UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Circulating and intraprostatic sex steroid hormonal profiles in relation to male pattern baldness and chest hair density among men diagnosed with localized prostate cancers

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9j86w6g4

Journal The Prostate, 77(16)

ISSN 0270-4137

Authors

Zhou, Cindy Ke Stanczyk, Frank Z Hafi, Muhannad <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2017-12-01

DOI

10.1002/pros.23433

Peer reviewed



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Prostate.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 December 01.

Published in final edited form as: *Prostate*. 2017 December ; 77(16): 1573–1582. doi:10.1002/pros.23433.

Circulating and Intraprostatic Sex Steroid Hormonal Profiles in Relation to Male Pattern Baldness and Chest Hair Density Among Men Diagnosed with Localized Prostate Cancers

Cindy Ke Zhou¹, Frank Z. Stanczyk², Muhannad Hafi³, Carmela C Veneroso³, Barlow Lynch⁴, Roni T. Falk¹, Shelley Niwa⁵, Eric Emanuel⁴, Yu-Tang Gao⁶, George P. Hemstreet⁷, Ladan Zolfghari³, Peter R Carroll⁸, Michael J Manyak^{9,10}, Isabell A. Sesterhenn¹¹, Paul H. Levine¹², Ann W. Hsing¹³, and Michael B. Cook¹

¹Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, MD, USA

²Reproductive Endocrine Research Laboratory, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, CA, USA

³Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, George Washington University, Washington D.C., USA

⁴Doctors Community Hospital, MD, USA

⁵Westat[®], MD, USA

⁶Department of Epidemiology, Shanghai Cancer Institute/Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China

⁷Omaha Veterans Administration Medical Center, NE, USA

⁸Department of Urology, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA

⁹George Washington University, Washington D.D., USA

¹⁰GlaxoSmithKline, London, UK

¹¹Genitourinary Pathology, Joint Pathology Center, MD, USA

¹²Department of Epidemiology, University of Nebraska Omaha, NE, USA

¹³Stanford Prevention Research Center/Cancer Institute, Department of Medicine, and Department of Health Research and Policy, Stanford University, CA, USA

Abstract

Background—Prospective cohort studies of circulating sex steroid hormones and prostate cancer risk have not provided a consistent association, despite evidence from animal and clinical studies. However, studies using male pattern baldness as a proxy of early-life or cumulative androgen exposure have reported significant associations with aggressive and fatal prostate cancer risk.

Correspondence to Cindy Ke Zhou, Ph.D., Metabolic Epidemiology Branch, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute. 9609 Medical Center Drive Rm 6E330, Bethesda MD 20892-9774, USA. ke.zhou@nih.gov. **Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Given that androgens underlie the development of patterned hair loss and chest hair, we assessed whether these two dermatological characteristics were associated with circulating and intraprostatic concentrations of sex steroid hormones among men diagnosed with localized prostate cancer.

Methods—We included 248 prostate cancer patients from the NCI Prostate Tissue Study, who answered surveys and provided a pre-treatment blood sample as well as fresh frozen adjacent normal prostate tissue. Male pattern baldness and chest hair density were assessed by trained nurses before surgery. General linear models estimated geometric means and 95% confidence intervals (95%CIs) of each hormone variable by dermatological characteristic with adjustment for potential confounding variables. Subgroup analyses were performed by Gleason score (<7 vs. 7) and race (European American vs. African American).

Results—We found strong positive associations of balding status with serum testosterone, dihydrotestosterone (DHT), estradiol, and sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG), and a weak association with elevated intraprostatic testosterone. Conversely, neither circulating nor intraprostatic sex hormones were statistically significantly associated with chest hair density. Age-adjusted correlation between binary balding status and three-level chest hair density was weak (*r*=0.05). There was little evidence to suggest that Gleason score or race modified these associations.

Conclusions—This study provides evidence that balding status assessed at a mean age of 60 years may serve as a clinical marker for circulating sex hormone concentrations. The weak-to-null associations between balding status and intraprostatic sex hormones reaffirm differences in organ-specific sex hormone metabolism, implying that other sex steroid hormone-related factors (e.g., androgen receptor) play important roles in organ-specific androgenic actions, and that other overlapping pathways may be involved in associations between the two complex conditions.

Keywords

Male Pattern Baldness; Chest Hair Density; Sex Steroid Hormones; Serum; Prostate Tissue

INTRODUCTION

Androgens have long been hypothesized to underlie the development of prostate cancer. However, the association between serum sex steroid hormones and prostate cancer risk remains inconsistent. A meta-analysis of 18 prospective studies reported null associations using study-specific circulating concentrations (1), albeit limited by the use of blood at a single time-point after midlife that may have missed the etiologically relevant time window, and a potentially diluted effect through inclusion of a variable proportion of indolent prostate cancer cases in the era of prostate-specific antigen (PSA) testing. Later epidemiologic studies also did not support the association between circulating sex steroid hormones and prostate cancer risk (2–4), although some subgroup analyses reported a positive association of aggressive prostate cancer with total testosterone/sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG) ratio (5,6), and an inverse association with the highest quintile of total estradiol/total testosterone ratio (7).

Despite the paucity of evidence for an association between circulating sex steroid hormones and prostate cancer risk, androgenic alopecia (also commonly known as male pattern baldness) used as a proxy for early-life or cumulative exposure to sex steroid hormones has been reported to be associated with aggressive and fatal prostate cancer (8–10). Male pattern baldness is the most common hair loss in men and affects approximately 50% of Caucasian men by age 50. Bald scalp overexpresses androgen receptor (AR) (11–14) and has higher levels of dihydrotestosterone (DHT) (15), compared with the non-bald region. This enhanced androgenic action induces miniaturization of scalp hair follicles and causes progressive hair loss (16). On the other hand, men born with 5α -reductase type II (5α R2, which intracellularly converts testosterone to DHT) deficiency (17), or castrated before puberty show complete retention of scalp hair (18). The former observation has led to the use of finasteride (a 5α R2 inhibitor) in balding treatment, which slows scalp hair loss and promotes new scalp hair growth (19).

Although no study has assessed the direct relationship between chest hair density and prostate cancer risk, associations between chest hair density and circulating sex steroid hormones have been reported. The development of chest hair parallels the surge of androgens in men during puberty (20). Denser chest hair has been correlated with higher levels of circulating 3α -androstanediol glucuronide (3α -diol-G; a metabolite of DHT in peripheral tissue) (21) and lower SHBG concentrations (22). Given the role of androgenic action in these dermatological features, we investigated clinically assessed balding status and chest hair density in relation to circulating and intraprostatic concentrations of sex steroid hormones in the NCI Prostate Tissue Study in an attempt to understand the potential biological mechanisms underlying associations between dermatological characteristics and prostate cancer risk.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Population

A detailed description of the Prostate Tissue Study has been reported elsewhere (23). In brief, 422 patients were enrolled from five hospitals during January 2000 to April 2004. Eligible study subjects were men aged 18 years or older, scheduled for prostatectomy or cystoprostatectomy, newly diagnosed with localized prostate cancer, and had not taken any medication that may influence sex steroid hormone concentrations (e.g., dehydroepiandrosterone [DHEA], finasteride and neoadjuvant hormone therapy) during the 24 hours preceding surgery.

Each patient provided 30 ml of fasting blood before surgery, which was aliquoted within 4 hours at room temperature and subsequently frozen at -70° C. During surgery and immediately after the prostate gland was resected, the pathologist sliced a maximum of three pieces of macroscopically normal tissue from each of the peripheral and non-peripheral zones of the prostate, each piece weighing 200–400 mg. Each macroscopically normal tissue had the ends trimmed and fixed in formalin for Haemotoxylin and Eosin stain. A genitourinary pathologist (I.A.S.) subsequently evaluated the morphology and histology of these margins to rule out slices containing cancer. The remaining tissue was placed in a prelabeled cryovial, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -70° C.

Each patient underwent a physical examination before surgery by trained hospital nurses for anthropometric and dermatological information and a follow-up telephone interview approximately six weeks after surgery for information on demographics, medical history, family history of cancer, and lifestyle. Medical and pathology reports were also extracted.

Sex Steroid Hormone Quantitation

Sex steroid hormones were quantified at the University of Southern California under the direction of F.Z.S.. A detailed description of sample handling and laboratory process have been previously reported (23). In brief, serum concentrations of total testosterone (testosterone), DHT, 4-Androstene-3,17-dione (androstenedione), estrone, and total estradiol (estradiol) were measured by in-house radioimmunoassays (RIA), 3a-diol-G was measured by a commercial RIA kit (Diagnostics Systems Laboratories, Webster TX, presently Beckman-Coulter, Minneapolis, MD), and SHBG was measured by a solid-phase, two-site chemiluminescent immunometric assay on the Immulite analyzer (Diagnostic Products Corporation, Los Angeles, CA, presently Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Deerfield, IL) during 2007. RIAs were used after organic solvent extraction to remove conjugated steroids and Celite column partition chromatography to separate potential interfering unconjugated steroids. In addition, highly specific antisera were used in the RIAs to provide added specificity. Concentrations of serum free testosterone and free estradiol were calculated using validated algorithms (24,25). Coefficients of variation (CV)-calculated on the logarithmic scale using a mixed model which included 2–7 replicates from each of 7 different individuals—were all less than 15% (mean=10%).

