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Abstract

In previous studies, the β-blocker carvedilol inhibited EGF-induced epidermal cell transformation and chemical carcinogen-induced mouse skin hyperplasia. As exposure to ultraviolet (UV) radiation leads to skin cancer, the present study examined whether carvedilol can prevent UV-induced carcinogenesis. Carvedilol absorbs UV like a sunscreen; thus, to separate pharmacological from sunscreen effects, 4-hydroxycarbazole (4-OHC), which absorbs UV to the same degree as carvedilol, served as control. JB6 P² cells, an established epidermal model for studying tumor promotion, were used for evaluating the effect of carvedilol on UV-induced neoplastic transformation. Both carvedilol and 4-OHC (1 μmol/L) blocked transformation induced by chronic UV (15 mJ/cm²) exposure for 8 weeks. However, EGF-mediated transformation was inhibited by only carvedilol but not by 4-OHC. Carvedilol (1 and 5 μmol/L), but not 4-OHC, attenuated UV-induced AP-1 and NF-κB luciferase reporter activity, suggesting a potential anti-inflammatory activity. In a single-dose UV (200 ml/cm²)-induced skin inflammation mouse model, carvedilol (10 μmol/L), applied topically after UV exposure, reduced skin hyperplasia and the levels of cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers, IL1, IL6, and COX-2 in skin. In SKH-1 mice exposed to gradually increasing levels of UV (50–150 ml/cm²) three times a week for 25 weeks, topical administration of carvedilol (10 μmol/L) after UV exposure increased tumor latency compared with control (week 18 vs. 15), decreased incidence and multiplicity of squamous cell carcinomas, while 4-OHC had no effect. These data suggest that carvedilol has a novel chemopreventive activity and topical carvedilol following UV exposure may be repurposed for preventing skin inflammation and cancer. Cancer Prev Res; 10(10): 598–606. © 2017 AACR.

Introduction

β-Adrenergic receptor (β-AR) antagonists, commonly called β-blockers, are usually used for cardiovascular disorders and act via inhibiting catecholamines, i.e., epinephrine and norepinephrine, from triggering the body’s “fight or flight” response to stress (1). Recent evidence suggests that catecholamines, by activating β-ARs, also play a role in carcinogenesis including skin carcinogenesis, possibly through inducing DNA damage (2), promoting transformation (3, 4), and inhibiting cutaneous immunity (5). β-ARs are expressed in various cell types of human skin, keratinocytes, the cells that make up the majority of the epidermis, express only the β2-AR while melanocytes express both β1- and β2-ARs (6, 7). Benign melanocytic nevi, atypical nevi, and malignant melanomas express β1- and β2-ARs, and expression of these receptors is greatest in malignant tumors (8). Ultraviolet (UV) irradiation can increase the secretion of epinephrine from keratinocytes while melanocytes synthesize norepinephrine but are unable to produce epinephrine (9). In melanocytes, the signaling through β-ARs promotes pigmentation in response to epinephrine secreted from the neighboring keratinocytes (6). Thus, catecholamines and UV radiation may synergistically act on the skin microenvironment to promote carcinogenesis.

Recent clinical and preclinical investigations have provided evidence that the use of β-blockers in combination with chemotherapy or radiotherapy reduced metastasis and prolonged the survival of patients with multiple cancers (10–19). For melanoma, epidemiological studies indicate that long-term use of β-blockers reduced progression and mortality (20, 21). However, the association between the use of β-blockers with cancer incidence is infrequently investigated, and their effects on cancer prevention remain controversial (22–24). Also, most of these studies focused on developed tumors, which are not the ideal model to evaluate the preventive effects of β-blockers. Interestingly, long-term use of the β-blocker carvedilol is associated with reduced risk of many cancer types in a recent population-based cohort study (25), which is the largest retrospective cancer incidence study focusing on a single β-blocker involving 6,771 carvedilol users and the same number of non-user controls.

Carvedilol is a third-generation receptor subtype nonselective β-blocker with antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties (26–28). Previous preclinical studies established carvedilol-mediated chemopreventive effects via inhibition of EGF-induced...
transformation of the non-tumorous mouse epidermal cell line JB6 Cl 41-5a (JB6 P⁺) and reduction of 7,12-dimethylbenz(a)anthracene (DMBA)-induced epidermal hyperplasia in mice (29). Although the aforementioned studies are models of skin carcinogenesis, the effect of carvedilol should be examined in a more clinically relevant model—skin carcinogenesis induced by UV. Thus, in the present study, we tested the hypothesis that carvedilol prevents UV-induced skin cancer.

