UCSF

UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Expression of mitochondrial dysfunction-related genes and pathways in paclitaxel-induced peripheral neuropathy in breast cancer survivors

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9kc5x1mq

Authors Kober, Kord M Olshen, Adam Conley, Yvettte P <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2018

DOI

10.1177/1744806918816462

Peer reviewed



Expression of mitochondrial dysfunction-related genes and pathways in paclitaxel-induced peripheral neuropathy in breast cancer survivors

Molecular Pain Volume 14: 1–16 © The Author(s) 2018 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/1744806918816462 journals.sagepub.com/home/mpx



Kord M Kober¹, Adam Olshen², Yvettte P Conley³, Mark Schumacher², Kimberly Topp², Betty Smoot², Melissa Mazor¹, Margaret Chesney², Marilyn Hammer⁴, Steven M Paul¹, Jon D Levine², and Christine Miaskowski¹

Abstract

Background: Paclitaxel is one of the most commonly used drugs to treat breast cancer. Its major dose-limiting toxicity is paclitaxel-induced peripheral neuropathy (PIPN). PIPN persists into survivorship and has a negative impact on patient's mood, functional status, and quality of life. No interventions are available to treat PIPN. A critical barrier to the development of efficacious interventions is the lack of understanding of the mechanisms that underlie PIPN. Mitochondrial dysfunction has been evaluated in preclinical studies as a hypothesized mechanism for PIPN, but clinical data to support this hypothesis are limited. The purpose of this pilot study was to evaluate for differential gene expression and perturbed pathways between breast cancer survivors with and without PIPN.

Methods: Gene expression in peripheral blood was assayed using RNA-seq. Differentially expressed genes (DEG) and pathways associated with mitochondrial dysfunction were identified between survivors who received paclitaxel and did (n = 25) and did not (n = 25) develop PIPN.

Results: Breast cancer survivors with PIPN were significantly older; more likely to be unemployed; reported lower alcohol use; had a higher body mass index and poorer functional status; and had a higher number of lower extremity sites with loss of light touch, cold, and pain sensations and higher vibration thresholds. No between-group differences were found in the cumulative dose of paclitaxel received or in the percentage of patients who had a dose reduction or delay due to PIPN. Five DEGs and nine perturbed pathways were associated with mitochondrial dysfunction related to oxidative stress, iron homeostasis, mitochondrial fission, apoptosis, and autophagy.

Conclusions: This study is the first to provide molecular evidence that a number of mitochondrial dysfunction mechanisms identified in preclinical models of various types of neuropathic pain including chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy are found in breast cancer survivors with persistent PIPN and suggest genes for validation and as potential therapeutic targets.

Keywords

Taxanes, mitochondria, neuropathy, breast cancer, survivor, paclitaxel, differential gene expression, pathway analysis

Date Received: 29 June 2018; revised: 12 October 2018; accepted: 16 October 2018

Introduction

Paclitaxel is one of the most commonly used drugs to treat breast, ovarian, and lung cancers.¹ Its major doselimiting toxicity is paclitaxel-induced peripheral neuropathy (PIPN). Prevalence rates for PIPN are extremely ¹School of Nursing, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

²School of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

³School of Nursing, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

⁴Department of Nursing, Mount Sinai Medical Center, New York, NY, USA

Corresponding Author:

Kord M Kober, Department of Physiological Nursing, University of California, 2 Koret Way-N631Y, San Francisco, CA 94143, USA. Email: kord.kober@ucsf.edu

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us. sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage). high, ranging from 59% to 87%.^{2,3} PIPN is characterized by paresthesias and dysesthesias that occur in a "stocking-glove" distribution. PIPN persists into the cancer survivorship period and has a negative impact on individuals' mood, functional status, and quality of life (QOL).⁴ In particular, the negative impact of PIPN on breast cancer (BC) survivors has been identified as a gap in QOL for BC patients.^{5,6}

Paclitaxel exerts its primary antitumor effects by binding to beta-tubulin in microtubules, forming extremely stable and nonfunctional microtubules that results in apoptosis.⁷ In terms of its neurotoxic effects, the exact mechanisms that underlie the development of PIPN remain unclear. However, several lines of evidence suggest that interruption of microtubule function in neuron impairs axonal transport and results in a dying back neuropathy. In addition, paclitaxel alters mitochondrial function through the depletion of mRNAs that encode the mitochondrial fission/fusion machinery in distal axons.⁸ This toxic effect leads to a deficit in axonal energy supply and subsequent axonal degeneration.^{9,10}

Using the National Cancer Institute's Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, PIPN of grades 2 to 4 occurs at cumulative doses of between 715 and 1500 mg/m².¹¹ However, not all patients who receive this dose of paclitaxel develop PIPN. The wide range in occurrence rates suggests that genetic factors may play a role in the development of PIPN. While preclinical studies suggest that a number of mechanisms are involved in the development of PIPN,^{9,10,12} the majority of the genetic association studies of PIPN evaluated for polymorphisms in candidate genes that influence the metabolism and transport of neurotoxic drugs. As noted in a recent systematic review and meta-analysis of the molecular genetics of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN),¹³ notable methodological issues, including lack of standardization and detail in the phenotype definition and acknowledgment of potential confounding factors, prevented the authors from identifying any candidate genes that were associated with CIPN in general or PIPN specifically.

No studies were identified that evaluated for associations between changes in the expression of genes involved in mitochondrial function and chronic PIPN in cancer survivors. Given the preclinical evidence that paclitaxel alters mitochondrial function in primary afferent neurons,^{9,10} the purpose of this study was to identify differentially expressed mitochondrial dysfunction (MD)-related genes and perturbed pathways in BC survivors with (n=25) and without (n=25) chronic PIPN.

Materials and methods

Survivors and settings

The methods for this study, which is part of a larger study, are described in detail elsewhere.¹⁴ In brief, survivors were recruited from throughout the San Francisco Bay area and met prespecified inclusion and exclusion criteria (see Supplemental Material). The National Coalition for Cancer Survivorship's definition of cancer survivor was used in this study (i.e., a person is a cancer survivor from the moment of diagnosis through the balance of life).¹⁵ Of the 1450 survivors who were screened, 754 were enrolled and 623 completed the self-report questionnaires and the study visit. Data from a randomly selected sample of BC survivors with (n = 25) and without (n = 25) chronic PIPN were used in this analysis.

Study procedures

Research nurses screened and consented the survivors over the phone, sent and asked them to complete the self-report questionnaires prior to their study visit, and scheduled the in-person assessment. At this assessment, written informed consent was obtained, questionnaires were reviewed for completeness, and objective measurements were done. Blood samples were drawn, processed, and stored for subsequent molecular analyses in PAXgene[®] Blood RNA tubes (Qiagen, Inc.). This study was approved by the institutional review board of the University of California, San Francisco.

Study measures

Demographic and clinical characteristics. Survivors provided information on demographic characteristics and completed the Alcohol Use Identification Test (AUDIT),¹⁶ Karnofsky Performance Status (KPS) Scale,^{17–19} and the Self-Administered Comorbidity Questionnaire.^{20,21}

Pain measures. Survivors with PIPN rated the intensity of their pain using a 0 to 10 numeric rating scale and completed the pain interference scale from the Brief Pain Inventory.²² The qualities of PIPN were evaluated using the Pain Quality Assessment Scale.^{23,24}

Objective measures of sensation. Light touch was evaluated using Semmes Weinstein monofilaments.²⁵ Cold sensation was evaluated using the Tiptherm Rod.^{26,27} Pain sensation was evaluated using the Neurotip.²⁷ Vibration threshold was assessed using a vibrometer.²⁸ For all of the measures of sensation, both the upper and lower extremities on the dominant side were tested.

Balance. Self-report questions from the CIPN Assessment Tool were used to assess the balance.²⁹ The objective measures of balance were the timed get up and go test $(TUG)^{30}$ and the Fullerton Advanced Balance (FAB) test.^{31,32}

Phenotypic data analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS version 23.³³ Descriptive statistics and frequency distributions were calculated for survivors' demographic and clinical characteristics. For the four objective measures of sensation (i.e., light touch, cold, pain, and vibration), composite scores, over all of the sites that were tested on the dominant upper and lower extremities, were created. For light touch, cold, and pain, the number of sites with loss of each sensation was summed. For vibration, the mean score across the sites was calculated. Differences between the PIPN groups in phenotypic characteristics and balance were evaluated using independent sample t tests, χ^2 analyses, Fisher's Exact test (FET), and Mann–Whitney U tests. A p value of <.05 was considered statistically significant.

RNA sample preparation

Total RNA was isolated using the PAXgene® Blood miRNA Kit (Qiagen, Inc.) using established procedures.³⁴ Total RNA from the 50 survivors was sent to the UC Davis Genomics Core Facility for library preparation and for sequencing. Prior to library preparation, 600 ng of total RNA was treated with the Illumina Globin-Zero Gold rRNA Removal Kit (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA) to deplete cytoplasmic ribosomal RNA³⁵ and human globin mRNA.^{36,37} The globin/ribo depleted RNA was cleaned with Agencourt RNAClean XP (Beckman Coulter, Indianapolis, IN), and the sequencing libraries were prepared with KAPA RNA (Roche Diagnostics HyperPrep Kit Corp., Indianapolis, IN) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Fourteen cycles of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification were used for double six base pair index addition and library fragment enrichment. Prepared libraries were quantified on a Roche LightCycler 480II (Roche Diagnostics Corp.) using KAPA Illumina library quantitative PCR reagents (Roche Diagnostics Corp.).