Intraprostatic sex steroid hormones, including androstenedione, testosterone, DHT, 3a-diol-G, estrone, and estradiol, were extracted from each homogenized prostate tissue sample weighing 100–400 mg during 2008–2009. These hormones then underwent the same preparation procedures and RIAs as in the serum hormone quantitation, and were expressed as picograms per gram of wet tissue weight. In-house assays for tissue hormones had small technical variability with CVs below 20% (mean=13.8%) for all, except for androstenedione (21%). CVs were calculated on the logarithmic scale using a mixed model including an average of 6 technical replicates from each of 5 different individuals. For intraprostatic sex steroid hormone analysis, we used all eligible pieces of adjacent normal tissue regardless of sampling locations to calculate subject-level mean concentrations, as our pilot study of 30 prostate cancer patients showed that this provided generally superior CV and intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) values, compared with mean concentrations of solely peripheral or solely non-peripheral tissue (23). Both serum and tissue sex steroids quantitation used tritiated internal standards for RIAs, and the concentrations were corrected for procedural losses ranging from 15–30%.

Exposure Measurement

Trained hospital nurses assessed scalp hair pattern using a Norwood-Hamilton Scale (26) and grouped participants into three categories: 1) no/little baldness (I/II), 2) vertex baldness (III-vertex, IV/V/Va/VI, and VII), and 3) frontal baldness (IIa/III/IIIa/IVa) (Supplementary Fig 1). A previous validation study asked dermatologists and residents to rate balding patterns using Norwood-Hamilton scale against photographs of male heads, reporting

modest ICCs (0.63–0.68) for original classes and recommending the use of reduced classes to achieve higher ICCs (27). Therefore, this variable was further collapsed into two categories: no/little vs. any baldness to minimize potential misclassification. Chest hair density was originally measured on the Ferriman-Gallwey five-level scale (i.e., none, sparse, moderate, dense, and very dense) (28). A previous validation study reported good inter-observer agreement (kappa=0.80) using the original scale for chest hair among Turkish women (29). This variable was subsequently collapsed into three categories in the analysis: none-to-sparse (lowest two levels), moderate, and dense (highest two levels).

Analytic Sample

Of 422 enrolled patients, we included 248 prostate cancer patients for this analysis, after excluding 148 without sex hormones assayed in tissue, 14 without a diagnosis of prostate cancer, 1 without serum hormones and 11 without any measured dermatological information.

Statistical Analysis

Pearson χ^2 tests assessed independence of categorical patient characteristics from balding status and chest hair density. Partial Spearmen's correlation coefficients were estimated for pairs of circulating and intraprostatic sex hormones, adjusting for continuous age at surgery and body mass index (BMI, kg/m²). General linear models estimated geometric means and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) of hormones by balding status, chest hair density and Gleason score (from prostatectomy or biopsy) with adjustment for pre-defined potential confounding variables including age at surgery, study site, race, BMI, education, smoking status and regular alcohol use. As hormone variables were skewed, natural log transformation was applied to non-missing values, and patients with missing values were dropped from regression models for individual hormone variables. Missing values of potential confounding variables were included in regression models as a separate category. Subgroup analyses were performed by Gleason score (<7 vs. 7) and race (European American vs. African American).

We also conducted two sensitivity analyses: 1) for intraprostatic sex steroid hormones, we used the mean concentrations of two randomly selected tissue pieces to evaluate the robustness of the main results in general linear models, given the potential variation in measurement errors from the use of a variable number of eligible tissue pieces per subject (median=4); and 2) we alternatively modeled balding status or three-level chest hair density as the outcome and tertiles of each hormone variable as the exposure using binary and ordinal logistic regression, respectively. To assess trends across tertiles, we assigned the median value of each tertile and modeled this as a continuous variable in logistic regressions.

Two-sided *P* values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. SAS v9.3 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, North Carolina) was used for statistical analyses.

RESULTS

Of the 248 patients in this analysis, 144 (58%) presented some degree of baldness and 52 (22%) some degree of dense chest hair (Table 1). Age-adjusted correlation between binary balding status and three-level chest hair density was weak (r=0.05). Patient characteristics distributed evenly by balding status, while patients with dense chest hair were more likely to be European Americans, have a college degree or higher, and have high-grade prostate cancer. After adjustment for age, differences in these characteristics by chest hair density became minimal (data not tabulated). Supplemental Table 1 shows the distribution of crude concentrations of sex hormones and SHBG. Partial Spearmen's correlation coefficients adjusted for age and BMI demonstrated very strong correlations for pairs of testosterone and DHT (r=0.74), testosterone and SHBG (r=0.68), testosterone and estradiol (r=0.53), DHT and SHBG (r=0.61), and estrone and estradiol (r=0.66) in serum, as well as estrone and estradiol (r=0.64) in tissue (data not tabulated).

Geometric means of hormone variables by balding status are shown in Table 2. Bald patients were more likely to have higher serum testosterone, DHT, estrone, estradiol, and SHBG, as well as higher intraprostatic testosterone concentrations with full adjustment for potential confounding variables. Stratified analyses by Gleason score showed that such associations were mainly observed in Gleason score 7 prostate cancers, although the multiplicative interaction between balding status and Gleason score for each hormone variable was not statistically significant (Supplemental Table 2). Meanwhile, stratified analyses by race demonstrated that only bald European Americans had statistically significantly higher testosterone, DHT, and SHBG in serum, and estrone, estradiol, and androstenedione/estrone ratio in tissue (Supplemental Table 3). However, associations were in the same direction among African Americans, and multiplicative interactions were null between balding status and race for individual hormone variables. Given androgenic sensitivity differs by scalp region (15), we also presented geometric means by three-level scalp balding category in Supplemental Table 4, despite limited counts for frontal balding only (n=20). Distributions of hormone variables were similar between frontal balding only and vertex plus frontal balding, although the latter group appeared to have higher androstenedione in serum (*P*=0.013).

Sensitivity analyses in which tertiles of each hormone variable were modeled as the exposure, as opposed to the outcome, provided mostly consistent results, except that the association for intraprostatic testosterone concentrations became null and an inverse association for intraprostatic androstenedione/estrone ratio was observed (adjusted $P_{\rm trend}$ =0.042, Supplemental Table 5). Increased SHBG appeared to drive the association for testosterone in serum; when we modelled testosterone and SHBG simultaneously, the positive association for testosterone was attenuated to the null

(OR *third tertile vs. first tertile*=1.62, 95%CI=0.67–3.91), whereas the positive association for SHBG remained significant (OR *third tertile vs. first tertile*=3.00, 95%CI=1.19–7.53) (data not tabulated). Results were consistent when we simultaneously modeled testosterone and SHBG as continuous variables ($P_{\text{testosterone}}$ =0.83 and P_{SHBG} =0.01). Tentative evidence was found that increased testosterone drove the association for estradiol in serum, as simultaneous adjustment for continuous testosterone and estradiol attenuated the association

for estradiol to the null ($P_{estradiol}=0.197$ and $P_{testosterone}=0.05$), but simultaneous adjustment for tertiles of these attenuated the associations to the null for both estradiol (OR_{third tertile vs. first tertile}=2.16, 95%CI=0.90–5.20) and testosterone (OR_{third tertile vs. first tertile}=2.03, 95%CI=0.90–4.57) (data not tabulated).

Geometric means of hormone variables by chest hair density provided no evidence of an association (Table 3). There was little evidence for effect modification by Gleason score (Supplemental Table 6) or race (Supplemental Table 7). Compared with the main results for chest hair density, modeling tertiles of each hormone variables as the exposure resulted in similarly null associations (Supplemental Table 5).

Sensitivity analyses for intraprostatic sex steroid hormones with restriction to two pieces of randomly selected adjacent normal tissue per subject did not materially alter the results, compared with the main models that used all eligible tissues (data not tabulated).

DISCUSSION

In this study, serum and intraprostatic sex steroid hormones were quantified in relation to male pattern baldness and chest hair density in men diagnosed with localized prostate cancer. We found evidence for strong positive associations of circulating testosterone, DHT, estradiol, and SHBG with male pattern baldness. However, we found limited evidence for associations between intraprostatic sex hormones and baldness, although the direction of these associations was consistent with what was observed in the serum analyses. Neither circulating nor intraprostatic sex hormones were statistically significantly associated with chest hair density. There was little evidence to suggest that Gleason score or race modified these relationships, although the statistical power for interaction tests may be limited by the modest sample size.