Materials and Methods

Compounds

Carvedilol was purchased from Tocris Bioscience. 4-Hydroxy-carbazole (4-OHC) and 1,2-dimethoxybenzene was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and epidermal growth factor (EGF) from Peprotech. Carvedilol and 4-OHC were reconstituted in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) used in vitro or in acetone used in vivo, whereas EGF was prepared in PBS. All stocks are stored in −20°C and diluted right before each use.

Cell culture

JB6 Cl 41-5a (JB6 P⁺), purchased from ATCC in 2011, were cultured as described in the manufacturer’s instruction with modification of using media containing 4% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum. HEK-293 cells were also obtained from ATCC in 2007 and cultured in Dulbecco’s MEM (Genesee Scientific) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Genees Scientific) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen). Authentication has not been done by the authors. The described experiments were conducted using cells of less than 10 passages after thawing. All cells from cell culture-related experiments were incubated in a humidified atmosphere of 37°C and 5% CO₂/95% air.

UV-Vis spectral analysis

Compounds were reconstituted in DMSO to a final concentration of 1 mmol/L (n = 4) and subjected to complete UV-Vis spectral analysis using NanoDrop 2000c (Thermo Scientific). DMSO served as a blank with 750 nm set to zero and subsequently used as a vehicle control.

UV light source

The UV lamps emitting UVB (280–320 nm; 54% of total energy), UVA (320–400 nm; 37% of total energy), UVC (100–280 nm; 2.0% of total energy) and Visible light (400–450 nm; 7.0% of total energy; catalog numbers #95-0042-08 and #95-0043-13; UVP; Supplementary Fig. S1) were used to irradiate in vitro and in vivo experiments. Stable power output (mW/cm²) was measured using a UVX radiometer (#97-0015-02, UVP) coupled with a sensor with a calibration point of 310 nm (UVX-31, #97-0016-04, UVP), and exposure time was calculated using the following formula: dose (mJ/cm²) = exposure time (s) × output intensity (mW/cm²). Quality control of the lamps and exposure time were calculated and monitored before each use of the lamps to account for changes in power output over time.

Anchorage-independent growth assay of JB6 P⁺ cells promoted by EGF or UV

EGF-mediated transformation of JB6 P⁺ cells was conducted in 96-well plates as previously described (29). Low-dose UV irradiation was used to transform the JB6 P⁺ cells following a modified method (30). In brief, radiant doses of 15 mJ/cm² UV was applied to the cells in PBS three times per week for a total of 8 weeks. The vehicle control, 1 mmol/L carvedilol, or 1 mmol/L 4-OHC were added to the culture media which was replenished after each irradiation. The cells were split upon reaching 80% confluence. After 8 weeks, cell transformation was confirmed by the soft agar assay, without the addition of any promoters or drugs.

Luciferase reporter gene assay

HEK-293 cells were transfected with pRL-TK-Luc Renilla luciferase (Promega) and pGL4.22-AP1 (gift, Dr. David Sanchez; Western University of Health Sciences, Pomona, California) or pGL4.22-NF-κB (Promega) plasmids at a ratio of 1:30 using FuGENE HD Transfection Reagent (Roche Applied Science). Twenty-four hours later, the cells were exposed to 25 mJ/cm² UV and incubated in fresh growth medium containing test compounds for an additional 5 or 24 hours for NF-κB and AP-1, respectively. Cell lysates were analyzed by the dual luciferase reporter gene assay (Promega) with Renilla luciferase serving as a normalization factor.

Acute UV exposure in vivo study

All animal studies were carried out under the recommendations and guidelines established by Western University of Health Sciences’ Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees which approved these studies. Mice had access to water and food ad libitum and housed on a 12-hour light/dark cycle with 35% humidity. SKH-1 hairless mice were randomly divided into 5 groups: n = 4 per group for non-UV-exposed controls and n = 6 for mice exposed to 200 mJ/cm² UV. The groups are: (i) vehicle-treated control, (ii) 10 mmol/L carvedilol-treated control, (iii) UV-exposed followed by vehicle treatment, (iv) 2-hour pretreatment with 10 mmol/L carvedilol then UV-exposed, and (v) UV-exposed followed by 10 mmol/L carvedilol treatment. The volume for topical treatment was 200 μl with acetone as the solvent. The mice were euthanized at 6 or 24 hours after UV exposure, and dorsal skin samples were measured then excised and snap frozen.

