

1 **The genetics of resistance to lettuce drop (*Sclerotinia* spp.) in lettuce in a**
2 **recombinant inbred line population from Reine des Glaces x Eruption**

3 Bullo Erena Mamo¹, Ryan J. Hayes^{2,5}, Maria José Truco³, Krishna D. Puri¹, Richard W.
4 Michelmore^{3,4}, Krishna V. Subbarao¹, Ivan Simko²

5 ¹Department of Plant Pathology, University of California, Davis, c/o U.S. Agricultural Research
6 Station, 1636 E. Alisal St, Salinas, CA 93905, USA

7 ²United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Crop Improvement and
8 Protection Research Unit, 1636 E. Alisal St, Salinas, CA 93905, USA

9 ³UC Davis Genome Center, Davis, CA 95616, USA

10 ⁴Departments of Plant Sciences, Molecular and Cellular Biology, Medical Microbiology and
11 Immunology, UC Davis, CA 95616, USA

12 ⁵Current address: United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Forage
13 Seed and Cereal Research Unit, 3450 SW Campus Way, Corvallis, OR 97321, USA

14 Corresponding author: Ivan Simko; E-mail address: Ivan.Simko@ars.usda.gov

15

16

17

18

19

20 **Abstract** Lettuce drop, caused by *Sclerotinia minor* and *S. sclerotiorum*, is an economically
21 important disease of lettuce. The association of resistance to lettuce drop with the commercially
22 undesirable trait of fast-bolting has hindered the integration of host resistance in control of this
23 disease. Eruption is a slow-bolting cultivar that exhibits a high level of resistance to lettuce drop.
24 Eruption also is completely resistant to Verticillium wilt caused by race 1 of *Verticillium dahliae*.
25 A recombinant inbred line population from the cross Reine des Glaces × Eruption was genotyped
26 by sequencing and evaluated for lettuce drop and bolting in separate fields infested with either *S.*
27 *minor* or *V. dahliae*. Two quantitative trait loci (QTLs) for lettuce drop resistance were consistently
28 detected in at least two experiments and two other QTLs were identified in another experiment;
29 the alleles for resistance at all four QTLs originated from Eruption. A QTL for lettuce drop
30 resistance on linkage group (LG) 5, *qLDR5.1*, was consistently detected in all experiments and
31 explained 11 to 25% of phenotypic variation. On LG1, *qLDR1.1* was detected in two experiments
32 explaining 9 to 12% of the phenotypic variation. Three out of four resistance QTLs are distinct
33 from QTLs for bolting; *qLDR5.1* is pleiotropic or closely linked with a QTL for early bolting;
34 however, the rate of bolting shows only a small effect on the variance in resistance observed at
35 this locus. The SNP markers linked with these QTLs will be useful in breeding for resistance
36 through marker-assisted selection.

37 **Key words** Lettuce drop, *Sclerotinia*, Genotyping by sequencing, QTL mapping, Breeding for
38 resistance

39 **Key message** Two QTLs for resistance to lettuce drop, *qLDR1.1* and *qLDR5.1*, were identified.
40 Associated SNPs will be useful in breeding for lettuce drop and provide the foundation for future
41 molecular analysis.

42

43 **Author contribution statement** RJH and KVS conceived the lettuce drop study and obtained
44 funding; RJH generated the population; BEM carried out experiments (phenotyping, mapping,
45 data analyses) and drafted the paper; MJT carried out genotyping and marker identification; KDP
46 conducted genotyping of the *Vr1* locus; RWM contributed to data interpretation; RJH, KVS, and
47 IS contributed to phenotyping and data analyses. All authors contributed to writing the paper and
48 approved the final manuscript.

49 **Acknowledgements** We thank Rosa Marchebout, Lorraine Landeros, Jose Orozco, and Rebecca
50 Zhao for assistance in various phases of this research. This research was supported by the U.S.
51 Department of Agriculture's (USDA) Agricultural Marketing Service through grant 15-SCBGP-
52 CA-0046. Funding by the California Leafy Greens Research Board also partly supported this work.
53 Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official
54 views of the USDA. The mentioning of trade names or commercial products in this publication is
55 solely for the purpose of providing specific information and does not imply recommendation or
56 endorsement by the USDA.

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64 **Introduction**

65 Lettuce drop, caused by two species of the fungal pathogen *Sclerotinia* [*S. sclerotiorum* (Lib.)
66 DeBary) and *S. minor* (Jagger)], is one of the most widespread and destructive diseases of lettuce
67 (*Lactuca sativa* L.) in coastal California and other major lettuce producing regions of the world
68 (Purdy 1979; Subbarao 1998). The disease is predominantly caused by *S. sclerotiorum*, except in
69 Canada, New Zealand, and in the Salinas Valley of California and surrounding areas, where *S.*
70 *minor* is the predominant species (Subbarao 1998). Lettuce drop was first reported in the United
71 States in 1890 (Stevens and Hall 1911; Subbarao 1998) and has since established itself wherever
72 there is intensive commercial production of lettuce. *S. sclerotiorum* causes new infections mostly
73 from airborne ascospores but also from myceliogenic germination of sclerotia (Purdy 1979),
74 whereas infections of *S. minor* mainly originate from the latter because it is not known to produce
75 aerial spores. Both *S. sclerotiorum* and *S. minor* are capable of infecting all types and cultivars
76 (cvs.) of lettuce. Successful infection results in complete decay of the crown tissue, wilting of
77 leaves, and ultimately total collapse of the entire plant before harvest (Subbarao 1998; Isnaini and
78 Keane 2007). Large numbers of propagules of both species are formed on infected plants that
79 survive in soil as resting sclerotia, which may remain viable for up to 10 years (Sherf and MacNab
80 1986).

81 The generalist, necrotrophic mode of pathogenesis, dispersal, and survival of *S. sclerotiorum*
82 and *S. minor* have made lettuce drop a difficult disease to control. The pathogen uses oxalic acid
83 as a key pathogenicity factor for which resistance is generally limited in economically important
84 crops (Cessna et al. 2000). Fungicides and cultural methods have traditionally been used (Subbarao
85 1998; Hao et al. 2003; Saharan and Mehta 2008) and the effectiveness of biological control for
86 lettuce drop has also been investigated (Chitrampalam et al. 2008; Chen et al. 2016). However,

87 these methods require continuous monitoring, multiple applications, and do not reduce lettuce drop
88 to desired levels (Matheron 1989; Subbarao 1998; Matheron 2004). Their application incurs extra
89 input costs for growers. In addition to their adverse effects on the environment and human health,
90 repeated applications of fungicides are also associated with the risk of fungicide-resistance
91 development by the pathogen (Zhou et al. 2014; Lehner et al. 2015; Lehner et al. 2017; Fisher et
92 al. 2018). Therefore, the most practical mechanism of lettuce drop control should involve the use
93 of an integrated disease management strategy (Subbarao 1998; Hayes et al. 2010). Host resistance
94 would be the most convenient, sustainable, and environmentally-friendly component to
95 incorporate into an integrated strategy for controlling lettuce drop. Lettuce cultivars resistant to
96 lettuce drop would also be the preferred option for organic farming.

97 The non-specialized nature of the pathogen, the association of resistance with plant
98 development traits (Hayes et al. 2010), the lack of useful protocols for screening large populations
99 for resistance that yield reproducible results (Grube and Ryder 2004), and the influence of the
100 environment on disease development (Subbarao 1998) have made it difficult to identify and breed
101 for resistance to lettuce drop. As in other host species, resistance against *Sclerotinia* spp. in lettuce
102 germplasm is rare and no complete resistance to lettuce drop has been identified. Partial resistance
103 to lettuce drop was observed in some cultivars, primitive forms, and wild relatives with reduced
104 disease incidence at market maturity (Chupp and Sherf 1960; Elia and Piglionica 1964; Newton
105 and Sequeira 1972; Abawi et al. 1980; Madjid et al. 1983; Sherf and MacNab 1986; Subbarao
106 1998; Whipps et al. 2002; Grube and Ryder 2004; Hayes et al. 2010). Resistance in these cases
107 was often associated with traits such as rapid bolting, low leaf area, and upright growth habit
108 (Newton and Sequeira 1972; Grube 2004; Hayes et al. 2010). Resistance in some of these

109 accessions is perhaps due to a mechanism related to plant architecture and growth rather than a
110 physiological mechanism that operates throughout plant development.

111 The goal of multiple programs has been to identify lettuce drop resistance that is independent
112 of plant architecture or development so that it could be used to breed cultivars of multiple market
113 types. This type of resistance is believed to exist in lettuce because variation for disease incidence
114 occurs among cultivars with similar plant architectures and market types, although this variation
115 has not typically included accessions with economically meaningful levels of resistance (Grube
116 and Aburomia 2004; Hayes et al. 2010). A high level of resistance to *S. minor* and *S. sclerotiorum*
117 was identified in the slow-bolting, small-statured, and dark red colored Latin type *L. sativa* cv.
118 Eruption (Hayes et al. 2010, 2011a). Hayes et al. (2010) determined the independence of plant
119 morphology and resistance to *Sclerotinia* spp. in Eruption using residuals from regression analysis
120 of rate of bolting on lettuce drop incidence. The relationship between plant height and *S. minor*
121 resistance was studied further using segregating populations developed after crossing two romaine
122 lettuce cultivars with cv. Eruption. Results of field trials showed no difference in disease incidence
123 between families of different height classes, indicating the feasibility of developing commercial
124 romaine cultivars with resistance to *Sclerotinia* spp. introgressed from Eruption (Hayes et al.
125 2011a; Hayes 2017). It was therefore important to determine the genetic basis of lettuce drop
126 resistance in cv. Eruption and investigate the possible genetic association between resistance and
127 plant development. Understanding the genetic basis of resistance to lettuce drop in cv. Eruption is
128 essential for the successful deployment of this resistance using marker-assisted selection.