Both the prostate and human hair follicles are responsive to sex steroid hormones. Testosterone produced by Leydig cells of the testes is the predominant circulating androgen in men and is irreversibly converted to the most potent and rogen, DHT, by $5\alpha R$ in many peripheral tissues, such as the prostate and skin. DHT and, to a lesser extent, testosterone intracellularly bind to AR stimulating downstream androgenic action including hair stimulation and inhibition, and prostate growth and carcinogenesis. There are three isoenzymes of $5\alpha R$ (types I, II, and III) that are respectively encoded by the *SRD5A1*, SRD5A2, and SRD5A3 genes. The function of 5aR3 in the prostate remains unclear but previous studies reported its role in converting testosterone to dihydrotestosterone in castration resistant prostate cancers (30,31). Meanwhile, $5\alpha R1$ and $5\alpha R2$ are consistently related to testosterone metabolism, and are expressed at different body sites and organ compartments (32). Prostatic epithelium and stroma express higher concentrations of 5aR2 (33), as highlighted by an efficient reduction in intraprostatic DHT through finasteride treatment (27) and by its comparable efficacy to dutasteride (a dual inhibitor for $5\alpha R1$ and 5aR2) treatment for benign prostatic hyperplasia (34). Meanwhile, sebaceous glands predominantly express $5\alpha R1$, and scalp hair follicles produce higher levels of $5\alpha R2$ (35– 37). Both isoenzymes play critical roles in balding development as supported by the superior efficacy of dutasteride versus finasteride in treating baldness (38,39). Lastly, 5aR1 is the

predominant form expressed in chest skin (40), while chest hair follicles have been suggested to be less androgen-dependent (41). These biological mechanisms are in line with the null association of chest hair density with sex steroid hormones, albeit two prior observational studies have reported an association (21,22).

Direct comparison of results from epidemiological studies on circulating sex hormones in relation to male pattern baldness is challenging given differences in age, race, laboratory assays (e.g. RIA, mass spectrometry, electrochemiluminescence immunoassay), health status (with/without malignancy/other metabolic diseases), and balding categories. Several small studies (n=6) have assessed this relationship among apparently healthy younger (<45 years old) men and found conflicting associations; some reported that bald men were more likely to have increased testosterone (15,42), increased testosterone/SHBG ratio (42,43), increased DHT (15) and decreased SHBG levels (42–44), whereas others have reported either null or opposing findings (45,46). On the other hand, a cross-sectional study among older (>65 years) healthy Greek men specifically compared vertex balding to those without (i.e., no/ minimal plus frontal balding only) and did not find statistically significant associations for serum testosterone, estradiol, DHEA-S or SHBG (22). Furthermore, another previous study in the US among 268 prostate cancer cases and controls found that men with vertex balding had higher serum age- and race-adjusted free testosterone levels than those who had little or no hair loss (47).

This study supports associations of circulating sex hormones with male pattern baldness in an older prostate cancer population. However, comparisons between our results with previously published studies requires caution. First, there is evidence that the presence of prostate cancer may slightly suppress circulating testosterone concentrations through the hypothalamic-pituitary axis (48–51). Thus, associations observed in this case series may differ from what may be expected in a healthy population. Second, our analytical sample is older, compared to most prior studies, with a mean age of 60 years. It is known that circulating testosterone decreases (52–54), while male pattern baldness progresses with advancing age (55). However, we would expect minimal confounding by age in this study because distributions of age were not statistically significantly different by balding status and we adjusted for age in regression models.

Given the strong parallels in androgen dependency and in age-related changes between scalp hair follicles and the prostate, we speculated that male pattern baldness might serve as a proxy for intrinsic enhanced androgenic action in androgen-responsive organs. Such enhanced androgenic action may be partly explained by genetic susceptibility, particularly within the AR signaling pathway. For example, genetic variation in *AR* gene on the X-chromosome has commonly been associated with male pattern baldness in European descendants (56–58). Meanwhile, genes involved in androgen biosynthesis and metabolism, and AR have been associated with prostate cancer risk (59–61). Despite these shared etiological factors, we did not find statistically significant associations between intraprostatic sex steroid hormones and male pattern baldness, although associations were directionally consistent with our hypothesis. This implies that sex steroid hormone-related factors (e.g., AR), rather than the sex steroids hormones themselves, play important roles in organ-specific androgenic actions, and that other overlapping pathways may be involved in

associations between these two complex conditions. Although the directional consistency could be explained by the field effect of hormonal carcinogenesis on histologically normal tissue and/or a product of reverse causation from the adjacent cancerous foci—as supported by significantly elevated levels of testosterone, DHT, and estradiol in normal tissue adjacent to Gleason 7 tumor foci versus Gleason<7 foci (Supplemental Table 1)—we did not find evidence that Gleason score modified the association between intraprostatic sex hormones and balding status (Supplemental Table 3).

Strengths of this study include central review by an experienced genitourinary pathologist, nurse-assessed dermatological characteristics, and simultaneous measurement of circulating and intraprostatic sex steroid hormones. Limitations include the fact that both circulating and intraprostatic sex hormones were only measured once and, therefore, intra-individual temporal variations could not be assessed. Meanwhile, male pattern baldness at older age may reflect *cumulative* exposure to circulating sex steroid hormones as opposed to the single time-point we have assessed in serum and tissue at the age of prostate cancer diagnosis (mean=60, range=37–86 years), and this mismatched time-window of sex hormone exposure may have slightly reduced statistical power. Secondly, we combined hair-loss patterns because the number of frontal balding only was relatively small. Although we were unable to specify whether observed associations were modified by hair-loss patterns, the misclassification of balding status was minimized.

In conclusion, this study provides evidence that elevated circulating testosterone, DHT, estradiol, and SHBG are associated with balding status in prostate cancer cases. Male balding serves as a proxy for circulating sex hormone concentrations and may explain prior studies showing an association between male pattern baldness and prostate cancer incidence and specific mortality. Testosterone is the only elevated intraprostatic sex hormone in men with balding; lack of an association between balding and other intraprostatic sex hormones reaffirms differences in organ-specific sex steroid hormone metabolism and cellular response.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Dr. Ruth M. Pfeiffer at Biostatistics Branch, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute for her statistical consultation support. The content of this publication does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Department of Health and Human Services, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Funding Sources: This research was supported by the Intramural Program of the Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, United States Department of Health & Human Services.

Abbreviations

3a-diol-G	3a-androstanediol	glucuronide
-----------	-------------------	-------------

5aR1 5a-reductase type I

5aR2	5a-reductase type II
5aR3	5a-reductase type III
Α	4-androstene-3, 17-dione; Androstenedione
AR	Androgen receptor
BMI	Body mass index
CV	Coefficient of variance
DHEA	Dehydroepiandrosterone
DHT	Dihydrotestosterone
ICC	Intra-class correlation coefficient
E1	Estrone
E2	Estradiol
RIA	Radioimmunoassay
SHBG	Sex hormone-binding globulin
Т	Testosterone

References

- Roddam AW, Allen NE, Appleby P, Key TJ. Endogenous sex hormones and prostate cancer: a collaborative analysis of 18 prospective studies. Journal of the National Cancer Institute. 2008; 100(3):170–183. [PubMed: 18230794]
- Schenk JM, Till C, Hsing AW, Stanczyk FZ, Gong Z, Neuhouser ML, Reichardt JK, Hoque AM, Figg WD, Goodman PJ, Tangen CM, Thompson IM. Serum androgens and prostate cancer risk: results from the placebo arm of the Prostate Cancer Prevention Trial. Cancer causes & control : CCC. 2016; 27(2):175–182. [PubMed: 26589415]
- Gill JK, Wilkens LR, Pollak MN, Stanczyk FZ, Kolonel LN. Androgens, growth factors, and risk of prostate cancer: the Multiethnic Cohort. The Prostate. 2010; 70(8):906–915. [PubMed: 20166103]
- Gershman B, Shui IM, Stampfer M, Platz EA, Gann PH, Sesso HL, DuPre N, Giovannucci E, Mucci LA. Prediagnostic circulating sex hormones are not associated with mortality for men with prostate cancer. European urology. 2014; 65(4):683–689. [PubMed: 23340241]
- Weiss JM, Huang WY, Rinaldi S, Fears TR, Chatterjee N, Hsing AW, Crawford ED, Andriole GL, Kaaks R, Hayes RB. Endogenous sex hormones and the risk of prostate cancer: a prospective study. Int J Cancer. 2008; 122(10):2345–2350. [PubMed: 18172860]
- Pierorazio PM, Ferrucci L, Kettermann A, Longo DL, Metter EJ, Carter HB. Serum testosterone is associated with aggressive prostate cancer in older men: results from the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging. BJU international. 2010; 105(6):824–829. [PubMed: 19751256]
- 7. Black A, Pinsky PF, Grubb RL 3rd, Falk RT, Hsing AW, Chu L, Meyer T, Veenstra TD, Xu X, Yu K, Ziegler RG, Brinton LA, Hoover RN, Cook MB. Sex steroid hormone metabolism in relation to risk of aggressive prostate cancer. Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention : a publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of Preventive Oncology. 2014; 23(11):2374–2382.