RNA sequencing (RNA-seq)

Sequencing of the 50 samples was done on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 apparatus (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA). All 50 samples were multiplexed into a single pool, with each sample labeled with a dual-indexed adapter.³⁸ The sample pool was sequenced on four lanes for 100 cycles of single-end reads with a 1% PhiX v3 control library spike (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA). Postsequencing

basecall files (bclfiles) were demultiplexed and converted into an FASTQ file format using the bcl2fastq v2.17 software (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA). Data were posted and retrieved from a secured site hosted by the Core Facility.

RNA-seq alignment, data processing, and quality control (QC)

RNA-seq data processing was performed based on best practices^{39,40} and our previous experience.^{34,41} Illuimina adapters and leading or trailing low-quality bases were removed, and reads with an average quality per base below 15 in a 4-base sliding window or below a minimum length of 36 bases were removed using trimmomatic.42 Individual samples were inspected with FASTQC⁴³ and in aggregate with MultiQC.⁴⁴ After initial QC, 10 bases were trimmed from the beginning of all reads and reads were reinspected with FASTQC. The reference genome was prepared using the assembly (gencode.v24.GRCh38.p5.fa).⁴⁵ GRCh38 Transcriptome annotations were obtained from the Gencode v24 primary assembly (gencode.v24.primary_assembly.annotation.gtf).45 Trimmed reads were aligned to the annotated reference genome using the Spliced Transcripts Alignment to a Reference aligner.⁴⁶ Output SAM files were validated using ValidateSam. Read groups were added to the SAM file using the Picard tool AddOrReplaceReadGroups. Sorted BAM files were inspected using RNA-SeQC47 and joined for each sample. Abundance of RNA was estimated from the combined aligned reads using featureCounts.⁴⁸

Replicate count data were processed in edgeR.49 Ensembl⁵⁰ transcripts were annotated with Entrez gene ID and symbol.⁵¹ Lowly expressed tags were filtered out by retaining only those with 3.27 reads per million (10/L where L is the minimum library size in millions) in at least 25 samples (i.e., the smallest group size). Count estimates were normalized with the trimmed means of M values (TMM) method.52 TMM normalization was applied to the data set in edgeR using calcNormFactors. Data were explored using multidimensional scaling (MDS) plots for all samples to identify sample outliers and potential batch effects due to technical artifacts (i.e., RNA integrity number (RIN), date of RNA extraction). The same technician performed all of the RNA extractions in one laboratory. Associations between technical variables and PIPN group were assessed using Fisher's exact test or a generalized linear model in R. Significance was assessed at a nominal p value of .05. To evaluate the reproducibility of our measurements,⁵³ we utilized the one additional library⁵⁴ that was generated using an alternative preparation protocol (i.e., without globin/ribo depletion step, but from the same RNA materials) and sequenced in the same lane with the entire sample. We used the weighted kappa statistic to measure the agreement between the count data of this sample produced by the two libraries.⁵⁵ The count data were summarized as log₂ counts per million (after TMM normalization) and grouped into 10 levels with a range of 0 to 20 with a bin size of 2.

The technical variables that demonstrated an association with PIPN group were flagged for subsequent evaluation with surrogate variable analysis (SVA). SVA was used to identify variations that contributed to heterogeneity in the sample (e.g., batch effects) which were not due to the variable of interest (i.e., PIPN group membership) or significant demographic covariates.⁵⁶ To identify which surrogate variables to include, all surrogate variables were tested for an association with the phenotype and the retained technical variables. Significance was assessed at a nominal p value of .05. Any surrogate variable (SV) that was significantly associated with the phenotype was excluded. Any remaining surrogate variables that were significantly associated with a technical variable were included as covariates in the model for differential expression testing.

Differential expression (DE) analysis

Using edgeR, DE was determined under a variance modeling strategy that addressed the overdispersion observed in gene expression (GE) count data.⁵⁷ EdgeR is widely used for DE analysis and performs well relative to other strategies.^{58,59} We followed published best practices^{60,61} and our previous experience.^{34,41} The total sample size is 50 survivors. For this analysis, the overall dispersion and the gene-wise and tag-wise dispersion were estimated using general linear models estimated using the Cox-Reid-adjusted likelihood method.^{62,63} Differences between the PIPN groups were tested using likelihood ratio tests. Demographic and clinical characteristics that differed between the PIPN groups, as well as surrogate variables, were included as covariates in the model. We assessed the significance of the transcriptome-wide analysis to identify differtially expressed genes (DEGs) using a strict false discovery rate (FDR) of 1% under the Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) procedure and no minimal fold-change as evaluated by the topTags and p.adjust R functions.^{64,65}

Pathway impact analysis

Pathway analysis approaches have been classified into three classes or generations of approaches (reviewed in ^{66,67}): overrepresentation analysis (ORA), functional class scoring (FCS), and pathway topology-based (PTB). ORA evaluates for the enrichment of DEGs in a pathway. Although ORA are widely used, but are limited by the predefined set of input genes (i.e., the DE list

and the cutoff used to determine it), the statistic used is independent of the measured changes, no differentiation is made between the genes, and all pathways are considered independent. FCS addresses only the first three limitations. In addition, both ORA and FCS consider pathways as lists of genes (i.e., only the counts) and ignore the additional information available in the pathway representation (i.e., the topology). PTB approaches utilize this additional information to evaluate for biological-relevant interactions between genes in the pathway (reviewed in Nguyen et al.⁶⁸). Pathway impact analysis (PIA) is a PTB that implements and impact factor analytic approach, which includes potentially important biological factors (e.g., gene-gene interactions, flow signals in a pathway, pathway topologies) as well as the magnitude (i.e., log fold-change) and the significance (i.e., p values) of the biological differences observed in the DE analysis.⁶⁹ We used Pathway Express⁷⁰ to perform the PIA. This PTB impact factor analysis approach is widely used and has 1200 citations to date.⁶⁸ The analysis included all genes (i.e., cutoff free) and the DE analysis results (i.e., p value and log fold-change) to determine the probability of a pathway perturbation (pPERT). By including all genes in the analysis, and using the DE analysis results to represent the biological differences between the groups, we are also able to capture the adjustments made for the demographic, clinical, and technical (i.e., SVs) variation in the sample. A total of 208 signaling pathways were defined using the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database.⁷¹ Sequence loci data were annotated with Entrez gene IDs. The gene names were annotated using the HUGO Gene Nomenclature Committee resource database.⁷² We assessed for the significance of the pathway analyses using a strict FDR of 1% under the BH procedure.^{64,65}

Results

Differences in demographic and clinical characteristics

As shown in Table 1, survivors with PIPN were significantly older (p = .006) and were more likely to be unemployed (p = .022). In terms of clinical characteristics (see Table 2), survivors with PIPN had a lower AUDIT score (p = .012), a higher body mass index (BMI; p = .017), and a lower KPS score (p < .001). Of note, no between-group differences were found in the total cumulative dose of paclitaxel received or in the percentage of patients who had a dose reduction or delay due to PIPN.

Pain characteristics

Table 3 summarizes the self-reported pain characteristics of the survivors with PIPN. Worst pain severity was

	No neuropathy 50.0% (n = 25)	Neuropathy 50.0% (n = 25)	Test, p value		
Characteristic	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)			
Age (years)	52.2 (9.5)	60.0 (9.4)	t=-2.89, p=.006		
Education (years)	16.6 (2.4)	16.3 (2.9)	t = 0.32, p = .750		
	% (n)	% (n)			
Married/partnered	80.0 (20)	76.0 (19)	FE, p = 1.000		
Lives alone	16.0 (4)	12.0 (3)	FE, $p = 1.000$		
Employed	72.0 (18)	36.0 (9)	FE, p = .022		
Ethnicity			·		
White	80.0 (20)	76.0 (19)	FE, p = 1.000		
Nonwhite	20.0 (5)	24.0 (6)	·		
Annual household income					
<\$30,000	16.7 (4)	19.0 (4)			
\$30,000–\$69,999	16.7 (4)	19.0 (4)	U, p=.317		
\$70,000–\$99,999	16.7 (4)	33.3 (7)			
>\$100,000	50.0 (12)	28.6 (6)			
Child care responsibilities	25.0 (6)	20.0 (5)	FE, p = .742		
Adult care responsibilities	4.3 (I)	4.3 (I)	FE, p = 1.000		

Table 1. Differences in demographic characteristics between breast cancer survivors with and without paclitaxel-induced neuropathy.

FE: Fisher's exact test; SD: standard deviation; U: Mann-Whitney U test.

reported as 6.3 (\pm 2.1) of the 10, and the duration of PIPN was 3.8 (\pm 3.9) years.

Differences in sensation

Survivors with PIPN had a higher number of lower extremity sites with loss of light touch, cold, and pain sensations (all, p < .05). Vibration thresholds were significantly higher in the PIPN group (p = .009, Table 4).

Differences in balance

Survivors with PIPN were more likely to report trouble with balance (p < .001) as well as higher severity and distress (both p < .05) scores associated with balance problems (Table 4). In addition, these survivors reported worse TUG (p = .001) and worse FAB (p = .004) scores.

GE measurements

Mean RIN was 8.27 (minimum = 7.2), median sequenced library size was 27,458,032, and median aligned library size was 4,412,000 reads. The withinreplicate agreement (kappa = 0.82) was consistent with high agreement measures observed in a recent study (i.e., 0.62-0.81) that evaluated the variation of technical replicates within RNA-seq data using non-clinical (i.e., *Drosophila*) samples.⁵⁵ After QC filtering, 12,491 transcripts were retained from the total of 60,725 GENCODE transcript targets. No samples were identified as outliers in the MDS plots. No batch effects were identified for sample preparation order, RIN value, or

RNA processing date in the first four dimensions of MDS plots. PIPN group was associated with the RNA extraction date (FET p value = .01) but not with RIN score or level. RNA extraction date was strongly associated with one of the six identified surrogate variables. This surrogate variable was included in the model as a covariate to control for potential batch effects. The phenotype characteristics that were associated with PIPN group membership (i.e., KPS, employment, age, BMI, and AUDIT score) were included as covariates in the model. The overall dispersion was observed to be 0.076 (biological coefficient of variation = 0.276), which is lower than values reported in other clinical data sets.⁶² Using RNA-seq power,⁷³ we estimate that with 25 replicates in each condition (i.e., with and without PIPN), an average depth of coverage of 15 million reads per replicate, and the observed biological coefficient of variation (i.e., 0.276), we are powered to detect 1.5-fold changes for 83% of genes, and 2-fold changes for 99% of genes, at a type I error rate of 0.01.

