

Study of Time-Dependent CP Asymmetry in Neutral B Decays to $J/\psi\pi^0$

B. Aubert,¹ R. Barate,¹ D. Boutigny,¹ J.-M. Gaillard,¹ A. Hicheur,¹ Y. Karyotakis,¹ J. P. Lees,¹ P. Robbe,¹ V. Tisserand,¹ A. Zghiche,¹ A. Palano,² A. Pompili,² J. C. Chen,³ N. D. Qi,³ G. Rong,³ P. Wang,³ Y. S. Zhu,³ G. Eigen,⁴ I. Ofte,⁴ B. Stugu,⁴ G. S. Abrams,⁵ A. W. Borgland,⁵ A. B. Breon,⁵ D. N. Brown,⁵ J. Button-Shafer,⁵ R. N. Cahn,⁵ E. Charles,⁵ M. S. Gill,⁵ A. V. Gritsan,⁵ Y. Groysman,⁵ R. G. Jacobsen,⁵ R. W. Kadel,⁵ J. Kadyk,⁵ L. T. Kerth,⁵ Yu. G. Kolomensky,⁵ J. F. Kral,⁵ G. Kukartsev,⁵ C. LeClerc,⁵ M. E. Levi,⁵ G. Lynch,⁵ L. M. Mir,⁵ P. J. Oddone,⁵ T. J. Orimoto,⁵ M. Pripstein,⁵ N. A. Roe,⁵ A. Romosan,⁵ M. T. Ronan,⁵ V. G. Shelkov,⁵ A. V. Telnov,⁵ W. A. Wenzel,⁵ T. J. Harrison,⁶ C. M. Hawkes,⁶ D. J. Knowles,⁶ R. C. Penny,⁶ A. T. Watson,⁶ N. K. Watson,⁶ T. Deppermann,⁷ K. Goetzen,⁷ H. Koch,⁷ B. Lewandowski,⁷ M. Pelizaeus,⁷ K. Peters,⁷ H. Schmuecker,⁷ M. Steinke,⁷ N. R. Barlow,⁸ W. Bhimji,⁸ J. T. Boyd,⁸ N. Chevalier,⁸ P. J. Clark,⁸ W. N. Cottingham,⁸ C. Mackay,⁸ F. F. Wilson,⁸ C. Hearty,⁹ T. S. Mattison,⁹ J. A. McKenna,⁹ D. Thiessen,⁹ P. Kyberd,¹⁰ A. K. McKemey,¹⁰ V. E. Blinov,¹¹ A. D. Bukin,¹¹ V. B. Golubev,¹¹ V. N. Ivanchenko,¹¹ E. A. Kravchenko,¹¹ A. P. Onuchin,¹¹ S. I. Serednyakov,¹¹ Yu. I. Skovpen,¹¹ E. P. Solodov,¹¹ A. N. Yushkov,¹¹ D. Best,¹² M. Chao,¹² D. Kirkby,¹² A. J. Lankford,¹² M. Mandelkern,¹² S. McMahon,¹² R. K. Mommmsen,¹² W. Roethel,¹² D. P. Stoker,¹² C. Buchanan,¹³ H. K. Hadavand,¹⁴ E. J. Hill,¹⁴ D. B. MacFarlane,¹⁴ H. P. Paar,¹⁴ Sh. Rahatlou,¹⁴ U. Schwanke,¹⁴ V. Sharma,¹⁴ J. W. Berryhill,¹⁵ C. Campagnari,¹⁵ B. Dahmes,¹⁵ N. Kuznetsova,¹⁵ S. L. Levy,¹⁵ O. Long,¹⁵ A. Lu,¹⁵ M. A. Mazur,¹⁵ J. D. Richman,¹⁵ W. Verkerke,¹⁵ J. Beringer,¹⁶ A. M. Eisner,¹⁶ C. A. Heusch,¹⁶ W. S. Lockman,¹⁶ T. Schalk,¹⁶ R. E. Schmitz,¹⁶ B. A. Schumm,¹⁶ A. Seiden,¹⁶ M. Turri,¹⁶ W. Walkowiak,¹⁶ D. C. Williams,¹⁶ M. G. Wilson,¹⁶ J. Albert,¹⁷ E. Chen,¹⁷ G. P. Dubois-Felsmann,¹⁷ A. Dvoretiskii,¹⁷ D. G. Hitlin,¹⁷ I. Narsky,¹⁷ F. C. Porter,¹⁷ A. Ryd,¹⁷ A. Samuel,¹⁷ S. Yang,¹⁷ S. Jayatilleke,¹⁸ G. Mancinelli,¹⁸ B. T. Meadows,¹⁸ M. D. Sokoloff,¹⁸ T. Barillari,¹⁹ F. Blanc,¹⁹ P. Bloom,¹⁹ W. T. Ford,¹⁹ U. Nauenberg,¹⁹ A. Olivas,¹⁹ P. Rankin,¹⁹ J. Roy,¹⁹ J. G. Smith,¹⁹ W. C. van Hoek,¹⁹ L. Zhang,¹⁹ J. L. Harton,²⁰ T. Hu,²⁰ A. Soffer,²⁰ W. H. Toki,²⁰ R. J. Wilson,²⁰ J. Zhang,²⁰ D. Altenburg,²¹ T. Brandt,²¹ J. Brose,²¹ T. Colberg,²¹ M. Dickopp,²¹ R. S. Dubitzky,²¹ A. Hauke,²¹ H. M. Lacker,²¹ E. Maly,²¹ R. Müller-Pfefferkorn,²¹ R. Nogowski,²¹ S. Otto,²¹ K. R. Schubert,²¹ R. Schwierz,²¹ B. Spaan,²¹ L. Wilden,²¹ D. Bernard,²² G. R. Bonneaud,²² F. Brochard,²² J. Cohen-Tanugi,²² S. T'Jampens,²² Ch. Thiebaux,²² G. Vasileiadis,²² M. Verderi,²² R. Bernet,²³ A. Khan,²³ D. Lavin,²³ F. Muheim,²³ S. Playfer,²³ J. E. Swain,²³ J. Tinslay,²³ C. Borean,²⁴ C. Bozzi,²⁴ L. Piemontese,²⁴ A. Sarti,²⁴ E. Treadwell,²⁵ F. Anulli,^{26,*} R. Baldini-Ferrolì,²⁶ A. Calcaterra,²⁶ R. de Sangro,²⁶ D. Falciari,²⁶ G. Finocchiaro,²⁶ P. Patteri,²⁶ I. M. Peruzzi,^{26,*} M. Piccolo,²⁶ A. Zallo,²⁶ A. Buzzo,²⁷ R. Contri,²⁷ G. Crosetti,²⁷ M. Lo Vetere,²⁷ M. Macri,²⁷ M. R. Monge,²⁷ S. Passaggio,²⁷ F. C. Pastore,²⁷ C. Patrignani,²⁷ E. Robutti,²⁷ A. Santroni,²⁷ S. Tosi,²⁷ S. Bailey,²⁸ M. Morii,²⁸ G. J. Grenier,²⁹ S.