- Amoretti A, Laydner H, Bergfeld W. Androgenetic alopecia and risk of prostate cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology. 2013; 68(6):937–943. [PubMed: 23395589]
- Zhou CK, Levine PH, Cleary SD, Hoffman HJ, Graubard BI, Cook MB. Male Pattern Baldness in Relation to Prostate Cancer-Specific Mortality: A Prospective Analysis in the NHANES I Epidemiologic Follow-up Study. American journal of epidemiology. 2016; 183(3):210–217. [PubMed: 26764224]
- 10. Zhou CK, Pfeiffer RM, Cleary SD, Hoffman HJ, Levine PH, Chu LW, Hsing AW, Cook MB. Relationship between male pattern baldness and the risk of aggressive prostate cancer: an analysis of the Prostate, Lung, Colorectal, and Ovarian Cancer Screening Trial. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. 2015; 33(5):419–425. [PubMed: 25225425]
- Sawaya ME, Honig LS, Hsia SL. Increased androgen binding capacity in sebaceous glands in scalp of male-pattern baldness. J Invest Dermatol. 1989; 92(1):91–95. [PubMed: 2909628]
- Randall VA, Thornton MJ, Messenger AG. Cultured dermal papilla cells from androgen-dependent human hair follicles (e.g. beard) contain more androgen receptors than those from non-balding areas of scalp. The Journal of endocrinology. 1992; 133(1):141–147. [PubMed: 1517703]
- Hibberts N, Howell A, Randall V. Balding hair follicle dermal papilla cells contain higher levels of androgen receptors than those from non-balding scalp. Journal of Endocrinology. 1998; 156(1): 59–65. [PubMed: 9496234]
- Price VH, Menefee E, Sanchez M, Kaufman KD. Changes in hair weight in men with androgenetic alopecia after treatment with finasteride (1 mg daily): three- and 4-year results. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology. 2006; 55(1):71–74. [PubMed: 16781295]
- Bang HJ, Yang YJ, Lho DS, Lee WY, Sim WY, Chung BC. Comparative studies on level of androgens in hair and plasma with premature male-pattern baldness. Journal of dermatological science. 2004; 34(1):11–16. [PubMed: 14757277]
- Sinclair R. Male pattern androgenetic alopecia. BMJ. 1998; 317(7162):865–869. [PubMed: 9748188]
- Imperato-McGinley J, Guerrero L, Gautier T, Peterson RE. Steroid 5alpha-reductase deficiency in man: An inherited form of male pseudohermaphroditism. Science. 1974; 186(4170):1213–1215. [PubMed: 4432067]
- Hamilton JB. Effect of castration in adolescent and young adult males upon further changes in the proportions of bare and hairy scalp. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism. 1960; 20(10):1309–1318. [PubMed: 13711016]
- Kaufman KD, Olsen EA, Whiting D, Savin R, DeVillez R, Bergfeld W, Price VH, Van Neste D, Roberts JL, Hordinsky M, Shapiro J, Binkowitz B, Gormley GJ. Finasteride in the treatment of men with androgenetic alopecia. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology. 1998; 39(4): 578–589. [PubMed: 9777765]
- 20. Randall VA. Androgens and hair growth. Dermatologic therapy. 2008; 21(5):314–328. [PubMed: 18844710]
- Lookingbill DP, Egan N, Santen RJ, Demers LM. Correlation of serum 3 alpha-androstanediol glucuronide with acne and chest hair density in men. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism. 1988; 67(5):986–991. [PubMed: 2972739]
- 22. Signorello LB, Wuu J, Hsieh C, Tzonou A, Trichopoulos D, Mantzoros CS. Hormones and hair patterning in men: a role for insulin-like growth factor 1? Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology. 1999; 40(2 Pt 1):200–203. [PubMed: 10025745]
- 23. Cook MBSF, Wood SN, Pfeiffer RM, Fears T, Hafi M, Veneroso CC, Lynch B, Falk RT, Zhou CK, Niwa S, Stewart K, Chang J, Gao YT, Hemstreet G, Zolfghari L, Carroll PR, Manyak MJ, Sesterhann IA, Levine PH, Hsing AW. Relationships between circulating and intraprostatic sex steroid hormone concentrations. Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention. 2017 in press.
- Sodergard R, Backstrom T, Shanbhag V, Carstensen H. Calculation of free and bound fractions of testosterone and estradiol-17 beta to human plasma proteins at body temperature. Journal of steroid biochemistry. 1982; 16(6):801–810. [PubMed: 7202083]

- Vermeulen A, Verdonck L, Kaufman JM. A critical evaluation of simple methods for the estimation of free testosterone in serum. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism. 1999; 84(10): 3666–3672. [PubMed: 10523012]
- Norwood OT. Male pattern baldness: classification and incidence. Southern medical journal. 1975; 68(11):1359–1365. [PubMed: 1188424]
- 27. Guarrera M, Cardo P, Arrigo P, Rebora A. Reliability of Hamilton-Norwood Classification. International journal of trichology. 2009; 1(2):120–122. [PubMed: 20927233]
- Ferriman D, Gallwey JD. Clinical assessment of body hair growth in women. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism. 1961; 21:1440–1447. [PubMed: 13892577]
- Api M, Badoglu B, Akca A, Api O, Gorgen H, Cetin A. Interobserver variability of modified Ferriman–Gallwey hirsutism score in a Turkish population. Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics. 2008; 279(4):473. [PubMed: 18677501]
- Titus MA, Li Y, Kozyreva OG, Maher V, Godoy A, Smith GJ, Mohler JL. 5α-reductase type 3 enzyme in benign and malignant prostate. The Prostate. 2014; 74(3):235–249. [PubMed: 24150795]
- Uemura M, Tamura K, Chung S, Honma S, Okuyama A, Nakamura Y, Nakagawa H. Novel 5αsteroid reductase (SRD5A3, type-3) is overexpressed in hormone-refractory prostate cancer. Cancer Science. 2008; 99(1):81–86. [PubMed: 17986282]
- Wang K, Fan DD, Jin S, Xing NZ, Niu YN. Differential expression of 5-alpha reductase isozymes in the prostate and its clinical implications. Asian journal of andrology. 2014; 16(2):274–279. [PubMed: 24457841]
- Thomas LN, Douglas RC, Lazier CB, Too CKL, Rittmaster RS, Tindall DJ. Type 1 and Type 2 5α-Reductase Expression in the Development and Progression of Prostate Cancer. European urology. 2008; 53(2):244–252. [PubMed: 18006217]
- Nickel JC, Gilling P, Tammela TL, Morrill B, Wilson TH, Rittmaster RS. Comparison of dutasteride and finasteride for treating benign prostatic hyperplasia: the Enlarged Prostate International Comparator Study (EPICS). BJU international. 2011; 108(3):388–394. [PubMed: 21631695]
- 35. Asada Y, Sonoda T, Ojiro M, Kurata S, Sato T, Ezaki T, Takayasu S. 5α-Reductase Type 2 Is Constitutively Expressed in the Dermal Papilla and Connective Tissue Sheath of the Hair Follicle in Vivo But Not during Culture in Vitro1. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism. 2001; 86(6):2875–2880. [PubMed: 11397903]
- Bayne, Flanagan, Einstein, Ayala, Chang, Azzolina, Whiting, Mumford, Thiboutot, Singer, Harris. Immunohistochemical localization of types 1 and 2 5α-reductase in human scalp. British Journal of Dermatology. 1999; 141(3):481–491. [PubMed: 10583052]
- Sawaya ME, Price VH. Different Levels of 5α-Reductase Type I and II, Aromatase, and Androgen Receptor in Hair Follicles of Women and Men with Androgenetic Alopecia. Journal of Investigative Dermatology. 1997; 109(3):296–300. [PubMed: 9284093]
- 38. Gubelin Harcha W, Barboza Martínez J, Tsai T-F, Katsuoka K, Kawashima M, Tsuboi R, Barnes A, Ferron-Brady G, Chetty D. A randomized, active- and placebo-controlled study of the efficacy and safety of different doses of dutasteride versus placebo and finasteride in the treatment of male subjects with androgenetic alopecia. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology. 2014; 70(3):489–498.e483. [PubMed: 24411083]
- Olsen EA, Hordinsky M, Whiting D, Stough D, Hobbs S, Ellis ML, Wilson T, Rittmaster RS. The importance of dual 5alpha-reductase inhibition in the treatment of male pattern hair loss: results of a randomized placebo-controlled study of dutasteride versus finasteride. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology. 2006; 55(6):1014–1023. [PubMed: 17110217]
- Thigpen AE, Silver RI, Guileyardo JM, Casey ML, McConnell JD, Russell DW. Tissue distribution and ontogeny of steroid 5 alpha-reductase isozyme expression. Journal of Clinical Investigation. 1993; 92(2):903–910. [PubMed: 7688765]
- Randall VA. Hormonal regulation of hair follicles exhibits a biological paradox. Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology. 2007; 18(2):274–285. [PubMed: 17379547]