Differences in whole-transcriptome GE

The DE analysis included 11,487 genes. After correction for multiple hypothesis testing at a conservative FDR of 1% (adjusted p value < .01), 20 genes were identified as differentially expressed between the survivors with and without PIPN. Of these, four genes were related to MD (Table 5).

Successful annotation with ENTREZ IDs was performed for 11,174 unique genes. Fold changes and **Table 2.** Differences in clinical characteristics between breast cancer survivors with and without paclitaxel-induced peripheral neuropathy.

	No neuropathy 50.0% (n=25)	Neuropathy 50.0% (n=25)	
Characteristic	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Test, p value
Karnofsky Performance Status score	92.9 (6.2)	80.0 (11.2)	t=5.02, p <.001
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	23.5 (3.5)	27.2 (6.5)	t = -2.50, p = .017
Number of comorbidities	1.3 (1.2)	1.5 (1.7)	t = -0.55, p = .589
Self-Administered Comorbidity Questionnaire score	2.7 (2.7)	3.6 (4.2)	t = -0.88, p = .384
AUDIT score	3.0 (1.7)	1.7 (1.8)	t = 2.63, p = .012
Years since cancer diagnosis	4.2 (4.7)	4.6 (3.9)	t = -0.34, p = .739
Number of prior cancer treatments	3.6 (0.8)	3.6 (0.8)	t = -0.17, p = .863
Number of current cancer treatments	0.7 (0.7)	0.6 (0.7)	t = 0.61, p = .543
Number of metastatic sites (out of seven)	1.0 (0.8)	0.6 (0.5)	t = 1.72, p = .092
Number of metastatic sites without lymph node involvement	0.2 (0.6)	0.04 (0.2)	t = 0.92, p = .365
, , ,	% (n)	% (n)	
Smoker (ever)	37.5 (9)	32.0 (8)	FE, p = .769
Exercise on a regular basis (% yes)	87.5 (21)	60.0 (15)	FE, p = .05 I
Born prematurely (% yes)	0.0 (0)	12.5 (3)	FE, $p = .110$
Comorbid conditions (% yes)			
Osteoarthritis	8.0 (2)	28.0 (7)	FE, $p = .138$
Back pain	28.0 (7)	24.0 (6)	FE, $p = 1.000$
Depression	28.0 (7)	16.0 (4)	FE, p = .496
High blood pressure	12.0 (3)	28.0 (7)	FE, p = .289
Heart disease	0.0 (0)	4.0 (1)	FE, $p = 1.000$
Diabetes	0.0 (0)	8.0 (2)	FE, p=.490
Lung disease	4.0 (1)	4.0 (1)	FE, p = 1.000
Anemia or blood disease	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	Test not run
Ulcer or stomach disease	0.0 (0)	4.0 (1)	FE, $p = 1.000$
Kidney disease	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	Test not run
Liver disease	0.0 (0)	4.0 (1)	FE, $p = 1.000$
Rheumatoid arthritis	0.0 (0)	4.0 (I)	FE, $p = 1.000$
Total cumulative dose of taxol (mg/m ²)**	782.8 (228.6)	814.7 (217.0)	t = 0.14, p = .893
Patients who had a dose reduction or delay due to neuropathy $(\% (n))^{**}$	0.0 (0)	12.0 (3)	FE, p = .235

Note: AUDIT: Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test; FE: Fisher's exact test; kg: kilograms; m²: meters squared; mg: milligrams; SD: standard deviation. **Doses are reported as milligrams per meter squared.

p values from the DE analysis for these genes were included in the pPERT analysis of the 208 KEGG signaling pathways. PIA identified 53 KEGG signaling pathways that were significantly perturbed between the PIPN groups after correction for multiple hypothesis testing at a conservative FDR of 1% (adjusted perturbation p value <.01). Of these, 10 KEGG signaling pathways were related to MD (Table 6; Figure 1).

Discussion

This study is the first to provide molecular evidence that some of the mitochondrial mechanisms identified in preclinical models of PIPN^{12,74,75} are found in cancer survivors. While a number of mechanisms are hypothesized to underlie the development of PIPN,¹⁰ the mitotoxicity hypothesis proposes that damage to primary afferent sensory neurons leads to impairments in a variety of mitochondrial functions.⁷⁶ None of the previously published candidate gene or genome-wide association studies identified any MD-related genes. In this study, we found support for the differential expression of genes and significant perturbations in a number of pathways associated with MD including oxidative stress, iron homeostasis, mitochondrial fission, and apoptosis and autophagy.¹⁰

Oxidative stress

Mitochondria play a vital regulatory role in cellular physiology. Within neurons, more than 90% of the mitochondria are localized in axons where they are necessary for energy generation.^{76,77} Paclitaxel opens the mitochondrial permeability transition pore, which is associated with increased generation of reactive oxygen

	Lower extremity (n = 25)
Characteristic	Mean (SD)
Pain characteristics	
Duration of neuropathy (years)	3.8 (3.9)
Pain now	3.1 (2.2)
Average pain	3.6 (2.0)
Worst pain	6.3 (2.1)
Days per week in pain	4.0 (3.2)
Hours per day in pain	13.0 (8.8)
Pain Interference Scale (0–10)	()
Walking ability	4.2 (3.2)
Balance	4.0 (3.1)
General activity	3.0 (2.9)
Enjoyment of life	2.9 (2.8)
Sleep	2.9 (3.0)
Normal work	2.8 (3.0)
Mood	1.9 (2.0)
Relations with other people	1.5 (1.9)
Sexual activity	0.8 (1.9)
Mean interference score	2.7 (2.2)
Pain Qualities Assessment Scale scores $(0-10)$	()
Numb	5.8 (3.0)
Tingling	4.8 (3.1)
Undleasant	4.6 (2.3)
Dull	3.6 (3.3)
Intense	3.5 (2.5)
Electrical	2.9 (3.2)
	2.8 (3.3)
Aching	2.5 (2.6)
Hot	2.4(3.1)
Radiating	2.3 (3.0)
Shooting	2.2(3.1)
Sharp	2.0(2.5)
Heavy	20(27)
Tender	17(23)
Throbbing	1.6 (2.6)
Sensitive skin	1.0(2.0)
ltchy	1.1(1.6)
Cold	09(17)
Intense—surface pain	37 (30)
Intense-deep pain	35 (29)
	5.5 (2.7)

 Table 3. Pain characteristics of the breast cancer survivors with paclitaxel-induced peripheral neuropathy.

SD: standard deviation.

species (ROS).⁷⁶ This type of oxidative stress leads to structural and functional damage to mitochondria. In animal studies, the administration of paclitaxel was associated with the appearance of swollen and vacuolated mitochondria in peripheral nerves and dorsal root ganglion (DRG) cells.^{12,78}

In this study, we found differentially expressed genes (i.e., peroxiredoxin 5 (*PRDX5*), glutaredoxin 5 (*GLRX5*)) and perturbed pathways (i.e., HIF-1 signaling pathway,⁷⁹ PI3K-Akt signaling pathway,⁸⁰ Peroxisome⁸¹) that are

regulated or mediated by oxidative stress. Several lines of preclinical evidence support our clinical findings.

While not specifically evaluated in PIPN, in murine models of peripheral nerve injury, the generation of ROS is upregulated, and antioxidant pathways are downregulated or functionally impaired.^{82,83} This redox imbalance is enhanced by mitochondrial damage.⁸⁴ However, most neurons survive this imbalance, which suggests that endogenous defense systems are activated to restore homeostasis. Peroxiredoxin, glutaredoxins, and thioredoxins are part of this endogenous defense system that remove ROS and mediate the response to the redox stress.⁸⁵ In one study that evaluated changes in mRNA in DRG cell bodies and axons after unilateral sciatic nerve injury (SNI),86 enriched mRNAs in the axonal compartment on the side of the SNI included mitochondrial genes as well as genes of the peroxidase family including Prdx5 (the rat homolog of human PDRX5, which is downregulated in our survivors with PIPN in this study).

In another study that evaluated the effects of thioredoxin-fold proteins in an SNI model,⁸⁷ while both glutaredoxin (i.e., Glrx5) and peroxiredotoxins (i.e., Prdx4, Prdx5) were found in the DRG, only Prdx4 and Prdx5 were upregulated following the SNI. Of note, Prdx5 upregulation was associated with an increase in respective mRNA and protein accumulation in peripheral fibers proximal to the injury. This finding is consistent with an accumulation of mitochondria as a result of blocked axonal transport.⁸⁷ In addition, this upregulation of Prdx5 was dependent on the presence of endogenous HIF-1 (a perturbed pathway in our survivors with PIPN), a global regulator of oxygen homeostasis that facilitates oxygen delivery and adaptation to oxygen deprivation.^{88,89} The authors hypothesized that failure of Prdx5 upregulation increases the risk for chronic neuropathy.⁸⁷ Interestingly, differences in PDRX5 GE were observed in sural nerves from patients with progressing compared to nonprogressing diabetic neuropathy.90

Iron homeostasis

Perturbation in the ferroptosis pathway and the decreased expression of *GLRX5* was found in our cancer survivors with PIPN. Ferroptosis is a regulated cell death driven by lipid ROS⁹¹ and is characterized by abnormal mitochondria morphology.⁹² Iron is an important cofactor in metabolically active cells like neurons. A carefully controlled supply of iron is needed for mitochondrial function, axonal transport, and myelination.^{93–95} While glutaredoxins (e.g., *GLRX5*) are involved in redox reactions,⁸⁵ they are needed for iron homeostatsis.^{96,97} A growing body of evidence suggests that alterations in mitochondrial iron metabolism are

Table 4. Differences in sensation and balance measures between breast cancer survivors with and without paclitaxel-induced peripheral neuropathy.

