UC Santa Cruz

UC Santa Cruz Previously Published Works

Title

Tumor Xenograft Uptake of a Pyrrole–Imidazole (Py-Im) Polyamide Varies as a Function of Cell Line Grafted

Permalink <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9wx2c1j0>

Journal Journal of Medicinal Chemistry, 57(20)

ISSN 0022-2623

Authors

Raskatov, Jevgenij A Szablowski, Jerzy O Dervan, Peter B

Publication Date 2014-10-23

DOI

10.1021/jm500964c

Peer reviewed

lournal of **Medicinal Chemistry**

Tumor Xenograft Uptake of a Pyrrole−Imidazole (Py-Im) Polyamide Varies as a Function of Cell Line Grafted

Jevgenij A. Raskatov, Jerzy O. Szablowski, and Peter B. Dervan[*](#page-5-0)

Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, United States

S [Supporting Information](#page-5-0)

ABSTRACT: Subcutaneous xenografts represent a popular approach to evaluate efficacy of prospective molecular therapeutics in vivo. In the present study, the C-14 labeled radioactive pyrrole−imidazole (Py-Im) polyamide 1, targeted to the 5′-WGWWCW-3′ DNA sequence, was evaluated with regard to its uptake properties in subcutaneous xenografts, derived from the human tumor cell lines LNCaP (prostate), A549 (lung), and U251 (brain), respectively. Significant variation in compound tumor concentrations was seen in xenografts derived from these three cell lines. Influence of cell line grafted on systemic polyamide elimination was established. With A549, a marked variation in localization of 1 was determined between Matrigel-negative and -positive xenografts. An extensive tissue distribution analysis of 1 in wild-type animals was conducted, enabling the comparison between the xenografts and the corresponding host organs of origin.

ENTRODUCTION

Cancer represents a major worldwide health problem, with nearly 1.6 million new cases estimated to occur in 2014 in the U.S. alone.^{[1](#page-5-0)} The past 40 years of research and development of therapeutics brought improved patients' survival; 2 2 however, malignant neoplasias remain the second most common cause of death in the U.S., accounting for over 20% of all deaths.^{[3](#page-5-0)} Consequentially, major efforts are being put into the develop-ment of novel therapeutic approaches.^{[4](#page-5-0)} Treatment strategies of various classes are currently available in the clinic. Classical approaches comprise surgery, chemotherapy, radiation therapy, and immunotherapy, the method of choice depending on tumor type and progression stage.^{[5](#page-5-0)} Cancer chemotherapy has recently seen important conceptual advances, such as tumor-specific tissue targeting,^{[6](#page-5-0)} prodrug modifications,^{[7](#page-5-0)} and development of small molecule inhibitors of aberrant signaling nodes in cancer.[8](#page-5-0) A significant drawback of molecules targeted to tumorspecific features is the introduction of evolutionary pressure upon the cancer cells, which often results in the emergence of resistant clones.^{[9](#page-5-0)} Broadly cytotoxic chemotherapeutics (e.g., cisplatin or doxorubicin) on the other hand commonly exhibit severe side effects, such as cardiotoxicity, 10 neurotoxicity, 11 and neutropenia.^{[12](#page-5-0)}

Pyrrole−imidazole (Py-Im) polyamides are a modular class of DNA-binding small molecules capable of binding defined sequences with affinities and specificities comparable to those of DNA-binding proteins.^{[13](#page-5-0)} They are cell-permeable scaffolds^{[14](#page-5-0)} and have been shown to displace various transcription factors from cognate binding sites,^{[15](#page-5-0)} leading to altered gene expression profiles. Inhibition of RNA pol II elongation was observed, accompanied by degradation of the large RNA pol II subunit and induction of the p53 stress response, without concomitant DNA damage.^{[16](#page-6-0)} Most recently, our laboratory has transitioned

to in vivo experimentation, demonstrating bioavailability^{[17](#page-6-0)} and efficacy of varying Py-Im polyamides in tumor xenografts models in mouse.[16,18](#page-6-0) Antitumor effects with limited systemic toxicity were observed with the subcutaneous LNCaP prostate cancer model.^{[16](#page-6-0)} Our recent C-14 based quantitation study established significant enrichment of a Py-Im polyamide in the LNCaP tumor xenograft tissue over lung and kidney.^{[19](#page-6-0)} The present investigation evaluates the biodistribution of the C-14 radioactively labeled Py-Im polyamide 1 (Figure [1](#page-2-0)A) in a range of tumor xenografts, addresses the influence of xenografted cell line on systemic polyamide elimination, and provides an extended biodistribution profile of the molecule.