Cyclobutane pyrimidine dimer (CPD) dot blot analysis

Genomic DNA was isolated from dorsal skin samples by using QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen). The DNA samples (100 ng) were vacuum-transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (0.45 μm, Thermo Scientific) using a Bio-Dot SF microfiltration apparatus (Bio-Rad). CPDs were detected by immunoslot blot using anti-CPD monoclonal antibody (Kamiya). After the immunoslot blot assay, total DNA amounts loaded onto the membrane were visualized by SYBR-Gold (Invitrogen) staining, and these values were used to normalize the CPD values.

Western blot analysis

Protein was extracted from skin tissue by grinding liquid nitrogen frozen skin into a fine powder with a pre-chilled mortar and pestle before addition of RIPA lysis buffer (Santa Cruz) containing PMSF, Na₃VO₄, and protease inhibitors. The tissues were further homogenized using an OMNI Tissue Master 123 handheld homogenizer. Protein (30 μg) were resolved by 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. 1:1,000 anti-COX-2 (Cayman Chemicals) and anti-β-actin (Cell Signaling) antibodies in 5% non-fat milk and 5% sodium azide were applied to the membrane overnight, washed six times, and then...
exposed to goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP (Cell Signaling Technologies) diluted 1:20,000 in 3% non-fat milk at room temperature for 60 minutes. Membranes were visualized with SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Thermo Fisher).

Quantitative RT-PCR analysis
Total RNA was isolated from whole skin tissue using TRIzol (Thermo Fisher) and RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen). cDNA was obtained with the high capacity cDNA reverse transcriptase kit (Thermo Fisher). cDNA and PerfeCTa SYBR Green supermix (Quanta biosciences, Inc.) were combined with primers for mouse Il6, Il1b, and β-actin (The primer sequences are available upon request). Real-time PCR was performed on a CFX36 Real-time thermal cycler detection system (Bio-Rad), and analyzed with the 2−ΔΔct with β-actin as the normalization control.

UV-induced murine skin tumorigenesis
A total of 42 six-week-old female SKH-1 mice (Charles River) were randomly divided into six groups. The groups were (i) n = 4 non-UV exposure vehicle-treated, (ii) n = 4 non-UV exposure carvedilol treated, (iii) n = 4 non-UV exposure 4-OHC treated, (iv) n = 10 UV-exposed vehicle-treated, (v) n = 10 UV-exposed carvedilol treated, and (vi) n = 10 UV-exposed 4-OHC treated. Mice were topically treated with 200 μL acetone (vehicle), 10 μmol/L carvedilol or 4-OHC dissolved in 200 μL acetone three times per week for 2 weeks before UV exposure. The mice were irradiated with gradually increasing levels of UV 3 times a week for 25 weeks with an initial dose of 50 mJ/cm2 that was increased each week by 25 mJ/cm² to 150 mJ/cm², which continued for the duration of the experiment. The treatment regimen was applied after UV irradiation and continued throughout the experiment. During the UV exposure mice roamed freely in acrylic cages on a rotating platform with rotational placement ensuring consistent and equal dorsal distribution of UV irradiation. Tumors of at least 1 mm in diameter were counted and measured weekly. At the end of the study, the mice were euthanized, and tumors and non-tumorous skin samples were excised and fixed in 10% formalin for pathological analysis. Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained sections of the tumors were examined by a board-certified pathologist.

Statistical analysis
Data are expressed as a mean ± standard deviation unless stated otherwise. All plots were made using GraphPad Prism, and statistical analysis was conducted in GraphPad Prism and NCSS 2007. Specific statistical tests are detailed in the figure legends or text; for data dealing with tumor number, data are reported as median ± median absolute deviation (MAD), and nonparametric analysis was used for statistical quantification.

Results
4-OHC as a sunscreen control for carvedilol
The UV absorption spectrum of carvedilol and its non-β-ethanolamine R-groups 4-OHC and 1,2-dimethoxybenzene was examined to identify a compound that may serve as a UV absorption control in the proceeding studies. As can be seen from Fig. 1A, the UV absorption profile of carvedilol is completely mimicked by equimolar concentrations of 4-OHC. Because 4-OHC lacks the required β-ethanolamine component of β-blockers, it can serve as a UV absorption (sunscreen) control. The absorption profile covers most of the emitted spectrum of the UV lamps used throughout this study (Supplementary Fig. S1). However, it is unclear whether interacting with β-AR is the mechanism for the chemopreventive properties of carvedilol. Therefore, the concentration-response effects of carvedilol and 4-OHC on EGF-mediated transformation of JB6 P+ cells were examined (Fig. 1B). As previously described, carvedilol inhibited EGF-induced JB6 P+ cell transformation in a dose-dependent manner (29), but 4-OHC had no effect. Thus, 4-OHC can serve as a sunscreen control in following experiments involving UV, allowing for separating the pharmacological and UV absorption properties of carvedilol.