-J. Lee,²⁹ U. Mallik,²⁹ J. Cochran,³⁰ H. B. Crawley,³⁰ J. Lamsa,³⁰ W. T. Meyer,³⁰ S. Prell,³⁰ E. I. Rosenberg,³⁰ J. Yi,³⁰ M. Davier,³¹ G. Grosdidier,³¹ A. Höcker,³¹ S. Laplace,³¹ F. Le Diberder,³¹ V. Lepeltier,³¹ A. M. Lutz,³¹ T. C. Petersen,³¹ S. Plaszczynski,³¹ M. H. Schune,³¹ L. Tantot,³¹ G. Wormser,³¹ R. M. Bionta,³² V. Brigljević,³² C. H. Cheng,³² D. J. Lange,³² D. M. Wright,³² A. J. Bevan,³³ J. R. Fry,³³ E. Gabathuler,³³ R. Gamet,³³ M. Kay,³³ D. J. Payne,³³ R. J. Sloane,³³ C. Touramanis,³³ M. L. Aspinwall,³⁴ D. A. Bowerman,³⁴ P. D. Dauncey,³⁴ U. Egede,³⁴ I. Eschrich,³⁴ G. W. Morton,³⁴ J. A. Nash,³⁴ P. Sanders,³⁴ G. P. Taylor,³⁴ J. J. Back,³⁵ G. Bellodi,³⁵ P. F. Harrison,³⁵ H. W. Shorthouse,³⁵ P. Strother,³⁵ P. B. Vidal,³⁵ G. Cowan,³⁶ H. U. Flaecher,³⁶ S. George,³⁶ M. G. Green,³⁶ A. Kurup,³⁶ C. E. Marker,³⁶ T. R. McMahon,³⁶ S. Ricciardi,³⁶ F. Salvatore,³⁶ G. Vaitsas,³⁶ M. A. Winter,³⁶ D. Brown,³⁷ C. L. Davis,³⁷ J. Allison,³⁸ R. J. Barlow,³⁸ A. C. Forti,³⁸ P. A. Hart,³⁸ F. Jackson,³⁸ G. D. Lafferty,³⁸ A. J. Lyon,³⁸ J. H. Weatherall,³⁸ J. C. Williams,³⁸ A. Farbin,³⁹ A. Jawahery,³⁹ D. Kovalskyi,³⁹ C. K. Lae,³⁹ V. Lillard,³⁹ D. A. Roberts,³⁹ G. Blaylock,⁴⁰ C. Dallapiccola,⁴⁰ K. T. Flood,⁴⁰ S. S. Hertzbach,⁴⁰ R. Kofler,⁴⁰ V. B. Koptchev,⁴⁰ T. B. Moore,⁴⁰ H. Staengle,⁴⁰ S. Willocq,⁴⁰ R. Cowan,⁴¹ G. Sciolla,⁴¹ F. Taylor,⁴¹ R. K. Yamamoto,⁴¹ D. J. J. Mangeol,⁴² M. Milek,⁴² P. M. Patel,⁴² F. Palombo,⁴³ J. M. Bauer,⁴⁴ L. Cremaldi,⁴⁴ V. Eschenburg,⁴⁴ R. Kroeger,⁴⁴ J. Reidy,⁴⁴ D. A. Sanders,⁴⁴ D. J. Summers,⁴⁴ H. W. Zhao,⁴⁴ C. Hast,⁴⁵ P. Taras,⁴⁵ H. Nicholson,⁴⁶ C. Cartaro,⁴⁷ N. Cavallo,⁴⁷ G. De Nardo,⁴⁷ F. Fabozzi,^{47,†} C. Gatto,⁴⁷ L. Lista,⁴⁷ P. Paolucci,⁴⁷ D. Piccolo,⁴⁷ C. Sciacca,⁴⁷ M. A. Baak,⁴⁸ G. Raven,⁴⁸ J. M. LoSecco,⁴⁹ T. A. Gabriel,⁵⁰ B. Brau,⁵¹ T. Pulliam,⁵¹ J. Brau,⁵² R. Frey,⁵² M. Iwasaki,⁵² C. T. Potter,⁵² N. B. Sinev,⁵² D. Strom,⁵² E. Torrence,⁵² F. Colecchia,⁵³ A. Dorigo,⁵³ F. Galeazzi,⁵³ M. Margoni,⁵³ M. Morandin,⁵³ M. Posocco,⁵³ M. Rotondo,⁵³ F. Simonetto,⁵³ R. Stroili,⁵³ G. Tiozzo,⁵³ C. Voci,⁵³ M. Benayoun,⁵⁴ H. Briand,⁵⁴ J. Chauveau,⁵⁴ P. David,⁵⁴ Ch. de la Vaissière,⁵⁴ L. Del Buono,⁵⁴ O. Hamon,⁵⁴ Ph. Leruste,⁵⁴ J. Ocariz,⁵⁴ M. Pivk,⁵⁴ L. Roos,⁵⁴ J. Stark,⁵⁴ P. F. Manfredi,⁵⁵ V. Re,⁵⁵ L. Gladney,⁵⁶ Q. H. Guo,⁵⁶ J. Panetta,⁵⁶ C. Angelini,⁵⁷ G. Batignani,⁵⁷ S. Bettarini,⁵⁷ M. Bondioli,⁵⁷ F. Bucci,⁵⁷ G. Calderini,⁵⁷ M. Carpinelli,⁵⁷ F. Forti,⁵⁷ M. A. Giorgi,⁵⁷ A. Lusiani,⁵⁷ G. Marchiori,⁵⁷ F. Martinez-Vidal,^{57,‡} M. Morganti,⁵⁷ N. Neri,⁵⁷ E. Paoloni,⁵⁷ M. Rama,⁵⁷ G. Rizzo,⁵⁷

