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Halide Anion Discrimination by a Tripodal Hydroxylamine Ligand in Gas and Condensed Phases

Thibault Cheisson,¹,a Jiwen Jian,¹,b,d Jing Su,¹,e Teresa M. Eaton,¹,b,e Michael R. Gau,¹ Patrick J. Carroll,¹ Enrique R. Batista,⁶,e Ping Yang,⁶,e John K. Gibson,*,b and Eric J. Schelter*,a

Electrospray ionization of solutions containing a tripodal hydroxylamine ligand, H₃TriNOx ([(2-tBuNOH)C₆H₄CH₂J₃]N) denoted as L, and a hydrogen halide HX: HCl, HBr and/or HI, yielded gas-phase anion complexes [L(X)]⁻ and [L(HX)I]. Collision induced dissociation (CID) of mixed-halide complexes, [L(HX₁X₂)]⁻, indicated highest affinity for I⁻ and lowest for Cl⁻. Structures and energetics computed by density functional theory are in accord with the CID results, and indicate that the gas-phase binding preference is a manifestation of differing stabilities of the HX molecules. A high halide affinity of [L(H)]⁻ in solution was also demonstrated, though with a highest preference for Cl⁻ and lowest for I⁻, the opposite observation of, but not in conflict with, what is observed in gas phase. The results suggest a connection between gas- and condensed-phase chemistry and computational approaches, and shed light on the aggregation and anion recognition properties of hydroxylamine receptors.

Introduction

Halide anions are prevalent in essentially all aspects of chemistry, with their use, transport, speciation, and reactivity being critical to processes ranging from those in living organisms to nuclear technologies. In the latter case, uranium is enriched through its volatile hexafluoride salt,² plutonium legacy-waste contains high chloride concentrations,³,⁴ while iodine-129 is an abundant long-lived (half-life = 1.57 × 10⁷ y) fission product generated in nuclear reactors.⁵ As a consequence, halides represent a substantial fraction of low-, intermediate-, and high-level wastes.⁵−⁷ Vitrification has been proposed for long-term immobilization and sequestration of radionuclides.⁵,¹⁰ However, incorporation of a large concentration of halide anions is detrimental to the quality and sustainability of the formed glass such that these anions must be separated prior to vitrification.⁶,⁷,¹¹−¹² Typical methodologies encompass precipitation, reduction to the volatile elemental gas, or anion exchange.⁶ On the other hand, halide binding and recognition have been long-standing¹³−¹⁵ subjects of interest in supramolecular chemistry.¹⁶−²³ Although other strategies have been proposed,²²,²⁴ the hydrogen bond motif has been ubiquitous in these systems. In that context, functional groups such as (thio)urea, amide, pyrrole, or imidazole have attracted considerable attention due to their donor/acceptor properties and geometrical features.¹⁵,²²−²³

Given our interest in hydroxylamine ligands (R¹R²NOH),²⁵−³¹ and recognizing their potential for anion binding by means of vicinal H-bond acceptors associated with mildly acidic protons, we initiated studies on the propensity of a tripodal receptor (H₃TriNOx) for anion capture (Scheme 1). As hydroxylamine moieties have not been examined in this context previously, it was of interest to interrogate their interactions with halides under a range of conditions, including condensed and gas phases, to determine fundamental thermodynamic trends.

\[
\text{Scheme 1. Structure and characteristics of H₃TriNOx (L) and the halide anions considered in this work. Ionic radii according to Shannon,}^{32} \text{ electronegativities (}\chi) \text{ according to Rahm.}\]

Gas-phase ion chemistry is a versatile technique for obtaining fundamental insights for relatively simple systems absent perturbations encountered in condensed phases.³⁴−³⁶ A particularly functional approach is solution electrospray
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ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) which, coupled to a quadrupole ion trap mass analyser (QIT/MS), can be used to study ion fragmentation. Various types of gas-phase complexes and clusters with generic anion-binding interactions of the type \([E-H^{p+}-X^-] \) \((E = N, C, O; X = F, Cl, Br, I)\) have previously been studied.\(^{36-45}\)

In the present work, condensed phase experiments revealed the intrinsic halide \((X^-)\) affinity of protonated \(H_2\)TriNOx, \([L(HI)]^+\), to efficiently yield crystalline compounds with the formulae \(L(HX)\). Experimental and density functional theory (DFT) studies of gas-phase anionic \([L(X)]^-\) and \([L(HX)]^+\) complexes were performed to elucidate the underlying basis for anion recognition by \(L\). Altogether the combined gas and condensed phase studies of \(L(HX)\) complexes reveal hydroxylamine as an interesting motif for selective anion binding.

