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Introduction

Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) is the seventh most common incident cancer worldwide, with more than 600,000 new cases each year (1). The major risk factors for HNSCC are tobacco use, alcohol consumption, and/or infection with oncogenic strains of HPV, primarily HPV 16 (2). Despite advances in multimodality treatment, including surgery, radiation, and chemotherapy, 5-year overall survival has improved modestly over 3 decades, and this persistent mortality is largely due to high rates of regional metastasis and locoregional recurrence (3).

HNSCC metastases almost always arise first in the cervical lymph nodes (4). Most patients are diagnosed with locally advanced disease, and more than half have cervical lymph node metastases present at initial diagnosis (5). Clinically, this is classified as synchronous nodal metastasis and is an indicator of a poor prognosis. Five-year adjusted survival rates range from approximately 30% to 60% for patients with synchronous nodal metastasis compared with approximately 85% for patients whose cancer has not metastasized (6). Patients with synchronous nodal metastasis are also more likely to develop locoregional or distant metastatic recurrence of HNSCC after completing curative-intent therapy (7).
Rates of recurrence following treatment of an index HNSCC tumor range from approximately 25% to 50%, depending on the anatomical location of the primary tumor, stage at diagnosis, and HPV status (8). Relapse after initial curative-intent treatment is known as metachronous recurrence. In patients who experience recurrence, approximately 25% to 50% will do so more than once (8). Recurrent tumors are more likely to be locoregional than distant (9). Median survival following metachronous recurrence is less than 22 months in patients who are eligible for salvage surgery or reirradiation and less than 12 months for those receiving palliative chemotherapy alone (8). Recurrence in HNSCC is often resistant to standard therapy and is generally considered incurable (8,10).

The genetic alterations underlying nodal metastasis and recurrence are incompletely understood and present a fundamental challenge to the development of more effective therapies. Next-generation sequencing of several cancers has greatly expanded our appreciation of the genetic heterogeneity that exists in a variety of malignancies. Cumulative evidence implicates a complex, nonlinear, branched evolution model of subclonal populations within tumors that defines dynamic processes that likely mediate the expansion of minor subclones under the selective pressure of therapy, culminating in metastatic recurrences that are often treatment resistant (11,12). In hematological malignancies, distinct patterns of clonal evolution in the development of therapeutic resistance and relapse have been reported (13,14). In melanoma treated with MEK inhibitors, sequencing of recurrent tumors has identified activating mutations in MEK2 that confer resistance to targeted therapy (15). Whole-exome sequencing (WES) studies have revealed mutational signatures induced by temozolomide in recurrent glioma, demonstrated inherent functional variability in recurrent clones that affect response to chemotherapy in colorectal cancer, and shown that treatment can be guided by the sequencing of metastases or circulating tumor cells in breast cancer (16–19).

Next-generation sequencing studies have not yet characterized synchronous nodal metastasis or metachronous recurrence in HNSCC. Microarray-based expression profiling of unmatched normal mucosa, primary tumors, lymph node metastases, and recurrent HNSCC lesions identified mRNA expression signatures predictive of metastasis and recurrence, but the clinical impact of these observations remains unrealized (20–23). Only one study included analysis of patient-matched index primary tumors and synchronous nodal metastases; this found that the expression profiles of primary tumors were largely preserved in their respective metastatic lymph nodes (23). To date, WES studies in HNSCC have been conducted almost entirely in newly diagnosed primary tumors; these studies have enriched our understanding of the genetic alterations underlying the pathophysiology of primary HNSCC and identified novel therapeutic targets (24–31). Mutational compendia in the setting of metastasis and/or recurrence may contribute to similar advances for these HNSCC patients with especially poor prognoses. In an effort to define and target the genetic alterations underlying metastasis and recurrence in HNSCC, we performed WES of patient-matched tumor pairs in the setting of synchronous nodal metastasis or metachronous recurrence.

Results

Patient characteristics and WES. The cohorts analyzed in this study were 2 groups of patients with HNSCC from the University of Pittsburgh: the synchronous nodal metastasis group and the metachronous recurrence group (Supplemental Figure 1; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI82066DS1). The synchronous nodal metastasis group consisted of 13 patients contributing blood, primary tumor, and synchronous nodal metastases. The metachronous recurrence group consisted of 10 patients contributing blood, primary tumor, and recurrent tumor. All patients were treated with curative intent for the index tumor, and all metachronous recurrences underwent salvage therapy.

Clinical and pathologic characteristics were typical of a surgically treated HNSCC population and are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. Briefly, all patients were of mixed European descent, 83% of the patients were male (19/23), mean age at diagnosis was 61.9 ± 11.2 years (range: 44–79), 87% (20/23) had a history of substantial tobacco exposure (generally > 20 pack years), 74% (17/23) had documented alcohol use, and only 1 patient had HPV+ disease. Of the 5 patients in the metachronous recurrence group with nodal disease at the time of initial diagnosis, all had evidence of extracapsular spread as did 10 of the 13 patients in the synchronous nodal metastasis group.

