</td>
<td>2.08 (1.11-3.87)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data are reported as number (%).
The significantly lower than expected overall syncope and presyncope events among our scholars was surprising when compared to that noted in other studies and the generally higher incidence of complications and deferrals in younger compared to older donors. In 2006, Eder and colleagues found that 10.7% of American Red Cross donors aged 16 to 17 years and 8.3% of those aged 18 to 19 years experienced adverse events compared to 2.8% of those aged 20 and older. In a study of faint and prefaint reactions at 16 United Blood Services Centers, donors 17 to 18 years old had a reaction rate of 39.6 per 1000 donations and an adjusted OR of 2.75 for faint and prefaint reactions compared to their 25- to 65-year-old counterparts. Analysis of our unadjusted data demonstrated an almost linear reduction in syncope and presyncope with increasing age, but this effect was blunted after adjusting for sex, race, and donation history.

It has been shown that donors who suffer adverse events have significantly lower return rates, with syncope and presyncope type symptoms having the biggest negative effect. In fact, those who do suffer adverse events may not donate again for as long as 5 to 6 years. Conversely, those who return soon after their first donation were more likely to become habitual donors. Furthermore, very young donors have been shown to have higher return rates as long as their first donation experience was adverse-event free. Finding interventions to minimize syncope and presyncope events is of great importance, but unfortunately, we confirmed that giving South African adolescent donors water to drink just before donating will not reduce the number of vasovagal events in any meaningful manner. On the positive side, our lower than anticipated syncope or presyncope incidence suggests that this reaction should have less overall impact on donor return.

The relatively high proportion of black (25%) and colored (15%) donors among our study group allowed for further interpretation of the syncope and presyncope events among the various race groups in the Eastern Cape. The black donors had five to seven times fewer syncope and presyncope events than the white donors. The New York Blood Center reviewed the syncope and presyncope reactions among first-time teenaged donors and found an overall syncope or presyncope reaction rate of 8.2% but a 1.3% rate among African American high school students. Wiltbank and coworkers demonstrated similar findings. Additionally, we demonstrated that the odds of syncope or presyncope events among colored donors were intermediate between those of blacks and whites. Even though this was not significant, it is in keeping with the theory that there is a genetic factor that offers donors of African origin protection against syncope and presyncope. Recently, Hinds and Stachenfeld showed greater orthostatic tolerance among young black versus white females and noted greater sympathetic response to orthostatic challenges in the former group. This echoes work done by others and is in keeping with unpublished observations from other African blood transfusion services of very low syncope and presyncope events among African donors.

Similar to other studies, we noted that the female adolescent donors had a twofold higher number of syncope and presyncope events compared to the males. However, the absolute incidence of events among the females was lower in our trial than other published studies. Others have noted that this higher faint rate is likely, at least in part, to be related to the proportionally smaller blood volume in female donors. Unfortunately, body weight was not recorded during this study.

Our study had several strengths. Study participants were blinded as they were not aware of the purpose of the intervention. Additionally, the intervention was well defined and delivered under controlled circumstances, with the students receiving the water at the time of being registered. The lag time between being registered and starting the donation process would range between 10 and 30 minutes. Seasonal effects were minimized by completing the entire study within 1 month. The outcome was also a well-defined, well-known event with which the observers are familiar. As a result, we are of the opinion that the effects of observer bias and placebo effect were kept to the minimum.

Our study had some limitations. Initially, we used the syncope or presyncope event rate reported in the study by Wiltbank and colleagues to calculate our sample size, but with our rate being significantly lower, our study may not have been powered to detect minor differences in syncope and presyncope rates between the two arms. We calculate that with the available sample size, our study would have been sufficiently powered to detect only a 69% relative or 1.1% absolute decrease (i.e., 0.5% in the water arm and 1.6% in the control arm). For our study to have been robustly powered at 80%, we would have required a sample size of 2907 donors per arm to detect a 50% reduction (0.8% decrease), or 21,760 donors per arm to detect a 20% reduction (0.32% decrease). Although a study of 6000 might be feasible in the future, it is unlikely that a study of 43,000 high school donors will ever be accomplished.

As it was not possible to randomize the participants by individual, we had to apply randomization by school and the “no-water” arm ended with more male and black donors compared to the “water” arm. We attempted to correct for this imbalance by using multivariable logistic regression, but residual confounding could have affected our results. Finally, there may have been underreporting of minor presyncope events but presumably this would have been similar in both arms of the study.

In conclusion, although we showed no benefit of pre-donation water administration in preventing syncope and presyncope symptoms, we were able to establish the
incidence of syncope or presyncope rates for adolescent donors in the Eastern Cape, as well as variation by age, race, and sex. We showed similar variation in syncope or presyncopal reactions within these subgroups as reported by other authors, but the overall incidence of reactions was much lower than in the United States. Thus, population differences in reaction rates illustrate the need for international blood services to conduct local research before implementing changes based on findings from other countries. Finally, the experience gained with this study has resulted in improved processes for reporting and recording donor adverse events within the South African National Blood Service, paving the way for more detailed analysis of donor reactions within South Africa.
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