F. Sandrelli,⁵⁷ G. Triggiani,⁵⁷ J. Walsh,⁵⁷ M. Haire,⁵⁸ D. Judd,⁵⁸ K. Paick,⁵⁸ D. E. Wagoner,⁵⁸ N. Danielson,⁵⁹ P. Elmer,⁵⁹ C. Lu,⁵⁹ V. Miftakov,⁵⁹ J. Olsen,⁵⁹ A. J. S. Smith,⁵⁹ E. W. Varnes,⁵⁹ F. Bellini,⁶⁰ G. Cavoto,^{59,60} D. del Re,⁶⁰ R. Faccini,^{14,60} F. Ferrarotto,⁶⁰ F. Ferroni,⁶⁰ M. Gaspero,⁶⁰ E. Leonardi,⁶⁰ M. A. Mazzoni,⁶⁰ S. Morganti,⁶⁰ M. Pierini,⁶⁰ G. Piredda,⁶⁰ F. Safai Tehrani,⁶⁰ M. Serra,⁶⁰ C. Voena,⁶⁰ S. Christ,⁶¹ G. Wagner,⁶¹ R. Waldi,⁶¹ T. Adye,⁶² N. De Groot,⁶² B. Franek,⁶² N. I. Geddes,⁶² G. P. Gopal,⁶² E. O. Olaiya,⁶² S. M. Xella,⁶² R. Aleksan,⁶³ S. Emery,⁶³ A. Gaidot,⁶³ S. F. Ganzhur,⁶³ P.-F. Giraud,⁶³ G. Hamel de Monchenault,⁶³ W. Kozanecki,⁶³ M. Langer,⁶³ G. W. London,⁶³ B. Mayer,⁶³ G. Schott,⁶³ G. Vasseur,⁶³ Ch. Yeche,⁶³ M. Zito,⁶³ M. V. Purohit,⁶⁴ A. W. Weidemann,⁶⁴ F. X. Yumiceva,⁶⁴ D. Aston,⁶⁵ R. Bartoldus,⁶⁵ N. Berger,⁶⁵ A. M. Boyarski,⁶⁵ O. L. Buchmueller,⁶⁵ M. R. Convery,⁶⁵ D. P. Coupal,⁶⁵ D. Dong,⁶⁵ J. Dorfan,⁶⁵ W. Dunwoodie,⁶⁵ R. C. Field,⁶⁵ T. Glanzman,⁶⁵ S. J. Gowdy,⁶⁵ E. Grauges-Pous,⁶⁵ T. Hadig,⁶⁵ V. Halyo,⁶⁵ T. Hryn'ova,⁶⁵ W. R. Innes,⁶⁵ C. P. Jessop,⁶⁵ M. H. Kelsey,⁶⁵ P. Kim,⁶⁵ M. L. Kocian,⁶⁵ U. Langenegger,⁶⁵ D. W. G. S. Leith,⁶⁵ S. Luitz,⁶⁵ V. Luth,⁶⁵ H. L. Lynch,⁶⁵ H. Marsiske,⁶⁵ S. Menke,⁶⁵ R. Messner,⁶⁵ D. R. Muller,⁶⁵ C. P. O'Grady,⁶⁵ V. E. Ozcan,⁶⁵ A. Perazzo,⁶⁵ M. Perl,⁶⁵ S. Petrak,⁶⁵ B. N. Ratcliff,⁶⁵ S. H. Robertson,⁶⁵ A. Roodman,⁶⁵ A. A. Salnikov,⁶⁵ T. Schietinger,⁶⁵ R. H. Schindler,⁶⁵ J. Schwiening,⁶⁵ G. Simi,⁶⁵ A. Snyder,⁶⁵ A. Soha,⁶⁵ J. Stelzer,⁶⁵ D. Su,⁶⁵ M. K. Sullivan,⁶⁵ H. A. Tanaka,⁶⁵ J. Va'vra,⁶⁵ S. R. Wagner,⁶⁵ M. Weaver,⁶⁵ A. J. R. Weinstein,⁶⁵ W. J. Wisniewski,⁶⁵ D. H. Wright,⁶⁵ C. C. Young,⁶⁵ P. R. Burchat,⁶⁶ T. I. Meyer,⁶⁶ C. Roat,⁶⁶ S. Ahmed,⁶⁷ W. Bugg,⁶⁸ M. Krishnamurthy,⁶⁸ S. M. Spanier,⁶⁸ R. Eckmann,⁶⁹ H. Kim,⁶⁹ J. L. Ritchie,⁶⁹ R. F. Schwitters,⁶⁹ J. M. Izen,⁷⁰ I. Kitayama,⁷⁰ X. C. Lou,⁷⁰ F. Bianchi,⁷¹ M. Bona,⁷¹ D. Gamba,⁷¹ L. Bosisio,⁷² G. Della Ricca,⁷² S. Dittongo,⁷² S. Grancagnolo,⁷² L. Lanceri,⁷² P. Propat,^{72,§} L. Vitale,⁷² G. Vuagnin,⁷² R. S. Panvini,⁷³ Sw. Banerjee,⁷⁴ C. M. Brown,⁷⁴ D. Fortin,⁷⁴ P. D. Jackson,⁷⁴ R. Kowalewski,⁷⁴ J. M. Roney,⁷⁴ H. R. Band,⁷⁵ S. Dasu,⁷⁵ M. Datta,⁷⁵ A. M. Eichenbaum,⁷⁵ H. Hu,⁷⁵ J. R. Johnson,⁷⁵ R. Liu,⁷⁵ F. Di Lodovico,⁷⁵ A. K. Mohapatra,⁷⁵ Y. Pan,⁷⁵ R. Prepost,⁷⁵ S. J. Sekula,⁷⁵ J. H. von Wimmersperg-Toeller,⁷⁵ J. Wu,⁷⁵ S. L. Wu,⁷⁵ Z. Yu,⁷⁵ and H. Neal⁷⁶