The majority of the index primary tumors were located in the oral cavity (61%; n = 14), with the remainder distributed between the larynx (22%; n = 5) and pharynx (17%; n = 4). As is commonly seen in HNSCC, most patients presented with advanced disease. Of the newly diagnosed index primary tumors, 25% and 70% were American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) stage III or
IVA, respectively; metachronous recurrent tumors are not staged (Table 2 and ref. 32). All metachronous recurrences sequenced in this study were locoregional and, compared with their paired index primary tumors, 7/10 were located in different anatomic subsites of the head and neck (Table 2). Median time to recurrence in the metachronous recurrence group was 5.5 months (range, 2.2–33.9) (Table 2). Of 10 patients in the metachronous recurrence group, 2 received adjuvant radiation therapy and 3 received adjuvant chemotherapy in combination with radiation after resection of the index primary tumor (Table 2).

Genomic DNA from patient-matched tumor pairs and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) was subjected to exome sequencing as described in Methods. By design, tumors were sequenced to higher depth of coverage than normal samples (PBMCs). Normal samples were sequenced to a mean depth of 123 independent reads per targeted base and tumors to a mean of 202 independent reads (Supplemental Table 1). Single somatic nucleotide variants (SSNVs) and somatic copy number variants (SCNVs) were called (see Methods), and tumor purity was estimated from deviation in minor allele frequency of heterozygous SSNVs in segments showing loss of heterozygosity (LOH) (Supplemental Table 2). In 1 primary tumor, 2 synchronous metastases, and 1 metachronous recurrence, estimated tumor purity was too low to yield high-quality sequencing data (Supplemental Table 2). These patients were not included in the analysis of genetic concordance between matched tumor pairs, but the tumors obtained from them that did yield high-quality sequencing data were included when reporting overall mutation rates in each tumor type (11 total synchronous metastases and 9 total metachronous recurrences). All SSNVs identified by exome sequencing are enumerated in Supplemental Table 10, and sequencing files were deposited in the NCBI’s database of Genotypes and Phenotypes (dbGaP phs0001007.v1.p1).

**Genetic profiles of index primary tumors.** Among 22 primary tumors, 1,961 SSNVs were identified in 1,666 genes (Supplemental Table 10). Tumors averaged 89.1 SSNVs (range 3–413), and the ratio of nonsynonymous/ synonymous variants in coding regions was 3.14:1. Analysis of mutation burden with MutSigCV (http://www.broadinstitute.org/cancer/software/genepattern/modules/docs/MutSigCV) revealed a single gene, tumor protein p53 (TP53), with a significant excess of SSNVs (mutated in 13 tumors, \( q = 2.66 \times 10^{-4} \)) (33). We utilized the findings of Vogelstein et al. (n = 124), the The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) Pan-Cancer effort (n = 127), and the TCGA HNSCC cohort (n = 11) to generate a composite list of 191 genes, which have either been established as cancer driver genes and/or as genes that are commonly mutated in HNSCC (cancer driver genes) (Supplemental Table 3 and refs. 30, 34, 35). Forty-seven nonsynonymous SSNVs were identified in 28/191 cancer driver genes, which is significantly greater than expected by chance (\( P = 5.2 \times 10^{-5} \), Monte Carlo) (Supplemental Table 4).

GISTIC2 analysis of SCNVs in the primary tumors of our cohort identified 8 significantly amplified regions, including portions of 3q and 8q that were amplified in a majority of tumors in the TCGA HNSCC cohort as well as 3q26.32 (\( q = 4.95 \times 10^{-5} \)), 7p11.2 (\( q = 2.62 \times 10^{-5} \)), and 11q13.3 (\( q = 1.14 \times 10^{-5} \)), which were also significantly amplified in the TCGA HNSCC cohort and overlap well-known cancer driver genes phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase, catalytic subunit alpha (PIK3CA), EGFR, and cyclin D1 (CCND1), respectively (Supplemental Figure 2 and refs. 30, 36). We also observed 8 segments with significant recurrent somatic deletions, including portions of 3p and 8p that were lost in a majority of tumors in the TCGA HNSCC cohort as well as 3p21.3 (\( q = 0.15 \)), which was also significantly deleted in the TCGA HNSCC cohort and overlaps cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2A (CDKN2A) and CDKN2B (Supplemental Figure 2 and refs. 30, 36). Overall, the genetic profiles of the index primary tumors in this cohort are similar to those reported in other WES studies of primary HNSCC (24–31).