	No neuropathy 50.0% (n = 25)	Neuropathy 50.0% (n = 25)	Statistic; p value	
Characteristic	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)		
Sensation measures ^a				
Light touch—lower extremity sites (out of nine) ^b	0.1 (0.3)	1.5 (1.5)	t = -4.42, p <.001	
Cold—lower extremity sites out of four ^c	1.5 (1.3)	2.3 (1.0)	t = -2.54, p = .014	
Pain—lower extremity sites (out of nine) ^d	1.6 (1.6)	3.0 (2.1)	t = -2.71, p = .009	
Vibration—lower extremity sites (volts) ^e	16.2 (8.1)	25.1 (14.0)	t = -2.76, p = .009	
Balance measures				
Trouble with balance (% yes (n)) ^f	13.0 (3)	76.0 (19)	FE, p <.001	
Severity of balance trouble (0–10) ^g	1.7 (1.2)	5.6 (2.9)	t = -4.16, p = .004	
Frequency of balance trouble (0–10) ^h	2.3 (1.5)	5.1 (3.2)	t = -2.37, p = .060	
Distress from balance trouble (0–10) ⁱ	1.7 (1.2)	5.8 (3.2)	t = -4.17, p = .003	
Timed get up and go test (>13.5 s = higher risk for falls)	6.I (I.I)	8.6 (3.1)	t = -3.76, p = .001	
Fullerton Advanced Balance test (\leq 25 is associated with a higher risk of falls)	38.0 (3.1)	33.4 (6.7)	t = 3.14, p = .004	

Note: FE: Fisher's exact test; SD: standard deviation.

^aChanges in sensation are reported for the dominant extremity.

^bLower extremity sites for light touch were as follows: pad of great toe, pad of third toe, pad of fifth toe, base of heel, metocarpophalangeal (MP) joint of great toe, MP joint of third toe, MP joint of fifth toe, midway along tibia, and patella.

^cLower extremity sites for cold were as follows: top of great toe at first MP joint, pad of great toe, dorsum of foot midpoint, and medial malleolus.

^dLower extremity sites for pain were as follows: pad of great toe, pad of third toe, pad of fifth toe, base of heel, MP joint of great toe, MP joint of third toe, MP joint of fifth toe, midway along tibia, and patella.

^eLower extremity sites for vibration were as follows: dorsal IP joint of great toe, medial malleolus, and patella.

^fSince your chemotherapy, have you had trouble with your balance?

^gAt its worst, how severe is the trouble with your balance (0 = not at all severe to 10 = extremely severe)?.

^hHow often do you have trouble with your balance (0 = never to 10 = always)?

ⁱAt its worst, how distressing is the trouble with your balance (0 = not at all distressing to 10 = extremely distressing)?

Table 5. Differentially expressed mitochondrial dysfunction-related genes between breast cancer survivors with and without paclitaxelinduced peripheral neuropathy (PIPN).

Ensemble gene ID	Gene symbol	Name	Entrez ID	$logFC^{a}$	Adjusted p value ^b
ENSG00000126432	PRDX5	Peroxiredoxin 5	25824	-0.987	.0098
ENSG0000182512	GLRX5	Glutaredoxin 5	51218	-1.703	.0062
ENSG00000214253	FIS I	Fission, mitochondrial I	51024	-1.062	.0062
ENSG00000170315	UBB	Ubiquitin B	7314	-1.374	.0062

^alogFC: Fold change of the log_2 transformed normalized gene expression counts between the groups. A negative logFC denotes lower expression in survivors with PIPN as compared to those without PIPN.

^bp Value adjusted using the Benjamini–Hochberg method.

Table 6.	Significantly	perturbed	mitochondr	al dyst	function-rel	ated Ky	oto	Encyclop	edia c	of G	ienes a	ind (Genomes	pathway	s
between	breast cancer	· survivors	with and w	thout	paclitaxel-ir	nduced j	perij	pheral ne	uropa	thy.					

Pathway ID	Pathway name	Total perturbation	Adjusted pPert ^a		
hsa04137	Mitophagy–animal	11.79	.004		
hsa04150	mTOR signaling pathway	9.60	.004		
hsa04152	AMPK signaling pathway	8.99	.004		
hsa04151	PI3K-AKT signaling pathway	8.04	.004		
hsa04066	HIF-1 signaling pathway	7.45	.004		
hsa04216	Ferroptosis	6.98	.004		
hsa04115	p53 signaling pathway	5.68	.007		
hsa04146	Peroxisome	5.32	.007		
hsa04068	FoxO signaling pathway	5.32	.008		
hsa04218	Cellular senescence	5.36	.008		

^apPert: Perturbation p value adjusted using the Benjamini–Hochberg method.



Figure 1. Graph summary of pathway level statistics. (a) The measured expression change versus (b) perturbation accumulation in the Mitophagy–Animal Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes pathway (hsa04137). The square nodes denote genes with gene expression change, and the circle nodes denote all other nodes. The color of each node represents the perturbation (red = positive, blue = negative), and the shade represents the strength of the perturbation. Note that the square nodes with no parents have no accumulation.

involved in a number of neurological disorders (e.g., Parkinson's disease) as well as in motor and cognitive decline associated with aging.⁹⁸ In addition, the products of iron-regulatory and iron-transport-pathway genes may play a role in the development of diabetic^{99,100} and HIV neuropathy.¹⁰¹

While no preclinical studies have identified an association between CIPN and ferroptosis, an interesting finding that warrants additional investigation is related to the mitochondrial iron-binding protein called frataxin (*FXN*). This protein is critical for mitochondrial iron metabolism, overall cellular iron homeostasis, and antioxidant protection.¹⁰²⁻¹⁰⁴ In an in vitro study of CIPN,¹⁰⁵ the administration of alpha-lipoic acid increased the expression of frataxin in vehicle-treated DRG cells as well as in DRG cells treated with paclitaxel or cisplatin. The authors concluded *FXN* may play a key role in neuroprotective pathways.

Mitochondrial fission

Mitochondrial fission is the process in which mitochondria are divided into smaller units. Mitochondrial fission enhances the number of mitochondria in neural cells and helps to maintain their energy demands.^{77,106} Fission mitochondrial 1 (*FIS1*, which was downregulated in survivors with PIPN) is a primary mediator of mitochondrial fission and has been implicated in MD and autophagy.^{107,108} *FIS1* recruits dynamin-related protein 1 (*DLRP1/Drp1*) from the cytosol, ¹⁰⁹ which is the primary executor of fission.¹⁰⁶ Defects in mitochondrial fission are implicated in a number of neurodegenerative disorders (e.g., hereditary optic neuropathy, Parkinson's disease).^{106,110,111}

Evidence from preclinical studies supports the association found in our cancer survivors. In a study that evaluated whether Drp1 catalyzed the process of mitochondrial fission, Sprague Dawley rats were treated with 2',3'-dideoxycitidine (ddC) or oxaliplatin.¹¹² In this study, intrathecal administration of an oligodeoxynucleotide antisense against Drp1 resulted in a decrease in its expression in peripheral nerves and markedly attenuated neuropathic hyperalgesia associated with both drugs. In a more recent study that evaluated the role of Drp1 in neuropathic pain induced by perineural human immunodeficiency virus gp120,¹¹³ intrathecal administration of an oligodeoxynucleotide antisense against Drp1 decreased mechanical allodynia and decreased the spinal expression of increased Drp1 protein induced by gp120.

While not studied in terms of neuropathic pain, the interconnection between mitochondria and the peroxisome (a perturbed pathway in our cancer survivors) is an area of intense investigation.^{81,114,115} Peroxisomes represent a class of ubiquitous and dynamic single membrane bound organelles in eukaryotic cells.¹¹⁴ Substantial evidence suggests that peroxisomes and mitochondria have a close functional interplay. In particular, peroxisomes and mitochondria contribute to ROS homeostasis and share a redox-sensitive relationship.¹¹⁴ It is plausible that this pathway could be involved in PIPN.

Apoptosis and autophagy

Several signaling pathways involved in mitochondrial apoptosis and autophagy (i.e., PI3K-Akt, mTOR, FoxO, and AMPK) were perturbed in this study. In terms of the PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathways, several lines of evidence suggest that they are involved in the development of neuropathic pain.¹¹⁶ For example, in a rat model of chronic constriction injury (CCI),¹¹⁷ compared to control and sham animals, increased mRNA expression and protein levels were observed for PI3K, Akt, and mTOR in the CCI animals. In a more recent study that evaluated for changes in PI3K/Akt signaling in spinal cord slice preparations following CCI,¹¹⁸ PI3K/Akt signaling increased in the L4-L6 spinal cord sections.

Molecular Pain

The authors concluded that PI3K/Akt signaling is required for central sensitization in the CCI neuropathic pain model.

The FoxO signaling pathway, that is regulated by the PI3K, Akt, and mTOR signaling pathways,¹¹⁹ is a potential target for a number of neurodegenerative disorders ¹²⁰ including diabetes.¹²¹ In a recent study that evaluated for changes in genes and pathways associated with neuropathic pain using a bioinformatics approach,¹²² upregulated genes in the FoxO signaling pathway were associated with spinal nerve ligation.

