# **UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works**

# **Title**

The Effects of Inorganic Nitrogen form and CO2 Concentration on Wheat Yield and Nutrient Accumulation and Distribution

**Permalink** <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9rw9g8tr>

**Journal** Frontiers in Plant Science, 3(SEP)

**ISSN** 1664-462X

# **Authors**

Carlisle, Eli Myers, Samuel Raboy, Victor [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9rw9g8tr#author)

**Publication Date** 2012

# **DOI**

10.3389/fpls.2012.00195

Peer reviewed



# The effects of inorganic nitrogen form and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ [concentration on wheat yield and nutrient accumulation](http://www.frontiersin.org/Plant_Nutrition/10.3389/fpls.2012.00195/abstract) [and distribution](http://www.frontiersin.org/Plant_Nutrition/10.3389/fpls.2012.00195/abstract)

# **[Eli Carlisle](http://www.frontiersin.org/Community/WhosWhoActivity.aspx?sname=EliCarlisle&UID=58053)<sup>1</sup>\*, [Samuel Myers](http://www.frontiersin.org/Community/WhosWhoActivity.aspx?sname=SamuelMyers&UID=58056) <sup>2</sup> , [Victor Raboy](http://www.frontiersin.org/Community/WhosWhoActivity.aspx?sname=VictorRaboy&UID=58055) <sup>3</sup> and [Arnold Bloom](http://www.frontiersin.org/Community/WhosWhoActivity.aspx?sname=ArnoldBloom&UID=29537)<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Department of Plant Sciences, University of California, Davis, CA, USA

<sup>2</sup> Harvard School of Public Health, Harvard University, Boston, MA, USA

<sup>3</sup> Agricultural Research Service, United States Department of Agriculture, Aberdeen, ID, USA

#### **Edited by:**

Jan K. Schjoerring, University of Copenhagen, Denmark

#### **Reviewed by:**

Roberto A. Gaxiola, Arizona State University, USA Victoria Fernandez, Technical University of Madrid, Spain

#### **\*Correspondence:**

Eli Carlisle, Department of Plant Sciences, University of California, One Shields Avenue, Davis, CA 95616, USA. e-mail: [ecarlisle@ucdavis.edu](mailto:ecarlisle@ucdavis.edu)

Inorganic N is available to plants from the soil as ammonium ( $NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>$ ) and nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub>). We studied how wheat grown hydroponically to senescence in controlled environmental chambers is affected by N form  $(NH_4^+$  vs.  $NO_3^-)$  and  $CO_2$  concentration ("subambient," "ambient," and "elevated") in terms of biomass, yield, and nutrient accumulation and partitioning. Wheat supplied with  $NH_4^+$  as a sole N source had the strongest response to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration. Plants exposed to subambient and ambient  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations typically had the greatest biomass and nutrient accumulation under both N forms. In general  $NH_4^+$  -supplied plants had higher concentrations of total N, P, K, S, Ca, Zn, Fe, and Cu, while NO $_3^-$ -supplied plants had higher concentrations of Mg, B, Mn, and NO $_3^-$  - N. NH $_4^+$  -supplied plants contained amounts of phytate similar to NO $_3^-$ -supplied plants but had higher bioavailable Zn, which could have consequences for human health.  $\mathrm{NH}_4^+$  -supplied plants allocated more nutrients and biomass to aboveground tissues whereas  $\mathsf{NO_3^+}$  -supplied plants allocated more nutrients to the roots.The two inorganic nitrogen forms influenced plant growth and nutrient status so distinctly that they should be treated as separate nutrients. Moreover, plant growth and nutrient status varied in a non-linear manner with atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ concentration.

**Keywords: climate change, wheat, ammonium, nitrate, nutrients, grain, phytate, CO<sup>2</sup>**

# **INTRODUCTION**

Nitrogen (N) is the mineral element that most often limits plant growth and primary productivity in natural and agricultural systems. Plants usually acquire N from the soil in the forms of ammonium  $(NH_4^+)$  and nitrate  $(NO_3^-)$ , and management of these forms is vital to agriculture. Wheat can utilize either form alone [\(Wang and Below, 1992\)](#page-13-0), but mixed N nutrition (e.g.,  $NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>$ ) typically produces the best grain yields and quality in hydroponically grown [\(Gentry et al., 1989;](#page-13-1) [Heberer and Below, 1989;](#page-13-2) [Wang](#page-13-3) [and Below, 1995\)](#page-13-3) and field-grown plants [\(Bock, 1987;](#page-12-0) [Camberato](#page-12-1) [and Bock, 1990\)](#page-12-1).

Ammonium and nitrate affect crops differently when either is supplied as the sole N source [\(Bloom, 1997\)](#page-12-2). Ammonium requires less energy to assimilate into organic compounds [\(Bloom, 1997\)](#page-12-2), but can prove toxic if it accumulates to high concentrations within plant tissues [\(Cox and Reisenauer, 1973;](#page-13-4) [Goyal and Huffaker,](#page-13-5) [1984\)](#page-13-5). Nitrate is generally the predominant form available in aerated, temperate agricultural soils [\(Haynes, 1986;](#page-13-6) [Bloom, 1997\)](#page-12-2), and may accumulate within plant tissues to high concentrations without toxicity [\(Goyal and Huffaker, 1984\)](#page-13-5). In wheat, the N form supplied has been found to influence many physiological parameters profoundly including biomass [\(Wang and Below, 1995,](#page-13-3) [1996,](#page-13-7) [1998;](#page-13-8) [Bloom et al., 2002\)](#page-12-3), leaf area [\(Bloom et al., 2002\)](#page-12-3), tillering [\(Chen et al., 1998\)](#page-13-9), seed mass [\(Wang and Below, 1995\)](#page-13-3), protein content [\(Wang and Below, 1995\)](#page-13-3), and mineral nutrient

acquisition and distribution [\(Gashaw and Mugwira, 1981;](#page-13-10) [Wang](#page-13-8) [and Below, 1998\)](#page-13-8), although such differences can vary among cultivars [\(Gashaw and Mugwira, 1981;](#page-13-10) [Wang and Below, 1995\)](#page-13-3).

The presence of  $\mathrm{NH}_4^+$  , as either a sole N source or in mixed N nutrition, increased organic N concentration in shoots, roots, and grain and decreased partitioning of dry matter to the roots in wheat [\(Wang and Below, 1995\)](#page-13-3). Decreased cation uptake has been found in wheat under  $NH_4^+$  nutrition (e.g., [Gashaw and Mugwira,](#page-13-10) [1981;](#page-13-10) [Wang and Below, 1998\)](#page-13-8), although results varied among cul-tivars [\(Gashaw and Mugwira, 1981\)](#page-13-10). For example,  $NH_4^+$  nutrition decreased whole plant and shoot accumulations of K, Cu, Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn, and Zn [\(Wang and Below, 1998\)](#page-13-8). Nutrient allocation to plant tissues also varied between N forms.  $NH_4^+$  -fed plants distributed a smaller percentage of total P, K, Cu, and B to roots relative to  $NO_3^+$  -fed plants [\(Wang and Below, 1995,](#page-13-3) [1998\)](#page-13-8). Also, a greater percentage of reduced N was allocated to the shoots in  $NH_4^+$  -fed plants [\(Wang and Below, 1995\)](#page-13-3).

