1	
2	
3	
4	
5	Foundations of The Crazy Bastard Hypothesis
6	Nonviolent Physical Risk-Taking Enhances Conceptualized Formidability
7	
8	Daniel M.T. Fessler, ^{a,1} Leonid B. Tiokhin, ^a Colin Holbrook, ^a Matthew M. Gervais, ^a and
9	Jeffrey K. Snyder ^a
10	
11	^a Department of Anthropology and Center for Behavior, Evolution, & Culture
12	University of California, Los Angeles
13	Los Angeles, CA 90095-1553 USA
14 15 16	
17	¹ To whom correspondence should be addressed:
18 19	341 Haines Hall
20	University of California, Los Angeles
21	Los Angeles, CA 90095-1553 USA
22	Tel.: 310 /94-9252 Fax: 310 206 7833
23 24	E-mail: dfessler@anthro.ucla.edu
25	
26	
27	Citation: Fessler, D.M.T., Tiokhin, L.B., Holbrook, C., Gervais, M.M., and Snyder, J.K. (2014) Foundations of the Crazy Bastard Hypothesis: Nonviolent physical risk taking
28 29	enhances conceptualized formidability. Evolution & Human Behavior 35(1):26-33.

30

Abstract

31

32 Wilson and Daly's Young Male Syndrome thesis seeks to explain why young men are 33 disproportionally involved in both violence and non-violent activities entailing a risk of injury or 34 death. One interpretation of this thesis, which we term the Crazy Bastard Hypothesis, holds that 35 the correlation between violence and other forms of physical risk-taking occurs because the latter behaviors inherently index the general propensity to take risks with one's life. In violent 36 37 conflicts, individuals who are indifferent to the prospect of injury or death constitute dangerous 38 adversaries, and valuable allies. Voluntary physical risk-taking may thus serve a signaling 39 function such that risk-prone individuals are perceived as more formidable than risk-averse 40 individuals. Prior work has demonstrated that relative formidability is represented using the 41 dimensions of conceptualized size and strength, providing an avenue for testing the Crazy 42 Bastard Hypothesis. In multiple studies conducted in two disparate societies, we demonstrate that physically risk-prone men are envisioned to be larger, stronger, and more violent than risk-43 44 averse men. A separate study reveals that such conceptualizations are unlikely to reflect actual 45 correlations between size/strength and physical risk-proneness, and are instead plausibly 46 interpreted as revealing the contribution of observed physical risk-proneness to assessments of 47 relative formidability.

48

49 Keywords: violence; risk-taking; formidability; height; strength

50 1.0 INTRODUCTION

51

52 Wilson and Daly's explanation of the predominance of young men as both perpetrators and 53 victims of homicide is a landmark theory in evolutionary psychology. As articulated in their 54 seminal 1985 paper and subsequently expanded (Daly & Wilson, 1988, 1990, 2001; Wilson & 55 Daly, 1993; Wilson et al., 2002), Wilson and Daly's Young Male Syndrome thesis holds that our species' combination of sex-biased parental investment (creating an effectively polygynous 56 57 mating system) and protracted social and reproductive careers has selected for risk-proneness in 58 young males, primarily defined as preferring exposure to relatively large or likely hazards in 59 exchange for relatively large or likely benefits (Wilson & Daly, 1985). Much violence among 60 men, Wilson and Daly assert, constitutes competition over status or resources that would have 61 translated into mating opportunities in ancestral environments (see also Archer, 2009; Sell, 62 Hone, & Pound, 2012). Because humans have long lifespans, the stakes in such competition are particularly high for young men, as they are entering the competitive arena for the first time, and 63 64 those who succeed in obtaining high rank will reap substantial fitness returns over the long term. 65

From its initial formulation, Wilson and Daly's thesis has included the observation that the epidemiology of homicide matches that of other forms of risk-taking. Although nowhere do Wilson and Daly expound extensively upon all facets of this argument, we interpret their position as suggesting five mutually compatible explanations for this pattern. First, some forms of young male risk-taking may be byproducts of the greater risk-proneness that is a prerequisite for the propensity to enter into potentially lethal male-male confrontations. Second, many nonviolent forms of risk-taking, such as those occurring in contexts of resource acquisition, may

reflect the same logic as that underlying male-male violence, namely that the higher fitness 73 74 payoffs of success make gambling more worthwhile for men, particularly when young. Third, 75 nonviolent risk-taking can honestly signal attributes, including both underlying genetic quality 76 and manifestations such as strength and coordination, that are valued by potential mates, affines, 77 and allies. Fourth, some acts offer inductive potential beyond the specific act itself, as they index the tendency to engage in a larger class of actions of which the observed act is an instance. 78 79 Because the potential costs entailed by voluntary physical risk-taking will deter most individuals 80 from so acting, it is rational for observers to assume that instances of physical risk-taking reveal 81 an underlying behavioral tendency in the actor observed – independent of bodily properties 82 signaled by risky behavior, physical risk-taking indexes the actor's propensity to take risks with 83 life and limb. Attributes such as strength and coordination have utility in many domains, hence 84 signals of such qualities inform observers about many potential contexts of interaction. In 85 contrast, indices of physical risk-proneness have particular relevance to the domain of violent confrontation. Ceteris paribus, a physically risk-prone individual is a more formidable adversary 86 87 than a risk-averse individual, as, being less deterred by the possibility of harm, the former will 88 initiate, persist in, and escalate agonistic interactions to a greater degree. Because knowledge of 89 a potential adversary's physical risk-proneness can thus lead those less willing or able to suffer 90 costs to defer or retreat, honestly advertising risk-proneness by risking one's physical safety is of 91 particular value to individuals inclined to pursue fitness advantages through violent conflict, i.e., 92 young men (see also Fessler, 2010). Moreover, given the importance of coalitions in conflicts, 93 potential adversaries are not the only audience for such signals, as potential allies should also be 94 interested in acquiring information regarding an individual's formidability. Fifth, because any 95 behavior that communicates valued attributes can become an arena for prestige competition, and

96	because prestige yields additional fitness benefits, the same logic predicts that young men are
97	most likely to seek prestige through physical risk-taking. However, in contrast to attributes such
98	as strength and coordination that are valued by a broad audience, physical risk-proneness will be
99	valued principally by that narrower category of individuals likely to form agonistic coalitions,
100	and hence it will be considered prestigious primarily among young men.
101	
102	Consonant with the role of reputation in deterrence, the presence of an audience is known
103	to enhance the likelihood that altercations among young men will escalate to violence;
104	correspondingly, from their earliest work on the Young Male Syndrome, Wilson and Daly

(1985) similarly noted that audiences have an exacerbating effect on nonviolent risk-taking in
young men, a pattern subsequently probed experimentally (Daly & Wilson, 2001; see also Ermer
et al., 2008; Griskevicius et al., 2009; Fischer & Hills, 2012). Such findings suggest that young
men's propensity for nonviolent risk-taking may indeed serve a communicative function.