Recent evidence suggests that AMPK may be a potential target for the treatment of chronic pain.¹²³⁻ ¹²⁵ AMPK and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ coactivator-1 α (PGC-1 α) signaling axes sense the mitochondrial demands of the cell and regulate mitochondrial function.¹²⁶ In a recent preclinical study,¹²⁷ phosphorylation and expression of AMPK/PGC-1a and mitochondrial chain complex proteins were downregulated in the DRG of streptozotocin-diabetic rats. In addition, the administration of resveratrol (i.e., a polyphenol that augments APMK phosphorylation and axonal growth¹²⁸) was associated with the reversal of thermal hypoalgesia, attenuation of foot skin intradermal fiber loss and a reduction in myelinated fiber mean axonal caliber in streptozotocin-diabetic rats. The authors concluded that the development of neuropathy was linked to nutrient excess and MD through defective signaling of the AMPK/PGC-1a pathway. In terms of CIPN, the coadministration of metformin (i.e., a widely used antidiabetic drug that activates AMPK¹²⁹) with cisplatin or paclitaxel prevented the occurrence of mechanical allodynia.¹³⁰ In addition, metformin prevented the cisplatin-induced increase in the latency to detect an adhesive patch (a measure of sensory deficits) and the reduction in the density of intradermal nerve fibers in the paw.

Perturbations in the p53 signaling pathway were identified in our cancer survivors with PIPN. This pathway regulates a complex transcriptional program involved in a variety of biological processes, including cell cycle arrest and apoptosis.¹³¹ Mitochondrial translocation of the p53 molecule is associated with MD in a number of neurological disorders.^{132,133} In terms of CIPN, cisplatin treatment rapidly increased mitochondrial levels of p53 in DRG neurons as well as reduced mitochondrial membrane potential and disrupted mitochondrial integrity and function.¹³⁴ In addition, the administration of the mitochondrial protectant pifithrin- μ (PFT- μ ; which prevents the mitochondrial accumulation of p53) prevented both paclitaxel- and cisplatin-induced mechanical allodvnia and sensory loss.¹³⁵ Equally important, in other studies, the administration of PFT- μ protected against loss of mitochondrial membrane potential.

abnormalities in mitochondrial morphology, and functional mitochondrial deficiencies in DRG neurons.^{12,134,135}

In the current study, perturbations in two-related pathways (i.e., mitophagy and HIF-1 signaling) and decreased expression of a related gene (i.e., ubiquitin) were identified in survivors with PIPN. Ubiquitination of mitochondrial proteins, including HIF-1A transcription factor,¹³⁶ regulates many aspects of mitochondrial homeostasis including mitophagy.¹³⁷ Mitophagy, a selective form of autophagy, mediates the selective removal of mitochondrial homeostatis.¹³⁹ While no studies were identified that found associations between CIPN and ubiquitination or mitophagy, alterations in these two processes are associated with neurodegeneration.¹⁴⁰

Limitations

Several limitations warrant consideration. While our sample size was relatively small, we had an extremely well-characterized sample of BC survivors with and without PIPN. Of note, no differences were found in the total cumulative dose of paclitaxel that these survivors received. Given that one cannot test for differences in RNA in DRG neurons from living patients, as have others evaluating for GE differences in patients with painful neuropathies,^{141,142} we have evaluated for differences in RNA expression from peripheral blood. Because our molecular analyses were done using blood samples, we can only infer that the perturbations identified are consistent with changes within the peripheral nervous system. Our findings warrant confirmation in an independent sample. However, to decrease the possibility of spurious associations, we sequenced RNA to a considerable depth, applied best practices for the RNA-seq analyses, followed a strict QC procedure, and evaluated for significance with a severely stringent FDR.

Future research

This study is the first to provide molecular evidence that a number of mitochondrial mechanisms identified in preclinical models of various types of neuropathic pain, including CIPN,^{12,74,75} are found in cancer survivors with persistent PIPN. The limitations of the analyses of RNA from blood and nonneural tissue notwithstanding, we did observe differential profiles of expression and perturbation in these processes, which suggest persistent damage and/or changes in the regulation of mitochondria. Future studies need to evaluate for differences in epigenetic changes (i.e., methylation, microRNA) between survivors with and without PIPN, which may reflect changes in regulation patterns. In addition, our

findings suggest that chronic PIPN involves multiple MD-related mechanisms. Therefore, studies are warranted that evaluate for common and distinct MDrelated mechanisms associated with other neurotoxic chemotherapy drugs (e.g., platinum, platinum, and taxane combination). It must be acknowledged that a cross-sectional study cannot demonstrate causality. Longitudinal studies are needed that evaluate for changes over time in the molecular mechanisms associated with CIPN. These longitudinal studies need to include an in-depth characterization of the CIPN phenotype as well as pretreatment and multiple posttreatment assessments of a number of molecular markers (i.e., genes, GE, epigenetics). Translation of these findings, if validated, may be accelerated by investigating drugs that target mitochondrial function to be repurposed to treat CIPN.¹⁴³ The use of sophisticated bioinformatics approaches and network analyses may allow us to determine the timing of the disruptions in mitochondrial function associated with neurotoxic chemotherapy so that interventions can be initiated to prevent this dose-limiting and devastating adverse effect.

Acknowledgments

The contents of this study are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. Recruitment was facilitated by Dr. Susan Love Research Foundation's Army of Women[®] Program. Anatol Sucher provided storage and processing of the biospecimens.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This study was funded by the National Cancer Institute (NCI; CA151692) and the American Cancer Society (ACS, IRG-97–150-13). Dr. Miaskowski is supported by grants from the ACS and NCI (CA168960). This project was supported by the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, National Institutes of Health, through UCSF-CTSI Grant Number UL1 TR000004.

ORCID iD

Kord M Kober (D) http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9732-3321

Supplemental Material

Supplemental material is available for this article online.

References

- Kudlowitz D and Muggia F. Defining risks of taxane neuropathy: insights from randomized clinical trials. *Clin Cancer Res* 2013; 19: 4570–4577.
- Jones SE, Erban J, Overmoyer B, Budd GT, Hutchins L, Lower E, Laufman L, Sundaram S, Urba WJ, Pritchard KI, Mennel R, Richards D, Olsen S, Meyers ML and Ravdin PM. Randomized phase III study of docetaxel compared with paclitaxel in metastatic breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2005; 23: 5542–5551.
- 3. Sarosy G, Kohn E, Stone DA, Rothenberg M, Jacob J, Adamo DO, Ognibene FP, Cunnion RE and Reed E. Phase I study of taxol and granulocyte colonystimulating factor in patients with refractory ovarian cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 1992; 10: 1165–1170.
- 4. Miaskowski C, Mastick J, Paul SM, Abrams G, Cheung S, Sabes JH, Kober KM, Schumacher M, Conley YP, Topp K, Smoot B, Mausisa G, Mazor M, Wallhagen M and Levine JD. Impact of chemotherapy-induced neurotoxicities on adult cancer survivors' symptom burden and quality of life. J Cancer Surviv 2018; 12: 234–245.
- 5. Eccles SA, Aboagye EO, Ali S, Anderson AS, Armes J, Berditchevski F, Blaydes JP, Brennan K, Brown NJ, Bryant HE, Bundred NJ, Burchell JM, Campbell AM, Carroll JS, Clarke RB, Coles CE, Cook GJR, Cox A, Curtin NJ, Dekker LV, Silva IdS, Duffy SW, Easton DF, Eccles DM, Edwards DR, Edwards J, Evans D, Fenlon DF, Flanagan JM, Foster C, Gallagher WM, Garcia-Closas M, Gee JMW, Gescher AJ, Goh V, Groves AM, Harvey AJ, Harvie M, Hennessy BT, Hiscox S, Holen I, Howell SJ, Howell A, Hubbard G, Hulbert-Williams N, Hunter MS, Jasani B, Jones LJ, Key TJ, Kirwan CC, Kong A, Kunkler IH, Langdon SP, Leach MO, Mann DJ, Marshall JF, Martin L, Martin SG, Macdougall JE, Miles DW, Miller WR, Morris JR, Moss SM, Mullan P, Natrajan R, O'Connor JPB, O'Connor R, Palmieri C, Pharoah PDP, Rakha EA, Reed E, Robinson SP, Sahai E, Saxton JM, Schmid P, Smalley MJ, Speirs V, Stein R, Stingl J, Streuli CH, Tutt ANJ, Velikova G, Walker RA, Watson CJ, Williams KJ, Young LS and Thompson AM. Critical research gaps and translational priorities for the successful prevention and treatment of breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res 2013; 15: R92.
- Thompson A, Brennan K, Cox A, Gee J, Harcourt D, Harris A, Harvie M, Holen I, Howell A, Nicholson R, Steel M and Streuli C. Evaluation of the current knowledge limitations in breast cancer research: a gap analysis. *Breast Cancer Res* 2008; 10: R26.
- Rowinsky EK, Cazenave LA and Donehower RC. Taxol: a novel investigational antimicrotubule agent. J Natl Cancer Inst 1990; 82: 1247–1259.
- Bobylev I, Joshi AR, Barham M, Ritter C, Neiss WF, Hoke A and Lehmann HC. Paclitaxel inhibits mRNA transport in axons. *Neurobiol Dis* 2015; 82: 321–331.
- 9. Fukuda Y, Li Y and Segal RA. A mechanistic understanding of axon degeneration in chemotherapyinduced peripheral neuropathy. *Front Neurosci* 2017; 11: 481.