Index primary tumors from patients in the synchronous nodal metastasis group had a significantly greater mutational burden than those from patients in the metachronous recurrence group (125.9 ± 103.2 versus 36.0 ± 31.6 SSNVs, \( P = 9.94 \times 10^{-3} \), 2-tailed

---

**Table 2. Clinical characteristics of metachronous recurrence cohort.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient ID #</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age at Dx (yr)</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Path. stage</th>
<th>AJCC stage</th>
<th>Adjuvant treatment</th>
<th>Time to recurrence (mo)</th>
<th>Site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PY-1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>OC</td>
<td>T3 N1 M0</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PY-3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>OC</td>
<td>T2 N2B M0</td>
<td>IVA</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>OC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PY-4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>T4A N2B M0</td>
<td>IVA</td>
<td>RT, Citiplatin, and Vectibix</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PY-5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>OC</td>
<td>T3 N0 M0</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PY-6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>TX NX MX</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>IMRT, cetuximab, and pemetrexed</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>LN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PY-7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>T4A N1 M0</td>
<td>IVA</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PY-8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>OC</td>
<td>TX NX MX</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PY-9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>OC</td>
<td>T2 N0 MX</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>LN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PY-10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>OC</td>
<td>TX NX MX</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>OC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PY-11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>OC</td>
<td>T3 N2C M0</td>
<td>IVA</td>
<td>RT and Citiplatin</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>OC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All index primary tumors and metachronous recurrences were surgically resected. IMRT, intensity-modulated radiation therapy; RT, radiation therapy. Index primary tumors for patients PY-6, PY-8, and PY-10 were recurrences, which are not staged.
Genetic profiles of synchronous nodal metastases. In the synchronous nodal metastasis group, we obtained high-quality sequencing data from the matched PBMCs, primary tumor, and synchronous nodal metastases of 11 patients, allowing for the analysis of genetic concordance within matched tumor pairs in these patients (Figure 1A and Supplemental Table 2). The nodal metastases in these patients harbored 1,499 SSNVs in 1,310 genes (Figure 1A and Supplemental Table 10). Thirty-three nonsynonymous SSNVs in the metastases were found in 23/191 cancer driver genes, which is significantly greater than expected by chance (\(P = 0.0013\), Monte Carlo) (Supplemental Table 6 and refs. 30, 34, 35). In the primary tumors of these 11 patients, 84.8% (979 /1154) of nonsynonymous SSNVs and 94.1% (32/34) of nonsynonymous SSNVs in cancer driver genes were transmitted to the nodal metastases (not a significantly different rate of transmission, \(P = 0.15\), Fisher test) (Figure 1A and Supplemental Table 10). In the nodal metastases of these 11 patients, 13.9% (208/1499) of the SSNVs were identified as newly arisen, i.e., absent in the primary tumor (see Methods), including 1 new mutation in a cancer driver gene, MLL4, in PY-15 (Figure 1A, Supplemental Table 10, and Supplemental Table 6).

The PyClone algorithm was used to identify and quantify clonal populations in the primary and metastatic tumors (37). In 10 of 11 patients, 80% or more of the SSNVs identified in the nodal metastases were transmitted from the primary tumor (Supplemental Table 10). In those 10 patients, PyClone analysis revealed that the majority of SSNVs were transmitted in a stable fashion, with the primary clone (containing > 50% of SSNVs) being present at similar cellular prevalence in both the primary and metastatic tumors (Supplemental Table 7). In the 11th patient, PY-16, 44% of SSNVs were unique to the metastatic tumor (clusters 4 and 5) and 25% private to the primary tumor (cluster 1). SCNV burden in the index primary tumors differed significantly, with a higher fraction of the genome in index primary tumors from the synchronous nodal metastasis group harboring SCNVs than those in the metachronous recurrence group (Supplemental Table 5, 0.36 ± 0.13 versus 0.19 ± 0.13, \(n = 13\) and \(n = 9\), \(P = 0.017\), Mann-Whitney U test).

GISTIC2 analysis of SCNVs in the synchronous nodal metastases revealed 4 regions of significant focal amplification and 4 regions of significant focal deletion, with copy number gains encompassing EGFR, and CCND1 and copy number losses encom-
nodal metastases were also significantly amplified in the primary tumors (3q26.32, 7p11.2, and 11q13.3) (Figure 2B). Among these 11 patients, 60 genes harbored nonsynonymous mutations in 2 or more patients (Figure 1A and Supplemental Table 10). Three of those 60 genes, TP53, FAT1, and MLL2, are cancer driver genes. Two genes were newly mutated in the synchronous metastases of 2 patients, C17orf104 and inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptor, type 3 (ITPR3) (P = 0.37, Monte Carlo).

Figure 2. Somatic CNVs in HNSCC tumors. (A) Overview of CNVs and (B) GISTIC significant peaks across 11 primary tumors and paired synchronous nodal metastases. (C) Overview of CNVs and (D) GISTIC significant peaks across 8 primary tumors and paired metachronous recurrences. Red, amplifications; blue, deletions; shades of red and blue, unique to primary or metastatic/recurrent tumor or transmitted between tumors; green line, q = –.25, signifying threshold for significance.

passing CDKN2A and CDKN2B (Supplemental Figure 3 and ref. 36). The copy number profiles between matched primary tumors and synchronous nodal metastases were not significantly different (fraction of genome-harboring SCNVs, 0.38 ± 0.14 versus 0.32 ± 0.14, n = 11, P = 0.48, Mann-Whitney U test, Supplemental Table 5 and Figure 2A). Many of the significant SCNV peaks identified in the nodal metastases of these patients arose in the primary tumor; 3/4 regions of significant focal amplification identified in the nodal metastases were also significantly amplified in the primary tumors (3q26.32, 7p11.2, and 11q13.3) (Figure 2B).