(The BABAR Collaboration)

¹Laboratoire de Physique des Particules, F-74941 Annecy-le-Vieux, France

²Università di Bari, Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, I-70126 Bari, Italy

³Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing 100039, China

⁴University of Bergen, Institute of Physics, N-5007 Bergen, Norway

⁵Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

⁶University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, United Kingdom

⁷Ruhr Universität Bochum, Institut für Experimentalphysik I, D-44780 Bochum, Germany

⁸University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TL, United Kingdom

⁹University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6T 1Z1

¹⁰Brunel University, Uxbridge, Middlesex UB8 3PH, United Kingdom

¹¹Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia

¹²University of California at Irvine, Irvine, California 92697, USA

¹³University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90024, USA

¹⁴University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, USA

¹⁵University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA

¹⁶University of California at Santa Cruz, Institute for Particle Physics, Santa Cruz, California 95064, USA

¹⁷California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, USA

¹⁸University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio 45221, USA

¹⁹University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309, USA

²⁰Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523, USA

²¹Technische Universität Dresden, Institut für Kern- und Teilchenphysik, D-01062 Dresden, Germany

²²Ecole Polytechnique, LLR, F-91128 Palaiseau, France

²³University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, United Kingdom

²⁴Università di Ferrara, Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, I-44100 Ferrara, Italy

²⁵Florida A&M University, Tallahassee, Florida 32307, USA

²⁶Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati dell'INFN, I-00044 Frascati, Italy

²⁷Università di Genova, Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, I-16146 Genova, Italy

²⁸Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA

²⁹University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa 52242, USA

³⁰Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011-3160, USA

³¹Laboratoire de l'Accélérateur Linéaire, F-91898 Orsay, France

³²Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California 94550, USA

³³University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 3BX, United Kingdom

- ³⁴University of London, Imperial College, London SW7 2BW, United Kingdom
³⁵Queen Mary, University of London, E1 4NS, United Kingdom
³⁶University of London, Royal Holloway and Bedford New College, Egham, Surrey TW20 0EX, United Kingdom
³⁷University of Louisville, Louisville, Kentucky 40292, USA
³⁸University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom
³⁹University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA
⁴⁰University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts 01003, USA
⁴¹Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Laboratory for Nuclear Science, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA
⁴²McGill University, Montréal, QC, Canada H3A 2T8
⁴³Università di Milano, Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, I-20133 Milano, Italy
⁴⁴University of Mississippi, University, Mississippi 38677, USA
⁴⁵Université de Montréal, Laboratoire René J. A. Lévesque, Montréal, Quebec, Canada H3C 3J7
⁴⁶Mount Holyoke College, South Hadley, Massachusetts 01075, USA
⁴⁷Università di Napoli Federico II, Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche and INFN, I-80126, Napoli, Italy
⁴⁸NIKHEF, National Institute for Nuclear Physics and High Energy Physics, 1009 DB Amsterdam, The Netherlands
⁴⁹University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556, USA
⁵⁰Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA
⁵¹Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210, USA
⁵²University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon 97403, USA
⁵³Università di Padova, Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, I-35131 Padova, Italy
⁵⁴Universités Paris VI et VII, Lab de Physique Nucléaire H. E., F-75252 Paris, France
⁵⁵Università di Pavia, Dipartimento di Elettronica and INFN, I-27100 Pavia, Italy
⁵⁶University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, USA
⁵⁷Università di Pisa, Dipartimento di fisica, Scuola Normale Superiore and INFN, I-56010 Pisa, Italy
⁵⁸Prairie View A&M University, Prairie View, Texas 77446, USA
⁵⁹Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA
⁶⁰Università di Roma La Sapienza, Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, I-00185 Roma, Italy
⁶¹Universität Rostock, D-18051 Rostock, Germany
⁶²Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, Oxon OX11 0QX, United Kingdom
⁶³DAPNIA, Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique/Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France
⁶⁴University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina 29208, USA
⁶⁵Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford, California 94309, USA
⁶⁶Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305-4060, USA
⁶⁷State University of New York, Albany, New York 12222, USA
⁶⁸University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, USA
⁶⁹University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712, USA
⁷⁰University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, Texas 75083, USA
⁷¹Università di Torino, Dipartimento di Fisica Sperimentale and INFN, I-10125 Torino, Italy
⁷²Università di Trieste, Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, I-34127 Trieste, Italy
⁷³Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee 37235, USA
⁷⁴University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, Canada V8W 3P6
⁷⁵University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, USA
⁷⁶Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06511, USA