Effects of carvedilol or 4-OHC on UV-induced JB6 P+ cell transformation
As 4-OHC absorbs UV irradiation, it is expected that 4-OHC will have some degree of UV protection, while carvedilol is hypothesized to provide greater preventive activity against UV-induced effects due to its pharmacological activity. This hypothesis was first tested in the JB6 P+ cell transformation assay using UV as the tumor promoter because low and re-occurring dosages...
of UV irradiation can lead to malignant transformation of JB6 P+ cells (30). Treating JB6 P+ cells with 15 ml/cm² UV three times a week for 8 weeks then plating the cells in agar with growth media without any promoter or drugs resulted in more colonies than EGF as the promoter (48 ± 4 vs. 35 ± 4, P = 0.002922, respectively; data expressed as median ± median absolute deviation (MAD)). Incubation with 1 μmol/L carvedilol or 1 μmol/L 4-OHC for the duration of experimental paradigm, except during UV exposure, statistically reduced chronic UV-induced colony formation by 64% and 59%, respectively (Fig. 2). Although carvedilol appears more effective than 4-OHC, it is not statistically different.

Effects of carvedilol or 4-OHC on UV-induced NF-κB and AP-1 activation

The transcription factor activator protein-1 (AP-1) and NF-κB are principal mediators of skin carcinogenesis activated by UV irradiation (31, 32). To begin exploring the molecular mechanisms underlying the observed effects of carvedilol, UV-mediated activation of AP-1 and NF-κB were evaluated by dual luciferase assays in HEK-293 cells. As shown in Fig. 3, a single low dose of UV (25 ml/cm²) was sufficient to activate the transcription of NF-κB and AP-1. Carvedilol, but not 4-OHC, inhibited UV-mediated transcriptional activity of NF-κB and AP-1 when administered after UV exposure. To further confirm that carvedilol, but not 4-OHC, acts through a pharmacological mechanism, TNFα- and EGF-mediated NF-κB and AP-1 activation, respectively, were examined by dual luciferase assays in the absence and presence of carvedilol and 4-OHC. The results (data not shown) are similar to Fig. 3, where carvedilol, but not 4-OHC, inhibits NF-κB and AP-1 activation. These data further demonstrate that the observed UV-protective effects are pharmacological in nature and are not completely due to a sunscreen effect.

Effects of topically applied carvedilol in a short-term UV mouse model

The effects of carvedilol on UV-induced NF-κB activation supports its anti-inflammatory activity. Although antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effect of carvedilol has been reported related to hypertension (28), its contribution to UV induced inflammation, a known factor leading to skin carcinogenesis (33, 34), is unclear. A short-term UV exposure model was chosen to address this question in vivo. A single dose of 200 ml/cm² UV was utilized to examine proximal effects of carvedilol treatment in SKH-1 mice after 6 and 24 hours. To investigate treatment protocols, the mice were treated with topical carvedilol (10 μmol/L) 2 hours before or immediately after UV irradiation. These two groups mirror a prophylactic treatment, such as the use of sunscreen, and treatment for a sunburn, respectively. Bifold thickness of dorsal epidermal skin was measured before and after UV treatment to examine the effect of carvedilol on UV-induced skin edema/hyperplasia. As expected, UV induced a rapid change in skin thickness (45% and 56% increase, 6 hours or 24 hours after irradiation, respectively; Supplementary Fig. S2), and the control groups not exposed to UV showed no change. Only carvedilol treatment immediately after UV exposure ("postCAR"), when examined after 24 hours, showed a statistical decrease in bifold skin thickness.

UV exposure induces DNA damage in skin epidermis predominantly through the formation of CPD. The level of CPD was detected 6 hours after UV exposure and was visibly reduced after 24 hours (Fig. 4A). Treatment by carvedilol before or after UVB exposure resulted in 50.8% and 62.9% reduction in CPD, respectively, within 6 hours (P < 0.001 compared with control), but no statistical reduction by carvedilol was observed at 24 hours (Fig. 4B). This result further indicates that carvedilol is not merely acting as a sunscreen as both the pre- and post-UVB exposure treatment blocked CPD formation, with the posttreatment displaying slightly less CPD.