Among these 11 patients, 60 genes harbored nonsynonymous mutations in 2 or more patients (Figure 1A and Supplemental Table 10). Three of those 60 genes, TP53, FAT1, and MLL2, are cancer driver genes. Two genes were newly mutated in the synchronous metastases of 2 patients, C17orf104 and inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptor, type 3 (ITPR3) (P = 0.37, Monte Carlo)
(Table 3). The precise function or functions of C17orf104 have not been described, whereas ITPR3 encodes a calcium channel receptor that binds inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate, mediates intracellular calcium levels, and is important to exocrine functions that influence metabolism and growth (38, 39). ITPR3 has been implicated in breast cancer proliferation, and elevated expression levels correlate with increased invasion and metastasis and decreased survival in colorectal cancer as well as dissemination of gastric cancers (40–42).

**Genetic profiles of metachronous recurrent tumors.** In the metachronous recurrence group, we obtained high-quality sequencing data from the matched PBMCs, primary tumor, and metachronous recurrent tumors of 8 patients, allowing for the analysis of genetic concordance in matched tumor pairs from these patients (Figure 1A and Supplemental Table 2). The metachronous recurrent tumors in these 8 patients harbored 457 SSNVs in 441 genes. Nine nonsynonymous SSNVs were found in 6/191 cancer driver genes, this number being significantly greater than expected by chance ($P = 0.019$, Monte Carlo) (Supplemental Table 9 and refs. 30, 34, 35). In the primary tumors from these 8 patients, 90.1% (274/304) of SSNVs in cancer driver genes were transmitted to the metachronous recurrent tumors (Figure 1A). In the metachronous recurrences of these 8 patients, 40.0% (183/457) of SSNVs were identified as newly arisen (Figure 1A). The copy number profiles between matched primary tumors and metachronous recurrent tumors of 8 patients, allowing for the analysis of genetic concordance in matched tumor pairs from these patients (Figure 1A and Supplemental Table 2). The metachronous recurrent tumors in these 8 patients harbored 457 SSNVs in 441 genes. Nine nonsynonymous SSNVs were found in 6/191 cancer driver genes, this number being significantly greater than expected by chance ($P = 0.019$, Monte Carlo) (Supplemental Table 9 and refs. 30, 34, 35). In the primary tumors from these 8 patients, 90.1% (274/304) of SSNVs in cancer driver genes were transmitted to the metachronous recurrent tumors (Figure 1A). In the metachronous recurrences of these 8 patients, 40.0% (183/457) of SSNVs were identified as newly arisen (Figure 1A). The copy number profiles between matched primary tumors and metachronous recurrent tumors were not significantly different (fraction of genome harboring SCNVs, $0.21 \pm 0.13$ versus $0.34 \pm 0.12$, $P = 0.05$, $n = 8$, Mann-Whitney $U$ test, Supplemental Table 5 and Figure 2C). GISTIC2 analysis of these 8 primary tumor-metachronous recurrent recurrence pairs identified no regions of significant focal amplification or deletion in the primary tumors, whereas 4 and 6 regions of significant focal amplification and deletion were observed in the recurrent tumors, respectively, with copy number gains overlapping PIK3CA, NFIB, and CCND1 and copy number losses overlapping TATDN2 and FAT1 (Figure 2D, Supplemental Figure 5, and ref. 36).

The PyClone algorithm was used to determine whether the metachronous recurrent tumors were largely clonal, as was observed in the synchronous nodal metastases (37). The total mutation load in the tumor pairs from patients PY-3, PY-6, PY-7, and PY-10 was too low (<30 SSNVs per pair) for analysis with this technique. In the metachronous recurrent tumors from patients PY-1, PY-5, and PY-11, 90% or more of the SSNVs identified were transmitted from the primary index tumor and present at similar cellular prevalence (Supplemental Table 7). In the metachronous recurrent tumor from patient PY-4, 60.2% of the SSNVs identified were transmitted from the primary index tumor, and the recurrence was composed of a set of SSNVs seeded from the primary tumor, present at high cellular prevalence (0.9–1.0), and a set of SSNVs unique to the metachronous recurrent tumor (40%), present at a moderate cellular frequency (a subclonal population) (Supplemental Table 10 and Supplemental Figure 4). In patient PY-8, the metachronous recurrent tumor was genetically distinct from the index primary tumor, with 110 and 26 SSNVs unique to the metachronous recurrent tumor and index primary tumors, respectively (clusters 1 and 2); only 3 SNVs, all of which were also detected at trace levels in the matched normal tissue, were shared between the 2 tumors (clusters 3 and 4), demonstrating that this is a true “second primary” or “second field” tumor (SPT) (Supplemental Table 10 and Figure 1C). Also, newly arisen mutations were identified in 4 cancer driver genes of this SPT: KDM5C, POLQ, SF3B1, and TP53 (Supplemental Table 8).

