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#### Abstract

Next-generation sequencing strategies have resulted in mutation detection rates of $21 \%$ to $61 \%$ in small cohorts of patients with microphthalmia, anophthalmia and coloboma (MAC), but despite progress in identifying novel causative genes, many patients remain without a genetic diagnosis. We studied a cohort of 19 patients with MAC who were ascertained from a population with high rates of consanguinity. Using single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) arrays and whole exome sequencing (WES), we identified one pathogenic variant in TENM3 in a patient with cataracts in addition to MAC. We also detected novel variants of unknown significance in genes that have previously been associated with MAC, including KIF26B, MICU1 and CDON, and identified variants in candidate genes for MAC from the Wnt signaling pathway, comprising LRP6, WNT2B and IQGAP1, but our findings do not prove causality. Plausible variants were not found for many of the cases, indicating that our current understanding of the pathogenesis of MAC, a highly heterogeneous group of ocular defects, remains incomplete.
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## 1| INTRODUCTION

Microphthalmia, anophthalmia and coloboma (MAC) are structural eye defects with high importance because of the lifelong medical and social implications of reduced vision. Both environmental and genetic factors have been implicated in the pathogenesis of MAC and there are at least 82 known causative genes. ${ }^{1}$ MAC can arise due to defects in early eye development, including the induction, proliferation, migration and differentiation of ocular tissues. ${ }^{2}$ Pathogenic genes for MAC can be subdivided into transcription factors (SOX2, OTX2, PAX6, VSX2, PITX3, RAX, SIX6, PAX6, FOXE3, SALL2, ATHO7), genes involved in the retinoic acid signaling pathway ( $A L D H 1 A 3$, $S T R A 6, R A R B$ ), genes from the TGFB/BMP signaling pathway (BMP4, BMP7, GDF6, GDF3), and other genes with known or unknown functions that do not fit into these categories (SHH, ABCB6, MAB21L2, C12orf57, TENM3/ODZ3, PXDN, YAP1, HMGB3, CRIM1). ${ }^{3}$ In order to obtain data regarding causative genes and variants for MAC and to identify novel genes and variants, we performed single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) arrays and trio whole exome sequencing (WES) on 19 patients with MAC that were recruited from a consanguineous population of Pakistani ethnicity. We have previously been successful in identifying causative variants for structural eye defects in a population with high rates of consanguinity. ${ }^{4}$

## 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

Written, informed consent was obtained in Urdu using a protocol approved by the Committee for Human Research at the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF; protocol 15-17 275). Clinical information and ophthalmological examination findings were collected as part of standard clinic practice. SNP-based cytogenomic arrays were performed in 16 patients using the Illumina CytoSNP-850 K Platform with genome build hg 19 to detect genome-wide genomic copy number changes (CNVs) and regions of homozygosity (ROH) and reported as for standard clinical practice by the UCSF Cytogenetics laboratory (Supplemental File 1). We used the software program "Firefly" together with the search terms "coloboma", "microphthalmia" and "microcornea" to interrogate ROHs from the SNP arrays for causative genes. WES was performed by the Baylor Hopkins Center for Mendelian Genomics (BHCMG; see Supplemental File 1). We utilized the web-based, BHCMG PhenodB tool to examine the data for deleterious variants in known genes for MAC. ${ }^{5}$ We also examined the .vcf files for variants using Opal Clinical (Fabric Genomics) and Moon Diploid and compared variants in patients with those in biological parents to search for causes of Mendelian genetic disease (de novo, homozygous, compound heterozygous and inherited heterozygous disease-causing variants). We used the guidelines published by the American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG) ${ }^{6}$ to evaluate variants.

