UC Riverside

UC Riverside Previously Published Works

Title

ON THE JESUIT-MARONITE PROVENANCE OF LEBANON'S CRIMINALIZATION OF HOMOSEXUALITY

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9h88q31f

Journal

Journal of Law and Religion, 35(3)

ISSN

0748-0814

Author

Strenski, Ivan

Publication Date

2020-12-01

DOI

10.1017/jlr.2019.42

Peer reviewed

On the Jesuit-Maronite Provenance of Lebanon's Criminalization of Homosexuality

Ivan Strenski©2020

A Presbyterian missionary was once asked, if he was going to build a school in a

certain town of the Lebanon. "Two schools"! he answered. "Yes?

How is that?" "Oh, I'll put up one for myself, and, within a week

or two, the Jesuits will be at work with another."

The Ups and Downs of Article 534

On Saturday, 30 March 2019, Judge Peter Germanos, Lebanon's top military prosecutor, ruled that same-sex acts are not criminal acts. Germanos thus

¹ Our Mission in Syria. pt. 230 (1908).

ruled against the criminalization of "unnatural sexual relations," as stated in controversial Article 534 of the Lebanese Penal Code. But what count as "unnatural sexual relations" has been disputed. Sara Mandour tells us that

This vague term implies every sexual practice that does not involve marital coitus, and thus does not serve the aim of procreation and reproduction of new citizens within a nuclear heterosexual family (i.e. homosexuality, sex outside of marriage, anal sex, and so on).²

Complicating matters, the term "unnatural sexual relations" here represents a 2002 change from an earlier, 1943, formulation of Article 534 in terms of "unnatural sexual intercourse"³

Interestingly, this is not the first recorded application of this kind of legal thinking in Lebanon, nor the first time Lebanese judges have challenged the classification of same-sex relations as "unnatural." Judgments have tended to swing back and forth on the whether the illegality of same-sex relations

² Sara Mandour, *Potential Change in Media Discourse on Sexuality in Lebanon: Cinema Plaza*" *and Beyond*, REUTERS INSTITUTE FELLOWSHIP PAPER, 6 (2013).

³ Ghassan Makarem, *The Story of HELEM*, 7 JOURNAL OF MIDDLE EAST WOMEN'S STUDIES, 104 (2011).

can be conceived in terms of their being "unnatural." In 2009, Judge Mounir Suleiman ruled that consensual sexual intimacy could not be considered "against nature":

...whereas man is part of nature and one of its elements, and a cell within a cell in it, it cannot be said that any practice of his or any behavior of his is against nature even if it is a criminal act because it is the laws of nature. If it rained in summer, if a heat wave struck in winter, or if a tree bore fruit after its usual time, it is all in accordance with the system and laws of nature for it is nature itself.⁵

Similarly, in 2015, nine people, suspected of being gay or transgender, were arrested in a suburb of Beirut. Yet, a criminal court declined to convict them – once more – under Article 534's classification of "unnatural offenses." The judge's rationale for acquittal stated simply that "'homosexuals have a right to human and intimate relationships with whoever they want, without

⁴ Annual Human Rights Reports Submitted to Congress by the U.S.

Department of State: Societal Abuses. Discrimination and Acts of Violence

Based Upon Sexual Orientation. pt. 2246 (2013).

⁵ Aimar Arriola, et al., *A Legal Experiment on 'Contra Naturam' Laws*, THE AGAINST NATURE JOURNAL (2015).

any interference or discrimination in terms of their sexual inclinations, as it is the case with other people'."⁶

On the other hand, LGBTQ activist, Ghassan Makarem, observes that by expanding the scope of Article 534 in 2002 by replacing "intercourse" with "relations," the law became more capacious and, thus more threatening to the LGBT community. Another commentator complained that as late as 2016, "the state harasses and tortures its own citizens based solely on their sexual orientation and gender identity." Further, as late as 2017 "The Criminal Court in Beirut has refused to overturn the obstacles to the homosexuals or lesbians, or to exempt them from punishment, because the Lebanese Penal Code condemns such a crime and does not tolerate it, even if it is legislated outside Lebanon." Apparently, then, the labeling of same-

⁶ Anon, Lebanon: Same-Sex Relations Not Illegal: Homosexuality Not an 'Unnatural Offense,' Appeals Court Rules, Human Rights Watch (2018).

⁷ Makarem, Journal of Middle East Women's Studies, 104 (2011).

^{*} Sarabrynn Hudgins, Why Is Lebanon Still Using Colonial-Era Laws to

Persecute LGBTQ Citizens? Slate at

https://slate.com/human-interest/2016/05/lebanon-still-uses-colonial-era-laws-to-persecute-lbgtq-citizens.html.

⁹ Ali al-Moussawi, *Homosexuality Is an Offense Punishable by Lebanese Law*Mahkama Magazine *at* http://www.mahkama.net/?p=256.

sex relations as "unnatural" still carried sufficient weight in certain quarters to judge them illegal.¹⁰

Article 534: Laïc Colonial Relic?

Commentators have lately puzzled about the genealogy of Lebanon Penal Code's Article 534, and with it, the conspicuous presence of its discourse of the natural and unnatural. I shall argue that most, if not all, attempts to account for Article 534 have generally failed because they've been blinkered by secularist tendencies of thought. Such a tendency presumes that the provenance and persistence of Article 534 are matters of simple, colonial state administrative rule. However, one may admire Edward Said's critique of Orientalism, it has made it too easy to assume that a statute like Article 534 represents a vestige of the purely *external* conditions of foreign imperial rule, and, most often, of the colonialism of the *laïc* French state administration.

Over against a purely secular account of the appearance of Article 534, I am arguing that Lebanon tangled *religious* history is the indispensable starting point for making sense of this controversial statute. At the risk of distorting what is a complex story of subtle religious and social interaction, let me offer

¹⁰ Civil Society Report on the Implementation of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights in Lebanon: 122 Session. (2018).

a foretaste -- in highly compressed form -- of the detailed story I shall tell about what cultural conditions made a law like Article 534 possible. Lebanon's eastern rite Maronite Christians, despite their formal loyalty to Rome, the post-Tridentine Roman Church made the Maronites targets for an intense conversion effort of "Latinizing" Lebanese religious thought and practice. Notably, the Vatican's missionary efforts in Lebanon replicated the same religious sectarianism - "confessionalism" - that then dominated the post-Reformation West – possibly giving form to a pre-existing Maronite ethno-religious nationalism. The 'shock troops' of the Vatican sectarian and Latinizing campaigns were the Jesuit missionaries. The Jesuits realized Vatican ambitions for harmonizing the Maronite church with Rome by establishing an extensive network of schools and seminaries. As the Latinizing process deepened, indigenous traditions of ethno-nationalist Maronite moral-legal theology gradually merged into a unitary culture of Jesuit-Maronite Lebanese Catholicism. It is out of this Jesuit dominated religious culture that I shall argue that the legal thinking culminating in Article 534 emerged. This in not by any means to write off other sectarian Lebanese factors facilitating the appearance of Article 534, such as the influence of the Druzes or Lebanon's several varieties of Muslims. But it is to set myself some reasonable limits in identifying the principal protagonists behind the appearance of Article 534.

I am thus arguing that the emergence of Article 534 is failure to comprehend the complex role of *religion* in the making of modern Lebanese sectarian culture and politics that would have favored the appearance of Article 534. Again, largely for practical purposes -- but not only -- I shall focus on the influential role of Catholic, mostly Jesuit, missionary activity in forming Lebanese sectarianism. The Jesuits served both as ancillaries to secular colonialism but also as independent confessional actors, rooted equally well both in the cultures of colonizer and colonized, alike. Here, the "usual suspects" -- the French Mandate authorities -- take a back seat to the massive, long-lived Jesuit missionary enterprises of the Vatican in the Levant. I shall argue that only by understanding the religious missionary history of modern Lebanon, replete with the story of Maronite receptivity, does Article 534s' ostensibly theological language of the "unnatural" make sense. Postcolonial theory's understandable emphasis upon the brute power of European imperial force in shaping Middle Eastern history has tended, instead, to cause us to ignore the formative agency of what turn out, in the case of Lebanon, to be the collaborative sectarian religious partnership of Maronite Catholics and Jesuits.

What then of the first misunderstanding of the genealogy of Article 534 as a "relic" of Mandate France's I impositions upon the Lebanese? Much cited in this regard is a Human Rights Watch (HRW) report suggesting that Article 534 was a supposedly French Mandate "'colonial relic'."¹¹ Echoes of this

¹¹ Anon, Annual Human Rights Reports Submitted to Congress by the U.S. Department of State: Societal Abuses. Discrimination and Acts of Violence Based Upon Sexual Orientation. 2013;Anon, Human Rights Watch,

charge reverberate widely through the literature. As recently as 2018, in an undergraduate thesis for the Department of Middle Eastern Studies at The Ohio State University, Aseel Houmsse affirms the idea of the origin of Article 534 in the policies of the French Mandate.

Lebanon's current Penal Code contains many laws originally adopted from the French Mandate constitution. Among these is Article 534, located in Section II, which concerns the nations 'Promotion of Morality and Public Morals'. In keeping with the moral code left behind by the Mandate, this section outlines the 'appropriate' manner of social interaction in Lebanon.... Every unnatural act shall be punished by imprisonment for up to one year.¹²

Houmsse is quick, in effect, to cast this analysis in the tropes of a sinister

Foucauldean Orientalist dynamic of discipline and punish at work against the

(2018); Women's Rights Report: Discrimination in the Punishment of Women. (2018); Rayana Khalaf, *Homosexuality Is Not a Crime, Says Lebanon's Top Military Prosecutor* StepFeed *at* https://stepfeed.com/homosexuality-is-not-a-crime-says-lebanon-s-top-military-prosecutor-3139.

¹² Aseel M. Houmsse, Sociolinguistics of LGBTQ+ Discourse across Lebanese Law, NGO Policy, and Mass Media (2018) (Undergraduate Thesis, The Ohio State University).

hapless, passive Lebanese victims of French colonial designs. Therefore, Article 534

...was an attempt by France to regulate the social and cultural norms of the country in an effort to correct it, such that the more 'primitive' norms accepted by the Lebanese would be discouraged.¹³

And, further,

Though not directly implemented from Mandate laws, the idea of promoting 'morality' through the punishment of "unnatural" acts such as homosexuality through the eyes of French Orientalism has had clear implications on modern Lebanese society. ¹⁴

Less frequently mooted, but significant in its own way, is the view that Article 534 is indeed a kind of "colonial relic" – but of the Vichy regime. On this view, Article 534 of Lebanon's Penal Code of 1943 reflects the newly promulgated Vichy law of 6 August 1942, that allegedly, criminalized samesex relations. Writing about the history of HELEM, the Lebanese LGBTQ activist association, Ghassan Makarem, for one, lays responsibility for Article 534 squarely at the feet of the Vichy regime. Following rapidly in 1943, Makarem claims that

¹³ ld. at.

¹⁴ Id. at, 16-7.

Homosexual practices are criminalized in the Penal Code of Lebanon, under the moniker of "unnatural sexual intercourse"... specifically sexual intercourse that includes anal penetration. It was derived from Vichy legislation during the so-called French mandate on Lebanon and goes against traditional Islamic interpretation of such practices.¹⁵

Makarem also adds that

In order not to fall into contradiction with the Napoleonic Code,
Lebanon criminalized homosexual acts with a punishment of
imprisonment of up to one year. Expression of non-conforming
gender identity is usually prosecuted under several other articles
regulating public morality.¹⁶

Similarly, writing on homosexuality in the Middle East, Serena Tolino claims

Article 534 to be the result of changes to French law promulgated by the

Vichy regime. This "changed art. 334 of the French Criminal Code and was
then also adopted in Lebanon.... It was intended to protect the minors, but its
application was then extended to all categories of "unnatural" intercourse."

