Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

LBL Publications

Title

Manipulate the Electronic and Magnetic States in NiCo2O4 Films through Electric-Field-Induced Protonation at Elevated Temperature

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9jb319mb

Journal

Advanced Materials, 31(16)

ISSN

0935-9648

Authors

Wang, Meng Sui, Xuelei Wang, Yujia <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2019-04-01

DOI

10.1002/adma.201900458

Peer reviewed

1 2 3 4 NiCo2O 4	Manipulate the Electronic and Magnetic States in 4 Films
5 6 7 Flourt o	through Electric-Field Induced Protonation at
	a remperature
9	
10	
1,	Meng Wang, Xuelei Sui,Yujia Wang, Yung-Hsiang Juan, Yingjie Lyu,
Huining Pe	ng,
13	Tongtong Huang, Shengchun Shen, Chenguang Guo, Jianbing Zhang, Zhuolu Li,
14	Hao-Bo Li, Nianpeng Lu, Alpha T. N'Diaye, Elke Arenholz, Shuyun Zhou, Qing He,
1 5	Ying-Hao Chu, Wenhui Duan [*] and Pu Yu [*]
17	M. Wenn Dr. V. Cui, V. Wenn V. Luw, H. Benn T. Huenn, J. Zhenn, Z. Li
18	Dr. S. Shen,
<u>1</u> 9	Dr C. Guo, Dr. HB. Li, Dr. N. Lu, Prof. S. Zhou, Prof. W. Duan, Prof. P. Yu
21	State Key Laboratory of Low Dimensional Quantum Physics and Department of
22	Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China
23 24	Email: vupu@tsinghua.edu.cn.and.dwh@phys.tsinghua.edu.cn
25	YH. Juan, Prof. YH. Chu
2 6	Department of Materials Science and Engineering, National Chiao Tung University,
28	Hsinchu 30010, Taiwan
29	Dr. A. T. N'Diaye, Dr. E. Arenholz
<u>3</u> 0	Advanced Light Source, Lawrence Berkeley National
วา	California 04720, USA
22	California 94720, USA. Prof. O. Ho
35 35	Department of Physics, Durham University, Durham DH13LE, United
36	Kingdom. Prof. W. Duan, Prof. P. Yu
37 3	Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter, Beijing 100084, China
39	Prof. P. Yu
40	RIKEN Center for Emergent Matter Science (CEMS), Wako 351-198, Japan
41 42	
61	
62 63	
64 65	

```
43
            Keywords: spinel, ionic liquid gating, protonation, phase
transformation
45
46
47
            Abstract: Ionic liquid gating (ILG) induced proton evolution emerges
48
as a novel
49
50
            strategy to realize electron doping and manipulate the electronic and
5
magnetic ground
53
            states in complex oxides. While the study of a wide range of systems
(e.g. SrCoO2.5,
54
55
56
            VO2, WO3, etc.) has demonstrated important opportunity to
incorporate protons
57
58
            through ILG, the protonation remains a big challenge for many
59
others. Besides, the
60
```

1 interface t	mechanism of proton intercalation from the ionic liquid/solid o whole film
3 spinel NiC	has not been revealed yet. Here, with a model system of inverse o2O4, we
4 5 6 single but	show that the increase of system temperature during ILG forms a effective
9 ILG induce	method to efficiently affiliate the protonation. Moreover, we show the es a
11 1	novel phase transformation in NiCo2O4 from
-	ferrimagnetic metallic into
13 14 1 temperatur	antiferromagnetic insulating with protonation at the elevated e. This study
16 17 to manipula	brings the environmental temperature as an efficient tuning knob
18 19 20 21	ILG induced ionic evolution.
22 23 24 the last two 25	lonic liquid gating (ILG) has attracted wide range research attention in
26 27 phenomena	decades [1], leading to the discovery of a plethora of exotic a, such as
28 3 supercondu	metal-insulator transition (MIT) ^[2-4] , magnetism ^[5] and and a second seco
31 32 mainly dom	various material systems. In the conventional ILG, the tunability is
33 34 35	by an electrostatic effect occurred at the liquid/solid interface ^[2-9] ,
while any 36 37 38 [1,10]. How	electrochemical reaction during the gating is carefully avoided vever, it has
40 4 ubiquitously 42	been demonstrated recently that the residual water would exist y within the

43 ionic liquid (IL) when experiments performed in the air [11-14]. The water molecular 44 45 46 would facilitate the electrochemical reaction through electrolysis into H^+ and O^{2-} ions, 47 48 which would then be inserted into materials depending on the 49 polarity of the gating 50 51 bias ^[11-17] (as shown in **Figure 1a**). Clearly the electrochemical 5 reaction related 53 modulation is a bulk effect, and therefore its associated charge modulation should not 56 57 be confined by the two dimensional limit as the conventional ILG, which is about 58 59 10^{15} /cm² at the sample surface ^[2-8]. Along these studies, the H⁺ 60 (proton) ion, the

```
smallest and lightest ion, emerges as an ideal candidate to
 1
 incorporate into materials
 3
            for the manipulation of band filling via charge neutrality induced
 electron doping with
 5
6
            positively charged proton <sup>[13,18]</sup>. Besides, protonated materials
 have tremendous
 8
            application potential in hydrogen storage <sup>[18]</sup> and fuel cells <sup>[19-22]</sup>. So
 9
the study of ILG
<del>1</del>9
            induced protonation is significant for both fundamental physics
(realizing electron
13
14
            doping and phase control) and industry application (discovering new
1
protonated
16
17
            functional materials). It is important to note that although the ILG
induced
18
19
            protonation has been demonstrated in a few model systems, such
20
as VO2[11],
22
            SrCoO<sup>[12]</sup>, and WO<sup>[13,14]</sup>, the underlying mechanism of the
protonation process
23
                  2.5
                                3
24
25
            evolution is not resolved yet.
2
27
28
            NiCo2O4 (NCO) is an inverse spinel structure composed of the face-
3
centered cubic
31
            lattice with alternative stacking of oxygen octahedra (NiO6, CoO6)
as well as
33
34
35
            tetrahedra (CoO4) [23], as shown in Figure 1b. Due to its
application potential in
3Ġ
37
            spintronics [23], catalysis [24-27], batteries [28], photodetectors [29],
38
etc., NCO attracts
39
40
            increasing research interests. It has been demonstrated that the
growth temperature of
42
61
62
63
64
65
```