109

110 Substantial research examines the notion that young men engage in risky activities to 111 signal broadly-valued attributes and compete for associated prestige (e.g., Kelly & Dunbar, 2001; 112 Hawkes & Bliege Bird, 2002; Bliege Bird & Smith, 2005; Farthing, 2005; Wilke et al., 2006; 113 Baker & Maner, 2009; Frankenhuis et al., 2010; Stenstrom et al., 2011; Sylwester & Pawłowski, 114 2011; Ronay & von Hippel, 2010). Despite this, the question of whether physically risky 115 behavior is valuable in part because it communicates risk-proneness remains unexplored. 116 Drawing on evocative, if vulgar, slang, we label this the Crazy Bastard Hypothesis (CBH). In 117 American vernacular English, this term is applied to individuals, generally young men, who 118 intimidate rivals and impress friends through voluntary physical risk-taking – the uninformed are

warned not to transgress against a "crazy bastard." More formally, the CBH's account of
voluntary physical risk-taking as a strategy to deter adversaries and attract allies in a world of
agonistic competition rests on the claim that information regarding an individual's degree of
physical risk-proneness inherently contributes to an assessment of his formidability. Here, we
explore this claim.

124

125 In previous research, we have demonstrated that relative formidability is conceptualized 126 in terms of size and strength. Size and strength are phylogenetically ancient determinants of 127 formidability, a relationship reinforced by developmental experience. However, these are not the 128 only factors influencing formidability, as features such as health, sex, age, coalition size, and, in 129 humans, access to weapons all play key roles. We theorized that, in light of the phylogenetic and 130 ontogenetic centrality of size and strength in this domain, to facilitate decision making, multiple 131 determinants of relative formidability are summarized in a representation wherein each relevant 132 factor influences the conceptualized bodily size of the target – the more formidable the target 133 relative to the perceiver, the larger and more muscular the target is conceptualized as being. It is 134 important to note here that these dimensions of size and muscularity refer to a minds-eve image 135 of the target – our theory concerns representations, not perceptions, of the target.

136

Addressing aspects of the target, we demonstrated in the U.S. that knowing that a man
possesses a weapon increases estimations of his size and muscularity (Fessler et al., 2012).
Consonant with the importance of coalitions in agonistic interactions, among U.S. participants,
cognizance of terrorist leaders' military defeats lowers estimations of the size and muscularity of
a representative terrorist, while awareness of their successes has the opposite effect (Holbrook &

142	Fessler, 2013). Addressing aspects of the perceiver, among U.S. men, the presence of allies
143	reduces the envisioned size and muscularity of an enemy (Fessler & Holbrook, 2013a).
144	Similarly, in both the U.S. and rural Fiji, male participants' own physical strength is inversely
145	related to their estimations of a potential antagonist's size and muscularity (Fessler et al., n.d.).
146	Conversely, being physically incapacitated increases U.S. men's judgments in this regard, and
147	decreases assessments of their own size (Fessler & Holbrook, 2013b).
148	
149	Convergent evidence consonant with the above representational thesis is supplied by
150	other investigators, working outside of an evolutionary framework, employing different
151	measures. Yap, Mason, & Ames (2013) found that manipulating participants' sense of power
152	shaped their estimates of a target individual's size and weight, such that participants made to feel
153	powerful underestimated these dimensions, while participants made to feel powerless
154	overestimated them. Similarly, Duguid and Goncalo (2012) demonstrated that participants made
155	to feel powerful overestimated their own height and, secondarily, underestimated the height of a
156	target individual.
157	
158	In sum, existing evidence indicates that relative formidability is represented using
159	conceptualized size and strength. Here, we employ this insight to test the foundations of the

160 CBH: if knowledge of a target individual's degree of physical risk-proneness influences
161 assessments of that individual's formidability, and if formidability is summarized in terms of
162 conceptualized size, then physically risk-prone targets should be conceptualized as larger than
163 risk-averse targets.

165 Our methods presume that information regarding an individual's physical risk-proneness 166 will influence participants' estimates of his physical size because those estimates reflect 167 participants' representations of his formidability. However, if we are to employ such methods, 168 we must address the possibility that, in actuality, size may be correlated with risk-proneness. If it 169 were the case that taller people took more physical risks than shorter people, then, should the 170 predicted pattern of results occur, a parsimonious explanation would be that participants are good observers. Theory offers arguments both for and against such a possibility. On the one hand, as 171 172 noted, physical risk-taking can serve as an honest signal of genetic quality, as the relative costs 173 of the behavior are lower for those of higher quality. Ceteris paribus, height should also reflect 174 genetic quality, as higher-quality individuals can afford to allocate fewer resources to immune 175 defenses and somatic repair, and more resources to growth, predicting a positive correlation 176 between height and risk-taking. On the other hand, risk-proneness should reflect life history 177 variables (Hill et al., 1997; Wang et al., 2009) orthogonal to quality. A key component of 178 Wilson and Daly's thesis is that poor, low-status men have the most to gain by gambling with 179 their lives (1985, 1993; Daly & Wilson, 1988, 1990, 2001; Wilson et al., 2002). Consonant with 180 a faster life history trajectory, such men can also be expected to mature early, resulting in 181 reduced stature, and thus a negative correlation between height and risk-taking. Because it is 182 difficult to know in advance how each of these factors contributes to epidemiological patterns 183 that could be observed by participants, we turn to empirical evidence.

184

In large surveys of Europeans and Americans, Korniotis and Kumar (in press) found that height correlated positively with financial risk-taking (measured as investment in risker assets and owning a business) and with health risk-taking (e.g., smoking). Ball, Eckel, and Heracleous

(2010) measured height, strength, and financial risk-taking in a real-stakes task, finding that,
particularly for men, strength, but not height, correlated with risk-proneness. In a large German
survey and a smaller field study that included a financial risk-taking task, Dohmen et al. (2011)
found that height correlated with risk-taking as measured by self-assessed overall risk-proneness
and reported behavior concerning finances, driving, sports and leisure, career, and health.

193

194 In evaluating the above findings with regard to the proposed test of the CBH, the relevant 195 consideration is the relationship between body size and risk-proneness in readily-observed 196 behaviors carrying obvious risks of injury or death, as the CBH hinges on the notion that 197 formidability can be signaled by revealing indifference to bodily harm. Although some of the 198 above studies report a correlation between height and financial risk-taking, doubt is cast on the 199 relevance of such results for the present project by investigations, employing more detailed 200 measures, that reveal no correlation between financial risk-taking and dangerous physical 201 activities (Blais & Weber, 2006; see also Ball et al., 2010; Kruger et al., 2007). Dohmen et al. 202 (who find domain-general risk-proneness) do report that height is positively correlated with risk-203 proneness in the potentially relevant categories of "sports and leisure" and "driving behavior". 204 However, Dohmen et al. employed only a single vague question addressing self-assessed risk-205 proneness in each domain. In light of ambiguity in the existing literature as to whether height is 206 correlated with participation in overtly dangerous observable activities, we therefore began by 207 conducting our own investigation of this question.