**Results and Discussion**

**ESI Mass Spectrometry.**

During an ESI-MS study of binding affinity of \(L\) for actinides and rare-earth elements,\(^{46}\) our attention was drawn by abundant anion complexes with compositions \([L(HX)_n]^+\). Although ESI-MS does not necessarily explicitly reveal solution species, the gas-phase species may indirectly reflect solution affinities. The observed \([L(HX)_n]^+\) ions were independently and rationally formed from solutions of \(L\) and acids \(HX_{[aq]}\) with \(X = Cl, Br, I\). Formation of these di-halide adducts motivated the preparation of gas-phase species such as \([L(HX)_n]^+\) with two halides, \(X_n\) and \(X_0\). Indeed, collision induced dissociation (CID) of such mixed halide complexes can reveal preferred elimination pathways, that in turn reflect structures and energetics that can be directly assessed by computations. The utility of ESI-MS and CID for assessing structures and bonding of halide complexes has been described.\(^{47-48}\)

For \(X_n = Cl\) and \(X_0 = Br\) (Figure 1a), the dominant observed gas-phase complexes from ESI were \([L(Cl)]^+\), \([L(Br)]^+\), \([L(HClBr)]^+\), \([L(ClI)]^+\), and \([L(HBrI)]^-\). This nomenclature is not intended to suggest structural or bonding insights, but rather only net compositions. The most abundant complexes in Figure 1a, \([L(HClBr)]^+\) and \([L(HBrI)]^-\), contain one or two \(Br\), possibly suggesting a higher affinity of \(L\) for \(Br^-\) versus \(Cl^-\).

The gas-phase species \([L(HBrI)]^-\) may also be present in solution, either as a monomer, or in oligomers that fragment during ESI. This possibility was assessed by the condensed phase experiments discussed below. Although small abundances of \([L(Cl)]^-\) and \([L(Br)]^+\) were apparent, ESI resulted in preferential formation of the complexes with two halide anions.

The ESI results for solutions containing \(I^-\) and either \(Cl^-\) or \(Br^-\) (Figure 1b–c), suggest a higher affinity of \(L\) for \(I^-\) as compared with both \(Cl^-\) and \(Br^-\), at least under these particular ion production conditions (*vide infra*). It should also be emphasized that ESI yields do not necessarily reveal solution affinity. For example, ESI may be more sensitive to larger halides such as iodide due to its less effective solution. It is nonetheless notable that the overwhelmingly dominant ESI products contain only iodide — i.e. \([L(HI)]^+\) and \([L-177](HI)_2^-\) — with only minor yields of \([L(ClI)]^+\) and \([L(HBrI)]^-\). A distinctive result is the appearance of substantial \([L-177](HI)_2^-\) (where \(L-177\) indicates an \(H_2\)TriNOx that has lost a fragment having a mass of 177 Da. This same fragmentation is observed in CID of protonated \([L(HI)]^+\) (Figure S1) and corresponds to C–N bond cleavage and elimination of one of the three \(H_2\)TriNOX “arms” with concomitant back-transfer of an \(H\) atom, as indicated by the purple line in Figure 1. Although the origins of the characteristic \(L-177\) species are unknown, it suggests a distinctive interaction of \(I^-\) with \(L\). It is re-emphasized that such gas-phase species do not necessarily reflect solution speciation. The ESI results demonstrate the formation of gas-phase \([L(HX)_n]^+\) anions and suggest the preferential association of heavier halides. In order to interrogate this trend we turned to CID experiments on these ions.

