### Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

**LBL Publications** 

### Title

Single Cell Genomics and Transcriptomics for Unicellular Eukaryotes

### Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9st3606f

### Authors

Ciobanu, Doina Clum, Alicia Singh, Vasanth <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2014-03-19

### Single Cell Genomics and Transcriptomic for Unicellular Eukaryotes

Doina Ciobanu<sup>1\*</sup>, Alicia Clum<sup>1</sup>, Vasanth Singan<sup>1</sup>, Asaf Salamov<sup>1</sup>, James Han<sup>1</sup>, Alex Copeland<sup>1</sup>, Igor Grigoriev<sup>1</sup>, Timothy James<sup>2</sup>, Steven Singer<sup>3</sup>, Tanja Woyke<sup>1</sup>, Rex Malmstrom<sup>1</sup>, and Jan-Fang Cheng<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>DOE Joint Genome Institute, Walnut Creek, California
<sup>2</sup>University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan
<sup>3</sup>DOE JointBioEnergy Institue, Emeryville, California
\*Email Address: dgciobanu@lbl.gov

March 2014

The work conducted by the U.S. Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute is supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231

### DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor The Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or The Regents of the University of California.

# Single Cell Genomics and Transcriptomics for Unicellular Eukaryotes

Doina Ciobanu<sup>1\*</sup>(dgciobanu@lbl.gov), Alicia Clum<sup>1</sup>, Vasanth Singan<sup>1</sup>, Asaf Salamov<sup>1</sup>, James Han<sup>1</sup>, Alex Copeland<sup>1</sup>, Igor Grigoriev<sup>1</sup>, Timothy James<sup>2</sup>, Steven Singer<sup>3</sup>, Tanja Woyke<sup>1</sup>, Rex Malmstrom<sup>1</sup>, and Jan-Fang Cheng<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>DOE Joint Genome Institute, Walnut Creek, California; <sup>2</sup>University of Michigan, AnnArbor, Michigan; <sup>3</sup>DOE Joint BioEnergy Institute, Emeryville, California.

### Introduction

Unicellular eukaryotes have complex genomes with a high degree of plasticity that allow them to adapt quickly to environmental changes. They live with prokaryotes and higher eukaryotes, frequently as symbionts or parasites. The vast majority of eukaryotic microorganisms are uncultured or unculturable, and thus not sequenced so far. To this day their contribution to the dynamics of the environmental communities remains to be understood. Here, we present four components of our approach to isolate, sequence and analyze eukaryotic microorganisms: target isolation and genome/transcriptome recovery for sequencing; sequence analysis for single cell genome and transcriptome, and genome annotation. We have tested some of our tools and some are being still tested, using six species: an uncharacterized protist from cellulose-enriched compost identified as Platyophrya, a close relative of P. vorax; the fungus Metschnikowia bicuspidate, a parasite of water flea Daphnia; the mycoparasitic fungi Piptocephalis cylindrospora, a parasite of Cokeromyces and Mucor; Caulochytrium protosteloides, a parasite of Sordaria; Rozella allomycis, a parasite of the water mold Allomyces; and the microalgae Chlamydomonas reinhardtii.

## Single Cell Isolation Critical Steps



Sample initial assessment: Morphology and standard DNA stains, as well as various specific stains are used for identifying the target. among the heterogeneous content of the environmental samples. **Sample preparation:** Separation of different size populations is done by filtering and/ or pre-sorting, which is followed by target validation using the cell sorter and the microscope, to identify the correct population to be used for sorting into 384-well plates

# **Single Cell Processing After Sorting for Genomics**



Cell Lysis: first critical step for genome recovery of single cells. Several methods have been tested for efficient eukaryote single cells. Whole genome amplification (WGA) is the next critical step. Several parameters are being tracked: MDA "start" time – likely to be reflective of cell lysis and DNA denaturation efficiency; possible reflective of the genome coverage; **MDA total time** – directly proportional with degree of amplification bias; **rDNAqPCR:** We have tested several primer sets for eukaryotic rDNA region, for 18S, ITS and 28S subunits. Currently we are using 18S and ITS regions and NCBI database. Library constructions: we tested several different protocols for Illumina method.

### Single Cell Transcriptomics Method Development Critical Steps



- the reagents. Commercial kits, versus direct lysis and LiCI -based lysis were tested on single cells.
- modifications (E; E1) and (F) respectively; SmartSeq2 (Nature Methods, Vol10 NO11:1096-1098 (G); SmartSeq2 modified protocol and components (H).
- tRNAseq transcriptome analysis (Cell, Vol.24:1876–1893, May 2012).
- tests, not shown here.
- much higher costs, however, it did show a higher efficiency than PCR or MDA. low input) and Nextera XP for low input.