**Table 3. Genes that are exclusively mutated in 2 synchronous nodal metastases**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>aa change</th>
<th>Index primary</th>
<th>Synchronous nodal metastasis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>Nonref</td>
<td>MAF</td>
<td>Ref</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C17orf104</td>
<td>PY-16</td>
<td>H846Y</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PY-21</td>
<td>Q145</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITPR3</td>
<td>PY-15</td>
<td>R149L</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PY-16</td>
<td>R64H</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ref, number of reference sequence reads; nonref, number of nonreference sequence reads; MAF, minor allele frequency (adjusted for estimated tumor purity). *Nonsense mutation.

**Table 4. DDR2 is exclusively mutated in 2 metachronous HNSCC recurrences**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>aa change</th>
<th>Index primary</th>
<th>Metachronous recurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>Nonref</td>
<td>MAF</td>
<td>Ref</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDR2</td>
<td>PY-3</td>
<td>p.R709*</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PY-8</td>
<td>p.I474M</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Nonsense mutation.
Among the 8 patients with high-quality sequencing data from all 3 tissue samples, 4 genes, discoidin domain receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (DDR2), OR7A5, SYNE1, and TP53, harbored nonsynonymous mutations in 2 or more patients (Supplemental Table 10). DDR2 was the only gene with newly acquired mutations in the metachronous tumors of 2 patients, PY-3 and PY-8 (Table 4 and Figures 3 and 4). DDR2 encodes the discoidin domain receptor 2, which is a collagen-stimulated receptor tyrosine kinase implicated in a wide range of processes including regulation of epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and osteogenic/chondrogenic differentiation (43, 44). Although the functional mechanisms of DDR2 expression and/or mutation in disease are incompletely understood, alterations in DDR2 have been implicated in cellular adhesion, migration, invasion, and metastasis in prostate cancer, breast cancer, and recently, HNSCC (45–48). In total, DDR2 mutations were observed in 3 of the 19 patients whose tumor pairs had reliable WES data (Table 4). A DDR2(I724M) mutation was identified in both the index primary tumor and synchronous nodal metastasis of patient PY-22.

Patients PY-3 and PY-8 both developed metachronous recurrences within the field of radiation therapy following resection of their index primary tumors. DDR2(R709*) and DDR2(I474M) mutations were identified in their metachronous recurrent tumors, respectively, and appeared to be newly arisen, as they were not identified in the paired index primary tumors from these patients. However, the depth of sequencing achieved in this study was not sufficient to rule out the possibility that these mutations were present in rare subclones in the primary tumors. The spec-

**Figure 3. DDR2 mutations in HNSCC.** The genetic alterations in DDR2 identified in our cohort and the previously reported, transcript-altering DDR2 mutations identified in other sequencing studies of primary HNSCC tumors are displayed. 2/9 (22.2%) metachronous recurrent tumors sequenced in this study had newly arisen DDR2 mutations vs. 12/658 (1.8%) newly diagnosed primary HNSCC tumors in other studies.

**Figure 4. Spatial and temporal evolution of disease in patients harboring newly arisen DDR2 mutations in their recurrent tumors.** The clinical histories of patient PY-3 (A) and patient PY-8 (B) are shown. Index primary and metachronous recurrent tumors assessed via WES are outlined and presented with CT imaging of the respective tumors. Treatments between cancer events are illustrated on the time line, and the time to recurrence between sequenced tumors is indicated in months. Below each time line is the mutational burden of each sequenced tumor for PY-3 and PY-8, respectively. SSNVs are defined as germline/unknown (black), unique to index primary tumor (dark blue), shared between paired tumors (light blue), or unique to metachronous recurrent tumor (gold). XRT, radiation therapy; chemo, chemotherapy.
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The effect on BICR 18 cells at 48 hours, administration of dasatinib in the setting of 24-hour Matrigel invasion assays significantly inhibited the invasion of BICR 18 cells in a dose-dependent fashion (Figure 5, B and C). The sensitivity of BICR 18 cells to dasatinib was attenuated to a statistically significant degree in the setting of DDR2 knockdown, implicating DDR2 in the marked sensitivity of this mutant HNSCC cell line to dasatinib (Supplemental Figure 6). Similarly, when the most dasatinib-resistant HNSCC cell line from our panel, UPCI 15B, was engineered to express the DDR2 (R709*) mutation, identified in the metachronous recurrent tumor of patient PY-3, statistically significant sensitization to dasatinib treatment compared with EGFP control and WT DDR2 was observed (Supplemental Figure 7). Taken together, these data suggest that DDR2 status may serve as a biomarker for response to SFK inhibitors in HNSCC.