208

209 2.0 STUDY 1

211 212	2.1 Methods
213 214 215	2.1.1 Participants
216 217	1,172 adults were recruited from across the U.S. via Craigslist.org to participate in an
218	online study of "Personality, Feelings and Preferences". Participants were screened prior to
219	analysis for repeat participation, incomplete or overly brief sessions, implausible answers to the
220	height question, or admission that the study was not taken seriously. This left a sample of 853
221	(619 female) with a mean age of 34.83 years ($SD = 13.05$). The ethnicity of the sample was
222	81.1% White, 8.4% Hispanic, 4.8% Black, 3.3% Asian, and 2.3% mixed or other ethnicities.
223	
224	2.1.2 Materials and measures
225	
226	Participants completed the adult version of the Domain-Specific Risk-Taking Scale
227	(DOSPERT; Blais & Weber, 2006). Participants were instructed to "indicate the likelihood that
228	you would engage in the described activity or behavior if you were to find yourself in that
229	situation" on a 7-point scale (1 = <i>Extremely Unlikely</i> ; 7= <i>Extremely Likely</i>). The DOSPERT
230	assesses risk-taking propensities in five domains: Health/Safety (e.g., "Sunbathing without
231	sunscreen"), Recreational (e.g., "Bungee jumping off a tall bridge"), Financial (e.g., "Betting a
232	day's income at a high-stake poker game"), Social (e.g., "Disagreeing with an authority figure on
233	a major issue"), and Ethical (e.g., "Passing off somebody else's work as your own"). The five
234	subscales were internally reliable (Health/Safety α = .65; Recreational α = .81; Financial α =
235	.72; Social α = .61; Ethical α = .67), as was the overall scale (α = .82).
236	

237	Participants' financial risk preferences were also measured behaviorally using a real-
238	stakes game, adapted from Apicella et al. (2008). Participants selected an amount between \$0
239	and \$250 to allocate to a double-or-nothing coin toss to be conducted in the event they won a
240	raffle, with any unallocated amount constituting a guaranteed payoff. Participation in this
241	optional raffle required providing an email address; 824 participants elected to participate.
242	
243	In a within-subjects design, participants answered the DOSPERT, then filler measures
244	unrelated to the present paper, followed by the behavioral financial risk measure, then
245	demographic questions.
246	
247	2.2 Results and discussion
248	
249	A preliminary ANOVA confirmed that, as expected, men reported greater risk-taking
250	overall than women (see Table 1, ESM). A one-way MANOVA tested for effects of sex on the
251	five subscales, revealing a significant main effect, $F(1,847) = 14.63$, p < .001, $\eta^2 = .08$. Men
252	reported greater risk-taking propensity in all domains except social risk (see Table 1, ESM).
253	Men also bet significantly more money ($M = 133.29$, $SD = 98.41$) than women ($M = 100.27$, SD
254	= 79.01) in the double-or-nothing wager, $F(1,822) = 25.00$, p < .001, $\eta^2 = .03$.
255	
256	To assess whether participant height influenced risk-taking independent of sex, we
257	conducted a series of regressions including height and sex as predictors, with the five subscale
258	scores, the composite risk score, and the coin-toss wager as the outcome variables. Controlling
259	for sex, height significantly predicted greater risk-taking only in the domain of health/safety (see

Table 2, ESM). We next tested whether sex moderated the influence of height by simultaneously 260 261 including height (centered), sex, and the interaction between height and sex in a series of 262 regressions, with the five risk domain scores, composite risk, and the coin-toss wager as the 263 outcome variables. These tests revealed significant moderation of the effect of height by sex for 264 health/safety ($\beta = -.35$, SE = .03, p < .02), composite risk ($\beta = -.38$, SE = .02, p < .02), and the wager ($\beta = -.30$, SE = 2.22, p < .05). There were no other indications of moderating effects of 265 266 sex on the influence of height (ps > .14). Follow-up tests indicated that all three moderation 267 effects were driven by women. In women, height positively correlated with health/safety risk, 268 r(619) = .12, p < .01, composite risk, r(619) = .09, p < .03, and wager amount, r(596) = .09, p < .03269 .03. In men, there were no significant correlations between height and the wager amount or any 270 of the other self-reported domains of risk, rs = -.02 - .10, ps > .13.

271

272 In sum, we found that height did not independently predict risk-taking propensities across 273 domains, including recreational risk-taking, the domain that best fits our criteria of observable 274 behaviors carrying self-evident risks of injury or death. Moderation tests revealed that, in 275 women, height did predict composite risk-taking, risk-taking in the domain of health and safety, 276 and financial risk-taking in the wager; however, women are not the principal focus of the CBH. 277 These results provide grounds for interpreting any positive effects of information regarding a 278 man's physical risk-proneness on conceptualizations of his size as reflecting representations of 279 his formidability, not past observations of correlations in the world. We therefore conducted a 280 series of studies testing the prediction that physically risk-prone individuals would be 281 conceptualized as larger than risk-averse individuals. Throughout, our core experimental design 282 consisted of a short vignette describing either a physically risk-prone or a risk-averse man,

283	followed by estimations of his bodily size. Although, with regard to the role of signaler, the
284	CBH applies primarily (albeit not exclusively) to men, the same is less true of the role of
285	recipient: because both men and women benefit from acquiring information about the
286	formidability of men, we can expect selection to have endowed both sexes with the capacity to
287	translate information about a target individual's risk-proneness into a representation of that
288	individual's relative formidability. Accordingly, both men and women were recruited in most of
289	the studies that follow.
290	
291	3.0 STUDIES 2 AND 3
292	
293 294	3.1 Methods
295 296 297	3.1.1 Participants
298 299	In Study 2, 905 adults were recruited from across the U.S. via Craigslist.org to participate
300	in an unpaid online study concerning social intuitions. Data were pre-screened as in Study 1,
301	leaving a sample of 773 adults (568 female) with a mean age of 35.1 years ($SD = 12.92$), 70.2%
302	White, 11.1% Hispanic, 5.3% Black, 7.1% Asian, and 6.3% mixed or Other.
303	
304	In Study 3, 627 unpaid adult volunteers were recruited as in Study 2. Identical
305	prescreening produced a sample of 538 adults (417 female) with a mean age of 32.7 years ($SD =$
306	12.36), 77.9% White, 6.5% Hispanic, 3.5% Black, 6.1% Asian, and 6.0% mixed or Other.
307	
308 309	3.1.2 Materials and measures