- 42. Sanke S, Chander R, Jain A, Garg T, Yadav P. A Comparison of the Hormonal Profile of Early Androgenetic Alopecia in Men With the Phenotypic Equivalent of Polycystic Ovarian Syndrome in Women. JAMA dermatology. 2016; 152(9):986–991. [PubMed: 27304785]
- Narad S, Pande S, Gupta M, Chari S. Hormonal profile in Indian men with premature androgenetic alopecia. International journal of trichology. 2013; 5(2):69–72. [PubMed: 24403767]
- Cipriani R, Ruzza G, Foresta C, Veller Fornasa C, Peserico A. Sex hormone-binding globulin and saliva testosterone levels in men with androgenetic alopecia. The British journal of dermatology. 1983; 109(3):249–252. [PubMed: 6684473]
- 45. Schmidt JB, Lindmaier A, Spona J. Hormonal Parameters in Androgenetic Hair Loss in the Male. Dermatology. 1991; 182(4):214–217.
- 46. Starka L, Cermakova I, Duskova M, Hill M, Dolezal M, Polacek V. Hormonal profile of men with premature balding. Experimental and clinical endocrinology & diabetes : official journal, German Society of Endocrinology [and] German Diabetes Association. 2004; 112(1):24–28.
- 47. DemarkWahnefried W, Lesko SM, Conaway MR, Robertson CN, Clark RV, Lobaugh B, Mathias BJ, Strigo TS, Paulson DF. Serum androgens: Associations with prostate cancer risk and hair patterning. Journal of andrology. 1997; 18(5):495–500. [PubMed: 9349747]
- 48. Madersbacher S, Schatzl G, Bieglmayer C, Reiter WJ, Gassner C, Berger P, Zidek T, Marberger M. Impact of radical prostatectomy and TURP on the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal hormone axis. Urology. 2002; 60(5):869–874. [PubMed: 12429318]
- Miller LR, Partin AW, Chan DW, Bruzek DJ, Dobs AS, Epstein JI, Walsh PC. Influence of radical prostatectomy on serum hormone levels. The Journal of urology. 1998; 160(2):449–453. [PubMed: 9679896]
- 50. Namiki S, Mitsuzuka K, Kaiho Y, Yamada S, Adachi H, Yamashita S, Saito H, Ito A, Nakagawa H, Takegami M, Arai Y. Serum luteinizing hormone concentration is significantly associated with recovery of urinary function after radical prostatectomy. BJU international. 2016; 117(3):450–455. [PubMed: 25684579]
- Meikle AW, Smith JA, Stringham JD. Production, clearance, and metabolism of testosterone in men with prostatic cancer. The Prostate. 1987; 10(1):25–31. [PubMed: 3822917]
- Morley JE, Kaiser FE, Perry HM 3rd, Patrick P, Morley PM, Stauber PM, Vellas B, Baumgartner RN, Garry PJ. Longitudinal changes in testosterone, luteinizing hormone, and follicle-stimulating hormone in healthy older men. Metabolism: clinical and experimental. 1997; 46(4):410–413. [PubMed: 9109845]
- 53. Zmuda JM, Cauley JA, Kriska A, Glynn NW, Gutai JP, Kuller LH. Longitudinal relation between endogenous testosterone and cardiovascular disease risk factors in middle-aged men. A 13-year follow-up of former Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial participants. American journal of epidemiology. 1997; 146(8):609–617. [PubMed: 9345114]
- 54. Harman SM, Metter EJ, Tobin JD, Pearson J, Blackman MR. Longitudinal effects of aging on serum total and free testosterone levels in healthy men. Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism. 2001; 86(2):724–731. [PubMed: 11158037]
- 55. Batrinos ML. The endocrinology of baldness. Hormones. 2014; 13(2):197–212. [PubMed: 24776620]
- Cobb JE, Zaloumis SG, Scurrah KJ, Harrap SB, Ellis JA. Evidence for two independent functional variants for androgenetic alopecia around the androgen receptor gene. Experimental dermatology. 2010; 19(11):1026–1028. [PubMed: 21073542]
- 57. Ellis JA, Stebbing M, Harrap SB. Polymorphism of the androgen receptor gene is associated with male pattern baldness. J Invest Dermatol. 2001; 116(3):452–455. [PubMed: 11231320]
- 58. Hillmer AM, Hanneken S, Ritzmann S, Becker T, Freudenberg J, Brockschmidt FF, Flaquer A, Freudenberg-Hua Y, Jamra RA, Metzen C, Heyn U, Schweiger N, Betz RC, Blaumeiser B, Hampe J, Schreiber S, Schulze TG, Hennies HC, Schumacher J, Propping P, Ruzicka T, Cichon S, Wienker TF, Kruse R, Nothen MM. Genetic variation in the human androgen receptor gene is the major determinant of common early-onset androgenetic alopecia. American journal of human genetics. 2005; 77(1):140–148. [PubMed: 15902657]

- 59. Li X, Huang Y, Fu X, Chen C, Zhang D, Yan L, Xie Y, Mao Y, Li Y. Meta-analysis of three polymorphisms in the steroid-5-alpha-reductase, alpha polypeptide 2 gene (SRD5A2) and risk of prostate cancer. Mutagenesis. 2011; 26(3):371–383. [PubMed: 21177315]
- 60. Schleutker J. Polymorphisms in androgen signaling pathway predisposing to prostate cancer. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2012; 360(1–2):25–37. [PubMed: 21782882]
- Gu M, Dong X, Zhang X, Niu W. The CAG repeat polymorphism of androgen receptor gene and prostate cancer: a meta-analysis. Molecular biology reports. 2012; 39(3):2615–2624. [PubMed: 21667251]

Table 1

Patient characteristics by balding status and chest hair density (N=248)