17

¹⁵ Makarem, Journal of Middle East Women's Studies, 99 (2011).

¹⁶ Id. at.

¹⁷ Serena Tolino, *Homosexuality in the Middle East: An Analysis of Dominant* and Competitive Discourses, Depertate, ESULI PROFUGHE: REVISTA TELEMATICA DI

Article 534: Neither "Colonial Relic" of Vichy, or the Mandate, Really

I argue, however, that such exclusively secularist claims of the French *laïc* colonial or Vichy provenance of Article 534 do stand up to scrutiny. Take Vichy first. While the Vichy regime did tighten restrictions on same-sex relations, Michael Sibalis notes that "homosexuality was never in and of itself a crime" – as the 2002 version of Article 534 did in Lebanon! In Vichy France, "the law penalized homosexual relations only between an adult and a minor under twenty-one." To be precise, Pétain "amended Article 334 of the penal code" to condemn anyone who "'to satisfy his own passions, commits one or several shameless or unnatural acts with a minor of his own sex under the age of twenty-one'." The crime was actually that of corrupting or abusing a minor, not homosexuality or same-sex relations. Significantly, even

After the liberation of France, the provisional government under Charles de Gaulle, far from repealing Vichy's anti-homosexual legislation, issued an ordinance on 8 February 1945 that

STUDI SULLA MEMORIA FEMMINILE (2014).

¹⁸ Michael Sibalis, *Homophobia, Vichy France, and the "Crime of Homosexuality": The Origins of the Ordinance of 6 August 1942*, 8 GLQ: A JOURNAL OF LESBIAN AND GAY STUDIES, 302 (2002).

¹⁹ Id. at, 301.

reaffirmed it: "The principal motive of this [1942] reform, inspired by a concern to prevent the corruption of minors, cannot be criticized".²⁰

Sibalis also goes on to argue, as well, that Pétain's law of 6 August 1942 may actually have been provoked by concerns about maintaining discipline in the navy. On 14 April 1942, minister of the navy and commander in chief of French naval forces, Admiral François Darlan, wrote of his desire for the "repression of homosexuality." Darlan cited a vaguely described incident of "'an important homosexual affair in which sailors and civilians have been compromised'." Darlan declared, "I consider indispensable an energetic repression of this sort of affair, which risks doing serious moral damage to the navy'." Pétain's law of 6 August 1942 followed shortly thereafter. Still in all, that, Pétain's new law was, however, articulated in the tellingly theological terms of criminalizing "unnatural" acts, for reasons that may bear more on the Général's Catholicism, as we will see later.

What of the Ottomans?

If not a "colonial relic" of Vichy, is Article 534, perhaps, a "relic" of another "colonial" legacy? It is understandable that most readers will naturally

²⁰ Id. at.

²¹ Id. at, 303.

assume that the HRW's labeling Article 534 a "colonial relic" was intended to indict the French colonialism in the Levant. These charges are not altogether wrong. But I shall argue that they are imprecise to the point of virtual uselessness. For one, the French were not the only imperial power in Lebanon. The Ottoman Empire ruled Lebanon for 400 years or so. And, even though the Mount Lebanon-based Maronites remained somewhat semi-autonomous throughout the Ottoman period, Ottoman power was a constant presence for centuries. So, while Article 534 may be a "colonial relic," perhaps it was an Ottoman, rather than French "colonial relic"?

What kind of case can we make for the Ottomans contributing to a moral-legal culture favoring the appearance of Article 534 in the mid-20th century? With Lebanon located where it is in the midst of the Muslim world, one is tempted immediately to imagine that even Christian Lebanese attitudes about subjects like homosexuality would resemble the Muslim. Legal scholars have indeed pointed out how the moral systems of Christians and Muslims tended to harmonize in places like Lebanon.²² Indeed, generally speaking most schools of Muslim jurisprudence have viewed same-sex relations as grave sins, typically meriting severe *hudud* penalties. Yet, it would this picture of Muslim thinking regarding sexual morality grossly simplifies a complex situation. A considerable gap sometimes existed, for instance, between proscription and enforcement. Professor Haider Ala Hamoudi notes

²² Chibli Mallat, From Islamic to Middle Eastern Law a Restatement of the Field (Part I), 51 The American Journal of Comparative Law, 714 (2003).

that "Islamic law clearly proscribes fornication, and establishes for it a severe punishment (80 lashes for one who has never been married, stoning for one who has)." Yet, since "four witnesses have to witness the act of sex itself," Haider believes that the proscription against fornication (*zina*) is rarely enforced. The law may really be more symbolic than actual -- just a "rather absolute statement about the deep abomination that nonmarital sex is."

Another complicating factor is the complexity of Muslim jurisprudential thinking in Lebanon – which is chiefly Sunni. When it comes to the legal and moral status of same-sex relations (*liwāt*), for instance, the tendency three of the four Sunni Muslim schools of jurisprudence – Hanbali, Maliki, Shafi – has been to condemn same-sex relations/

Men who willingly submit to be penetrated were viewed through the lens of affliction or disease of the anus. Since beardless youth had characteristics in common with females on account of not having facial hair, their penetration did not merit as much

²³ Haider Ala Hamoudi, *Same Sex Relations and Islamic Law: Understanding Premodern Hanafi Jurists* muslimlawprof *at*http://muslimlawprof.org/2015/06/same-sex-relations-and-islamic-law-understanding-premodern-hanafi-jurists/.

strong a reaction as in the case of the penetration of adult men, which was viewed as a major perversion.²⁴

Significantly, the Hanbali, Maliki, and Shafi, however, condemn *liwāt* on the basis of *analogy* (*qiyās*) with fornication (*zina*). The Shi'a have their own divisions into jurisprudential schools. The need to appeal to analogy reflects the fact that often specific infractions are not literally spelt out in the Shari'a, Qur'an, or Hadiths. This is the case for same-sex relations. These three schools reason about *liwāt* in the following way – by *analogy*. Thus, *zina* merits severe *hudud* penalties because it is deemed so by the sources of Muslim law. Since *liwāt* is not expressly condemned in these primary sources, one is left to reason *analogously* is by way of *qiyas*. Thus, since it is deemed that *liwāt* is *analogous* to *zina*, it likewise subject to *hudud* punishments. Incidentally, modern Muslim sexual morality reformers, however, take heart in pointing out that such conservative condemnation of gay sex does rest of not the strongest scriptural and legal support, but on *qiyās*. Reformers like Jahangir and Abdullatif, for instance, explain that

In essence, since the current neo-traditionalist Muslim position on same-sex unions does not stem from express texts but derived through analogy [qiyas], which yields probabilistic knowledge, and alleged consensus [ijma], which is contested, it

²⁴ Junaid Jahangir & Hussein Abdullatif, *Same-Sex Unions in Islam*, 24 THEOLOGY AND SEXUALITY, 160 (2018).

facilitates revisiting and developing a renewed perspective on Muslim same-sex unions.²⁵

Now, since Muslim criminalization of same sex relations depends on the employment of analogy (*qiyās*), this leaves the Hanafi school in a unique position. The Hanafis reject criminalizing same sex relations (*liwāt*) and classifying *liwāt* as a major crime, because they reject the use of *qiyās*.²⁶ Hanafi jurists refused to permit the use of analogy (*qiyas*) to extend the application of the severe textually-based *hudud* penalties because they felt doing so exceeded their right to read into the mind of Muhammed. Consequently, although same-sex relations (*liwāt*) remains sins, the Hanafis "did not believe there was any reliable authority pursuant to which the Prophet ever declared homosexual sex to be a crime worthy of punishment. A sin, yes, but a punishable crime, no." ²⁷ Analogical reasoning could not then be employed to extend to same-sex relations what belonged properly to, say, other sins of sexual misconduct, like fornication (*zina*).

²⁵ Id. at. 159.

²⁶ M. Alipour, Essentialism and Islamic Theology of Homosexuality: A Critical Reflection on an Essentialist Epistemology toward Same-Sex Desires and Acts in Islam Journal of Homosexuality at https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/00918369.2017.1289001.

²⁷ Hamoudi. 2015.

Apart from such nuances of classic Muslim jurisprudence, beginning in 1839, Ottoman modernizing moves, such as the *Tanzimat* reforms, included the adoption of French civil and penal laws. Neither of these imported sets of laws -- Napoleonic Code (1804) or French Penal Law (1810) - criminalized same-sex relations either. Nothing in these European legal codes, therefore, gave evidence of a law cognate with Article 534.²⁸ I say this because beyond the prestige that French jurisprudence enjoyed, historian Mark Farha claims that the Muslims found it especially easy to adapt their own laws to the French. This congruence with French law further accounted for its rapid "spread throughout the Middle East." The ease with which the Ottomans embraced French Napoleonic Civil and Penal law, at least, raises the question whether we should focus on other sources of the kind of law Article 534 represents -- arguably still of French provenance, but perhaps not secular and governmental?

²⁸ Anon, *Crimes and Delicts against Individuals: Attacks on Morals, in* PENAL CODE OF FRANCE (1819).

²⁹ Mark Farha, Stumbling Blocks to the Secularization of Personal Status Laws in the Lebanese Republic (1926-2013), 29 ARAB LAW QUARTERLY, 37 (2015).

³⁰ Hibaaq Osman, Laws That Allow Rapists to Marry Their Victims Come from Colonialism, Not Islam Independent at https://www.independent.co.uk/voices/rape-conviction-laws-marry-rapist-jordan-egypt-morocco-tunisia-came-from-french-colonial-times-a7872556.html.

So, if Article 534 is a "colonial relic," the historical responsibility for its declaration of homosexuality as "unnatural" would seem, as HRW suspects, to fall ultimately upon the French – even if which segment of French government and society remains to be determined. We've already put Vichy ordinances aside. Can we be sure the secular directorship of the French colonial adventure can also be so easily and directly as well?

A starting point can be found in the laws of the era of greatest French influence in the Middle East – the years dating from the mid-nineteenth century, the Second Empire, through to the Third Republic and the French Mandate (1923-1946).³¹ I have already generally asserted that French law did not criminalize same-sex relations, but without close attention to that body of law. To bring this part of my discussion to a close, a precise consideration of what the Napoleonic Code or French Penal Code have to say about same-sex relations would be useful. This is especially so in order to check for traces of Article 534's tell-tale language of same-sex acts being "contrary to nature" or "unnatural acts."

"Doin' What Comes Naturally..." in French Legal and Political Thought

At first, taking a French line of inquiry for evidence of Article 534's language
of natural/unnatural seems particularly promising because at least since the

³¹ Anon, Human Rights Watch, (2018).

Enlightenment, French legal and political thought presents a watershed of discourse about nature, natural law and cognate notions. For example, the Napoleonic Code's concern with nature derives from its being largely an adaptation of another legal code likewise consumed with issues of nature --Emperor Justinian's 6th century *Corpus Juris Civilis*. Jean-Jacques Régis de Cambacérès, one of the principal authors of the Napoleonic Code, reminds us readily that Justinian's Code recognized various species of law, such as "civil law", "law of nations" "scriptural law", and finally, "natural law." During the course of his projects on Justinian's Code he had occasion to say that "'nature [had been] the only oracle that we would have consulted'."32 In De Cambacérès adaptations of Justinian, he used the word "nature" 98 times. This included his arguments referring to "nature" (as in "natural law, for instance) 93 times, and an additional 5 times to show that our legal principles originate in nature.33 De Cambacérès eloquently reveals how much he valued "natural law,"

... the jurist recognizes that 'the civil laws [are] always faithful to the precepts of nature' and that 'there is a law superior to all others – eternal law, unalterable, common to all peoples, suitable in all climates – the law of nature. This is the code of all nations –

Patrick Logoras-Flavigny, *Cambecérès et Locke*, Annales historiques de la Révolution française, 108 (1997).

