

# Characterization, modeling, and remediation of karst in a changing environment

Zexuan Xu<sup>1</sup> · Nicolas Massei<sup>2</sup> · Ingrid Padilla<sup>3</sup> · Andrew Hartmann<sup>4,5</sup> · Bill Hu<sup>6</sup>

Zexuan Xu zexuanxu@lbl.gov

<sup>1</sup> Climate and Ecosystem Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA

<sup>2</sup> Continental and Coastal Morphodynamics Laboratory, University of Rouen-Normandy, Normandy, Rouen, France

<sup>3</sup> Department of Civil Engineering and Surveying, University of Puerto Rico at Mayagüez, Mayagüez, PR, USA

<sup>4</sup> Faculty of Environment and Natural Resources, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany

<sup>5</sup> Department of Civil Engineering, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

<sup>6</sup> Institute of Groundwater and Earth Sciences, Jinan University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

## Abstract

This introductory editorial paper provides a review and prospective outlook of the achievements and challenges in karst research under a changing environment. A brief discussion of the past and future karst research has been focused on: (1) data and new technologies; (2) modeling of karst flow and reactive transport; (3) responses of karst hydrosystems to climate variability and changes across scales.

Keywords Karst · Data · Modeling · Changing Climate

## Introduction

Karst is a term used to describe a special landscape containing caves and extensive underground water systems that is developed by dissolution in the carbonate rocks such as limestone and gypsum (Ford and Williams 2007). Karst terrains undergo considerable dissolution to create and enlarge joints, fractures, bedding planes, and other openings in which groundwater flows. These terrains show distinctive surface and subsurface features associated with sinkholes, springs, caves, and sinking, losing, and gaining streams, which are characterized by well-developed conduit networks having high connectivity (e.g., Xu et al. 2015a, b) and/or highly transmissive zones (e.g., Kuniatsky 2016). These characteristics make some karst groundwater systems highly productive and become important freshwater resources for human consumption and ecological integrity of streams, wetlands, and coastal zones (Padilla and Vesper 2018; White 2018). Karst regions underlie approximately 10% of

the ice-free continental area, and karst aquifers partially supply drinking water resources to almost a quarter of the world's population (Ford and Williams 2007). Stress on water resources in karst aquifers has increased significantly in recent decades, associated with the limited quantity of water supply and deterioration of water quality (Hartmann et al. 2014). Available water resources have become the major constraint for many karst regions, for example, in Southwest China (Lu 2007), where management of land, people and water resources is a critical environmental challenge in rocky desertification areas (Jiang et al. 2014). In addition, many geohazards in karst areas, for instance, sink-hole collapse and land subsidence, are strongly related to over-utilization of groundwater, inappropriate limestone mining, and other human factors (Kuniansky et al. 2016).

The characteristics of large connectivity and void spaces, which make karst aquifer systems highly productive, also make them highly vulnerable to contamination (Göppert and Goldscheider 2008). Surface karst features and large permeability in the subsurface enhance the ability to transport contaminants over long distances, particularly through well-developed conduit networks. The porous matrix of the karst rocks and the significant amount of sediments trapped in karst formations provide high storage capacity for contaminants that can be slowly released for long periods of time. As a result, karst systems can serve as an important route for contaminant exposure to humans and ecosystems (Padilla et al. 2011). Groundwater quality impacted from point and non-point sources of contamination have been widely studied in karst areas (e.g., Padilla and Vesper 2018; Xu et al. 2015b; Yu et al. 2015).

Karst systems are highly dynamic and heterogeneous, with complex hydrological, geochemical, and biological processes that affect the transport of water, solutes, and non-aqueous entities over a wide range of spatial and temporal scales. Fundamental knowledge exists on many of these processes and several predictive and characterization models have been developed and applied to karst systems. Tremendous challenges and uncertainties are faced when trying to characterize, predict exposure, implement remedial actions, and manage contaminated systems, particularly in a changing environment, including climate change, human impacts, etc. A need exists to advance knowledge and technology for understanding the hydrological response of karst systems to those changes, and to develop appropriate management and remediation approaches.

