

# Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

## Recent Work

### Title

EPSILON HYPERONS IN THE REACTION  $K^- + P \rightarrow + K^+$

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9t13d6fv>

### Author

Ticho, Harold K.

### Publication Date

1962-06-05

**University of California**

**Ernest O. Lawrence  
Radiation Laboratory**

**TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY**

*This is a Library Circulating Copy  
which may be borrowed for two weeks.  
For a personal retention copy, call  
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545*

**Berkeley, California**

## **DISCLAIMER**

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
Lawrence Radiation Laboratory  
Berkeley, California

Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

$\Xi^-$  HYPERONS IN THE REACTION  $K^- + p \rightarrow \Xi^- + K^+$

Luis W. Alvarez, J. Peter Berge, George R. Kalbfleisch,  
Janice Button-Shafer, Frank T. Solmitz, M. Lynn Stevenson,  
and Harold K. Ticho

June 5, 1962

$\Xi^-$  HYPERONS IN THE REACTION  $K^- + p \rightarrow \Xi^- + K^+$  \*

Luis W. Alvarez, J. Peter Berge, George R. Kalbfleisch,  
Janice Button-Shafer, Frank T. Solmitz, and M. Lynn Stevenson

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory  
University of California  
Berkeley, California

and Harold K. Ticho

University of California at Los Angeles,  
Los Angeles, California

June 5, 1962

(To be presented by Luis W. Alvarez)

I. INTRODUCTION

We have been studying the reaction



in the Berkeley 72-inch hydrogen bubble chamber; to date, we have analyzed about 450 events in which the  $\Xi^-$  decays into  $\Lambda + \pi^-$  and the  $\Lambda$  subsequently decays into  $p + \pi^-$ . In the momentum range thus far investigated, 1.2 to 1.6 GeV/c incident  $K^-$  momentum, the cross section for reaction 1 rises from  $\approx 60$  to  $\approx 200$   $\mu\text{b}$ . The angular distributions are characterized by marked forward peaking of the  $\Xi^-$  (see Fig. 1).

The decay distributions show a substantial amount of parity violation, indicating the presence of both s- and p-wave amplitudes in the  $\Xi^-$  decay; the s-wave seems to dominate over the p-wave. The rest of this paper is devoted to a discussion of the decay distributions.

---

\*Work done under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

## II. $\Xi^-$ DECAY DISTRIBUTION

In what follows we shall assume, for simplicity, that the  $\Xi^-$  has spin 1/2; our data are consistent with that assumption, but we are at present not able to rule out the possibility of higher spin.

If the decay amplitude is written in the form  $(S_{\Xi^-} - P_{\Xi^-} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\Lambda})$ , then the  $\Lambda$  angular distribution is given by

$$I = (1 - \alpha_{\Xi^-} \rho_{\Xi^-} \hat{n} \cdot \hat{\Lambda}), \quad (2)$$

and the  $\Lambda$  polarization by

$$\vec{P}_{\Lambda} = \frac{1}{I} \left\{ -\alpha_{\Xi^-} \hat{\Lambda} + \rho_{\Xi^-} \left[ (\hat{n} \cdot \hat{\Lambda}) \hat{\Lambda} - \beta_{\Xi^-} \hat{n} \times \hat{\Lambda} + \gamma_{\Xi^-} \hat{\Lambda} \times (\hat{n} \times \hat{\Lambda}) \right] \right\}. \quad (3)$$

Here  $\hat{\Lambda}$  is a unit vector directed along the line of flight of the  $\Lambda$  in the  $\Xi^-$  rest frame;  $\rho_{\Xi^-}$  is the polarization vector of the  $\Xi^-$ , ( $|\hat{n}| = 1$ ); and  $\alpha_{\Xi^-}$ ,  $\beta_{\Xi^-}$ ,  $\gamma_{\Xi^-}$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\Xi^-} &= 2 \operatorname{Re}(S_{\Xi^-}^* P_{\Xi^-}) / (|S_{\Xi^-}|^2 + |P_{\Xi^-}|^2), \\ \beta_{\Xi^-} &= 2 \operatorname{Im}(S_{\Xi^-}^* P_{\Xi^-}) / (|S_{\Xi^-}|^2 + |P_{\Xi^-}|^2), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and

$$\gamma_{\Xi^-} = (|S_{\Xi^-}|^2 - |P_{\Xi^-}|^2) / (|S_{\Xi^-}|^2 + |P_{\Xi^-}|^2).$$