43 NCO can largely modulate its chemical stoichiometry and therefore manipulate the 44 45 46 corresponding electronic and magnetic ground states ^[23,30]. When fabricated at a 47 48 temperature below 400°C, NCO thin film shows an exotic 49 ferrimagnetic metallic state, 50 51 while at higher growth temperature, the material changes into an 5 insulating state. This 53 interesting transition can be attributed to the intermixing of the Ni and Co ions for 55 56 57 sample grown at higher temperature, which would lead to the reduction of the Ni 58 59 valence state, and therefore change the band structure as well as magnetism ^[23,30].

Motivated by its readily tuned valence states for both Ni and Co ions 1 in NCO as well 3 its strongly correlated magnetic and electronic properties, we consider NCO as a nice 5 6 candidate to explore the mechanism of ILG induced protonation, and realize novel 8 electronic and magnetic ground states with different functionalities. 9 10 11 12 13 In this work, we demonstrate that the elevated system temperature during ILG can 14 15 dramatically enhance the protonated phase transformation process 16 in NCO, and 17 18 generate a new protonated NCO phase which however cannot be 1 obtained through the 29 91 conventional ILG performed at room temperature. Combining hydrogen forward 22 23 24 scatter scattering and soft X-ray absorption spectroscopy measurements, we show clear 25 26 experimental evidence that the protonation causes the formation of a 27 novel <u> 28</u> H2NiCo2O4 (HNCO) structure, leading to distinct electron doping into the pristine 31 32 sample. As a consequence, the material changes from a ferrimagnetic metallic into an 33 34 35 antiferromagnetic insulating state. This study takes the understanding of ILG induced 36 37 protonation process a step further, provides a generic strategy (i.e. 38 increasing 39 40 temperature) to boost this effect in extended material systems, as 4 well as reveals a 59 60 61 62 63 64 65

42 43 novel protonated spinel structure.

44 45 46

47 NCO thin films were grown on MgAl2O4 (004) substrates by pulsed 4 laser deposition

49 50

at a substrate temperature of 350°C (see Experimental Section for details) to obtain

51 52 53 the ferrimagnetic metallic phase. The XRD 2θ - ω scan in **Figure 1c** indicates a high

54 55

quality epitaxial single-crystalline nature of the thin films, which is 56 also consistent

57 58 with previous reports ^[23,30]. We carried out ILG measurements with the experimental

configuration shown in Figure 1a, with the as-received DEME-TFSI ionic liquid 3 (with the H2O concentration of \sim 390 ppm in mass [12]) as the electrolyte. With 4 5 6 increasing the gate voltage to 4.5V at room temperature, the NCO thin film shows a 8 9 large structural expansion with the emergence of a new diffraction peak at $\sim 41.50^{\circ}$, 10_{11} but a notable peak persists at the original position (around 44.20°) even after extended $\frac{13}{14}$ gating for 10 hours (Figure 1c). We speculate that this is related to the high proton 16 17 insertion barrier and poor proton diffusion coefficient, resulting in the electrochemical 18 19 reaction only confined at the NCO surface. To facilitate the 20 protonation process 21 22 during the ILG, we increased slightly the environment temperature to 2 100°C, and then 24 25 the whole film transforms into the new phase at 4.5 V (**Figure 1c**). Besides, the newly 27 28 developed structure remains unchanged even after reducing the gating voltage to zero 30 31 and can be stable in air at room/ambient temperature for weeks, indicating that the 32 33 phase transformation is nonvolatile. In contrast to SrCoO2.5, in which 34 the phase 35 36 transformation is reversibly controllable by electric field ^[12], the new 3 phase remains 38 stable even with the application of an opposite negative gating voltage. Nevertheless,