129 Eruption also is resistant to Verticillium wilt (Hayes et al. 2007; Sandoya et al. 2017), caused
130 by race 1 of the soil borne fungus *Verticillium dahliae* Kleb., another highly destructive disease of
131 many crop species including lettuce. The disease first appeared on lettuce in California in 1995

132 and has increasingly become an economically important problem. The *Verticillium resistance 1*
133 (*Vr1*) locus first described in cv. La Brillante on linkage group (LG) 9 of lettuce provides complete
134 resistance to race 1 of *V. dahliae* (Hayes et al. 2011b). Allelism tests between cv. La Brillante and
135 several other resistant cultivars, including cv. Eruption, indicated that all tested cultivars carried
136 the same resistance locus (Sandoya et al. 2017).

137 Cultivated lettuce exhibits considerable variation in leaf color and other morphological
138 characteristics. The dark red leaf coloration of cv. Eruption is due to high anthocyanin content
139 (Simko et al. 2016). Anthocyanin is a secondary metabolite important in plant defense against
140 pathogens and abiotic stressors (Winkel-Shirley 2002; Lorenc-Kukuła et al. 2005; Zhang et al.
141 2013). A major locus represented by candidate genes leading to the biosynthesis of anthocyanins
142 was identified in soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merr.) that may also be involved in resistance to *S.*
143 *sclerotiorum* (Zhao et al. 2015). The involvement of anthocyanin in resistance to *Sclerotinia* spp.
144 in lettuce is unknown. However, Newton and Sequeira (1972) reported that red pigmentation
145 appeared to be correlated with lettuce drop resistance. Anthocyanins also have health benefits to
146 consumers (Morais et al. 2016; Qin et al. 2018). The coloration of leaves due to anthocyanins was
147 one of the early Mendelian traits studied in lettuce and other plants. In lettuce, Durst (1915) first
148 reported the inheritance of anthocyanin formation as a single dominant gene. Subsequent studies
149 demonstrated the involvement of additional genes controlling anthocyanin formation (reviewed in
150 Robinson et al. 1983). Leaf color in lettuce is now known to be controlled by several genes
151 encoding biosynthetic enzymes and transcription factors (Zhang et al. 2017; Tao et al. submitted).
152 Identification of genes and molecular markers linked to beneficial horticultural quality traits and
153 disease resistance would enable the development of lettuce cultivars with increased value.

154 The primary objectives of this study were to: (i) determine the genetic basis of resistance to
155 *Sclerotinia* spp. in cv. Eruption, (ii) assess the number and chromosomal locations of quantitative
156 resistance loci (QTLs) and identify linked molecular markers, and (iii) characterize the relationship
157 between lettuce drop resistance with bolting and anthocyanin pigmentation. The paper also
158 describes confirmation of the *Vr1* allele for resistance in cv. Eruption and identification of QTLs
159 for other morphological traits segregating in this population.

160

161 **Materials and methods**

162 **Plant materials**

163 Lettuce is a diploid ($2n = 2x = 18$) autogamous species and cultivars are highly homozygous and
164 phenotypically homogenous. One hundred sixty-two $F_{6:8}$ recombinant inbred lines (RILs) derived
165 from a cross between cvs. Eruption and Reine des Glaces (RG) were used for the study. RG is a
166 French cultivar developed by Vilmorin in 1883 (Wehner 2002). The cultivar is plant introduction
167 (PI) 634668 in the United States Department of Agriculture, National Plant Germplasm System
168 (USDA-NPGS) collection, where it is listed under the name Batavia Reine des Glaces. RG is a
169 slow-bolting *L. sativa* cultivar susceptible to both species of *Sclerotinia* and to race 1 of *V. dahliae*.
170 It is a light green heirloom Batavia type of lettuce with incised and undulated leaf margins; it is
171 black-seeded. Eruption was developed by Enza Zaden (Wehner 2002) and is found in the USDA-
172 NPGS as PI 613577. It is a slow-bolting dark red Latin type cultivar with generally entire and
173 undulating leaf margins; it is white-seeded.

174 **Population development**

175 F₁ seed from RG × Eruption was produced using the method of Ryder and Johnson (1974) and all
176 F₂ and later generations were produced through self-pollination. Seed from each plant was kept
177 separate, unless otherwise noted. A RIL population was developed by inbreeding the population
178 up to the F₆ generation using single seed descent (Fehr 1991). F_{6:8} seed lots of each RIL were
179 produced from pooling seed from approximately 20 field grown F_{6:7} plants. The F_{6:8} seed lots were
180 used in all field experiments.

181 **Phenotyping for resistance to lettuce drop and rate of bolting**

182 The mapping population and parents were evaluated for resistance to lettuce drop and rate of
183 bolting in spring 2016, summer 2016, and spring 2017 (hereafter Spr16, Sum16, and Spr17,
184 respectively). The common commercial cultivars of romaine lettuce (Green Forest, Hearts Delight,
185 and Brave Heart) were included as controls in all three experiments. Experiments were conducted
186 in an infested field dedicated to evaluation of lettuce drop at the USDA-ARS station in Salinas,
187 California. The experiments were arranged in an alpha design with three replications per line. Two
188 seed lines from each RIL and parental line were planted in ~9 m long rows that were 1 m wide.
189 Per plot, 25 to 40 plants were evaluated for lettuce drop. The field was artificially infested with a
190 mixture of sclerotia of four isolates of *S. minor* (BM001, BM004, BM005, and BM010)
191 immediately prior to planting of Spr16 and Spr17 experiments. The sclerotia were produced and
192 used for infesting the field using the method described by Hayes et al. (2010, 2011a). The Sum16
193 experiment relied on the resident sclerotia in the soil following the Spr16 season. Lettuce drop
194 incidence (number of plants diseased out of the total) was evaluated weekly, six times in each
195 experiment, starting from the first appearance of symptomatic plants. The rate of bolting of each
196 RIL was evaluated when the RILs exhibited maximum variation for the trait, which was towards
197 the end of the experiments. The rate of bolting was evaluated on a scale of 1 to 6 (1 = rosette stage,

198 2 = expanded leaves, 3 = a bud beginning to emerge, 4 = a bud and internodes emerged, 5 =
199 multiple extended buds emerged, and 6 = first flower emerged).

200 The following variables derived from the combined weekly mortality data were used for
201 genetic analyses:

- 202 • disease incidence (proportion mortality);
- 203 • disease rating (DR) generated by arcsine transformation of the proportion mortality to
204 achieve normality of data distribution;
- 205 • standardized area under the disease progress stairs (sAUDPS) score calculated from the
206 weekly proportion mortality evaluations (Simko and Piepho 2012); and
- 207 • sAUDPS residual: residual resistance score calculated from the sAUDPS regressed on
208 bolting score (see next section).

209 To reduce repetitive details, only DR and sAUDPS are presented in this paper because the
210 results of these variables were representative of results with the other variables.

211 **Relationship between lettuce drop and rate of bolting**

212 Mapping QTLs for disease resistance and correlated development traits can determine if resistance
213 QTLs are unlinked, closely linked, or possibly have pleiotropic effects on plant architecture or
214 development. The level of resistance not accounted for by a correlated trait can be estimated by
215 regression analysis followed by collection of the residuals. Thus, regression analysis was
216 conducted to determine the relationship between lettuce drop and the rate of bolting using sAUDPS
217 as the dependent variable and bolting score as the explanatory variable using the R software
218 (www.r-project.org/; R Core Team 2017). Residual resistance scores (residual sAUDPS) were
219 calculated as the difference between the actual and the predicted sAUDPS for each RIL. This
220 variable was generated with the assumption that regression of the sAUDPS values on bolting score

221 removes the possible contribution of rapid bolting towards lettuce drop resistance. Resistance
222 QTLs detected using residual sAUDPS in this population would not be because of the lettuce drop
223 resistance enhancing effect of "rapid bolting-associated factors" observed in other lettuce
224 accessions because, in principle, regression would have removed the portion of resistance
225 contributed by rate of bolting. This was a modified approach (Hayes et al. 2010) of the methods
226 described by Visker et al. (2003) and Bradshaw et al. (2004), which analyzed residuals from
227 regression of vine maturity on severity scores of late blight of potato and found that resistance to
228 the disease was not due to the inherent effect of plant maturity. In a similar approach, Wisser et al.
229 (2011) incorporated plant maturity in a multivariate mixed model to characterize the levels of
230 quantitative resistance of maize to three fungal diseases independent of maturity effects and
231 identified pleiotropy. The residual sAUDPS thus can be interpreted as a measurement of true
232 resistance/susceptibility (Bradshaw et al. 2004) that is independent of the rate of bolting.

233 **Evaluation of anthocyanin content**

234 Parents and RILs were evaluated for relative anthocyanin concentration [leaf anthocyanin content
235 index (ACI)] using an Anthocyanin Content Meter (ACM-200plus; ADC BioScientific Ltd.,
236 Hoddesdon, UK) on plants in the Spr17 lettuce drop experiment. The instrument provides an
237 estimate of anthocyanin content that correlates well with chemical testing (for details:
238 <https://www.optisci.com/acm-200.html>). The ACI measurement was conducted on leaves of three
239 different plants in each plot in two replications of the experiment. Leaves towards the middle of
240 the leaf canopy that were neither the youngest nor the most mature on the plant were used for the
241 measurement. The mean of the ACI for each plot was used in further analyses.

242 **Evaluation for reaction to *Verticillium dahliae* race 1**

243 The RILs and parents were evaluated in a field infested with a race 1 isolate (VdLs16) of *V. dahliae*
244 at the USDA-ARS station in Salinas, California. The parental lines and the commercial romaine
245 cultivars used as controls in the lettuce drop experiments also were included in this experiment.
246 The seeds were planted on June 20, 2017 in ~5.5 m long plots with 1 m wide beds with two seed
247 lines. The experiment was arranged in an alpha design with three replications. Disease incidence
248 was assessed on September 12 to 14, 2017 by evaluating ten plants per plot that were past market
249 maturity for vascular discoloration symptoms (of xylem tissue) typical of infection by *V. dahliae*
250 (Inderbitzin and Subbarao 2017). The rate of bolting was also evaluated in this experiment using
251 the scale described above.