Note that

$$\alpha_{\Xi^-}^2 + \beta_{\Xi^-}^2 + \gamma_{\Xi^-}^2 = 1. \quad (5)$$

### III. DETERMINATION OF $a_{\Xi}$

The longitudinal polarization of the  $\Lambda$  from an unpolarized sample of  $\Xi$ 's is equal to  $-a_{\Xi}$  (see Eqs (2), (3)).<sup>1</sup> This polarization can be determined by a measurement of the asymmetry of the  $\Lambda$  decay; the distribution for the proton direction  $\hat{p}$ , ( $|\hat{p}| = 1$ ) is given by

$$(1 - a_{\Lambda} \vec{P}_{\Lambda} \cdot \hat{p}) = (1 + a_{\Lambda} a_{\Xi} \hat{\Lambda} \cdot \hat{p}).$$

This distribution, for our entire sample of  $\Xi$ 's, is shown in Fig. 2. (Such a complete sample of  $\Xi$ 's including all production angles must necessarily be unpolarized.) We obtain the value

$$a_{\Lambda} a_{\Xi} = -0.30 \pm 0.08.$$

This is to be compared with the previously reported values,  $-0.65 \pm 0.35$  by Fowler et al.,<sup>2</sup>  $-0.07 \pm 0.30$  by Alvarez et al.,<sup>3</sup> and  $-0.64 \pm 0.25$  by Bertanza et al.<sup>4</sup>

Using the recently reported value  $a_{\Lambda} = -0.61 \pm 0.05$ ,<sup>5</sup> we obtain

$$a_{\Xi} = 0.50 \pm 0.13.$$

#### IV. SIMULTANEOUS DETERMINATION OF $a_{\Xi}$ , $\beta_{\Xi}$ , AND $\gamma_{\Xi}$

Once  $a_{\Xi}$  has been determined, one can in principle measure the polarization  $\rho_{\Xi}$  for a given production angle by observing the "up-down" asymmetry (see Eq. 2). Then one could determine  $\beta_{\Xi}$  and  $\gamma_{\Xi}$  by a measurement of the transverse components of the  $\Lambda$  polarization (Eq. 3).

We represent  $\rho_{\Xi}(\theta)$  in terms of the amplitudes of a partial-wave analysis, in order to combine the data from all production angles. The probability function for the c.m. production angle  $\theta_{\Xi}^{c.m.}$  and the decay  $\Lambda$  and the proton directions  $\hat{\Lambda}$  and  $\hat{p}$  is then

$$\begin{aligned}
 f &= \frac{1}{D} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(\theta_{\Xi}^{c.m.}) (1 - a_{\Lambda} \rho_{\Lambda} \cdot \hat{p}) (1 - a_{\Xi} \rho_{\Xi}(\theta_{\Xi}^{c.m.}) \hat{n} \cdot \hat{\Lambda}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{D} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(\theta_{\Xi}^{c.m.}) \left\{ 1 + a_{\Xi} a_{\Lambda} \hat{p} \cdot \hat{\Lambda} + \rho_{\Xi}(\theta_{\Xi}^{c.m.}) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times \left[ -a_{\Xi} \hat{n} \cdot \hat{\Lambda} - a_{\Lambda} \hat{p} \cdot \left( (\hat{n} \cdot \hat{\Lambda}) \hat{\Lambda} + \beta_{\Xi} \hat{\Lambda} \times \hat{n} + \gamma_{\Xi} (\hat{\Lambda} \times \hat{n}) \times \hat{\Lambda} \right) \right] \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\hat{n}$  is a unit vector in the direction  $\hat{K}_{inc} \times \hat{\Xi}$ . (D is chosen so that the integral of f over all the relevant angles is unity). We can now write a likelihood function for all the events at a given c.m. energy:

$$\mathcal{L} = \prod_{i=1}^N f_i,$$

where  $f_i \equiv f(\theta_{\Xi i}^{c.m.}, \hat{n}_i, \hat{\Lambda}_i, \hat{p}_i)$  is a function of the partial-wave amplitudes, and  $a_{\Xi}$  and  $\beta_{\Xi}$ ;  $\gamma_{\Xi}$  is determined from  $a_{\Xi}$  and  $\beta_{\Xi}$  to within a sign.

The bulk of our data is concentrated at 1.51 GeV/c incident  $K^-$  momentum. The angular distributions (Fig. 1) suggest that s- and p-waves alone are no longer adequate to describe the reaction in this energy region. We have therefore used s-, p-, and d-waves in the analysis. We have not yet made a detailed study of whether partial waves higher than d are necessary, and how they might affect our conclusions. At momenta other than 1.51 GeV/c, there were insufficient data to warrant a partial-wave analysis; on the other hand, these data did contribute significantly to the determination of  $a_{\Xi}$  through the  $\Lambda$  longitudinal polarization. We therefore wrote the likelihood function in the form



$$L^1 = \prod_{i=1}^N f_i \prod_{j=1}^M (1 + a_{\Lambda} a_{\Xi} \hat{\Lambda}_j \cdot \hat{p}_j),$$

where the sum over  $i$  extends over all events at 1.51 GeV/c ( $N = 207$ ), and the sum over  $j$  over all other events ( $M = 199$ ). We used a search program for the IBM 7090 to determine the values of the parameters, which lead to a maximum of  $L^1$ . Two maxima were found, one corresponding to  $\gamma_{\Xi} = [1 - (a_{\Xi}^2 + \beta_{\Xi}^2)]^{1/2}$ , and the other to  $\gamma_{\Xi} = - [1 - (a_{\Xi}^2 + \beta_{\Xi}^2)]^{1/2}$ , with these results:

$\gamma_{\Xi}$  positive solution-

$$a_{\Xi} = 0.45 \pm 0.11$$

$$\beta_{\Xi} = -0.63 \pm 0.31$$

$$\gamma_{\Xi} = 0.63 \pm 0.31$$

$\gamma_{\Xi}$  negative solution-

$$a_{\Xi} = 0.38 \pm 0.14$$

$$\beta_{\Xi} = 0.31 \pm 0.88$$

$$\gamma_{\Xi} = -0.87 \pm 0.31$$

The  $\gamma_{\Xi}$  positive solution gives a larger value of  $L^1$  hence a better fit to the data:  $L^1(\gamma_{\Xi} > 0)/L^1(\gamma_{\Xi} < 0) = 40$ . We do not know yet whether these data allow us to rule out the  $\gamma_{\Xi}$  negative solution.

It is difficult to present the fit to the data graphically, since  $f$  is a function of four independent angles. Figures 3AB and 4AB present two 2-dimensional distributions for the  $\gamma_{\Xi}$  positive and  $\gamma_{\Xi}$  negative solutions; these may help the reader visualize the data and the quality of the fits.