40 41 42 the reverse phase transformation can be achieved through thermal annealing in air as 43 44 shown in **Figure 1d**. We note that despite of the induced large 45 chemical expansion 46 47 (about 6.08%) along the out-of-plane direction, the in-plane lattice 4 parameter is 49 50 strongly constrained by the substrate (Figure 1e), indicating that the film remains 51 52 coherently strained through the ILG process. A hydrogen forward 53 scattering (HFS) 54 55 measurements was also performed on the new phase to probe 56 directly the hydrogen 57 58 concentration (see **Experimental Section** and **Figure 1f**), which provides a

guantitative estimate of the hydrogen area density as 9.64×10^{16} 1 atoms $/ \text{ cm}^2$. Knowing 3 the film thickness (~37 nm) and lattice constants, we can then estimate the 5 6 H+/(NiCo2O4) ratio in the new phase as \sim 1.86. 7 8 10^{9} To achieve a deep understanding of the difference of the ILG induced protonation at 11 12 13 different gating temperatures, we carried out the cyclic voltammetry measurements 14 15 with the comparison of *in-situ* XRD studies during the ionic liquid 16 gating (Figure 2). 17 18 At the room temperature, the cyclic voltammograms (Figure 2a) 1 show a clear peak at 29 91 \sim 1.5 V (point A), which should be attributed to the electrolysis process of the water 22 23 24 content. We note that similar critical voltages were also observed at the model system 25 26 of and ^[12,13], which are all slightly larger than the 27 SrCoO2.5 WO3 theoretical value of 28 29 \sim 1.23 V at room temperature due to the presence of the over potential. However, 31 different from the SrCoO2.5, in which the protonation occurs at about 1.5 V, the 33 34 35 NiCo2O4 phase remains unchanged through the ILG as evidenced by the in-situ XRD 36 37 measurements at the same voltage region (**Figure 2b**). Since the 38 proton is positively . 39 40 charged, it would accumulate at the sample surface with the 4 application of positive 42 61 62 63 64 65

43 biased voltage to form the so-called double layer ^[1,13]. Therefore, the application of 44 45 46 larger bias above 1.5 V would increase the proton content at the sample surface and 47 48 consequently lead to the enhancement of the chemical potential for 49 proton at the 50 51 surface. When the chemical potential for proton at the 5 liquid/material interface is 53 larger enough to overcome the barrier for proton intercalation, the proton would be 56 57 inserted into the top layer of the material and lead to a phase transition only at the 58 59 60 very top layer, as evidenced by a clear peak at about 3.5 V (peak B) in the

voltammograms (Figure 2a), as well as the emergence of a new 1 diffraction peak in 2 3 the *in-situ* XRD results (Figure 2b). However, the majority region of the thin film 5 6 remains unchanged even with the gating voltage up to 4.5 V (also illustrated in 8 Supplementary Figure 1). 9 10 11 12 13 We note that since NiCo2O4 is metallic, and the gate voltage would generate no 14 15 electric-field inside due to the screening effect. Therefore, the main 16 driving force for 17 18 the proton transfer would be the diffusion process due to the 1 presence of the proton <u> 20</u> concentration gradient. However, in contrast with that of SrCoO2.5 and WO3 [12,13], this 22 23 24 process is strongly suppressed in NiCo2O4 likely due to its negligible proton diffusion . 25 26 coefficient. With this discussion, one would expect that the elevated 27 gating 28 29 temperature can naturally enhance the proton diffusion coefficient (D(T)) due to its 37 temperature (T) dependence as $D(T)=D_0 \exp(-E_a/kBT)$ [19], where E_a is the activation 33 34 35 energy and kB is the Boltzmann constant. When ILG were performed at 100°C, as 36 37 shown in **Figure 2c**, the water electrolysis and proton intercalation 38 processes were 39 40 also observed while with clearly suppressed critical voltages (labelled as A' and B'). 42

43 More interestingly, we also observed a new peak in the cyclic voltammograms at ~ 44 45 46 4.0 V (labelled as C'), indicating a new proton exchange process. At the same voltage 47 48 region, we observed a clear structural transformation from the 49 NiCo2O4 to the 50 51 protonated phase with the totally disappearance of its corresponding 5 diffraction peak 53 for the NiCo2O4 phase (Figure 2d). 55 56 57 58 With these new experimental observation, we can assign the ILG 5 induced protonation 60

process into three subsequent steps, electrolysis, 1 intercalation and diffusion 2 3 (Supplementary Figure 1). While the first two steps are correlated with both the 5 6 applied gating voltage and environmental temperature, the last step is mainly 8 determined by the intrinsic diffusion coefficient of the materials, 9 therefore only ŧΫ influenced strongly by the environmental temperature ^[18,19]. 1 Accordingly, the change 13 14 of the gating temperature forms a unique tuning strategy to assist the ILG induced 16 17 structural transformations. To the best of our knowledge, this work presents the first 18 19 20 experiment with ILG performed at elevated temperature, while conventionally the 21 22 ILG is performed usually at room temperature and below ^[1-15]. Along 2 this vein, this 24 25 work forms a substantial advance of this powerful ionic liquid 2 gating method, and 27 28 generates a new strategy to achieve controllable structural phase transformation with 29 30 the gating temperature as an efficient tuning knob. Indeed, with this 31 new strategy, the 32 33 protonation process can be further enhanced in the model 2. [12] (as system of SrCoO 35 36 shown in **Supplementary Figure 2**), in which the protonation duration is suppressed 38 from 70 min (30°C) to only about 12 min (100°C). Moreover, the proton concentration 40 41

```
42
            in HxSrCoO2.5 is likely further enhanced for the sample gated at
elevated temperature,
43
44
as its corresponding diffraction peak shifts from 44.0° (30°C) further to 43.6° (100°C).
46
47
48
            Following the discussion of the improved ILG method, we further
49
studied the
50
51
            electronic and magnetic properties of the protonated NCO phase.
5
First, to probe the
53
            valence states of the corresponding transition metal elements on
both pristine and \frac{55}{5}
56
57
            protonated NCO samples, we performed the soft X-ray absorption
spectroscopy (XAS)
58
59
            measurements (see Experimental Section). The XAS results on
60
the pristine NCO
```