311	In Studies 2 and 3, participants read one of two vignettes (risk-prone or risk-averse
312	condition), followed by a numerical height estimation question (in feet and inches) and a visual
313	array from which participants selected the image that most closely resembled how they
314	envisioned the man described in the vignette. The risk-prone vignette described a "daredevil"
315	who regularly engages in extreme sports and plays Russian roulette; the risk-averse vignette
316	described a "cautious guy" who avoids risks (see ESM). The array was composed of 5 copies of
317	a computer-generated image of a man of average proportions and ambiguous ethnicity, the
318	copies differing only in size (see Figure 1, ESM).
319	
320	Concerned that the arrays employed in Study 2 might entail demand characteristics
321	because the constituent images differed only in size, in Study 3 we replicated Study 2,
322	substituting arrays of diverse male silhouettes. Multiple versions of each array were created by
323	randomly varying both the relative size and the left-to-right sequence of the silhouettes;
324	participants were randomly assigned to view one of the four resulting arrays (see Figure 1,
325	ESM).
326	
327 328 329	3.2 Results and discussion
330	In Study 2, a one-way MANOVA assessing the estimations of height (in inches) and size
331	(via the array) revealed a significant main effect of condition, $F(2, 770) = 13.01$, $p < .001$, η^2_p
332	= .03. As predicted, participants envisioned the risk-prone man as taller in inches ($M = 69.61$;
333	$SD = 3.20$) than the risk-averse man ($M = 68.69$; $SD = 2.99$), $F(1,771) = 16.88$, $p < .001$, $\eta^2_p =$
334	.02. The risk-prone man was also envisioned as larger using the 5-point array ($M = 3.28$; $SD =$

335 .98) than the risk-averse man (M = 2.94; SD = .89), F(1,771) = 24.29, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .03$. 336 Follow-up tests exploring the possible effects of sex on envisioned physical formidability 337 revealed that women estimated the target to be larger using the image array (M = 3.18; SD = .94) 338 compared to men (M = 2.96; SD = .98), F(1,771) = 8.11, p < .01, $\eta_p^2 = .01$. There was no effect 339 of sex on estimated height, p > .1, and no interaction between sex and risk condition, p > .8. 340

341 Study 3 replicated the effects of Study 2 using alternate arrays. Preliminary analyses 342 revealed an unintended significant effect of the version of the silhouette array on size estimation, 343 p < .01; hence, the array used was controlled for in subsequent analyses. A one-way 344 MANCOVA assessing the estimations of height (in feet and inches) and size (via the array) revealed a significant main effect of condition, F(2, 534) = 4.80, p < .01, $\eta_p^2 = .02$. As predicted, 345 346 participants envisioned the risk-prone man as taller in inches (M = 69.61; SD = 3.01) than the risk-averse man (M = 68.77; SD = 2.77), F(1,535) = 9.11, p < .01, $\eta_p^2 = .02$. The risk-prone man 347 348 was also envisioned as larger using the 4-point silhouette array (M = 2.46; SD = .97) than the risk-averse man (M = 2.26; SD = .92), F(1,535) = 4.68, p < .04, $\eta^2_p = .01$. Unlike in Study 2, 349 350 follow-up tests exploring the effects of participant sex revealed no significant differences in 351 height or size estimation, ps > .1. As in Study 2, there was no interaction between sex and risk 352 condition, p > .8.

353

354 Studies 2 and 3 support our prediction that physically risk-prone men will be perceived as 355 more formidable, and therefore physically larger, than risk-averse men. However, mention of 356 Russian roulette in the risk-prone vignette implied that this individual has access to firearms, a 357 confound given that individuals who possess guns are conceptualized as larger than those who do

358	not (Fessler et al. 2012	う	To address this	we conducted at	n additional	study	usino	vionettes
550	110t (1°C55101 Ct al., 2012	·J.	TO address tills.	we conducted an	adunional	study	using	vigneties

359 exclusively addressing participation in dangerous sports.

360	
361 362 363	4.0 STUDY 4
364 365 366	4.1 Methods
367 368 369	4.1.1 Participants
370	Recruitment and data cleaning were identical to Studies 2 and 3, leaving a final sample of
371	437 adults (347 female) with a mean age of 33.8 years ($SD = 13.35$), 75.4% White, 8.7%
372	Hispanic, 3.2% Black, 8.3% Asian, 4.4% mixed or Other.
373	
374 375 376	4.1.1 Materials and measures
377	Paralleling Studies 2 and 3, vignettes described a male "daredevil" and a "cautious guy,"
378	where the former enthusiastically engages in the three obviously dangerous sports (extreme
379	mountaineering, freestyle motorcycling, and big-wave surfing), while the latter refuses to join his
380	friends in these activities, finding that merely watching makes him nervous (see ESM).
381	Dependent measures consisted of a numerical height estimation question and a randomly-
382	assigned version of arrays composed of four silhouettes, varying only in size, selected so as to
383	provide minimal cues regarding social class or ethnicity (see Figure 2, ESM).
384	
385 386 387	4.2 Results and discussion

388 Preliminary analyses revealed a significant effect of the version of the silhouette array on 389 size estimation, p < .01; hence, the array used was controlled for in subsequent analyses. 390 Consistent with predictions, a one-way MANCOVA assessing the estimations of height (in feet 391 and inches) and size revealed a significant main effect of condition, F(2, 433) = 22.71, p < .001, $\eta^2_p = .10$. As predicted, participants envisioned the risk-prone man as taller in inches (M =392 70.18; SD = 2.30) than the risk-averse man (M = 68.57; SD = 2.76), F(1,434) = 40.46, p < .001, 393 η^2_p = .09, and as larger when judged using the array ([M = 2.76; SD = .62] versus [M = 2.40; SD 394 = .78]), F(1,434) = 28.69, p < .001, $\eta_{p}^{2} = .06$. As in Study 3, follow-up tests revealed no effects 395 396 of participant sex, or interactions between sex and condition, on envisioned physical 397 formidability, ps > .1.