Elevated atmospheric concentrations of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  alter growth and N dynamics of wheat and other  $C_3$  plants. Under elevated  $CO_2$ , wheat has lower protein and N concentrations (e.g., [Thomp](#page-13-11)[son and Woodward, 1994;](#page-13-11) [Bloom et al., 2002;](#page-12-3) [Wu et al., 2004\)](#page-13-12), and lower macro- and micronutrients concentrations [\(Mander](#page-13-13)[scheid et al., 1995;](#page-13-13) [Fangmeier et al., 1997,](#page-13-14) [1999;](#page-13-15) [Wu et al., 2004;](#page-13-12) [Högy and Fangmeier, 2008\)](#page-13-16). Grain phytate concentrations are also thought to increase or remain the same under elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ ,

and in conjunction with decreased concentrations of micronutrients, bioavailable Zn and Fe are expected to decrease even further under elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  [\(Loladze,](#page-13-17) [2002;](#page-13-17) [Manoj-Kumar,](#page-13-18) [2011\)](#page-13-18), as these micronutrients form indigestible complexes with phytate. By contrast, wheat yields [\(Fangmeier et al.,](#page-13-19) [1996;](#page-13-19) [Amthor,](#page-12-4) [2001;](#page-12-4) [Högy](#page-13-16) [and Fangmeier,](#page-13-16) [2008\)](#page-13-16), harvest index (HI; [Wu et al.,](#page-13-12) [2004\)](#page-13-12), whole plant biomass [\(Fangmeier et al.,](#page-13-19) [1996;](#page-13-19)[Högy and Fangmeier,](#page-13-16) [2008\)](#page-13-16), shoot biomass [\(Fangmeier et al.,](#page-13-19) [1996;](#page-13-19) [Högy et al.,](#page-13-20) [2009\)](#page-13-20), and root biomass [\(Chaudhuri et al.,](#page-12-5) [1990;](#page-12-5) [Wechsung et al.,](#page-13-21) [1995\)](#page-13-21) typically increase under  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  enrichment. In addition, elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration can increase tillering [\(Weigel et al.,](#page-13-22) [1994\)](#page-13-22), nitrogen use efficiency (NUE[,Fangmeier et al.,1997\)](#page-13-14), and micro/macronutrient use efficiencies [\(Manderscheid et al.,](#page-13-13) [1995\)](#page-13-13). The influence of elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  on many of these characteristics may vary among cultivars and research protocols (e.g., FACE vs. controlled environment chamber, greenhouse vs. field; [Amthor,](#page-12-4) [2001;](#page-12-4) [Högy and](#page-13-16) [Fangmeier,](#page-13-16) [2008\)](#page-13-16).

Wheat grown under  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  enrichment behaves differently under  $NO_3^-$  and  $NH_4^+$  nutrition. Exposure to elevated  $CO_2$  inhibits  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  photoassimilation in wheat [\(Bloom et al.,](#page-12-6) [1989,](#page-12-6) [2002,](#page-12-3) [2010;](#page-12-7) [Cousins and Bloom,](#page-13-23) [2004\)](#page-13-23) as well as in all other  $C_3$  and  $C_3$ -C<sup>4</sup> intermediate plants tested [\(Bloom et al.,](#page-12-8) [2012\)](#page-12-8). At elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-fed plants showed greater increases in leaf area and smaller decreases in shoot protein concentration than  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  fed plants [\(Bloom et al.,](#page-12-3) [2002\)](#page-12-3), which could have consequences for human nutrition. Vegetative plants receiving  $NH_4^+$  had greater shoot, stem, and root biomass at elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  [\(Bloom et al.,](#page-12-3) [2002\)](#page-12-3). Wheat receiving  $NO_3^-$  grew slower at elevated  $CO_2$  than at ambient  $CO_2$  [\(Bloom et al.,](#page-12-3) [2002\)](#page-12-3). Shoot  $NO_3^-$  concentrations in NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-fed plants were undetectable while those in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-fed</sup> plants increased by 62% with  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  enrichment [\(Bloom et al.,](#page-12-3) [2002\)](#page-12-3). This increase was associated with an inhibition in  $NO_3^$ and  $NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>$  reductase activities under elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  [\(Bloom et al.,](#page-12-3) [2002\)](#page-12-3).

The interaction between atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration and inorganic N form and how it influences plant growth and nutrient concentrations has not been examined in wheat or any other crop species grown to senescence. Here, we grew wheat hydroponically in controlled environment chambers and measured mineral nutrition, biomass, and nutrient allocation in response to three concentrations of atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (subambient, ambient, and elevated) and two forms of N nutrition (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>). We tested the following hypotheses: (1) plant nutrient concentrations and allocation patterns will respond differently to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  enrichment under the two N forms, and (2)  $NO_3^-$ -fed plants will show a smaller biomass and yield enhancement in response to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ enrichment than NH $_4^+$  -fed plants as a result of  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  inhibition of shoot  $NO_3^-$  assimilation. Also, we observed both differences in the Zn concentration between plants grown on  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  and a strong dependence of Zn absorption on Zn and phytate concentration, indicating that phytate and bioavailable Zn are affected by N form and CO2. Therefore, we used the well supported Miller equation [\(Miller et al.,](#page-13-24) [2007\)](#page-13-24) to estimate how N and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  might impact a hypothetical human population. Iron, another important micronutrient that forms complexes with phytate, was not analyzed because we observed no significant differences in iron concentrations between the N forms and because how best to

estimate Fe absorption in humans is still uncertain [\(Welch and](#page-13-25) [Graham,](#page-13-25) [2004\)](#page-13-25).

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

# **EXPERIMENTAL**

Wheat seeds (*Triticum aestivum* cv. Veery 10) were surface sterilized for one minute in 2.6% sodium hypochlorite solution and thoroughly rinsed with DDI water. The seeds were then rolled up in germination paper saturated with 10 mM CaSO4. The germination paper was placed in a 400 mL beaker with approximately 75 mL of 10 mM CaSO<sup>4</sup> solution, covered with a plastic bag and placed in an incubator (23˚C) for four days. Seedlings were transplanted into 20 L tubs filled with an aerated nutrient solution that contained 1 mM CaSO<sub>4</sub>, 1 mM K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 1 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 2 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and  $0.2 g L^{-1}$  Fe-NaEDTA and micronutrients (20% of a modified Hoagland's solution with either  $0.2 \text{ mM KNO}_3$  or  $0.1 \text{ mM}$  $(NH_4)$ <sub>2</sub> HPO<sub>4</sub> as the N source, [Epstein and Bloom,](#page-13-26) [2005\)](#page-13-26). The nutrient solution was replaced weekly and an additional 0.2 mM of NO<sub>3</sub> - or NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> – *N* was added midweek until harvest. The solution volume was maintained by daily addition of deionized water. Solution pH varied between 6.8 and 7.0 for both of the N forms, and the  $NH_4^+$  and the NO<sub>3</sub> solutions did not differ by more than 0.1 pH units.

The plants were grown in controlled environment chambers (Conviron,Winnipeg,Canada) set at 23/20˚C day/night at 60–70% relative humidity with a photoperiod of 15 h. The photosynthetic flux density was  $375 \mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at plant height. Plants were subjected to one of three  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations: "subambient" (310  $\pm$  30 ppm), "ambient" (410  $\pm$  30 ppm), and "elevated"  $(720 \pm 5$  ppm). Subambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were maintained by passing air that entered the growth chamber through wet soda lime, a mixture of KOH, NaOH, and  $Ca(OH)_2$  that was replaced as needed. The elevated CO<sub>2</sub> conditions were maintained in an environmental chamber equipped with non-dispersive infrared analyzers for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (Horiba model APBA-250E) and valves that added pure  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to the incoming air stream to hold the chamber concentration at 720 ppm.

The wheat was grown until all aboveground parts turned completely yellow. Plant matter was sorted into grain, chaff, shoots, and roots and dried for 48 h at 55˚C. Data on kernel number (KN), kernel mass, number of heads, kernels head $^{-1}$ , and HI were collected prior to sample preparation for nutrient analysis. A portion of the grain was analyzed for phytate using a modification of the method as described by [Haug and Lantzsch](#page-13-27) [\(1983\)](#page-13-27). The remainder of the grain as well as the shoots and chaff was bulked into five repetitions per treatment and sent to the UC Davis Analytical Laboratory for nutrient analysis. The roots of plants for each  $CO_2 \times N$  treatment became entangled within the same tub; therefore, we were unable to separate the roots of the individual plants for analysis. Root data are thus presented as means for each treatment with no standard errors or confidence intervals.