The ophthalmological findings and extraocular features of the patients are summarized in Table 1 and pedigrees from each family are shown in Figure S1. Of the 19 families, 15 were
consanguineous, with 12 sets of parents who were first cousins and one set of parents who were second cousins. All patients had ocular colobomas, with 15 patients having iris colobomas, 16 with chorioretinal colobomas, 12 with optic nerve colobomas, and one patient with a macular coloboma. Additional eye findings comprised microphthalmia (12 patients), microcornea (13 patients), retinal dystrophy ( 8 patients), cataract (4 patients), vitreous syneresis (3 patients), and single patients each had lens subluxation or retinal detachment. Extraocular findings were rare, but single patients were diagnosed with hearing loss, anterior glottic web and hearing loss, nephrotic syndrome, cleft lip, or global developmental delays (Table 1).

SNP array results on 16 patients confirmed parental consanguinity, with ROHs ranging from 16 megabases $(\mathrm{Mb})$ to 503 Mb and coefficients of inbreeding of $1 / 64$ to $11 / 64$ (Table 2). All families underwent WES and variants in genes related to MAC have been listed in Table 3.

## 3| RESULTS

Patient EG16_1, with bilateral iris and chorioretinal colobomas, microphthalmia and cataracts, had a large ROH on chromosome 4q [4q34.1q35.2(175936490_187,257576)×2 hmz . WES identified the homozygous variant c.1558C>T:p.(Arg520*) in TENM3, a gene contained within this ROH. Given the association between biallelic loss of function variants in TENM3 and MAC, ${ }^{7-10}$ the variant was considered to be pathogenic. The presence of cataracts potentially expands the ocular phenotype associated with loss of function variants in TENM3, as cataracts have not previously been described. We also identified a variant with a classification of likely pathogenic in $P O L R 2 A$ (Table 3), but this gene is not known to be associated with MAC. ${ }^{11}$

We found several variants of unknown significance (VUSs) in these patients. In EG38_1, with unilateral iris and chorioretinal colobomas, a homozygous missense variant, c. $2285 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{p}$. $(\operatorname{Arg} 762 \mathrm{Gln}$ ) was detected in KIF26B. A heterozygous, 22 bp deletion affecting exon 12 of KIF26B was previously described in a patient with renal coloboma syndrome who had mild, bilateral optic nerve colobomas and underwent renal transplant. ${ }^{12}$ In EG40_1 with unilateral macular coloboma, microphthalmia and microcornea, cataract and lens subluxation, homozygosity for c.886T>G:p.(Phe296Val) was identified in MICU1, a gene previously associated with cataracts, but only in one patient with a partial, homozygous gene deletion. ${ }^{13}$ In patient EG37_1, with coloboma of the iris, chorioretinal structures and optic nerve, microphthalmia, cataract and retinal dystrophy, a homozygous variant, c.863A>G:p.(Tyr288Cys), was identified in CDON. A homozygous, truncating variant, c.622C>T:p.(Arg208Ter), was described in $C D O N$ in a female with bilateral optic nerve colobomas, unilateral retinal coloboma, growth and developmental delays, hypotonia and facial anomalies. ${ }^{14}$ The reported patient also had a homozygous missense variant in $M A P R E$, c. $344 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{p} .(\operatorname{Arg} 115 \mathrm{Gln})$, but $M A P R E$ is not associated with MAC. ${ }^{14}$ Heterozygous, missense variants in $C D O N$ have been reported in patients with holoprosencephaly spectrum and pituitary stalk interruption syndrome, but only congenital convergent strabismus was reported in these patients. ${ }^{15}$ Cdon is a multifunctional, cell surface protein of the immunoglobulin superfamily that is expressed in the dorsal eye during early ocular development of the mouse and functions as a co-receptor for Shh in early
forebrain development. ${ }^{16-17}$ Mice lacking Cdon display coloboma, failure to form a proper boundary between the retinal pigmented epithelium and optic stalk, defective lens formation, failure of the lens to separate from the surface ectoderm, and microphthalmia. ${ }^{16-17}$ In cardiomyocytes from Cdon homozygous null mice, hyperactivity of Wnt signaling has been observed ${ }^{18}$ and this mechanism may also be relevant to the eye defects observed with bialleic variants in this gene. This patient has a broader spectrum of eye defects and is the third to be reported with retinal coloboma in association with biallelic variants in $C D O N$, as whilst this paper was in review, two patients with ocular colobomas involving the iris, retina and choroid who were compound heterozygotes for $\mathrm{c} .928+1 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}$ and $\mathrm{c} .2650+1 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}$ in this gene were published. ${ }^{19}$