252 **Evaluation of other morphological traits**

253 The mapping population was evaluated for the following morphological traits 41 days after
254 planting on a single F_{5:6} plant of each RIL grown in a six-inch pot in the greenhouse in the spring
255 of 2015: anthocyanin (red color), tinged coloration, plant stature (Hayes et al. 2011a), leaf margin
256 undulation (0 = none to 3 = extreme), margin serration (0 = none to 3 = extreme), and glossiness
257 of leaf. Anthocyanin, tinged coloration, short plant stature, and glossiness of leaf were recorded as
258 binary presence (1) or absence (0) traits. Seed weight and seed coat color were recorded after seed
259 harvest. For anthocyanin pigmentation, intensity of red color was coded as no red (0) or red (1).
260 For tinged coloration, genotypes with red pigmentation were coded as red (0) or tinged (1). RILs
261 that lacked red color marks (i.e., dark green, green, and light green) were considered missing data
262 points. For QTL mapping of chlorophyll content, which has a strong relationship with intensity of
263 green color (Simko et al. 2016), the tinged red color was disregarded. Thus, leaf color was coded
264 as light green (0), green (1), or dark green (2). Genotypes with intense red color, for which the
265 intensity of green color could not be determined, were considered missing data points.

266 **Statistical analysis of phenotypic traits**

267 Pearson correlation coefficients among traits were calculated using the R statistical software. For
268 traits with a non-normal data distribution, results of the Pearson's correlation test were confirmed
269 using Spearman's rank-order correlation (r_s). However, because correlations calculated using the
270 two approaches were similar, only results of the Pearson's test are presented. Broad-sense
271 heritability (h^2_B) was used to estimate the genetic reproducibility of the phenotypic traits across
272 environments (seasons) based on RIL means. To estimate h^2_B , variance components of each trait
273 were generated by the "proc varcomp" procedure in SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) using the
274 mixed model: response = general mean + genotype + environment (season) + replication + error.
275 Heritability was calculated using the formula $h^2_B = \sigma_G^2 / (\sigma_G^2 + \sigma_e^2/r)$ for a single environment, or
276 $h^2_B = \sigma_G^2 / [(\sigma_G^2) + (\sigma_E^2/e) + (\sigma_e^2/re)]$ for multiple environments (seasons) combined, where σ_G^2 is
277 genetic variance, σ_E^2 is the variance of the environments (seasons), σ_e^2 is the error variance, r is
278 the number of replications in each environment, and e is the number of experiment environments
279 (seasons). Means of phenotype data calculated from the replicates were used for QTL mapping.

280 **Genotyping by sequencing (GBS), SNP identification, and map construction**

281 DNA was extracted from ~50 F_{5:6} seeds of each RIL and parental line using GenElute Plant
282 Genomic DNA Miniprep kit (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) according to the manufacturer's
283 instructions and digested with *AvaII* to reduce genomic complexity. Unique adaptors were then
284 ligated to each RIL and parental line. Individual libraries were constructed according to protocols
285 for GBS (Elshire et al. 2011); the libraries were then pooled and sequenced using an Illumina
286 HiSeq 4000 platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA). The TASSEL package (Bradbury et al. 2007)
287 was used for read mapping and SNP calling. Custom scripts were applied that assessed multiple
288 contiguous SNPs to obtain single haplotypes per scaffold (Truco et al. in preparation). Scaffold

289 haplotypes were used to construct a genetic map using MSTmap (Wu et al. 2008). Markers were
290 clustered based on the reference map (Truco et al. 2013) and the position (bp) identified for each
291 marker.

292 A framework set of evenly distributed markers was developed for QTL analysis by imputing
293 haplotypes for 1 centiMorgan (cM) windows along each LG and used to construct a second linkage
294 map using MSTmap (Wu et al. 2008). The resultant map was checked for collinearity with the
295 reference map (Truco et al. 2013) and was oriented to be consistent with the latter. The linkage
296 map (in cM) is presented along with the coordinates of the scaffolds (in bp) on the genome
297 assembly (Reyes-Chin-Wo et al. 2017) in Supplemental material 1. MapChart was employed to
298 draw the LGs (Voorrips 2002). A chi-square test was used to determine whether the segregation
299 ratio of each marker fit the expected ratio of 1:1 ($\alpha < 0.05$). Genetic positions on each LG where
300 one or more adjacent markers deviated from a 1:1 ratio were considered regions with segregation
301 distortions (RSDs).

302 **QTL analyses**

303 QTL analyses were conducted using the qtl library of the R/qtl software v. 1.41-6
304 (<http://www.rqtl.org/>; Broman et al. 2003). To calculate the QTL genotype probabilities, analyses
305 were conducted along the LGs at 1 cM intervals assuming a genotyping error rate of $1.0e^{-4}$ and
306 using the Kosambi map function (Kosambi 1944). For QTL detection, composite interval mapping
307 (Zeng 1994) was performed using the Haley-Knott regression (Haley and Knott 1992) selecting
308 three markers as cofactors by forward selection to control genomic background effects. The
309 genome-wide significance thresholds for the logarithm of odds (LOD) scores ($\alpha < 0.05$) were
310 determined for each trait by permutation tests (1,000 times) (Churchill and Doerge 1994). The
311 confidence intervals for each QTL were estimated using the “*lodint*” function that calculates the

312 1.5 LOD support intervals. The percentage of the phenotypic variance explained (PVE) and effects
313 of QTLs in combination, individually, or in interactions were obtained by fitting a mixed linear
314 model using the “*fitqtl*” function.

315 **Effect of bolting QTL alleles on the rate of bolting**

316 The RG parent carries alleles for early bolting on LG1 and LG4, while Eruption has alleles for
317 early bolting on LG5 (shown in results). Therefore, this RIL population exhibited eight bolting
318 QTL genotypes with some genotypes that bolted earlier or later than the parents. The rate of bolting
319 for the eight QTL genotypes were compared to commercial romaine cultivars and the parents (cvs.
320 RG and Eruption). A two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted using the software
321 JMP v. 11.1.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Multiple comparisons were made based on the Tukey-
322 Kramer honest significant difference (HSD) test to determine significant differences.

323 **Genetic analysis of the *Verticillium resistance 1 (Vr1)* locus**

324 A polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based assay was used to determine the genotype at the *Vr1*
325 locus as described in Inderbitzin et al. (2018, submitted). The parents (Eruption and RG), the
326 resistant and susceptible cvs. (La Brillante and Salinas, respectively), and ten each of homozygous
327 resistant and susceptible RILs were screened using the assay based on the amplification of the
328 resistant allele of the candidate *LsVer1* gene.

329 **Data availability**

330 The marker sequences used in this study can be obtained using information in the lettuce genome
331 assembly (Reyes-Chin-Wo et al. 2017). The authors declare that all other data supporting the
332 findings of this study are included in the main manuscript file, Supplemental material, or are
333 available from the corresponding author upon request.

334

335 Results

336 Phenotypic variation for lettuce drop resistance and rate of bolting

337 All lettuce cultivars included as controls reacted as expected to lettuce drop during all three
338 experiments. The two parents exhibited distinctly different levels of lettuce drop incidence (high
339 in RG, low in Eruption), while the RIL population showed continuous phenotypic variation in
340 response to infection by *S. minor* (Fig. 1A and B). The infection level in Spr16 was slightly higher
341 and more variable than in the other two seasons. Eruption and RG had mean DR scores ranging
342 from 0.31 ± 0.04 (Spr17) to 0.69 ± 0.06 (Spr16) and from 1.08 ± 0.16 (Sum16) to 1.14 ± 0.18
343 (Spr16), respectively (Fig. 1A). The mean sAUDPS for Eruption ranged from 0.03 ± 0.01 (Spr17)
344 to 0.19 ± 0.03 (Spr16) and from 0.41 ± 0.12 (Spr17) to 0.55 ± 0.01 (Spr16) for RG. The RIL
345 population had the highest mean and level of variation both for DR scores (1.07 ± 0.17) and
346 sAUDPS (0.35 ± 0.09) in Spr16. The lowest mean DR scores (0.86 ± 0.17) and sAUDPS ($0.28 \pm$
347 0.09) for the RILs were recorded in Sum16. The genetic reproducibility of the lettuce drop
348 incidence and derived parameters was high (h^2_B from 0.66 to 0.82) as estimated by broad-sense
349 heritability (Table 1).

350 In the lettuce drop experiments, the two parents showed similar rates of bolting across the three
351 environments (seasons) (Fig. 1C). In Spr16, the two parents did not exhibit observable differences
352 in bolting at the time of evaluation; also, there was limited variation among the progeny. Eruption
353 had a mean rate of bolting ranging from 1.00 ± 0.00 (Spr16) to 1.50 ± 0.00 (Spr17), while RG had
354 a rating of 1.00 ± 0.00 in all three environments. The RILs showed a low to moderate variation in
355 rate of bolting. Mean rate of bolting of the RILs ranged from 1.26 ± 0.33 in Sum16 to 1.78 ± 0.77
356 in Spr17. There was transgressive segregation among the RILs (Fig. 1C), indicating the
357 complementary action of alleles for early bolting inherited from both parents. This was confirmed

358 by QTL analysis and ANOVA. The heritability estimate for rate of bolting was high ($h^2_B = 0.78$,
359 Table 1). Significant positive correlations were detected within ($r = 0.80$ to 1.00 , $p < 0.001$) and
360 between environments ($r = 0.43$ to 0.72 , $p < 0.001$) for lettuce drop phenotypes (Table 2). A linear
361 relationship was detected between sAUDPS-based disease resistance and rate of bolting in all three
362 experiments (Supplemental material 2). The effect of bolting on resistance was statistically
363 significant ($p < 0.001$) and explained 8.25% (Spr16), 6.39% (Sum16), and 6.04% (Spr17) of the
364 variability in sAUDPS. However, the results of the QTL analysis using sAUDPS residual indicate
365 that the effect of bolting on resistance was minimal.