Discussion

WES of patient-matched tumor pairs from 23 individuals with HNSCC demonstrates the intertumor genetic heterogeneity of this cancer. To our knowledge, this is the first study of HNSCC to examine intertumor genetic heterogeneity in synchronous nodal metastases and metachronous recurrence across multiple trum of DDR2 mutations in human HNSCC, including the new mutations reported here, is shown in Figure 3 and refs. 24–31. Figure 4 summarizes the clinical history of the 2 patients (PY-3 and PY-8) whose recurrent tumors were found to harbor new DDR2 mutations. Several DDR2 mutations, identified in squamous cell carcinoma of the lung, have been shown to be oncogenic and/or to confer high sensitivity to SRC-family kinase (SFK) inhibitors both in vitro and in vivo (49, 50). DDR2 mutations in recurrent/metastatic HNSCC could have important clinical implications, as significant clinical response to the SFK inhibitor, dasatinib, has been reported in 2 patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the lung harboring DDR2(D590G) mutations (49, 51). HNSCC cell lines with DDR2 mutations are sensitive to dasatinib. To investigate whether DDR2 mutations might serve as predictive biomarkers of dasatinib sensitivity in HNSCC, we treated a panel of HNSCC cell lines with dasatinib. BICR 18 harbors an endogenous DDR2(D590G) mutation, whereas PE/CA-PJ34 (clone C12) is known to have WT DDR2, and UPCI 15B also has WT DDR2, by Sanger sequencing (data not shown). (52) By MTT assay, following 48 hours of dasatinib treatment, these 3 cell lines were found to have IC50 values of 5.608 × 10−8 M, 5.426 × 10−7 M, and 2.211 × 10−6 M, respectively (Figure 5A). In addition to its potent cytotoxic effect on BICR 18 cells at 48 hours, administration of dasatinib in the setting of 24-hour Matrigel invasion assays significantly inhibited the invasion of BICR 18 cells in a dose-dependent fashion (Figure 5, B and C). The sensitivity of BICR 18 cells to dasatinib was attenuated to a statistically significant degree in the setting of DDR2 knockdown, implicating DDR2 in the marked sensitivity of this mutant HNSCC cell line to dasatinib (Supplemental Figure 6). Similarly, when the most dasatinib-resistant HNSCC cell line from our panel, UPCI 15B, was engineered to express the DDR2(R709*) mutation, identified in the metachronous recurrent tumor of patient PY-3, statistically significant sensitization to dasatinib treatment compared with EGFP control and WT DDR2 was observed (Supplemental Figure 7). Taken together, these data suggest that DDR2 status may serve as a biomarker for response to SFK inhibitors in HNSCC.
patients; it provides a model to determine patterns of mutation and clonal evolution that may be targetable for the treatment of recurrent/metastatic disease.

Primary tumors in our study averaged 67.6 nonsynonymous SSNVs per tumor, including mutations in tumor suppressor genes, oncogenes, and genes that have previously been found to be significantly mutated in HNSCC, such as AJUBA, CASP8, FAT1, FBXW7, NFE2L2, and TP53 (Supplemental Table 10 and Supplemental Table 4). Interestingly, primary tumors were not found to harbor mutations in several tumor suppressor genes and oncogenes previously implicated in HNSCC, including CCND1, PIK3CA, NOTCH1, PTEN, CDKN2A, HRAS, and EGFR. However, copy number alterations, consistent with previously published reports, were seen in several of these genes, and the overall genetic profiles of primary tumors in our study appear similar to those reported in other WES studies of primary HNSCC tumors (Supplemental Figure 2 and refs. 24–31).

Approximately 86% (1290/1499) of the SSNVs identified in synchronous nodal metastases from 11 patient-matched tumor pairs were transmitted from their respective index primary tumors. This high degree of mutational similarity between primary tumors and synchronous nodal metastases in individual patients was also seen in the only other published sequencing report of patient-matched HNSCC tumors to date, in which whole genome sequencing was performed on 3 regions of a primary HPV+ HNSCC tumor and 2 regions of a single synchronous cervical lymph node metastasis from 1 patient (53). In that study, Zhang et al. used multiple samples of the same tumor to study intratumor genetic heterogeneity and approximated the phylogenetic evolution and time line of cancer development in that patient. They estimated that the clones making up the nodal metastasis evolved at a significantly later point in time than the clones of the index primary tumor (53). We are unable to estimate the time line of clonal evolution, as our study design does not allow for the analysis of intratumor genetic heterogeneity. However, if metastatic potential is acquired in later stages of HNSCC progression, as posited by Zhang et al., then mutated genes identified in multiple nodal metastases, but not in the primary tumors, may confer metastatic ability. Newly arisen mutations identified in C17orf104 and ITPR3 were seen in the nodal metastases of 2 patients in the synchronous nodal metastases group; these represent plausible targets for investigation in future studies (Table 3). Alternatively, if the ability to metastasize is developed early in HNSCC development, as is the commonly held clinical belief and may be suggested by the observation that in 8/11 patient-matched tumor pairs in the synchronous metastasis group, fewer SSNVs were identified in the metastases than in their paired primary tumors, then commonly transmitted mutations may confer metastatic ability (Supplemental Table 10).