We noted several variants in genes that are members of the Wnt signaling pathway or putatively involved in Wnt signaling. Patient EG22_1 with colobomas of the iris, chorioretinal structures and optic nerve, microphthalmia, microcornea and retinal dystrophy had a heterozygous missense variant, c.802C>T:p.(Arg268Cys), in $W N T 2 B$. This gene was expressed in the anterior epithelium of the lens, anterior rim of the optic vesicle and in the retinal pigment epithelium in animal studies and inhibits differentiation of the progenitor cells in the marginal retina by downregulating the expression of proneural genes. ${ }^{20-22}$ The gene is an excellent candidate for structural eye defects and the variant had a CADD score of 33 , but heterozygosity for this $W N T 2 B$ variant was present in $10 / 251396$ individuals in the gnomAD database. In EG28_1 with unilateral chorioretinal coloboma and coloboma of the optic nerve, we detected heterozygosity for $\mathrm{c} .1031 \mathrm{~T}>\mathrm{C}$ :p. (Leu344Ser) in LRP6, a member of the low-density lipoprotein receptor family. Murine embryos that were homozygous for a Lrp6 loss-of-function allele displayed microphthalmia and colobomas involving the retina and the optic nerve that varied in laterality and severity, but were fully penetrant. ${ }^{23-24}$ Patient EG21_1 has colobomas of the iris, chorioretina, and optic nerve and was homozygous for c.2524A>C:p. (Ile842Leu) in IQGAP1. This gene encodes a multidomain, scaffolding protein that interacts with numerous signaling molecules, including calmodulin, MAPK, PI3K, AKT, and forkhead box protein O1. ${ }^{25}$ IQGAP1 interacts with $\beta$-catenin in the Wnt signaling pathway in the retinal pigment epithelium, ${ }^{26}$ but there is no data on eye defects in association with this gene in humans or animal models.

We also detected several variants in genes associated with MAC, but that we could not conclude were pathogenic because of zygosity or inheritance from a reportedly unaffected parent. These variants included a heterozygous variant, c.2675G>A:p. $(\operatorname{Arg} 892 \mathrm{Gln})$, in $D H X 37$, a gene recently associated with chorioretinal lacunae and optic nerve coloboma, ${ }^{27}$ c. $4268 \mathrm{~A}>\mathrm{G}$ :p.(His1423Arg) in MYO 10 in patient with iris and chorioretinal colobomas, microcornea and unilateral retinal dystrophy and a heterozygous, missense variant in RERE, c. $4087 \mathrm{C}>$ T:p.(Pro1363Ser), that was paternally inherited.

Only one CNV, a heterozygous, 47.6 kilobase deletion at chromosome 6 q 21 containing exons 2 to 10 of the FIG4 gene was considered likely to be pathogenic by the reporting laboratory. FIG4 is a lipid phosphatase and although biallelic, deleterious variants in this gene are associated with Yunis-Varon syndrome, ${ }^{28}$ haploinsufficiency for FIG4 is not known to cause MAC. We therefore consider that this deletion is of unknown significance. No further variants in this gene were found with WES.

Our yield of pathogenic variants ( $1 / 19 ; 5 \%$ ) was much lower than two recent studies employing WES in patients with anophthalmia and microphthalmia $(60 \%)^{29}$ and in microphthalmia and posterior microphthalmia $(61 \%) .{ }^{1}$ However, low rates of diagnosis have previously been reported in patients with MAC who underwent exome sequencing ( $11 \%$ ). ${ }^{30}$ Other factors that may have influenced variant detection rate in our work include incomplete phenotypic information and a lack of direct patient contact after sequencing, which hindered our ability to re-phenotype the patients and to perform further clinical investigations to evaluate candidate variants. Despite the low yield, this study has identified plausible candidate variants and genes for MAC that can be further evaluated with entry into gene matchmaking sites and functional research.