**Collision Induced Dissociation.**

CID performed on the \([L(HX)_n]^+\) anions are presented in Figure 2 and show exclusively one CID fragmentation pathway for each of the studied complexes, as given by reactions (1a)–(3a):

\[
\begin{align*}
[L(HClBr)]^+ &\rightarrow [L(Br)]^+ + HCl \quad (1a) \\
[L(ClI)]^+ &\rightarrow [L(I)]^+ + HCl \quad (2a) \\
[L(HBrI)]^- &\rightarrow [L(I)]^- + HBr \quad (3a)
\end{align*}
\]
Alternative CID pathways, namely reactions (1b)–(3b), described as the loss of HBr$_{2b}$ as an alternative to reaction (1a) and loss of HI$_{2b}$ as an alternative to reactions (2a) and (3a), were not observed.

Lighter CID anion products such as bare Cl$^-$, Br$^-$, and I$^-$ would not have been detected due to m/z detection limits. In Figures 2a and 2b, the m/z of unobserved [L(H$^2$ClI)]$^-$ is indicated in red. Endothermic CID process is governed by two attributes: (i) lower-energy processes are generally favored; and (ii) kinetic barriers may instead favor higher-energy processes. Elimination of neutral HX$_a$ from [L(HX$_a$X$_b$)]$^-$ presumably proceeds by low-barrier association of H$^+$ with X$_a^-$ to produce [L(X$_a$)]$^+$. This hypothesis is supported by computational results which indicate that observed CID pathways are energetically favored and the kinetic barrier is not a determining factor.

In addition to relative stabilities of the parent and CID-generated anion complexes, the overall energetics of the observed CID processes, generic reaction (4), incorporate the formation energy of produced neutral HX$_a$, which is assessed from reaction (5):

$$ \text{[L(HX$_a$X$_b$)]}^- \rightarrow \text{[L(X$_a$)]}^- + \text{HX$_a$} \quad (4) $$

$$ \text{H} + \text{X} \rightarrow \text{HX$_a$} \quad (5) $$

The energy (kcal mol$^{-1}$) for reaction (5) is $-103$ for HCl, $-87$ for HBr, and $-71$ for HI.$^{49,50}$ Neutral HX formation energies from atomic H and X thus favor CID fragmentation to yield HCl over HBr over HI, in 16 kcal mol$^{-1}$ increments. Formation energies of HX from molecular H$_2$ and X$_2$ are $-92$, $-52$, and $-5$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ for HCl, HBr and HI, respectively; the same stability trend is obtained though with larger incremental energy differences. Although the CID results given by reactions (1a), (2a), and (3a) would appear to suggest a higher affinity of L for I$^-$ over Br$^-$ over Cl$^-$, the observed pathways could be partially, or perhaps mostly, a manifestation of the higher stability of gas-phase HCl over HBr over HI. An alternative conceptualization of reaction (4) is from the perspective of Cooks’ kinetic method, whereby halide X$_a^-$ or X$_b^-$ with the higher proton affinity (PA) preferentially retains the proton. Because the order of PAs is Cl$^-$ ($333$ kcal mol$^{-1}$) > Br$^-$ ($323$) > I$^-$ ($314$), this alternative assessment presents the same conclusion as reaction (5), though the incremental difference in PAs is ca. 10 rather than 16 kcal mol$^{-1}$. As discussed below, the observed fragmentation pathways may also be partly a manifestation of structures that favor a particular proton-halide recombination.

In view of the neutral HX energetics, the CID results do not necessarily reveal an intrinsically higher gas-phase affinity of H$_2$TrlnO$_x$ for I$^-$ over Br$^-$ over Cl$^-$. The computational results below for reactions (1)–(3) yield an assessment of the energetics, as well as possible influence of anion complex structures on favored fragmentation pathways.

**Computations: Structures Optimization.**

To gain insights into the apparently higher affinity of L for heavier halides, we turned to DFT (B3LYP-D3BJ/6-311G**) calculations. Different possible conformers of [L(HX$_a$)]$^-$, the mixed halide complexes [L(HX$_a$X$_b$)$_n$]$_m$, and [L(X)]$^-$ (X = Cl, Br, I) were sampled and optimized (Tables S1–S3). The lowest energy conformer for all three [L(HX$_a$)]$^-$ complexes (isomers 1x in Figure 3A and Table S1) presents a “Janus head” conformation. Namely, a halide atom is coordinated by the protonated ammonium and a hydroxylamine moiety on one side of the receptor, while the second halide is coordinated by two hydroxylamine groups on the opposite side of the ligand.