Interdisciplinary studies, including collaborations and expertise from a full range of scientific and engineering disciplines, are in high demand for assessment of water resources availability, chemical dynamics, and potential contaminant exposure in a karst aquifer. For example, advanced monitoring sensors and instruments for physical and chemical

hydrology can enhance data availability in field geology, particularly in deep subsurface karst conduit networks. Interactions between biogeosciences, atmospheric sciences, and marine and oceanographic sciences help fully address earth system processes in karst studies. Statistical, mathematical, scientific computing, and data sciences knowledge contributes to build the state-of-the-art physical-based and/or data-driven modeling approaches to the study of karst hydrogeology. Collaborative works between engineers, hydro-scientists, and public health experts are mapping the way to assess direct links between contamination in karst regions and adverse health outcomes (Cordero et al. 2018).

### **Major achievements and challenges in karst research**

Papers in this thematic issue help to summarize the knowledge learned in the past, to review the progress of ongoing research, and to predict major challenges in the future. This section is organized into three perspectives: (1) data and new technologies; (2) modeling of karst flow and reactive transport; (3) responses of karst hydrosystems to climate variability and changes across scales.

### **Data and new technologies**

Limited laboratory and field observational data have been a major constraint in karst research. Laboratory experiments have been extensively developed to study the groundwater and solute transport (e.g., Faulkner et al. 2009; Anaya et al. 2014), and reactive transport (e.g., Geyer et al. 2007), in the interaction among karst conduits, fractures, and porous medium. Unlike the alluvial sandstone aquifers, karst features, including sinkholes, karst windows and conduit networks, are difficult to be successfully explored by traditional hydrogeological field methods. For example, the subsurface karst conduit networks are found at nearly 100 m below land surface in the Woodville Karst Plain, North Florida (Werner 1998), which led to difficulties in field investigation. Tracer tests have been widely applied in the studies of karst aquifers to resolve the active and often inaccessible part of cave and conduit networks, and to evaluate the hydraulic connectivity in karst aquifers (Goldscheider et al. 2008; Werner 1998). Geochemistry data were widely collected to study the global carbon cycle, including carbon flux data for biogeochemical cycle (Gill and Finzi 2016), aquatic carbonate concentration for the contribution of carbonate weathering to atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> (Huang et al. 2015), and karst-related carbon sink (Zeng et al. 2016), from watershed to global scales.

Utilization of advanced instruments and technologies is critical to provide more data and detailed descriptions to gain insights into karst research, particularly in the deep subsurface conduit networks considering the difficulties and complexities of karst exploration. Xu et al. (2016) reported a flow speed, flow direction, and electrical conductivity moni-

toring work over a long-term period, with the help of cave divers at the 100-m deep conduit in the Woodville Karst Plain, Florida. This study discovered potential seawater intrusion through subsurface conduit networks in the coastal karst aquifer, which indicated a trend of rising sea levels, and analyzed its impacts on groundwater contamination. It is also crucial to capitalize on long-term data, where available, and accordingly to develop and maintain long-term observations of karst hydrosystems. On the other hand, investigation of conduit network geospatial distribution and physical geometry is in high demand in karst research by deploying advanced and new monitoring techniques. In recent years, Kenney et al. (2012) developed a neutrally buoyant sensor method for mapping a water pathway in the direction of subsurface conduit flow. In Weidner et al. (2017), a systematic approach for the 3-D mapping of underwater caves utilized the intersection of video light with the cave boundaries to describe the outline of the cave. Further works are required to apply these newly developed techniques in field application, improve the data resolution and quality, and transform measurements to actionable information.

### **Modeling of karst flow and reactive transport**

Modeling karst groundwater flow and contaminant transport in karst systems pose particular challenges because of their highly heterogeneous nature, wide spectrum of flow regimes (ranging from laminar to turbulent flow), lack of proper data for accurate characterization, and limited applicable models with full physical processes, among others. Numerical models are often used to describe flow and transport trends, as long as they are applied within the limits of their realm. Several modeling approaches exist for simulating groundwater flow and contaminant transport in karst aquifers, for example, the lumped and distributed models of varying complexities (Ghasemizadeh et al. 2012; Hartmann et al. 2014; Scanlon et al. 2003). Dual-permeability hydrogeological system is one of the major challenges in simulating groundwater flow in a karst aquifer, which consists of both high-permeability conduits and fractures, and low-permeability surrounding porous media. Different from the porous media in the alluvial aquifer, groundwater flow within the high-permeability conduits and fractures can easily become non-Darcian and even beyond the upper critical Reynolds numbers. The non-Darcian conduit flow and Darcian porous medium flow are computed and coupled in the discrete-continuum hybrid models (Liedl et al. 2003; Shoemaker et al. 2008), which have been widely used to simulate variable-saturation flow conditions (Reimann et al. 2011) and groundwater contamination transport issues (Xu et al. 2015a) in karst aquifers. The recharge reservoir model is developed and coupled with discrete-continuum models to simulate surface and subsurface flow in karst systems under various rainfall conditions (Chang et al. 2015; Chen et al. 2017). A density-dependent discrete-continuum model has been developed in Xu and Hu (2017) as a new modeling method to study seawater intrusion through