- Starobova H and Vetter I. Pathophysiology of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy. *Front Mol Neurosci* 2017; 10: 174.
- 11. Miltenburg NC and Boogerd W. Chemotherapy-induced neuropathy: a comprehensive survey. *Cancer Treat Rev* 2014; 40: 872–882.
- 12. Ma J, Kavelaars A, Dougherty PM and Heijnen CJ. Beyond symptomatic relief for chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy: targeting the source. *Cancer* 2018; 124: 2289–2298.
- Cliff J, Jorgensen AL, Lord R, Azam F, Cossar L, Carr DF and Pirmohamed M. The molecular genetics of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* 2017; 120: 127–140.
- 14. Miaskowski C, Mastick J, Paul SM, Topp K, Smoot B, Abrams G, Chen LM, Kober KM, Conley YP, Chesney M, Bolla K, Mausisa G, Mazor M, Wong M, Schumacher M and Levine JD. Chemotherapy-induced neuropathy in cancer survivors. J Pain Symptom Manage 2017; 54: 204–218 e202.
- Clark EJ. Teamwork—the cancer patient's guide to talking with your doctor. 5th ed. Silver Springs: National Coalition for Cancer Survivorship, 2011.
- Bohn MJ, Babor TF and Kranzler HR. The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT): validation of a screening instrument for use in medical settings. *J Stud Alcohol* 1995; 56: 423–432.
- 17. Karnofsky D. *Performance scale*. New York: Plenum Press, 1977.
- Karnofsky D, Abelmann WH, Craver LV and Burchenal JH. The use of nitrogen mustards in the palliative treatment of carcinoma. *Cancer* 1948; 1: 634–656.
- Schnadig ID, Fromme EK, Loprinzi CL, Sloan JA, Mori M, Li H and Beer TM. Patient-physician disagreement regarding performance status is associated with worse survivorship in patients with advanced cancer. *Cancer* 2008; 113: 2205–2214.
- Brunner F, Bachmann LM, Weber U, Kessels AG, Perez RS, Marinus J and Kissling R. Complex regional pain syndrome 1—the Swiss cohort study. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2008; 9: 92.
- 21. Cieza A, Geyh S, Chatterji S, Kostanjsek N, Ustun BT and Stucki G. Identification of candidate categories of the International Classification of Functioning Disability and Health (ICF) for a Generic ICF Core Set based on regression modelling. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2006; 6: 36.
- Daut RL, Cleeland CS and Flanery RC. Development of the Wisconsin Brief Pain Questionnaire to assess pain in cancer and other diseases. *Pain* 1983; 17: 197–210.
- Jensen MP, Gammaitoni AR, Olaleye DO, Oleka N, Nalamachu SR and Galer BS. The Pain Quality Assessment Scale: assessment of pain quality in carpal tunnel syndrome. *J Pain* 2006; 7: 823–832.
- 24. Victor TW, Jensen MP, Gammaitoni AR, Gould EM, White RE and Galer BS. The dimensions of pain quality: factor analysis of the Pain Quality Assessment Scale. *Clin J Pain* 2008; 24: 550–555.

- 25. Bell-Krotoski JA. Sensibility testing with Semmes-Weinstein monofilaments. In: Hunter JM, Mackin EJ and Callahan ED (eds) *Rehabilitation of the hand and upper extremity*. 5th ed. St. Louis: Mosby, Inc., 2002.
- Viswanathan V, Snehalatha C, Seena R and Ramachandran A. Early recognition of diabetic neuropathy: evaluation of a simple outpatient procedure using thermal perception. *Postgrad Med J* 2002; 78: 541–542.
- Papanas N and Ziegler D. New diagnostic tests for diabetic distal symmetric polyneuropathy. J Diabetes Complications 2011; 25: 44–51.
- Duke J, McEvoy M, Sibbritt D, Guest M, Smith W and Attia J. Vibrotactile threshold measurement for detecting peripheral neuropathy: defining variability and a normal range for clinical and research use. *Diabetologia* 2007; 50: 2305–2312.
- Tofthagen CS, McMillan SC and Kip KE. Development and psychometric evaluation of the chemotherapyinduced peripheral neuropathy assessment tool. *Cancer Nurs* 2011; 34: E10–E20.
- Mathias S, Nayak US and Isaacs B. Balance in elderly patients: the "get-up and go" test. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1986; 67: 387–389.
- Hernandez D and Rose DJ. Predicting which older adults will or will not fall using the Fullerton Advanced Balance Scale. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2008; 89: 2309–2315.
- Rose DJ, Lucchese N and Wiersma LD. Development of a multidimensional balance scale for use with functionally independent older adults. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2006; 87: 1478–1485.
- 33. SPSS. *IBM SPSS for windows (version 23)*. Armonk: SPSS, Inc., 2015.
- 34. Flentje A, Kober KM, Carrico AW, Neilands TB, Flowers E, Heck NC and Aouizerat BE. Minority stress and leukocyte gene expression in sexual minority men living with treated HIV infection. *Brain Behav Immun* 2018; 70: 335–345.
- O'Neil D, Glowatz H and Schlumpberger M. Ribosomal RNA depletion for efficient use of RNA-seq capacity. *Curr Protoc Mol Biol* 2013; 103: 4–19.
- 36. Mastrokolias A, den Dunnen JT, van Ommen GB, AC't Hoen PA and van Roon-Mom WM. Increased sensitivity of next generation sequencing-based expression profiling after globin reduction in human blood RNA. *BMC Genomics* 2012; 13: 28.
- 37. Choi I, Bao H, Kommadath A, Hosseini A, Sun X, Meng Y, Stothard P, Plastow GS, Tuggle CK, Reecy JM, Fritz-Waters E, Abrams SM, Lunney JK and Guan Le L. Increasing gene discovery and coverage using RNA-seq of globin RNA reduced porcine blood samples. *BMC Genomics* 2014; 15: 954.
- 38. Sinha R, Stanley G, Gulati GS, Ezran C, Travaglini KJ, Wei E, Chan CKF, Nabhan AN, Su T, Morganti RM, Conley SD, Chaib H, Red-Horse K, Longaker MT, Snyder MP, Krasnow MA and Weissman IL. Index switching causes "spreading-of-signal" among multiplexed samples in Illumina HiSeq 4000 DNA sequencing. *bioRxiv*. Epub ahead of print 9 April 2017. DOI: 10.1101/125724.

- Conesa A, Madrigal P, Tarazona S, Gomez-Cabrero D, Cervera A, McPherson A, Szczesniak MW, Gaffney DJ, Elo LL, Zhang X and Mortazavi A. A survey of best practices for RNA-seq data analysis. *Genome Biol* 2016; 17: 13.
- Kukurba KR and Montgomery SB. RNA sequencing and analysis. Cold Spring Harb Protoc 2015; 2015: 951–969.
- 41. Carrico AW, Flentje A, Kober K, Lee S, Hunt P, Riley ED, Shoptaw S, Flowers RNE, Dilworth SE, Pahwa S and Aouizerat BE. Recent stimulant use and leukocyte gene expression in methamphetamine users with treated HIV infection. *Brain Behav Immun* 2018; 71: 108–115.
- 42. Bolger AM, Lohse M and Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 2014; 30: 2114–2120.
- FASTQC—A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data, www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/proj ects/fastqc/ (2018, accessed 19 November 2018).
- 44. Ewels P, Magnusson M, Lundin S and Kaller M. MultiQC: summarize analysis results for multiple tools and samples in a single report. *Bioinformatics* 2016; 32: 3047–3048.
- 45. Harrow J, Frankish A, Gonzalez JM, Tapanari E, Diekhans M, Kokocinski F, Aken BL, Barrell D, Zadissa A, Searle S, Barnes I, Bignell A, Boychenko V, Hunt T, Kay M, Mukherjee G, Rajan J, Despacio-Reyes G, Saunders G, Steward C, Harte R, Lin M, Howald C, Tanzer A, Derrien T, Chrast J, Walters N, Balasubramanian S, Pei B, Tress M, Rodriguez JM, Ezkurdia I, van Baren J, Brent M, Haussler D, Kellis M, Valencia A, Reymond A, Gerstein M, Guigo R and Hubbard TJ. GENCODE: the reference human genome annotation for The ENCODE Project. *Genome Res* 2012; 22: 1760–1774.
- Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M and Gingeras TR. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* 2013; 29: 15–21.
- DeLuca DS, Levin JZ, Sivachenko A, Fennell T, Nazaire MD, Williams C, Reich M, Winckler W and Getz G. RNA-SeQC: RNA-seq metrics for quality control and process optimization. *Bioinformatics* 2012; 28: 1530–1532.
- Liao Y, Smyth GK and Shi W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 2014; 30: 923–930.
- Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ and Smyth GK. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* 2010; 26: 139–140.
- 50. Zerbino DR, Achuthan P, Akanni W, Amode MR, Barrell D, Bhai J, Billis K, Cummins C, Gall A, Giron CG, Gil L, Gordon L, Haggerty L, Haskell E, Hourlier T, Izuogu OG, Janacek SH, Juettemann T, To JK, Laird MR, Lavidas I, Liu Z, Loveland JE, Maurel T, McLaren W, Moore B, Mudge J, Murphy DN, Newman V, Nuhn M, Ogeh D, Ong CK, Parker A, Patricio M, Riat HS, Schuilenburg H, Sheppard D, Sparrow H, Taylor K, Thormann A, Vullo A, Walts B, Zadissa A, Frankish A, Hunt SE, Kostadima M,

Langridge N, Martin FJ, Muffato M, Perry E, Ruffier M, Staines DM, Trevanion SJ, Aken BL, Cunningham F, Yates A and Flicek P. Ensembl 2018. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2018; 46: D754–D761.