366 Significant negative correlations were detected between bolting and lettuce drop within ($r = -$
367 0.19 to -0.30 , $p < 0.05$) and between environments ($r = -0.12$ to -0.33 , $p < 0.05$) (Table 2), which
368 is in agreement with previous reports (Hayes et al. 2010). RIL progenies with relatively higher
369 bolting scores had lower disease levels and vice versa. To test whether the high correlation
370 coefficients detected between lettuce drop and bolting are due to a few individuals with outlying
371 rates of bolting, additional statistical analyses were performed using DR and bolting data. The
372 results of Pearson's correlation in all three experiments were confirmed using Spearman's
373 coefficient of rank correlation. When progenies with rates of bolting ≥ 2 (16, 8, and 57 RILs in
374 Spr16, Sum16, and Spr17, respectively) were removed, the Pearson's correlations were reduced
375 from -0.28 to -0.14 ($p = 0.10$; Spr16), from -0.24 to -0.23 ($p = 0.0048$; Sum16), and from -0.28 to
376 -0.17 ($p = 0.076$; Spr17). These results indicate that the observed relationship between the rate of
377 bolting and resistance to lettuce drop is not exclusively caused only by a few early bolting RILs,
378 though they influenced the size of the correlation coefficients. The correlation between bolting
379 measured in the lettuce drop and Verticillium experiments were 0.54 (Spr16), 0.45 (Sum16), and
380 0.64 (Spr17). These correlation coefficients are highly significant at $p < 0.001$, similar to the

381 correlations of bolting between seasons in the lettuce drop experiments. In addition, identical
382 bolting QTLs were detected in both the lettuce drop and Verticillium wilt experiments. Thus, the
383 measurements of bolting in the lettuce drop experiments were not biased due to disease incidence.

384 **Phenotypic variation for anthocyanin content**

385 The parental lines and RILs showed moderate-to-high levels of variation in anthocyanin content
386 (Fig. 1E). Eruption and RG had mean ACI values of 38.65 ± 1.25 and 4.49 ± 0.35 , respectively.
387 The mean ACI value for the RILs was 14.45 ± 15.33 , with h^2_B of 0.93 (Table 1). Moderate to high
388 (negative or positive) correlations were detected between ACI and other traits, except in a few
389 cases (Table 2). ACI had strong negative correlations ($r = -0.26$ to -0.36 , $p < 0.001$) with lettuce
390 drop incidence (and rating) and strong positive correlations ($r = 0.20$ to 0.31 , $p < 0.001-0.010$)
391 with rate of bolting.

392 **Phenotypic variation for resistance to Verticillium wilt and rate of bolting**

393 The parents and the RIL population exhibited extensive genetic variation in reaction to
394 Verticillium wilt. Eruption and RG had low (0.00) and high (0.75 ± 0.05) DR, respectively (Fig.
395 1D). Verticillium wilt incidence had h^2_B of 0.65 (Table 1). In the Verticillium wilt experiment,
396 Eruption and RG had mean bolting scores of 1.83 ± 0.24 and 3.00 ± 0.00 , respectively (Fig. 1E).
397 The RIL population had a mean bolting score of 2.50 ± 1.00 . The relatively greater differences in
398 rate of bolting observed in this experiment were likely because the test materials were more mature
399 at evaluation. In this experiment, bolting heritability was relatively lower than in the lettuce drop
400 experiments (h^2_B of 0.66 vs. 0.78, Table 1). The incidence of Verticillium wilt was not significantly
401 correlated with any of the other traits (Table 2).

402 **Genetic linkage map**

403 After quality control analysis of the GBS data, 840 SNP markers were selected for construction of
404 the genetic map and QTL analysis. All SNPs resulted in reliable genotype data with > 91% call
405 rate for all the RILs and 67.26% (565) of the SNPs had > 95% call frequency. The genetic map for
406 the RIL population covered 1,574.4 cM, which is very close to the 1,579 cM reported for the
407 reference map (Truco et al. 2013). This genetic linkage map provided good coverage of all nine
408 LGs of lettuce for QTL analysis (Table 3; Fig. 2; Supplemental material 1). Six hundred and ten
409 (72.62%) of the SNP markers mapped to unique positions. The distance between unique adjacent
410 markers ranged from 0.32 to 38 cM with a mean of 2.58 ± 3.77 cM and > 86% (529/610) of the
411 SNPs had < 5 cM distance between them. Segregation distortion ($p < 0.05$) was observed in all
412 LGs similar to Truco et al. (2013) involving ~10% (86/840) of SNP markers (Table 3). A total of
413 six regions with segregation distortions (RSDs) longer than 3 cM were detected in the genome,
414 with the highest number of RSDs on LG2 (three RSDs), and others located on LGs 1, 5, and 8.

415 **QTL analysis of lettuce drop resistance traits**

416 Four QTLs associated with lettuce drop resistance traits were detected using 12 variables (Table
417 4; Fig. 3). These QTLs were located on LGs 1, 4, 5, and 7. The QTLs on LGs 1 (42–54 cM) and 5
418 (132–147 cM) were consistently detected using multiple parameters and were repeatedly detected
419 in two or all three field experiments, respectively; these QTLs were named *qLDR1.1* (*first QTL*
420 *for Lettuce Drop Resistance on LG1*) and *qLDR5.1*, respectively. In combination, *qLDR1.1* and
421 *qLDR5.1* had a major effect (QTL effect size classification according to Burke et al. 2002)
422 explaining 30 to 41% of the phenotypic variation in resistance depending on the experiment.
423 Individually, these QTLs were of minor to intermediate effect; *qLDR1.1* and *qLDR5.1* explained
424 9 to 12% and 11 to 25% of the phenotypic variation in resistance, respectively. The QTLs on LGs
425 4 (*qLDR4.1*; 36–44 cM) and 7 (*qLDR7.1*; 65–78 cM) were both detected in association with at

426 least two of the resistance variables in one environment and each explained ~9 and ~11% of the
427 phenotypic variation. Two other putative QTLs, one on LG1 (56–68 cM) and one on LG5
428 (*qLDR5.2*; 89–102 cM) were also detected, with each explaining ~11% of the variation. These
429 hypothetical QTLs were associated with at least one resistance measurement in the Spr17
430 experiment. The putative QTL at the 56–68 cM interval on LG1 was very close to that of *qLDR1.1*.
431 Also, the residual sAUDPS in the Spr17 experiment, when the 56–68 cM interval was detected,
432 was associated with *qLDR1.1*. Thus, this interval is likely a shifted position of the *qLDR1.1*. The
433 *qLDR5.2* interval is 30 cM away from the *qLDR5.1* and is possibly a different QTL that is perhaps
434 environment-dependent. Decreased disease levels were associated with the alleles from Eruption
435 at all the QTLs detected for lettuce drop resistance.

436 **QTL analysis of rate of bolting, anthocyanin content, and resistance to *Verticillium* wilt**

437 Three QTLs were detected in the rate of bolting analysis in the RIL population, one each on LGs
438 1, 4, and 5 (Table 4 and Fig. 3). These QTLs were named *qBLT1.1*, *qBLT4.1*, and *qBLT5.1*,
439 respectively, referring to *first QTL for Bolting on LGs 1, 4, and 5*, respectively. All three QTLs
440 were detected in at least two environments. The QTLs on LGs 1 (*qBLT1.1*; 22–34 cM) and 5
441 (*qBLT5.1*; 137–148 cM) were both detected in three environments and explained 8 to 12% and 8
442 to 14% of the variation in rate of bolting, respectively. The QTL on LG4 (*qBLT4.1*; 193–202 cM)
443 was identified in two environments and explained 11 to 16% of the variation in bolting. At the
444 *qBLT1.1* and *qBLT4.1* loci, the alleles for earlier bolting came from the RG parent. At the *qBLT5.1*
445 locus, the alleles from Eruption had additive effects that increased the rate of bolting. In Spr16, no
446 significant QTLs were detected for bolting because the RILs did not exhibit sufficient variation in
447 rate of bolting at the time of measurement.

448 A single QTL for leaf anthocyanin content measured quantitatively was detected on LG5 in
449 the 56–59 cM interval; this was designated *qACI5.1* and explained 18% of the variation. The red-
450 colored parent, Eruption, contributed the allele for increased ACI values. The interval of *qACI5.1*
451 overlapped with the QTL for the qualitatively assessed tinged red color; therefore, both were
452 considered manifestations of the same trait. For Verticillium wilt resistance, one large effect QTL
453 was identified on LG9 both for disease incidence and DR traits (Table 4 and Fig. 3). This QTL
454 mapped to the 34–44 cM interval of LG9 and explained 47 to 51% of the phenotypic variation.
455 The allele inherited from Eruption was associated with decreased levels of disease. This
456 Verticillium wilt resistance locus coincided with the previously described *Vr1* locus (Hayes et al.
457 2011b). Both the *Vr1* locus and the anthocyanin content QTL were independent of the QTL for
458 resistance to lettuce drop.

459 **QTL analysis of additional morphological traits**

460 A 1:1 segregating ratio was observed for seed coat color ($\chi^2 = 0.73$, $p = 0.39$; Thompson 1943),
461 anthocyanin ($\chi^2 = 0.08$, $p = 0.78$; Durst 1915), leaf tinged coloration ($\chi^2 = 0.03$, $p = 0.87$), and leaf
462 glossiness ($\chi^2 = 1.26$, $p = 0.26$), suggesting that each of these traits segregated as single genes in
463 this population. Twelve QTLs co-locating in eight genomic regions were detected associated with
464 the additional morphological traits (Supplemental materials 3 and 4). Most of the QTLs mapped
465 in genomic regions where QTLs were reported previously (Table 5).