conduit networks in a karst aquifer, providing a way to simulate the impacts of sea level rise under changing climate. Future work of more accurate parameterizations is highly desired and deterministic in karst modeling applications, particularly the exchange flux between conduit and porous medium, the dispersion coefficient, and friction factor within the conduit. In addition, high-performance computing and advanced numerical methods are desired to solve the karst hydrology models more efficiently and accurately, with fully coupled physical-based processes in a finer resolution.

At regions with limited data availability, simplified karst

modeling approaches are applied that represent the dominant dual permeability processes of karst systems by varying combination of buckets that represent the important storages of the karst system: the soil, epikarst, karst matrix, and conduits (Hartmann et al. 2014). In recent years, research focused on quantifying the uncertainty that results from those simplified karst modeling approaches (Chen et al. 2017; Adinehvand et al. 2017; Xu et al. 2018) and quantifying the information content of auxiliary data as hydrochemical information (Hartmann et al. 2017a, b) or geophysical measurements (Mazzilli et al. 2013). Future research is necessary to further explore the value of auxiliary data and to link the advantages of these simplified modeling approaches and the above-mentioned physically based approaches.

The subsurface conduit network is formed by a positive feedback mechanism between dissolution by water undersaturated with respect to calcite and the amount of water percolating through a carbonate rock (Worthington and Ford 2009). Physical-based reactive transport modeling (RTM) in hydrology is important to investigate the evolution of fractures and conduits, and to compute carbon mass balance in multiple temporal and global spatial scales. For example, Rehl et al. (2008) developed a discrete-continuum RTM to couple aquatic chemical reaction processes with groundwater flow and transport models to examine the interrelation between the hydrogeological environment and conduit development in a deep-seated setting. In a recent study, de Rooij and Graham (2017) presented a hydrochemical model that can be used to generate plausible karstic conduit networks that honor what is known about geology, hydrology, and topography of a karst system. Conduit evolution modeling, however, is still an oversimplification of reactive transport processes that requires further investigations in the limited number of chemistry processes, coarse spatial resolution, and lack of validation. On the other hand, stochastic and statistical modeling in karst conduit evolutions have been widely applied to help build the conduit networks in hydrologic numerical modeling (Jaquet et al. 2004; Pardo-Iguzquiza et al. 2012). Prior information, sampling methods, computational cost, and lack of real conduit network validation data are still unresolved issues and open

questions for stochastic simulations of karst networks.

### **Response of karst hydrosystems to climate variability and change across scales**

To understand the impacts of global climate change on water resources in karst aquifers, the scalability of hydrologic models becomes critical, from pore scale to regional scale. Owing to the very heterogeneous nature of the karst, such hydrosystems may display particular hydrological behaviors that need to be thoroughly analyzed and modeled. The interaction between watershed-scale hydrologic models and regional, even global-scale climate models has been investigated in a number of studies, such as the US National Water Model (currently under development, <http://water.noaa.gov/about/nwm>) and European Flood Awareness System (Kauffeldt et al. 2015). None of the above, however, address the complexity of karst aquifers, or have been applied in either karst watersheds or on a large regional scale of karst land mass. Some recent work showed that the reliability of presently applied large-scale simulation tools is strongly limited (Hartmann et al. 2015, 2017). In addition, global-scale biogeochemical simulations are essential to evaluate the roles of karst regions in global carbon cycles, particular carbon sink effects in carbonate rock dissolution and weathering. The upscaling of current hydrology models in karst aquifers from the dual-permeability watershed scale to global scale becomes important to carbon budget estimation. The hydrologic and aquatic geochemistry processes in a karst aquifer, including interaction of non-linear and linear flow, and reactive transport in carbonate rock dissolution and precipitation processes, have potential impacts on global carbon cycle and should be included in future earth system modeling studies.