■ RESULTS

C-14 Radioactively Labeled Py-Im Polyamide 1 Exhibits Differential Uptake between Tumor Xenografts of Varying Cellular Origin. Initial experiments compared the accumulation of compound 1 in LNCaP and A549 subcutaneous tumor xenografts (Figure [1A](#page-2-0)). In order to minimize the injection-associated experimental error, both tumors were grafted on the opposing flanks of the same host animal, following the schedule displayed in Figure [SI1](#page-5-0) (see [Experimental Section](#page-4-0) for experimental details). A mean compound concentration of 1.04 mg/kg $(0.74 \mu M)$ was measured for the LNCaP xenograft tissue, comparable with liver-associated levels of 1.12 mg/kg (Figure [1](#page-2-0)B). Strikingly, A549 tumors were found to uptake substantially lower amounts of polyamide 1 (average of 0.23 mg/kg), closely resembling the values obtained for the kidney (0.27 mg/kg) and approximately 2-fold higher than lung tissue (0.15 mg/kg). Comparisons with

Received: June 26, 2014 Published: September 19, 2014

Figure 1. (A) C-14 radiolabeled Py-Im polyamide 1, targeted to the DNA sequence 5′-WGWWCW-3′. (B) Compound levels of 1 in LNCaP and A549 tumor xenografts, compared against major host organs (kidney, liver, lung). Statistical comparison performed against the LNCaP tumor concentration of 1. (C) Calibration of the dual xenograft experiment against the respective single-tumor versions. All injections were performed intraperitoneally at 20 nmol per animal (NSG male mouse, $N = 10$) and tissues harvested 24 h following administration. Each data point represents an individual organ/tumor analyzed.

the corresponding single-xenograft versions of the experiment were conducted for both tumor types (Figure 1C). The LNCaP single tumor experiment revealed a mildly elevated concentration with respect to the double xenograft counterpart (42%, $p < 0.05$,^{[19](#page-6-0)} whereas the values obtained for A549 were not distinguishable between the two experiment types. Overall, Py-Im polyamide 1 localized to LNCaP (prostate) tumors at concentrations 5- to 7-fold higher than those measured with A549 (lung).

To gain deeper understanding of the phenomenon, immunohistochemical analyses were conducted, assaying for tumor-associated microvessels (Figures [SI2 and SI3](#page-5-0)). Microvessel densities were indistinguishable between the two tumor types. However, LNCaP xenografts were hemorrhagic and exhibited vascular spaces with extravasated red blood cells at the microscopic level, which were absent with A549. In order to broaden the scope of the investigation, the U251 (brain) cell line was additionally evaluated in the xenograft setting with regard to uptake of 1 (Figure 2). A mean value of 0.65 mg/kg $(0.47 \mu M)$ was measured. The U251-associated xenograft

Figure 2. Tumor levels of Py-Im polyamide 1 as a function of cell line engrafted. All injections were performed intraperitoneally at 20 nmol per animal (NSG male mouse, $N = 10$) and tissues harvested 24 h following administration. Each data point represents an individual tumor analyzed. Statistical comparison was performed against the U251 tumor concentration of 1.

uptake profile was found to be distinct from both LNCaP and A549-derived tissues, which were 2.3-fold higher and 2.8-fold lower, respectively.

None of the tumor-associated levels of Py-Im polyamide 1, discussed above, exhibited a correlation with tumor size over the window analyzed (Figure [SI4A](#page-5-0)−D,F).