³³ Id. at, 108n17.

one that the centuries have not been able to alter, nor have interpreters been able to distort. This, therefore, is what we ought to be to consulting'."³⁴

Together with Justinian's *Corpus*, De Cambacérès had also adapted John Locke's theory of natural law to much of Europe's new civil law, as had many of the *Lumières*.³⁵ Thus, the legal thinking of the Enlightenment and French Revolution in Napoleonic *civil law*, at the very least, owes a great deal to Roman and Lockean doctrines about natural law.

The plot thickens further when we realize that a thinker much admired by the *Lumières* and their offspring, John Locke, made much of natural law. What is not often recognized, but will be critical to my arguments about Lebanon, is that Locke derived his notions of natural law from Thomas Aquinas, as mediated by a major Renaissance interpreter of Aquinas, Richard Hooker (1554-1600). In this line of influence, doubtless through Sir Edward Coke and Sir William Blackstone, are critical sources of the widespread legal claim that same-sex relations are "unnatural," as Article 534 asserts.³⁶ Here, then, we might locate British colonial references to laws specifying "carnal"

³⁴ Id. at, 108.

³⁵ Id. at.

³⁶ Donald C. Knutson, *Homosexuality and the Law*, 5 JOURNAL OF HOMOSEXUALITY (1979-80).

intercourse against the order of Nature"³⁷ or "carnal knowledge against the order of Nature".³⁸ Hooker stands as major promoter of the cardinal place of "the natural" and natural law, although Thomas Hobbes cannot be excluded. In this way, I am arguing a point that will be critical later in my discussion – namely that Aquinas' theory of natural law passes to John Locke's, and from thence to Enlightenment and Revolutionary civil law's celebration of the natural, realized finally in De Cambacérès' monumental Napoleonic Code. Critically, that legacy of legal history belongs equally well to the heritage of the modern Lebanese legal system as it does to Western Europe.³⁹

The Penal Code, Not the Civil

A careful reader will, however, have noted some gaps in my line of reasoning. The Napoleonic Code (1804) was a *civil* code, not a penal or criminal code, of which Article 534 is a part. Similarly, Lebanon's Constitution of 1926 remains faithful to French models, and does not concern itself with criminality, either. Remarkably, the French Penal Code of 1810 -- which *does*, of course, treat crime -- does *not* classify homosexual acts as crimes. The

³⁷ (Section 377, India, 1860)

³⁸ (Article 162, Kenya, 1930)

³⁹ Eugeen De Jonghe, *Locke and Hooker on the Finding of the Law*, 42 THE REVIEW OF METAPHYSICS (1988).

only portions of the Penal Code of 1810 dealing with sexual relations occur in Book 3, Title II, Chapter 1, Section IV, Articles 331 and 334. In effect, if homosexual acts are being criminalized in these articles, it is cryptically:

331. Who shall commit the crime of rape, or shall be guilty of any other attack upon the modesty, consummated or attempted, with violence, against an individual of either sex, shall be punished with solitary imprisonment.

334. Whoever shall have attacked morals, by exciting, favouring, or facilitating, habitually, debauchery or corruption in the youth of both sexes, under the age of twenty-one years, shall be punished with an imprisonment of from six months to two years, and a fine of from 50 to 500 francs.⁴⁰

By contrast, Article 534 of Lebanon's Penal Code of 1943 and its later revision do criminalize same-sex relations – however implicitly. The French Penal Code of 1810 here faithfully follows the Revolution's penal code of 1791: neither mention homosexual acts as either illegal or "unnatural." I regret that I have not been able to locate a French or English version of Lebanon's first penal code of the Mandate period (1926) to check whether "unnatural" acts are mentioned there. I am assuming, however, that since the early Mandate is at issue here, the Lebanese penal code of 1926 may well have followed the French example of 1810, and simply remained *silent*

⁴⁰ Anon, Crimes and Delicts against Individuals: Attacks on Morals. 1819.

about same-sex relations, until it became – for one reason or another -necessary to address this issue in 1943. Why would we expect anything
different from the Lebanese earlier in the 20th century?

Having noted the French law's official statutory indifference to same-sex relations, we should, however, heed Michael Sibalis' qualifications that the failure of the Penal Code of 1810 to criminalize homosexual acts did not decriminalize same-sex relations *in practice* – especially after the Bourbon Restoration (1814). Police and other local law enforcement authorities continued to harass homosexuals, routinely prosecuting them under other legal rubrics informally understood to target homosexuals. "Public indecency" was one such crime used, in practice, to as a pretense to penalize homosexuals. ⁴¹ The Lebanese practice today also follows the example of the French in rationalizing persecuting of homosexuals under notions like legal proscriptions of public indecency, corrupting youth, and so on. There,

The appeals court ruled that the penal code should be interpreted in accordance with "common sense" and principles of social justice. It found that consensual sex between adults of the same sex cannot be considered "unnatural" as long as it does not violate morality and ethics, for instance, "when it is seen or

⁴¹ Michael D. Sibalis, *Napoleonic Code* glbtq *at* http://www.glbtqarchive.com/ssh/napoleonic_code_S.pdf.

heard by others, or performed in a public place, or involving a minor who must be protected."⁴²

Lebanese practice of harassing members of the LGBTQ community, at least, under the Penal Code of 1943, also continues to follow the French here.

Lebanese police use the combination of Article 534 and complimentary Articles of the Penal Code (particularly Articles 518 – 522), as an excuse to arrest, detain and intrusively physically examine15 perceived members of the LGBT population.⁴³

Despite such matters of practice, when it comes to earlier statutes where we might expect to find a "colonial relic" of the Mandate or Vichy in Lebanon, we come up empty. Unlike Article 534, the French penal codes, with the possible exception of Vichy's, do not mention the "unnatural," nor really any explicit mention of same-sex relations. So, the mysteries swirling about Article 534 remain. How a "colonial relic" and why "the language of the "unnatural"?

Why the Lebanese Criminalized Same-Sex Relations: An Hypothesis

⁴² Anon, Human Rights Watch, (2018).

⁴³ Anon, Country Advice: Lebanon (Government of Australia 2010).

The problem, then, is that despite the great debt to the legal traditions of France, Lebanon's notorious Article 534 of its Penal Code (1943) does criminalize homosexual relations. This suggests that the Orientalist model of citing external sources of oppression might require considerable adjustment in the case of Lebanon and Article 534. This discrepancy between French and Lebanese law points then to a native Lebanese genesis of Article 534 since, even now "French doctrine and jurisprudence are very often used and quoted by Lebanese judges and lawyers.44 Commentators have remarked upon the ability of "foreign (clerical) authorities to exert binding jurisdiction on internal Lebanese affairs... [by means of] papal encyclicae, or fatwas... which are officially recognized and fully binding by the Lebanese state."45 But instead of seeing only French colonial/imperial authority active here, Article 534's appearance suggests the possibility of 'native' Lebanese agency in its promulgation in 1943. To assume greater "indigenous" Lebanese responsibility for Article 534 would then confirm a view mooted by Mark Farha. Referring to the French mandate's (1923-1946) made "repeated attempts... to introduce a unified secularized civil status code in Lebanon...." But all these efforts at imposing a classically *laïc* colonial legal

_

⁴⁴ Warren G. Wickersham & Marwan M. Nsouli, *Legal System of Lebanon:* Summary and Bibliography, 5 The International Lawyer, 300 (1971).

⁴⁵ Farha, ARAB LAW QUARTERLY, 37 (2015).

regime on the Lebanese failed, because all "were blocked by defensive alliances and remonstrations of the *sectarian* heads." (my emphasis)

What are some other indications that would help build the case that specifically sectarian religious resistance to a unified secularized civil status code could eventuate in the promulgation of a law like Article 534? We know, for instance, that "Catholics resisted departing from official ecclesiastical dogma in matters of marriage and divorce..." . It would scarcely be surprising that in 1943 that Lebanese Catholics sought to inscribe condemnation of "nonheterosexuality" into the new Penal Code. Adding the intransigent Catholicism of Pétainist precedents in France from 1942 only increases this likelihood. Nor would one be surprised to find Lebanese Catholics prominent among those resisting liberalizing laws like Article 534's criminalization of same-sex relations. Mark Farha consequently argues, for example, how sectarian power in independent Lebanon energized the resistance of religious communities to civil marriage in Lebanon. "The constitution [1926] equally obliges the state to surrender key jurisdiction in the realm of personal status laws and education to the countries eighteen confessions in their self-administered schools and institutions." ⁴⁷ The concessions made by the 1926 constitution to sectarian religious autonomy gave religious

⁴⁶ Id. at.

⁴⁷ Id. at, 32.

communities immense power in matters of "personal status laws" which, in turn, would include laws relating to same-sex relations, such as Article 534.

LGBTQ activists have gone even further to accuse precisely the constitutionally mandated "power-sharing" sectarianism – religious "confessionalism" -- for the suppression of non-heterosexuality. 48 Codified in today's Lebanese constitution, it effectively grants "religious conservatives the power to promote values and policies that demonize nonheterosexuality." 49 Some LGBTQ activists personally interviewed by John Nagle in June 2015 spoke in one voice about the poisonous effects on their sexual liberties made possible by the religious sectarianism structurally fundamental to Lebanese constitutions since 1926. Said one activist,

It is nearly impossible to find a Lebanese leader, decision-maker, influencer, who cares in the slightest about their political future, to come out in favour of ending 534. Unfortunately, the religious establishments are all incredibly homophobic and their lopsided influence on the policymaking process seeps through the decision-making.⁵⁰

⁴⁸ John Nagle, Crafting Radical Opposition or Reproducing Homonormativity?

Consociationalism and LGBT Rights Activism in Lebanon, 17 JOURNAL OF HUMAN

RIGHTS, 78 (2018).

⁴⁹ Id. at, 77.

Eventually, Nagle argues that "the consequence of devolving power to hardline ethnoreligious leaders is to legitimize the oppression of women and sexual minorities..." even to the extent that some Meem activists -- a Lebanese women's LBGTQ rights group -- believe that "the sect leaders overseeing the personal status laws 'want to purify the world of homosexuality'."⁵¹

While this paper focuses on the Catholic agency behind Article 534, the Meem statement makes it clear that all of Lebanon's 18 major religious communities practice a homophobic politics. John Nagle, accordingly reports that other "Meem" representatives affirmed that "it is worth noting that all of the ... sects, parties, and coalitions between them strongly condemn homosexuality and all are very poor in addressing human rights issues, and women's rights in particular.⁵²

Nagle argues further that the reason for this across-the-board sectarian homophobia in Lebanon is that "nonheterosexuality destabilizes and threatens the narrative of the ethnic nation...." By shifting notions of identity to such markers as sexual preference, sex/gender and so on, the old order's verities are disturbed. A new homosexual marker of identity "challenges the

⁵⁰ Id. at, 84-5.

⁵¹ Id. at. 78.

⁵² Id. at, 85.

fixed categories of national identity, and sexual minorities..." It would threaten to "represent pollutants to the fictive kinship of the national family" based on vested sectarian religious divisions.⁵³ Strong political opposition to non-heterosexual solidarity can be expected as long as it challenges this doggedly maintained religious and ethnic sectarianism – the very "'foundation of politics'" in modern Lebanon.⁵⁴

Article 534: A Product of Jesuit-Maronite Cross-cultural Collaboration?

I hope I have, then, thus far cast sufficient doubt on the narrow Orientalist reading of Article 534 as an externally-originated "relic" of secular French colonialism. But, if not a "colonial relic" of the standard secular variety, how was Article 534 the product of other kinds of cultural and social forces? We know that internal Lebanese "religious conservative" sectarians played a role. But who were they, exactly? And, how did they achieve their ends? By themselves, or alone? Answers to these questions lie, I want now argue, in trying to account for Article 534's distinctive language criminalizing samesex relations as "contrary to nature."