A second set of conformers (isomers 2y in Figure 3B and Table S1), higher in energy (+5.4 to 5.6 kcal mol$^{-1}$), shows a C$_3$-symmetric “tripodal” conformation where the three hydroxylamine moieties interact with a first halide. On the other side, the second halide is stabilized by weak but abundant CH$-$X interactions. Such short contacts have been observed, experimentally and computationally, to contribute significantly or exclusively to halide binding and recognition.$^{23,53,54}$ Notably the lower energy conformers 1x and 2x for gas-phase DFT-optimized [L(HX$_a$)]$^-$ units were reminiscent to the geometries revealed by solid-state crystallography as discussed below. For the mixed halide compounds [L(HX$_a$X$_b$)$_n$]$_m$, the “Janus head” conformers are also energetically favored over the “tripodal” forms (Table S3). In this case, the site selectivity can be interrogated by DFT, the results are depicted in Table S3 and in Figure 4 for the specific case of [L(HClBr)$_1$]$^-$.

![Figure 2](image_url) CID mass spectra of [L(HX$_a$X$_b$)$_n$]$_m$ ions (X = Cl, Br, I). (a) [L(HClBr)$_1$]$^-$ / CID amplitude = 0.30 V; (b) [L(HCl)$_1$]$^-$ / CID = 0.30 V; (c) [L(HBr)$_1$] / CID = 0.35 V.

![Figure 3](image_url) DFT-optimized conformers of [L(HClBr)$_1$]: “Janus head” form (A) and “Tripodal” form (B). Most significant H-bonding interactions (d < 3 A) are displayed as pink dotted lines; other hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity.
interact with the ammonium and one hydroxylamine arm, while the lighter halide \(X_a\) forms H-bonds with the remaining two hydroxylamine groups in the other side of the receptor. However, swapping the two halides in 1a to yield isomer 1b requires only \(+0.6\) to \(+1.3\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\), with the lowest swapping energy for Cl/Br and the highest for Cl/I (Table S2).

In order to assess the stability of the products \([L(X)]^-\) in reactions (1)–(3), different \([L(X)]^-\) were also optimized (Figure 4 and Table S3). Again, isomers \(11_x\) possessing tripodal geometries are located higher in energy (0.5 to 5.0 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) than isomers \(10_x\) presenting the Janus head conformation. As depicted in Figure 4, the conformers \(10_x\) are binding the remaining halide with 2 hydroxylamine arms and some CH—X contacts, while the third NOH group interacts with the, now neutral, bridgehead nitrogen atom. Notably, the lowest energy conformer for \([L(I)]^-\) is \(13_x\), found slightly below \(10_x\) (1.4 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)). In this conformer, 1 adopts a tripodal conformation with an internal, intramolecular, H-bonding network—typical of the free ligand—while the iodide anion is stabilized by multiple CH—I interactions with the benzylic protons (Table S3). The increasing relative stability of \(13_x\) versus \(10_x\) for \([L(X)]^-\) isomers from \(X = Cl\) to Br to I seems to be in accord with the dominance of the heavier halide complexes from ESI (Figure 1), but inference of solution speciation from these spectra must be qualified, as discussed below.

The reverse of the above complexation reactions for \(X_a \neq X_b\) corresponds to CID fragmentiation of the mixed halides \([L(HX,X_b)]^-\). The calculated fragmentation reaction energies in Table 1 and S5 show that for a given \([L(HX,X_b)]^-\), loss of the lighter \(HX_a\) requires less energy by 4.4–10.6 kcal mol\(^{-1}\). This is illustrated in Figure 4 for the specific case of \([L(HClBr)]^-\). In Figure 4, it is evident that loss of \(HBr\), which is computed at higher energy than loss of \(HCl\), can only readily proceed from the lowest energy conformer 1a via direct recombination of the ammonium proton and the proximate bromide anion. The lower-energy elimination of \(HCl\) is not as directly accessible from this structure. In summary, the calculation results indicate that for \([L(HX,X_b)]^-\) loss of \(HX_a\) is favored thermodynamically but not kinetically for \(X_a\) lighter than \(X_b\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Halides</th>
<th>DFT(^{[a]})</th>
<th>&quot;Intrinsic&quot;(^{[b]})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(X_a = Cl, \ X_b = Br)</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>37.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X_a = Cl, \ X_b = I)</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>34.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X_a = Br, \ X_b = I)</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>37.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{[a]}\) DFT-calculated energies for the CID pathways. \(^{[b]}\) Hypothetical dissociation equation using tabulated energies for \(HX\).