Host Organ Levels of Py-Im Polyamide 1 as a Function of the Subcutaneously Grafted Cell Line. The major host organs kidney, liver, and lung were interrogated with regard to concentrations of 1 for all xenograft experiments and benchmarked against the naive background control (Figure [3\)](#page-3-0). Kidney concentrations spanned a range from 0.22 mg/kg (naive control and A549 xenograft animals) to 0.27 mg/kg (double xenograft experiment). Lung tissue showed similar variance in concentration of 1 as a function of xenografted cell line (0.12−0.15 mg/kg). A more significant difference was noted for the liver-associated compound levels. Whereas naive reference animals were indistinguishable from U251- or A549 xenograft bearers, grafting of the LNCaP cell line resulted in liver values that were about 2-fold higher (1.04 mg/kg vs 0.57 mg/kg; $p < 0.001$).

Matrigel Affects Uptake of Py-Im Polyamide 1 into A549 Xenografts. We chose to evaluate the influence of Matrigel on uptake of 1 for xenografts derived from the A549 cell line. Systematic analysis of tumor polyamide concentration as a function of size revealed that larger tumors accumulated substantially higher quantities of compound 1 when Matrigel was employed during engraftment (Figure [SI4F\)](#page-5-0). This was in striking contrast with the observations made for the same cell line grafted without Matrigel (Figure [SI4A,C](#page-5-0)). Plotting of tumor-associated levels of 1 as a function of postengraftment time revealed a clear trend (Figure [4\)](#page-3-0). At 3 or 4 weeks past engraftment, there was no statistically significant difference measurable between the A549 xenografts produced with or without Matrigel, with an averaged concentration of 0.23 mg/ kg (0.16 μ M). Five weeks past engraftment, however, a divergence became apparent. Whereas Matrigel-negative tumors showed levels of compound 1 indistinguishable from earlier time points (0.25 mg/kg), a marked increase was noted for the Matrigel-positive xenografts. A mean concentration of 0.59 mg/kg was measured ($p < 0.001$), with the highest value amounting to 0.89 mg/kg, therewith reaching values comparable to those obtained for LNCaP tumors (cf. Figures 1 and 2).

Extended Tissue Distribution Analysis of 1 in Wild-Type Mice. In order to obtain a more complete picture of

Figure 3. Concentrations of polyamide 1 in the host organs kidney (A) , liver (B) , and lung (C) as a function of cell line engrafted. Naive indicates reference host animals devoid of tumor graft. All injections were performed intraperitoneally at 20 nmol per animal (NSG male mouse, $N = 10$) and tissues harvested 24 h following administration. Each data point represents an individual organ analyzed.

Figure 4. A549 tumor levels of Py-Im polyamide 1 as a function of time (3−5 weeks), presence of the LNCaP tumor on the opposite flank (denoted as d.f.), and Matrigel used to engraft $(\pm MG)$. All injections were performed intraperitoneally at 20 nmol per animal (NSG male mouse) and tumors harvested 24 h following administration. Each data point represents an individual tumor analyzed: |(∗∗∗) p < 0.001|.

biodistribution of Py-Im polyamide 1, a comprehensive tissue analysis following administration of 1 was conducted in the balb/c mouse strain. Blood, bone marrow (BM), brain, fat tissue, intestinal tissue, heart, kidney, liver, lung, muscle, pancreas, prostate, and spleen were interrogated independently (Figure 5 and Table [SI1\)](#page-5-0). Because the balb/c male mouse of comparable age possesses a body weight that is reduced by some 25% with regard to its NSG counterpart, compound 1

Figure 5. Extended tissue distribution analysis of Py-Im polyamide 1 in wild-type mice. Injections were performed intraperitoneally at 15 nmol per animal (balb/c male mouse) and organs harvested 24 h following administration. Error bars represent standard deviations (N = 5). BM denotes bone marrow. Dashed line indicates the 0.1 mg/kg threshold.

was administered at 15 nmol per animal here, as opposed to 20 nmol per animal employed with NSG mice.