Anditication No Any P iurgery (years) 104 143 104 143 iurgery (years) 104 143 37 35.6% 32 22.2% (SD) 58.4 8.1 60.4 64 143 (SD) 58.4 8.1 60.4 64 56.5% 32 22.2% 52.2% <		Balding status (n=248)	tus (n=248)		Chest h	Chest hair density (n=239)*	=239)*	
104 143 143 58.4 (8.1) 60.4 (6.4) 37 (35.6%) 32 (22.2%) 37 (35.6%) 32 (22.2%) 23 (22.1%) 32 (22.2%) 19 (18.3%) 32 (22.2%) 33 (35.5%) 61 (58.7%) 32 (22.2%) 33 (35.5%) 61 (58.7%) 33 (32.9%) 33 (35.5%) 63 (47.2%) 33 (35.8%) 33 (35.8%) 33 (21%) 33 (35.8%) 33 (35.8%) 33 (35.8%) 33 (35.8%) 33 (35.8%) 33 (35.8%) 33 (35.8%) 33 (35.8%) 33 (35.8%) 33 (35.8%) 33 (35.8%) 33 (35.8%) 33 (35.8%) 33 (35.3%) 6 (4.2%) 6 (4.2%) 6 (5.8%) 6 (4.2%) 6 (5.8%) 55 (38.2%) 5	aracteristics	No (n=104)	Any (n=144)	<i>P</i> -value [†]	None/Sparse (n=110)	Moderate (n=77)	Dense (n=52)	P-value [†]
104 143 n (SD) 584 (8.1) 60.4 (6.4) 37 ($35.6%$) 32 ($22.2%$) 37 ($35.6%$) 32 ($22.2%$) 5 23 ($22.1%$) 44 ($30.6%$) 32 ($22.2%$) 5 23 ($22.1%$) 35 ($24.3%$) 36 ($47.2%$) 73 American 61 ($8.7%$) 32 ($22.2%$) 73 American 61 ($8.7%$) 32 ($22.2%$) 73 American 61 ($8.7%$) 32 ($22.2%$) 73 American 38 ($36.5%$) 36 ($47.2%$) 7 American 38 ($36.5%$) 32 ($2.1%$) 7 American 38 ($36.5%$) 32 ($2.1%$) 7 American 38 ($36.5%$) 32 ($2.1%$) 7 American 38 ($36.5%$) 32 ($2.1%$) 7 American 38 ($36.5%$) 32 ($2.1%$) 7 American 38 ($36.5%$) 32 ($2.1%$) 7 American 38 ($36.5%$) 32 ($2.1%$) 7 American 38 ($36.5%$) 32 ($2.1%$) 7 American 38 ($36.5%$) 32 ($2.1%$) 7 American 38 ($36.5%$) 32 ($2.1%$) 7 American 38 ($36.5%$) 32 ($32.2%$) 7 American 38 ($36.5%$) 32 ($32.2%$) 7 American 38 ($36.5%$) 32 ($32.2%$) 7 American 38 ($36.5%$) 32 ($32.2%$) 7 American 38 ($36.5%$) 32 ($32.2%$) 7 American 38 ($36.5%$) 32 ($32.2%$) 7 American 38 ($36.5%$) 32 ($32.2%$) 7 American 38 ($36.5%$) 32 (32	ge at surgery (years)							
n (SD) 58.4 (8.1) 60.4 (6.4) 37 ($35.6%$) 32 ($22.2%$) 5 23 ($22.1%$) 44 ($30.6%$) 5 23 ($22.1%$) 32 ($22.2%$) 5 23 ($22.1%$) 32 ($22.2%$) 5 23 ($24.0%$) 32 ($22.2%$) $9ean$ American 61 ($58.7%$) 32 ($22.2%$) 73 ($50.7%$) 32 ($22.2%$) 33 ($36.5%$) 73 ($50.7%$) 33 ($2.9%$) 32 ($2.1%$) r 32 ($2.9%$) 32 ($2.1%$) r 33 ($36.5%$) 53 ($3.2.9%$) r 33 ($36.5%$) 33 ($2.1%$) r 33 ($36.5%$) 33 ($2.1%$) r 33 ($36.5%$) 32 ($2.9%$) r 33 ($36.5%$) 33 ($2.9%$) r 33 ($36.5%$) 33 ($2.9%$) r 33 ($36.5%$) 33 ($2.9%$) r 33 ($36.5%$) 33 ($2.9%$) r 33 ($36.5%$) 33 ($2.9%$) r 33 ($36.5%$) 33 ($36.5%$) r 33 ($36.5%$) 55 ($33.2%$) r 102 143 r 102 143 r 102 143 r 102 143 r 102 33 ($35.9%$) r 102 31 (10.4) r 102 31 ($27.9%$) r 102 143 r 102 143 r 102 31 ($27.9%$) r 102 31 ($55.8%$) r 102 <		104	143		109	LL	52	
37(35.6%) $32(22.2%)$ 0 $23(22.1%)$ $44(30.6%)$ 5 $25(24.0%)$ $32(22.2%)$ 5 $25(24.0%)$ $35(24.3%)$ pean American $61(58.7%)$ $35(24.3%)$ $73(50.7%)$ $38(36.5%)$ $68(47.2%)$ r $3(2.9%)$ $3(2.1%)$ r $3(36.5%)$ $3(2.2%)$ r $3(36.5%)$ $3(32.2%)$ r $3(36.5%)$ $3(36.5%)$ r 102 143 r 102 143 r 102 104 r $20(3.3)$ $20(3.3)$ r $20(3.3)$ $20(3.3)$ r $20(3.3)$ $20(3.3)$ r $20(3.3)$ $20(3.3)$ r 102 143 r 102 104 r 102 $20(3.3)$ r 102 102 <td>fean (SD)</td> <td>58.4 (8.1)</td> <td>60.4 (6.4)</td> <td>0.055‡</td> <td>60.0 (7.3)</td> <td>59.4 (7.6)</td> <td>59.0 (6.4)</td> <td>0.803^{\ddagger}</td>	fean (SD)	58.4 (8.1)	60.4 (6.4)	0.055‡	60.0 (7.3)	59.4 (7.6)	59.0 (6.4)	0.803^{\ddagger}
023 (22.1%)44 (30.6%)525 (24.0%)32 (22.2%)pean American61 (58.7%)32 (22.2%)r $33 (36.5%)$ 68 (47.2%)r $3 (2.9%)$ $3 (2.1%)$ r $3 (36.5%)$ $68 (47.2%)$ r $3 (2.9%)$ $3 (2.1%)$ r $3 (2.9%)$ $3 (2.1%)$ r $3 (2.9%)$ $3 (2.1%)$ r $3 (36.5%)$ $3 (2.1%)$ r $3 (36.5%)$ $3 (2.1%)$ school/Technical school $2 (24.0%)$ $3 (2.1%)$ school/Technical school $2 (4.2%)$ $3 (36.5%)$ on score $5 (53.8%)$ $7 (50.7%)$ $6 (5.8%)$ $5 (33.2%)$ $6 (4.2%)$ $n (SD)$ $2 (3.3)$ $2 (10.4)$ $n (SD)$ $2 (27.9%)$ $3 (25.7%)$ 0 $2 (27.9%)$ $3 (25.8%)$ 0 $2 (27.9%)$ $3 (25.8%)$ 0 $2 (27.9%)$ $3 (25.8%)$ 0 $2 (27.9%)$ $3 (25.8%)$	55	37 (35.6%)	32 (22.2%)	0.088§	27 (24.5%)	23 (29.9%)	16 (30.8%)	0.945§
5 $25 (24.0\%)$ $32 (22.2\%)$ pean American $19 (18.3\%)$ $35 (24.3\%)$ pean American $61 (58.7\%)$ $73 (50.7\%)$ an American $38 (36.5\%)$ $68 (47.2\%)$ r $3 (2.9\%)$ $3 (2.1\%)$ r $3 (2.9\%)$ $3 (2.1\%)$ ation $3 (2.9\%)$ $3 (2.1\%)$ than high school $8 (7.7\%)$ $12 (8.3\%)$ school/Technical school $8 (7.7\%)$ $12 (8.3\%)$ second/Technical school $25 (24.0\%)$ $33 (22.9\%)$ secore $58 (55.8\%)$ $73 (50.7\%)$ on score $58 (55.8\%)$ $73 (50.7\%)$ $Mass Index (BML, kg/m2)$ $6 (5.8\%)$ $6 (4.2\%)$ $n (SD)$ $20 (27.9\%)$ $37 (25.7\%)$ 0 $29 (27.9\%)$ $37 (25.7\%)$ 0 $29 (27.9\%)$ $58 (55.8\%)$ $76 (52.8\%)$ $58 (55.8\%)$ $76 (52.8\%)$	6-60	23 (22.1%)	44 (30.6%)		32 (29.1%)	20 (26.0%)	14 (26.9%)	
19 (18.3%)35 (24.3%)pean American61 (58.7%)73 (50.7%)ran American $38 (36.5%)$ $68 (47.2%)$ r $3 (2.9%)$ $3 (2.1%)$ r $3 (2.9%)$ $3 (2.1%)$ tition $3 (7.7%)$ $3 (2.1%)$ than high school $2 (7.7%)$ $12 (8.3%)$ school/Technical school $25 (24.0%)$ $33 (22.9%)$ see or higher $58 (55.8%)$ $73 (50.7%)$ on score $56 (53.8%)$ $73 (50.7%)$ Mass Index (BMI, kg/m²) $6 (5.8%)$ $6 (4.2%)$ n (SD) $20 (27.9%)$ $37 (25.7%)$ 0 $29 (27.9%)$ $37 (25.7%)$	1–65	25 (24.0%)	32 (22.2%)		26 (23.6%)	16 (20.8%)	13 (25.0%)	
pean American $61 (58.7\%)$ $73 (50.7\%)$ $2:an American$ $38 (36.5\%)$ $68 (47.2\%)$ r $3 (2.9\%)$ $3 (2.1\%)$ r $3 (2.9\%)$ $3 (2.1\%)$ r $3 (2.9\%)$ $3 (2.1\%)$ r $3 (2.9\%)$ $3 (2.9\%)$ r $8 (7.7\%)$ $12 (8.3\%)$ r $8 (7.7\%)$ $7 (5.3\%)$ r $8 (7.7\%)$ $7 (5.3\%)$ r $8 (7.7\%)$ $8 (7.7\%)$ r $8 (7.7\%)$ $7 (5.2.\%)$ r 102 143 r 102 143 r 102 143 r 102 $123 (25.9\%)$ r $26 (5.3\%)$ $37 (25.7\%)$ r $29 (27.9\%)$ $37 (25.7\%)$.65	19 (18.3%)	35 (24.3%)		24 (21.8%)	18 (23.4%)	9 (17.3%)	
61 (58.7%) 73 (50.7%) 38 (36.5%) 68 (47.2%) 3 (2.9%) 3 (2.1%) 3 (2.9%) 3 (2.1%) 8 (7.7%) 12 (8.3%) 55 (55.8%) 73 (50.7%) 58 (55.8%) 73 (50.7%) 56 (53.8%) 73 (50.7%) 56 (53.8%) 55 (38.2%) 38 (36.5%) 6 (4.2%) 6 (5.8%) 55 (38.2%) 269 (3.3) 28.1 (10.4) 29 (27.9%) 76 (52.8%) 58 (55.8%) 76 (52.8%)	ice			0.291				<0.001
38 (36.5%) 68 (47.2%) 3 (2.9%) 3 (2.1%) 8 (7.7%) 12 (8.3%) 25 (24.0%) 33 (22.9%) 58 (55.8%) 73 (50.7%) 58 (55.8%) 73 (50.7%) 58 (55.8%) 73 (50.7%) 56 (53.8%) 81 (56.3%) 56 (53.8%) 55 (38.2%) 38 (36.5%) 55 (38.2%) 6 (5.8%) 6 (4.2%) 26.9 (3.3) 28.1 (10.4) 29 (27.9%) 37 (25.7%) 58 (55.8%) 76 (52.8%)	uropean American	61 (58.7%)	73 (50.7%)		40 (36.4%)	50 (64.9%)	36 (69.2%)	
3(2.9%) $3(2.1%)$ $8(7.7%)$ $12(8.3%)$ $8(7.7%)$ $12(8.3%)$ $25(24.0%)$ $33(22.9%)$ $58(55.8%)$ $73(50.7%)$ $56(53.8%)$ $81(56.3%)$ $38(36.5%)$ $55(38.2%)$ $6(5.8%)$ $6(4.2%)$ 102 143 102 143 $26.9(3.3)$ $28.1(10.4)$ $29(27.9%)$ $76(52.8%)$ $58(55.8%)$ $76(52.8%)$	African American	38 (36.5%)	68 (47.2%)		66 (60.0%)	26 (33.8%)	13 (25.0%)	
8 (7.7%) 12 (8.3%) 25 (24.0%) 33 (22.9%) 58 (55.8%) 73 (50.7%) 58 (55.8%) 81 (56.3%) 56 (53.8%) 55 (38.2%) 38 (36.5%) 55 (38.2%) 6 (5.8%) 6 (4.2%) 102 143 26.9 (3.3) 28.1 (10.4) 29 (27.9%) 37 (25.7%) 58 (55.8%) 76 (52.8%))ther	3 (2.9%)	3 (2.1%)		4 (3.6%)	1 (1.3%)	1 (1.9%)	
8 (7.7%) 12 (8.3%) 25 (24.0%) 33 (22.9%) 58 (55.8%) 73 (50.7%) 56 (53.8%) 81 (56.3%) 38 (36.5%) 55 (38.2%) 6 (5.8%) 6 (4.2%) 102 143 26.9 (3.3) 28.1 (10.4) 29 (27.9%) 37 (25.7%) 58 (55.8%) 76 (52.8%)	lucation			0.935				<0.001
25 (24.0%) 33 (22.9%) 58 (55.8%) 73 (50.7%) 56 (53.8%) 81 (56.3%) 38 (36.5%) 55 (38.2%) 6 (5.8%) 6 (4.2%) 102 143 26.9 (3.3) 28.1 (10.4) 29 (27.9%) 37 (25.7%) 58 (55.8%) 76 (52.8%)	ess than high school	8 (7.7%)	12 (8.3%)		16 (14.5%)	3 (3.9%)	(%0) 0	
58 (55.8%) 73 (50.7%) 56 (53.8%) 81 (56.3%) 38 (36.5%) 55 (38.2%) 6 (5.8%) 6 (4.2%) 102 143 26.9 (3.3) 28.1 (10.4) 29 (27.9%) 37 (25.7%) 58 (55.8%) 76 (52.8%)	ligh school/Technical school	25 (24.0%)	33 (22.9%)		26 (23.6%)	23 (29.9%)	9 (17.3%)	
56 (53.8%) 81 (56.3%) 38 (36.5%) 55 (38.2%) 6 (5.8%) 6 (4.2%) 102 143 26.9 (3.3) 28.1 (10.4) 29 (27.9%) 37 (25.7%) 58 (55.8%) 76 (52.8%)	ollege or higher	58 (55.8%)	73 (50.7%)		50 (45.5%)	35 (45.5%)	38 (73.1%)	
56 (53.8%) 81 (56.3%) 38 (36.5%) 55 (38.2%) 6 (5.8%) 6 (4.2%) 102 143 26.9 (3.3) 28.1 (10.4) 29 (27.9%) 37 (25.7%) 58 (55.8%) 76 (52.8%)	eason score			0.822				0.00
38 (36.5%) 55 (38.2%) 6 (5.8%) 6 (4.2%) 102 143 26.9 (3.3) 28.1 (10.4) 29 (27.9%) 37 (25.7%) 58 (55.8%) 76 (52.8%)	7	56 (53.8%)	81 (56.3%)		57 (51.8%)	53 (68.8%)	20 (38.5%)	
6 (5.8%) 6 (4.2%) 102 143 26.9 (3.3) 28.1 (10.4) 29 (27.9%) 37 (25.7%) 58 (55.8%) 76 (52.8%)	7	38 (36.5%)	55 (38.2%)		42 (38.2%)	23 (29.9%)	28 (53.8%)	
102 143 26.9 (3.3) 28.1 (10.4) 29 (27.9%) 37 (25.7%) 58 (55.8%) 76 (52.8%)	7	6 (5.8%)	6 (4.2%)		6 (5.5%)	1 (1.3%)	4 (7.7%)	
102 143 26.9 (3.3) 28.1 (10.4) 29 (27.9%) 37 (25.7%) 58 (55.8%) 76 (52.8%)	ody Mass Index (BMI, kg/m²)							
26.9 (3.3) 28.1 (10.4) 29 (27.9%) 37 (25.7%) 58 (55.8%) 76 (52.8%)		102	143		109	LL	51	
29 (27.9%) 37 (25.7%) 58 (55.8%) 76 (52.8%)	fean (SD)	26.9 (3.3)	28.1 (10.4)	0.358‡	26.7 (3.9)	29.1 (13.7)	27.3 (2.9)	0.139^{\ddagger}
58 (55.8%)	25.0	29 (27.9%)	37 (25.7%)	0.593\$	36 (32.7%)	18 (23.4%)	11 (21.2%)	0.1158
	5.0-29.9	58 (55.8%)	76 (52.8%)		57 (51.8%)	38 (49.4%)	33 (63.5%)	
17 (16.3%)	30.0	17 (16.3%)	31 (21.5%)		17 (15.5%)	21 (27.3%)	8 (15.4%)	