In summary, we studied 19 patients with MAC from a population with high rates of consanguineous unions to increase the probability of finding causative, autosomal recessive variants. We identified a pathogenic variant in TENM3 and identified VUSs in genes that have previously been associated with structural eye defects, including KIF26B, MICU1 and $C D O N$. We also identified candidate variants in $W N T 2 B, L R P 6$ and $I Q G A P 1$, genes that are related to the Wnt signaling pathway, that require further investigation. However, the lack of pathogenic variants in many patients emphasizes the high heterogeneity of MAC and suggests that previously unknown variants or novel genes will be relevant to the etiology of eye defects in this population.
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Ocular findings in 19 patients with structural eye defects, together with exome results

| Patient | Sex | Consanguinity | Eye phenotype: coloboma | Eye phenotype: globe | Eye phenotype: other | Extraocular findings | Gene variants discussed in text |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EG14_1 | M | No | Iris; Chorioretina | L microphthalmia; microcornea | L retinal detachment; L cataract | Nephrotic syndrome |  |
| EG15_1 | F | Yes; degree unspecified | Chorioretina; Optic nerve | R microphthalmia; microcornea | Retinal dystrophy; L syneresis; cataract; microspherophakia | Hearing loss |  |
| EG16_1 | M | first cousins | Iris; Chorioretina | L microphthalmia | Cataract; syneresis | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TENM3 c. } 886 \mathrm{~T}>\mathrm{G}: \mathrm{p} . \\ & \text { (Phe296Val) } \end{aligned}$ |
| EG17_1 | M | first cousins | Chorioretina; Optic nerve | R microphthalmia; microcornea | - | - |  |
| EG18_1 | F | first cousins | Iris; Chorioretina; Optic disc | R microphthalmia; microcornea | - | Global delays |  |
| EG20_1 | M | first cousins | Iris; Chorioretina; Optic nerve | Microphthalmia; Microcornea | R retinal dystrophy | - |  |
| EG21_1 | F | first cousins | Iris; Chorioretina; Optic nerve | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IQGAPI c.2524A>C:p. } \\ & \text { (Ile842Leu) } \end{aligned}$ |
| EG22_1 | F | first cousins | Iris; Chorioretina; Optic nerve | L microphthalmia; microcornea | R retinal dystrophy | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WNT2Bc.802C>T:p. } \\ & \text { (Arg268Cys) } \end{aligned}$ |
| EG25_1 | F | No | Iris; Optic nerve | Microcornea | Retinal dystrophy | Hearing loss; Anterior glottic web | $\begin{aligned} & \text { POLR2A c. } 2887 \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}: \mathrm{p} . \\ & \text { (Arg963Trp) } \end{aligned}$ |
| EG26_1 | M | Yes; degree unspecified | Iris; Chorioretina; Optic nerve | - | - | - |  |
| EG28_1 | M | No | Chorioretina; Optic nerve | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LRP6c.1031T>C:p. } \\ & \text { (Leu344Ser) } \end{aligned}$ |
| EG29_1 | F | first cousins | Iris; Chorioretina; Optic disc | Microcornea | R retinal dystrophy | - |  |
| EG37_1 | M | second cousins | Iris; Chorioretina; Optic nerve | Microphthalmia; microcornea | Retinal dystrophy; R syneresis; Cataract | - | $\begin{aligned} & C D O N \text { c. } 863 \mathrm{~A}>\mathrm{G}: \mathrm{p} . \\ & \text { (Tyr288Cys) } \end{aligned}$ |
| EG38_1 | F | first cousins | Iris; Chorioretina | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KIF26B c. } 2285 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{p} . \\ & \text { (Arg762Gln) } \end{aligned}$ |
| EG40_1 | M | first cousins | Chorioretina | R microphthalmia; microcornea | Cataract; lens subluxation; microspherophakia | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MICUI c.886T>G:p. } \\ & \text { (Phe296Val) } \end{aligned}$ |
| EG41_1 | M | first cousins | Chorioretina; Optic disc | L microphthalmia; icrocornea | R retinal dystrophy | - |  |
| EG42_1 | F | first cousins | Iris; Chorioretina; Optic nerve | L microphthalmia; microcornea | - | - |  |
| EG46_1 | M | No | Iris | L microphthalmia | R retinal dystrophy |  |  |