The short-term increase in dorsal skin bifold thickness is likely due to an inflammatory reaction to UV. Therefore, the effect of carvedilol on UV-induced IL1β (gene symbol: Il1b, Fig. 4C) and IL6 (gene symbol: Il6, Fig. 4D) expression was examined via quantitative RT-PCR. UV markedly increased IL1β and IL6 levels. Only the postCAR group statistically decreased IL1β and IL6, while pretreatment with carvedilol tended to show lower levels of both IL1β and IL6. Similarly, Western blots for cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2; Fig. 4E) demonstrate that UV greatly increases COX-2 levels and similarly only postCAR group statistically decreased COX-2 levels (Fig. 4F).

Effects of topically applied carvedilol or 4-OHC on chronic UV-induced skin tumorigenesis in mice

The encouraging result in the short-term model motivated us to further investigate the chemopreventive activity of carvedilol in a murine skin carcinogenesis model. SKH-1 mice were topically treated for 2 weeks with 200 μL vehicle control (acetone), carvedilol (10 μmol/L in acetone), or 4-OHC (10 μmol/L in acetone). The mice were next irradiated by gradually increasing levels of UV radiation from 50 ml/cm² to 150 ml/cm² three times a week for 25 weeks to induce skin tumors as has been documented previously (31). The drug treatment was applied immediately after each UV exposure to minimize sunscreen effects. At week 15,
The key report of the cancer chemopreventive effects of carvedilol (29). Groups was similar with 4-OHC tumor appearance on the vehicle and the sunscreen control tumors compared with control, size analysis indicates that carvedilol slows the appearance of SCC (wSCC) of the total tumors, whereas in the carvedilol group, squamous cell carcinoma (pSCC) and 50% well differentiated group comprised of mice with 6.25% poorly differentiated carvedilol after UV exposure (Fig. 5B). At week 25, the acetone lesion is more severe in UV-treated mice than those treated with vehicle and sunscreen control groups (Fig. 5A). Additionally, in the vehicle and 4-OHC groups, one animal per group carried severe tumors which required immediate attention by IACUC and was euthanized early due to rapid tumor progression. Because there was no difference between the two controls, pathological identification of tumor type was only conducted on the UV and UV + carvedilol treated groups. Given the marked reductions in tumor formation, it is not surprising that the grade of measurable lesion is more severe in UV-treated mice than those treated with carvedilol after UV exposure (Fig. 5B). At week 25, the acetone group comprised of mice with 6.25% poorly differentiated squamous cell carcinoma (pSCC) and 50% well differentiated SCC (wSCC) of the total tumors, whereas in the carvedilol group, no pSCC but only 33% wSCC were observed. Lastly, Cox regression analysis indicates that carvedilol slows the appearance of tumors compared with control, \( P = 0.0159 \); moreover, the rate of tumor appearance on the vehicle and the sunscreen control groups was similar with 4-OHC-treated mice being slightly worse, \( P = 0.1746 \) (Fig. 5C).

**Discussion**

The present study is a follow-up investigation of our previous report of the cancer chemopreventive effects of carvedilol (29). The key finding is that carvedilol protects skin against harmful effects induced by UV which is a well-known cause for skin cancer. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to demonstrate that a \( \beta \)-blocker, commonly used for cardiovascular diseases, attenuates UV-induced skin tumor development and other molecular changes.

A pitfall with experiments involving UV is that the potential UV absorption properties of test agents may result in a false positive testing result. Therefore, an important and novel method used in this study is the inclusion of a sunscreen control based on the UV absorption properties of the experimental agent (carvedilol). The rationale for the sunscreen control is that if a test agent absorbs UV, it will have a sunscreen effect because the mechanism of action for commercial sunscreens is to absorb UV prior to the tissue absorbing UV. UV absorption is not uncommon for compounds under investigation as skin chemopreventive agents (35). For example, caffeine and its analogues display both sunscreen and cancer-preventive effects on skin (36). According to the presence of the methoxybenzene ring and carbazole moieties (Fig. 1A), carvedilol was predicted to absorb UV, and the UV spectrum analysis showed that the carbazole moiety appears to be responsible for most of carvedilol-mediated UV absorption because 4-OHC absorbs essentially the same UV spectrum as carvedilol (Fig. 1A). 4-OHC lacks the required \( \beta \)-ethanolamine component of \( \beta \)-blockers, so it is not a \( \beta \)-blocker. However, it is unclear whether the chemopreventive properties of carvedilol are attributed to the \( \beta \)-blocking property. To evaluate 4-OHC’s chemopreventive activity, the concentration-response effects of carvedilol and 4-OHC on EGF-mediated transformation of JB6 P+ cells were compared. Obviously, when using EGF as the tumor promoter, the cells are not exposed to UV irradiation; thus, UV absorption is not a factor in this experiment. Because 4-OHC does not inhibit EGF-mediated transformation of JB6 P+ cells (Fig. 1B), 4-OHC was next compared with carvedilol on UV-induced cell transformation. In this
experiment, carvedilol or 4-OHC was added to the culture media and incubated with cells during the experimental course of 8 weeks. The cells were washed once and incubated in PBS right before each UV exposure; after exposure the cells were reexposed to culture media containing drugs. Although carvedilol or 4-OHC was not present in PBS when the cells were irradiated, the observed protective effects of 4-OHC suggest that the compounds were retained on the cell surface during the experiment.