The majority of tissues exhibited concentrations of 1 below 0.1 mg/kg, with the brain not showing any significant evidence of polyamide uptake. Kidney and liver were found to contain comparable compound levels to those determined for the NSG strain (0.23 mg/kg vs 0.22 mg/kg and 0.57 mg/kg vs 0.49 mg/ kg for kidney and liver, respectively). Interestingly, bone marrow concentration of 1 amounted to 0.24 mg/kg, therewith being over 10-fold higher than blood. The spleen exhibited a concentration of 1 of 0.32 mg/kg, whereas the pancreas contained compound 1 at 0.15 mg/kg. The majority of organs contained the Py-Im polyamide 1 at concentrations that were significantly lower than those determined for any of the tumor xenografts examined above.

■ DISCUSSION

The xenograft approach is a popular method to interrogate a prospective antitumor agent in vivo.^{[20](#page-6-0)} It can be subdivided into two main categories, namely, subcutaneous (ectopic) and orthotopic xenografts. Orthotopic inoculation is considered to recapitulate the tumor setting more closely than the subcutaneous approach because cancer cells are grafted into the host organ of tumor origin. With the exception of lung and blood cancer, for which orthotopic xenografts are readily achievable by tail vein injection, the approach is experimentally demanding and requires sophisticated survival surgery. Genetically engineered animal tumor models represent an attractive alternative to xenograft experimentation, since they tend to recapitulate certain aspects of disease progression, such as tumor vascularization, tumor−stroma interactions, and metastasis formation, more accurately.^{[21](#page-6-0)} They furthermore allow conducting experiments in immunocompetent animals. The studies are, however, elaborate to perform, requiring extended experimentation time frames and large animal group sizes. Furthermore, xenografts allow the assessment of efficacy against human cancer cell lines and primary cells, whereas genetically engineered animal models are limited to neoplasias of the species employed. Given the above, subcutaneous xenografts remain an attractive method to generate initial estimates of efficacy for molecules of interest.^{[22](#page-6-0)}

The present study was initiated to rationalize the apparent discrepancy between cell culture results and the corresponding xenograft experiments that we observed in preceding

investigations.[16b](#page-6-0),[18a](#page-6-0) Specifically, in vitro cytotoxicity evaluation for the nonradioactive analogue of 1 revealed A549 and LNCaP to possess comparable sensitivities toward polyamide treatment $(IC_{50}$ values of 1.5 \pm 0.2 μ M and 2.1 \pm 0.3 μ M,^{[16b](#page-6-0)} respectively). This contrasted with the outcome of our in vivo investigations, with LNCaP xenografts exhibiting tumor burden reduction in response to treatment with 1 in the xenograft setting,^{[16b](#page-6-0)} while related studies with the A549 cell line were unsuccessful.^{[18a](#page-6-0)} The present investigation demonstrates this unanticipated result to be rooted, at least in part, in the pronounced difference in polyamide uptake between the two xenograft types, LNCaP tumors accumulating the compound at 5- to 7-fold higher levels than their A549 counterparts (Figure [1](#page-2-0)) in both the dual and the single xenograft experiments conducted with A549 and LNCaP,^{[19](#page-6-0)} respectively. Additional discrepancy may stem from the difference in the time frame employed for in vitro cytotoxicity measurement (3 days) and in vivo antitumor evaluation (at least 7 days) and the fact that the polyamide concentration is kept constant over the course of the experiment in vitro but not in vivo.[19](#page-6-0)

Comparison with U251 xenografts revealed an uptake profile that was distinct from both LNCaP- and A549-derived tumors (Figure [2](#page-2-0)). This leads to the important realization that neither LNCaP nor A549 could be considered an outlier. Each cell line examined yielded tumors with characteristic uptake features, which, while clearly dependent on the cell line grafted, could not have been predicted from in vitro experiments. The U251 derived xenografts exhibited higher microvessel densities than both A549 and LNCaP tumors, without however possessing the vascular spaces characteristic of $LNCaP²³$ $LNCaP²³$ $LNCaP²³$ This likely gave rise to distinct characteristics of U251 tumors.