Nature of the Experimental CID.

As indicated, the computed fragmentation energies (Figure 4 and Table 1) demonstrate that fragmentation of \([L(HX,X_b)]^-\) to \([L(X_a)]^-\) and \(HX_a\), where \(X_a\) is the lighter halide, is favored by 4.4–10.6 kcal mol\(^{-1}\). Notably, these energy differences are significantly less than the 16–32 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) differences for reaction (5) that favor formation of the lighter \(HX_a\) from the association of \(H\) and \(X_a\), as discussed above. Accordingly, the differences in energetics for the dissociation reactions in Table 1 do not appear to reflect an inherently greater stability of \([L(X_a)]^-\) versus \([L(X_b)]^-\), as for example \([L(I)]^-\) versus \([L(Cl)]^-\). Instead, the computed energetics evidently reflects the trend in increasing stability of the produced \(HX\): \(HI < HBr < HCl\). If adjustment is made for the relative \(H + X_a\) association energies, reaction (5), the derived fragmentation energies in Table 1 for reaction (6) actually suggest that the intrinsic stabilities increase in the order \([L(I)]^- < [L(Br)]^- < [L(Cl)]^-\), which is the opposite of what might be casually inferred from the CID results in Figure 2. **

\[ [L(HX,X_b)]^- \rightarrow [L(X_b)]^- + H + X_a \] (6)
The CID results appear to be a manifestation of the higher stabilities of the produced HX₄ rather than the intrinsic stabilities of the [LX₃]⁺.

**Synthesis and Structural Characterization.**

In order to provide a condensed-phase basis for comparison with the gas-phase results presented above, we targeted the synthesis of the series of L(HX) (X = F, Cl, Br, and I) compounds. Addition of an excess of the HX₄ acid to an ethanol solution of L followed by precipitation in water afforded the salts L(HX) in moderate to good yields (Scheme 2).

The isolated salts L(HX) revealed identical ESI products as the results presented in Figure 1 (Figures S6–S7). Compounds L(HX) were characterized by ¹H and ¹³C(¹H) NMR, infrared (IR) spectroscopy, solution electrochemistry, and elemental analysis, confirming protonation of L to yield the different ammonium salts. Going from L(HCl) to L(HI), electrochemical measurement revealed a shift to lower potential for the oxidation of the hydroxylamine moieties to their nitrooxide (Figure S26). IR spectra of L(HX) revealed a gradual shift to lower frequencies for the NOH stretches going from X = I to F (Figure S27). This trend and the overall spectra were well-reproduced in the predicted IR spectra of DFT-optimized isomers 1ₓ of [L(HX)ₓ]⁻ (Figure S28). This suggests large structural similarities between the lowest-energy calculated conformers of [L(HX)ₓ]⁻ and solid-state L(HX) as confirmed by solid-state crystallography (vide infra). ¹H NMR studies in CD₂Cl₂ demonstrated C₃v symmetric species on the NMR timescale at 300 K. Variable temperature NMR studies revealed broadening of most resonances caused by the crystallization of the salts at lower temperature (Figure S29). Particularly interesting was comparison of the ¹H NMR spectra of L(HX), which demonstrates a gradual increase in the shielding of the hydroxylprotons from L(HF) to L(HI), in agreement with the decreasing electronegativity of the respective halides from F⁻ to I⁻ (Figure S30). Taking together, the spectroscopic data were in accord with the protonation of L at the bridgehead nitrogen atom and association of the halide anions through H-bond interactions with the hydroxylamine moieties. The chloride, bromide, and iodide salts were recrystallized in ethanol and provided suitable crystals for X-ray diffraction (XRD) studies. L(HCl)·EtOH and L(HBr)·EtOH were isostructural and crystallized as a 1D-H-bonded coordination polymer (Figure 4A for L(HCl)·EtOH and S37 for L(HBr)·EtOH). In these structures, the [L(H)⁺]⁺ cations lack any C₃ symmetry and present a “Janus head” conformation noted in the gas-phase DFT-optimized structures: on one side of [L(H)⁺], a hydroxylamine group and the ammonium proton interact with a halide anion; on the other side, an ethanol molecule and the remaining two hydroxylamine moieties are involved in a H-bonding network with the anion. The repetition of this motif generates the observed supramolecular polymer (Figure 4A). The solid-state structure of L(HI)·½EtOH was slightly different and consisted of two independent [L(H)⁺]⁺ units. The first one presents a similar “Janus head” arrangement as observed in L(HCl)·EtOH and L(HBr)·EtOH, while the second [L(H)⁺]⁺ acts as a discrete anion receptor with the “tripodal” configuration (Figure 4B). In this case, the supramolecular chain was permitted by multiple CH···-I(1) interactions from the benzyl protons of [L(H)⁺]⁺ (H(1b’), H(12b’), H(23b’)) on Figure 4B. Importantly, this motif of interaction was only noticed for L(HI) and is reminiscent to the geometry of the most stable isomer of [L(I)]⁻ (13) obtained during the gas-phase DFT optimizations.
Figure 4. Solid-state structures of different salts; heteroatoms are depicted by their thermal ellipsoids, relevant hydrogen atoms are depicted in black, ethanol molecules in light pink. A) H-bonded polymer of \( L(\text{HCl}) \cdot \text{EtOH} \) along the \( a \) axis. B) Asymmetric unit of \( L(\text{HI}) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \text{EtOH} \). C) Asymmetric unit of \( L(\text{HBr}) \cdot 2(\text{CHCl}_3) \).