- Maglott D, Ostell J, Pruitt KD and Tatusova T. Entrez Gene: gene-centered information at NCBI. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2011; 39: D52–D57.
- Robinson MD and Oshlack A. A scaling normalization method for differential expression analysis of RNA-seq data. *Genome Biol* 2010; 11: R25.
- 53. Allison DB, Cui X, Page GP and Sabripour M. Microarray data analysis: from disarray to consolidation and consensus. *Nat Rev Genet* 2006; 7: 55–65.
- Fang Z and Cui X. Design and validation issues in RNAseq experiments. *Brief Bioinform* 2011; 12: 280–287.
- McIntyre LM, Lopiano KK, Morse AM, Amin V, Oberg AL, Young LJ and Nuzhdin SV. RNA-seq: technical variability and sampling. *BMC Genomics* 2011; 12: 293.
- Leek JT and Storey JD. Capturing heterogeneity in gene expression studies by surrogate variable analysis. *PLoS Genet* 2007; 3: 1724–1735.
- 57. Landau WM and Liu P. Dispersion estimation and its effect on test performance in RNA-seq data analysis: a simulationbased comparison of methods. *PLoS One* 2013; 8: e81415.
- Soneson C and Delorenzi M. A comparison of methods for differential expression analysis of RNA-seq data. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2013; 14: 91.
- Rapaport F, Khanin R, Liang Y, Pirun M, Krek A, Zumbo P, Mason CE, Socci ND and Betel D. Comprehensive evaluation of differential gene expression analysis methods for RNA-seq data. *Genome Biol* 2013; 14: R95.
- Anders S, McCarthy DJ, Chen Y, Okoniewski M, Smyth GK, Huber W and Robinson MD. Count-based differential expression analysis of RNA sequencing data using R and Bioconductor. *Nat Protoc* 2013; 8: 1765–1786.
- 61. Love MI, Anders S, Kim V and Huber W. RNA-seq workflow: gene-level exploratory analysis and differential expression. *F1000Res* 2015; 4: 1070.
- McCarthy DJ, Chen Y and Smyth GK. Differential expression analysis of multifactor RNA-seq experiments with respect to biological variation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2012; 40: 4288–4297.
- Cox D and Reid N. Parameter orthogonality and approximate conditional inference. J R Stat Soc Ser B Method 1987; 49: 1–39.
- 64. Hochberg Y and Benjamini Y. More powerful procedures for multiple significance testing. *Stat Med* 1990; 9: 811–818.
- Benjamini Y and Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J R Stat Soc Ser B Method 1995; 57: 289–300.
- 66. Khatri P, Sirota M and Butte AJ. Ten years of pathway analysis: current approaches and outstanding challenges. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2012; 8: e1002375.
- Garcia-Campos MA, Espinal-Enriquez J and Hernandez-Lemus E. Pathway analysis: state of the art. *Front Physiol* 2015; 6: 383.
- Nguyen T, Mitrea C and Draghici S. Network-based approaches for pathway level analysis. *Curr Protoc Bioinformatics* 2018; 61: 8–25.

- 69. Mitrea C, Taghavi Z, Bokanizad B, Hanoudi S, Tagett R, Donato M, Voichita C and Draghici S. Methods and approaches in the topology-based analysis of biological pathways. *Front Physiol* 2013; 4: 278.
- Draghici S, Khatri P, Tarca AL, Amin K, Done A, Voichita C, Georgescu C and Romero R. A systems biology approach for pathway level analysis. *Genome Res* 2007; 17: 1537–1545.
- Aoki-Kinoshita KF and Kanehisa M. Gene annotation and pathway mapping in KEGG. *Methods Mol Biol* 2007; 396: 71–91.
- Gray KA, Daugherty LC, Gordon SM, Seal RL, Wright MW and Bruford EA. Genenames.org: the HGNC resources in 2013. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2012; 41: D545–D552.
- Hart SN, Therneau TM, Zhang Y, Poland GA and Kocher JP. Calculating sample size estimates for RNA sequencing data. *J Comput Biol* 2013; 20: 970–978.
- Waseem M, Kaushik P, Tabassum H and Parvez S. Role of mitochondrial mechanism in chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy. *Curr Drug Metab.* 2018; 19: 47–54.
- Flatters SJL, Dougherty PM and Colvin LA. Clinical and preclinical perspectives on chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN): a narrative review. *Br J Anaesth* 2017; 119: 737–749.
- Canta A, Pozzi E and Carozzi VA. Mitochondrial dysfunction in chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN). *Toxics* 2015; 3: 198–223.
- 77. Areti A, Yerra VG, Komirishetty P and Kumar A. Potential therapeutic benefits of maintaining mitochondrial health in peripheral neuropathies. *Curr Neuropharmacol* 2016; 14: 593–609.
- Flatters SJ and Bennett GJ. Studies of peripheral sensory nerves in paclitaxel-induced painful peripheral neuropathy: evidence for mitochondrial dysfunction. *Pain* 2006; 122: 245–257.
- Zepeda AB, Pessoa A Jr, Castillo RL, Figueroa CA, Pulgar VM and Farias JG. Cellular and molecular mechanisms in the hypoxic tissue: role of HIF-1 and ROS. *Cell Biochem Funct* 2013; 31: 451–459.
- Movafagh S, Crook S and Vo K. Regulation of hypoxiainducible factor-1a by reactive oxygen species: new developments in an old debate. *J Cell Biochem* 2015; 116: 696–703.
- Fransen M, Lismont C and Walton P. The peroxisomemitochondria connection: how and why? *Int J Mol Sci* 2017; 18: 1126.
- Schwartz ES, Lee I, Chung K and Chung JM. Oxidative stress in the spinal cord is an important contributor in capsaicin-induced mechanical secondary hyperalgesia in mice. *Pain* 2008; 138: 514–524.
- Kim HK, Park SK, Zhou JL, Taglialatela G, Chung K, Coggeshall RE and Chung JM. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) play an important role in a rat model of neuropathic pain. *Pain* 2004; 111: 116–124.
- 84. Chen KH, Lin CR, Cheng JT, Cheng JK, Liao WT and Yang CH. Altered mitochondrial ATP synthase expression in the rat dorsal root ganglion after sciatic nerve

injury and analgesic effects of intrathecal ATP. *Cell Mol Neurobiol* 2014; 34: 51–59.

- Hanschmann EM, Godoy JR, Berndt C, Hudemann C and Lillig CH. Thioredoxins, glutaredoxins, and peroxiredoxins-molecular mechanisms and health significance: from cofactors to antioxidants to redox signaling. *Antioxid Redox Signal* 2013; 19: 1539–1605.
- Hirai T, Mulpuri Y, Cheng Y, Xia Z, Li W, Ruangsri S, Spigelman I and Nishimura I. Aberrant plasticity of peripheral sensory axons in a painful neuropathy. *Sci Rep* 2017; 7: 3407.
- Valek L, Kanngießer M, Häussler A, Agarwal N, Lillig C H and Tegeder I. Redoxins in peripheral neurons after sciatic nerve injury. *Free Radic Biol Med* 2015; 89: 581–592.
- Semenza GL. Oxygen homeostasis. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Syst Biol Med 2010; 2: 336–361.
- Semenza GL. Hypoxia-inducible factor 1: regulator of mitochondrial metabolism and mediator of ischemic preconditioning. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2011; 1813: 1263–1268.
- Hur J, Sullivan KA, Pande M, Hong Y, Sima AA, Jagadish HV, Kretzler M and Feldman EL. The identification of gene expression profiles associated with progression of human diabetic neuropathy. *Brain* 2011; 134: 3222–3235.
- 91. Stockwell BR, Friedmann Angeli JP, Bayir H, Bush AI, Conrad M, Dixon SJ, Fulda S, Gascon S, Hatzios SK, Kagan VE, Noel K, Jiang X, Linkermann A, Murphy ME, Overholtzer M, Oyagi A, Pagnussat GC, Park J, Ran Q, Rosenfeld CS, Salnikow K, Tang D, Torti FM, Torti SV, Toyokuni S, Woerpel KA and Zhang DD. Ferroptosis: a regulated cell death nexus linking metabolism, redox biology, and disease. *Cell* 2017; 171: 273–285.
- Xie Y, Hou W, Song X, Yu Y, Huang J, Sun X, Kang R and Tang D. Ferroptosis: process and function. *Cell Death Differ* 2016; 23: 369–379.
- 93. Galy B, Ferring-Appel D, Sauer S W, Kaden S, Lyoumi S, Puy H, Kölker S, Gröne H-J and Hentze MW. Iron regulatory proteins secure mitochondrial iron sufficiency and function. *Cell Metab* 2010; 12: 194–201.
- Millecamps S and Julien JP. Axonal transport deficits and neurodegenerative diseases. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2013; 14: 161–176.
- 95. Sheftel AD and Lill R. The power plant of the cell is also a smithy: the emerging role of mitochondria in cellular iron homeostasis. *Ann Med* 2009; 41: 82–99.
- Rouhier N, Couturier J, Johnson MK and Jacquot JP. Glutaredoxins: roles in iron homeostasis. *Trends Biochem Sci* 2010; 35: 43–52.
- Berndt C and Lillig CH. Glutathione, Glutaredoxins, and Iron. *Antioxid Redox Signal*. 2017; 27: 1235–1251. DOI: 10.1089/ars.2017.7132.
- Isaya G. Mitochondrial iron-sulfur cluster dysfunction in neurodegenerative disease. *Front Pharmacol* 2014; 5: 29.
- Levi S and Taveggia C. Iron homeostasis in peripheral nervous system, still a black box? *Antioxid Redox Signal* 2014; 21: 634–648.
- Zhao S, Zhang L, Xu Z and Chen W. Neurotoxic effects of iron overload under high glucose concentration. *Neural Regen Res* 2013; 8: 3423–3433.