REFERENCES

1. See also T. D. Lee and C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. 108, 1645 (1957), R. Gatto, UCRL-3795 (1957), (unpublished); W. B. Teutsch, S. Okubo, and E. C. G. Sudarshan, Phys. Rev. 114, 1148 (1959), and I. Y. Kobzarev, L. B. Okun, and A. P. Rudik, Soviet Phys. - JETP 11, 728 (1960).
2. W. B. Fowler, R. W. Birge, P. Eberhard, R. Ely, M. L. Good, W. M. Powell and H. K. Ticho, Phys. Rev. Letters 6, 134 (1961).
3. L. W. Alvarez, J. Button, G. R. Kalbfleisch, D. H. Miller, A. H. Rosenfeld, M. L. Stevenson, H. K. Ticho, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 7, 48 (1962).
4. L. Bertanza, V. Brisson, P. Connolly, E. L. Hart, P. Hough, I. Mitra, G. Moneti, R. Rau, N. P. Samios, I. Skillicorn and S. Yamamoto; M. Goldberg, E. Harth, J. Leitner, S. Lichtman, and J. Westgard, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 7, 296 (1962).
5. J. W. Cronin, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 7, 68 (1962).
6. We are indebted to Dr. Fernand Grard for the use of his MALIK program; for a description see, A General Program for Statistical Analysis Using the Maximum-Likelihood-Method MALIK Program, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Report 10153, March 30, 1962 (unpublished).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1.  $K^- + p \rightarrow \Xi^- + K^+$  production angular distributions at 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, and 1.6 GeV/c, corresponding to total center-of-mass energies of 1895, 1950, 1980, 2025 and 2060 GeV, respectively. The dotted histograms contain only those events for which both  $\Xi$  and  $\Lambda$  travelled more than 0.5 cm before decay. The solid curves are corrected for this factor.

Fig. 2. Determination of the longitudinal polarization of the  $\Lambda$  from  $\Xi^- \rightarrow \Lambda + \pi^-$  decay. The distribution of protons (in the  $\Lambda$  rest frame) as measured with respect to the flight path of the  $\Lambda$  (in the  $\Xi$  rest frame) is  $1 + a_{\Lambda} a_{\Xi} \hat{p} \cdot \hat{\Lambda}$ . Here  $\hat{p}$  and  $\hat{\Lambda}$  are unit vectors of the proton and  $\Lambda$  in those rest frames.

Fig. 3A. The experimental  $\Lambda$  decay distribution is compared to  $(1 - a_{\Xi} \mathcal{P}_{\Xi}(\theta_{\Xi}^{c.m.})(\hat{n} \cdot \hat{\Lambda}))$  where  $\mathcal{P}_{\Xi}(\theta_{\Xi}^{c.m.})$  is a function of the s, p, and d partial-wave amplitudes for the  $\gamma_{\Xi}$  positive solution. Because of the  $\theta_{\Xi}^{c.m.}$  dependence of  $\mathcal{P}_{\Xi}$ , we have divided the data into six equal  $\cos \theta_{\Xi}^{c.m.}$  intervals. The numbers that appear in each subinterval are the number of events that have decay lengths for both  $\Xi$  and  $\Lambda$  greater than 0.5 c. m. The height of each histogram element has been corrected for the detection efficiency. The smooth curves are the best fit solution.


Fig. 3B. The experimental  $\Lambda$  decay distribution is compared to

$$(1 - \frac{\pi}{4} a_{\Lambda} \gamma_{\Xi} \mathcal{P}_{\Xi}(\theta_{\Xi}^{c.m.}) \cdot \hat{p} \cdot (\hat{\Lambda} \times \hat{y})),$$

where  $\hat{y}$  is a unit vector in the direction  $\hat{n} \times \hat{\Lambda}$ , and  $\mathcal{P}_{\Xi}(\theta_{\Xi}^{c.m.})$  is a function of s, p, and d wave partial-wave amplitudes for the  $\gamma_{\Xi}$  positive solution.


Fig. 4A. The same experimental comparison is made as in figure 3A, except for the  $\gamma_{\Xi}$  negative solution.

Fig. 4B. The same experimental comparison is made as in figure 3B, except for the  $\gamma_{\Xi}$  negative solution.




MUB-1107

Fig. 1




MU-26916

Fig. 2




MU-26922

Fig. 3a-



MU-26921

Fig. 3b.




MU-26920

Fig. 4a.



$$\alpha = 0.38 \pm 0.14$$
$$\beta = 0.31 \pm 0.88$$
$$\gamma = -0.87 \pm 0.31$$



MU-26919

Fig. 4b-

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.