Several modifications to the existing pipeline for single cell (prokaryote) were tested in order to obtain quality data for single cell eukaryotes. Tested modifications affect following major parts of the pipeline: Single Cell Isolation Steps; Single Cell Genome Recovery; Genome Assembly and Annotation. Implemented modifications show good results.

# **METHODS : LABORATORY PROCESS BEFORE SEQUENCING**

1. Several lysis methods has been tested for single cell transcriptomics, selection criteria were: compatibility with highthroughput format; compatibility with the downstream process and chemistry; transcriptome recovery; time; cost; purity of

2. Eight Reverse Transcription methods were tested on purified total RNA in amounts equivalent to 1000; 100; 10 and 1 cells for single cell eukaryotes. Methods tested were: Superscript II (A); Superscript III (B); Thermoscript (C); PrimeScript with gDNA eraser (D), for all following manufacturer protocol; Superscript II and Superscript III with essential chemistry

3. Reverse Transcription Quality Check was done using six C.reinhardtii 4a+ genes, shown to have a high correlation with

4. Second Strand Synthesis was performed differently for different RT methods. Efficiency was estimated in preliminary

5. Amplification of the cDNA was tested by T7-IVT, PCR or MDA. First method was dropped from further experiments due to

6. For the library construction three methods are being tested: Illumina Fragment 500bp; Mondrian (Ovation SP+ for Ultra

Kingdom Fungi Kingdom Animalia Eumycot Basidiomycota

C	co-A	ssem
assemble	er	numb
		cont
<b>IDBA-U</b>	D	412,9
Single ce pipeline	ell e	8,93
metageno pipeline	me	96,3
SPAdes	5	94,8
Co-Ass	emb	oly St
		as
	ſ	netager
		S
everal as	seml	oly stra

rategies were tested using normalized and raw data for single cells and co-assemblies. The current assembly strategy for these projects is to use SPAdes without normalization. This is the same approach that is used now on microbial single cell projects at JGI.

		Hear	tma	aps	: <b>A</b> l	NI s	tan	daı	rd		C	Cove	erag	ge fo	or A	NI				Organism		20mer	20mer	Assembled
AN (	II Combo	сомво	NSBU 98.6	NSBW 98.59	Subjec NSBX 98.6	t NSBY 98.6	NSCA 98.5	NSCB 98.5	NSCG 98.6	C	ov combo	сомво	NSBU 67.1	NSBW 67.54	Subjec NSBX 67.2	t NSBY 66.2	NSCA 64.8	NSCB 65.4	NSCG 66.5		GC%	at 1mln reads	at 1mln reads 1cell	Genome Size MB
gmented)	NSBU NSBW NSBX	99.04 99.05 99.04	98.8 98.9	98.83 98.82	98.9 98.9	98.9 98.8 98.9	98.9 98.8 98.9	98.9 98.8 98.9	98.9 98.8 98.9	gmented)	NSBU NSBW NSBX	51.48 52.55 50.96	58 59.4	57.16 56.74	60.1 58.2	59.5 57.8 62.5	58.9 57.2 61.5	59.2 57.5 61.2	56.7 56.6 56.6	Piptocephalis cylindrospora	51	NA	10-20%	4.9 (1 cell)
Query (frag	NSBY NSCA NSCE	99.03 99.02 99.03 99.03	98.8 98.9 98.9	98.79 98.8 98.83 98.83	99 98.9 98.9	98.9 98.9	98.9 98.9	98.9 98.9	98.9 98.9 98.9	Query (fra	NSBY NSCA NSCB NSCG	48.66 46.47 48.21 54.32	57.1 55 56.7	54.6 52.67 54.42 59.34	60.6 58.1 59.4	58.9 59.9	60.4 59.1	59.7 57.6	54.5 52.5 54.2	<i>RSA2659 Rozella allomycis CSF55</i>	35	90%	40%	20 (100cell); 7 (1 cell)
Ρ	rotis	st: AN	l sta	nds f	for a	avera	age i	nucle	eotid	e ide	ntity.	The c	ove	rage	heat	map	shc	ows t	he	Caulochytrium protosteloides	60-70	30%	5%-10%	13 (100cell); 1 (1cell)
pe th	ercei e cu	ntage toff (>	of th 70%	he ge 5 ider	nom ntity	nes ti over	hat v <sup>-</sup> >70	vere )% o	use of the	d for fragi	the A ment,	NI cal fragn	nent	ition, size	i.e. was	had 102	hits 20 bp	abov b).	/e	<i>Metschnikowia bicuspidata,</i> yeast	50	80%	60%	In progress