466 **Co-location of QTLs for lettuce drop resistance and bolting**

467 A comparison of the QTL location for lettuce drop resistance and bolting indicated QTLs
468 associated with the two traits at close genomic positions on LGs 1 and 5 (Table 4 and Fig. 3).
469 *qLDR5.1* and the *qBLT5.1* on LG5 were mapped at the genetic intervals from 132–148 cM, flanked
470 by the SNP markers Lsat_1_v5_g_5_1002 and Lsat_1_v5_g_5_892. The overlapping region of

471 the two QTLs spanned 9.3 cM (137.2–146.5 cM), indicating that the same QTL with a pleotropic
472 effect, or two tightly-linked QTLs control(s) these traits. The two QTLs contributed a considerable
473 proportion (ranging from 8 to 25%) of the phenotypic variation for the respective traits. The
474 analysis of the residuals from the regression of sAUDPS on rate of bolting identified significant
475 QTLs at the *qLDR5.1/qBLT5.1* location (Table 4 and Fig. 3) that explained 16 to 22% of the trait
476 variation. These results confirm that QTLs in the *qLDR5.1/qBLT5.1* region affect both the rate of
477 bolting and lettuce drop resistance, but they also indicate that the component of resistance
478 associated with the rate of bolting was small, accounting approximately for only 6–8% of the total
479 variance of sAUDPS.

480 The *qLDR1.1* and the *qBLT1.1* were identified on adjacent regions of LG1 with their peaks
481 ~30 cM apart and their supporting intervals separated by 8 cM, which is a distance large enough
482 to consider them separate loci. The beneficial alleles are in *cis* at the *qLDR1.1* and *qBLT1.1*, as
483 alleles for both low disease levels and slow bolting were inherited from Eruption. QTL alleles for
484 higher resistance and slow rate of bolting are in *trans* at the *qLDR5.1/qBLT5.1* region as the allele
485 from Eruption enhances both the resistance and the rate of bolting. *qLDR4.1* and *qLDR7.1* were
486 mapped in genomic regions of LGs 4 and 7 where no bolting QTL was identified.

487 **Effect of bolting QTL alleles on rate of bolting**

488 The RIL genotype with all three early bolting alleles at the *qBLT1.1*, *qBLT4.1*, and *qBLT5.1* (called
489 ‘BBE’ genotype due to the combination of alleles from RG and Eruption) had a significantly higher
490 rate of bolting than all romaine cultivars and the parents (Table 6). The ‘BBE’ and ‘EEB’
491 genotypes are the only ones exhibiting transgressive segregation, bolting significantly earlier
492 (‘BBE’) or later (‘EEB’) than both parents, thus confirming the results of the QTL analyses. The

493 *qBLT5.1/qLDR5.1* locus significantly enhances the rate of bolting in the RIL population (as
494 compared to commercial cultivars) only in combination with the other two QTLs for bolting
495 located on LG1 and LG4 ('BBE' genotype). The other three genotypes with the Eruption allele at
496 the *qBLT5.1/qLDR5.1* locus ('EEE,' 'EBE,' and 'BEE'), which includes the genotypes expected
497 to have the highest level of resistance, had a similar rate of bolting as the romaine cultivars (Table
498 6). Therefore, seven out of eight bolting QTL genotypes from this population provide bolting
499 phenotypes that are similar to commercial romaine cultivars.

500

501 **Presence of the Verticillium race 1 resistance *Vr1* locus**

502 The locus for resistance to race 1 of *V. dahliae* mapped to the identical location with the *Vr1* locus
503 on LG9 (Hayes et al. 2011b). Using a PCR-based assay for *Vr1*, all ten homozygous resistant RILs
504 showed a PCR product corresponding to the functional allele of the candidate *LsVer1* gene
505 (Inderbitzin et al. 2018, submitted). The same product was detected in Eruption and cv. La
506 Brillante, consistent with the RILs being homozygous for the functional allele of *LsVer1*. No PCR
507 product was detected for the ten susceptible RILs, RG, or cv. Salinas. This co-segregation between
508 resistance to race 1 of *V. dahliae* in Eruption and *Vr1*-mediated Verticillium wilt resistance is
509 consistent with the presence of the same *Vr1* locus in La Brillante being responsible for race 1
510 resistance in Eruption.

511

512 **Discussion**

513 Resistance to lettuce drop has previously been associated with premature bolting, which is an
514 undesirable trait in modern lettuce cultivars. The rarity of useful resistance genes to *Sclerotinia*
515 spp. has been a major constraint to the use of genetic resistance for control of lettuce drop. To our

516 knowledge, this is the first report on the inheritance of lettuce drop resistance in *Lactuca* spp. We
517 detected two consistent QTLs on LGs 1 and 5, *qLDR1.1* and *qLDR5.1*, which together explained
518 up to 41% of phenotypic variation in lettuce drop caused by *S. minor*. Two additional QTLs were
519 detected in a single field experiment. The polygenic inheritance identified in this study is consistent
520 with the genetic basis of resistance to diseases caused by *Sclerotinia* spp. reported in most other
521 host species; for example, resistance to *Sclerotinia* stem rot is polygenic in *Brassica* spp.,
522 sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.), dry beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.), and soybean [*Glycine max*
523 (L.) Merr.] (Fuller et al. 1984; Kim and Diers 2000; Castaño et al. 2001; Li et al. 2015). Dominant
524 monogenic resistance conferred to *S. sclerotiorum* has been reported in only a few species; for
525 instance, in faba beans (*Vicia faba* L.; Lithourgidis et al. 2005) and common bean (*P. vulgaris* L.;
526 Schwartz et al. 2006).

527 The RIL population exhibited a sufficient level of variation in response to *S. minor* infection
528 to map segregating QTLs for resistance. The variability observed in the incidence of lettuce drop
529 between field experiments was expected because of variation in environmental conditions and
530 associated factors that greatly influence the growth of *Sclerotinia* spp. (Imolehin et al. 1980;
531 Subbarao 1998; Hao and Subbarao 2005). Despite this variability, significant correlations were
532 found between experiments, consistent with QTLs for lettuce drop resistance segregating among
533 the RILs. The broad-sense heritability of resistance was 0.66 to 0.82 (Table 1), indicating that a
534 large portion of the observed phenotypic variance is explained by the genetic variance. In other
535 host species, similar levels of heritability to our results were reported; in *B. napus* for instance,
536 broad-sense heritability ranging from 0.57 to 0.84 was observed for *Sclerotinia* stem rot resistance
537 (Wu et al. 2016).

538 Bolting is a developmental trait that affects the reaction of lettuce germplasm to *Sclerotinia*
539 spp. (Grube 2004; Grube and Ryder 2004; Hayes et al. 2010). It may contribute to resistance
540 through lignification of lettuce stems or modification of the microenvironment making it
541 unsuitable for pathogen development or infection initiation (Grube 2004; Grube and Ryder 2004).
542 In our study with parents that did not differ greatly for bolting, analyses of QTL intervals, linkage
543 phase of QTL alleles, and statistical inference using residual resistance indicated that resistance
544 conferred by *qLDR1.1*, *qLDR4.1*, and *qLDR7.1* is not associated with early bolting. At all of these
545 QTLs, the Eruption allele confers higher resistance to lettuce drop. Combining alleles at these loci
546 from Eruption would be beneficial to cultivar development. Beneficial alleles for resistance to *S.*
547 *minor* and bolting are in *trans* at the *qLDR5.1/qBLT5.1* locus. However, the analysis of the
548 residuals from the regression of sAUDPS on the rate of bolting identified a significant QTL at the
549 *qLDR5.1/qBLT5.1* location with a similar PVE effect (16–22%) to that determined using sAUDPS
550 data (11–25%). In addition, analysis of the effect of the *qBLT5.1/qLDR5.1* locus on bolting
551 confirmed that the Eruption allele for bolting at this locus alone does not significantly increase the
552 rate of bolting compared to commercial romaine cultivars in the RG × Eruption genetic
553 background. These results provide evidence that the rate of bolting plays only a minor role in the
554 variance of resistance observed at the *qLDR5.1* locus. Thus, resistance to *Sclerotinia* spp. can be
555 introgressed into commercial cultivars from Eruption without any or with only a minimal effect
556 on earliness of bolting.

557 Some of the QTLs we detected are located in the same chromosomal regions with QTLs
558 reported in other studies. The *qLDR1.1* locus mapped to a region containing the lettuce downy
559 mildew resistance genes *Dm17* and *Dm43* (McHale et al. 2009). This locus also co-locates with
560 the position of a candidate gene, flavonol quercetin-3-malonylglucoside (Q-3MG), underlying

561 total bioflavonoid content on LG1 (Damerum et al. 2015). Flavonoids are one of the hydroxylated
562 polyphenolic compounds important for multifaceted functions in plants and combating
563 environmental stressors, including microbial infection (Kumar and Pandey 2013). Phenolics may
564 play a role in forming a hardened wall that cannot be easily degraded by pathogen enzymes,
565 thereby directly inhibiting their growth (Underwood 2012). The *qLDR1.1* locus also maps in a
566 similar region with previously reported QTLs for chlorophyll *a* and chlorophyll *b* content (Hayashi
567 et al. 2012). However, in our study, a QTL for chlorophyll (light green color; *qLG4.2*) was not
568 linked to *qLDR1.1* as it mapped to a different LG. The QTL for short plant stature (*qs11*)
569 overlapped with *qLDR1.1* in the current study but does not affect lettuce drop resistance
570 introgressed from cv. Eruption (Hayes et al. 2011a). *qLDR4.1* mapped to the same region as the
571 downy mildew RGC4 (McHale et al. 2009; Simko et al. 2015) and the light green color QTL,
572 *qLG4* (Simko et al. 2016). The *qLG4.2* for light green leaves in our study was mapped on the same
573 LG but at a position distant from the *qLG4* locus. The *qBLT1.1* maps in a location where a QTL
574 was identified for luteolin (a flavone) derivative compound luteolin-7-O-glucoside (L-7G), a
575 nutritional trait (Damerum et al. 2015). Flavones are a subclass of flavonoids that are known to be
576 involved in plant physiological and developmental processes, including individual organ and
577 whole-plant development (for review, see Brunetti et al. 2013). *qLDR7.1* is within the large QTL
578 interval for resistance to downy mildew *qDM7.1* (Simko et al. 2015), which also encompasses the
579 QTL for resistance to powdery mildew *pm-7.1* (Simko et al. 2014) . Resistance to downy mildew
580 *RBQ1* (Jeuken and Lindhout 2002; Mchale et al. 2009) also maps to this region but its precise
581 position is unclear because it was mapped with earlier technologies.