Such language is telling for several reasons, but not the least because it does not reflect state-initiated French colonialism – until Vichy, that is – an

⁵³ Id. at. 77.

⁵⁴ Id. at.

issue that will need to be addressed in light of my playing down of Vichy's role in creating Article 534. Michael Sibalis notes that Article 534's criminalization of same-sex relations was not justified by the usual appeal to protecting underaged citizens. Performing "unnatural" sexual acts did, however, qualify one for punishment under Article 534. But how to explain this fixation on the "natural" and "unnatural"? I have noted earlier that the Napoleonic Code does elevate categories like "natural" or unnatural" to the level of moral discourse. The problem is, however, that the Napoleonic Code does not concern itself with criminalization of "unnatural" homosexual acts or any other. It is, rather, the French Penal Code of 1810 that is concerned with criminality. But it too does not criminalize same-sex acts as "unnatural," either! How then can Article 534 can be a "colonial relic" in Lebanon, if the colonizer in question - France - does not employ the legal language of "nature" in descriptions of same-sex acts in its penal laws -- at least not until Vichy's law of 6 August 1942?

This therefore, is why I am arguing that calling Article 534 a "colonial relic" oversimplifies the dynamic relations of *external and domestic factors* in making modern Lebanese moral, religious and political culture. If true, this means, we need to takes seriously claims like those of M. S. Mohamed that laws in French colonies and mandates were sometimes the product of *negotiation* and *mutual adjustments* between colonial authorities and local forces.

... the development of sexually restrictive laws in ... Beirut under colonial rule is arguably thought to have been done out of appeasement to nationalists and the more conservative elements of both societies These elements were not only fighting against European immorality, but against the idea of colonialism as a whole.⁵⁵

About the regulation of sexuality in mandate Lebanon, Sara Mandour writes that "Article 534... reflects the religious norms and the moral value system prevailing in society which remains largely a traditional one premised on traditional gender roles and relations." This means that while "Sexuality in Lebanon is regulated through a large body of legal articles and laws that penetrate the whole legal system, inherited in its modern form from the French colonial era," local sources of law and morality contribute their own share, as well. 56

In Lebanon, for the better part of its modern history, these "traditional gender roles and relations" point unambiguously to the pious Vatican-oriented Maronite community. Maronite "orthodoxy and unwavering devotion

⁵⁵ Sexuality, Development and Non-conforming Desire in the Arab World: The Case of Lebanon and Egypt. (2015).

⁵⁶ Mandour, REUTERS INSTITUTE FELLOWSHIP PAPER, 6 (2013).

to Catholicism" vouched for their conservative "anti-Jacobin counterrevolutionary credentials." ⁵⁷

As in every large organization, one cannot deny the presence of dissenting voices within the Maronite church. Nevertheless, these voices are too faint to enable one to speak of any resistance from the Maronite clergy to the positions adopted by the Patriarch and the Council of Maronite Bishops.⁵⁸

These observations about Maronite conservatism (and, doubtless, ultramontanism) mean that, while Article 534 may indeed date from the French Mandate period (1923-1946), or earlier in the Second Empire, it would be, at the very least, the product of a intricate interaction between the moral cultures of external and internal parties. I am indeed arguing that such cross-cultural collaboration resulted in Article 534. It faithfully reflects "clerical" and theological interaction inaugurated by the French Catholic missionary efforts into Maronite Lebanon, prominently featuring the Jesuits. 59 As Chantal Verdeil says, "studying the history of the Catholic missions

⁵⁷ USSAMA MAKDISI, THE CULTURE OF SECTARIANISM: COMMUNITY, HISTORY, AND VIOLENCE IN NINETEENTH-CENTURY OTTOMAN LEBANON 82 (University of California Press. 2000).

⁵⁸Sami E. Baroudi & Paul Tabar, *Spiritual Authority versus Secular Authority:*Relations between the Maronite Church and the State in Postwar: 1990–

2005, 18 MIDDLE EAST CRITIQUE, 196n5 (2009).

closely linked to France its government as well as its church," means, in effect, studying "the Jesuits of the Middle East in the period spanning the nineteenth century up until the Second World War."⁶⁰

The Maronites welcomed foreign Jesuit theological influences in much the same way they welcomed French military and political protection for their community. In collaborating with the theological efforts of the Jesuits, the Maronites, in effect, "indigenized" them, making Jesuit spirituality and moral teaching something of their own. In effect, the Maronites may have created for themselves a hybrid culture that would have been more than capable of generating specific laws such as Article 534. After all, the language of "nature" and the "unnatural" permeated the Thomistic moral theology championed by the Jesuits. Both Jesuits and Lebanese thus, formed a common mind in their condemnation of same-sex relations as "unnatural." If Article 534 was a "relic," it was a "relic" of the 13th century Thomistic moral theology taught to them by the Jesuits.

Aquinas on "Unnatural" Acts as the "The Greatest of the Sins of Lust"

⁵⁹ Serkan Gul, The French Catholic Missionaries in Lebanon between 1860 and 1914 (2015) Middle East Technical University).

⁶⁰ Chantal Verdeil, The Jesuits and the Middle East from the Nineteenth Century to the Present Day: A Historiographical Essay (Brill Online. 2018).

I cite Aguinas for a number of reasons that I shall try now to make even more persuasive. In general, along with Plato, Sir Edward Coke and Sir William Blackstone, Aguinas is among the critical sources of the widespread legal claim that same-sex relations are sinful because "unnatural." ⁶¹ The *locus* classicus of Aguinas' arguments for his severe condemnation homoeroticism as "unnatural" is to be found in Summa Theologica, II, 2, Question 154, Articles 11 and 12. In Article 11, Thomas tells us that the "unnatural vice" includes "copulation with an undue sex, male with male, or female with female, as the Apostle states (Romans 1:27), and this is called the 'vice of sodomy'," or, finally, "by not observing the natural manner of copulation, either as to undue means, or as to other monstrous and bestial manners of copulation." In exchanges consisting in several anonymous "Objections" and Thomas' "Replies to Objections" and "Sed Contras," Thomas concludes by judging "the unnatural vice" of homoerotic relations specifically the "greatest sin among the species of lust." A measure of that gravity can be gauged by Aguinas' ranking same-sex relations - what he calls "the unnatural vice" a graver vice than certain acts of cruelty, acts devoid of compassion and love, inflicting pitiless suffering, specifically, what he terms are "adultery, seduction and rape." Notably for a Christian, engaging in the "unnatural vice" of same-sex-relations, then, far outranks sins contrary even to Christian charity -- sins causing grave injury and suffering, such as like "adultery, seduction and rape."

⁶¹ Knutson, Journal of Homosexuality (1979-80).

But why does Thomas rank same-sex relations as the "greatest of the sins of lust"? Why the superlative? Thomas answers simply. Same-sex relations offend against "nature." In offending "nature," same-sex acts offend nature's God. This alone is reason enough for Aquinas to lay down his stern condemnation of "the unnatural vice." In committing "the unnatural vice" ... "that very intercourse which should be between God and us is violated..." And, why? The unnatural vice causes "that same nature, of which He is the Author... (to be) polluted...." Communication between divinity and humanity corrupted. Mark D. Jordan shows further how Thomas views "the Sodomitic vice" as "horrifying and disgusting in a way that atrocities are.... These acts are outside correction, and hence outside ethical discussion," and thus in an "unhuman beyond." 62

Faithful midwives of Thomistic moral theology, Jesuit missionary moral instruction about sexual morality in Lebanon would have aped Thomas' condemnation of same-sex relations. As for Article 534's condemnation of same-sex relations as "unnatural," we can see how Aquinas' condemnation of them as "the greatest of the sins of lust" -- precisely because "unnatural" - might shed light on Article 534's identical language. I have argued, however, that Article 534 should be seen as the product of collaboration. What, then, do we know of Maronite religious culture and theology prior to

⁶²Mark D. Jordan, The Invention of Sodomy in Christian Theology 150 (University of Chicago Press. 1997).

Jesuit influence that might account for the receptiveness of the Maronites to Jesuit instruction against same-sex relations?

Add the Middle Eastern Legal Koine, and Mix

An obvious reason for Maronite receptivity to Thomistic condemnation of same-sex relations may have been their own ancient theological traditions. If so, then that would offer another reason for seeing Article 534 as the *product* of the interaction of Jesuit missionary moral theology and the Middle East moral and legal traditions of their Maronite students. After all, the territory consisting of today's Lebanon was the home of some of the most ancient schemes of law. Even though many legal systems proliferated across the entire Middle East, there were significant resemblances among them. Both the Biblical and Quranic rule of an eye for eye, a tooth for a tooth are, for instance, are calques of the Code of Hammurabi (18C BCE), #196, #200. But Hammurabi did not proscribe or even mention same-sex relations.⁶³ And although Hammurabi did not proscribe same-sex acts, the slightly younger Assyrian Laws #20 (145-1250 BCE) seems so to do. The matter is not entirely clear, given that in #20, it says, "If a seignior lay with his neighbor, when they have prosecuted him and convicted him, they shall lie with him and turn him [the perpetrator] into a eunuch."64 Commentators have questioned whether only the compulsion of the act was condemned here,

⁶³ Mallat, The American Journal of Comparative Law, 702 (2003).

and not the act of penetration itself. One will note that only the penetrator is condemned to castration – not his target victim.

Taken as a whole, the resemblances between legal codes were often numerous and profound. They resulted in what Chibli Mallat referred to as a "deep Middle Eastern legal patrimony." So substantial was the depth of the Middle Eastern legal patrimony, in particular, that some scholars posit that the "close resemblances in substance and form across religions and eras [argue in] favor of an identifiable, common Middle Eastern legal *koine*." ⁶⁵ Given that two of the region's legal systems -- both the Syro-Roman law of Lebanon and Muslim law (also, arguably the Assyrian Laws and Biblical, but not the Hanifi) -- proscribed same-sex relations, it is plausible that an aspect of that "legal *koine*" was moral disapproval of same-sex unions?

In the case of Lebanon's part in the Middle Eastern legal *koine*, we must, therefore, consider local Lebanese legal traditions of criminalization of samesex acts that had at least one foot in the period before the intense French political and religious penetration of the mid-19th century. One such prominent code of the early modern era was established by the Bishop of Beirut, Abdallah Qara'ali's (1672-1742). His *Mukhtasar al-shari*'a (1720) was a compendium of law that until recently guided the Maronite community. It,

⁶⁴ Bruce L. Gerig, *Homosexuality in Ancient Egypt*, *in* HOMOSEXUALITY IN THE BIBLE

⁶⁵ Mallat, The American Journal of Comparative Law, 714 (2003)...

in turn, was also intensely faithful to the 13th century, Ethiopian Coptic compendium of Ibn al-Assal, *Fetha Nägäst*, as it was with of Muslim law dominating this part of the world.⁶⁶ Beyond that, Mallat also claims that Qara'ali "incorporates verbatim passages from the 6th century CE Syro-Roman Code" found in al-Assal's *Fetha Nägäst*.⁶⁷ Here, we may pick up the thread of criminalizing same-sex behavior, since Syro-Roman law punishes homosexuality with death.⁶⁸ However, Syro-Roman law does not do so in the language of natural law and "unnatural acts." This discovery means that we now have evidence of pre-French Lebanese condemnation of same-sex acts in the Middle Eastern legal *koine*. With that discovery, we would then can justify why the Maronites would have been receptive to the Catholic condemnations of same-sex relations, delivered by the 19th century French lesuit and Lazarite missionaries.

But our quest for the genealogy of the language of the "unnatural" applied to same-sex acts does not end there. We need to intensify the investigation of the Jesuits and their Thomistic moral theology and see how they fit into the Vatican's missionary enterprises in the Middle East. In doing so, I believe

⁶⁶ Id. at.