On the other hand, most karst distributed models are still developed based on some traditional hydrology models that only solve the Darcy equation in the aquifer, for example, MODFLOW-CFP (Shoemaker et al. 2008), with very simplified land surface processes. The hydrological interactions between atmosphere and land have been addressed in several integrated hydrology models, including PF.WRF (Maxwell et al. 2011) and WRF-Hydro (Gochis et al. 2013); however, they have rarely been integrated with dual-permeability hydrology models and applied in karst regions. These integrated hydrology models are advanced with physical-based land surface models to study the responses of increasing temperature, dynamic vegetation, precipitation variability, and human factors. For example, early snowmelt processes under changing climate control groundwater table fluctuation and then affect carbonate dissolution and water chemistry (Winnick et al. 2017). Integration of land surface models and dual-permeability hydrology models becomes the key for a successful simulation of hydrological and biogeochemical responses in karst aquifers under climate change.

## **Preview of the papers expected to be accepted**

The scientific community of earth science has become even more aware of the significant and grand challenges facing water resources and ecosystems in karst aquifers. An increasing number of karst-related research studies have been initiated and developed worldwide in recent years. For example, the number of abstracts submitted to the karst session in AGU has increased in the past 3 years (22 in 2015, 28 in 2016 and 37 in 2017). Among these, a significant number of studies have been cross-listed with biogeoscience, global environmental change, atmospheric, and oceanography.

In response to the increasing amount of karst research, this thematic issue on “Characterization, Modeling, and Remediation of Karst in a Changing Environment (AGU)” with Environmental Earth Sciences (EES) will be composed of papers on research related to the characterization, monitoring, and modeling of hydrogeology, morphology, biogeochemistry, geohazards, and remediation of carbonate and karst water systems at multiple temporal and spatial scales.

## **References**

- Adinehvand R, Raesi E, Hartmann A (2017) A step-wise semi-distributed simulation approach to characterize a karst aquifer and to support dam construction in a data-scarce environment. *J Hydrol* 554:470–481. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.08.056>
- Anaya AA, Padilla I, Macchiavelli R, Vesper DJ, Meeker JD, Alshwabken AN (2014) Estimating preferential flow in karstic aquifers using statistical mixed models. *Groundwater* 52(4):584–596. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gwat.12084>
- Chang Y, Wu J, Jiang G (2015) Modeling the hydrological behavior of a karst spring using a nonlinear reservoir-pipe model. *Hydrogeol J* 23(5):901–914. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-015-1241-6>
- Chen Z, Hartmann A, Goldscheider N (2017) A new approach to evaluate spatiotemporal dynamics of controlling parameters in distributed environmental models. *Environ Model Softw* 87:1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2016.10.005>
- Cordero JF, Meeker JF, Loch-Caruso R et al (2018) Team science applied to environmental health research: karst hydrogeology and preterm birth in Puerto Rico. In: White WB, Herman JS, Herman EK, Rutigliano M (eds) *Karst groundwater contamination and public health: beyond case studies*. Springer, Berlin, pp 17–25. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-51070-5>
- de Rooij R, Graham W (2017) Generation of complex karstic conduit networks with a hydrochemical model. *Water Resour Res* 53(8):6993–7011. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017WR020768>

Faulkner J, Hu BX, Kish S, Hua F (2009) Laboratory analog and numerical study of groundwater flow and solute transport in a karst aquifer with conduit and matrix domains. *J Contam Hydrol* 110(1):34–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconhyd.2009.08.004>

Ford DC, Williams PW (2007) *Karst hydrogeology and geomorphology*. Wiley, Chichester

Geyer T, Birk S, Licha T, Liedl R, Sauter M (2007) Multitracer test approach to characterize reactive transport in karst aquifers. *Groundwater* 45(1):36–45. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6584.2006.00261.x>

Ghasemizadeh R, Hellweger F, Butscher C et al (2012) Review: groundwater flow and transport modeling of karst aquifers, with particular reference to the North Coast Limestone aquifer system of Puerto Rico. *Hydrogeol J* 20(8):1441–1461. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-012-0897-4>