sample shows a mixed valence state of 2^+ and 3^+ for both Ni and Co 1 ions (Figure 3a, 2 3 **b**), which is consistent with the previous study $^{[23]}$. On the other hand, the 5 õ measurements on the protonated samples show a distinct shift of the absorption peaks 8 towards lower energy as compared with those from the pristine 9 sample. Using NiO 19 and CoO as references ^[23], the valence state for both Ni and Co can 1 be assigned as 2^+ . 13 14 Since the bulk-sensitive total luminescence yield (TLY) mode was employed during 16 17 the XAS measurements, one can then readily conclude that the valence modulation 18 19 (i.e. chemical evolution) occurs throughout the entire film. Moreover, 20 we also 21 22 compared the K-edges of oxygen for these two phases. As shown in **Figure 3c**, the 24 25 pre-peak near 529 eV in NCO is totally suppressed in the protonated phase, which is 27 consistent with the experimental results observed in protonated SrCoO2.5, suggesting 29 30 the suppression of O-2p and Ni (Co)-3d hybridization ^[12]. 31 Considering that both Ni 32 and Co ions assume the reduced 2^+ valence state and the large 3 amount of hydrogen 35 36 atoms distribute within the protonated phase, we can conclude that the protonation 38 39 during ILG plays an essential role for the observed phase transformation. Accordingly, 40

41 42 we can assign the stoichiometry of the protonated phase as H2NiCo2O4. 43 44 45 46 Knowing the dramatic change of the valence states and crystalline structure during the 47 48 phase transformation, one might naturally expect the emergence of 49 a novel magnetic 50 51 and electronic state in the protonated phase. To reveal the 5 magnetic properties, we 53 hysteresis and the first measured the (M-H) loops temperature dependent 55 56 57 magnetization (M-T) (see **Experimental Section**) for both pristine and protonated 58 59 60 samples. As shown in **Figure 4a**, the pristine NCO shows a typical ferromagnetic

loop at 20 K with a saturation magnetization of about 240 emu / cm^3 1 (corresponding 2 3 to 1.8 μ_B per formula unit cell), while the corresponding hysteresis loop for the 5 6 protonated phase shows strongly reduced magnetization (<20 emu /cm³). Furthermore, 8 although the temperature dependent magnetization measurement (as 9 shown in **Figure** 10 11 **4b**) shows a clear ferrimagnetic transition for the pristine sample with 1 the transition 13 14 temperature around 260 K, there is no distinct magnetic transition 1 measured across 16 17 the whole measured temperature region for the protonated one. To provide further 19 insights for the observed distinct magnetic state, we carried out soft 20 X-ray magnetic 21 22 circular dichroism (XMCD) measurements (see Experimental 23 circular c **Section**) on both Ni 24 25 (Figure 4c) and Co (Figure 4d) L-edges, which can provide element-specific 27 28 information for the magnetic states. In the pristine sample, the Ni and Co ions show 29 30 31 an anti-parallel coupling, in agreement with the previous reports in this inverse spinel 32 structure and indicating a ferrimagnetic ground state ^[23,30,31]. By 3 contrast, the XMCD 35 36 measurements on the protonated sample show no spin-polarization for both Ni and Co 38 ions. Therefore, we can deduce that the protonated phase forms an antiferromagnetic 40 41

state, and the measured small magnetization (Figure 4a) can be 42 attributed to the small 43 44 canting moment ^[32]. 4 46 47 48 We further investigated the electronic state of the protonated phase 49 through the optical 50 51 transmittance and transport measurements (see Experimental 5 Section). The insert in 53 Figure 4e shows a direct comparison of the optical photographs for pristine and 55 56 57 protonated NCO films as well as the referenced bare MgAl2O4 substrate. It is clear 58 59 that, through the protonation, the NCO film changes from opaque 60

into transparent,

indicating the modulation of the optical transparency through 1 protonation. As shown 2 3 in **Figure 4e**, the protonated sample shows strongly enhanced optical transmittance 5 6 throughout the measured wavelength region as comparing with the pristine one. 8 Similarly, the transport measurements reveal that the pristine NCO 9 shows a clear 10 11 metallic temperature dependent behavior (Figure 4f), which is 1 consistent with 13 14 previous reports ^[23,30]. While on the other hand, the protonated 1 sample shows an 16 17 insulating behavior with more than five orders of magnitude enhancement of 18 19 resistivity at the room temperature. With these experimental 20 results, we can readily 21 22 conclude that NCO thin film undergoes a metallic to insulating 2 transformation 24 25 through the ILG induced protonation. More importantly, a simple thermal annealing 27 28 of the protonated sample at 400°C in air can nicely reverse it back into the pristine 29 30 31 state with the recovery of the corresponding optical, magnetic and electronic 32 33 properties (Supplementary Figure 3). 34 35 36 37 To obtain theoretical insights for the electronic and magnetic 38 ground states of the 39 40 protonated NCO sample, we performed first-principles calculations [33-^{38]} (see 42