⁶⁷ Id. at.

⁶⁸ Benjamin Z. Kedar, On the Origins of the Earliest Laws of Frankish

Jerusalem: The Canons of the Council of Nablus, 1120, 74 Speculum, 315n24

(1999).

Article 534's discourse of the "natural" and "unnatural" will be exposed as well as being a "relic" of the Vatican's missionary enterprises in the Middle East of which the Jesuit missions formed an integral part.

The Vatican Disturbs the Peace in the Levant

What do we know of the Vatican's conceptions of the Middle East and its plans for the missions to be sent there? To the Vatican, the Levant presented a confoundingly extravagant, and ultimately corrupt, congeries of religious expressions – nonetheless at relative peace with each other. To its disappointment, the Vatican found in Lebanon communities of Christians, Sunni and Shi'a Muslims, Druzes, Alawites and others, coexisting in the relative peace of a *conviviendo*. Not "finding the Catholics they had expected to find...," the missionaries "were confronted with... the confusing similarity of Christian to Muslim in manners, dress, and habits." ⁶⁹ As relatively undifferentiated entities within the broader embrace of the Ottoman Empire and its *millet* system of religious communal autonomy, these communities did not sharply distinguish themselves from their neighbors on religious grounds. ⁷⁰

⁶⁹ MAKDISI, 26-7. 2000.

⁷⁰ Id. at, ch3.

In terms of Lebanese confessional identity, this lack of sharp sectarian distinctions meant that designations of orthodoxy, whether in terms of "heresy or infidelity were assigned and revoked rather than permanently affixed." What Ussama Makdisi called the "contingent nature of public religious identity," prevailed in the Levant. Religious identity was, then, more a matter of the employment of certain "tactical devices" of religious identity, rather than an absolute expression of loyalty to a confessional affiliation.

In terms of religious practice, these theological and sociological facts translated into common examples of a Christian-Druze-Muslim religious *conviviendo*, such as the sharing of a common place of religious devotion, even shrines to the Virgin Mary! In such practices, the missionaries saw only an intellectually indefensible muddying of the religious waters. What else but incoherent theological nonsense, the missionaries rhetorically asked, was a Shi'a *emir* being buried in a Sunni cemetery, a Christian merchant financing the construction of a mosque, someplace where an emir could be Druze to the Druzes, Christian to the Christians and Muslim to the Muslims, or a Muslim notable furnishing his palace with both mosque and church!?⁷² So depressed by the theological failure of Christian and Muslim sharply to distinguish themselves from one another that some 19th century Christian

⁷¹ Id. at, 46.

⁷² Id. at, 35.

observers even felt the Eastern "Christians here are so only in name." In the process, I do not mean to romanticize the Levantine *conviviendo*. Its theological roots may be shallow. But it did reflect a practical compromise providing for mutual acceptance and commitment among religious communities -- so long as one community did not encroach on the "sacred territory" of another. As we will now see, for the Vatican and its Jesuit emissaries, such Christian-Muslim syncretism ran head on against their ultimate confessional goals of a Catholic Levant.

Sending in the Jesuits to Create Sectarianism

The Vatican responded to this situation of theological tolerance in the Levantine *conviviendo* by deliberately choosing Jesuit emissaries to attack and undermine it. Like their secular cousins of later centuries, Vatican authorities presumed that this peaceful Levant presented them with a "stagnant present, literally from the dearth of hope and the death of time." But thanks to the arrival of Latin missionaries, the Church hoped to make this dismal past evolve into "a future filled with promise and progress." ⁷⁵ Dominating Vatican reactions to the Levantine *conviviendo* was the desire,

⁷³ Id. at, 27.

⁷⁴ Id. at, 36.

⁷⁵ Id. at, 20.

then, to secure a unique sense of Christian confessional or sectarian identity among the Maronites and simultaneously "préparer l'éventuelle conversion des musulmans." To accomplish these confessional objectives, the Vatican sent in the Jesuits to the Levant on a "projet ecclesial." This commission consisted in creating the kind of religious confessionalism or sectarianism characterizing religion in the post-Reformation Europe of the day. Originating as they had at a high-water mark in European "confessionalism," the Jesuits imagined a Lebanon of "pure Christian spaces," not the, to them, wooly conviviendo typical of the Ottoman Levant. As Bernard Heyberger and Chantal Verdeil observe,

The diversity of religions and churches in the Orient did not [then] induce the Jesuit missionaries to see the Ottoman Empire as a model for religious tolerance. To the contrary, convivial

⁷⁶ Sami Kuri, Une histoire du Liban à travers les archives des jésuites 337 § 2 (Dar-el-Machreq. 1991).

⁷⁷ Id. at.

⁷⁸ Benjamin J. Kaplan, Divided by Faith: Religious Conflict and the Practice of Toleration in Early Modern Europe (Harvard University Press. 2007).

⁷⁹ MAKDISI, **91**. 2000.

community relations were perceived as a sign of overall religious degeneration that the Jesuits wanted to remedy.⁸⁰

The Jesuits sought above all to create in Lebanon the same kind of confessionalism or sectarianism they knew from Europe. In order then to "purify" their Maronite charges, the "Jesuit priests sheltered them [Maronites] from their indigenous surroundings" and they taught the Maronites both "to respect Catholic France and, implicitly, to disdain their immediate surroundings." More than that even, the Jesuits sought to inculcate an "absolute expression of religious hostility" – which, in time, did successfully create a desired Maronite religious sectarian confessional identity. While the Muslims were the prime targets of this religious aggression, the Protestants were not far behind. During the tense decades of mid-19th century sectarian warfare, for instance, the need of Maronites to identify as Catholic was so extreme that any co-religionist who co-operated with the Protestants could be threatened with excommunication.

⁸⁰ Bernard Heyberger & Chantal Verdeil, *Spirituality and Scholarship: The Holy Land in Jesuit Eyes: Seventeenth To Nineteenth Centuries*, 32 STUDIES IN

CHRISTIAN MISSION, 38 (2007).

⁸¹ MAKDISI, 92. 2000.

⁸² Id. at. 46.

⁸³ Id. at, 163.

In this way, Ussama Makdisi tells us, "the arrival of missionaries... sparked an indigenous concern for the preservation of Christian faith, for it was the missionaries, and not the Ottomans, who refused to accept the legitimacy of traditional Christian practice."84 In years to come, with the creation of a Lebanese nation-state and constitution, this sense of politico-religious sectarianism took the secularized form of the Lebanese political principle of communal "power-sharing." 85 "The upshot was that history, politics, and education -- which in the old regime had reinforced a nonsectarian hierarchical social order -- were all now put to work to create a sectarian hierarchal social order."86 The Jesuit missionaries came, then, to bring "not peace, but a sword" of sectarianism, of confessionalism. In terms of the arguments made by LGBTQ activists of the role of sectarianism in the promulgation of Article 534, it should then be clear how the Jesuit sectarian initiatives contributed to such laws. The Jesuits "reflected, and gave credence to, a religiously segregated landscape" that the LGBTQ activists that I have cited believe eventually became the Lebanon that produced Article 534.87

⁸⁴ Id. at, 41.

⁸⁵ Nagle, Journal of Human Rights, 77 (2018).

⁸⁶ MAKDISI, 81. 2000.

⁸⁷ Id. at, 91.

The Jesuits first began missionary work in Lebanon as early as 1577 at the height of the rise of confessionalism in Europe. 88 Although the Council of Florence had dispatched Franciscans to tend to the Maronites as early as 1450, their presence was short-lived, and gave way to the substantial subsequent presence the Jesuits were to have in the Levant. 89 As early as 1596, Pope Clement VIII appointed the Thomist philosopher and theologian Hieronymus Dandinus (1554–1634) as papal nuncio to Cyprus and Lebanon. Ever alert to doctrinal deviations, the Vatican tasked him to "report on the alleged errors and heresies of the Maronite Christians." His record of his visit and travels appeared as *Missione apostolica al Patriarca e Maroniti del Monte Libano* in 1656. 90 The Jesuit mission to Lebanon then really began in earnest in 1660. But by 1773, for reasons still not altogether understood, Pope Clement XIV suppressed the order worldwide. This resulted in the curtailment of Jesuit activities in Lebanon until they resumed in 1831. And,

Robert John Clines, *The Society of Jesus and the Early Modern Christian Orient* Jesuit Historiography Online *at* https://referenceworks.brillonline.com/entries/jesuit-historiography-online/the-society-of-jesus-and-the-early-modern-christian-orient-COM 192582#notesup42.

⁸⁹ MAKDISI, 183n46. 2000.

⁹⁰ Michael Edwards, *Digressing with Aristotle: Hieronymus Dandinus*' "De Corpore Animato" (1610) and the Expansion of Late Aristotelian Philosophy, 13 EARLY SCIENCE AND MEDICINE, 156 (2008).

when the Jesuits returned to Lebanon, they were received with enthusiasm, as an American Jesuit reported at the time.

Indeed, no sooner had the knowledge of the restoration of the Society of Jesus reached Liban than a petition was sent from the residence of the Maronite Patriarch to Rome. This document, signed by four Oriental Patriarchs and five Bishops, begged Pius VII to send to the Eastern Church some of those evangelizers, whose confreres, still missed after forty years absence, had rendered such brilliant services to the East.⁹¹

Upon their return, the first major act of Jesuit institution-building in Lebanon was the foundation in 1843 of a seminary in Ghazir, and later the foundation of St. Joseph's University, Beirut in 1875. Their zeal in building the educational institutions in Lebanon that would secure Maronite sectarianism earned them an incomparable reputation – even among their competitors.

A Jesuit Education for Confessional Purity and Moral Rigor

The Jesuit mission was tasked with the confessional and moral education of the Maronites. Although the Jesuits created a range of parochial educational institutions, they sought early influence in the formation of young Lebanese

⁹¹ Syria, the Jesuit Mission in. pt. 214 (1932).

minds by their special attention to "local education." Here, in the formation of Lebanese youth eager to assert themselves among nations, Jesuit education, in turn, formed Maronite communal self-respect and sectarian distinctiveness. At the height of the 19th century French colonial period the secular French governments even made the task of the Jesuits easier by entrusting the bulk of local education in Lebanon to the Church's missionary congregations. Harry W. Paul notes that in Lebanon and Syria, "over 80,000 children were being educated by French citizens, seventy-five percent of whom were in religious orders." Thus, in Lebanon, the institutionalized *laïcité* of the government did not pit Church against State as it did at home. In Lebanon, the State sponsored the efforts of the Church, and in large part, the Jesuits role in education. 94 As Chantal Verdeil tells us that

With its network of schools, crowned by a prestigious university, whose main faculty (the medical faculty, opened in 1883) was generously funded by France, the mission of the Society in Syria

⁹² MAKDISI, 89. 2000.

⁹³ HARRY W. PAUL, THE SECOND RALLIEMENT: THE RAPPROCHEMENT BETWEEN THE CHURCH AND STATE IN FRANCE IN THE TWENTIETH CENTURY 45 (Catholic University of America Press. 1967).

⁹⁴ Id. at, 44-5;E. H. Ngwa Nfobin, *Homosexuality in Cameroon*, 21 INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL ON MINORITY AND GROUP RIGHTS (2014).

appeared to be to act as one of the main vehicles of "French cultural interests in the declining Ottoman Empire." 95

Ironically, the overall effect of the French state's handing over education in Lebanon to the Church was to enhance confessionalism among the Maronites.