(Received 13 March 2003; published 8 August 2003)

We present the first study of the time-dependent CP -violating asymmetry in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^0$ decays using e^+e^- annihilation data collected with the BABAR detector at the Y(4S) resonance during the years 1999–2002 at the PEP-II asymmetric-energy B Factory at SLAC. Using approximately 88×10^6 $B\bar{B}$ pairs, our results for the coefficients of the cosine and sine terms of the CP asymmetry are $C_{J/\psi\pi^0} = 0.38 \pm 0.41(\text{stat}) \pm 0.09(\text{syst})$ and $S_{J/\psi\pi^0} = 0.05 \pm 0.49(\text{stat}) \pm 0.16(\text{syst})$.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.061802

PACS numbers: 13.25.Hw, 11.30.Er, 12.15.Hh

The standard model of electroweak interactions describes CP violation in B -meson decays by a complex phase in the three-generation Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) quark-mixing matrix [1]. The $b \rightarrow c\bar{c}s$ modes such as $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ yield precise measurements of the quantity $\sin 2\beta$, where $\beta \equiv \arg[-V_{cd}V_{cb}^*/V_{td}V_{tb}^*]$ (see, for example, Refs. [2–4]). The decay $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^0$ is a Cabibbo-suppressed $b \rightarrow c\bar{c}d$ transition. In the

standard model both $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ and $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^0$ have penguin amplitudes with the same weak phase as the tree amplitude, and an additional penguin amplitude with a different phase. In $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$, the penguin amplitude with a different weak phase is suppressed by λ_{CKM}^2 , where λ_{CKM} is the sine of the Cabibbo angle, while in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^0$, the tree and each penguin amplitude are equal to leading order in λ_{CKM} . Therefore, $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^0$ may have

a CP asymmetry that differs from that of $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$, with the size of the asymmetry serving as a probe of the penguin decay amplitudes in both modes.

$BABAR$ has previously measured the $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^0$ branching fraction, $[2.0 \pm 0.6(\text{stat}) \pm 0.2(\text{syst})] \times 10^{-5}$ [5], using $Y(4S) \rightarrow B\bar{B}$ decays. For the CP asymmetry measurement, the flavor (B^0 or \bar{B}^0) of the B meson that decays to $J/\psi \pi^0$ is inferred, or tagged, using properties of the other B meson and the time evolution of the $B\bar{B}$ system. The decay time distributions, $f_+(f_-)$, of B decays to a CP eigenstate with a B^0 (\bar{B}^0) flavor tag, are given by

$$f_{\pm}(\Delta t) = \frac{e^{-|\Delta t|/\tau_{B^0}}}{4\tau_{B^0}} [1 \pm S_{J/\psi \pi^0} \sin(\Delta m_d \Delta t) \mp C_{J/\psi \pi^0} \cos(\Delta m_d \Delta t)], \quad (1)$$

where $\Delta t = t_{\text{rec}} - t_{\text{tag}}$ is the difference between the proper decay time of the reconstructed B meson and the proper decay time of the tagging B meson, τ_{B^0} is the B^0 lifetime, and Δm_d is the B^0 - \bar{B}^0 oscillation frequency. The coefficients can be expressed in terms of a complex parameter λ , which depends on both the B^0 - \bar{B}^0 oscillation amplitude and the B^0 and \bar{B}^0 decay amplitudes to this final state [6]: $S_{J/\psi \pi^0} = 2 \text{Im} \lambda / (1 + |\lambda|^2)$ and $C_{J/\psi \pi^0} = (1 - |\lambda|^2) / (1 + |\lambda|^2)$. A decay amplitude with only a tree component would give $S_{J/\psi \pi^0} = -\sin 2\beta$ and $C_{J/\psi \pi^0} = 0$.

The data used in this measurement were collected with the $BABAR$ detector [7] at the PEP-II storage ring in the years 1999 to 2002. Approximately 81 fb^{-1} of e^+e^- annihilation data recorded at the $Y(4S)$ resonance are used, corresponding to a sample of approximately 88×10^6 $B\bar{B}$ pairs. An additional 5 fb^{-1} of data collected approximately 40 MeV below the $Y(4S)$ resonance are used to characterize non- $B\bar{B}$ background sources.

$B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^0$ candidates are selected (details are given in Ref. [5]) by identifying $J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-$ or $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ decays and $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decays. For the $J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-$ ($J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$) channel, each lepton candidate must be consistent with the electron (muon) hypothesis. The invariant mass of the lepton pair is required to be between 2.95 and 3.14 GeV/c^2 , and 3.06 and 3.14 GeV/c^2 , for the electron and muon channels, respectively. The photon candidates used to reconstruct the π^0 candidate are identified as clusters in the electromagnetic calorimeter (EMC) with polar angles between 0.410 and 2.409 rad, that are spatially separated from every charged track, and have a minimum energy of 30 MeV. The lateral energy distribution in the cluster is required to be consistent with that of a photon. The invariant mass of the photon pair is required to be between 100 and 160 MeV/c^2 . Finally, the J/ψ and π^0 candidates are assigned their nominal masses and combined using four-momentum addition.

