UC Merced

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society

Title

Reversing the side-effect effect: the 'Rational Scientist' explanation

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9st6p8q3

Journal

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 32(32)

ISSN 1069-7977

Authors

Uttich, Kevin Lombrozo, Tania

Publication Date 2010

Peer reviewed

Reversing the side-effect effect: the 'Rational Scientist' explanation

Kevin Uttich

University of California-Berkeley

Tania Lombrozo

University of California-Berkeley

Abstract: Theory of mind, our intuitive understanding of the mind, is often conceptualized as analogous to a scientific theory with the function of predicting and explaining behavior. However, the so-called "side-effect effect" illustrates that moral considerations influence theory of mind judgments, and has been taken as evidence that theory of mind is fundamentally evaluative. We present new evidence for an alternative, the "rational scientist" view, which holds that moral evaluations inform ToM judgments, but that this relationship arises because behavior that conforms to norms (moral or otherwise) is less informative about underlying mental states than is behavior that violates norms. In two new experiments we demonstrate that different norms (moral or conventional) lead to different intentional descriptions of the same actions, and that the effect can be eliminated when norms are reversed. This view preserves the traditional understanding of ToM, but also suggests the importance of normative considerations in social cognition.