582 The genetics of anthocyanin pigmentation has been the subject of multiple classical studies
583 and more recently molecular characterization (reviewed in Robinson et al. 1983; Zhang et al. 2017;

584 Tao et al. submitted). Five genes (*Red Lettuce Leaves 1* to 5; *RLL1* to *RLL5*) contributing to
585 variation in red leaf color in lettuce were characterized recently (Tao et al. submitted). The QTL
586 for anthocyanin content identified in our study mapped to the same region of LG5 as the *RLL2*
587 gene and was designated *qACI5.1* but should be renamed as *RLL2* if future studies show the two
588 loci to be allelic. The candidate *RLL2* gene was identified as encoding a R2R3-MYB transcription
589 factor (MYB113, LG5_426271) (Zhang et al. 2017; Tao et al. submitted). Current data do not
590 allow *RLL2/qACI5.1* to be designated as any of the genes previously described in classical genetic
591 studies (Robinson et al. 1983). In the present study, anthocyanin content index was positively
592 correlated with lettuce drop resistance. However, *qACI5.1* did not co-locate with the resistance
593 QTL (*qLDR5.1*), indicating that genes controlling these two traits are not the same in Eruption.
594 Thus, it should be possible to develop both red and green leaf lettuce cultivars with improved
595 resistance to lettuce drop from Eruption.

596 In summary, lettuce cv. Eruption is resistant to the soil borne diseases lettuce drop and
597 Verticillium wilt. Partial resistance of Eruption to lettuce drop is controlled by at least two genes
598 of small to medium effect located on LGs 1 and 5 that together explain up to 41% of the trait
599 variation. The resistance allele at *qLDR1.1* is not associated with early bolting (an undesirable
600 trait), while the resistance allele at *qLDR5.1* appears to be pleiotropic, but only minimally affecting
601 the rate of bolting. We cannot, however, exclude the possibility of two closely linked genes, one
602 for resistance and the other for rate of bolting. Both of the resistance QTLs, potentially together
603 with *qLDR4.1* and *qLDR7.1*, are vital in lettuce breeding programs. The SNP markers closely
604 linked to the QTLs could facilitate genotyping assays to assist in transferring lettuce drop
605 resistance alleles from Eruption to modern genotypes of lettuce. The Verticillium wilt resistance
606 in Eruption was confirmed as being determined by the single dominant *Vr1* gene previously

607 described in cv. La Brillante (Hayes et al. 2011b; Sandoya et al. 2017). Thus, Eruption could serve
608 as a source of resistance against both *Sclerotinia* spp. and *V. dahliae*.

609
610 **Conflict of interest** The authors state that there is no conflict of interest.

611
612 **Ethical standards** The experiments comply with the laws of the USA, the country in which the
613 study was performed, and the ethical standards of the respective university and employers of the
614 authors.

615

616 **References**

- 617 Abawi GS, Robinson RW, Cobb AC, Shail JW (1980) Reaction of lettuce germplasm to artificial
618 inoculation with *Sclerotinia minor* under greenhouse conditions. *Plant Dis* 64:668–671
- 619 Bradbury PJ, Zhang Z, Kroon DE, Casstevens TM, Ramdoss Y, Buckler ES (2007) TASSEL:
620 Software for association mapping of complex traits in diverse samples. *Bioinformatics*
621 23:2633–2635. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btm308>
- 622 Bradshaw JE, Pande B, Bryan GJ, Hackett CA, McLean K, Stewart HE, Waugh R (2004) Interval
623 mapping of quantitative trait loci for resistance to late blight [*Phytophthora infestans* (Mont.)
624 de Bary], height and maturity in a tetraploid population of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* subsp.
625 *tuberosum*). *Genetics* 168(2):983–995. <https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.104.030056>
- 626 Broman KW, Wu H, Sen S, Churchill GA (2003) R/qtl: QTL mapping in experimental crosses.
627 *Bioinformatics* 19(7):889–890. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg112>
- 628 Brunetti C, Ferdinando MD, Fini A, Pollastri S, Tattini M (2013) Flavonoids as antioxidants and
629 developmental regulators: relative significance in plants and humans. *Int J Mol Sci* 14(2):
630 3540–3555. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms14023540>
- 631 Burke JM, Tang S, Knapp SJ, Rieseberg LH (2002) Genetic analysis of sunflower domestication.
632 *Genetics* 161(3):1257–1267
- 633 Castaño F, Vear F, Tourvieille D (2001) The genetics of resistance in sunflower capitula to
634 *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* measured by mycelium infections combined with ascospores tests.
635 *Euphytica* 122:373–380
- 636 Cessna SG, Sears VE, Dickman MB, Low PS (2000) Oxalic acid, a pathogenicity factor for
637 *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*, suppresses the oxidative burst of the host plant. *Plant Cell* 12: 2191–
638 2199. <https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.12.11.2191>
- 639 Chen X, Pizzatti C, Bonaldi M, Saracchi M, Erlacher A, Kunova A, Berg G, Cortesi P (2016)
640 Biological control of lettuce drop and host plant colonization by rhizospheric and endophytic
641 streptomycetes. *Front Microbiol* 7:714. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00714>
- 642 Chitrampalam P, Figuli PJ, Matheron ME, Subbarao KV, Pryor BM (2008) Biocontrol of lettuce
643 drop caused by *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* and *S. minor* in desert agroecosystems. *Plant Dis*
644 92(12):1625–1634. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-92-12-1625>

645 Chupp C, Sherf AF (1960) Vegetable diseases and their control. p742. Ronald Press, New York,
646 NY

647 Churchill GA, Doerge RW (1994). Empirical threshold values for quantitative trait mapping.
648 Genetics 138(3):963–971

649 Damerum A, Selmes SL, Biggi GF, Clarkson GJ, Rothwell SD, Truco MJ, Michelmore RW,
650 Hancock RD, Shellcock C, Chapman MA, Taylor G (2015) Elucidating the genetic basis of
651 antioxidant status in lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*). Hort Res 2:15055.
652 <https://doi.org/10.1038/hortres.2015.55>

653 Durst CE (1915) Studies in lettuce breeding. Proc Amer Soc Hort Sci 12:96–98

654 Edward EJ (1992) Lettuce genetics: inheritance, linkage, and epistasis. J Am Soc Hortic Sci
655 117(3):504–507. <https://doi.org/10.21273/JASHS.117.3.504>

656 Elia M, Pigionica V (1964) Preliminary observations on the resistance of some lettuce cultivars
657 to “collar rot” caused by *Sclerotinia* spp. Phytopathol Medit 3:37-39

658 Elshire RJ, Glaubitz JC, Sun Q, Poland JA, Kawamoto K, Buckler ES, Mitchell S E (2011) A
659 robust, simple genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) approach for high diversity species. PLoS
660 ONE 6(5):e19379. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0019379>

661 Fehr W (1991) Principles of cultivar development, v. 1. Theory and technique. Walter R Fehr,
662 USA

663 Fisher MC, Hawkins NJ, Sanglard D, Gurr SJ (2018) Worldwide emergence of resistance to
664 antifungal drugs challenges human health and food security. Science 360(6390):739-742.
665 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aap7999>

666 Fuller PA, Coyne DP, Steadman JR (1984) Inheritance of resistance to white mold disease in a
667 diallel cross of dry beans. Crop Sci. 24: 929-933

668 Grube RC (2004) Genetic analysis of resistance to lettuce drop caused by *Sclerotinia minor*. Acta
669 Horticulturae (637):49–55. <https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2004.637.4>

670 Grube R, Aburomia A (2004) Relationship between plant morphological traits and resistance to
671 *Sclerotinia minor* in lettuce. (Abstr.) HortScience 39(4):881

672 Grube R, Ryder E (2004) Identification of lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) germplasm with genetic
673 resistance to drop caused by *Sclerotinia minor*. J Am Soc Hortic Sci 129(1):70–76.
674 <https://doi.org/10.21273/JASHS.129.1.0070>

675 Haley CS, Knott SA (1992) A simple regression method for mapping quantitative trait loci in line
676 crosses using flanking markers. Heredity 69(4):315–324.
677 <https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.1992.131>

678 Hao JJ, Subbarao KV (2005) Comparative analyses of lettuce drop epidemics caused by
679 *Sclerotinia minor* and *S. sclerotiorum*. Plant Dis 89(7):717–725. [https://doi.org/10.1094/PD-](https://doi.org/10.1094/PD-89-0717)
680 [89-0717](https://doi.org/10.1094/PD-89-0717)

681 Hao J, Subbarao KV, Koike ST (2003) Effects of broccoli rotation on lettuce drop caused by
682 *Sclerotinia minor* and on the population density of sclerotia in soil. Plant Dis 87(2):159–166.
683 <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS.2003.87.2.159>

684 Hayashi E, You Y, Lewis R, Calderon MC, Wan G, Still DW (2012) Mapping QTL, epistasis and
685 genotype × environment interaction of antioxidant activity, chlorophyll content and head
686 formation in domesticated lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*). Theor Appl Genet 124(8):1487–1502.
687 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-012-1803-0>

688 Hayes RJ (2017) Introduction. Pages 1-9. In: Subbarao KV, Davis RM, Gilbertson RL, Raid RN
689 (eds) Compendium of lettuce diseases. The disease compendium series, second edition, p658.
690 The American Phytopathological, Society, St. Paul, MN

691 Hayes RJ, McHale LK, Vallad GE, Truco MJ, Michelmore RW, Klosterman SJ, Maruthachalam
692 K, Subbarao KV (2011b) The inheritance of resistance to *Verticillium* wilt caused by race 1
693 isolates of *Verticillium dahliae* in the lettuce cultivar La Brillante. *Theor Appl Genet*
694 123(4):509–517. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-011-1603-y>

695 Hayes RJ, Trent MA, Truco MJ, Antonise R, Michelmore RW, and Bull CT (2014) The inheritance
696 of resistance to bacterial leaf spot of lettuce caused by *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *vitians*
697 in three lettuce cultivars. *Hortic Res* 1:14066. <https://doi.org/10.1038/hortres.2014.66>