In the previous solid-state structures, an ethanol molecule was present and directly participated in the H-bond network, raising the question if its presence influences the crystal packing of \( L(\text{HX}) \). Compounds \( L(\text{HCl}) \) and \( L(\text{HBr}) \), recrystallized from benzene resulted in displacement of the ethanol molecule while preserving the 1D-H-bonded polymer, revealing that ethanol was not requisite for the observed crystal arrangement (Figure 5 and S38).

Figure 5. Solid-state structure of polymeric \( L(\text{HBr}) \) along the \( b \) axis as determined by X-ray crystallography. The proposed structures associated with the main ESI species (positive and negative mode) are depicted in red.

Despite multiple attempts, crystals of \( L(\text{HF}) \) suitable for XRD characterization were not obtained. In total, \( L(\text{HX}) \) are easily synthesized and form, in the solid-state, H-bonded polymers that reveal geometries closely related to the DFT-optimized structures.

Solution Speciation.

Although adducts \( L(\text{HX}) \) were characterized by NMR spectroscopy and crystallized as supramolecular polymers, we were interested in studying more in depth their solution-state speciation to relate with the ESI results. The existence of a soluble, extended polymeric structure is unlikely. When in solution, compounds \( L(\text{HX}) \) are expected to be present as monomer or small oligomer prior to their crystallization as an extended structure. Chlorinated solvents such as chloroform and dichloromethane were observed to efficiently solubilize (for extended periods of time) \( L(\text{HCl}) \), \( L(\text{HBr}) \), and \( L(\text{HI}) \). \(^1\)H DOSY NMR studies performed on CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) solutions of \( L \) and \( L(\text{HBr}) \) revealed similar diffusion coefficients suggesting similar hydrodynamic radii in solution. More importantly, cooling a solution of \( L(\text{HBr}) \) in CHCl\(_3\) to \(-20 \) °C for a week resulted in the formation of single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction studies (Figure 4C). The corresponding solid-state structure demonstrated a nearly \( C_3 \)-symmetric \([L(\text{H})]^+\) receptor binding to the bromide anion through the hydroxylamine moieties. Two chloroform molecules now supplement the coordination sphere of the bromide anion. From these observations, we propose that chloroform or dichloromethane solutions of \( L(\text{HX}) \) consist
of monomeric [LHX]:n(solv) (n ~ 2–3) with [L(H)]⁺ in the “tripodal” form.