Data were analyzed using PROC MIXED (SAS 9.0 Cary, NC, USA). Nitrogen form and CO<sub>2</sub> factors were treated as fixed independent variables. We used the Tukey–Kramer Honestly Significant Difference test for mean separation. Probabilities less than 0.05 were considered significant. Because some of the transformed variables did not meet the assumption of homogeneity of variances, but one-way ANOVAs met the ANOVA assumptions, we analyzed the results via one-way ANOVAs to gain some information on the interactions between  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and N form.

# **MODELING THE INFLUENCE OF N FORM ON Zn NUTRITION IN THE HUMAN DIET**

We used a database derived from the United Nation's Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO)'s national food balance sheets (FBS) to estimate the average daily per capita dietary intake of zinc and phytate from 95 different food commodities in each of 176 countries. This database combines FAO data on per capita intake of food commodities with USDA data on the nutrient or phytate content of each of these commodities. More detailed discussion of the creation of this database for the International Zinc Collaborative Group may be found in [Wuehler et al.](#page-13-28) [\(2005\)](#page-13-28). Using this database, we produced two datasheets: one containing per capita daily dietary intake of zinc from each food commodity for each country and another containing per capita phytate intake from each food commodity for each country. To calculate total dietary zinc (TDZ) and total dietary phytate (TDP) per country, we summed across the rows of all food commodities for each respective country.

To determine the proportion of a population at risk for zinc deficiency from a hypothetical least developed country (LDC), we first calculated TDP and TDZ values for a set of 44 countries defined by the United Nations as being least developed. We took the mean TDP and TDZ values for these countries to represent a hypothetical "less developed country." To calculate the bioavailable zinc portion (TAZ; usually a small fraction of TDZ) we used the Miller equation (Equation 1: [Miller et al.,](#page-13-24) [2007\)](#page-13-24).

$$
TAZ = 0.5 \cdot \left(A_{max} + TDZ + K_{R} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{TDP}{K_{P}}\right)\n- \sqrt{\left(A_{max} + TDZ + K_{R} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{TDP}{K_{P}}\right)\right)^{2} - 4 \cdot A_{max} \cdot TDZ}\right)
$$

Equation 1 : Miller equation

Mean TDZ and TDP values were converted to mg  $\mathrm{mmol}^{-1}$  and put into the Miller equation to compute the average per capita TAZ in our hypothetical LDC. The variables TDZ, TDP, and TAZ are described above, and  $A_{\text{max}}$ ,  $K_{\text{P}}$ , and  $K_{\text{R}}$  are constants as described in [Miller et al.](#page-13-24) [\(2007\)](#page-13-24).

We made an assumption that our hypothetical LDC receives half of its phytate and half of its zinc from wheat, which is roughly consistent with many of the LDCs in the FAO database. We analyzed the effect of elevated carbon dioxide levels on TDP, TDZ, and TAZ concentrations in a hypothetical LDC population for both  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$ -supplied wheat. To calculate a new TAZ for wheat grown under elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  conditions, we first calculated the percent change in TAZ from ambient to elevated levels for wheat receiving  $NH_4^+$  or  $NO_3^-$ . This computed percent change was then applied to half of the hypothetical TDZ and TDP; meanwhile, the other half of the hypothetical TDZ and TDP remained unmodified. Thus, the total new TDP and TDZ is the sum of the unmodified and modified portions. These new TDP and TDZ

values for both  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$ -supplied wheat were then put into the Miller equation to compute new hypothetical TAZ values for an LDC. Differences and corresponding percent changes between the new TAZ values and the original TAZ value for a LDC were computed to determine the overall affect of elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  on TAZ in  $\mathrm{NH}_4^+$  and  $\mathrm{NO}_3^-$  -supplied wheat for an average developing world population. TAZ, TDP, and TDZ concentrations can only be compared within a single N form across the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations due to methodological constraints of the model.

# **RESULTS**

We divide the results here into three categories: first, biomass and yield data for the shoots, grain, and roots; second, tissue concentrations and whole plant micro- and macronutrient contents; and third, nutrient distribution among the different tissues. Values of the statistical significance of the results were place into a table (**[Table 1](#page-4-0)**) in order to improve the readability of the text.

#### **BIOMASS AND YIELD**

Plants supplied  $NH_4^+$  vs.  $NO_3^-$  nutrition reacted differently to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  enrichment ([Figure 1](#page-5-0); [Table 1](#page-4-0)). Plants supplied  $NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>$  differed across  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  treatments for most of the yield and biomass measurements. The greatest values typically were found at ambient  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations. Shoot, chaff, grain yield, number of heads, and KN were greatest at ambient  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  levels. Individual kernel mass was greatest under both ambient and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> treatments. HI and kernels head $^{-1}$  showed no change across  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  treatments. In contrast, biomass and yield measures of NO<sub>3</sub>-supplied plants did not differ among the three  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations.

At subambient CO<sub>2</sub>, differences between the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> treatments occurred in shoot biomass and three of the yield components: kernel mass, head number, and kernels head−<sup>1</sup> . Ammonium-supplied plants had a larger number of heads while NO<sub>3</sub>-supplied plants had greater shoot biomass, kernel mass, and kernels head<sup>-1</sup>. At ambient  $CO_2$ , NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-supplied plants had a greater number of heads and greater chaff biomass. Plants supplied NO<sub>3</sub> had a larger number of kernels head<sup>-1</sup>. At elevated CO2, biomass and yield measures did not differ with N treatment.

# **ROOT**

Roots had a smaller mean biomass when supplied  $\mathrm{NH}_4^+$  than when supplied NO<sup>−</sup> 3 at all CO<sup>2</sup> concentrations (**[Figure 1](#page-5-0)**). Both N treatments had the greatest biomass at ambient  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , and the smallest at subambient CO<sub>2</sub>. The highest root:<br>shoot ratios for both  $\mathrm{NH}_4^+$ and NO<sup>−</sup> 3 -supplied plants were observed at ambient and elevated CO2. Ammonium-supplied plants always had lower root:shoot ratios and biomasses than  $NO_3^-$ -supplied plants at the same  $CO_2$ concentration.

# **NUTRIENTS**

#### **Total plant nutrients**

Total plant nutrients generally followed the same trend within N form, although  $\mathrm{NH}_4^+$  -supplied plants exhibited a greater diversity of responses to increasing CO<sup>2</sup> concentrations (**[Table 2](#page-6-0)**). Total plant P, K, B, Ca, Mg, and Zn decreased with increasing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ under  $NH_4^+$ , while S and Mn were highest under ambient  $CO_2$ .

<span id="page-4-0"></span>



**Between N forms**

**within a CO<sup>2</sup> cnc.**



Differences among  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations within an N form and between N forms at each CO<sub>2</sub> concentration for shoot and grain nutrient concentrations. Differences among  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations within an N form or between N forms at each  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ concentration for biomass and yield components.The symbols indicate statistical significance (\*0.05, \*\*0.01, \*\*\*0.001, NS, not significant).

Ammonium-supplied plants had the greatest amounts of Fe and total N at subambient  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . Nitrate-supplied plants accumulated the greatest amounts of total N, P, K, S, B, Ca, Zn, Mn, and Mg at ambient  $CO_2$ . Only three nutrients – K, S, and Fe – had the lowest contents at elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ .

### **Shoot**

Under  $NH_4^+$  supply, plants varied with  $CO_2$  concentration for total N, P, S, Ca, Cu, B, Mn, Zn, and  $NO_3^- - N$  (**[Table 1](#page-4-0)**; **[Figure 2](#page-7-0)**). Calcium and Cu were highest under subambient  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . Total N and S were greatest at subambient and elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . Nitrate-N was greatest at ambient  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . Phosphorus was highest at elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations. Boron, Zn, and Mn increased with  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ concentration.

Plants supplied  $\mathrm{NO}_3^-$  showed significant variation across  $\mathrm{CO}_2$ treatments for K, Ca, Mg, B, Fe, Cu, Zn, and NO<sub>3</sub> – *N* (**[Table 1](#page-4-0)**; **[Figure 2](#page-7-0)**). Calcium and Cu had the greatest concentrations at subambient  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . The highest concentrations of B, Fe, and Zn occurred at subambient and elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . Potassium concentrations were highest at elevated CO2. Nitrate-N increased with  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . Magnesium showed the opposite trend, decreasing with  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ concentration.

Differences between N forms were also evident. At subambient  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> -supplied plants had increased concentrations of P, S, and Zn, while  $\overline{NO_3^-}$ -supplied plants had greater concentrations of B, Mg, Mn, and  $NO_3^- - N$  (**[Table 1](#page-4-0)**; **[Figure 2](#page-7-0)**). Concentrations of K, Zn, and Cu were higher in plant supplied  $\mathrm{NH}_4^+$  at ambient CO<sub>2</sub>, while Mg, Mn, and  $\overline{NO_3^-} - N$  were greater in plants supplied NO<sub>3</sub>. At elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, concentrations of N, P, S, and Zn were higher in plants supplied  $NH_4^+$ , while concentrations of B, Mg, Mn, and  $NO_3^- - N$  were greater in plants supplied  $NO_3^-$ .

# **Grain**

*Grain nutrient concentrations.* Plants supplied  $NH_4^+$  showed significant variation across the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  treatments in the concentrations of total N, P, K, Ca, B, Cu, Fe, Mn, and  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> - N$  (**[Table 1](#page-4-0)**; **[Figure 3](#page-8-0)**). The greatest concentrations of total N, P, K, Ca, and Cu were found at subambient  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . Iron concentrations were high at both subambient and ambient  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . Boron was equally high at subambient and elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . Manganese was greatest at elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . Nitrate-N decreased with increasing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ .

Significant differences among the  $\overline{NO_3}^-$ -supplied plants across  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  treatments were only observed in S and B. The greatest concentrations of B were found at subambient  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . Sulfur was highest at ambient  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ .

Nitrogen form significantly affected grain nutrient concentra-tions ([Table 1](#page-4-0); [Figure 3](#page-8-0)). At subambient CO<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-supplied plants had higher concentrations of total N, K, S, Ca, Zn, and Cu than  $NO_3^-$  plants. At ambient  $CO_2$ , Ca, Zn, and Cu were greatest under  $\mathrm{NH}_4^+$  . Ammonium-supplied plants also had the highest concentrations of K, S, Ca, Zn, and Cu at elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . At no  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration did plants supplied NH $<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>$  have significantly</sub> lower concentrations of any micro- or macronutrient than those supplied  $NO_3^-$ .

**Phytate and bioavailable Zn.** Phytate was relatively insensitive to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration. Phytate concentrations were highest



<span id="page-5-0"></span>at subambient CO<sub>2</sub> for NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-supplied plants (**[Figure 4](#page-9-0)**). Subambient CO<sub>2</sub> also produced the lowest phytate concentrations in NO<sub>3</sub>-supplied plants. NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-supplied plants had greater phytate concentrations than  $NO_3^-$ -supplied plants at subambient  $CO_2$ , but not at the other CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. Grain from plants grown

under  $\mathrm{NH}_4^+$  nutrition had roughly 7, 18, and 8% higher bioavailable Zn than  $NO_3^-$ -supplied plants at subambient, ambient, and elevated CO2, respectively (**[Figure 4](#page-9-0)**).

Based on this phytate and bioavailable Zn data, we modeled how a human population from a LDC would be affected by



<span id="page-6-0"></span>

changes in atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations (**[Table 3](#page-9-1)**). The calculations were based on differences among  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations; therefore, modeled TDZ, TDP, and TAZ values cannot be compared between  $\mathrm{NH}_4^+$  and  $\mathrm{NO}_3^-$  -supplied grain. Grain from plants supplied the different N forms behaved differently as  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration increased. We found that under  $\mathrm{NH}_4^+$  supply, TAZ would increase 3.6% with the rise in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  from subambient to ambient, and decrease 1.6% with the rise from ambient to elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ (**[Figure 4](#page-9-0)**). Humans provided NO<sup>−</sup> 3 -supplied wheat would experience a decrease in TAZ of 3.5% going from subambient to ambient, and an increase 5.6% from ambient to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> ([Figure 4](#page-9-0)).

#### **Roots**

Ammonium-supplied plants generally showed a trend toward decreasing nutrient concentrations with increasing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration while  $\mathrm{NO_3^-}$ -supplied plants varied widely across  $\mathrm{CO_2}$  treatments (**[Figure 5](#page-10-0)**). The decrease in nutrient concentrations under  $NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>$  supply corresponded to an increase in root mass. Nitratesupplied plants tended to have their highest nutrient concentrations in the ambient and elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  treatments. Ammoniumsupplied plants had higher concentrations of Zn and Mn across all of the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  treatments, as well as higher total N and Fe at subambient  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . Nitrate-supplied plants typically had higher concentrations of the other nutrients at all  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations.

#### **Distribution of nutrients**

The distribution of nutrients and micronutrients among plant parts followed similar patterns in both the NH<sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> supplied plants, although the  $\mathrm{NH}_4^+$  -supplied plant distributions were slightly more variable (**[Table 4](#page-11-0)**). Allocations to root and grain usually were greatest at ambient  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , and those to chaff and shoots at either subambient or elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . Grain typically contained the largest proportion of total N, P, Zn, and Cu, although the organ with the largest percentage of Cu varied with CO<sup>2</sup> treatment among NO<sup>−</sup> 3 -supplied plants. Plants at subambient and elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  allocated more Cu to the grain, while those at ambient  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  allocated more to the roots. In general shoots received the majority of K, S, B, Ca, and Mg for all N and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  treatments. Ammonium-supplied plants allocated slightly more Mn to the roots at subambient  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , but allocated increasing amounts to the shoots at the expense of the roots as  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration increased. In contrast,  $\overline{NO_3^-}$ -supplied plants allocated most of the

Mn to the shoots. Ammonium-supplied plants typically allocated more resources to the chaff while  $\overline{NO_3^-}$ -supplied plants allocated a greater percentage of elements to the roots.

# **DISCUSSION**

No other study to our knowledge has examined the influence of N form  $(NH_4^+$  vs.  $NO_3^-$ ) on plant nutrient relations at three different atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations. Overall, N form affected growth, total plant nutrient contents, and nutrient distribution in senescing wheat shoots, grain, and roots. The influence of  $\mathrm{NH}_4^+$ and  $NO_3^-$  on growth and nutrient status were so distinct that they should be treated as separate nutrients and not bundled into a general category of N nutrition. Wheat size and nutrition at senescence responded to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration in a non-linear manner. As was previously shown [\(Bloom et al.,](#page-12-8) [2012\)](#page-12-8), we found that plants supplied with  $\mathrm{NH}_4^+$  were more responsive to  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  concentration than those supplied with  $NO_3^-$ .