| Patient | Sex | Consanguinity | Eye phenotype: coloboma | Eye phenotype: globe | Eye phenotype: other | Extraocular <br> findings |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EG47_1 | F | first cousins | Iris; Chorioretina; Optic nerve | - | - |  |

Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) array results in 16 patients with microphthalmia, anophthalmia and coloboma (MAC)

| Patient | Consan- guinity | Coefficient of inbreeding (F) | ROH (Mb) | Copy number variants GRCh37/hg19 | CNV size | Interpretation by testing laboratory | Protein-coding genes (OMIM) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EG15_1 | Yes; degree unspecified | - | 16 | - | - | - | - |
| EG16_1 | first cousins | 3/32 | 288 | 6q21(110023322_110070936)×1 | 47.6 kb | Likely pathogenic | FIG4exons 2-10 |
| EG17_1 | first cousins | 11/64 | 503 | - | - | - | - |
| EG18_1 | first cousins | 1/64 | 64 | Xq21.1(80855919_81855868)×3 | 1 Mb | Likely benign | - |
| EG20_1 | first cousins | 1/32 | 112 | - | - | - | - |
| EG21_1 | first cousins | 1/16 | 166 | 3p12.2(82316849_83126828)×3 | 0.81 Mb | Likely benign | - |
| EG22_1 | first cousins | 3/32 | 255 | - | - | - | - |
| EG25_1 | No | - | - | $\underset{\underset{\left(21470731 \_22213908\right) \times 3}{6 q 26(1626979) \times 1 / 17 p 11.2 p 11.1}}{ }$ | $96 \mathrm{~kb} / 0.743 \mathrm{Mb}$ | VUS/ VUS, Likely benign | - |
| EG26_1 | Yes; degree unspecified | 3/32 | 263 | 11p15. (4309990_4712353)×1 | 0.402 Mb | Likely benign | TRIM21, TRIM6, OR51E1, R51E2 |
| EG29_1 | first cousins | 1/32 | 94 | Xq21.31q21.32(91546790_92620821)×3 | 1.074 Mb | vUS | PCDHIIX |
| EG37_1 | second cousins | 1/64 | 58 | - | - | - | - |
| EG38_1 | first cousins | 1/32 | 111 | - | - | - | - |
| EG40_1 | first cousins | 1/16 | 178 | - | - | - | - |
| EG41_1 | first cousins | 1/32 | 112 | - | - | - | - |
| EG42_1 | first cousins | 3/32 | 271 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2q14.3(122777669_123102720) } \\ & \times 1 / 9 \mathrm{q} 11\left(27801814 \_28492962\right) \times 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.325 \mathrm{Mb} / 0.691 \\ & \mathrm{Mb} \end{aligned}$ | VUS, Likely benign/VUS, Likely benign | - |
| EG47_1 | first cousins | 1/32 | 103 | - | - | - | - |