**Halide Binding in Solution.**

Having a clearer picture of the speciation of L(HX) in solution, we were interested in assessing the ability to bind a supplementary halide as suggested by the solid-state polymeric structures and the gas-phase experiments. Addition of [N⁴Bu⁺]X to a solution of the respective L(HX) salts (X = Cl, Br, I; L(HF) was not sufficiently soluble) resulted in important modifications in the resulting ¹H NMR spectra. In particular, NOH moieties and one aromatic proton experienced large deshielding (Figures S31–S36). Job’s plots were in accord with a 1:1 binding model but the limited applicability of this method prompted us to evaluate alternative models.⁶⁰ The binding isomerism results from ¹H NMR titrations at 298 K were fitted to several stoichiometries, but were consistently in best agreement with a 1:1 model.⁶¹ This was attributed to the formation of di-halide adducts [L(HX)]²[N⁴Bu⁺] (Scheme 3). The binding constants Kₓ increased from 245 M⁻¹ for I⁻ to 872 M⁻¹ for Cl⁻ as observed in related systems.⁵⁵ Besides repeated attempts, single crystals of [L(HX)]²[N⁴Bu⁺] could not be grown but it is proposed that these species adopt a “Janus head” conformation and to resemble isomers ₁ₓ of [L(HX)]⁻ obtained by DFT methods (Table S1).

![Scheme 3. Halide binding equilibria for L(HX), and free L.](image)

To further confirm the stoichiometry of the binding model, we performed ¹H DOSY NMR studies which demonstrated a similar diffusion coefficient for L(HBr) and [L(HBr)²][N⁴Bu⁺], this suggests that, at the NMR timescale, no larger oligomer is formed, which allows us to further rule out a potential 2:1 binding model. Interestingly, titration experiments between L and [N⁴Bu⁺]X did not reveal any noticeable binding (Scheme 3).

Although there is about a 4-fold difference between Kₓ and Kᵢ (which is small compared to other systems),¹⁶, ⁶² this only corresponds to an energy difference, ΔΔG = RTln(Kᵢ/Kₓ), of ~0.8 kcal mol⁻¹, which is small relative to differences in gas-phase energetics discussed above. These binding equilibria were also evaluated by DFT methods that are generally consistent with the experimental trend (Table S8). Overall, the titration results clearly demonstrate that L(HX) has a strong affinity for binding a second halide, as was also determined by the gas-phase experimental and theoretical results. This affinity contrasts with that of neutral L, suggesting that protonation pre-organizes a secondary anion receptor.

**Gas Phase Oligomerization.**

Referring to the structure of polymeric L(HBr) shown in Figure 5, it is evident that the dominant gas-phase species obtained during ESI, [L(HBr)²⁺] and [L(H)]⁺, directly corresponds to the indicated units in the 1D-H-bonded polymer. This correspondence between gas and solution prompted us to revisit the ESI mass spectra in search of larger oligomeric species. Indeed, substantial abundance of the dimeric species ([L₂(H₂X)⁺] and ([L₂(HX)⁺]) were observed (Figures S6 and S7), which can be represented as ([L(H)(Br)⁺])⁺ and ([X](LH)[(LH)(X)⁻]) in direct correspondence to the solid-state structure in Figure 5. Although these oligomers were produced by ESI, there is no direct evidence that it is present in the precursor solution, as discussed above. CID of ([L₂(H₄Br)⁺]) (Figure S9) resulted in elimination of neutral L(HBr) to yield [L(HBr)²⁺]. These gas-phase CID results are fully consistent with gas-phase species possessing structures and bonding very closely related to the solid-state data in Figure 5. Finally, ESI of solution of [L(HBr)²][N⁴Bu⁺] were similar to the isolated or in-situ prepared L(HBr) confirming that this complex is an adequate condensed-phase model for the gas-phase [L(HBr)²⁺] adduct (Figure S8).