Ĕ,
0
LU L
=
-
CD
0
~
<u> </u>
C

Author Manuscript

	Balding sta	Balding status (n=248)		Chest h	Chest hair density (n=239)*	=239)*	
Characteristics	No (n=104)	Any (n=144)	P-value [†]	None/Sparse Moderate (n=110) (n=77)	Moderate (n=77)	Dense (n=52)	<i>P</i> -value [†]
Smoking status			0.295				0.162
Never	38 (36.5%)	38 (36.5%) 48 (33.3%)		36 (32.7%)	36 (32.7%) 25 (32.5%) 23 (44.2%)	23 (44.2%)	
Former	38 (36.5%)	55 (38.2%)		39 (35.5%)	32 (41.6%) 16 (30.8%)	16(30.8%)	
Current	12 (11.5%)	8 (5.6%)		12 (10.9%)	2 (2.6%)	5 (9.6%)	
Regular alcohol use			0.087				0.347
Never	31 (29.8%)	31 (29.8%) 45 (31.3%)		35 (31.8%)	35 (31.8%) 23 (29.9%) 14 (26.9%)	14 (26.9%)	
Former	11 (10.6%)	11 (10.6%) 25 (17.4%)		19 (17.3%)	9 (11.7%) 5 (9.6%)	5 (9.6%)	
Current	49 (47.1%)	49 (47.1%) 47 (32.6%)		38 (34.5%)	38 (34.5%) 29 (37.7%) 27 (51.9%)	27 (51.9%)	

NOTE: Column percentage does not add up to 100% due to missing data.

 $\overset{*}{}_{\rm N}$ Nine prostate cancer patients were excluded for tabulation of chest hair density due to missing data.

⁷P-values were computed by Wilcoxon Rank-sum test for continuous variables and Chi-square/Fisher's exact test for categorical variables using non-missing values.

 $\overset{\star}{}_{P}^{\star}$ P-values were calculated for the continuous covariate.

 $\overset{S}{\mathcal{S}}$ P-values were calculated for the categorical covariate.

~
~
Ξ
+
5
0
_
\leq
2
5
Aa
Man
Jani
Janu
Janus
Janus
Janusc
Janusc
Manuscr
<i>Manuscri</i>
Manuscrip

Table 2

Geometric means of sex steroid hormones and SHBG by balding status at mean age of 60 years (N=248)

Sex hormones	Z	Minimally adjusted [*]		D violut		r uny aujusteu	T T T T T T T T T T
	-	No (n=104)	Any (n=144)		No (n=104)	Any (n=144)	- vanter
Serum (ng/dl)							
L F	248	420.1 (387.9, 455.0)	481.5 (450.1, 515.1)	0.012	416.4 (384.1, 451.3)	484.6 (452.8, 518.6)	0.006
DHT	248	46.4 (43.0, 50.0)	53.7 (50.4, 57.2)	0.004	45.9 (42.7, 49.4)	54.1 (50.9, 57.5)	0.001
3a-diol-G	248	507.2 (458.3, 561.2)	492.3 (451.9, 536.3)	0.663	500.3 (451.4, 554.4)	497.2 (456.0, 542.1)	0.930
А	248	67.9 (62.7, 73.4)	70.1 (65.6, 75.0)	0.539	66.9 (61.7, 72.5)	70.9 (66.2, 75.9)	0.294
E1	248	5.0 (4.7, 5.4)	5.6 (5.3, 5.9)	0.030	5.0 (4.7, 5.4)	5.6 (5.3, 5.9)	0.027
E2	248	2.9 (2.7, 3.1)	3.3(3.1, 3.5)	0.004	2.9 (2.7, 3.1)	3.2 (3.1, 3.4)	0.010
T/E2 Ratio	248	147.7 (135.8, 160.6)	147.1 (137.0, 157.9)	0.948	144.9 (134.0, 156.7)	149.1 (139.6, 159.3)	0.592
A/E1 Ratio	248	13.5 (12.4, 14.6)	12.6 (11.8, 13.6)	0.242	13.3 (12.3, 14.4)	12.8 (11.9, 13.7)	0.458
SHBG (nmol/L)	248	29.8 (27.7, 32.0)	35.4 (33.3, 37.6)	0.001	29.7 (27.7, 31.9)	35.4 (33.4, 37.6)	<0.001
Free T	248	10.7 (9.9, 11.4)	11.4 (10.8, 12.1)	0.140	10.6 (9.8, 11.4)	11.5 (10.8, 12.2)	0.092
Free E2	248	0.08 (0.08, 0.09)	0.09 (0.08, 0.09)	0.064	$0.08\ (0.08,\ 0.09)$	0.09 (0.08, 0.09)	0.138
Adjacent norma	l prosta	Adjacent normal prostate tissue (pg/g W)					
Т	245	205.1 (187.7, 224.1)	230.5 (214.1, 248.0)	0.051	204.3 (186.8, 223.4)	230.9 (214.4, 248.6)	0.045
DHT	248	6433.6 (6080.3, 6807.4)	6663.9 (6353.2, 6989.8)	0.357	6456.1 (6104.7, 6827.7)	6647.1 (6341.3, 6967.7)	0.446
3α-diol-G	244	2599.8 (2402.7, 2813.1)	2704.4 (2527.2, 2894.1)	0.463	2568.4 (2372.7, 2780.2)	2731.1 (2552.3, 2922.5)	0.261
A	229	593.3 (537.8, 654.5)	579.4 (534.1, 628.6)	0.719	585.1 (529.9, 646.1)	581.5 (535.9, 630.8)	0.924
E1	232	67.0 (61.2, 73.2)	75.6 (70.2, 81.4)	0.044	67.5 (61.8, 73.6)	75.2 (70.0, 80.8)	0.065
E2	232	44.2 (40.1, 48.8)	51.3 (47.3, 55.7)	0.024	44.8~(40.6, 49.4)	50.7 (46.7, 55.0)	0.062
T/E2 Ratio	232	4.6(4.2, 5.0)	4.4 (4.0, 4.7)	0.500	4.5(4.1, 4.9)	4.4(4.1, 4.8)	0.831
A/E1Ratio	220	$9.0\ (8.0,\ 10.1)$	7.7 (7.0, 8.5)	0.050	8.9 (7.9, 9.9)	7.8 (7.1, 8.5)	0.086