43 **Experimental Section**). We first calculated the atomic structure for the pristine phase 44 45 46 with the in-plane lattice constant fixed with that of the substrate. The calculated 47 48 projected density of state (PDOS) (as shown in Figure 5c) reveals 49 a ferrimagnetic 50 51 metallic state. From the PDOS, the calculated magnetizations are 5 estimated as ~ -0.9 53 μ_B and ~ +2.8 μ_B for Ni and Co ions respectively. Therefore, the total magnetization 55 56 57 can be estimated as 1.9 μ_B per chemical formula, which is consistent with both our 58 59 experiment results and the previous calculations ^[31]. 60

To mimic the protonation process, we randomly inserted two 1 H^+ ions into the 3 crystalline lattice and then fully relaxed the atom positions achieve the to 4 5 6 corresponding energetically favorable structure. As shown in **Figure** 5a and b, in the 8 lowest energy structure for the protonated phase, the H⁺ ions tend to 9 form bonds with 10 11 the oxygen ions, leading to a large lattice expansion along the (001) direction. The 13 14 calculated c lattice constant is 8.67Å for the protonated H2NiCo2O4 1 phase, in excellent 16 17 agreement with our experimental result of 8.69Å. The calculated PDOS of the 18 19 protonated phase shows the development of a well-defined band gap 20 (about 0.5 eV) 21 22 23 (**Figure 5d**), nicely consistent with the indirect band gap obtained from the optical 24 25 transmittance spectra (**Supplementary Figure 4**). Furthermore, the PDOS for Ni ions 27 28 (at the octahedra) shows a guenched spin-polarization, which suggests that the Ni ions 29 30 31 do not contribute to the magnetism. On the other hand, the PDOS of Co ions at the 32 33 octahedra and tetrahedra sites contributes -2.6 μ_B and 2.5 μ_B 34 respectively, indicating 35 36 an intrinsic antiferromagnetic spin alignment for Co ions located at different sites. 38 These calculated results are consistent with our experimental results, suggesting that 40 41

42 the protonated phase is an antiferromagnetic insulator. 43 44 45 46 To summarize, within this study, we have obtained a further understanding of the 47 48 underlying mechanism of ILG induced protonation and demonstrate 49 that the gating 50 51 temperature forms a tuning knob to boost effectively the 5 protonation to realize the 53 electron doping and enrich the phase diagram. The associated electron doping into 55 56 57 protonated NCO leads to a distinct transformation of the electronic and magnetic 58 59 ground state from ferrimagnetic metallic into antiferromagnetic 60 insulating, and the

novel H2NiCo2O4 phase would expand the knowledge of spinel systems for wide range of applications. Finally, this simple but effective method could be readily 6 extended to a large group of materials, providing an important strategy to manipulate the electronic and magnetic ground states with desirable material functionalities. 39 40 <u>5</u>3

Experimental Section

3 4 (001) subs	<i>Thin Film Fabrication</i> : NiCo2O4 thin films were grown on MgAl2O4 trates
5 6 temperatu 7	by a home-designed pulsed laser deposition system. The deposited re was
8 9 density of 10	controlled to be 350 oC with a O2 pressure of 20 Pa. The energy pulsed laser
11	(wavelength 248 nm) was around 1.2 J/cm ² and the repetition
frequency v	vas 5 Hz.
13 14 1 temperatur	After the deposition, the samples were cooled down to room e in 0.5 bar of
16 17 18 19	O2 with the cooling rate of 5 oC per minute.
were contac	Ionic Liquid Gating and X-ray Diffraction Measurements: Films cted
22 2 in a quartz 24	with Pt wire by colloidal silver pasted at the edges and then placed bowl
25 2 sample and	filled with ionic liquid. The gating voltage was applied between the I the
27 28 on top a the	gate electrode, which is a screwed Pt wire. The bowl was then fixed ermal
30 31 X-ray diffra 32	heater, in which the temperatures can be digitally controlled. The ction
33 3 diffractome	(XRD) measurements were carried out with a high-resolution ter
36 A).	(Smartlab, Rigaku) using monochromatic Cu $K_{\alpha 1}$ radiation ($\lambda = 1.5406$
38 39 measureme 40 41	Hydrogen Forward Scattering (HFS) Measurement : HFS ents were

performed in a Rutherford Backscattering Facility at EAG Laboratory. 42 During HFS 43 44 experiment, a detector was placed 30 ° from the forward trajectory of 4 the incident He⁺⁺ 46 47 ion beam and the sample was rotated so that the incident beam 4 strikes the surfaces 75° 49 50 from normal. Spectra were fit by applying a theoretical model and iteratively 51 52 53 adjusting the hydrogen concentrations and film thickness until good agreement was 54 55 achieved the theoretical the between and 56 experimental spectra. Hydrogen 57 58 concentrations were determined by comparing the hydrogen counts with that obtained

from reference samples after normalizing with the stopping powers 1 of the different 3 materials. Here a hydrogen implanted silicon sample (with a hydrogen areal density of $\frac{4}{4}$ 5 6 $1.6\,\times\,10^{17}$ atoms per cm) was used as the reference. The protonated sample with a 8 dimension of 100 mm^2 and a thickness of 45 nm was prepared. 9 An Ar-ion etching 19 process was carried out with about 8 nm surface etched away to clean organic 13 14 pollution before the HFS measurement. 1 16 17 X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy (XAS) Measurements: XAS at cobalt and nickel 18 19 20 L-edges were measured by bulk-sensitive total luminescence yield (TLY) mode and 21 22 the oxygen K-edges were measured in total electron yield (TEY) 2 mode at Beamlines 24 25 4.0.2 and 6.3.1 of Advanced Light Source and Beamline 25 of Spring 2 8 Synchrotron 27 28 Radiation Facility. The X-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD) studies were 29 30 31 carried out at beamline 4.0.2 of Advanced Light Source, with 90% circularly 32 33 polarized X-rays incident along the film normal. During the measurements, the 35 36 temperature was kept at 20 K with a magnetic field of ± 4.0 T applied along the beam 38 39 incident direction. 40 41 42 Magnetization, Optical Transmittance and Transport