Two kinematic consistency requirements are applied to each B candidate. The difference, ΔE , between the B -candidate energy and the beam energy in the e^+e^- center-of-mass (c.m.) frame must be $-0.4 < \Delta E <$

0.4 GeV. The beam-energy-substituted mass, $m_{\text{ES}} = \sqrt{(\sqrt{s}/2)^2 - (p_B^*)^2}$, must be greater than 5.2 GeV/c^2 , where \sqrt{s} is the total c.m. energy and p_B^* is the B -candidate momentum in the c.m. frame.

A linear combination of several kinematic and topological variables, determined with a Fisher discriminant, provides additional separation between signal and $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ ($q = u, d, s, c$) continuum background events. The Fisher discriminant uses the following inputs: the zeroth- and second-order Legendre polynomial momentum moments ($L_0 = \sum_i |\mathbf{p}_i^*|$ and $L_2 = \sum_i |\mathbf{p}_i^*| [(3\cos^2\theta_i - 1)/2]$, where \mathbf{p}_i^* are the c.m. momenta for the tracks and neutral calorimeter clusters that are not associated with the signal candidate, and θ_i are the angles between \mathbf{p}_i^* and the thrust axis of the signal candidate); the ratio of the second-order to zeroth-order Fox-Wolfram moments, again using just tracks and clusters not associated with the signal candidate; $|\cos\theta_T|$, where θ_T is the angle between the thrust axis of the B candidate and the thrust axis of the remaining tracks and clusters in the event; and $|\cos\theta_\ell|$, where θ_ℓ is defined as the angle between the negative lepton and B candidate directions in the J/ψ rest frame. The requirement placed on the Fisher discriminant is 99% efficient for signal and rejects 71% of the continuum background. The efficiencies for satisfying this requirement are summarized in Table I.

We split the backgrounds into four mutually exclusive categories, two of which have a J/ψ from B decays ($B \rightarrow J/\psi X$). The first background (bkg.) category is $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0(\pi^0\pi^0)$ decays where one of the π^0 mesons is nearly at rest in the e^+e^- c.m. frame. The second background category consists of other $B \rightarrow J/\psi X$ decays (inclusive J/ψ), which contribute through random combinations of J/ψ and π^0 candidates. The third and fourth categories consist of random combinations of particles in $B\bar{B}$ decays ($B\bar{B}$ generic) and continuum events, respectively. Monte Carlo simulation [8] is used to model aspects of the $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0(\pi^0\pi^0)$, inclusive J/ψ , and $B\bar{B}$ generic backgrounds. A sample (J/ψ_{fake}) selected from data taken below the $Y(4S)$ resonance is used to model the continuum background. In this case, the J/ψ candidate is reconstructed from two tracks that are not consistent with a lepton hypothesis. Monte Carlo simulation is used to

TABLE I. Efficiencies for the requirement on the Fisher discriminant and flavor tagging, given independently, with statistical uncertainties.

Type of event	Efficiency (%)	
	Fisher	Tagging
$B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^0$	99.2 ± 0.1	65.6 ± 0.6
$B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0(\pi^0\pi^0)$ bkg.	98.9 ± 0.1	65.6 ± 0.6
Inclusive J/ψ bkg.	94.9 ± 0.7	70.4 ± 1.4
$B\bar{B}$ generic bkg.	98.5 ± 0.4	61.1 ± 1.6
Continuum bkg.	28.6 ± 0.7	52.3 ± 0.8

check that this procedure, which increases the size of the sample, correctly models the continuum background.

The algorithm for B -flavor tagging assigns events to one of four hierarchical, mutually exclusive tagging categories, and is described in detail in Ref. [3]. The total tagging efficiency for the signal and each background source is given in Table I. Untagged events are excluded from further consideration. Vertex reconstruction and the determination of Δt follow the techniques detailed in Ref. [9]. We require $-20 < \Delta t < 20$ ps and an estimated uncertainty on Δt of less than 2.4 ps.

We extract the CP asymmetry by performing an unbinned extended maximum likelihood fit. The likelihood is constructed from the probability density functions (PDFs) for the variables m_{ES} , ΔE , and Δt . The quantity that is maximized is the logarithm of

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{e^{-\sum_{j=1}^5 n_j}}{N!} \prod_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^5 \left[f_j^{\alpha_i} n_j \prod_d \mathcal{P}_{j,d}^{\alpha_i} \right], \quad (2)$$

where n_j is the number of events for each of the five hypotheses j (one signal and four background) and N is the number of input events. The $\mathcal{P}_{j,d}^{\alpha_i}$ are the one- or two-dimensional PDFs for variables d , for each signal or background type. The PDFs implicitly depend upon the tagging categories α_i (assigned for each event i). The parameters $f_j^{\alpha_i}$ are the fractions of tagged events of each signal or background type that are assigned to each tagging category, with the restriction $\sum_{\alpha} f_j^{\alpha} = 1$. For the $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^0$ signal and $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0(\pi^0 \pi^0)$ background, the values of $f_j^{\alpha_i}$ are measured with a sample (B_{flav}) of neutral B decays to flavor eigenstates consisting of the channels $D^{(*)}h^+$ ($h^+ = \pi^+, \rho^+, \text{ and } a_1^+$) and $J/\psi K^{*0}$ ($K^{*0} \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$) [3]. Monte Carlo simulation is used to estimate the $f_j^{\alpha_i}$ values for the inclusive J/ψ and $B\bar{B}$ generic backgrounds, while the J/ψ_{fake} sample is used for the continuum background.