Prostate. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 December 01.

 \dot{f} Additionally adjusted for BMI (<25.0, 25.0–29.9, 30.0 kg/m²), education (less than high school, high school/technical school, college or higher, unknown), smoking status (never, former, current, unknown) and regular alcohol use (never, former, unknown).

 $\label{eq:product} \begin{array}{c} \mbox{three} \mbox$

Author Manuscript

Zhou et al.

Page 18

1
2
<u> </u>
-
\mathbf{O}
_
-
\leq
≤a
Man
_
_
nu
nus
nusci

(N=239)
years
of 60
age
at mean
density
hair
chest]
0
i by c
and SHBG by e
5
5
5
5

			Minimally adjusted [*]		·		Fully adjusted $\mathring{ heta}$		
Sex hormones	Z	None/Sparse (n=110)	Moderate (n=77)	Dense (n=52)	P-value [‡]	None/Sparse (n=110)	Moderate (n=77)	Dense (n=52)	P-value≭
Serum (ng/dl)									
L	239	472.8 (436.1, 512.6)	443.9 (404.1, 487.6)	434.3 (386.4, 488.3)	0.454	466.3 (429.4, 506.3)	456.4 (414.5, 502.4)	429.3 (380.9, 483.9)	0.558
DHT	239	52.3 (48.4, 56.5)	48.5 (44.3, 53.0)	50.7 (45.3, 56.7)	0.458	51.1 (47.3, 55.1)	50.5 (46.2, 55.1)	50.3 (45.1, 56.1)	0.971
3α-diol-G	239	470.3 (425.7, 519.5)	538.4 (479.7, 604.3)	518.6 (449.1, 598.8)	0.221	474.7 (429.0, 525.4)	535.6 (475.8, 602.8)	512.3 (442.2, 593.5)	0.338
A	239	69.7 (64.3, 75.5)	69.4 (63.2, 76.1)	68.6 (61.0, 77.0)	0.976	69.6 (64.0, 75.7)	69.6 (63.1, 76.7)	68.4 (60.6, 77.2)	0.968
E1	239	5.0 (4.7, 5.4)	5.4 (5.0, 5.9)	5.8 (5.3, 6.4)	0.074	5.2 (4.8, 5.5)	5.3 (4.9, 5.7)	5.7 (5.2, 6.3)	0.248
E2	239	3.0 (2.8, 3.2)	3.1 (2.9, 3.4)	3.3 (3.0, 3.7)	0.208	3.0 (2.8, 3.3)	3.0 (2.8, 3.3)	3.3 (2.9, 3.6)	0.536
T/E2 Ratio	239	160.1 (147.2, 174.2)	141.8 (128.6, 156.3)	130.7 (115.8, 147.7)	0.027	152.9 (141.3, 165.5)	150.5 (137.2, 165.0)	131.9 (117.5, 147.9)	0.111
A/E1 Ratio	239	13.8 (12.7, 15.0)	12.8 (11.6, 14.0)	11.8 (10.5, 13.4)	0.121	13.5 (12.4, 14.7)	13.1 (11.9, 14.4)	11.9 (10.6, 13.5)	0.282
SHBG, nmol/L	239	34.9 (32.4, 37.6)	32.1 (29.4, 34.9)	30.3 (27.2, 33.7)	0.098	34.1 (31.7, 36.7)	32.6 (29.9, 35.5)	31.1 (27.9, 34.6)	0.405
Free T	239	11.3 (10.5, 12.1)	10.9 (10.1, 11.9)	11.0 (9.9, 12.2)	0.873	$11.2\ (10.4,\ 12.1)$	11.2(10.3, 12.2)	10.7 (9.6, 11.9)	0.772
Free E2	239	0.08 (0.07, 0.09)	$0.09\ (0.08,\ 0.09)$	$0.09\ (0.08,\ 0.10)$	0.043	$0.08\ (0.08,\ 0.09)$	$0.08\ (0.08,\ 0.09)$	$0.09\ (0.08,\ 0.10)$	0.306
Adjacent norm	al prost.	Adjacent normal prostate tissue (pg/g W)							
Т	236	222.2 (204.0, 241.9)	208.6 (189.1, 230.1)	219.9 (194.1, 249.2)	0.622	219.7 (201.3, 239.7)	211.6 (191.2, 234.1)	219.9 (193.4, 250.1)	0.839
DHT	239	6769.1 (6403.8, 7155.3)	6288.2 (5896.0, 6706.5)	6492.1 (5991.6, 7034.3)	0.250	6769.9 (6404.7, 7156.0)	6326.7 (5930.2, 6749.7)	6432.0 (5934.3, 6971.5)	0.303
3α-diol-G	236	2623.5 (2425.9, 2837.3)	2621.9 (2393.2, 2872.5)	2792.0 (2491.7, 3128.5)	0.638	2681.3 (2476.6, 2902.8)	2591.4 (2362.0, 2843.1)	2723.4 (2425.5, 3057.8)	0.775
А	222	578.0 (524.2, 637.3)	574.1 (512.1, 643.6)	616.2 (534.8, 710.0)	0.709	582.4 (527.0, 643.7)	564.8 (502.2, 635.3)	613.1 (530.1, 709.1)	0.685
E1	223	65.8 (60.2, 71.9)	74.1 (66.8, 82.1)	78.0 (68.5, 88.9)	0.082	68.2 (62.5, 74.5)	71.7 (64.7, 79.5)	76.0 (66.7, 86.5)	0.428
E2	223	45.2 (41.1, 49.7)	49.2 (44.1, 54.9)	49.2 (42.8, 56.6)	0.463	46.2 (41.9, 50.9)	48.8 (43.7, 54.6)	47.3 (41.0, 54.5)	0.772
T/E2 Ratio	223	4.8 (4.4, 5.3)	4.1 (3.7, 4.59)	4.4 (3.9, 5.1)	0.130	4.6 (4.2, 5.1)	4.2 (3.7, 4.7)	4.6 (4.0, 5.3)	0.378
A/E1 Ratio	213	8.9 (8.0, 10.0)	7.8 (6.8, 8.9)	7.9 (6.7, 9.3)	0.277	8.7 (7.7, 9.7)	7.9 (6.9, 9.0)	8.1 (6.9, 9.6)	0.606
Abbreviations: T,	testostei	Abbreviations: T, testosterone; DHT, dihydrotestosterone; 3a-diol-G,		3a-androstanediol glucuronide; A, androstenedione; E1, estrone; E2, estradiol; SHBG, sex hormone-binding globulin	rostenedion	le; E1, estrone; E2, estradiol	; SHBG, sex hormone-bindi	ing globulin	
4									

Prostate. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 December 01.

* Adjusted for age at surgery (year, continuous), race (European American, African American, other, unknown), study site (5 sites, not listed here)

 7 Additionally adjusted for BMI (<25.0, 25.0–29.9, 30.0 kg/m²), education (less than high school, high school/technical school, college or higher, unknown), smoking status (never, former, current, unknown) and regular alcohol use (never, former, unknown).

Author Manuscript

 $\overset{\ell}{\not{}}$ P.values were calculated for a global test of differences in geometric means.

Prostate. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 December 01.

Page 20

Author Manuscript