Annotation Protist Analysis: Preliminary analysis based on PFAM domains, predicted on all possible potential ORFs, indicated that most of the scaffolds are from some unknown ciliate, which uses alternative genetic code, where TAA and TAG codons code for glutamine Q (translation table 6). Pipeline predicted 40,072 gene models, with ~65% of models having homology to KEGG database proteins and ~61% to Swissprot proteins. ~45% of genes have at least one Pfam domain and ~56% are complete (from start codon to stop codon). Closest species with sequenced genomes to this protist are ciliates Paramecium tetraurelia and Tetrahymena thermophila, whith whom it shares 4839 and 4765 orthologs respectively (~44-45% percent identity on amino acid level), based on bidirectional BLAST hits. Completeness of genome based on CEGMA analysis of core eukaryotic genes was estimated at 94.3%. Fungal Analysis: Piptocephalis cylindrospora RSA2659 assembly filtered to 8.2 Mb in 1000 contigs indicates 3300 genes with median length of 1074. (median: exon length 216bp; intron 82bp, transcript length of 924bp and 2050 spliced genes. Gene density of 403.02 Mbp. Based on CEGMA analysis of core genes, completeness of genome is estimated at 75.5%

- CONCLUSIONS

The work conducted by the U.S. Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute is supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:**

QC; Sequencing; and RQC groups at JGI; Library group for providing assistance with data analysis and generating the heatmaps for the protist.



### **Genome Assembly**

### nbly Strategy Comparison for Compost Protist on Normalized Data

er of	contig	Longest	assembled genome	assembler estimated
lgs	N50	contig	size	genome size
972	<b>381 bp</b>	29,832	157.1 MB	n/a
33	2.2 kb	27,532	18.4 MB	150 MB
<b>512</b>	3.1 kb	72,415	115.3 MB	n/a
876	635 bp	6,323	50.8 MB	n/a

### rategy Comparison for *Piptocephalis cylindrospora*

sembler	number of contigs	contig N50	assembled genome size
nome pipeline	5987	3.0 KB	9 MB
SPAdes	6102	7.3 KB	10.9 MB

Annotation

**Preprocessing:** Read1 from the fastq files was extracted and all **Protist Analysis:** statistics were calculated from only read1 data. Reads were trimmed for Annotation pipeline was the primer sequences followed by Illumina artifacts. run on 47675 scaffolds % transcriptome mapped: Reads were mapped to the reference with length > 500bp. For transcriptome. Number of reads that mapped to the transcriptome was gene prediction we used represented as a percentage of total number of reads generated. ab initio method -% Transcriptome covered: Reads were mapped to the reference fgenesh, with parameter transcriptome. Absolute number of bases in the transcriptome covered specifically trained for by reads was extracted and represented as a percentage of the entire ciliates, as well transcriptome length. as protein-homology Transcript distribution plot: For each transcript, the number of reads based methods, like mapping at every base position was calculated. This number was genewise and fgenesh++ averaged across all the transcripts after normalizing the transcripts to a using alternative genetic length of 100 bases. This plot shows if the reads were evenly code 6. distributed across the entire length of the transcript. Fungal Analysis: For % transcripts with at least 1 read mapped: Transcripts were binned based on their lengths. For each bin, numbers of reads mapped to the transcripts were calculated. Percentage of transcripts within the bin

P.cylindrospora was used JGI eukaryotic annotation pipeline on a combined assembly of 3 single cells

**RESULTS: GENOME ANALYSIS** 

# **Protist rDNA (18S) 1753bp HiSeq sequence has 99% Identity with Platyophrya vorax**

• One of the bottlenecks in single cell eukaryote analysis is the scarcity of rDNA data in the form of curated databases, this area needs further development. • A new capability for unicellular eukaryotes has been under development and preliminary results indicate that single cell eukaryote transcriptomics could be used as a complementing step for the single cell eukaryote pipeline. One best method has been determined and together with few other methods are currently being tested on single cells for their performance consistency.

# JOINT GENOME INSTITUTE DEPARTMENT OF ENERG **b.** Received sample 10 µm stained for DNA orimarily the host's The scale bar is 2 Collaborator micrographs: a. *Metschnikowia biscupidata* infected *Daphnia* ceived sample: c. Yeast (10um) and ascospore (50um) cells stained for DNA; d. Ascospore magnified; e. yeast cell BL and FL. **METHODS: SEQUENCE ANALYSIS TOOLS for SINGLE CELL Transcriptome Analysis**

having at least 1 read is calculated and plotted. This plot shows how many transcripts at a given length had at least 1 read mapped to it.

# Fungal Single Cell Assembled Genomes

# Rozella allomycis polymorphism