It was surprising to find that liver accumulation of 1 was dependent on the cell line grafted. Whereas the grafting of A549 or U251 cells showed no influence, the presence of LNCaP-derived tumors resulted in levels that were elevated by about 2-fold (Figure [3](#page-3-0)). This was possibly due to the increased leakiness of the tumor vasculature in LNCaP xenografts, as compared with A549 (Figure [SI 2](#page-5-0)) and U251.^{[23](#page-6-0)} Matrigelpositive xenografts did not result in increased liver compound values as compared to their Matrigel-negative counterparts $(0.65 \text{ mg/kg} \text{ vs } 0.55 \text{ mg/kg}, p = 0.17)$. It is possible that the leaky LNCaP tumor vasculature creates liver stress, which in turn could result in impeded clearance of Py-Im polyamide 1. This phenomenon is likely to operate with other types of small molecule therapeutics, although the magnitude of the effect will be dependent on specifics, which could lead to alternative clearance mechanisms.

The influence of Matrigel on uptake of 1 by A549 xenografts was of interest due to the common use of Matrigel to facilitate engraftment of tumor cells in vivo. 24 An effect indeed became manifest, albeit only at the most advanced postengraftment time points (Figure [4](#page-3-0) and Figure [SI4E](#page-5-0)). It appears likely that the A549 tumor architecture diverges at advanced time points, as a function of Matrigel. Supporting this, slightly higher weights were noticed in the Matrigel-positive group than in the Matrigel-negative control at the point of divergence (average of 409 mg vs 271 mg; $p \lt 0.05$). Influence of Matrigel employment on tumor proliferation, vascularization, and metastasis has been previously documented.^{[24](#page-6-0)} The xenograft host−tumor interface being artificial a priori, it is unclear whether the Matrigel-positive or -negative tumors give rise to more accurate models.

Comparisons of uptake between tumors and the corresponding healthy host tissues were of interest in order to probe for potential enrichment in cancer lesions. Organ tissues were derived from wild-type mice of the balb/c strain. This strain is likely to offer a superior representation of healthy organs than its heavily immunosuppressed NSG counterpart. The LNCaP xenografts exhibited concentrations ranging from 0.5 to 2.0 mg/kg, thus being an order of magnitude higher than what was determined for mouse prostate, which possessed an averaged value below 0.1 mg/kg. It is therefore possible that the compound quantities measured in LNCaP subcutaneous xenografts provide optimistic estimates. However, tumor formation does perturb organ integrity, and it therefore appears likely that diseased prostate tissue should exhibit values different from the healthy organ in both the orthotopic and the genetically induced disease model setting. It should furthermore be noted that LNCaP was derived from a metastatic lymph node lesion, which, although having originated from a prostate tumor, likely possessed a distinct architecture. Tumors derived from the A549 cell line (nonsmall lung carcinoma) can be compared with lung tissue concentrations of 1. With exception of the elevated values that were determined in Matrigel-positive tumors at extended time points, they averaged at approximately 0.2 mg/kg, whereas healthy lung tissue exhibited concentrations of 1 of around 0.1 mg/kg. Whether this is a coincidence or indeed evidence that A549 xenografts mimic the lung tissue setting more adequately remains unclear. Lung colonization experiments may be useful to shed further light onto this question in future studies. U251 is a glioblastoma-derived cell line, and the healthy organ of origin is the brain. The corresponding comparison between tumor and tissue of origin lacks substance, since the subcutaneous xenograft cannot be expected to recapitulate the blood−brain barrier. Overall, and keeping the abovementioned caveats in mind, tumors generally accumulated higher amounts of compound 1 than the corresponding healthy tissues of origin.