[^1]TABLE 3
Variants in genes associated with microphthalmia, anophthalmia and coloboma (MAC)

| Sample | Gene name | Human genome variation society (HGVS) nomenclature | Zygosity | gnomAD minor allele frequency | Polyphen-2 | Mutation Taster | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CADD } \\ & \text { score }^{a} \end{aligned}$ | Conservation | ACMG <br> Classification ${ }^{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | pathogenic/likely pathogenic variants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EG16_1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TENM3 } \\ & \text { chr4:183601421C>T } \end{aligned}$ | NM_001080477.4: <br> c. $1558 \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}: \mathrm{p} .\left(\operatorname{Arg} 520^{*}\right)$ | Homozygous; biparental | Absent | - | DC ${ }^{\text {b }} ; 1.0$ | 38 | - | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P}^{c}(\mathrm{PVS} 1, \mathrm{PM} 2, \\ & \mathrm{PP} 3) \end{aligned}$ |
| EG25_1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { POLR2A } \\ & \text { chr17:7406570C>T } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NM_00937.4: c.2887C>T: } \\ & \text { p.(Arg963Trp) } \end{aligned}$ | Heterozygous; de novo | Absent | Prob. ${ }^{\text {d }}$; 1.0 | DC; 0.999 | 27.7 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pt}^{\mathrm{e}} / \\ & \mathrm{Mmus}^{i} f_{/ \mathrm{Dr}} g_{/ \mathrm{Dm}}{ }^{h} / \mathrm{Ce} \\ & i / \mathrm{Je}^{j} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{L P}^{k}(\mathrm{PM} 2 ; \mathrm{PS} 2, \\ & \text { PP3) } \end{aligned}$ |


|  | Variants of unknown significance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EG22_1 | $\begin{aligned} & W N T 2 B \\ & \text { chr1:113059863C>T } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NM_024494.3: c. } 802 \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T} \text { : } \\ & \text { p.(Arg268Cys) } \end{aligned}$ | Heterozygous; maternal | $\begin{aligned} & 10 / 251396 \\ & \text { No Hz } \end{aligned}$ | Prob. D; 0.999 | DC; 0.999 | 33 | $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{Mm}^{m} / \mathrm{Fc}^{n} / \mathrm{Mmus}$ | $\mathbf{V U S}{ }^{\text {o }}$ (PP3) |
| EG41_1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHX37 } \\ & \text { chr12:125438446C>T } \end{aligned}$ | NM_032656.3: <br> c. $2675 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{p} .(\mathrm{Arg} 892 \mathrm{Gln})$ | Heterozygous; paternal | $5 / 241454 \mathrm{No}$ | Prob. D; 1.0 | DC; 0.999 | 32 | $\mathrm{Mm} / \mathrm{Fc} /$ $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Mmus} / \mathrm{Gg} \\ & q_{/ \mathrm{Tr} / \mathrm{Dm} / \mathrm{Ce}} \end{aligned}$ | VUS (PP3) |
| EG38_1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KIF26B } \\ & \text { chr1:245847561G>A } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NM_018012:c. } 2285 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A} \text { : } \\ & \text { p.(Arg762Gln) } \end{aligned}$ | Homozygous; biparental | $\begin{aligned} & 5 / 248968 \mathrm{No} \\ & \mathrm{HZ}^{\mathrm{c}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prob. } \mathrm{D}^{d} \text {; } \\ & 0.976 \end{aligned}$ | DC; 0.999 | 31 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pt}^{e} / \\ & \mathrm{Mmus}^{\mathrm{Cmg}} \mathrm{Gg} g^{\mathrm{Tr}^{h} / \mathrm{Dr}^{i} /} \\ & \mathrm{CeVXt}^{k} \end{aligned}$ | VUS (PM2, PP3) |