**Condensed Phase Crystallization Process.**

In summary, the combination of condensed, gas-phase and *in silico* methods allows to draw a clear picture of the speciation of the triodal receptor L when contacted with halides or HX acids. Neutral L has very limited affinity for halides in the gas-phase and therefore no noticeable binding was observed in solution, where intraligand H-bonding interaction are believe to be predominant.²⁵, ²⁷ Protonation of the central nitrogen disturbs this well-organized network, and in an appropriate solvent (CH₂Cl₂, CHCl₃), lead to discrete triadonal anion receptor as crystallized for L(HBr)·2CHCl₃ (Figure 4C). However protonation of L to [L(H)]⁺ creates a situation where the approximate C₃-symmetric triadonal conformer is competed by a “Janus head” form as highlighted by the computational results. This effect is illustrated by multiple lines of evidence, such as the propensity of L(HX) to bind a second halide in solution, the high ESI yield of [L(HX)]⁻, the CID results or the exothermic second halide binding as determined by DFT. From there, aggregation of multiple units can start as corroborated by the observation of ([L]₂(H₂X)⁻) and ([L]₂(HX)⁻) by ESI. Moreover, the crystallization of L([H]⁺)/EtOH is a remarkable example of an arrested aggregation step with both the “tripodal” and “Janus head” forms of [L(H)]⁺ present (Figure 4B). The processes can then be repeated indefinitely to yield the 1D-H-bonded polymers crystallized for L(HCl) and L(HBr). Overall, the complementary findings between multiple techniques allow us to identify and monitor in detail the key molecular steps underlying the specific precipitation/crystallization of [L(H)]⁺ (Scheme 4) but may be generalized to a large array of molecules.
From Solution to Gas by ESI

Given that ESI resulted in gas-phase complexes that bear compositional correspondence to condensed phase species, we briefly address the nature of ESI vis-à-vis solution speciation. ESI is often considered a “soft” ionization method, largely due to its ability to transfer intact covalently bonded macromolecules from solution to gas, as pioneered by Fenn.63 However, transferring a charged species from solution to gas necessarily involves drastic changes in the transition from bulk- to micro- to molecular-“solution” environments, with concomitant opportunities for changes in chemistry, including in speciation.64 Potential pitfalls in inferring solution speciation from ESI-MS have been emphasized in recent years.65-68 There are examples of judicious and effective application of ESI-MS to assess condensed phase structures and reactivity of non-covalently bound systems such as supramolecular containers.69 Highly charged solution metal ions, Mn+6+, were transferred from solution to gas, but only when stabilized against hydrolysis and charge-reduction by multidentate coordinating ligands.70-72 Among the dynamic effects during ESI are drastic changes in ion concentrations, including pH.73 Because solution species that are precursors of the solid 1D-H-bonded coordination polymer are acid adducts of L, L(HX), it is expected that pH changes during ESI, as well halide concentration changes, could affect compositions of gas-phase species. For example, a decrease in pH should generally result in an increase in concentration of the associated weaker acid HI, which could increase the concentration of neutral L(HI) and anionic [L(HI)]+. Results such as in Figure 1 may thus reflect aspects of solution speciation, but cannot be taken to directly reveal it. It cannot be concluded from ESI that L is selective for heavier halides in solution, but rather that such selectivity is exhibited in ESI. CID does demonstrate propensity for particular fragmentation pathways. However, preferred elimination of a particular halide needs to be interpreted in the proper context, such as by comparing energetics for reactions (4) and (6). The overall assessment here is that CID does not necessarily indicate inherently preferential binding of heavier halides, but rather higher stability of lighter hydrogen halides.

Conclusions

Affinity of protonated tripodal hydroxylamine ligand [L(H)]+ for halides X− was suggested in ESI by abundant gas-phase complexes [L(HX)n]−. Relative yields from solutions containing more than one halide indicated a preferential affinity for I− over Br− over Cl−. CID of mixed halide complexes [L(HXn)X3]− also revealed preferential retention of the heavier halide in [L(X)n]− via elimination of HX. Computed DFT energies are in accord with the observed gas-phase speciation and CID fragmentation pathways. Energetics reveal that preferential retention of the heavier halide Xn by [L(H)n]+ does not reflect intrinsically higher affinity but rather higher stability of the lighter HXn product. Halide affinity of L(HX)n was confirmed by binding equilibria constants in solution. The solution results indicated the highest affinity for Cl−, a lower affinity for Br−, and the lowest affinity for I−, which is the opposite of what is observed in gas phase but is in accord with gas-phase affinities obtained after accounting for stabilities of gas-phase HCl, HBr and HI. The 1D polymeric structures of solid L(HX) exhibit a remarkable correspondence to the compositions of gas-phase complexes produced by ESI. The solid structures also bear a close resemblance to computed gas-phase structures. The results suggest hydroxylamines and related substrates as potentially promising for anion reception and recognition.
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The binding of halide anions with a tripodal hydroxylamine ligand studied in gas (mass spectrometry and DFT methods) and condensed phases revealed notable agreement.