What strategy did the Jesuits follow in fulfilling their state-sponsored role in education? From the beginning, the Jesuits wanted to achieve two aims in their mission to the Maronites – one intellectual and the other practical. First, the Maronites needed to counter the ideology and practice of religious tolerance represented by the Ottoman religious *conviviendo*. The Jesuits felt that Maronite Christians had, in effect, been left theologically unequipped to counter the errors implicit in this condition of religious tolerance, thus making them easy prey to a loss of faith, schism or heresy. ⁹⁶ The Jesuits were in Lebanon to repair the damage caused by Ottoman religious tolerance. Intellectually rigorous theological training in a sharply sectarian polemics would undo some of that damage. In effect, the Jesuits felt that the Maronites needed to be brought up to speed with the latest in "confessional" sectarian polemics honed from the time of their founding in the Counter-

⁹⁵ VERDEIL. 2018.

⁹⁶ Chantal Verdeil, *Travailler à la renaissance de l'Orient chrétien. Les missions latines en Syrie (1830-1945)*, 51 PROCHE-ORIENT CHRÉTIEN, 10 (2001).

Reformation.⁹⁷ Among the Maronites, the Jesuits hoped thereby to create nothing short of "pure Christian spaces... indispensable for reform" – that is to say, a fully sectarian or confessional Maronite Catholicism.⁹⁸

The second aim of the Jesuit educational mission was wholesale moral regeneration of the Maronite community. In the eyes of the Jesuits, centuries under the Ottoman 'yoke' had made it difficult for Christians successfully to combat morally dubious Muslim influence. An 1831 letter from the Italian Jesuit, Paul Riccadonna, records his panicked disgust at the moral corruption, tied to theological illiteracy, in the Levant -- a place where, as he puts it,

The Christians here are so only in name. And, now to this are added the Egyptians, the emissaries of Satan, the liberals, the carbonari, the biblists, the Methodists, the saint-simonians, sodomites and others, and all have the liberty to proselytize. *Oh Lord! What woe! What horror!*"99

To overcome the corrosive effects of Muslim moral influence, the Jesuits sought to inculcate the essentials of Jesuit spirituality and virtue, here

⁹⁷ KAPLAN. 2007.

⁹⁸ MAKDISI. 91. 2000.

⁹⁹ Id. at, 26;Letter to colleagues in the Roman College. pt. 45 (1831);MICHEL JULLIEN, LA NOUVELLE MISSION DE LA COMPAGNIE DE JÉSU EN SYRIE 1831-1895 45 § 1 (Imprimerie A. Mame et Fils. 1898).

prominently represented by Jesuit founder, Ignatius Loyola's *Spiritual Exercises*, but also by classic Catholic moral theology taught by the likes of Thomas Aquinas.

The Jesuits also deployed a program of moral reform relying on the systematic methods of education they had famously developed across Europe. These methods and regulations are embodied in the *Ratio Studiorum*, a compendium of the collective wisdom of teams of Jesuit educators working in collaboration to produce a portable vision of what a Jesuit education should be. For instance, the *Ratio* committed the Jesuits to what were to become some of the Renaissance's major contributions to humanistic education. Here, I single out the study of ancient classical languages and literatures, philosophy and theology.

One should not imagine, however, that these studies were undertaken in an ethical and confessional vacuum. Rather, they were typically occasions for moral and religious formation. A Jesuit student might, for example, find their days filled with lessons in the Western classics in Greek and Latin, and in Lebanon, also Syriac, French and Arabic. But the Jesuits couldn't help bending linguistic studies to the needs of theological indoctrination and moral instruction. Chantal Verdeil reports they did this very thing in Lebanon.

La religion, en particulier le catholicisme, marque aussi l'enseignement et la pédagogie. Les jésuites utilisent la grammaire de l'abbé L'Homond (1727-1794) dont les exemples servent à inculquer des valeurs morales : on y apprend les compléments avec des phrases comme "j'aime Dieu" ou "je donne aux pauvres." 100

Again, in the spirit of Renaissance humanism, the Jesuits also became well known in Lebanon for their promotion of the dramatic arts – but again, like stereotypical Jesuits, for the purposes of doctrinal and moral formation.

Through the heroes, martyrs (sometimes saints), kings, or knights portrayed in student theatricals, the Jesuits promoted loyalty to the Church, obedience to the pope, and, more generally, submission to the clergy. Committed as the order was to an essentially confessional educational project, at the end of the Ottoman period, an analysis of the prestigious theater productions at Saint Joseph's concluded that the Jesuit theatricals had, in effect, presented their university as a sectarian island of Christianity.

Given Jesuit strategies of confessional education, one should resist separating the individual and social aims of Jesuit education from one another. In the Lebanese mission field, the Jesuits interpreted what they perceived as *moral laxity* of the Maronites as a direct consequence of their weak confessional doctrinal formation. The Jesuits, therefore, concluded that the way to attack the one was to attack the other, and vice versa.

¹⁰⁰ Chantal Verdeil, *Un établissement catholique dans la société*pluriconfessionnelle de la fin de l'Empire ottoman. L'université Saint-Joseph

de Beyrouth, 75 Cahiers de la Méditerranée, 23 (2007).

Theological rigor would bring greater care to morality. Moral regeneration would likewise comport with a disciplined confessional outlook. From their "enclaves of 'pure' Occidental Christianity, the Jesuits would penetrate the home and family," and thus, everyday Lebanese morality, including sexual morality. Thus, the Jesuits convinced themselves that intensifying Maronite sectarianism went hand-in-hand with remedying the social and individual moral degeneracy they saw everywhere in Lebanon. This strategy shows how the Jesuits conceived their task in holistic terms, taking in the entire culture and the individuals making it up at one go. It is small wonder, then, that the Jesuits can be regarded as having had such a momentous impact on the emergence of a modern, sectarian Maronite moral mentality. The creation of the kind of moral culture promoted by the Jesuits, I am arguing, is a major ingredient in the creation of a moral culture that would produce laws like Article 534.

Family Values, Sexual Scruples and Jesuit Moral Reform in Lebanon

How a few Jesuit missionaries could transform a whole culture, sufficient,
say, to inform its legal and political systems is a remarkable story, and one in
which sexual morality plays a key role. What had then begun as a religious
missionary enterprise focusing on individual moral restraint became nothing

¹⁰¹ MAKDISI, 92. 2000.

short of a plan for "the transformation of local societies," 102 a total remaking of Lebanese society. No longer were the Jesuits content to educate "a few Lebanese in the Maronite College in Rome." The order had grand ambitions

The purpose of the College had been largely achieved: it had successfully "reformed" the Maronite Church and had Latinized it to a large degree. In the nineteenth century, the Jesuits' goal was far more ambitious and far more complex, for they aimed to "regenerate" an entire population. 103

This ambition for total societal regeneration gave rise to a campaign the Jesuits called a program to "make society moral" (*moraliser la société*). ¹⁰⁴ This slogan literally means the inculcation of literally "clean," or more felicitously, "wholesome" values ("saines valeurs"). If one were to permit taking some liberties with the literal meaning of "*moraliser la société*," the familiar American expression, "family values," might even convey the meaning of the French best.

But as we know from hysterical expressions of horror at the societal conditions in Lebanon from Jesuit travelers like Paul Riccadonna, the Jesuits

¹⁰² VERDEIL, The Jesuits and the Middle East from the Nineteenth Century to the Present Day: A Historiographical Essay. 2018.

¹⁰³ MAKDISI, 89. 2000.

¹⁰⁴ Verdeil, Proche-Orient Chrétien, 23 (2001).

felt they faced a daunting task before they could successfully "moraliser la société." How to go about this monumental chore? Where should one start? The Jesuits answered in terms suggested by the founding spirit of Ignatius Loyola's *Spiritual Exercises*. Its methodically plotted program of moral formation, informed by moral theologies ranging from Aquinas to the reforms of the Council of Trent, provided a powerful theoretical basis for the moral revolution the Jesuits had in mind.¹⁰⁵

This methodical approach to social regeneration begins with individual moral regeneration and piety – first among women and then, men. Taking women first, Jesuit emphasis on moral instruction targeted the cardinal role of women in creating a morally wholesome Christian family life – embodying the very notion, "family values," I used to translate the French "moraliser la société." Therefore, if one could "moraliser" women, one would, effectively, "moraliser" the family. Do that, and total social regeneration was within reach, the Jesuits reasoned.

Why not begin with men morally to reform the family? Jesuit thinking argued that, while one might *revolutionize* society by training young men, if one wanted to establish a profound moral order in the family, one needed to enlist women in that effort. Once the family had been *moralized*, reforming

¹⁰⁵ Pierre Hurteau, Catholic Moral Discourse on Male Sodomy and

Masturbation in the Seventeenth and Eighteenth Centuries, 4 JOURNAL OF THE

HISTORY OF SEXUALITY (1993).

society would follow on of its own accord. Since women were the heart of the home life, and thus the first teachers of the young, they – not men – held the key to establishing the "family values" the Jesuits prized as necessary steps on the way to total social reform. Women, therefore, were "indispensable" agents in securing a moral social order. ¹⁰⁶ Notably, in the mission field, Jesuit-affiliated female religious orders, chiefly the Sisters of Charity, worked alongside the Jesuit priests. In due course, we will see how Jesuit-formed Maronite leaders, such as Patriarch Elias Peter Howayek (1843-1931), paid the same focused attention upon the cardinal role of women in securing the kind of modern, morally regenerated, Maronite community he and other Maronite nationalists sought.

What then of men? We know the Jesuits believed that men were equipped with the virtues necessary to bring about social *revolution* in Lebanon. Did those masculine virtues pair with corresponding vices? If so, what were these male moral vulnerabilities, and how ought one deal with them? Heirs to traditions of 16th century Thomist and Post-Tridentine puritanical suspicions about male temptations to sexual excess, the Jesuits saw sexual sobriety among men as critical. Indeed, male sexual restraint became for them a token of a new modern morality. The reasons behind this thinking are complex, and not entirely understood. But in part, they arose from a particular terror at sexual deviance among men, noted by pious authors of this time. It attained such levels of excited concern that this conviction

¹⁰⁶ Verdeil, PROCHE-ORIENT CHRÉTIEN, 23 (2001).

spawned an entire literature of moral casuistry about sexual deviance.

Sexual discipline thus entered into the very conception of the ideal Catholic masculinity dominating the post-Tridentine thinking in which the Jesuits partook. Pierre Hurteau thus argues that

...the regulation of 'deviant' male sexuality not only reached far beyond religious concerns about procreative sex but also developed as an integral part of men's understanding of their capacity to master social institutions rationally and subjugate the natural world to the power of dispassionate objective reason.¹⁰⁷

One could go even further and concur with Hurteau that sexual restraint and self-scrutiny were coming to characterize the cardinal virtues of an emergent modern masculinity. The post-Tridentine Church seemed to think so.

Here, the Jesuits also step forward as advocating the cultivation of the habits of sexual discipline. Hurteau, for instance, argues that the *Spiritual Exercises* promoted a scrupulous practical spirituality of introspection – the "examination of conscience" – that equipped the faithful with a method for ferreting out and squelching, among others, forbidden sexual urges. In its own way, the rigorous introspection typical of the *Spiritual Exercises* became, then, a model for a type of new introspective European man. ¹⁰⁸ Here, the new post-Tridentine culture of sexual discipline became pivotal. "My contention," continues Hurteau, "here is that this new style of casuistry

¹⁰⁷ Hurteau, Journal of the History of Sexuality, 2 (1993).

in sexual matters reflected important social changes as well as shifting patterns in the regulation of sexual behavior." ¹⁰⁹ Jesuit missionary education, says Ussama Makdisi, thus brought a "spiritual and temporal modernization," which would, ironically, prove to be "one and the same thing." ¹¹⁰ A new moral theology and spiritual discipline eventuates in the creation of a new kind of Maronite man, capable of acting in and revolutionizing his own society along Catholic lines.