698 Hayes RJ, Vallad GE, Qin Q-M, Grube RC, Subbarao KV (2007) Variation for resistance to
699 *Verticillium* wilt in lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.). *Plant Dis* 91(4):439–445.
700 <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-91-4-0439>

701 Hayes RJ, Wu BM, Pryor BM, Chitrampalam P, Subbarao KV (2010) Assessment of resistance in
702 lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) to mycelial and ascospore infection by *Sclerotinia minor* Jagger
703 and *S. sclerotiorum* (Lib.) de Bary. *HortScience* 45(3):333–341

704 Hayes RJ, Wu B, Subbarao KV (2011a) A single recessive gene conferring short leaves in romaine
705 × latin type lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) crosses, and its effect on plant morphology and
706 resistance to lettuce drop caused by *Sclerotinia minor* Jagger. *Plant Breeding* 130:388–393.
707 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0523.2010.01822.x>

708 Imolehin ED, Grogan RG, Duniway J M (1980) Effect of temperature and moisture tension on
709 growth, sclerotial production, germination, and infection by *Sclerotinia minor*.
710 *Phytopathology* 70(12):1153–1157. <https://doi.org/10.1094/Phyto-70-1153>

711 Inderbitzin P, Christopoulou M, Lavelle D, Wo SR-C, Michelmore RW, Subbarao KV, Simko I.
712 The *LsVeIL* allele provides a molecular marker for resistance to *Verticillium dahliae* race 1
713 in lettuce. Submitted

714 Inderbitzin P, Reyes-Chin-Wo S, Michelmore RW, Subbarao KV, Simko I (2018) A lettuce
715 *Verticillium dahliae* race 1 resistance PCR assay based on genome sequencing of 60 resistant
716 or susceptible cultivars. (Abstr.) *Phytopathology* 108

717 Inderbitzin P, Subbarao K (2017) *Verticillium* wilt. Pages 50-53. In: Subbarao KV, Davis RM,
718 Gilbertson RL, Raid RN (eds) *Compendium of lettuce diseases*. The disease compendium
719 series, second edition, p658. The American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul, MN

720 Isnaini M, Keane PJ (2007) Biocontrol and epidemiology of lettuce drop caused by *Sclerotinia*
721 *minor* at Bacchus Marsh, Victoria. *Australas Plant Path* 36(3):295.
722 <https://doi.org/10.1071/AP07024>

723 Kim HS, Diers BW (2000) Inheritance of partial resistance to sclerotinia stem rot in soybean. *Crop*
724 *Sci.* 40:55-61

725 Kosambi DD (1944) The estimation of map distances from recombination values. *Ann Eugen*
726 12(1):172–175. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-1809.1943.tb02321.x>

727 Kumar S, Pandey AK (2013) Chemistry and biological activities of flavonoids: an overview. *Sci*
728 *World J* 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/162750>

729 Lehner MS, Del Ponte EM, Gugino BK, Kikkert JR, Pethybridge SJ (2017) Sensitivity and
730 efficacy of boscalid, fluazinam, and thiophanate-methyl for white mold control in snap bean
731 in New York. *Plant Dis* 101(7):1253–1258. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-12-16-1731-RE>

732 Lehner MS, Júnior TJP, Silva RA, Vieira RF, Carneiro JES, Schnabel G, Mizubuti ESG (2015)
733 Fungicide sensitivity of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*: a thorough assessment using
734 discriminatory dose, EC₅₀, high-resolution melting analysis, and description of new point
735 mutation associated with thiophanate-methyl resistance. *Plant Dis* 99(11):1537–1543.
736 <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-11-14-1231-RE>

737 Li J, Zhao Z, Hayward A, Cheng H, Fu D (2015) Integration analysis of quantitative trait loci for
738 resistance to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* in *Brassica napus*. *Euphytica* 205(2):483–489.
739 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-015-1417-0>

740 Jeuken M, Lindhout P (2002) *Lactuca saligna*, a non-host for lettuce downy mildew (*Bremia*
741 *lactucae*), harbors a new race-specific Dm gene and three QTLs for resistance. *Theor Appl*
742 *Genet* 105:384–391. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-002-0943-z>

743 Lithourgidis AS, Roupakias DG, Damalas CA (2005) Inheritance of resistance to sclerotinia stem
744 rot (*Sclerotinia trifoliorum*) in faba beans (*Vicia faba* L.). *Field Crops Res* 91:125–130

745 Lorenc-Kukuła K, Jafra S, Oszmiański J, Szopa J (2005) Ectopic expression of anthocyanin 5-O-
746 glucosyltransferase in potato tuber causes increased resistance to bacteria. *J Agric Food Chem*
747 53(2):272–281. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf048449p>

748 Madjid A, Honm S, Lacy ML (1983) A greenhouse method for screening lettuce for resistance to
749 *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Scientia Hort* 18:201-206

750 Matheron ME, Matejka CJ (1989) In Vitro and field comparison of six new fungicides with
751 iprodione and vinclozolin for control of leaf drop of lettuce caused by *Sclerotinia*
752 *sclerotiorum*. *Plant Dis* 73(9):727. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PD-73-0727>

753 Matheron ME, Porchas M (2004) Activity of boscalid, fenhexamid, fluazinam, fludioxonil, and
754 vinclozolin on growth of *Sclerotinia minor* and *S. sclerotiorum* and development of lettuce
755 drop. *Plant Dis* 88(6):665–668. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS.2004.88.6.665>

756 McHale LK, Truco MJ, Kozik A, Wroblewski T, Ochoa OE, Lahre KA, Knapp SJ, Micheltmore
757 RW (2009) The genomic architecture of disease resistance in lettuce. *Theor Appl Genet*
758 118:565-580. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-008-0921-1>

759 Morais CA, de Rosso VV, Estadella D, Pisani LP (2016) Anthocyanins as inflammatory
760 modulators and the role of the gut microbiota. *J Nutr Biochem* 33:1-7.
761 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnutbio.2015.11.008>

762 Newton HC, Sequeira L (1972) Possible sources of resistance in lettuce to *Sclerotinia*
763 *sclerotiorum*. *Plant Dis Rep* 56:875–878

764 Purdy LH (1979) *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* : History, diseases and symptomatology, host range,
765 geographic distribution, and impact. *Phytopathology* 69(8):875.
766 <https://doi.org/10.1094/Phyto-69-875>

767 Qin XX, Zhang MY, Han YY, Hao JH, Liu CJ, Fan SX (2018) Beneficial phytochemicals with
768 anti-tumor potential revealed through metabolic profiling of new red pigmented lettuces
769 (*Lactuca sativa* L.). *Int J Mol Sci* 19(4): 1165. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19041165>

770 R Core Team (2017) R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
771 <https://www.R-project.org/>

772 Reyes-Chin-Wo S, Wang Z, Yang X, Kozik A, Arikait S, Song C, Xia L, Froenicke L, Lavelle DO,
773 Truco MJ, Xia R, Zhu S, Xu C, Xu H, Xu X, Cox K, Korf I, Meyers BC, Micheltmore RW
774 (2017) Genome assembly with in vitro proximity ligation data and whole-genome triplication
775 in lettuce. *Nat Commun* 8:14953. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14953>

776 Robinson RW, McCreight JD, Ryder EJ (1983) The genes of lettuce and closely related species.
777 *Plant Breed Rev* 1:267–293. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4684-8896-8_9

778 Ryder EJ, Johnson AS (1974) Mist depollination of lettuce flowers. *HortScience* 9:584

779 Saharan GS, Mehta N (2008) *Sclerotinia* diseases of crop plants: biology, ecology and disease
780 management, p486. Springer Netherlands. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-8408-9>

781 Sandoya GV, Gurung S, Short DP, Subbarao KV, Micheltmore RW, Hayes RJ (2017) Genetics of
782 resistance in lettuce to races 1 and 2 of *Verticillium dahliae* from different host species.

783 Euphytica 213(1):20. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-016-1813-0>

784 Schwartz HF, Otto K, Terán H, Lema M, Singh SP (2006) Inheritance of white mold resistance in
785 *Phaseolus vulgaris* × *P. coccineus* crosses. Plant Dis 90(9):1167–1170.
786 <https://doi.org/10.1094/PD-90-1167>

787 Sherf AF, MacNab AA (1986) Vegetable diseases and their control. Second edition, p736. John
788 Wiley & Sons, Inc, Hoboken, NJ. ISBN: 978-0-471-05860-1

789 Simko I, Atallah AJ, Ochoa OE, Antonise R, Galeano CH, Truco MJ, Michelmore RW (2013)
790 Identification of QTLs conferring resistance to downy mildew in legacy cultivars of lettuce.
791 Sci Rep 3:2875. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep02875>

792 Simko I, Hayes RJ, Furbank RT (2016) Non-destructive phenotyping of lettuce plants in early
793 stages of development with optical sensors. Front Plant Sci 7:1985.
794 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01985>

795 Simko I, Ochoa OE, Pel MA, Tsuchida C, Font I Forcada C, Hayes RJ, Truco MJ, Antonise R,
796 Galeano CH, Michelmore RW (2015) Resistance to downy mildew in lettuce cv. La Brillante
797 is conferred by *Dm50* gene and multiple QTLs. Phytopathology 105:1220–1228.
798 <https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-02-15-0057-R>

799 Simko I, Piepho H-P (2012) The area under the disease progress stairs: calculation, advantage, and
800 application. Phytopathology 102(4):381–389. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-07-11-0216>

801 Simko I, Rauscher G, Sideman RG, McCreight JD, Hayes RJ (2014) Evaluation and QTL mapping
802 of resistance to powdery mildew in lettuce. Plant Pathology 63: 344–353.
803 <https://doi.org/10.1111/ppa.12087>

804 Stevens, FL, Hall JG (1911) A serious lettuce disease (sclerotiniose) and a method of control. NC
805 Agric Exp Stn Tech Bull 8:85-145

806 Subbarao KV (1998) Progress toward integrated management of lettuce drop. Plant Dis
807 82(10):1068–1078. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS.1998.82.10.1068>

808 Tao R, Su W, Liu W, Yu C, Yue Z, He S, Lavelle D, Zhang W, Zhang L, An G, Zhang Y, Hu Q,
809 Larkin RM, Michelmore RW, Chen J, Kuang H. Characterization of five polymorphic genes
810 controlling red leaf color in lettuce provides evidence for disruptive selection since
811 domestication. Submitted

812 Thompson RC (1943) Inheritance of seed color in *Lactuca sativa*. J Agric Res 6(12):441-446, Key
813 No. G-1284, Washington, D. C.