398

399 These results replicate those obtained in Studies 2 and 3, revealing a robust pattern 400 wherein U.S. participants conceptualize physically risk-prone men as larger than risk-averse 401 men. While Study 4 was free of the gun confound accompanying Studies 2 and 3, all three 402 studies nonetheless suffer limitations. First, all focus on risky sports in a society in which some 403 of the male stars of such behaviors (e.g., Travis Pastrana, Laird Hamilton) are both taller than 404 average and celebrated in ubiquitous mass media. It is therefore possible that these findings 405 reflect a culturally parochial schema concerning recreational physical risk-taking. Second, the 406 core feature of the CBH at issue is the link between physical risk-taking and the danger that the 407 target individual poses to adversaries. Although our previous research documents that 408 conceptualized physical size is used to represent formidability, and although it follows logically 409 that the propensity to aggress is linked to formidability, nevertheless, the interpretation of 410 Studies 2-4 as supporting the foundation of the CBH rests on the presumption that perceiving

411 physical risk-takers as formidable equates to viewing them as more dangerous. We therefore 412 conducted a fifth study. To address the possibility of a schema parochial to U.S. Internet users, 413 data were collected in rural Fiji, a culturally and technologically disparate context. To address 414 the question of whether our earlier results reflect special features of celebrated recreational 415 activities, we employed vignettes describing physically risky activities encountered during 416 everyday male tasks common in that locale. To address the question of whether perceived size 417 equates to likelihood of violence, we added items concerning violent responses to transgressions. 418 We also included exploratory questions relating anger and violence, given prior work linking 419 anger to the propensity to employ violence (e.g., Sell et al., 2009; Hess et al., 2010). Lastly, as 420 noted in the Introduction, size is one of two dimensions that we have previously shown are used 421 to represent relative formidability, strength being the other. Accordingly, in addition to a 6-422 silhouette version of one of the male image arrays employed in Study 4 (see Figure 3, ESM), we 423 employed an array depicting six male bodies of identical height that differ in muscularity (see 424 Figure 3, ESM). 425 426 5.0 STUDY 5 427 428 5.1 Methods

429

430 **5.1.1** *Participants*

As part of a larger study of life on Yasawa Island, Fiji, 34 adult men with a mean age of
433 44.3 years (*SD* = 16.52) were recruited from two villages (for relevant ethnography, see Gervais,
434 2013; Henrich & Henrich, in press).

435

436 **5.1.1** *Materials and measures*

437

438 Using ethnographic observations to identify physical risks encountered by men during 439 subsistence activities (e.g., climbing tall coconut trees and sailing rough seas without a life vest), 440 two vignettes were composed, one describing a risk-prone man and one describing a risk-averse 441 man (see ESM). In a within-subjects, counterbalanced design, participants were randomly 442 assigned to respond first to either the risk-prone or risk-averse vignette; following a delay of 7 to 443 8 days, each participant then responded to the alternate vignette. Due to variance in literacy, 444 tasks were administered orally in Standard Fijian by a Fijian research assistant, under M.G.'s 445 supervision.

446

Following the vignettes, participants viewed the silhouette and muscularity arrays, in counterbalanced order across participants, with the order reversed within participants at the time of the second interview; participants pointed to the image matching how they envisioned the male protagonist. As other evidence indicated that participants had difficulty employing quantitative measurements of height, numerical estimations were not used.

452

453 Next, participants employed visual scales, with verbally described markers, to answer the
454 following questions, in fixed order: As a manipulation check, participants were first asked,

455	"How likely do you think this man is to leave the water if several large/aggressive sharks swim
456	near him?" (1 = <i>Not at all likely</i> ; 4 = <i>Very likely</i>). Next, to probe perceived aggressiveness,
457	participants were asked, "How likely do you think this man is to react violently if someone does
458	something harsh to him?" (1 = Not at all likely; 4 = Very likely). To probe perceived anger-
459	proneness, participants were then asked, "How angry do you think this man would be if his wife
460	was seen talking to another man in the forest?" (1 = Very little; 5 = Very much). Finally, to
461	probe the target's envisioned propensity for violence stemming from anger, participants were
462	asked, "How likely do you think he would be to hit her?" (1 = <i>Not at all likely</i> ; 4 = <i>Very likely</i>).
463	
464	5.2 Results and discussion

465

466 Confirming the success of the manipulation, a repeated-measures ANOVA revealed that 467 participants rated the risk-prone target as less likely to leave the water upon the approach of 468 sharks (M = 1.74, SD = 1.14) than the risk-averse target (M = 2.97, SD = 1.03), F(1, 33) = 18.19, 469 p < .001, $\eta^2_p = .36$.

470

Preliminary analyses revealed no effects of order for either condition or the sequence of size array versus muscularity array, ps > .6; hence, order was not controlled for in subsequent analyses. As predicted, a repeated-measures ANOVA revealed that the risk-prone man was envisioned as taller/larger (M = 4.47, SD = 1.66) than the risk-averse man (M = 3.38, SD = 1.84), F(1, 33) = 7.19, p < .02, $\eta^2_p = .18$. The risk-prone man was also envisioned as more muscular (M= 4.50, SD = 1.62) than the risk-averse man (M = 2.59, SD = 1.67), F(1, 33) = 23.20, p < .001, $\eta^2_p = .41$. 478

Also consistent with predictions, a repeated-measures ANOVA revealed that the risk-479 480 prone man was envisioned as more likely to react violently if provoked (M = 2.50, SD = 1.05) than the risk-averse man (M = 1.94, SD = 1.07), F(1, 33) = 6.00, p = .02, $\eta^2_p = .15$. However, 481 482 against predictions, the risk-prone man was not envisioned as prone to experience greater anger upon witnessing his wife talking with another man in the forest (M = 4.12, SD = 1.01) than the 483 484 risk-averse man (M = 3.85, SD = 1.40), p > .3. Finally, consistent with predictions, the risk-485 prone man was envisioned as more likely to hit his wife (M = 2.94, SD = 1.01) than the riskaverse man (M = 2.21, SD = .95), F(1, 33) = 9.39, p < .01, $\eta^2_{p} = .22$. 486

487

488 Using a culturally disparate sample and domains of activity unrelated to those employed 489 previously, Study 5 replicated the patterns found in Studies 2-4, as a man who voluntarily 490 undertakes activities entailing a risk of injury or death was conceptualized as larger than a man 491 who avoids such risks. Extending our prior results, Study 5 also documented that the physically 492 risk-prone man is conceptualized as more muscular than the risk-averse man. Consonant with 493 the position that formidability, represented using the dimensions of size and muscularity, is 494 linked to the propensity to aggress, the physically risk-prone man was seen as more likely to 495 engage in violence than the risk-averse man. These results suggest that, in keeping with the 496 premise of the CBH, physical risk-taking informs observers about the danger that an actor poses 497 as a potential adversary.

