# UC Irvine UC Irvine Previously Published Works

#### Title

Nuclear relaxation rates at copper and oxygen sites in YBa2Cu3O7

#### Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9j0020wr

# Journal

Physica C Superconductivity, 162(PART 1)

**ISSN** 0921-4534

## Authors

Hammel, PC Takigawa, M Heffner, RH <u>et al.</u>

**Publication Date** 

1989-12-01

#### DOI

10.1016/0921-4534(89)90975-1

## **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed

Physica C 162-164 (1989) 177-178 North-Holland

#### NUCLEAR RELAXATION RATES AT COPPER AND OXYGEN SITES IN YBa2Cu3O7

P. C. Hammel, M. Takigawa, R. H. Heffner, Z. Fisk and K. C. Ott

Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545

We report NMR measurements of the nuclear relaxation rate at all copper and oxygen sites in magnetically aligned powder samples of YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>. There is no peak in the oxygen relaxation rate below T<sub>c</sub> at any oxygen site supporting the possibility that d-wave pairs are formed in the superconducting state. Comparison of the oxygen and copper rates in the planes reveals a characteristic temperature greater than T<sub>c</sub>.

We have measured the relaxation rate at all oxygen and copper sites in aligned powders of YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>. Relaxation measurements provide microscopic information about spin dynamics at these sites; comparison of data from the CuO<sub>2</sub> planes gives insight into the relationship between the doped holes of primarily O-2p and copper d-orbital character. Contrary to earlier reports<sup>1</sup> our measurements clearly show the <u>absence</u> of any increase of relaxation just below  $T_c$  at <u>any</u> of the four oxygen sites.

An earlier publication<sup>2</sup> describes the sample and shows an NMR spectrum. T<sub>C</sub> is 93 K and the shielding is close to 100%. The <sup>17</sup>O (<sup>63</sup>Cu) relaxation measurements were made in a 7.0 (7.4) Tesla field which reduces T<sub>C</sub> to 86 K when the field direction is parallel to the crystal c-axis (H//c). The <sup>17</sup>O H//c measurements were made on the quadrupole satellites to ensure that signals from a single site only were detected. The time dependence of the recovery of the oxygen magnetization following a single 90<sup>o</sup> saturating pulse is well described by the expected<sup>3</sup> five component exponential recovery.

The O(2,3) (planar oxygen) relaxation rate,  ${}^{17}T_{1}$ -1, contrasts dramatically with the Cu(2) rate,  ${}^{63}T_{1}$ -1 (Fig. 1). Above T<sub>c</sub>  ${}^{17}T_{1}$ -1 is linear in temperature while  ${}^{63}T_{1}$ -1 has a much weaker temperature dependence and is roughly 20 times larger. The Korringa<sup>4</sup> relation  $(T_{1}TK^{2})^{-1} = \pi \hbar k_{B} \gamma^{2} / \mu_{B}^{2}$  (K is the Knight shift) which describes relaxation of nuclei coupled to conduction electrons in a metal describes  ${}^{17}T_{1}^{-1}$  well: its temperature dependence is linear and the magnitude of  $(T_{1}TK^{2})^{-1}$  is only 1.4 times the ideal value  $\pi \hbar k_{B} \gamma^{2} / \mu_{B}^{2}$ . To understand the magnitude of  ${}^{63}T_{1}^{-1}$ one is led to examine the conse-

0921-4534/89/\$03.50 © Elsevier Science Publishers B.V. (North-Holland)

quences of antiferromagnetic interactions between d-hole spins. The relaxation rate is proportional to kgT< $\chi^{*}(q)$ >q, (where < $\chi^{*}(q)$ >q is the zero frequency limit of the average of the dynamical susceptibility,  $\chi^{*}(q,\omega)/\omega$ , over all q spanning the Fermi surface). Antiferromagnetic interactions increase  $\chi^{*}_{d}(q,\omega)$  (d refers to the copper d-spin) at the antiferromagnetic wave vector, QAF, and thus increase  $6^{3}T_{1}^{-1}$ . As a result (T<sub>1</sub>TK<sup>2</sup>)<sup>-1</sup> for copper will be enhanced with respect to the value  $\pi \hbar kg\gamma^{2}/\mu g^{2}$ : the



Figure 1. We show the H//c relaxation rates. The solid lines indicate a linear temperature dependence; the inset shows the linear behavior of the O(2,3) rate above T<sub>c</sub>.

data<sup>9</sup> show this enhancement to be roughtly 11 at 100 K.  ${}^{17}T_{1}$ -1 is not enhanced by the large value of  $\chi^{*}_{d}(Q_{AF})$ because the transferred hyperfine coupling of the O(2,3) nuclear spin to the Cu-3d spin vanishes at Q<sub>AF</sub> (the hyperfine fields from antiparallel spins on the neighboring coppers cancel at the oxygen site).