■ CONCLUSIONS

Our investigation gave insight into the intricacies of various important aspects of tumor xenograft experimentation. The present study identified a marked difference in xenograft uptake levels of Py-Im polyamide 1 in the three cell lines tested. LNCaP-derived tumors exhibited a mean concentration of the polyamide that was over 5-fold higher than the corresponding A549-associated value. Compound 1 was found to localize to U251 xenografts at a concentration that was substantially lower than what was found for LNCaP but significantly higher than A549. This demonstrates the necessity to examine uptake into tumor xenografts on a case by case basis in order to rationalize outcomes of antitumor studies and to identify viable cell lines for future xenograft experiments. Unexpectedly, elimination of 1 from the liver was impaired in LNCaP xenograft-bearing animals. Matrigel was found to influence uptake of 1, resulting in a 2-fold elevation at longer postengraftment time points with A549-derived tumors. Comparison with the corresponding healthy tissues revealed that higher concentrations of 1 were associated with xenografts, animal prostate tissue exhibiting order of magnitude lower values than those measured with LNCaP tumors.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Polyamide Synthesis and Characterization. The synthesis of polyamide 1 has been previously reported.^{[19](#page-6-0)} The compound was confirmed by analytical HPLC to possess a purity of >99% and coeluted with its nonradioactive analog. Polyamide 1 was quantitated employing liquid scintillation with the activity constant of 55 mCi/ mmol, which was provided by the vendor (ARC). Quench correction was conducted against a standard curve that was reported by our laboratory in a preceding account.^{[19](#page-6-0)}

Cell Culture Maintenance and Xenograft Establishment. The cell lines A549, LNCaP, and U251 were obtained from ATCC and cultured following provider's recommendations, not exceeding passage number 25. Cells were only employed for xenograft experimentation where a viability of 95% or higher was recorded (trypan blue stain). Nod-SCID-Gamma (NSG) male mice were purchased at 8 weeks of age from JAX and housed in an immunocompromised facility (level A) in accordance with IACUC regulations. They were taken forward for experiments after an acclimatization period of at least 3 days. All engraftments were conducted subcutaneously with 2.5 M cells per inoculation in 200 μ L vehicle (either media or 1:1 mixture with Matrigel). Animals were monitored weekly for signs of pain and distress. Male balb/c mice were obtained from JAX and housed in a level B animal facility.

Administration of Polyamide 1 and Tissue Harvest. Compound 1 was quantitated by liquid scintillation counting prior to injection and administered intraperitoneally at either 20 nmol (NSG) or 15 nmol (balb/c) per animal in a fume hood dedicated exclusively to C-14 in vivo radioexperimentation. Animals were housed in disposable cages and euthanized by $CO₂$ asphyxiation. Disposable cages were destroyed at the end of the experiment. Tissues were harvested, placed into scintillation vials, and solubilized at +65 °C for at least 12 h employing the proprietary dissolution agent SOLVABLE (PerkinElmer). The resultant solutions were decolorized with 2×200 μ L hydrogen peroxide (30%, Sigma-Aldrich) at ambient temperature for at least 2 h, followed by heating to $+65$ °C for 30 min. Samples were treated with 10 mL of the scintillation cocktail HIONIC-FLUOR (PerkinElmer), vortexed and the amounts of C-14 quantitated by liquid scintillation counting at the Beckman Coulter LS6500 multipurpose scintillation counter. All reported values have been quench-corrected and normalized against organ weight. Bone marrow weights were calculated as the difference between the femur and tibia bones subjected to tissue solubilization and the insoluble residue, which was isolated subsequent to C-14 quantitation. In order to obtain dry bone residues, the scintillation fluid was decanted and the solids were triturated (twice with ethanol, then three times with MeOH) and dried at +65 °C overnight. Two-tailed t-tests assuming unequal variance were applied to all data sets.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

Tumor xenograft schedule for the double-flank experiment, tumor images, microvessel densities, and scatter plots of compound concentrations as a function of tumor size. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at [http://](http://pubs.acs.org) pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: dervan@caltech.edu. Phone: (626) 395-6002.

Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

J.A.R. is grateful to the Alexander von Humboldt foundation for the award of a Feodor Lynen postdoctoral fellowship. We thank Bogdan Olenyuk for helpful discussions. The research presented has been supported by the National Institutes of Health (Grants GM51747 and GM27681).

ENDINE REFERENCES

(1) Siegel, R.; Ma, J.; Zou, Z.; Jemal, A. Cancer statistics, 2014. Ca Cancer J. Clin. 2014, 64, 9−29.

(2) RiesL. A. G. SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975−2005; U.S. National Institutes of Health, National Cancer Institute: Bethesda, MD, 2008

(3) Hoyert, D. L.; Xu, J. Deaths: Preliminary Data for 2011. Natl. Vital Stat. Rep. 2012, 61, 1−52.