- 101. Kallianpur AR, Jia P, Ellis RJ, Zhao Z, Bloss C, Wen W, Marra CM, Hulgan T, Simpson DM, Morgello S, McArthur JC, Clifford DB, Collier AC, Gelman BB, McCutchan JA, Franklin D, Samuels DC, Rosario D, Holzinger E, Murdock DG, Letendre S, Grant I and Group CS. Genetic variation in iron metabolism is associated with neuropathic pain and pain severity in HIVinfected patients on antiretroviral therapy. *PLoS One* 2014; 9: e103123.
- Braymer JJ and Lill R. Iron-sulfur cluster biogenesis and trafficking in mitochondria. J Biol Chem 2017; 292: 12754–12763.
- 103. Chiang S, Kovacevic Z, Sahni S, Lane DJ, Merlot AM, Kalinowski DS, Huang ML, and Richardson DR. Frataxin and the molecular mechanism of mitochondrial iron-loading in Friedreich's ataxia. *Clin Sci (Lond)* 2016; 130: 853–870.
- Vanlander AV and Van Coster R. Clinical and genetic aspects of defects in the mitochondrial iron-sulfur cluster synthesis pathway. J Biol Inorg Chem 2018; 23: 495–506.
- 105. Melli G, Taiana M, Camozzi F, Triolo D, Podini P, Quattrini A, Taroni F and Lauria G. Alpha-lipoic acid prevents mitochondrial damage and neurotoxicity in experimental chemotherapy neuropathy. *Exp Neurol* 2008; 214: 276–284.
- 106. Serasinghe MN and Chipuk JE. Mitochondrial fission in human diseases. *Handb Exp Pharmacol* 2017; 240: 159–188.
- 107. Gomes LC and Scorrano L. High levels of Fis1, a profission mitochondrial protein, trigger autophagy. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2008; 1777: 860–866.
- Twig G and Shirihai OS. The interplay between mitochondrial dynamics and mitophagy. *Antioxid Redox Signal* 2011; 14: 1939–1951.
- 109. Yoon Y, Krueger EW, Oswald BJ and McNiven MA. The mitochondrial protein hFis1 regulates mitochondrial fission in mammalian cells through an interaction with the dynamin-like protein DLP1. *Mol Cell Biol* 2003; 23: 5409–5420.
- 110. Frank S. Dysregulation of mitochondrial fusion and fission: an emerging concept in neurodegeneration. *Acta Neuropathol* 2006; 111: 93–100.
- 111. Itoh K, Nakamura K, Iijima M and Sesaki H. Mitochondrial dynamics in neurodegeneration. *Trends Cell Biol* 2013; 23: 64–71.
- 112. Ferrari LF, Chum A, Bogen O, Reichling DB and Levine JD. Role of Drp1, a key mitochondrial fission protein, in neuropathic pain. *J Neurosci* 2011; 31: 11404–11410.
- 113. Kanda H, Liu S, Iida T, Yi H, Huang W, Levitt RC, Lubarsky DA, Candiotti KA and Hao S. Inhibition of mitochondrial fission protein reduced mechanical allodynia and suppressed spinal mitochondrial superoxide induced by perineural human immunodeficiency virus gp120 in rats. *Anesth Analg* 2016; 122: 264–272.
- 114. Schrader M, Costello J, Godinho LF and Islinger M. Peroxisome-mitochondria interplay and disease. *J Inherit Metab Dis* 2015; 38: 681–702.
- 115. Pascual-Ahuir A, Manzanares-Estreder S and Proft M. Pro- and antioxidant functions of the

peroxisome-mitochondria connection and its impact on aging and disease. *Oxid Med Cell Longev* 2017; 2017: 9860841.

- 116. Chen SP, Zhou YQ, Liu DQ, Zhang W, Manyande A, Guan XH, Tian YK, Ye DW and Omar DM. PI3K/Akt pathway: a potential therapeutic target for chronic pain. *Curr Pharm Des* 2017; 23: 1860–1868.
- 117. Guo JR, Wang H, Jin XJ, Jia DL, Zhou X and Tao Q. Effect and mechanism of inhibition of PI3K/Akt/mTOR signal pathway on chronic neuropathic pain and spinal microglia in a rat model of chronic constriction injury. *Oncotarget* 2017; 8: 52923–52934. DOI: 10.18632/ oncotarget.17629.
- Liu W, Lv Y and Ren F. PI3K/Akt pathway is required for spinal central sensitization in neuropathic pain. *Cell Mol Neurobiol* 2018; 38: 747–755.
- 119. Fruman DA, Chiu H, Hopkins BD, Bagrodia S, Cantley LC and Abraham RT. The PI3K pathway in human disease. *Cell* 2017; 170: 605–635.
- Maiese K. FoxO proteins in the nervous system. Anal Cell Pathol (Amst) 2015; 2015: 569392.
- Maiese K. FoxO transcription factors and regenerative pathways in diabetes mellitus. *Curr Neurovasc Res* 2015; 12: 404–413.
- 122. Chen CJ, Liu DZ, Yao WF, Gu Y, Huang F, Hei ZQ and Li X. Identification of key genes and pathways associated with neuropathic pain in uninjured dorsal root ganglion by using bioinformatic analysis. *J Pain Res* 10: 2665–2674.
- 123. Asiedu MN, Dussor G and Price TJ. Targeting AMPK for the alleviation of pathological pain. *EXS* 2016; 107: 257–285.
- 124. Price TJ, Das V and Dussor G. Adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK) activators for the prevention, treatment and potential reversal of pathological pain. *Curr Drug Targets* 2016; 17: 908–920.
- 125. Price TJ and Gold MS. From mechanism to cure: renewing the goal to eliminate the disease of pain. *Pain Med* 2017; 19: 1525–1549.
- 126. Zhao B, Qiang L, Joseph J, Kalyanaraman B, Viollet B and He YY. Mitochondrial dysfunction activates the AMPK signaling and autophagy to promote cell survival. *Genes Dis* 2016; 3: 82–87.
- 127. Roy Chowdhury SK, Smith DR, Saleh A, Schapansky J, Marquez A, Gomes S, Akude E, Morrow D, Calcutt NA and Fernyhough P. Impaired adenosine monophosphateactivated protein kinase signalling in dorsal root ganglia neurons is linked to mitochondrial dysfunction and peripheral neuropathy in diabetes. *Brain* 2012; 135: 1751–1766.
- 128. Dasgupta B and Milbrandt J. Resveratrol stimulates AMP kinase activity in neurons. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U* S A 2007; 104: 7217–7222.

- 129. Bridgeman SC, Ellison GC, Melton PE, Newsholme P and Mamotte CDS. Epigenetic effects of metformin: from molecular mechanisms to clinical implications. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2018; 20: 1553–1562.
- 130. Mao-Ying QL, Kavelaars A, Krukowski K, Huo XJ, Zhou W, Price TJ, Cleeland C and Heijnen CJ. The anti-diabetic drug metformin protects against chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy in a mouse model. *PLoS One* 2014; 9: e100701.
- 131. Kastenhuber ER and Lowe SW. Putting p53 in context. *Cell* 2017; 170: 1062–1078.
- Nahalkova J. The protein-interaction network with functional roles in tumorigenesis, neurodegeneration, and aging. *Mol Cell Biochem* 2016; 423: 187–196.
- 133. Dai CQ, Luo TT, Luo SC, Wang JQ, Wang SM, Bai YH, Yang YL and Wang YY. p53 and mitochondrial dysfunction: novel insight of neurodegenerative diseases. *J Bioenerg Biomembr* 2016; 48: 337–347.
- 134. Maj MA, Ma J, Krukowski KN, Kavelaars A and Heijnen CJ. Inhibition of mitochondrial p53 accumulation by PFT-μ prevents cisplatin-induced peripheral neuropathy. *Front Mol Neurosci* 2017; 10: 108.
- 135. Krukowski K, Nijboer CH, Huo X, Kavelaars A and Heijnen CJ. Prevention of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy by the small-molecule inhibitor pifithrinμ. *Pain* 2015; 156: 2184–2192.
- 136. Kallio PJ, Wilson WJ, O'Brien S, Makino Y and Poellinger L. Regulation of the hypoxia-inducible transcription factor 1alpha by the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. J Biol Chem 1999; 274: 6519–6525.
- 137. Yamano K, Matsuda N and Tanaka K. The ubiquitin signal and autophagy: an orchestrated dance leading to mitochondrial degradation. *EMBO Rep* 2016; 17: 300–316.
- 138. Youle RJ and Narendra DP. Mechanisms of mitophagy. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2011; 12: 9–14.
- 139. Zhang H, Bosch-Marce M, Shimoda LA, Tan YS, Baek JH, Wesley JB, Gonzalez FJ and Semenza GL. Mitochondrial autophagy is an HIF-1-dependent adaptive metabolic response to hypoxia. J Biol Chem 2008; 283: 10892–10903.
- 140. Covill-Cooke C, Howden JH, Birsa N and Kittler JT. Ubiquitination at the mitochondria in neuronal health and disease. *Neurochem Int* 2018; 117: 55–64.
- 141. Langjahr M, Schubert AL, Sommer C and Uceyler N. Increased pro-inflammatory cytokine gene expression in peripheral blood mononuclear cells of patients with polyneuropathies. *J Neurol* 2018; 265: 618–627.
- 142. Uceyler N, Rogausch JP, Toyka KV and Sommer C. Differential expression of cytokines in painful and painless neuropathies. *Neurology* 2007; 69: 42–49.
- Nosengo N. Can you teach old drugs new tricks? *Nature* 2016; 534: 314–316.