The linear temperature dependence of 17T1-1 shows that  $\langle \chi^* O_{-p}(q) \rangle_q$  (O-p refers to holes primarily resident in O-2p orbitals) is independent of temperature. The temperature dependence of R (Fig. 2) above 1.35 T<sub>C</sub> shows that  $\langle \chi^*_d(q) \rangle_q$  is increasing with decreasing temperature. From the copper Knight shift<sup>5</sup> we know that  $\chi$ (q=0) is temperature independent above T<sub>C</sub> showing that it is the large q (near QAF) component of  $\chi^{"}d(q,\omega)$  which is increasing. Through the Kramers-Kronig relation, the temperature dependence of  $\chi^{*}_{d}(Q_{AF,\omega})/\omega$  indicates that  $\chi_d(Q_{AF})$ , is increasing with decreasing temperature. Fig. 2 shows that the increase of  $\chi_d(Q_{AF})$  with cooling ceases at a characteristic temperature greater than Tc. Below 1.35 T<sub>c</sub>  $\chi^{*}$ O-p(q, $\omega$ ) and  $\chi^{*}$ d(q, $\omega$ ) become strongly coupled and  $\chi_d(Q_{AF})$  is temperature independent. This could imply that the coupling between the doped holes and the d-holes themselves becomes much stronger at this temperature. This situation would bear some similarity to the heavy fermion systems. That R never decreases with decreasing temperature means the enhancement of copper relaxation relative to that of oxygen does not decrease even in the superconducting state. Thus the rapid decrease of copper relaxation which occurs in the vicinity of T<sub>C</sub> is not the result of the loss of the enhancement.

The complete absence of any peak in the relaxation rate immediately below  $T_C$  is significant. Seen<sup>6</sup> in s-wave superconductors, this peak will be absent in d-wave superconductivity because the existence of quasiparticle states in the gap reduces the accumulation of states at the gap edge and the coherence factor will be zero for the simplest d-wave states one might consider for a square Fermi surface.

In the chains (Fig. 1) both O(1) and O(4) show the same temperature dependence as Cu(1). From this we conclude that the doped holes are strongly bound to the Cu(1) holes to form a single band. As in the planes, neither the O(1) nor O(4) sites show a peak in  $T_1^{-1}$  below  $T_c$  again supporting the possibility of d-wave pairing.



Figure 2. Comparison of copper and oxygen relaxation in the planes reveals a characteristic temperature greater than  $T_C$ , 1.35  $T_C$ , at which the antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations stop growing with decreasing temperature.

The clear conclusion from the comparison of oxygen and copper relaxation is the <u>existence of a characteristic temperature other than T<sub>c</sub></u>. At 1.35 T<sub>c</sub> spin degrees of freedom which have some independence at higher temperatures become coupled:  $\chi_d(Q_{AF})$  ceases to grow with decreasing temperature and becomes temperature independent. The rapid decrease of copper relaxation in the vicinity of T<sub>c</sub> is <u>not</u> due to the loss of enhancement from antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations. Finally, the clear absence of any increase in  ${}^{17}T_{1}{}^{-1}$  below T<sub>c</sub> supports the possibility of d-wave superconductive pairing.

- K. Ishida et.al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 57, 2897 (1988) and P. Wzietek et.al., Europhys. Lett., 8, 363 (1989).
- 2. M. Takigawa et. al., (to be published).
- 3. Albert Narath, Phys. Rev. 162, 320 (1967).
- 4. J. Korringa, Physica 16, 601 (1950).
- 5. M. Takigawa, P.C. Hammel, R.H. Heffner and Z. Fisk, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 7371 (1989).
- L.C. Hebel and C.P. Slichter, Phys. Rev. 113, 1504 (1959); L. C. Hebel, Phys. Rev. 116, 79 (1959).