(4) Patel, J. D.; et al. Clinical Cancer Advances 2013: Annual Report on Progress against Cancer from the American Society of Clinical Oncology. J. Clin. Oncol. 2014, 32, 129−160.

(5) Huitink, J. M.; Teoh, W. H. L. Current cancer therapies-a guide for perioperative physicians. Best Pract. Res., Clin. Anaesthesiol. 2013, 27, 481−492.

(6) Peer, D.; Karp, J. M.; Hong, S.; FaroKhzad, O. C.; Margalit, R.; Langer, R. Nanocarriers as an emerging platform for cancer therapy. Nat. Nanotechnol. 2007, 2, 751−760.

(7) Denny, W. A. Prodrug strategies in cancer therapy. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2001, 36, 577−595.

(8) (a) Druker, B. J.; et al. Efficacy and safety of a specific inhibitor of the BCR-ABL tyrosine kinase in chronic myeloid leukemia. N. Engl. J. Med. 2001, 344, 1031−1037. (b) Baselga, J.; Arteaga, C. L. Critical update and emerging trends in epidermal growth factor receptor targeting in cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 2005, 23, 2445−2459.

(9) Tsuruo, T.; Naito, M.; Tomida, A.; Fujita, N.; Mashima, T.; Sakamoto, H.; Haga, N. Molecular targeting therapy of cancer: drug resistance, apoptosis and survival signal. Cancer Sci. 2003, 94, 15−21.

(10) Pai, V. B.; Nahata, M. C. Cardiotoxicity of chemotherapeutic agents-incidence, treatment and prevention. Drug Saf. 2000, 22, 263−302.

(11) Verstappen, C. C. P.; Heimans, J. J.; Hoekman, K.; Postma, T. J. Neurotoxic complications of chemotherapy in patients with cancer clinical signs and optimal management. Drugs 2003, 63, 1549−1563.

(12) Aapro, M. S.; et al. EORTC guidelines for the use of granulocyte-colony stimulating factor to reduce the incidence of chemotherapy-induced febrile neutropenia in adult patients with lymphomas and solid tumours. Eur. J. Cancer 2006, 42, 2433−2453.

(13) (a) Dervan, P. B.; Edelson, B. S. Recognition of the DNA minor groove by pyrrole-imidazole polyamides. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 2003, 13, 284−299. (b) Hsu, C. F.; et al. Completion of a programmable DNA-binding small molecule library. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 6146− 6151. (c) Kielkopf, C. L.; White, S.; Szewczyk, J. W.; Turner, J. M.; Baird, E. E.; Dervan, P. B.; Rees, D. C. A structural basis for recognition of A.T and T.A base pairs in the minor groove of B-DNA. Science 1998, 282, 111−115. (d) White, S.; Szewczyk, J. W.; Turner, J. M.; Baird, E. E.; Dervan, P. B. Recognition of the four Watson−Crick base pairs in the DNA minor groove by synthetic ligands. Nature 1998, 391, 468−471.

(14) Edelson, B. S.; Best, T. P.; Olenyuk, B.; Nickols, N. G.; Doss, R. M.; Foister, S.; Heckel, A.; Dervan, P. B. Influence of structural variation on nuclear localization of DNA-binding polyamidefluorophore conjugates. Nucleic Acids Res. 2004, 32, 2802−2818.

(15) (a) Nickols, N. G.; Jacobs, C. S.; Farkas, M. E.; Dervan, P. B. Modulating hypoxia-inducible transcription by disrupting the HIF-1- DNA interface. ACS Chem. Biol. 2007, 2, 561−571. (b) Nickols, N. G.; Dervan, P. B. Suppression of androgen receptor-mediated gene expression by a sequence-specific DNA-binding polyamide. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2007, 104, 10418−10423. (c) Muzikar, K. A.; Nickols, N. G.; Dervan, P. B. Repression of DNA-binding dependent glucocorticoid receptor-mediated gene expression. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2009, 106, 16598−16603. (d) Raskatov, J. A.; Meier, J. L.;

Journal of Medicinal Chemistry Article Article 1996 and 2008 and 20

Puckett, J. W.; Yang, F. T.; Ramakrishnan, P.; Dervan, P. B. Modulation of NF-κB-dependent gene transcription using programmable DNA minor groove binders. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2012, 109, 1023−1028.