Measurements: The magnetic 43 44 properties of all samples were measured with a Magnetic Property Measurement 46 47 System (MPMS, Quantum Design). The magnetic-field was applied along the 49 50 in-plane direction. Optical transmittance spectra were measured in air at room 51 52 53 temperature with a spectrophotometer (Cary 5000 UV-Vis-NIR, Agilent and Excalibur 54 55 3100, Varian). Electrical resistance was measured by a Quantum 56 Design DynaCool 57 58 system through four-probe electrical contact geometry to eliminate the influence of

1 contact resistance.

2 3 Density Functional Theory (DFT) Calculations: All 4 calculations are conducted 5 6 within the framework of density functional theory (DFT)^[33] as implemented in the 8 Vienna *ab initio* simulation package (VASP) ^[34]. The core electron 9 potentials are 19 described by the projector augmented-wave (PAW) potential ^[35]. The 1 exchange 13 14 correlation interactions are described by the generalized gradient approximation 16 17 (GGA) ^[36] in the form of Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE). Moreover, GGA+U^[37] 18 19 method is employed to treat the strong on-site Coulomb 20 interaction of Co and Ni 21 22 atoms. To simulate the distinct magnetic interactions among the ions for pristine and 24 25 protonated samples, different U values were selected for each phase ²^[38]. The U values 27 28 chosen for Ni atom are 3.5 and 6.5 eV, for Co atom 5.5 and 6.5 eV for NiCo2O4 and 29 30 H2NiCo2O4, respectively. After the protonation process, the charge 31 density around 32 33 oxygen and magnetic ions are dramatically modified, therefore the ³⁴ exchange 35 36 constants / for inter and intra magnetic ions as well as the Coulomb repulsion energy 38 are also strongly affected. For simplicity, we neglected the intermixing of the Ni and 40

41 42 Co ions in the calculation and considered the case of NCO with perfect inverse spinel 43 44 45 structure. During the calculation, the crystalline structures were fully relaxed until the 46 47 remaining force acting on each atom is no more than 0.01 eV/Å. In 4 rei order to yield 49 50 well-converged total energies, the plane wave basis with a kinetic energy cutoff of 51 52 53 400 eV was used. 54 55 56 57 58

Supporting Information: Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online 3 4 Library or from the author. Acknowledgements: M. W., X. S. and Y. W. contributed equally to this work. This study was financially supported by the Basic Science Center program of NSFC (grant No. 51788104); NSFC (grants 2015CB921700 and 2016YFA0301004); the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 51872155); and the Beijing Advanced Innovation Center for Future Chip (ICFC). The Advanced Light Source is supported by the US Department of Energy under contract no. DE-AC02-05CH11231. 21 22 References 24 [1] S. Z. Bisri, S. Shimizu, M. Nakano, Y. Iwasa, Adv. Mater. 2017, 29, 1607054. [2] A. S. Dhoot, C. Israel, X. Moya, N. D. Mathur, R. H. Friend, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2009**. 30 , 136402. 32 33 [3] R. Scherwitzl, P. Zubko, I. G. Lezama, S. Ono, A. F. Morpurgo, G. Catalan, J. M. 35 Triscone, Adv. Mater. 2010, 22, 5517. 38 [4] M. Nakano, K. Shibuya, D. Okuyama, T. Hatano, S. Ono, M. Kawasaki, Y. Iwasa, 41 Y. Tokura, Nature 2012, 487, 459. , 472,

42 43 44	[5] Y. Yamada, K. Ueno, T. Fukumura, H. T. Yuan, H. Shimotani, Y.
Iwasa, L. G	u, S.
45 46 47 48	Tsukimoto, Y. Ikuhara, M. Kawasaki, <i>Science</i> 2011 , <i>332</i> , 1065.
49 5	[6] K. Ueno, S. Nakamura, H. Shimotani, A. Ohtomo, N. Kimura, T.
Nojima, H.	Aoki,
51 52 53	Y. Iwasa, M. Kawasaki, <i>Nat. Mater</i> . 2008 ,7 , 855.
54 55 Nature	[7] A. T. Bollinger, G. Dubuis, J.Yoon, D. Pavuna, J. Misewich, I. Božović,