498

Although Study 5 addressed many of the limitations of Studies 2, 3, and 4, nonetheless, it
 shares with them a possible alternative explanation. Prior work indicates that information

501 regarding a target individual's social status influences perceptions of the target's size (reviewed 502 in Higham & Carment, 1992; see also Wilson, 1968; Sorokowski, 2009; Marsh et al., 2009; 503 Masters et al., 2010; Duguid and Goncalo, 2012). While this pattern likely indicates the 504 cooptation of an ancestral system, evolved to represent formidability, for the uniquely human 505 function of representing prestige (Fessler et al., 2012; Holbrook et al., in press; Fessler & 506 Holbrook, 2013b), it may also reflect an observational phenomenon, as height is correlated with 507 actual social position and corresponding social influence – taller people achieve greater 508 professional success, are paid more, are more likely to be elected, etc. (reviewed in Sorokowski, 509 2009; Marsh et al., 2009; see also Murray & Schmitz, 2011; Stulp et al., 2012). Regardless of 510 the causes of the conceptual association between height and status, if participants considered the 511 risk-prone target in Studies 2-4 more prestigious than the risk-averse target, they may have 512 conceptualized the former as both larger and of higher standing. Whether this also applies to 513 Study 5 is questionable. First, the risky activities employed are mundane in Yasawa, reducing 514 their prestige value. Second, Yasawan status is largely inherited, and is negatively correlated 515 with physical strength (M.G., unpublished data), probably due to a positive correlation with age. 516 Third, status is contingent on evincing "chiefliness," (vakaturaga) a trait antithetical to violence. 517 Nevertheless, because we did not measure perceived status in Study 5, we cannot eliminate this 518 explanation. We therefore conducted a study in the U.S. employing physically risky activities 519 unlikely to be prestigious, and measured perceived prestige.

520

521 **6.0 STUDY 6**

522

523 **6.1 Methods**

524	
525	6.1.1 Participants
526	
527	Recruitment and data cleaning were identical to Studies 2-4, leaving a final sample of
528	522 U.S. adults (399 female) with a mean age of 32.8 years ($SD = 12.11$), 77.8% White, 6.3%
529	Hispanic, 3.8% Black, 3.8% Asian, 8.3% mixed or Other.
530	
531	6.1.2 Materials and measures
532	
533	Participants were randomly assigned to one of three vignette conditions (risk-prone, risk-
534	averse, or neutral). In the risk-prone vignette, the target man was described as not wearing a
535	seatbelt, eating, and texting while driving; speeding; and driving through a red light; the risk-
536	averse man was described as explicitly taking steps to engage in the opposite behaviors. The
537	neutral vignette described a man whose behavior was neither highly risky nor highly cautious
538	(see ESM). All three vignettes ended with the target being insulted by a stranger in a bar. In
539	fixed order, participants were asked how likely the target was to get into a fistfight with the
540	stranger (1 = <i>Not at all likely</i> ; 9 = <i>Very likely</i>), the target's height in feet and inches; and whether
541	the target is shorter or taller than average $(1 = Very short; 6 = Very tall)$. Participants next rated
542	the target's muscularity and overall height/size using 4-image versions of the arrays employed in
543	Study 5. Participants then rated how respected they imagined the target to be in his community
544	(1 = Not at all respected [almost no one admires Bob]; 9 = Highly respected [almost everyone
545	admires Bob]). Lastly, participants rated how likely the target was to engage in each of 25
546	activities (1 = Not at all likely; 9 = Very likely). Six of the activities involved voluntary risk-

taking, including extreme sports and other physically risky behaviors, and were averaged to
create a risk score; two questions were drawn from the vignette as attention checks; and the
balance were distracters.

550

551 6.2 Results and discussion

552

Analyses of the attention check questions revealed that participants understood and attended to the relevant features of the vignettes (see ESM). A one-way ANOVA confirmed that the risk-prone man was rated more likely to engage in other risky behaviors (M = 4.54, SD =1.56) than the neutral man (M = 3.75, SD = 1.46) or the risk-averse man (M = 2.97, SD = 1.38), F(2, 519) = 47.02, p < .001, $\eta^2_{p} = .15$. Planned contrasts showed that the differences between conditions in estimated participation in risky activities were all mutually significant, ps > .001, confirming that the target's propensity to take risks was manipulated as intended.

560

561 A one-way MANOVA revealed significant main effects of risk condition on the two judgments of height and on the judgment of muscularity, Fs(4, 516) > 3.3, ps < .05, $\eta^2_p = .01$ -562 563 .02. As predicted, the risk-prone man was envisioned as taller (in feet and inches), taller relative 564 to average, larger (according to the size array), and more muscular than the neutral or risk-averse 565 targets (see Table 3, ESM for descriptives). However, the main effect of condition for ratings of 566 size using the 4-point silhouette array did not reach significance in this study, p > .8, and the 567 difference in muscularity ratings between the risk-prone and neutral targets was nonsignificant, p 568 > .2; nevertheless, in both cases, what differences did occur were in the predicted direction. In 569 addition, whereas the risk-prone target was rated as significantly taller (in feet and inches) than

570 the risk-averse target, the difference between the risk-prone and neutral targets only reached a 571 nonsignificant trend, p < .09. Similarly, the difference in relative height ratings between the risk-572 prone and risk-averse targets only reached a nonsignificant trend, p < .08. Consistent with 573 predictions, separate one-way ANOVAs revealed significant main effects of condition on ratings of prestige, F(2, 519) = 15.11, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .06$, and on ratings of the target's likelihood of 574 fighting the man in the bar, F(2, 519) = 77.39, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .23$. The risk-prone man was 575 576 envisioned as significantly less prestigious, yet significantly more likely to fight the man in the 577 bar, than the man described in either the neutral or risk-averse conditions (see Table 3, ESM). 578 Follow-up tests revealed no effects of participant sex, or interactions between sex and condition, 579 on envisioned height, size, muscularity, or prestige, ps > .1. There was an effect of sex on likelihood of fighting, F(1, 521) = 7.28, p < .01, $\eta_p^2 = .01$; female participants rated the target as 580 less likely to fight (M = 3.14; SD = 1.98) relative to male participants (M = 3.70; SD = 2.15). 581 582 However, there was no interaction between sex and risk condition on estimated likelihood of 583 fighting, p > .3.

584

585 6.2.1 Mediation analysis

586

587 We assessed conceptualized formidability via distinct dimensions of height, overall size, 588 and muscularity. To assess whether the between-condition differences in the target man's 589 envisioned propensity to aggress was mediated by his conceptualized formidability, the four 590 items probing imagined bodily height, size, and muscularity were standardized and averaged to 591 create a composite formidability score ($\alpha = .67$).

593 To test whether conceptualized formidability mediated the effect of condition on the 594 target's estimated likelihood of fighting, we ran a bootstrapping procedure (5,000 samples) using 595 the INDIRECT macro for SPSS (Preacher & Hayes, 2008). We entered composite 596 conceptualized formidability scores as the mediating variable, risk condition (risk-prone versus 597 non-risk-prone, combining the neutral and risk-averse conditions) as the independent variable, 598 and likelihood of fighting as the dependent variable. Consistent with predictions, the direct 599 effect of condition on estimated likelihood of fighting ($\beta = .46, SE = .17, p < .001$) was slightly weaker with conceptualized formidability included in the model ($\beta = .45$, SE = .11, p < .001), 600 601 whereas the indirect effect of conceptualized formidability on aggression remained significant (β 602 = .11, SE = .11, p < .01), and the bias-corrected and accelerated confidence intervals did not 603 overlap with zero (95% CI = [-.081, -.004]. In sum, conceptualized formidability partially 604 mediated the effects of the risk condition on envisioned aggression, although the manipulation 605 clearly also influenced this evaluation via additional mechanisms.