Although not explicitly addressed here because of the heterogeneity of variances, interactions between  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and N treatments likely existed for a number of the biomass and nutrient measures. Most nutrient concentrations were generally higher in  $NH_4^+$  supplied plants, with the exceptions of  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>−</sup> - N$ , Mg, B, and Mn, which were generally higher in  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$ -supplied plants. Phytate, which hinders human absorption of Zn and Fe [\(Raboy,](#page-13-29) [2009\)](#page-13-29), showed little variation at ambient and elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  between  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$ -supplied plants, which, in conjunction with the observed greater bioavailable of Zn in  $\mathrm{NH}_4^+$  -supplied plants, may have consequences for human nutrition. Distribution of nutrients to the shoots, roots, chaff, and grain in response to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ concentration and N form was also non-linear and varied by nutrient.

# **BIOMASS AND YIELD**

The data support our hypothesis that  $\rm NO_3^-$ -supplied plants would show a more limited biomass and yield enhancement with CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment than  $NH_4^+$ -supplied plants. Nevertheless, mean biomass and yield decreased from ambient to elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  in both  $NO_3^-$  - and  $NH_4^+$  -supplied plants in contrast to biomass increases in prior work on wheat seedlings [\(Bloom et al.,](#page-12-3) [2002\)](#page-12-3).  $NO_3^-$ supplied plants allocated more biomass to roots and had larger root:shoot ratios than  $NH_4^+$ -supplied plants regardless of  $CO_2$ concentrations as has been reported previously [\(Wang and Below,](#page-13-3)



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**FIGURE 2 | The effect of N form and CO<sup>2</sup> concentration on shoot nutrient concentrations of wheat grown hydroponically to senescence.** Closed  $(NH_4^+)$  and open  $(NO_3^-)$  symbols represent back-transformed means and 95% confidence intervals  $(n = 5)$ . Macro- and micronutrients are listed in the upper

left of each frame. Differences are significant within N form if letters are different. Differences between N forms at each  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration are generally significantly different if error bars do not overlap (see**[Table 1](#page-4-0)** for statistical significance).



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**FIGURE 3 | The effect of N form and CO<sup>2</sup> concentration on grain nutrient concentrations of wheat grown hydroponically to senescence.** Closed  $(NH_4^+)$  and open  $(NO_3^-)$  symbols represent back-transformed means and 95% confidence intervals ( $n = 5$ ). Macro- and micronutrients are listed in the upper left of each frame. Differences are significant within N form if letters are different. Differences between N forms at each  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration are generally significantly different if error bars do not overlap (see**[Table 1](#page-4-0)** for statistical significance).



<span id="page-9-0"></span>[1995;](#page-13-3) [Bloom et al.,](#page-12-3) [2002\)](#page-12-3), but increased root mass at elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration for  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  supplied plants reported previously [\(Bloom et al.,](#page-12-3) [2002\)](#page-12-3) were not observed here. The shoot biomass data suggest that growth differences measured early in the lifespan of wheat supplied with NH<sup>+</sup> or NO<sub>3</sub> or NH<sup>+</sup> (i.e., greater shoot biomass in plants supplied  $\mathrm{NH}_4^+$  relative to those supplied  $NO_3^-$  at elevated  $CO_2$  concentrations; [Bloom et al.,](#page-12-3) [2002\)](#page-12-3) do not necessarily carry through to senescence. This may be due in part to a shift in  $NO_3^-$  assimilation to the root [\(Kruse et al.,](#page-13-30) [2003\)](#page-13-30), allowing NO<sup>−</sup> 3 -supplied plants to compensate for the decrease in shoot  $\overline{NO_3^-}$  assimilation that occurs at elevated atmospheric  $CO_2$ concentrations [\(Bloom et al.,](#page-12-3) [2002,](#page-12-3) [2010,](#page-12-7) [2012\)](#page-12-8).

The decrease in yield and biomass measures at elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ concentrations does not agree with field observations where wheat yields as well as overall biomass increased with elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  [\(Högy](#page-13-16) [and Fangmeier,](#page-13-16) [2008;](#page-13-16) [Taub et al.,](#page-13-31) [2008\)](#page-13-31). Similarly, our results that the greatest values for other yield measures (e.g., heads, kernel mass, KN) occurred at ambient  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations varies from the literature. High  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  has been found to increase flowering tillers [\(Havelka et al.,](#page-13-32) [1984;](#page-13-32) [Fangmeier et al.,](#page-13-19) [1996\)](#page-13-19), KN [\(McKee](#page-13-33) [et al.,](#page-13-33) [1997\)](#page-13-33), and kernel mass (i.e., thousand grain weight; [McKee](#page-13-33)

<span id="page-9-1"></span>**Table 3 | Total dietary Zn (TDZ), total dietary phytate (TDP), and total bioavailable Zn (TAZ) of a human population from a hypothetic less developed nation reliant on wheat for 50% of their dietary phytate and Zn as modeled using the Miller equation.**



The data columns represent the change in TDZ, TDP, and TAZ concentration going from subambient to ambient and ambient to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations respectively. The values are calculated as deviations from the mean TDZ, TDP, and TAZ concentrations as produced from FAO and USDA data [\(Wuehler et al.,](#page-13-28) [2005\)](#page-13-28). Baseline values for TDZ, TDP, and TAZ were 8.90, 2278.00, and 1.70 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

[et al.,](#page-13-33) [1997\)](#page-13-33). Conflicting results, however, have also been reported (e.g.[,Havelka et al.,](#page-13-32) [1984\)](#page-13-32). Many of the field and open top chamber studies were grown under natural light and thus received substantially greater photosynthetic flux density than our chamber-grown plants. These higher light conditions would be more favorable to biomass accumulation. Also, these studies typically applied high amounts of mixed N fertilizer (e.g.,  $NH_4NO_3$ ), and yields and biomass have been found to be greater under mixed N nutrition than under either NH<sup>+</sup> or NO<sub>3</sub> alone [\(Cox and Reisenauer,](#page-13-4) [1973;](#page-13-4) [Gen](#page-13-1)[try et al.,](#page-13-1) [1989;](#page-13-1) [Heberer and Below,](#page-13-2) [1989;](#page-13-2) [Wang and Below,](#page-13-3) [1995\)](#page-13-3). Finally, the wheat cultivar we used (*T. aestivum* cv. Veery 10) is a short-statured variety that has rarely been used in other studies and may have accounted for some of the differences between our study and other published data.

Our results that  $\mathrm{NH}_4^+$  -supplied plants had greater yield and yield components than  $\overline{NO_3^-}$ -supplied plants at ambient  $CO_2$  have been observed previously [\(Wang and Below,](#page-13-7) [1996;](#page-13-7) [Chen et al.,](#page-13-9) [1998\)](#page-13-9). [Wang and Below](#page-13-3) [\(1995\)](#page-13-3) observed greater numbers of kernels head<sup>−1</sup> and KN in plants supplied NO<sub>3</sub> that was not observed here. Their study, however, supplied  $NH_4^+$  at relatively high levels (~8.9 vs. 0.2 mM NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> − *N* in our study). Several studies [\(Bennett and Adams,](#page-12-9) [1970;](#page-12-9) [Cox and Reisenauer,](#page-13-4) [1973\)](#page-13-4) have found that incipient  $NH_4^+$  toxicity can start appearing at N levels as low as 0.08–0.2 mM  $NH_4^+$ , although the onset of  $NH_4^+$  toxicity depends on light level [\(Magalhaes and Wilcox,](#page-13-34) [1984;](#page-13-34) [Britto and](#page-12-10) [Kronzucker,](#page-12-10) [2002\)](#page-12-10) and solution pH [\(Findenegg,](#page-13-35) [1987\)](#page-13-35). The poorer performance of the  $NH_4^+$  treatment in [Wang and Below](#page-13-3) [\(1995\)](#page-13-3), therefore, might derive from  $NH_4^+$  toxicity. We have previously determined that the  $0.2 \text{ mM NH}_4^+$ -supplied to our plants to be sufficiently high for normal growth, but low enough to avoid toxicity problems under our experimental conditions [\(Bloom et al.,](#page-12-3) [2002\)](#page-12-3).