814 Truco MJ, Ashrafi H, Kozik A, van Leeuwen H, Bowers J, Wo SR, Stoffel K, Xu H, Hill T, Van
815 Deynze A, Michelmore RW (2013) An ultra-high-density, transcript-based, genetic map of
816 lettuce. G3 (Bethesda, MD) 3(4):617–631. <https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.112.004929>

817 Underwood W (2012) The plant cell wall: A dynamic barrier against pathogen invasion. Front
818 Plant Sci 3:85. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2012.00085>

819 Visker MH, Keizer LC, Van Eck HJ, Jacobsen E, Colon LT, Struik PC (2003) Can the QTL for
820 late blight resistance on potato chromosome 5 be attributed to foliage maturity type? Theor
821 Appl Gen 106: 317–325. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-002-1021-2>

822 Voorrips RE (2002) MapChart: Software for the graphical presentation of linkage maps and QTLs.
823 J Hered 93(1):77–78. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/93.1.77>

824 Wehner TC (2002) Vegetable cultivar descriptions for North America, list 26. HortScience
825 37(1):15-78

826 Whipps JM, Budge SP, McClement S, Pink DAC (2002) A glasshouse cropping method for
827 screening lettuce lines for resistance to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. Eur J Plant Pathol 108:373-
828 378

829 Winkel-Shirley B (2002) Biosynthesis of flavonoids and effects of stress. *Curr Opin Plant Biol*
830 5(3):218-223. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1369-5266\(02\)00256-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1369-5266(02)00256-X)
831 Wisser RJ, Kolkman JM, Patzoldt ME, Holland JB, Yu J, Krakowsky M, Nelsonb RJ, Balint-Kurti
832 PJ (2011) Multivariate analysis of maize disease resistances suggests a pleiotropic genetic
833 basis and implicates a GST gene. *PNAS USA* 108:7339-7344.
834 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1011739108>
835 Wu J, Zhao Q, Liu S, Shahid M, Lan L, Cai G, Zhang C, Fan C, Wang Y, Zhou Y (2016) Genome-
836 wide association study identifies new loci for resistance to sclerotinia stem rot in *Brassica*
837 *napus*. *Front Plant Sci* 7:1418. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01418>
838 Wu Y, Bhat PR, Close TJ, Lonardi S (2008) Efficient and accurate construction of genetic linkage
839 maps from the minimum spanning tree of a graph. *PLoS Genet* 4(10):e1000212.
840 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1000212>
841 Zeng ZB (1994) Precision mapping of quantitative trait loci. *Genetics* 136(4):1457–1468
842 Zhang Y, Butelli E, De Stefano R, Schoonbeek HJ, Magusin A, Pagliarani C, Wellner N, Hill L,
843 Orzaez D, Granell A, Jones JD, Martin C (2013) Anthocyanins double the shelf life of
844 tomatoes by delaying overripening and reducing susceptibility to gray mold. *Curr Biol*
845 23(12):1094–1100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2013.04.072>
846 Zhang L, Su W, Tao R, Zhang W, Chen J, Wu P, Yan C, Jia Y, Larkin RM, Lavelle D, Truco M-
847 J, Chin-Wo SR, Michelmore RW, Kuang H (2017) RNA sequencing provides insights into
848 the evolution of lettuce and the regulation of flavonoid biosynthesis. *Nat Commun* 8:2264.
849 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02445-9>
850 Zhao X, Han Y, Li Y, Liu D, Sun M, Zhao Y, Lv C, Li D, Yang Z, Huang L, Teng W, Qiu L,
851 Zheng H, Li W (2015) Loci and candidate gene identification for resistance to *Sclerotinia*
852 *sclerotiorum* in soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merr.) via association and linkage maps. *Plant J*
853 82(2):245–255. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.12810>
854 Zhou F, Zhang X-L, Li J-L, Zhu F-X (2014) Dimethachlon resistance in *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*
855 in China. *Plant Dis* 98(9):1221–1226. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-10-13-1072-RE>

856

857 **Figure legends**

858 **Fig. 1** Boxplots of lettuce drop disease rating, standardized area under the disease progress stairs
859 (sAUDPS), rate of bolting, Verticillium wilt disease rating and anthocyanin content index in the
860 Reine des Glaces × Eruption recombinant inbred lines (RILs) and parents evaluated in Salinas
861 Valley, California, in 2016 and 2017. Cultivars Reine des Glaces (RG) and Eruption are the
862 susceptible and resistant parents, respectively. **a** lettuce drop disease rating data generated by
863 arcsine transformation of the proportion mortality of RILs and parents in spring 2016 (Spr16),
864 summer 2016 (Sum16), and spring 2017 (Spr17); **b** sAUDPS data of RILs and parents in Spr16,
865 Sum16, and Spr17; **c** rate of bolting data on scale of 1 (rosette stage) to 6 (emergence of first
866 flower) of RIL and parents in Spr16, Sum16, and Spr17; **d** Verticillium wilt disease rating data
867 generated by arcsine transformation of the proportion mortality of RILs and parents in 2017; **e** rate
868 of bolting data of RIL population and parents in Verticillium wilt trial in 2017; and **f** anthocyanin
869 content index (ACI) of RIL population and parents in Spr17 lettuce drop trial. Five statistics (bars)
870 are represented in each boxplot from bottom to top: the smallest observation, lower quartile,

871 median, upper quartile, and largest observation. Data points positioned outside this range and
872 depicted as circles are outliers.

873
874 **Fig. 2** Linkage groups (LGs) and map locations for 840 SNP makers in the recombinant inbred
875 line population of the Reine des Glaces × Eruption. The numbers on the left side of each LG are
876 genetic distances in centiMorgans (cM).

877
878 **Fig. 3** Distribution of putative QTLs for lettuce drop resistance, rate of bolting, Verticillium wilt,
879 and anthocyanin content index identified in the Reine des Glaces × Eruption recombinant inbred
880 line population.

881

882 **Table legends**

883

884 **Table 1** Components of variance and broad-sense heritability of lettuce drop disease incidence,
885 disease rating, standardized area under the disease progress stairs (sAUDPS), sAUDPS residual,
886 Verticillium wilt (disease incidence and rating), anthocyanin content index, and rate of bolting in
887 the Reine des Glaces × Eruption recombinant inbred line population.

888

889 **Table 2** Pearson correlation coefficients for lettuce drop disease incidence (LDDI), disease rating
890 (LDDR), standardized area under the disease progress stairs (sAUDPS), sAUDPS residual, rate of
891 bolting in lettuce drop trials (RBLD), Verticillium wilt (disease incidence and rating; VWDI and
892 VWDR), rate of bolting in a Verticillium wilt trial (RBVW), and anthocyanin content index (ACI)
893 in the Reine des Glaces × Eruption recombinant inbred line population evaluated in Salinas Valley,
894 California, in 2016 and 2017.

895

896 **Table 3** Description of molecular linkage groups calculated from the Reine des Glaces × Eruption
897 recombinant inbred line population.

898

899 **Table 4** Summary of QTLs for lettuce drop resistance, rate of bolting, Verticillium wilt, and
900 anthocyanin content index (ACI) identified in the Reine des Glaces × Eruption recombinant inbred
901 line population.

902 **Table 5** Overview of QTLs for morphological traits identified in the Reine des Glaces × Eruption
903 recombinant inbred line population.

904 **Table 6** Mean values of bolting rates of commercial lettuce cultivars, parental lines Reine des
905 Glaces (RG) and Eruption, and progenies of the Reine des Glaces × Eruption recombinant inbred
906 lines (RILs) with alleles of cultivars Eruption or Reine des Glaces (RG) at the three bolting QTLs
907 in field experiments in Salinas Valley, California, in 2016 and 2017.

908

909 **Supplemental materials**

910

911 **Supplemental material 1** Genetic linkage map of the Reine des Glaces × Eruption recombinant
912 inbred line population with scaffold coordinates on lettuce genome assembly (Reyes-Chin-Wo et
913 al. 2017). Strand column indicates if the sequence of the scaffold corresponds to the reference (+)
914 or to the reversed transcribed strand (-).

915 **Supplemental material 2** Linear regression of standardized area under the disease progress stairs
916 (sAUDPS) score calculated from weekly evaluations of mortality due to lettuce drop in *Sclerotinia*
917 *minor*-infested field experiments on rate of bolting of the Reine des Glaces × Eruption recombinant
918 inbred line population evaluated in Salinas Valley, California. **a** spring 2016; **b** summer 2016; and
919 **c** spring 2017 experiments. Shown on figures are linear regression equations and correlation
920 coefficients (r). The sAUDPS score was calculated from the weekly mortality data (Simko and
921 Piepho 2012). Rate of bolting was scored towards the end of each experiment on a scale of 1
922 (rosette stage) to 6 (first flower emerged).

923 **Supplemental material 3** Summary of QTLs/genes for morphological traits [seed weight, seed
924 coat color, anthocyanin (red color), chlorophyll (green color), tinged coloration, short plant from
925 *sl*, margin undulation, margin serration, and glossiness of leaf] identified in the Reine des Glaces
926 × Eruption recombinant inbred line population.

927 **Supplemental material 4** Distribution of putative QTLs on linkage groups 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, and 9 for
928 seed weight, seed coat color, and other morphological traits identified in the Reine des Glaces ×
929 Eruption recombinant inbred line population. The blue horizontal dashed line corresponds to the
930 LOD score thresholds ($\alpha = 0.05$).