Approximately 60% (274/457) of the SSNVs identified in metachronous recurrences from 8 patient-matched tumor pairs were transmitted from their respective index primary tumors. This finding suggests a greater degree of intertumor genetic heterogeneity in the setting of metachronous recurrence versus synchronous nodal metastasis in HNSCC. This was largely expected given that, compared with their matched index primary tumors, metachronous recurrent tumors sequenced in this study were malig-
tions for the treatment of recurrent disease, especially in light of the exceptional clinical response to dasatinib reported in 2 patients with lung squamous cell carcinoma harboring DDR2(S768R) muta-
tions. As such, further mechanistic investigations to define the role of DDR2 mutations as predictive biomarkers in HNSCC are war-
ranted. While SFK inhibitors are FDA approved in several hema-
tological malignancies, their role has not been defined in solid
tumors and is the subject of ongoing preclinical and clinical inves-
tigations (61). A 12-patient, single-arm, phase II study of dasatinib in unselected patients with recurrent/metastatic HNSCC failed
to demonstrate significant activity; however, the DDR2 status of
cancers in the study was unknown (62). A biomarker-guided trial in
which patients with DDR2-mutated tumors are selected to receive
a SFK inhibitor has not been performed. A variety of sequencing
platforms in common clinical use are capable of rapidly assessing
the mutational status of DDR2 and other genes in HNSCC tumor samples (63). The implementation of such techniques will be
required to determine to what degree, if any, DDR2 mutations are
enriched in metastatic/recurrent disease overall and to correlate
mutational status with treatment response and outcomes.

Limitations of the present study include the relatively small
sample size, the use of a cohort of convenience where adequate bio-
logic material was available for sequencing, a lack of distant metas-
tases, variations in tumor sample purity, and the assessment of a sin-
gle sample per tumor, thereby precluding assessment of intratumor
heterogeneity. While these findings will require validation in future,
larger sequencing studies, we believe this is the first mutational
analysis of patient-matched tumor pairs in the setting of synchro-
nous nodal metastasis and metachronous recurrence in HNSCC.
The findings provide an opportunity to guide future investigations.

Methods

Patient selection and DNA extraction. Fresh-frozen tumor pairs and
matched normal tissue were banked in the University of Pittsburgh
head and neck tissue bank and made available for sequencing in this
project under the auspices of an observational research study funded
by the Specialized Program of Research Excellence (SPORE) as pre-
viously described (29). Genomic DNA for WES was extracted from
whole blood and fresh-frozen tumor tissue using the DNeasy Blood
& Tissue Kit (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

WES and analysis. Targeted capture was performed using the NimbleGen 2.1 Exome reagent, followed by sequencing on the Illumi-
na platform, and downstream was processing performed as previ-
sely described (64, 65). Briefly, sequences were aligned to NCBI
Build 37 of the human genome using the ELAND program (Illumina).
Somatic mutations were called based on the significance of differen-
tes in reference and nonreference read distributions between tumor
and matched normal samples. Under the assumption that few if any
clonal somatic changes should occur in blood DNA, as a control the
same test was applied to germline DNA using tumor DNA as refer-
ce, which, as previously shown, yields high validation rates of puta-
tive somatic mutations (66). If a mutation was called in either tumor
of a patient-matched pair, it was considered present in the second tumor
if there were at least 5 independent reads supporting the variant call.
Calls were further evaluated by manual inspection of read alignments.
Sequence data were deposited in the NCBI’s database of Genotypes
and Phenotypes (dbGaP phs0001007.v1.p1).

Additional tissue samples from 6 tumors (the primary tumors of
patients PY-1, PY-7, PY-13, PY-19, PY-24, and the recurrent tumor from
patient PY-3) underwent WES under the auspices of other studies (29,
30). The alternative informatics pipeline and sequencing methods
are described in the published reports. In these 6 tumors, 78% of the
mutations detected by the sequencing and bioinformatics pipeline in
this study were also identified in the other studies (Supplemental
Table 9). A lack of hotspot mutations in PIK3CA (AAs 542, 545, and
1047) was confirmed by Sanger sequencing of the tumor samples used
in this study (data not shown).

MutSigCV (v1.3.01) was used to determine whether genes were mutated more often than expected by chance (33). CNVs were identi-
fied by comparing coverage depth ratios of tumor and matched normal
samples after normalizing for mean coverage depth of each exome and
changes in minor allele frequency at informative SNPs. GISTIC2.0 was
used to assess the significance of recurrent CNVs, and the PyClone
algorithm was used to assess clonality, as described previously (36, 37).