| EG40_1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MICU1 } \\ & \text { chr10:74234905A>C } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NM_001195518.2: c. } 886 \\ & \text { T>G: p.(Phe296Val) } \end{aligned}$ | Homozygous; biparental | Absent | Prob. D; 1.0 | DC; 0.999 | 29.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{Mm}^{l} / \mathrm{Fc}{ }^{m} / \\ & \mathrm{Mmus} / \mathrm{Gg} / \mathrm{Tr} / \mathrm{Dr} / \mathrm{Dm} \\ & n_{/ \mathrm{Ce} / \mathrm{Xt}} \end{aligned}$ | VUS (PM2, PP3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EG41_1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADAMTS9 } \\ & \text { chr3:64536590C>A } \end{aligned}$ | NM_182920.1: c. $4847 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}$ : <br> p.(Trp1616Leu) | Heterozygous; maternal | $\begin{aligned} & 2 / 251360 \mathrm{No} \\ & \mathrm{H} 7 \end{aligned}$ | Prob. D; 0.989 | DC; 0.999 | 29.5 | $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{Mm} /$ <br> Mmus/Gg/Dr/Ce/Xt | VUS (PP3) |
| EG28_1 | $\begin{aligned} & L R P 6 \\ & \text { chr12:12334319G>A } \end{aligned}$ | NM_002336.3: c. 1031 T>C: p.(Leu344Ser) | Heterozygous; paternal | Absent | Prob. D; 1.0 | DC; 1.0 | 28.8 | $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{Mm} /$ <br> Mmus/Gg/Dr/Dm/Xt | VUS (PM2, PP3) |
| EG25_1 | WFS 1 chr4:6303728G>A | NM_00114853: <br> c.2206G>A: p.(Gly736Ser) | Heterozygous; paternal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8/245860 No } \\ & \mathrm{HZ} \end{aligned}$ | Prob. D; 1.0 | DC; 0.999 | 28.7 | $\mathrm{Mm} / \mathrm{Fc} /$ Mmus/Dr/Dm/Xt | VUS (PP3) |
| EG15_1 | RERE chr $1: 8418508 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NM_012102.3: c.4087C>T: } \\ & \text { p.(Pro1363Ser) } \end{aligned}$ | Heterozygous; paternal | Absent | Prob. D; 0.969 | DC; 1.0 | 26.2 | $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{Mm} / \mathrm{Fc} /$ Mmus/Gg/Dr/Xt | VUS (PM2, PP3) |
| EG37_1 | $\begin{aligned} & C D O N \operatorname{chr} 11: 125887048 \\ & \mathrm{~T}>\mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | NM_016952: c.863A>G: p. <br> (Tyr288Cys) | Homozygous; biparental | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 251320 \mathrm{No} \\ & \mathrm{HZ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Prob. D; 1.0 | DC; 0.999 | 25.9 | $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{Mm} / \mathrm{Fc} /$ Mmus/Dr/Dm | VUS (PP3, PP4) |