Table 1. Effects of topical carvedilol or 4-OHC treatment on the emergence and progression of UV-induced skin tumors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Vehicle</th>
<th>Carvedilol</th>
<th>4-OHC</th>
<th>ANOVA P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mice in experiment</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9 +</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of tumors at the end of experiment</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumors per mouse a</td>
<td>3 ± 1n</td>
<td>1 ± 1p</td>
<td>3 ± 1n</td>
<td>0.004906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor diameter (mm) b</td>
<td>4.26 ± 2.21n</td>
<td>1.96 ± 1.33p</td>
<td>3.72 ± 0.65n</td>
<td>0.010389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median incidence week c</td>
<td>20 ± 3m</td>
<td>24 ± 2i</td>
<td>18 ± 2i</td>
<td>0.009435</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Effects of topical carvedilol or 4-OHC treatment on the emergence and progression of UV-induced skin tumors

aOne mouse developed an infection of the skin and was excluded from the analysis.
bTumors counted as any mass having a diameter of at least 1 mm before sacrificing the mouse.
cData expressed as median ± MAD; values with different Greek letters are statistically different (P < 0.05) as per one-way ANOVA with Kruskal–Wallis Z-value post hoc test.
dData expressed as mean ± SD; values with different Greek letters are statistically different (P < 0.05) as per one-way ANOVA with Tukey–Kramer post hoc test.

Figure 4. Effect of carvedilol on short-term high-intensity UV radiation. A, Representative slot blot for CPD. Each band represents DNA from one mouse from each treatment group. Genomic DNA was isolated from the dorsal skin of mice irradiated with 200 mJ/cm² UV as well as 2 hour pre- or immediately posttreated with 10 μmol/L carvedilol. B, CPD data are expressed as mean ± SD; n = 4. Bars with different Greek letters are statistically different (P < 0.05) as per two-way ANOVA with Tukey–Kramer post hoc test. qPCR results from skin samples of the 6-hour group for IL-1β (C) and IL-6 (D). β-Actin was used as a normalization control, and data are expressed as mean ± SD; n = 4 to 8. Bars with different Greek letters are statistically different (P < 0.05) as per one-way ANOVA with Tukey–Kramer post hoc test. E, Representative Western blot for COX-2 and β-actin from skin samples of the 6-hour group. F, Radiometric quantification of COX-2 levels in skin samples of the 6-hour group. Data are expressed as mean ± SD; n = 2 (Con) and 4 for all others. Bars with different Greek letters are statistically different (P < 0.05) as per one-way ANOVA with Tukey–Kramer post hoc test.
UV-induced DNA damage and skin inflammation, a short protocol similar to what is used in cell culture was applied to SKH-1 mice (Fig. 4). Because the luciferase assay showed that 4-OHC lacked any effect, 4-OHC was not used in the short-term studies. However, two treatment modalities were used: pre- and post-exposure treatments. These two groups mirror a prophylactic treatment, such as the use of sunscreen, and a treatment for a sunburn, respectively. Skin bifold measurements demonstrate that there are rapid changes in skin thickness due to UV exposure in all groups. Carvedilol only statistically reduced skin thickness when treated after UV exposure, and this was detected only after 24 hours. Contrarily, DNA damage, as measured by CPD formation, was statistically reduced within 6 hours by both pre- and posttreatment with carvedilol. Rapid changes in skin thickness are most likely due to the skin hyperplasia and inflammation (40). Posttreatment with carvedilol inhibited UV-induced IL1β and IL6 mRNA production and attenuated COX-2 expression. In each case, pretreatment showed no statistical effect. The difference in pre- and posttreatment at the molecular level is mirrored in the skin thickness assay, suggesting the changes seen at 6 hours contribute to the reduced skin thickness observed at 24 hours. The lack of an effect of pretreatment is not completely understood, but two possibilities underlie the lack of effect at the molecular level. First, the time difference from carvedilol administration to tissue collection between the two modalities is two hours, which is a long time when considering most signaling cascades are measured in minutes. Following UV exposure, the pharmacological actions of carvedilol, such as free radical scavenging, may take place in minutes. Second, UV may enhance the degradation of carvedilol, thus reducing its efficacy. The cellular assays and CPD assay suggest that it can work as a sunscreen, but that may preclude pharmacological actions as the compound could be altered by the UV radiation. Although more studies are necessary to decipher the mechanism of carvedilol in CPD formation, the data clearly indicate that carvedilol is either preventing DNA damage or enhancing repair in vivo. CPD assays were attempted in JB6 P+ cells, but carvedilol failed to prevent CPD formation (data not shown). This suggests that the effect of carvedilol on CPD formation is not a direct effect on the cells, but due to altering the system or microenvironment that indirectly reduces DNA damage or enhances repair. Regardless of the mechanism(s), the short-term experiments indicate that carvedilol would be best used after exposure to UV irradiation—a sunburn treatment.