Gill AL, Finzi AC (2016) Belowground carbon flux links biogeochemical cycles and resource-use efficiency at the global scale. *Ecol Lett* 19:1419–1428. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12690>

Gochis DJ, Yu W, Yates DN (2013) *The WRF-Hydro model technical description and user's guide, version 1.0*. NCAR technical document, p 120

Goldscheider N, Meiman J, Pronk M, Smart C (2008) Tracer tests in karst hydrogeology and speleology. *Int J Speleol* 37(1):3. <https://doi.org/10.5038/1827-806X.37.1.3>

Göppert N, Goldscheider N (2008) Solute and colloid transport in karst conduits under low- and high-flow conditions. *Ground Water* 46(1):61–68. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6584.2007.00373.x>

Hartmann A, Goldscheider N, Wagener T, Lange J, Weiler M (2014) Karst water resources in a changing world: review of hydrological modeling approaches. *Rev Geophys* 52:218–242. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013RG000443>

Hartmann A, Gleeson T, Rosolem R, Pianosi F, Wada Y, Wagener T (2015) A large-scale simulation model to assess karstic groundwater recharge over Europe and the Mediterranean. *Geosci Model Dev* 8:1729–1746. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-8-1729-2015>

Hartmann A, Barberá JA, Andreo B (2017a) On the value of water quality data and informative flow states in karst modelling. *Hydrol Earth Syst Sci* 21:5971–5985. <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-230>

Hartmann A, Gleeson T, Wada Y, Wagener T, Kingdom U, Sciences O, Kingdom U (2017b) Enhanced groundwater recharge rates and altered recharge sensitivity to climate variability through subsurface



heterogeneity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 19:EGU2017-12796.  
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1614941114>

Huang F, Zhang C, Xie Y, Li L, Cao J (2015) Inorganic carbon flux and its source in the karst catchment of Maocun, Guilin, China. *Environ Earth Sci* 74:1079–1089. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-015-4478-4>

Jaquet O, Siegel P, Klubertanz G, Benabderrhamane H (2004) Stochastic discrete model of karstic networks. *Adv Water Resour* 27(7):751–760. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2004.03.007>

Jiang Z, Lian Y, Qin X (2014) Rocky desertification in Southwest China: impacts, causes, and restoration. *Earth Sci Rev* 123:1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2014.01.005>

Kauffeldt A, Wetterhall F, Pappenberger F, Salamon P, Thielen J (2015) Technical review of large-scale hydrological models for implementation in operational flood forecasting schemes on continental level. *Environ Model Softw* 75:68–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2015.09.009>

Kenney JD, McGinnis RN, Willden GC, Abbott BA, Green RT (2012) Neutrally buoyant sensor apparatus and method for mapping a water pathway. US Patent 8165814. <https://www.google.com/patents/US8165814>. Accessed 1 Jun 2018

Kuniansky E (2016) Simulating groundwater flow in karst aquifers with distributed parameter models—comparison of porous-equivalent media and hybrid flow approaches. U.S. Geological survey scientific investigations report 2016-5116, p 14. <https://doi.org/10.3133/sir20165116>

Kuniansky E, Weary DJ, Kaufmann JE (2016) The current status of mapping karst areas and availability of public sinkhole-risk resources in karst terrains of the United States. *Hydrogeol J* 24(3):613–624. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-015-1333-3>

Liedl R, Sauter M, Hückinghaus D, Clemens T, Teutsch G (2003) Simulation of the development of karst aquifers using a coupled continuum pipe flow model. *Water Resour Res*. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001WR001206>

Lu R (2007) Karst water resources and geo-ecology in typical regions of China. *Environ Geol* 51:695. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00254-006-0381-3>

Maxwell RM, Lundquist JK, Mirocha JD, Smith SG, Woodward CS, Tompson AF (2011) Development of a coupled groundwater-atmosphere model. *Mon Weather Rev* 139(1):96–116. <https://doi.org/10.1175/2010MWR3392.1>

Mazzilli N, Jourde H, Jacob T et al (2013) On the inclusion of ground-based gravity measurements to the calibration process of a global rainfall-discharge reservoir model: case of the Durzon karst system (Larzac,

southern France). *Environ Earth Sci* 68:1631–1646.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-012-1856-z>