(16) (a) Yang, F.; Nickols, N. G.; Li, B. C.; Marinov, G. K.; Said, J. W.; Dervan, P. B. Antitumor activity of a pyrrole-imidazole polyamide. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2013, 110, 1863−1868. (b) Yang, F.; Nickols, N. G.; Li, B. C.; Szablowski, J. O.; Hamilton, S. R.; Meier, J. L.; Wang, C.-M.; Dervan, P. B. Animal toxicity of hairpin pyrroleimidazole polyamides varies with the turn unit. J. Med. Chem. 2013, 56, 7449−7457.

(17) (a) Raskatov, J. A.; Hargrove, A. E.; So, A. Y.; Dervan, P. B. Pharmacokinetics of Py-Im polyamides depend on architecture: cyclic versus linear. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 7995−7999. (b) Synold, T. W.; Xi, B. X.; Wu, J.; Yen, Y.; Li, B. C.; Yang, F.; Phillips, J. W.; Nickols, N. G.; Dervan, P. B. Single-dose pharmacokinetic and toxicity analysis of pyrrole-imidazole polyamides in mice. Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol. 2012, 70, 617−625.

(18) (a) Raskatov, J. A.; Nickols, N. G.; Hargrove, A. E.; Marinov, G. K.; Wold, B.; Dervan, P. B. Gene expression changes in a tumor xenograft by a pyrrole-imidazole polyamide. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2012, 109, 16041−16045. (b) Nickols, N. G.; Szablowski, J. O.; Hargrove, A. E.; Li, B. C.; Raskatov, J. A.; Dervan, P. B. Activity of a Py-Im polyamide targeted to the estrogen response element. Mol. Cancer Ther. 2013, 12, 675−684.

(19) Raskatov, J. A.; Puckett, J. W.; Dervan, P. B. A C-14 labeled Py− Im polyamide localizes to a subcutaneous prostate cancer tumor. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2014, 22, 4371−4375.

(20) Kerbel, R. S. Human tumor xenografts as predictive preclinical models for anticancer drug activity in humans: better than commonly perceived-but they can be improved. Cancer Biol. Ther. 2003, 2, 133−138.

(21) (a) Frese, K. K.; Tuveson, D. A. Maximizing mouse cancer models. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2007, 7, 645−658. (b) Becher, O. J.; Holland, E. C. Genetically engineered models have advantages over xenografts for preclinical studies. Cancer Res. 2006, 66, 3355−3358.

(22) Sausville, E. A.; Burger, A. M. Contributions of human tumor xenografts to anticancer drug development. Cancer Res. 2006, 66, 3351−3354.

(23) Szablowski, J. O.; Raskatov, J. A.; Dervan, P. B. Unpublished data.

(24) (a) Bao, L.; Matsumura, Y.; Baban, D.; Sun, Y.; Tarin, D. Effects of inoculation site and Matrigel on growth and metastasis of human breast-cancer cells. Br. J. Cancer 1994, 70, 228−232. (b) Shuhendler, A. J.; Prasad, P.; Cai, P.; Hui, K. K. W.; Henderson, J. T.; Rauth, A. M.; Wu, X. Y. Matrigel alters the pathophysiology of orthotopic human breast adenocarcinoma xenografts with implications for nanomedicine evaluation. Nanomed.-Nanotechnol. Biol. Med. 2013, 9, 795-805. (c) Fridman, R.; Kibbey, M. C.; Royce, L. S.; Zain, M.; Sweeney, T. M.; Jicha, D. L.; Yannelli, J. R.; Martin, G. R.; Kleinman, H. K. Enhanced tumor growth of both primary and established human and murine tumor cells in athymic mice after coinjection with Matrigel. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 1991, 83, 769−774.