The signal m_{ES} distribution is modeled as the sum of two components. The first is a modified Gaussian function that, for values less than the mean, has a width parameter that scales linearly with the distance from the mean, and has a constant width parameter otherwise. The second component, accounting for less than 6% of the distribution, is a threshold function [10], which is a phase-space distribution of the form $m_{ES} \sqrt{[1 - (m_{ES}^2/E_{\text{beam}}^2)]} \times \exp\{\xi[1 - (m_{ES}^2/E_{\text{beam}}^2)]\}$, with a kinematic cutoff at $E_{\text{beam}} = 5.289$ GeV and one free parameter ξ . The signal ΔE distribution is modeled by the sum of a Gaussian core with an asymmetric power-law tail [11] and a second-order polynomial. The parameters of these PDFs are determined by fitting to a signal Monte Carlo sample. The peak position of the ΔE distribution is a free parameter of the full CP likelihood fit to allow for EMC energy scale uncertainties.

The kinematic variables m_{ES} and ΔE are correlated in the $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0(\pi^0 \pi^0)$ and inclusive J/ψ backgrounds, so two-dimensional PDFs are employed for these modes. Variably binned interpolated two-dimensional histograms of these variables are constructed from the relevant Monte Carlo samples.

The m_{ES} PDFs for the $B\bar{B}$ generic and continuum backgrounds are modeled by the threshold function given above, and the ΔE PDFs for these two backgrounds are modeled by second-order polynomials. The parameters for these PDFs are obtained from the $B\bar{B}$ generic Monte Carlo sample and the J/ψ_{fake} sample.

The PDFs used to describe the Δt distributions of the signal and background sources are each a convolution of a resolution function \mathcal{R} and decay time distribution \mathcal{D} : $\mathcal{P}(\Delta t, \sigma_{\Delta t}) = \mathcal{R}(\delta t, \sigma_{\Delta t}) \otimes \mathcal{D}(\Delta t_{\text{true}})$, where Δt and Δt_{true} are the measured and true decay time differences, $\delta t = \Delta t - \Delta t_{\text{true}}$, and $\sigma_{\Delta t}$ is the estimated event-by-event error on Δt .

For the signal, the resolution function consists of the sum of three Gaussian distributions, the parameters of which are determined from the B_{flav} sample, as in the $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ measurement [9]. The decay time distribution is given by Eq. (1) modified for the effects of B -flavor tagging:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\alpha,f}^{\pm}(\Delta t) = \frac{e^{-|\Delta t|/\tau_{B^0}}}{4\tau_{B^0}} \left\{ (1 \mp \Delta w_{\alpha}) \pm S_f(1 - 2w_{\alpha}) \sin(\Delta m_d \Delta t) \mp C_f(1 - 2w_{\alpha}) \cos(\Delta m_d \Delta t) \right\}, \quad (3)$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha,f}^+$ ($\mathcal{D}_{\alpha,f}^-$) is for a B^0 (\bar{B}^0) tagging meson. The variable w_{α} is the average probability of incorrectly tagging a B^0 as a \bar{B}^0 ($w_{\alpha}^{B^0}$) or a \bar{B}^0 as a B^0 ($w_{\alpha}^{\bar{B}^0}$), and $\Delta w_{\alpha} = w_{\alpha}^{B^0} - w_{\alpha}^{\bar{B}^0}$. Both w_{α} and Δw_{α} are determined using the B_{flav} data sample [3]. We use the values $\Delta m_d = 0.489$ ps $^{-1}$ and $\tau_{B^0} = 1.542$ ps [12].

The PDF used to model the Δt distribution for the $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0(\pi^0 \pi^0)$ background, which also includes a CP asymmetry, takes the same form as that for signal, but with $S_{J/\psi K_S^0} = \sin 2\beta = 0.74$ [3] and $C_{J/\psi K_S^0} = 0$.

The parametrizations of the Δt PDFs for the inclusive J/ψ and $B\bar{B}$ generic backgrounds each consist of prompt and exponential decay components. Decays appear to be prompt when particles from the reconstructed B are erroneously included in the tagging B vertex. For the $B\bar{B}$ generic background, the prompt and exponential components correspond to the cases where the two decay products forming the J/ψ candidate come from both or just one of the B mesons, respectively. The fraction that is in the exponential component, the decay lifetime parameter, and the resolution parameters are determined from the Monte Carlo simulation.

TABLE II. Results of the CP likelihood fit, for the full region $-0.4 < \Delta E < 0.4$ GeV and $m_{ES} > 5.2$ GeV/ c^2 . Errors are statistical only. The global correlation coefficient is 0.14 for $C_{J/\psi\pi^0}$ and 0.15 for $S_{J/\psi\pi^0}$.

	Fit results
$C_{J/\psi\pi^0}$	0.38 ± 0.41
$S_{J/\psi\pi^0}$	0.05 ± 0.49
Signal ΔE peak position (MeV)	-13.2 ± 7.2
$B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^0$ signal (events)	40 ± 7
$B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0(\pi^0\pi^0)$ background (events)	140 ± 19
Inclusive J/ψ background (events)	109 ± 35
$B\bar{B}$ generic background (events)	52 ± 25
Continuum background (events)	97 ± 22

The Δt PDF for the continuum background has only a prompt component and the resolution parameter values are obtained by fitting the J/ψ_{fake} sample.