606

507 Study 6 reveals that information regarding a man's propensity to take physical risks 508 enhances conceptualizations of his size and strength in a manner that cannot be attributed to the 509 esteem in which he is held, as the risk-prone target was simultaneously envisioned to be tall, 510 muscular, and of low prestige. Likewise, confirming the premise of the CBH, participants 511 viewed the risk-prone target as more likely to respond violently to transgression; given the low 512 prestige assigned this man, such aggressiveness is not explicable in terms of entitlements 513 attending high status.

614

615 7.0 CONCLUSION

616

Taken together, converging findings from five studies document that knowing that a man 617 618 voluntarily engages in dangerous nonviolent activities leads others to conceptualize him as larger 619 and stronger. Such conceptualizations are unlikely to stem from prior observations of any link 620 between size and risk-proneness, as we find no correlation between male height and self-reported 621 participation in physical risk-taking. Rather, this pattern of conceptualization is consistent with 622 previous work showing that diverse determinants of relative formidability are summarized using 623 a representation employing the dimensions of size and muscularity. In keeping with the risks 624 inherent in violent conflict, our results thus reveal a strong link between knowledge of another's 625 physical risk-proneness and assessment of the other's formidability as a potential adversary or 626 ally, a connection underlined by our cross-culturally replicated finding that physically risk-prone 627 men are indeed perceived to be more violent. These findings thus provide preliminary support 628 for the Crazy Bastard Hypothesis, which holds that physical risk-taking has signal value in part 629 because it honestly reveals physical risk-proneness, a determinant of formidability. More 630 broadly, this linkage adds to existing explanations of epidemiological associations between 631 involvement in nonviolent physical risk-taking and violence.

632

To date, evolutionary research on the epidemiology of risk-taking has largely focused on risk-taking's capacity to signal phenotypic/genotypic quality, features of interest to a variety of signal recipients. Although we concur that such signaling likely contributes to many forms of risk-taking, nonetheless, we believe that investigators may have overestimated its importance, particularly as regards connections with violence. While individuals of higher phenotypic quality may indeed both suffer fewer costs in dangerous nonviolent pursuits and be more

inclined to engage in violence, this pattern stands independent of the attribute of risk-proneness
per se, the determinants of which, as noted earlier, include life history variables unrelated to
issues of relative quality. Indeed, at the individual level, accidental injury rate is correlated with
both participation in violence (Suchman, 1970; Junger & Tremblay, 1999) and dispositional
aggression (Hansen, 1988), a pattern consistent with the notion that involvement in both
nonviolent and violent dangerous activities is in part driven by risk-proneness independent of
phenotypic quality.

646

647 Wilson and Daly's Young Male Syndrome thesis addresses that demographic category 648 that is both most likely to be involved in violence and most likely to engage in other risky 649 activities. In seeking to shed light on the relationship between violent and nonviolent risk-650 taking, the CBH thus prototypically applies to young men. Accordingly, in our studies of the 651 effects of nonviolent risk-taking on conceptualizations of size and strength qua representations of 652 relative formidability, we have exclusively employed male targets. However, the logic that links 653 nonviolent risk-taking and assessed formidability is not unique to such targets, as relative 654 indifference to the prospect of injury or death enhances formidability regardless of the actor's 655 sex. Studies employing female targets should therefore produce results similar to those reported 656 here.

657

The effects of risk-proneness on perceived relative formidability that we have documented do not in themselves prove that the association between the propensity for violence and the tendency to engage in nonviolent physical risk-taking has been driven over evolutionary time by the signaling affordances of the latter. As noted in the Introduction, nonviolent risk-

proneness may be a byproduct of the reduction in sensitivity to risk necessary to promote 662 663 agonistic competitiveness. If so, then observers could be expected to be aware of the correlation 664 between these two behavioral patterns, leading them to infer that risk-takers are violent, and thus 665 should be represented as formidable. However, while not eliminating this possibility, our 666 findings nevertheless suggest that a pure byproduct account is implausible. Given that observers appear to infer increased formidability from nonviolent risk-taking, even if elevated nonviolent 667 668 risk-proneness was originally a byproduct, it is unlikely to have remained such over evolutionary 669 time. Individuals who capitalized on the signaling potential of this behavior would, by virtue of 670 the deference thereby achieved, have had higher fitness than those who did not. As a 671 consequence, selection can be expected to have favored mechanisms that calibrate nonviolent 672 risk-taking in ways that would have been adaptive in the environments of our ancestors, i.e., 673 even if this trait began as a byproduct, it would have been crafted into an adaptation.

674

675 The CBH generates novel predictions not produced by existing signaling accounts of 676 risk-taking. Because the CBH stresses that the signal at issue is primarily relevant to issues of 677 relative formidability, such signaling behavior should be affected by the value placed on 678 formidability. For example, the CBH uniquely predicts that the presence of a male audience 679 should generally have a larger effect on physical risk-taking than the presence of a female 680 audience, since formidability is typically a greater concern for the former. This is consonant 681 with findings that, among Western university students, nonheroic physical risk-taking reduces 682 men's attractiveness to women as long-term mates, but increases their attractiveness to men as 683 friends (Farthing, 2005; also Sylwester & Pawłowski, 2011; but see also Bassett & Moss, 2004). 684 Likewise, the CBH predicts that women's valuation of nonviolent physical risk-taking in

685	prospective long-term mates should hinge on the extent to which they are willing to pay the costs
686	of a potentially coercive partner in exchange for the benefits of greater male protection (Snyder
687	et al., 2011). Similarly, in electing leaders and otherwise assigning power and prestige, the value
688	that constituents place on nonviolent physical risk-taking should be contingent on the perceived
689	likelihood of violent conflict with other groups. Lastly, existing evidence indicates that attention
690	to cues of dominance (and thus, for our purposes, of formidability) is contingent on both the
691	perceiver's own physical formidability (Watkins et al., 2010) and the extent to which
692	formidability is relevant to the current social context (Watkins & Jones, 2012; Watkins et al.,
693	2013). The CBH predicts that the same individual and situational variables should predict
694	attention to nonviolent physical risk-taking. Given the many testable predictions of the CBH, we
695	look forward to the next chapter in the study of risk-taking and its connection to violence.
696	
697	
698	Acknowledgments
699	
700	This paper is dedicated to the memory of Margo Wilson. This work was supported by the U.S.
701	Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Award #FA9550-10-1-0511. We thank the people
702	of Yasawa for their hospitality, and our RAs – particularly John Hayes – for their assistance.
703	