, 472,

1 Science 2	[8] J. T. Ye, Y. J. Zhang, R. Akashi, M. S. Bahramy, R. Arita, Y. Iwasa, 012 ,
2 3 4	<i>338</i> , 1193.
5 6 T. Wu, Z. 7	[9] B. Lei, J. H. Cui, Z. J. Xiang, C. Shang, N. Z. Wang, G. J. Ye, X. G. Luo,
8 9 10	Sun, X. H. Chen, <i>Phys. Rev. Lett</i> . 2016 , <i>116</i> , 077002.
11 1 P. Parkin.	[10] J. Jeong, N. Aetukuri, T. Graf, T. D. Schladt, M. G Samant, S. S.
13 14 1	<i>Science</i> 2013 , <i>339</i> , 1402.
16 17 18	[11] K. Shibuya, A. Sawa, <i>Adv. Electron. Mater.</i> 2016 , <i>2</i> , 1500131.
19 20 D. Zhang, 2	[12] N. Lu, P. Zhang, Q. Zhang, R. Qiao, Q. He, H. Li, Y. Wang, J. Guo, Z.
21 22 2 Gu, C. W.	Duan, Z. Li, M. Wang, S. Yang, M. Yan, E. Arenholz, S. Zhou, W. Yang, L.
25 2	Nan, J. Wu, Y. Tokura, P. Yu, <i>Nature</i> 2017 , <i>546, 124</i> .
27 28 Guo, Y. Wa	[13] M. Wang, S. Shen, J. Ni, N. Lu, Z. Li, H. Li, S. Yang, T. Chen, J. ng,
29 30 31 32	H. Xiang, P. Yu, <i>Adv. Mater.</i> 2017 , <i>46</i> , 1703628.
33 34 J. Wu, N.	[14] X. Leng, J. Pereiro, J. Strle, G. Dubuis, A. T. Bollinger, A. Gozar,
36 36 Materials,	Litombe, C. Panagopoulos, D. Pavuna, I. Božović, <i>npj Quantum</i> 2017 ,
38 39 40	2:35, 1.
41 42 M. Ma, Y. 43 44	[15] Y. Cui, G. Zhang, H. Li, H. Lin, X. Zhu, HH. Wen, G. Wang, J. Sun,
45 2018 , <i>63</i> , 1	Li, D. Gong, T. Xie, Y. Gu, S. Li, H. Luo, P. Yu, W. Yu, <i>Science Bulletin</i> , 11.
59 60 61 62 63 64 65	

47 4 Sun, <i>Adv.</i>	[16] C. S. Yang, D. S. Shang, N. Liu, G. Shi, X. Shen, R. C. Yu, Y. Q. Li, Y.
49 50 51	Mater. 2017 , <i>29</i> , 1700906.
52 53 GZ. 54	[17] JT. Yang, C. Ge, JY. Du, HY. Huang, M. He, C. Wang, HB. Lu,
55 56 57	Yang, KJ. Jin, Adv. Mater. 2018 , 201801548.
58 J. Son,	[18] H. Yoon, M. Choi, TW. Lim, H. Kwon, K. Ihm, J. K. Kim, SY. Choi,

1	Nat. Mater. 2016 , 15, 1113.
2 3 Lee I Shi	[19] Y. Zhou, X. Guan, H. Zhou, K. Ramadoss, S. Adam, H. Liu, S. M
4 5 6	Tsuchiva, D. D. Fong, S. Ramanathan, <i>Nature</i> 2016 , <i>534</i> , 231.
7 8 9	[20] H. G. Bohn, T. Schober, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 2000 , 83, 768.
10 11 1	[21] L. Yang, S. Wang, K. Blinn, M. Liu, Z. Liu, Z. Cheng, M. Liu,
Science 20	09,
13 14 1	<i>326</i> , 126.
16 17 S. Sanna, S	[22] D. Pergoles, E. Fabbri, A. D'Epifanio, E. D. Bartolomeo, A. Tebano,
18	
20 21	Licoccia, G. Balestrino, E. Traversa, Nat. Mater. 2010, 9, 846.
2 2 Zhan, H.	[23] Y. Bitla, YY. Chin, JC. Lin, C. N. Van, R. Liu, Y. Zhu, HJ. Liu, Q.
24 25 2 <i>:15201</i> ,1.	-J. Lin, CT. Chen, YH. Chu, Q. He, Scientific Reports 2015 ,
27 28 29	[24] J. Zhu, Q. Gao, Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 2009, 124, 144.
30 31 32	[25] M. U. A. Prathap, R. Srivastava, Nano Energy 2013, 2, 1046.
³³ ³⁴ 1 <i>32</i> , 129.	[26] C. Davidson, G. Kissel, S. Srinivasan, J. Electroanal. Chem. 1982,
35 36 3	[27] Y. Li, P. Hasin, Y. Wu, <i>Adv. Mater</i> . 2010 , <i>22</i> , 1926.
38 <i>30</i> , 180239	[28] Y. Zeng, Z. Lai, Y. Han, H. Zhang, S. Xie, X. Lu, <i>Adv. Mater</i> . 2018 , 6.
40 41	
42 43 44	[29] L. Hu, L. Wu, M. Liao, X. Fang, <i>Adv. Mater</i> . 2011 , <i>23</i> , 1988.
45 Lett. 2012 ,	[30] P. Silwal, L. Miao, I. Stern, X. Zhou, J. Hu, D. H. Kim, Appl. Phys.
46 47 4 59 60 61 62 63 63	100, 032102.
65	

49 50 14892.	[31] X. Shi, S. L. Bernasek, A. Selloni, <i>J. Phys. Chem. C</i> 2016 , 120
51 52 53 F. Scott,	[32] JH. Lee, Y. K. Jeong, J. H. Park, MA. Oak, H. M. Jang, J. Y. Son, J.
54 55 56 57	Phys. Rev. Lett. 2011 , 107, 117201.
58	[33] W. Kohn, L. J. Sham, <i>Phys. Rev.</i> 1965 , <i>140</i> , A1133.