The path forward, then, as charted by the Counter-Reformation and its Jesuit legions, was not the easy-going hedonism of a Prince Hal and Falstaff, but a disciplined regime of serious intent and purpose of an introspective King Henry V. In this spirit, in Lebanon, from the middle of the 19th century, at the height of Jesuit missionary activity, teaching sexual and other discipline topped the agenda of individual, and consequently social, moral reform. In Lebanon, the Jesuits felt the key to their program of societal moral reform was an intensifying a sense of individual moral restraint. Being disciplined in moral matters, responsible to one's specially cultivated conscience and

¹⁰⁸ Avrey Dulles, *Jesuit Theology: Yesterday and Today*, 52 THEOLOGICAL STUDIES, 528 (1991).

¹⁰⁹ Hurteau, JOURNAL OF THE HISTORY OF SEXUALITY, 4 (1993).

¹¹⁰ MAKDISI, 89. 2000.

moral bearings, fit the Jesuit model of what a modern Lebanese masculinity demanded.¹¹¹

Maronites and Jesuits: "A Two-Way Exchange - A Cultural Collaboration"

The Jesuit missionaries, then, "were at the vanguard of a cultural movement that allowed for a two-way exchange – a cultural collaboration between Maronites and French Catholics of which the Jesuits were exemplars. The Jesuits provided the [Maronite] elites with seemingly viable and modern sectarian paths of development." Jesuit plans for their regeneration of Lebanese society thus played well to the Maronites. Their leadership, like Bishop Nicholas Murad, made no secret of their eagerness to collaborate with Catholic Europe – in effect, to argue "the case for a Maronite-dominated Christian Lebanon." In his Notice historique sur l'origine de la nation Maronite et sur ses rapports avec la France (1844), Murad said that the Maronites wanted nothing better than to secure its nationhood and "to take its rightful place alongside the European Christian states." To him, in

¹¹¹ Hurteau, Journal of the History of Sexuality, (1993).

¹¹² Makdisi, 88. 2000.

¹¹³ Id. at. 82.

¹¹⁴ Id. at.

effect, Maronite Lebanon was, in a way, *already* European in essence.

Murad's idea of a Lebanon resulting in a fusion of modern "European nationalist idea with a tradition of Maronite ecclesiastical autonomy" ¹¹⁵ spoke to the collaborative nature of the Maronite-French Catholic identity that had been formed by Jesuit missionary activity. ¹¹⁶

Critical to note here is how the Jesuit-Maronite collaboration resists the standard tendencies of the narrative of post-colonial theory – agent European colonizer imposing their will unilaterally on a passive, victimized colonial. In Lebanon, the Jesuits and Maronites seem genuinely to have collaborated, as Ussama Makdisi's notes.

...it is imperative to dispel any illusion that sectarianism is simply or exclusively a native malignancy or a foreign conspiracy.

Sectarianism can be narrated only by continually acknowledging and referring to both indigenous and imperial histories, which interacted – both collided and collaborated – to produce a new historical imagination. 117

¹¹⁵ ld. at.

¹¹⁶ Id. at, 89. The Jesuit plan was not, however, that the Lebanese would, then, flood into Europe. Instead, they "would be content with life in the Orient."

¹¹⁷ Id. at, 2.

Makdisi's view is less surprising in light of observations about the way the Maronites had already been developing theories of their ethno-national dignity and uniqueness before the middle of the 19th century. In an 1840 request, a Maronite delegation to the Austrian court insisted upon being treated "'without being mixed with any other sect'." Writing a handful of years later in 1844, Maronite Bishop Nicholas Murad argued to Louis-Philippe that a close racial-ethnic examination of the Maronites would show how much they shared with the French. Incredibly, his *Notice historique sur l'origine de la nation Maronite et sur ses rapports avec la France* (1844) asserted that the Maronites were not just "pro-French," they were "actually French people," ¹¹⁹ somehow misplaced by history in the Levant!

"The Maronite people, be they descended from Arabs or Syrians, share all the virtues of their clergy, and constitute a people distinct from all others in the Orient, one might say a European colony haphazardly cast into the midst of desert tribes. Their personal appearance, however, is Arab."

¹¹⁸ Id. at, 61.

¹¹⁹ Yet, despite Maronite eagerness to collaborate, the French did not always appreciate Maronite contributions to the hybrid culture being built by Maronite-Jesuit engagement. Voicing, essentially racist, suspicions of how thorough this collaboration could be, 19th century traveler, poet, Alphonse de Lamartine, in his *Voyage en Orient* reported.

In terms of the larger purposes of this paper, this Jesuit-Maronite collaboration in the creation of Maronite sectarianism tends to give credence to the view of recent LBGTQ commentators that Lebanese sectarianism facilitated the appearance of Article 534. It did so, because, as John Nagle, Meem and others argue, sectarianism entails "devolving power to hardline ethnoreligious leaders," suspected of bearing ultimate responsibility for Article 534. In thus accumulating such power by way of sectariansm, Lebanon's Latinized conservative, Jesuit-educated, religious forces have been able so "to legitimize the oppression of women and sexual minorities...." Some Meem activists think that this desire to oppress goes so far that "the sect leaders" – including non-Catholics as well – "overseeing the personal status laws 'want to purify the world of homosexuality'." Article 534 looks a lot like something that would advance such a Lebanese sectarian

Perhaps it was such suspicions that lay behind the Vatican view that Jesuit missionary activity should not cease until they had completed what amounted to a thorough Latinizing and reconversion of the Maronites into properly orthodox and orthoprax (Latin) Christians. This was no less than the Christianity then being fostered by the Jesuits according to the post-Tridentine, Counter-Reformation values prevailing in the Second Empire and beyond.

¹²⁰ Nagle, Journal of Human Rights, 78 (2018).

homophobic agenda, even if all sectarian elements in Lebanon seem share homophobia with the Maronites, if LGBTQ activists are to be believed. 121

Patriarch Howayek, Perfection of the Maronite-Jesuit Collaboration

A pivotal Maronite figure illustrating how the Jesuit and Maronite collaborative vision was realized in Lebanon was Patriarch Elias Peter Howayek (1843-1931). 122 Although he is often credited with being the veritable founder of the modern Lebanese state, Howayek is more accurately seen as a fiercely Lebanese Maronite Catholic denominational sectarian. Together with his leadership in political agitation for an independent Lebanon, Howayek also was 72nd Maronite Patriarch of Antioch. In the early 20th century, for instance, Howayek affirmed Maronite Christian sectarianism politically in his nationalist desire for a "Petit Liban" – a Lebanon that — again —"should remain a Christian country, not a country with Christians living in it." On the 1st of September 1920, the Mandate's High Commissioner,

¹²¹ Id. at. 85.

¹²² I note several variant spellings -- Hoyek, Hoayek, Hwayek, Huayek, Hawayek, Huwayyuk.

Abisaab Malek, Warmed or Burnt by Fire? The Lebanese Maronite Church Navigates French Colonial Policies, 1935, 36 ARAB STUDIES QUARTERLY, 295 (2014).

General Henri Gouraud, however, proclaimed the birth of Greater Lebanon ("Grand Liban"). But even as late as 1923, Maronite sectarian nationalist elites such as Howayek preferred the "Petit Liban" dominated by the Maronites. Howayek grew accordingly ever suspicious of French plans for this Greater Lebanon, because it would dilute Maronite power by including in its large populations of non-Catholics. The *laïc* Mandate authorities thus revealed themselves as determined to thwart these longstanding Maronite ambitions for a Christian Lebanon. Once French plans to secularize Greater Lebanon became clearer, Howayek seized the occasion to deliver a sharp sectarian rebuke to Gouraud, by expressing "his outrage at Gouraud's alleged preference for a federation joining Syria and Lebanon..." and "threatened rebellion if the French were to pursue this plan." 124 This sense of privileged ownership enjoyed by Maronite elites of the new nation-state, dating from the earlier purely Maronite administrative authority of 1864 to 1918 -- mutasarrifiyya -- has never quite died out among the Maronites. 125

Together with his Maronite sectarian-nationalist commitments, Howayek should also be seen as the perfect expression of Jesuit missionary success in Lebanon. He was, in a way, a model of the new Lebanese man created by the collaboration of the Maronite cultural legacy and the rigors of Jesuit theological and moral education. Howayek's links with the Jesuit Thomistic

¹²⁴ ld. at.

¹²⁵ Id. at, 293.

theology began at the tender age of 16 or so, in 1859, when he first would have formally encountered Thomist theology at the Jesuit seminary in Ghazir, where he studied classic languages and philosophy. 126 Jesuit Thomistic intellectual formation shaped much of Howayek's outlook on morality and society into his maturity, as it did for many Maronite elites. Chantal Verdeil notes that Charles A. Frazee called attention to the Jesuit foundation, Saint Joseph's University (Beirut) for its seminary and faculty of theology, because it "'provided Maronite and other Catholic Churches of the East with opportunities never before available'." 127

Howayek's orientation towards the Jesuits also accounts for the subsequent importance of Catholic, principally Thomistic, theology in Maronite Lebanon. Howayek's sponsorship of the Jesuits and with them, Aquinas, had been sealed upon taking his doctorate in theology in Rome at the Sacred Congregation for the Propagation of the Faith in 1865, then in the midst of a revival of Thomistic theology. While in Rome, Howayek would, for example, often meet frequently with Pope Leo XIII, leader of the Church's resuscitation

¹²⁶ Margaret Ghosn, The Charisma of Patriarch Elias Howayek (1843-1931) 9 (nd).

¹²⁷ CHARLES A. FRAZEE, CATHOLICS AND SULTANS: THE CHURCH AND THE OTTOMAN EMPIRE, 1453–1924 282 (Cambridge University Press. 1983).

Thomism as its official theology. While in Rome, in 1894 Howayek also became acquainted with the ancient Levantine manuscripts once held by the Maronite College that Pope Gregory XII founded in 1584. Ever the nationalist, and try though he might, Howayek was unable to get these Lebanese antiquities returned to the Maronite College as promised by Pope Leo. Howayek, nevertheless, benefitted a great deal by the intellectual environment created by Leo and the Jesuits in the middle of the 19th century. Perhaps foremost of the intellectual treasures that fell into Howayek's lap were the newly revived theological works of Thomas Aquinas. In the footsteps of Aquinas himself, Howayek taught moral theology at the Seminary of St. John Maroun for many years. 129

Witness to Howayek's loyalty both to the Jesuits and to Thomism, once Howayek had gained sufficient ecclesiastical authority in Lebanon, he straightaway invited the Jesuits and other Latin religious congregations, such as the Lazarites – a Thomistically-influenced missionary order -- to set up schools in Lebanon. Upon returning to Lebanon, Howayek also founded the kinds of religious movements reinforcing "family values" and traditional sex roles, such as those – like the Jesuits -- of the traditional heterosexual family. One such organization was, in fact, dedicated explicitly to devotion to

¹²⁸ GHOSN, 14. nd.

¹²⁹ Id. at, 10.

¹³⁰ Id. at, 9.

the Holy Family. Quite possibly also indebted to Lazarite devotion to the Holy Family, Howayek sponsored the education of girls and women to assume their traditional roles of maintaining model Christian families.