Padilla IY, Vesper DJ (2018) Fate, transport, and exposure of emerging and legacy contaminants in karst system: state of knowledge and uncertainty. In: White WB, Herman JS, Herman EK, Rutigliano M (eds) *Karst groundwater contamination and public health: beyond case studies*. Springer, Berlin, pp 33–49. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-51070-5>

Padilla IY, Irizarry C, Steele K (2011) Historical contamination of groundwater resources in the north coast karst aquifers of Puerto Rico, *dimensión*. Año 25 3:7–12

Pardo-Igúzquiza E, Dowd PA, Xu C, Durán-Valsero JJ (2012) Stochastic simulation of karst conduit networks. *Adv Water Resour* 35:141–150.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2011.09.014>

Rehrl C, Birk S, Klimchouk AB (2008) Conduit evolution in deep-seated settings: conceptual and numerical models based on field observations. *Water Resour Res*. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008W R006905>

Reimann T, Geyer T, Shoemaker WB, Liedl R, Sauter M (2011) Effects of dynamically variable saturation and matrix-conduit coupling of flow in karst aquifers. *Water Resour Res*. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011WR010446>

Scanlon BR, Mace RE, Barrett ME, Smith B (2003) Can we simulate regional groundwater flow in a karst system using equivalent porous media models? Case study, Barton Springs Edwards aquifer, USA. *J Hydrol* 276:137–158. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694\(03\)00064-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(03)00064-7)

Shoemaker WB, Kuniatsky EL, Birk S, Bauer S, Swain ED (2008) Documentation of a conduit flow process (CFP) for MODFLOW-2005. US geological survey techniques and methods 6-A24

Weidner N, Rahman S, Li AQ, Reklitis I (2017) Underwater cave mapping using stereo vision. *IEEE Int Conf*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICRA.2017.7989672>

Werner C (1998) Determination of groundwater flow patterns from cave exploration in the Woodville Karst Plain, Florida, vol 46. Florida Geological Survey Special Publication, Florida

White WB (2018) Abstracts of additional conference papers, karst groundwater contamination and public health. Springer, Cham, pp 335–340

Winnick MJ, Carroll RW, Williams KH, Maxwell RM, Dong W, Maher K (2017) Snowmelt controls on concentration-discharge relationships and the balance of oxidative and acid-base weathering fluxes in an alpine catchment, East River, Colorado. *Water Resour Res* 53(3):2507–2523.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/2016W R019724>

Worthington SR, Ford DC (2009) Self-organized permeability in car-

bonate aquifers. *Ground Water* 47(3):326–336.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6584.2009.00551.x>

Xu Z, Hu BX (2017) Development of a discrete-continuum VDFST- CFP numerical model for simulating seawater intrusion to a coastal karst aquifer with a conduit system. *Water Resour Res.*  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/2016WR018758>

Xu Z, Hu BX, Davis H, Cao J (2015a) Simulating long term nitrate- N contamination processes in the Woodville Karst Plain using CFPv2 with UMT3D. *J Hydrol* 524:72–88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.02.024>

Xu Z, Hu BX, Davis H, Kish S (2015b) Numerical study of ground- water flow cycling controlled by seawater/freshwater interaction in a coastal karst aquifer through conduit network using CFPv2. *J Contam Hydrol* 182:131–145. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconhyd.2015.09.003>

Xu Z, Bassett SW, Hu BX, Dyer SB (2016) Long distance seawater intrusion through a karst conduit network in the Woodville Karst Plain, Florida. *Sci Rep* 6:32235. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep32235>

Xu Z, Hu BX, Ye M (2018) Numerical modeling and sensitivity analysis of seawater intrusion in a dual-permeability coastal karst aquifer with conduit networks. *Hydrol Earth Syst Sci* 22:221–239.  
<https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-22-221-2018>

Yu X, Ghasemizadeh R, Padilla IY, Irizarry C, Kaeli D, Alshawabkeh A (2015) Spatiotemporal changes of CVOC concentrations in karst aquifers: analysis of three decades of data from Puerto Rico. *Sci Total Environ* 511:1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.12.031>

Zeng C, Liu Z, Zhao M, Yang R (2016) Hydrologically-driven variations in the karst-related carbon sink fluxes: insights from high-resolution monitoring of three karst catchments in South-west China. *J Hydrol* 533:74–90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.11.049>