1	[34] G. Kresse, J. Furthmüller, <i>Comput. Mater. Sci.</i> 1996 , <i>6</i> , 15.
2 3 4	[35] P. E. Blöchl, <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> 1994 , <i>50</i> , 17953.
5 6 3865. 7	[36] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, <i>Phys. Rev. Lett.</i> 1996 , 77,
8 9 <i>Condens.</i> 10	[37] V. I. Anisimov, F. Aryasetiawan, A. I. Lichtenstein, <i>J. Phys.:</i> Matter
11 1	1997 , <i>9</i> , 767.
$\begin{array}{c} 13\\ 14\\ 1\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ 25\\ 26\\ 27\\ 28\\ 29\\ 30\\ 31\\ 32\\ 33\\ 34\\ 35\\ 36\\ 37\\ 38\\ 39\\ 40\\ 41\\ 42\\ 43\\ 44\\ 45\\ 49\\ 50\\ 51\\ 52\\ 53\\ 54\\ 55\\ 56\\ 57\\ 58\\ 59\\ 60\\ 61\\ 62\\ 63\\ 64\\ \end{array}$	[38] P. W. Anderson, <i>Phys. Rev.</i> 1950 , <i>79</i> , 350.
04 65	

 $\begin{array}{c} 49\\ 50\\ 51\\ 52\\ 53\\ 55\\ 56\\ 57\\ 58\\ 60\\ 61\\ 62\\ 63\\ 64\\ 65\\ \end{array}$



Typical 2θ - ω scans of the pristine and gated NiCo2O4 films grown on MgAl2O4 (004)

substrates. **d**) Temperature dependent 2θ - ω scans for a gated phase measured during

39 annealing in air. The curves were obtained at every 25°C interval, with 5 minutes' 41 dwell time before each scan. e) Reciprocal space mapping 4 (RSM) results of the 43 pristine (left) and gated (right) samples measured around the 4 MgAl2O4 (226) 45 diffraction peak. f) Characterization of the hydrogen concentration in 46 the gated 47 NiCo2O4 film by HFS measurement. 48



²⁴ ²⁵ Figure 2. Comparison of the proton evolution process in IL/NiCo2O4 system at

 26 27 **different temperatures.** Gate current (I_G) versus gate voltage (V_G) curves measured

at **a**) 30° C and **c**) 100° C. The voltage increased or decreased with a speed of 5 mV/s.

In-situ 2θ - ω scans of a NiCo2O4 film with gradual increasing gate voltage at **b**) 30°C

and **d**) 100°C. The curves were obtained at every 0.5 V interval, with 25 minutes' $^{32}_{34}$

 $_{3}^{35}$ dwell time before each scan. The insets illustrate the protonated region of the sample,

46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	
61	
62	
63	
64	
04	

³⁷ i.e. only top surface at 30°C and whole film at 100°C with V_G ³ 4.5 V. All the ³⁹ measurements were carried out with the identical Pt electrodes and NCO films ⁴¹ (4mm×5mm, and thickness = 45nm). ⁴³



Figure 3. Evolution of the valence states of NiCo2O4 with the ILG induced

 $15 \\ 16$ **protonation.** Comparison of the X-ray absorption spectra at **a**) nickel L-edges, b) łź cobalt L-edges, and c) oxygen K-edges for the pristine (red) and protonated (blue) $\frac{19}{20}$ phases. The shifts of the peak positions and changes in line shape for both nickel and $\frac{21}{22}$ cobalt L-edges (toward lower energy) between the pristine and protonated phases 23 suggest the reduced valence states through protonation. The oxygen ²⁵ -edge spectra 26 (around 529 eV) show distinct difference between these two 2 phases, indicating the 28 suppression of hybridization between Oxygen-2p orbital and the 2 transition metal 3d 30 orbital through protonation. 3 32 33

34



were obtained during the warming cycle with a magnetic field of 0.1 T. X-ray

46 magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD) spectra at c) nickel and d) cobalt L-edges. The

data were taken at 20 K with the magnetic field ± 4 T applied along the incident

 $^{50}_{5}$ direction of the light, which is normal to the film plane. We note that the NCO is a

52 5 soft magnetic material with small coercive and saturated magnetic fields. Therefore,

the different measurement geometries between hysteresis loop and XMCD
 measurements should not influence the main conclusion. e) Optical transmittance
 spectra for the pristine and protonated films. In the protonation

59 spectra for the pristine and protonated films. In the protonatior phase, the

```
transparency is dramatically enhanced among the whole spectral region. The inset
 1
            shows comparison of the optical photographs for a 50nm NiCo2O4
 thin films (left),
 \frac{3}{4}
            the protonated H2NiCo2O4 (middle) as well as a bare MgAl2O4
 5
 substrate (right). f)
 6
            Temperature dependent resistivity for these two phases. The pristine
 7
 sample shows a
 8
            typical fermi liquid behavior, while the resistance of the protonated
 9
 sample shows
10
            more than five orders of magnitude enhancement at the room
1
temperature, and then
12
            are out of the measurement limit for the employed experimental
1
setup at lower
14
            temperatures.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
```

 $\begin{array}{c} 46\\ 47\\ 48\\ 50\\ 51\\ 52\\ 53\\ 55\\ 57\\ 58\\ 60\\ 61\\ 62\\ 63\\ 65\\ \end{array}$



|--|