So, with Howayek's Thomistic nurture, devotion to "family values," added to 19th century's French Catholicism's antagonism to same-sex relations, Lebanese Maronite Catholics were well positioned to steer the Lebanese Penal Code towards an Article 534. How else to explain the Thomistic language of Article 534's formulation proscribing same-sex relations as "unnatural acts"? In Lebanon, the French Thomistic condemnation of samesex relations would have found ready calques in Maronite Christianity's conservative theology of sexual relations, reinforced by Jesuit teaching or not: "To start with," say Sami Baroudi and Paul Tabar, "the Maronite Church is even more socially conservative than the mother Catholic Church; it opposes divorce, civil marriage, out-of-wedlock relationships and homosexuality."131 This is all to say that if the Lebanese Penal Code can be said to be a "colonial relic," it is a "relic" of a fundamentally clericotheological collaborative enterprise, made possible by the surrender of French colonial influence in matters of moral education to the lesuits and Lazarites, but nonetheless embedded in Thomistic moral theology and Middle Eastern legal koine supplied by the Maronites. Some commentators have even argued that Article 534's continued application and favorable judicial rulings actively conform to such *native* Lebanese preferences, rather than

¹³¹ Baroudi & Tabar, MIDDLE EAST CRITIQUE, 225 (2009).

just passively reflect imposed colonial ones. There, native preference is alive, since "personal status laws continue to be regulated by the traditional laws inscribed in the respective religious codices of each of the country's denominations." 132

Article 534: A Collaborative Effort of Lebanon's Maronite-Jesuit Moral Cultural In this discussion, I have tried to sketch the outlines of a dense and extensive history of the formation of homophobic conservative thinking in Maronite Lebanon aided and abetted by the Jesuit promotion of Thomistic and Post-Tridentine theology. As to the creation of Article 534, I hope it is now more understandable why I do not identify Vichy, much less French laïc colonialism as its chief, and certainly not sole, agents. Instead, I have argued that like general Lebanese political and religious sectarianism, Article 534 is a specific case of a congenial collaboration of Jesuit Thomistic moral theology and a conservative Maronite ethical and legal koine. Article 534's departs from the model of a more secular code of sexual mores, found in the French Penal Law. Article 534's criminalization of "unnatural" sex acts is also what one might expect, given the growth of sectarian Maronite religious nationalism along with Jesuit sectarian moral theological education. This Maronite intensification of religious identity meant nothing less than resistance to the values of the universalist laïcité of the French Mandate's

¹³² Farha, ARAB LAW QUARTERLY, 32 (2015).

mission civilsatrice. Thus, while I would not ignore the fact that Article 534 did apparently enter the Lebanese Penal Code in 1943, only shortly after Pétain's supposed criminalization of same-sex relations in 6 August 1942, it matters enormously how one reads this coincidence. I have attempted to show how Lebanon's history of the influence of Jesuit Thomistic moral education on the Maronite community must be considered as a critical enabling factor.

While the French government in Paris always wanted to shape
Lebanon according to its own metropolitan *laïc* image to fall into
line with their grand imperial designs, the Jesuit-influenced
Maronite Lebanese, at least, did not always share the same goal
in either cultural or political matters.¹³⁴

We must also remember that the substance of Article 534's homophobia has a substantial pre-colonial Lebanese Christian legal precedents. Intensifying Lebanese sectarianism only made the merger of these two cultural lines of agency ever more seamless. For these reasons, I have argued the need to see Article 534 collaboratively engaging both eagerly receptive domestic actors and strategically motivated foreign actors -- both a Maronite community newly formed in colonial times by interaction with the Jesuits.

¹³³ Makarem, Journal of Middle East Women's Studies, 99 (2011).

¹³⁴ Malek, ARAB STUDIES QUARTERLY, 295 (2014).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thank you, Dr. Joseph L. Esposito, Esq., Professor Bruce Lincoln, Dr. Ayman Shabana, and Dr. Ellen Hamilton Strenski for your friendship, timely encouragement and effective criticism.

Bibliography

Ali al-Moussawi, *Homosexuality Is an Offense Punishable by Lebanese Law*Mahkama Magazine *at* http://www.mahkama.net/?p=256.

M. Alipour, Essentialism and Islamic Theology of Homosexuality: A Critical Reflection on an Essentialist Epistemology toward Same-Sex Desires and Acts in Islam Journal of Homosexuality at

https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/00918369.2017.1289001.

Annual Human Rights Reports Submitted to Congress by the U.S.

Department of State: Societal Abuses. Discrimination and Acts of Violence Based Upon Sexual Orientation. pt. 2246 (2013).

Civil Society Report on the Implementation of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights in Lebanon: 122 Session. (2018).

Anon, Country Advice: Lebanon (Government of Australia 2010).

Anon, Crimes and Delicts against Individuals: Attacks on Morals, in Penal Code of France (1819).

Anon, Lebanon: Same-Sex Relations Not Illegal: Homosexuality Not an 'Unnatural Offense,' Appeals Court Rules, Human Rights Watch (2018).

Aimar Arriola, et al., A Legal Experiment on 'Contra Naturam' Laws, The AGAINST NATURE JOURNAL (2015).

Sami E. Baroudi & Paul Tabar, Spiritual Authority versus Secular Authority: Relations between the Maronite Church and the State in Postwar: 1990–2005, 18 MIDDLE EAST CRITIQUE (2009).

Robert John Clines, *The Society of Jesus and the Early Modern Christian Orient* Jesuit Historiography Online at https://referenceworks.brillonline.com/
https://referenceworks.brillonline.com/
https://referenceworks.brillonline.com/

Eugeen De Jonghe, Locke and Hooker on the Finding of the Law, 42 THE REVIEW OF METAPHYSICS (1988).

Our Mission in Syria. pt. 230 (1908).

Avrey Dulles, *Jesuit Theology: Yesterday and Today*, 52 THEOLOGICAL STUDIES (1991).

Michael Edwards, *Digressing with Aristotle: Hieronymus Dandinus' "De Corpore Animato" (1610) and the Expansion of Late Aristotelian Philosophy*, 13 EARLY SCIENCE AND MEDICINE (2008).

Mark Farha, Stumbling Blocks to the Secularization of Personal Status Laws in the Lebanese Republic (1926-2013), 29 ARAB LAW QUARTERLY (2015).

CHARLES A. FRAZEE, CATHOLICS AND SULTANS: THE CHURCH AND THE OTTOMAN EMPIRE, 1453–1924 (Cambridge University Press. 1983).

MARGARET GHOSN, THE CHARISMA OF PATRIARCH ELIAS HOWAYEK (1843-1931) (nd). Serkan Gul, The French Catholic Missionaries in Lebanon between 1860 and 1914 (2015) Middle East Technical University).

Haider Ala Hamoudi, Same Sex Relations and Islamic Law: Understanding

Premodern Hanafi Jurists muslimlawprof at

http://muslimlawprof.org/2015/06/same-sex-relations-and-islamic-law-understanding-premodern-hanafi-jurists/.

Bernard Heyberger & Chantal Verdeil, *Spirituality and Scholarship: The Holy Land in Jesuit Eyes: Seventeenth To Nineteenth Centuries*, 32 STUDIES IN CHRISTIAN MISSION (2007).

Aseel M. Houmsse, Sociolinguistics of LGBTQ+ Discourse across Lebanese Law, NGO Policy, and Mass Media (2018) (Undergraduate Thesis, The Ohio State University).

Sarabrynn Hudgins, Why Is Lebanon Still Using Colonial-Era Laws to Persecute LGBTQ Citizens? Slate at

https://slate.com/human-interest/2016/05/lebanon-still-uses-colonial-eralaws-to-persecute-lbgtq-citizens.html. Pierre Hurteau, Catholic Moral Discourse on Male Sodomy and Masturbation in the Seventeenth and Eighteenth Centuries, 4 JOURNAL OF THE HISTORY OF SEXUALITY (1993).

Junaid Jahangir & Hussein Abdullatif, Same-Sex Unions in Islam, 24 THEOLOGY AND SEXUALITY (2018).

Syria, the Jesuit Mission in. pt. 214 (1932).

MARK D. JORDAN, THE INVENTION OF SODOMY IN CHRISTIAN THEOLOGY (University of Chicago Press. 1997).

MICHEL JULLIEN, LA NOUVELLE MISSION DE LA COMPAGNIE DE JÉSU EN SYRIE 1831-1895 § 1 (Imprimerie A. Mame et Fils. 1898).

Benjamin J. Kaplan, Divided by Faith: Religious Conflict and the Practice of Toleration in Early Modern Europe (Harvard University Press. 2007).

Benjamin Z. Kedar, On the Origins of the Earliest Laws of Frankish Jerusalem: The Canons of the Council of Nablus, 1120, 74 Speculum (1999).

Rayana Khalaf, *Homosexuality Is Not a Crime, Says Lebanon's Top Military Prosecutor* StepFeed at https://stepfeed.com/homosexuality-is-not-a-crime-says-lebanon-s-top-military-prosecutor-3139.

Donald C. Knutson, *Homosexuality and the Law*, 5 JOURNAL OF HOMOSEXUALITY (1979-80).

Sami Kuri, Une histoire du Liban à travers les archives des jésuites § 2 (Dar-el-Machreq. 1991).

Patrick Logoras-Flavigny, *Cambecérès et Locke*, Annales historiques de la Révolution française (1997).

Ghassan Makarem, *The Story of HELEM*, 7 JOURNAL OF MIDDLE EAST WOMEN'S STUDIES (2011).

USSAMA MAKDISI, THE CULTURE OF SECTARIANISM: COMMUNITY, HISTORY, AND VIOLENCE IN NINETEENTH-CENTURY OTTOMAN LEBANON (University of California Press. 2000).

Abisaab Malek, Warmed or Burnt by Fire? The Lebanese Maronite Church

Navigates French Colonial Policies, 1935, 36 ARAB STUDIES QUARTERLY (2014).

Chibli Mallat, From Islamic to Middle Eastern Law a Restatement of the Field

(Part I), 51 The American Journal of Comparative Law (2003).

Sara Mandour, Potential Change in Media Discourse on Sexuality in Lebanon: Cinema Plaza" and Beyond, Reuters Institute Fellowship Paper (2013).

Sexuality, Development and Non-conforming Desire in the Arab World: The Case of Lebanon and Egypt. (2015).

John Nagle, Crafting Radical Opposition or Reproducing Homonormativity?

Consociationalism and LGBT Rights Activism in Lebanon, 17 JOURNAL OF HUMAN

RIGHTS (2018).

E. H. Ngwa Nfobin, *Homosexuality in Cameroon*, 21 International Journal on Minority and Group Rights (2014).

Women's Rights Report: Discrimination in the Punishment of Women. (2018). Hibaaq Osman, Laws That Allow Rapists to Marry Their Victims Come from Colonialism, Not Islam Independent at https://www.independent.co.uk/voices/ rape-conviction-laws-marry-rapist-jordan-egypt-morocco-tunisia-came-from-french-colonial-times-a7872556.html.

HARRY W. Paul, The Second Ralliement: The Rapprochement between the Church and State in France in the Twentieth Century (Catholic University of America Press. 1967).

Letter to colleagues in the Roman College. pt. 45 (1831).

Michael Sibalis, Homophobia, Vichy France, and the "Crime of Homosexuality": The Origins of the Ordinance of 6 August 1942, 8 GLQ: A JOURNAL OF LESBIAN AND GAY STUDIES (2002).

Michael D. Sibalis, Napoleonic Code glbtq at

http://www.glbtqarchive.com/ssh/napoleonic_code_S.pdf.

Serena Tolino, *Homosexuality in the Middle East: An Analysis of Dominant and Competitive Discourses*, Depertate, esuli profughe: Revista Telematica di Studi Sulla memoria femminile (2014).

CHANTAL VERDEIL, THE JESUITS AND THE MIDDLE EAST FROM THE NINETEENTH CENTURY TO THE PRESENT DAY: A HISTORIOGRAPHICAL ESSAY (Brill Online. 2018).

Chantal Verdeil, *Travailler à la renaissance de l'Orient chrétien. Les missions latines en Syrie (1830-1945)*, 51 PROCHE-ORIENT CHRÉTIEN (2001).

Chantal Verdeil, Un établissement catholique dans la société pluriconfessionnelle de la fin de l'Empire ottoman. L'université Saint-Joseph de Beyrouth, 75 Cahiers de la Méditerranée (2007).

Warren G. Wickersham & Marwan M. Nsouli, *Legal System of Lebanon:*Summary and Bibliography, 5 The International Lawyer (1971).