| Sample | Gene name | Human genome variation society (HGVS) nomenclature | Zygosity | gnomAD minor allele frequency | Polyphen-2 | Mutation Taster | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CADD } \\ & \text { score }^{a} \end{aligned}$ | Conservation | ACMG <br> Classification ${ }^{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EG21_1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IQGAP1 } \\ & \text { chr15:91017314A>C } \end{aligned}$ | NM_0038704: c.2524A>C: p.(Ile842Leu) | Homozygous; biparental | $\begin{aligned} & 3 / 250952 \text { No } \\ & \text { HZ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Poss. } \mathrm{D}^{r} ; \\ & 0.924 \end{aligned}$ | DC; 0.999 | 25.4 | $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{Mm} / \mathrm{Fe} /$ Mmus/Gg/Tr/Dr/Ce/X t | VUS (PP3) |
| EG42_1 | WDR37 <br> chr10:1118109G>A | NM_014023.3: c.14G>A: <br> p.(Ser5Asn) | Homozygous; biparental | $\begin{aligned} & 2 / 251418 \mathrm{No} \\ & \mathrm{HZ} \end{aligned}$ | Benign; 0.004 | DC; 0.999 | 23.4 | $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{Mm} / \mathrm{Fc} /$ Mmus/Gg/Dr/Xt | VUS (PP3) |
| EG17_1 | $\begin{aligned} & C A S K \\ & \text { chr23:41446185C>T } \end{aligned}$ | NM_001126054: <br> c. $1289 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{p} .(\mathrm{Arg} 430 \mathrm{His})$ | Hemizygous; maternal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 47/203108 } \\ & \text { No HZ } \end{aligned}$ | - | DC; 0.999 | 23.4 | $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{Mm} / \mathrm{Fe} /$ <br> Mmus/Gg/Tr/Dr/Dm/ <br> Xt | VUS (PP3) |
| EG14_1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COL4A1 } \\ & \text { chr13:110835413C>A } \end{aligned}$ | NM_001845.4: c.2022G>T: p.(Arg674Ser) | Hemizygous; Maternal | $\begin{aligned} & 2 / 246948 \text { No } \\ & \text { HZ } \end{aligned}$ | Prob. D; 0.990 | DC; 0.999 | 22.6 | $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{Mm} / \mathrm{Fc} /$ Mmus/Gg/Xt | VUS (PP3) |
| EG14_1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FAT1 } \\ & \text { chr4:187540896C }>\mathrm{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NM_005245.4: } \\ & \text { c.6844G>A: p. } \\ & \text { (Val2282Met) } \end{aligned}$ | Heterozygous; paternal | Absent | Benign; 0.245 | DC; 0.999 | 22.3 | $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{Mm} /$ Mmus/Gg/Tr/Dr/Xt | VUS (PM2) |
| EG29_1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MYO1O chr5:16681534 } \\ & \mathrm{T}>\mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NM_012334.3: } \\ & \text { c.4268A>G: p. } \\ & \text { (His1423Arg) } \end{aligned}$ | Heterozygous; paternal | Absent | Benign; 0.044 | DC; 1.0 | 21.2 | Pt/Mm/ <br> Mmus/Gg/Tr/Dr/Xt | VUS (PM2) |
| EG42_1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { POMT1 } \\ & \text { chr9:134396833G }>\mathrm{A} \end{aligned}$ | NM_007171.3: <br> c. $1865 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{p} .(\operatorname{Arg} 622 \mathrm{Gln})$ | Hemizygous; maternal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9/282808 No } \\ & \text { HZ } \end{aligned}$ | Poss D; 0.612 | DC; 0.999 | 21.1 | $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{Mm} /$ <br> Mmus/Gg/Tr/Dr/Xt | VUS (PP3) |
| EG42_1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { POMT1 } \\ & \text { chr9:134385176G>T } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NM_007171.3:c. } 586 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T} \text { : } \\ & \text { p.(Ala196Ser) } \end{aligned}$ | Hemizygous; paternal | Absent | Benign; 0.094 | $\mathrm{PM}^{\text {S }} ; 0.999$ | 20.2 | $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{Mm} / \mathrm{Gg}$ | VUS (PM2) |
| EG46_1 | GDF6 chr8:97157179G> T | NM_001001557.2: <br> c. $980 \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{p}$.(Pro327His) | Heterozygous; paternal | $\begin{aligned} & 77 / 149532 \\ & \text { No HZ } \end{aligned}$ | Benign; 1.0 | DC: 0.057 | 16.8 | $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{Mm} / \mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Mmus}$ | VUS (PP5) |
| EG28_1 | KDM6A chr23:44941845 44941847delCAT | ENST00000382899.4 c.3190_3192delCAT: p. (His 1064del) | Hemizygous; maternal | - | - | DC; 0.999 | - | $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{Mm} / \mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Mmus} / \mathrm{Gg}$ | VUS (PM4; PM2) |

${ }^{a}$ Genes are ordered from highest to lowest CADD scores in each category,
${ }^{b}$ DC, disease-causing.
${ }^{c}$ P, pathogenic; abbreviations from reference 6
Prob. D, probably damaging.
${ }^{e} \mathrm{Pt}$, Pan troglodytes.
$f_{\text {Mmus, Mus }}$ musculus.
$g_{\text {Dr, Danio rerio. }}$
${ }^{h}$ Dm, Drosophila melanogaster:
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