Cell cultures. The HNSCC cell lines BICR 18 and PE/CA-PJ34
(clone C12) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich; UPCI 15B was a
gift from Theresa Whiteside (University of Pittsburgh Cancer Insti-
tute). The HNSCC cell lines were grown in the following culture
mediums, each containing 10% fetal calf serum and 1× penicillin/
streptomycin solution (Invitrogen): UPCI 15B in DMEM, BICR 18 in
DMEM with 2 mM glutamine (Mediatac Inc.) and 0.4 μg/ml hydro-
cortisone, and the PE/CA-PJ34 (clone C12) cells in Iscove’s Mod-
ified Dulbecco Minimum Essential Medium with 2 mM glutamine
(Mediatac Inc.). All cell lines were maintained in a humidified cell
incubator at 37°C, 5% CO₂.

Drug treatment and survival assays. HNSCC cells were plated at
the indicated concentrations in 48-well culture plates overnight and
treated with the indicated concentrations of dasatinib (Bristol-Myers
Squibb) for 48 hours, at which point MTT (Sigma-Aldrich) was per-
formed according to the manufacturer’s instructions, as previously
described (26). Growth curves were generated using GraphPad Prism
6 software as outlined in Statistics.

Invasion assays. Invasion of BICR 18 cells in the presence or
absence of dasatinib was tested using BioCoat migration and Matrei-
gel-coated invasion chambers (BD Biosciences) according to the man-
ufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, 2.0 × 10⁴ BICR 18 cells were placed
in migration (uncoated) chambers or invasion (Matrigel coated) cham-
bers. They were treated with DMSO (n = 2) or the indicated doses of
dasatinib (n = 3) for 24 hours. The experiment was repeated 3 times,
and cells were counted and averaged from 4 photomicrographs from
each membrane. Bar graphs and statistical analysis generated in
GraphPad Prism 6 are outlined in Statistics.

DDR2 knockdown. BICR 18 cells were plated at 10% confluence
in 96-well plates overnight, treated with 8 μl polybrene, and infect-
ated with 15 μl of MISSION pLKO.1-puro lentiviral particles contain-
ing control or α-DDR2 shRNA constructs (α-EGFP control, 121117
5′-CCGGCCCCATGCTATGCTCAGCAGATGGAGTGCATAGCATTGGTTTTG-3′,
or 195105 5′-CCGGCGAAAATCTGTCTAGTGATTCGAGGCTAACACTAATAGTTTCTGTTT-GTTAGTGCAGTGTACCCACACTAACAGTTTCCGTTT-GTTT-3′) (Sigma-Aldrich). Seventy-two hours later, cells were
split into 96-well plates and cultured for 2 weeks in media contain-
ing puromycin to derive subclones expressing the shRNA constructs.
Cells were then cultured in full medium without puromycin until sufficient
volumes were obtained for phenotypic analyses (3–6 weeks).
Cloning and mutagenesis. WT EGFP was cloned into the retroviral vector pMXs-puro (Cell Biolabs). WT DDR2 cloned into a pWZL-Blast vector was a gift from Peter Hammerman (Dana-Farber/Harvard Cancer Center, Boston, Massachusetts, USA) and was used as a template for site-directed mutagenesis using the QuikChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene) (49). Mutagenesis of the DDR2 WT gene was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions and confirmed by Sanger sequencing.

Mutant DDR2 expression. Retroviruses were generated using the Platinum Retrovirus Expression Systems (Cell Biolabs), Fugene HD (Promega), and retroviral vectors carrying the gene of interest (pMXs-puro-EGFP as control, pWZL-Blast-DDR2 [WT], pWZL-Blast-DDR2 [mutants]) according to the manufacturers’ instructions, as previously published (26).

Western blotting. Western Standard blotting was performed as previously described (67). Primary antibodies were as follows: α-DDR2 (catalog 12153, Cell Signaling Technology Inc.), α-β-tubulin (ab6046, Abcam), goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L)-HRP–conjugated secondary antibodies (catalog 170-6515, Bio-Rad), and Luminol reagent (sc-2048, Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.).

Statistics. Mean values with SEM from replicate experiments plotted as indicated in figure legends were plotted as mean values ± SEM in Graphpad Prism 6. A sigmoidal dose-response curve with automatic outlier elimination was applied to generate optimized data sets. The finalized data sets, with outliers removed, were used to generate growth curves using the least squares fit log (inhibitor) versus normalized response–variable slope equation. The log IC50 values were compared using an extra sum of the squares F test (null hypothesis indicated response–variable slope equation). The log IC50 values were identical; the null hypothesis was rejected in cases where P < 0.05. For bar graphs, mean values with SEM were calculated and plotted as above and compared using an unpaired, 2-tailed t test with Welch’s correction.

Study approval. The patient cohort consisted of HNSCC patients treated at the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center otolaryngology clinics who were enrolled in an observational research study supported by the University of Pittsburgh’s SPORE in head and neck cancer. All participants provided written informed consent, and the study was approved by the University of Pittsburgh Institutional Review Board.
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