#### **PLANT NUTRIENTS**

Our second hypothesis, that nutrient concentrations are differentially affected by the inorganic N form supplied to the plants and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  enrichment, was supported by our data.  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration



<span id="page-10-0"></span>**nutrient concentrations of wheat grown hydroponically to senescence.** Closed (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) and open (NO<sub>3</sub>) symbols represent the bulked treatment mean

The lack of error bars reflects that the root mass for each treatment was bulked and analyzed as a unit.



<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Table 4 | Organ nutrient allocation as percentage of the plant total under the CO<sup>2</sup> and N form treatments.**

and N form interactions may alter tissue demands for nutrients. For many nutrients, ratios between different elements are typically maintained within a narrow range [\(Garten,](#page-13-36) [1976;](#page-13-36) [Bloom et al.,](#page-12-11) [1985;](#page-12-11) [Loladze,](#page-13-17) [2002\)](#page-13-17).  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration and N form may disturb the balance between different nutrients, leading to a cascade of changes in demand, accumulation, and allocation among the different plant tissues (e.g., [Loladze,](#page-13-17) [2002;](#page-13-17) [Högy and Fangmeier,](#page-13-16) [2008;](#page-13-16) [Natali et al.,](#page-13-37) [2009\)](#page-13-37). Nitrate-supplied plants accumulated the greatest amounts of nutrients at ambient  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  ([Table 2](#page-6-0)). Some portion of the greater response of  $NH_4^+$ -supplied plants to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  derived from a dilution effect from the greater biomass at ambient CO<sup>2</sup> concentrations (**[Figures 2](#page-7-0)** and **[3](#page-8-0)**). Total amounts of nutrients tended to decline with  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  enrichment for NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-supplied plants, which had the greatest amounts of macro/micronutrients at subambient CO<sub>2</sub> ([Table 2](#page-6-0)). These results have not been observed in other published studies (e.g., [Fangmeier](#page-13-14) [et al.,](#page-13-14) [1997;](#page-13-14) [Wu et al.,](#page-13-12) [2004\)](#page-13-12). Growth chamber studies, however, tend to have more exaggerated differences among treatments than field and greenhouse experiments [\(Högy and Fangmeier,](#page-13-16) [2008\)](#page-13-16), and N source cannot be well-controlled in field and greenhouse experiments.

The observed increase in  $NO_3^- - N$  concentration with  $CO_2$ concentration in  $NO_3^-$ -supplied plants has been reported previously [\(Bloom et al.,](#page-12-3) [2002\)](#page-12-3), and adds further support to the hypothesis that elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations and the resulting  $\det$  decrease in photorespiration inhibit shoot NO<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> photoassimilation. Nevertheless, tissue  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> – N$  concentrations observed here were substantially lower than those in the earlier study [\(Bloom](#page-12-3) [et al.,](#page-12-3) [2002\)](#page-12-3). Again, this may derive from difference in life stages in the two studies. Most of the N available to the plant for grain filling comes from N translocation rather than uptake from the substrate [\(Simpson et al.,](#page-13-38) [1983\)](#page-13-38). Probably, the plants continued to assimilate plant  $\mathrm{NO_3^-}$  using a non-photorespiratory dependent process such as root assimilation after root N uptake slowed or stopped. Loss of  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  through root efflux to the nutrient solution also may have contributed to the lower concentration of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> − *N*.

The partitioning and accumulation of all mineral elements was affected in some manner by the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  treatment and N form supplied to the plants. Observations that cation concentrations decrease under NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> supply (e.g., [Cox and Reisenauer,](#page-13-4) [1973;](#page-13-4) [Gashaw and Mugwira,](#page-13-10) [1981;](#page-13-10) [Wang and Below,](#page-13-8) [1998\)](#page-13-8) relative to NO<sub>3</sub> supply were not apparent in this study. Again, this could be partly due to the relatively low concentration of  $\mathrm{NH}_4^+$  -supplied in our study, the age of the plants at harvest, and differences among wheat cultivars.

Allocation of nutrients within the plant followed similar trends for both N forms, with the exceptions of Mn and Cu (**[Table 2](#page-6-0)**). Interestingly, in NO<sup>−</sup> 3 -supplied plants, shoot Mn concentrations increased slightly with  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , and these plants allocated far more Mn to the shoots than  $NH_4^+$ -supplied plants at all CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. Manganese  $(Mn^{2+})$  has been found to activate Rubisco in place of  $Mg^{2+}$  and the Rubisco-Mn complex has been observed to decrease Rubisco carboxylase activity while minimally affecting or even enhancing oxygenase activity [\(Jordan and Ogren,](#page-13-39) [1983\)](#page-13-39). The slight increase in shoot Mn with  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  corresponded to a large 23% decrease in Mg concentration. Manganese, which can act as a cofactor for glutamine synthetase [\(Smirnoff and Stewart,](#page-13-40) [1987\)](#page-13-40), was also the only nutrient that  $\mathrm{NH}_4^+$  -supplied plants allocated a

greater percentage to the roots at the expense of the shoots. NO<sub>3</sub> supplied plants typically allocated a higher percentage of most nutrients to the roots, as has been reported previously [\(Wang and](#page-13-3) [Below,](#page-13-3) [1995,](#page-13-3) [1998\)](#page-13-8).

Phytate, which forms complexes with divalent cations, has been found to hinder human Zn and Fe absorption during digestion and thus has been labeled an "anti-nutrient." It may serve a number of valuable functions, however, including roles as an anti-oxidant and anti-cancer agent [\(Raboy,](#page-13-29) [2009\)](#page-13-29). Phytate is also the major repository of grain P, and variation in P supply to the developing seed is the major determinant of net seed phytate accumulation [\(Raboy,](#page-13-41) [1997,](#page-13-41) [2009;](#page-13-29) [Cakmak et al.,](#page-12-12) [2010\)](#page-12-12). To our knowledge, no published studies have explicitly looked at how phytate is affected by  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration. Elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  has been found to have a much larger negative impact on Zn and Fe concentrations than on P in wheat [\(Loladze,](#page-13-17) [2002;](#page-13-17) [Cakmak et al.,](#page-12-12) [2010\)](#page-12-12). Several studies (e.g., [Fangmeier et al.,](#page-13-15) [1999;](#page-13-15) [Högy and Fangmeier,](#page-13-16) [2008\)](#page-13-16) have observed that P increases slightly with  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration, and because the majority of P is tied up in phytate, this may cause increases in grain phytate concentrations as atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ rises. As a result, bioavailable Zn and Fe–Zn and Fe not bound to phytate – is expected to decrease even further [\(Loladze,](#page-13-17) [2002\)](#page-13-17).

Nonetheless, we did not observe such trends in macro- and micronutrient concentrations in this study. The mechanism behind these contrasting results is not clear, although the environmental conditions and nutrient solution in which the plants were grown likely had some role. The modeled data demonstrated only a small negative impact of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration on bioavailable Zn concentrations (**[Table 4](#page-11-0)**), which was unexpected. Indeed, the grain from NO<sup>−</sup> 3 -supplied plants actually showed a slight increase in bioavailable Zn between ambient and elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . These results combined with the differences in grain bioavailable Zn between  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$ -supplied plants demonstrates that N form may differentially affect the nutritional status of this important nutrient, especially in less developed countries that might be more dependent on phytate-rich grains for their Zn nutrition (**[Table 3](#page-9-1)**). The milling process removes some, if not most, of the phytate and grain mineral content with the bran fraction of the grain [\(Guttieri et al.,](#page-13-42) [2006\)](#page-13-42). Regardless, with over 50% of the human population suffering from Zn deficiencies, even small increases in bioavailable Zn would be beneficial [\(Loladze,](#page-13-17) [2002\)](#page-13-17). This modeling exercise, however, is not a prediction of how increasing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ will affect wheat nutrition so much as illustrates that N source may mediate, to some extent, the effects of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  on phytate and bioavailable Zn, and that N source will become an even more important agricultural consideration in the future.