The short-term UV experiment utilizing 200 mJ/cm² UV irradiation is a high dose and does not represent progression of skin cancer that, in humans, can occur after decades of sub-erythema exposure to UV irradiation. Fortunately, mice can model this progression in months, not decades, with repeated low, sub-erythema, doses of UV irradiation. As predicted by the short-term studies, post-exposure treatment with carvedilol protected against UV induced skin carcinogenesis, and 4-OHC, the sunscreen control, had no effect (Table 1 and Fig. 5). The 25-week exposure precludes investigating molecular mechanisms but provides a clinically relevant model to determine if carvedilol is truly a chemopreventive agent. These data confirm that carvedilol is protective when applied after UV exposure. However, further studies are required to find the optimal dose and identify the window after UV exposure that carvedilol remains an effective chemopreventive agent.

Figure 5.
Effect of carvedilol and 4-OHC on the development of skin tumors in mice. A, Representative appearance of mice in each UV-exposure group at week 26. B, Summary of the skin lesions in UV- and carvedilol-treated groups. Epidermal lesions were identified as epidermal dysplasia, papilloma, and squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) that was subdivided into three categories: poorly differentiated (pSCC), well differentiated (wSCC), and in situ (iSCC). C, Cox regression analysis of the percentage of mice not bearing tumors; $P = 0.0159$ for carvedilol versus control and $P = 0.1746$ for 4-OHC versus control.

The washing and contributed to a sunscreen effect. These data demonstrate the need for a sunscreen control. Thus, for the duration of the studies involving UV, sunscreen effects were avoided by treating the cells or animals after UV exposure, and/or by including 4-OHC as control.

In the luciferases assays, when carvedilol and 4-OHC were administered after UV exposure, we obtained the expected results that carvedilol, but not 4-OHC, prevented UV-induced transcriptional activity of NF-κB and AP-1 (Fig. 3). Therefore, treatment after UV is an optimal approach to evaluate carvedilol’s chemopreventive effects. Similar approaches can be used for other compounds, as an appropriate sunscreen control may not be easily found for all test agents. Both AP-1 and NF-κB play a causal role in tumor promotion and UV-induced inflammation (37) and are major mediators for development of human skin cancer (38, 39). Therefore, the anticancer mechanism of carvedilol may involve inhibition of AP-1 and NF-κB pathways, although the signaling cascade requires further investigation.