The results of the CP asymmetry fit, for all free parameters, are shown in Table II. There are 40 ± 7 signal events in the total sample of 438 selected events. The projection in m_{ES} is shown in Fig. 1. The yields and asymmetry as functions of Δt , overlaid with projections of the likelihood fit results, are shown in Fig. 2. Repeating the fit with the added constraint $C_{J/\psi\pi^0} = 0$ does not significantly change the result for $S_{J/\psi\pi^0}$.

The dominant contributions to the systematic errors in $C_{J/\psi\pi^0}$ and $S_{J/\psi\pi^0}$ are summarized in Table III. The first class of uncertainties are those obtained by variation of the parameters used in the m_{ES} , ΔE , and Δt PDFs, where the dominant sources are the uncertainties in the signal ΔE PDF parameters. The parameters varied in the Δt PDF include $\sin 2\beta$, Δm_d , τ_{B^0} , w_α , Δw_α , and parameters




FIG. 1 (color online). Projection in m_{ES} for the results of the CP fit, displayed with the added requirement $-0.11 < \Delta E < 0.11$ GeV. In contrast, the CP fit uses the full ΔE region. In the further restricted region $m_{ES} > 5.27$ GeV/ c^2 , there are 49 data events (points), of which about 12 events are fit as background. The background under the signal peak is composed of less than one event from $B\bar{B}$ generic background and is roughly evenly divided among the other three background categories. Here, $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0(\pi^0\pi^0)$ and inclusive J/ψ decays contribute to the enhancement in the background distribution at large m_{ES} .




FIG. 2 (color online). Distributions of events (a) with a B^0 tag (N_{B^0}), (b) with a \bar{B}^0 tag ($N_{\bar{B}^0}$), and (c) the raw asymmetry $(N_{B^0} - N_{\bar{B}^0}) / (N_{B^0} + N_{\bar{B}^0})$, as functions of Δt . Candidates in these plots are required to satisfy $-0.11 < \Delta E < 0.11$ GeV and $m_{ES} > 5.27$ GeV/ c^2 . Of the 49 signal and background events in this region, 25 have a B^0 tag and 24 have a \bar{B}^0 tag, with fit background contributions of approximately five and seven events, respectively. The curves are projections that use the values of the other variables in the likelihood to determine the contributions to the signal and backgrounds.

of the resolution functions. A systematic error to account for a correlation between the tails of the signal m_{ES} and ΔE distributions is obtained by using a two-dimensional PDF. Another contribution stems from the impact of EMC energy scale uncertainties on the modeling of the $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0(\pi^0\pi^0)$ background. An additional systematic uncertainty comes from the choice of the binning of the two-dimensional PDFs for the $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0(\pi^0\pi^0)$ and inclusive J/ψ backgrounds.

TABLE III. Summary of systematic uncertainties.

Source	$C_{J/\psi\pi^0}$	$S_{J/\psi\pi^0}$
Parameter variations		
m_{ES} and ΔE parameters	0.05	0.13
Tagging fractions ($f_j^{\alpha_i}$)	0.00	0.01
Δt parameters (e.g., $\sin 2\beta$, Δm_d , τ_{B^0})	0.03	0.02
Additional systematics		
ΔE - m_{ES} correlation in signal	0.07	0.08
EMC energy scale $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0(\pi^0\pi^0)$	0.01	0.00
Choice of 2D histogram PDFs	0.01	0.03
Beam spot, boost/vertex, misalignment	0.01	0.01
Total systematic uncertainty	0.09	0.16

In summary, an unbinned extended maximum likelihood fit yields 40 ± 7 signal events and the parameters of time-dependent CP asymmetry for the decay $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^0$: $C_{J/\psi\pi^0} = 0.38 \pm 0.41(\text{stat}) \pm 0.09(\text{syst})$ and $S_{J/\psi\pi^0} = 0.05 \pm 0.49(\text{stat}) \pm 0.16(\text{syst})$. Within the standard model formulation of CP asymmetries, these results demonstrate the possibility, with additional integrated luminosity, of observing penguin contributions in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^0$. Such a measurement may experimentally constrain similar amplitudes in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$.

We are grateful for the excellent luminosity and machine conditions provided by our PEP-II colleagues, and for the substantial dedicated effort from the computing organizations that support *BABAR*. The collaborating institutions wish to thank SLAC for its support and kind hospitality. This work is supported by DOE and NSF (U.S.A.), NSERC (Canada), IHEP (China), CEA and CNRS-IN2P3 (France), BMBF and DFG (Germany), INFN (Italy), FOM (The Netherlands), NFR (Norway), MIST (Russia), and PPARC (United Kingdom). Individuals have received support from the A. P. Sloan Foundation, Research Corporation, and Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

*Also with Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy.

[†]Also with Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy.

[‡]Also with IFIC, Instituto de Física Corpuscular, CSIC-Universidad de Valencia, Valencia, Spain.

[§]Deceased.

- [1] N. Cabibbo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **10**, 531 (1963); M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **49**, 652 (1973).
- [2] *BABAR* Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 091801 (2001).
- [3] *BABAR* Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 201802 (2002).
- [4] Belle Collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 091802 (2001); Phys. Rev. D **66**, 071102 (2002).
- [5] *BABAR* Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 032001 (2002).
- [6] See, for example, L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 010001 (2002).
- [7] *BABAR* Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **479**, 1 (2002).
- [8] Geant4 Collaboration, CERN-IT-2002-003 (to be published).
- [9] *BABAR* Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 032003 (2002).
- [10] ARGUS Collaboration, H. Albrecht *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **185**, 218 (1987); **241**, 278 (1990).
- [11] Crystal Ball Collaboration, D. Antreasyan *et al.*, Crystal Ball Note 321 (1983).
- [12] Particle Data Group, K. Hagiwara *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 010001 (2002).