In summary, both  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration and N form strongly affect biomass and yield in hydroponically grown wheat, as well as nutrient concentrations in above- and belowground tissues. Interactions among plant nutrient concentrations,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations, and N form are complex and non-linear. The impact of N form and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration on the mechanisms affecting nutrient accumulation and distribution requires further research and extension to more realistic and agriculturally relevant growing conditions found in greenhouse and field studies. Of course, in greenhouse and field studies, control of N source is limited and control of atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration is expensive. The effects of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ and N form on agriculture and human nutrition observed here are interesting and suggest a new area of research on mitigating the effects of climate change on agriculture. The supply of fertilizers (e.g., urea,  $NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>$ , anhydrous  $NH<sub>3</sub>$ , organic amendments) or addition of nitrification inhibitors that increase the amount of available  $NH_4^+$  may have beneficial effects for human nutrition, particularly in regards to micronutrient deficiencies such as Zn and Fe that currently affect billions of people worldwide. In the face of the potentially negative consequences of climate change on agriculture, all avenues of mitigation must be examined, and even small improvements may prove worthwhile.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors would like to thank Kenneth Brown and Jan Peerson at the International Zinc Collaborative Group for providing access to their food balance sheet database which was used in calculating total dietary zinc and phytate values. We would also like to thank Hsien Easlon for his advice and critical review of the manuscript. Finally we thank two anonymous reviewers for their critical reviews of the manuscript. This work was supported by NSF IOS-08-18435 and the National Research Initiative Competitive Grant no. 2008-35100-04459 from the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture.

#### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-12-4"></span>Amthor, J. S. (2001). Effects of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on wheat yield: a review of results from experiments using various approaches to control CO<sup>2</sup> concentration. *Field Crop. Res.* 73, 1–34.
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>Bennett, A. C., and Adams, F. (1970). Concentration of NH3(aq) required for incipient NH<sub>3</sub> toxicity to seedlings. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc.* 34, 259–263.
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>Bloom, A. J. (1997). "Nitrogen as a limiting factor: crop acquisition of ammonium and nitrate," in *Agricultural Ecology*, ed L. E. Jackson (San Diego, CA: Academic Press), 145–172.
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>Bloom, A. J., Asensio, J. S. R., Randall, L., Rachmilevitch, S., Cousins, A. B., and Carlisle, E. A. (2012). CO<sup>2</sup> enrichment inhibits shoot nitrate assimilation in  $C_3$  but not  $C_4$ plants and slows growth under nitrate in C<sup>3</sup> plants. *Ecology* 93, 355–377.
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>Bloom, A. J., Burger, M., Asensio, J. S. R., and Cousins, A. B. (2010). Carbon dioxide enrichment inhibits nitrate assimilation in wheat and *Arabidopsis*. *Science* 328, 899–903.
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>Bloom, A. J., Caldwell, R. M., Finazzo, J., Warner, R. L., and Weissbart, J. (1989). Oxygen and carbon dioxide fluxes from barley shoots dependent

on nitrate assimilation. *Plant Physiol.* 91, 352–356.

- <span id="page-12-11"></span>Bloom, A. J., Chapin, F. S. III, and Mooney, H. A. (1985). Resource limitation in plants – an economic analogy. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 16, 363–392.
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>Bloom, A. J., Smart, D. R., Nguyen, D. T., and Searles, P. S. (2002). Nitrogen assimilation and growth of wheat under elevated carbon dioxide. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 99, 1730–1735.
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>Bock, B. R. (1987). Increases in maximum yield of spring wheat by maintaining relatively high ammonium/nitrate ratios in soils. *J. Fertil. Issues* 4, 68–72.
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>Britto, D. T., and Kronzucker, H. J. (2002). NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> toxicity in higher plants: a critical review. *J. Plant Physiol.* 159, 567–584.
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>Cakmak, I., Pfeiffer, W. H., and McClafferty, B. (2010). Biofortification of durum wheat with zinc and iron. *Cereal Chem.* 87, 10–20.
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>Camberato, J. J., and Bock, B. R. (1990). Spring wheat response to enhanced ammonium supply: I dry matter and nitrogen content. *Agron. J.* 82, 463–467.
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>Chaudhuri, U. N., Kirkham, M. B., and Kanemasu, E. T. (1990). Root growth of winter wheat under elevated carbon dioxide and drought. *Crop Sci.* 30, 853–857.
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>Chen, L-G., Cheng, S.-H., Cao, W., and Zhou, X. (1998). Involvement of endogenous plant hormones in the effect of mixed nitrogen source on growth and tillering of wheat. *J. Plant Nutr.* 21, 87–97.
- <span id="page-13-23"></span>Cousins, A. B., and Bloom, A. J. (2004). Oxygen consumption during leaf nitrate assimilation in a C<sub>3</sub> and C<sup>4</sup> plant: the role of mitochondrial respiration. *Plant Cell Environ.* 27, 1537–1545.
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>Cox, W. J., and Reisenauer, H. M. (1973). Growth and ion uptake by wheat supplied nitrogen as nitrate or ammonium or both. *Plant Soil* 38, 363–380.
- <span id="page-13-26"></span>Epstein, E., and Bloom, A. J. (2005). *Mineral Nutrition of Plants: Principles and Perspectives*, 2nd Edn. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates.
- <span id="page-13-15"></span>Fangmeier, A., De Temmerman, L., Mortensen, L., Kemp, K., Burke, J., Mitchell, R., van Oijen, M., and Weigel, H.-J. (1999). Effects on nutrients and on grain quality in spring wheat crops grown under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and stress condition in the European multiple-site experiment 'ESPACewheat'. *Eur. J. Agron.* 10, 215–229.
- <span id="page-13-19"></span>Fangmeier, A., Grüters, U., Hertstein, U., Sandhage-Hofmann, A.,Vermehren, B., and Jäger, H.-J. (1996). Effects of elevated CO2, nitrogen supply, and tropspheric ozone on spring wheat. I. Growth and yield. *Environ. Pollut.* 91, 381–390.
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>Fangmeier,A., Grüters, U., Högy, P.,Vermehren, B., and Jäger, H.-J. (1997). Effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, nitrogen supply, and tropospheric ozone on spring wheat – II. Nutrients (N, P, K, S, Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn, Zn). *Environ. Pollut.* 96, 43–59.
- <span id="page-13-35"></span>Findenegg, G. R. (1987). A comparative study of ammonium toxicity at different constant pH of the nutrient solution. *Plant Soil* 103, 239–243.
- <span id="page-13-36"></span>Garten, C. T. (1976). Correlations between concentrations of elements in plants. *Nature* 261, 686–688.
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>Gashaw, L., and Mugwira, L. M. (1981). Ammonium-N and nitrate-N effects on the growth and mineral compositions of Triticale, wheat, and rye. *Agron. J.* 73, 47–51.
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>Gentry, L. E., Wang, X. T., and Below, F. E. (1989). Nutrient uptake by wheat seedlings that differ in response to mixed N nutrition. *J. Plant Nutr.* 12, 363–373.
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>Goyal, S. S., and Huffaker, R. C. (1984). "Nitrogen toxicity in plants," in *Nitrogen in Crop Production*, ed. R. D. Hauck (Madison,WI: ASA, CSSA, SSSA), 97–118.
- <span id="page-13-42"></span>Guttieri, M. J., Peterson, K. M., and Souza, E. J. (2006). Mineral distributions in milling fractions of low phytic acid wheat. *Crop Sci.* 46, 2692–2698.
- <span id="page-13-27"></span>Haug, W., and Lantzsch, H.-J. (1983). Sensitive method for the rapid determination of phytate in cereals and cereal products. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 34, 1423–1426.
- <span id="page-13-32"></span>Havelka, U. D., Wittenbach, V. A., and Boyle, M. G. (1984). CO<sub>2</sub>enrichment effects on wheat yield and physiology. *Crop Sci.* 24, 1163–1168.
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>Haynes, R. J. (1986). "Uptake and assimilation of mineral nitrogen by plants," in *Mineral Nitrogen in the Plant-Soil System*, ed. R. J. Haynes (Orlando, FL: Academic Press), 303–378.
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>Heberer, J. A., and Below, F. E. (1989). Mixed nitrogen nutrition and productivity of wheat growing in hydroponics. *Ann. Bot.* 63, 613–619.
- <span id="page-13-16"></span>Högy, P., and Fangmeier, A. (2008). Effects of elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  on grain quality of wheat. *J. Cereal Sci.* 48, 580–591.
- <span id="page-13-20"></span>Högy, P., Wieser, H., Köhler, P., Schwadorf, K., Breuer, J., Franzaring, J., Muntifering, R., and Fangmeier, A. (2009). Effects of elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ on grain yield and quality of wheat: results from a 3-year free-air  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ enrichment experiment. *Plant Biol.* 11(Suppl. 1), 60–69.
- <span id="page-13-39"></span>Jordan, D. B., and Ogren, W. L. (1983). Species variation in kinetic properties of ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 227, 425–433.
- <span id="page-13-30"></span>Kruse, J., Hetzger, I., Mai, C., Polle, A., and Rennenberg, H. (2003). Elevated pCO<sub>2</sub> affects N-metabolism of young poplar plants (*Populus tremula* x P. alba) differently at deficient and sufficient N-supply. *New Phytol.* 157, 65–81.
- <span id="page-13-17"></span>Loladze, I. (2002). Rising atmospheric CO<sup>2</sup> and human nutrition: toward globally imbalanced plant stoichiometry?*Trends Ecol. Evol. (Amst.)* 17, 457–461.
- <span id="page-13-34"></span>Magalhaes, J. S., and Wilcox, G. E. (1984). Ammonium toxicity development in tomato plants relative to nitrogen form and light intensity. *J. Plant Nutr.* 7, 1477–1496.
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>Manderscheid, R., Bender, J., Jäger, H.- J., and Weigel, H. J. (1995). Effects of season long CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment on cereals. II. Nutrient concentrations and grain quality.*Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 54, 175–185.
- <span id="page-13-18"></span>Manoj-Kumar, I. (2011). Will rising CO<sup>2</sup> affect Zn and Fe bioavailability