Cellular assays offer simple modes for screening compounds, but do not recapitulate carcinogenesis within a heterogeneous tissue like the skin. Thus, to examine carvedilol’s effects on UV-induced DNA damage and skin inflammation, a short protocol similar to what is used in cell culture was applied to SKH-1 mice (Fig. 4). Because the luciferase assay showed that 4-OHC lacked any effect, 4-OHC was not used in the short-term studies. However, two treatment modalities were used: pre- and post-exposure treatments. These two groups mirror a prophylactic treatment, such as the use of sunscreen, and a treatment for a sunburn, respectively. Skin bifold measurements demonstrate that there are rapid changes in skin thickness due to UV exposure in all groups. Carvedilol only statistically reduced skin thickness when treated after UV exposure, and this was detected only after 24 hours. Contrarily, DNA damage, as measured by CPD formation, was statistically reduced within 6 hours by both pre- and posttreatment with carvedilol. Rapid changes in skin thickness are most likely due to the skin hyperplasia and inflammation (40). Posttreatment with carvedilol inhibited UV-induced IL1β and IL6 mRNA production and attenuated COX-2 expression. In each case, pretreatment showed no statistical effect. The difference in pre- and posttreatment at the molecular level is mirrored in the skin thickness assay, suggesting the changes seen at 6 hours contribute to the reduced skin thickness observed at 24 hours. The lack of an effect of pretreatment is not completely understood, but two possibilities underlie the lack of effect at the molecular level. First, the time difference from carvedilol administration to tissue collection between the two modalities is two hours, which is a long time when considering most signaling cascades are measured in minutes. Following UV exposure, the pharmacological actions of carvedilol, such as free radical scavenging, may take place in minutes. Second, UV may enhance the degradation of carvedilol, thus reducing its efficacy. The cellular assays and CPD assay suggest that it can work as a sunscreen, but that may preclude pharmacological actions as the compound could be altered by the UV radiation. Although more studies are necessary to decipher the mechanism of carvedilol in CPD formation, the data clearly indicate that carvedilol is either preventing DNA damage or enhancing repair in vivo. CPD assays were attempted in JB6 P+ cells, but carvedilol failed to prevent CPD formation (data not shown). This suggests that the effect of carvedilol on CPD formation is not a direct effect on the cells, but due to altering the system or microenvironment that indirectly reduces DNA damage or enhances repair. Regardless of the mechanism(s), the short-term experiments indicate that carvedilol would be best used after exposure to UV irradiation—a sunburn treatment.

The short-term UV experiment utilizing 200 mJ/cm² UV irradiation is a high dose and does not represent progression of skin cancer that, in humans, can occur after decades of sub-erythema exposure to UV irradiation. Fortunately, mice can model this progression in months, not decades, with repeated low, sub-erythema, doses of UV irradiation. As predicted by the short-term studies, post-exposure treatment with carvedilol protected against UV induced skin carcinogenesis, and 4-OHC, the sunscreen control, had no effect (Table 1 and Fig. 5). The 25-week exposure precludes investigating molecular mechanisms but provides a clinically relevant model to determine if carvedilol is truly a chemopreventive agent. These data confirm that carvedilol is protective when applied after UV exposure. However, further studies are required to find the optimal dose and identify the window after UV exposure that carvedilol remains an effective chemopreventive agent.
β-Blockers, i.e., β-adrenergic receptor antagonists, are usually prescribed for cardiovascular disorders. Recently the role of β-blockers has been reported in preclinical and clinical oncology studies (for reviews, see refs. 1 and 41). However, few studies examined the role of β-blockers in cancer prevention, and fewer examined skin cancer. Foreshadowing the results presented herein are studies demonstrating that chronic stress increased susceptibility to UV-induced SCC in SKH-1 mice through the modulation of immune system and DNA repair (42, 43). Chronic stress leads to activation of the β-ARs (43); thus, β-blockers should help reduce stress-associated SCC. Therefore, carvedilol may help reduce SCC in vivo by multiple mechanisms, not only through antitumour transformation of the epidermis but also by blocking stress-related activation of β-ARs and immunosuppression.

The effects of carvedilol in some of the experiments on cell culture and in mice were not drastic, although many of these changes are statistically significant. Future studies are necessary to explore possibilities to enhance carvedilol’s chemopreventive effects. One example includes testing more doses (in this study we mainly examined 1, 5, and 10 μmol/L) and it is feasible to increase the doses for topical treatment. Alternative approach is to use carvedilol analogues to determine if a compound can be identified with greater chemopreventive activity. The third method is to use skin targeting drug delivery system that concentrates carvedilol in the skin layers. Taken together, although carvedilol acts as a sunscreen, the collective data demonstrate that carvedilol’s chemopreventive activity is pharmacological and not merely a sunscreen effect. These results are supported by a large population-based cohort study where long-term carvedilol use showed benefits relating to significant reduction of cancer risk across all cancer types (25).

Based on our data and published evidence about the role of β-AR signaling in cancer, carvedilol may be a novel cancer-preventive agent targeting all the three stages of skin carcinogenesis. Topical carvedilol application should be considered as a novel treatment for skin cancer prevention. Also, because carvedilol is an FDA-approved drug for treating chronic cardiovascular diseases with evidence of safety in long-term use, these results may be readily translated into clinical trials as a new approach for skin cancer chemoprevention. One obstacle for the use of carvedilol for preventive purpose is its potential cardiovascular effects. Further studies should determine whether the β-blocking moiety of carvedilol is responsible for the chemopreventive effects and whether β-ARs are the target for such effects. Additionally, delivery systems can be developed to trap carvedilol in the skin localizing the pharmacological action and preventing cardiovascular effects.
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