in food grains? *Curr. Sci.* 101, 467.

- <span id="page-13-33"></span>McKee, I. F., Bullimore, J. F., and Long, S. P. (1997). Will elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration protect the yield of wheat from O3 damage? *Plant Cell Environ.* 20, 77–84.
- <span id="page-13-24"></span>Miller, L.V., Krebs, N. F., and Hambidge, K. M. (2007). A mathematical model of zinc absorption in humans as a function of dietary zinc and phytate. *J. Nutr.* 137, 135–141.
- <span id="page-13-37"></span>Natali, S. M., Sañudo-Wilhelmy, S. A., and Lerdau, M. T. (2009). Plant and soil mediation of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> impacts on trace metals. *Ecosystems* 12, 715–727.
- <span id="page-13-41"></span>Raboy, V. (1997). "Accumulation and storage of phosphate and minerals," in *Cellular and Molecular Biology of Plant Seed Development*, eds B. A Larkin and I. K. Vasil (Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers), 441–477.
- <span id="page-13-29"></span>Raboy, V. (2009). Approaches and challenges to engineering seed phytate and total phosphorus. *Plant Sci.* 177, 281–296.
- <span id="page-13-38"></span>Simpson, R. J., Lambers, H., and Dalling, M. J. (1983). Nitrogen redistribution during grain growth in wheat (*Triticum asestivum* L.) *Plant Physiol.* 71, 7–14.
- <span id="page-13-40"></span>Smirnoff, N., and Stewart, G. R. (1987). Glutamine synthetase and ammonium assimilation in roots of zinctolerant and non-tolerant clones of *Descampsia cespitosa* (L.) Beauv. and *Anthoxanthum odoratum* L. *New Phytol.* 107, 659–670.
- <span id="page-13-31"></span>Taub, D. R., Miller, B., and Allen, H. (2008). Effects of elevated CO<sup>2</sup> on the protein concentration of food crops: a meta-analysis. *Glob. Change Biol. Bioenergy* 14, 565–575.
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>Thompson, G. B., and Woodward, F. I. (1994). Some influences of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ enrichment, nitrogen nutrition, and competition on grain yield and quality in spring wheat and barley. *J. Exp. Bot.* 45, 937–942.
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>Wang, X. T., and Below, F. E. (1992). Root growth, nitrogen uptake, and tillering of wheat induced by mixednitrogen source. *Crop Sci.* 32, 997–1002.
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>Wang, X. T., and Below, F. E. (1995). Tillering, nutrient accumulation, and yield of winter wheat as influenced by nitrogen form. *J. Plant Nutr.* 18, 1177–1189.
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>Wang, X. T., and Below, F. E. (1996). Cytokinins in enhanced growth and tillering of wheat induced by mixed-nitrogen source. *Crop Sci.* 36, 121–126.
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>Wang, X. T., and Below, F. E. (1998). Accumulation and partitioning of mineral nutrients in wheat as influenced by nitrogen form. *J. Plant Nutr.* 21, 49–61.
- <span id="page-13-21"></span>Wechsung, G.,Wechsung, F.,Wall, G.W., Adamsen, F. J.,Kimball, B. A., Garcia, R. L., Pinter, J. R. Jr., and Kartschall, T. (1995). Biomass and growth rate of a spring wheat root system grown in free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment (FACE) and ample soil moisture. *J. Biogeogr.* 22, 623–634.
- <span id="page-13-22"></span>Weigel, H. J., Manderscheid, R., Jager, H.-J., and Mejer, G. J. (1994). Effects of season-long CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment on cereals. I. Growth performance and yield. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 48, 231–240.
- <span id="page-13-25"></span>Welch, R. M., and Graham, R. D. (2004). Breeding for micronutrients in staple food crops from a human nutrition perspective. *J. Exp. Bot.* 55, 353–364.
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>Wu, D.-X., Wang, G.-X., Bai, Y.-F., and Liao, J.-X. (2004). Effects of elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration on growth, water use, yield, and grain quality of wheat under two soil water levels. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 104, 493–507.
- <span id="page-13-28"></span>Wuehler, S. E., Peerson, J. M., and Brown, K. H. (2005). Use of national food balance data to estimate the adequacy of zinc in national food supplies: methodology and regional estimates. *Public Health Nutr.* 8, 812–819.

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

*Received: 03 July 2012; paper pending published: 23 July 2012; accepted: 07 August 2012; published online: 03 September 2012.*

*Citation: Carlisle E, Myers S, Raboy V and Bloom A (2012) The effects of inorganic nitrogen form and CO<sup>2</sup> concentration on wheat yield and nutrient accumulation and distribution. Front. Plant Sci. 3:195. doi: [10.3389/fpls.2012.00195](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2012.00195)*

*This article was submitted to Frontiers in Plant Nutrition, a specialty of Frontiers in Plant Science.*

*Copyright © 2012 Carlisle, Myers, Raboy and Bloom. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License,](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/) which permits use, distribution and reproduction in other forums, provided the original authors and source are credited and subject to any copyright notices concerning any third-party graphics etc.*