704	References
705	
706 707	Apicella, C. L., Dreber, A., Campbell, B., Gray, P. B., Hoffman, M., & Little, A. C. (2008).
708	Testosterone and financial risk preferences. Evolution and Human Behavior, 29(6), 384-
709	390. (DOI:10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2008.07.001)
710	Archer, J. (2009). Does sexual selection explain human sex differences in aggression?
711	Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 32(3-4), 249-266. (DOI:10.1017/S0140525X09990951)
712	Archer, J., & Thanzami, V. (2009). The relation between mate value, entitlement, physical
713	aggression, size and strength among a sample of young Indian men. Evolution and Human
714	Behavior, 30(5), 315-321. (DOI:10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2009.03.003)
715	Baker, M. D., & Maner, J. K. (2009). Male risk-taking as a context-sensitive signaling device.
716	Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 45(5), 1136-1139.
717	(DOI:10.1016/j.jesp.2009.06.006)
718	Ball, S., Eckel, C., & Heracleous, M. (2010). Risk aversion and physical prowess: Prediction,
719	choice and bias. Journal of Risk and Uncertainty, 41(3), 167-193. (DOI 10.1007/s11166-
720	010-9105-x)
721	Bassett, J. F., & Moss, B. (2004). Men and women prefer risk takers as romantic and
722	nonromantic partners. Current Research in Social Psychology, 9(10), 135-144.
723	Blais, AR., & Weber, E. U. (2006). A domain-specific risk-taking (DOSPERT) scale for adult
724	populations. Judgment and Decision Making, 1(1), 33-47.
725	Bliege Bird, R., & Smith, E. A. (2005). Signaling theory, strategic interaction, and symbolic
726	capital. Current Anthropology, 46(2), 221-248. (DOI: 10.1086/427115)
727	Daly, M., & Wilson, M. (1988). Homicide. New York: A. de Gruyter.

- Daly, M., & Wilson, M. (1990). Killing the competition: Female/female and male/male
 homicide. *Human Nature*, 1(1), 81-107.
- 730 Daly, M., & Wilson, M. (2001). Risk-taking, intrasexual competition, and homicide. Nebraska
- 731 *Symposium on Motivation*, 47, 1-36.
- 732 Dohmen, T., Falk, A., Huffman, D., Sunde, U., Schupp, J., & Wagner, G. G. (2011). Individual
- risk attitudes: Measurement, determinants, and behavioral consequences. *Journal of the*
- 734 *European Economic Association*, 9(3), 522-550. (DOI: 10.1111/j.1542-4774.2011.01015.x)
- 735 Duguid, M. M., & Goncalo, J. A. (2012). Living large: The powerful overestimate their own
- 736 height. *Psychological Science*, 23(1), 36-40. (DOI: 10.1177/0956797611422915)
- 737 Ermer, E., Cosmides, L., & Tooby, J. (2008). Relative status regulates risky decision making
- about resources in men: Evidence for the co-evolution of motivation and cognition.
- *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 29(2), 106-118.
- 740 (DOI:10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2007.11.002)
- 741 Farthing, G. (2005). Attitudes toward heroic and nonheroic physical risk takers as mates and as
- friends. *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 26(2), 171-185.
- 743 (DOI:10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2004.08.004)
- 744 Fessler, D. M. T., & Holbrook, C. (2013a). Friends shrink foes: The presence of comrades
- 745 decreases the envisioned physical formidability of an opponent. *Psychological Science*,
- 746 24(5), 797-802. (DOI: 10.1177/0956797612461508)
- 747 Fessler, D. M. T., & Holbrook, C. (2013b). Bound to lose: Physical incapacitation increases the
- conceptualized dimensions of an antagonist. *PLoS ONE*, 8(8), e71306.
- 749 (DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0071306)

- 750 Fessler, D. M. T., Holbrook, C., & Gervais, M. (n.d.). Men's physical strength influences
- perceptions of prospective foes in two disparate societies. *Manuscript in preparation*.
- 752 Fessler, D. M. T., Holbrook, C., & Snyder, J. K. (2012). Weapons make the man (larger):
- Formidability is represented as size and strength in humans. *PloS ONE*, 7(4), e32751.
- 754 (DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0032751)
- Fessler, D. M. T. (2010). Madmen: An evolutionary perspective on anger and men's violent
 responses to transgression. In M. Potegal, G. Stemmler, & C. D. Spielberger(pp. 361-381).
 New York: Springer.
- Fischer, D., & Hills, T. T. (2012). The baby effect and young male syndrome: social influences
- on cooperative risk-taking in women and men. *Evolution and Human Behavior*, *33*(5), 530-
- 760 536. (DOI:10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2012.01.006)
- Frankenhuis, W. E., Dotsch, R., Karremans, J. C., & Wigboldus, D. H. J. (2010). Male physical
- risk taking in a virtual environment. *Journal of Evolutionary Psychology*, 8(1), 75-86.
- 763 (DOI: 10.1556/JEP.8.2010.1.6)
- 764 Gervais, M. M. (2013). Structures of Sentiment: Mapping the Affective Bases of Social
- 765 *Relationships in Yasawa, Fiji*. Doctoral dissertation, University of California, Los Angeles.
- 766 Griskevicius, V., Tybur, J. M., Gangestad, S. W., Perea, E. F., Shapiro, J. R., & Kenrick, D. T.

767 (2009). Aggress to impress: Hostility as an evolved context-dependent strategy. *Journal of*

- 768 *Personality and Social Psychology*, *96*(5), *980*. (DOI: 10.1037/a0013907)
- Hansen, C. P. (1988). Personality characteristics of the accident involved employee. *Journal of*
- 770 *Business and Psychology*, 2(4), 346-365. (DOI: 10.1007/BF01013766)
- Hawkes, K., & Bliege Bird, R. (2002). Showing off, handicap signaling, and the evolution of
- 772 men's work. *Evolutionary Anthropology*, *11*(2), 58-67. (DOI: 10.1002/evan.20005)

773	Henrich, J., and Henrich, N. (in press). Fairness without punishment: behavioral experiments in							
774	the Yasawa Island, Fiji. To appear in Fairness and Punishment in Cross-Cultural							
775	Perspective. Edited by J. Ensminger and J. Henrich. Retrieved from							
776	http://www2.psych.ubc.ca/~henrich/Published.html#chapters on March 1, 2013.							
777	Hess, N., Helfrecht, C., Hagen, E., Sell, A., & Hewlett, B. (2010). Interpersonal aggression							
778	among Aka hunter-gatherers of the Central African Republic. Human Nature, 21(3), 330-							
779	354. (DOI: 10.1007/s12110-010-9094-0)							
780	Higham, P. A., & Carment, D. W. (1992). The rise and fall of politicians: The judged heights of							
781	Broadbent, Mulroney and Turner before and after the 1988 Canadian federal election.							
782	Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science, 24(3), 404–409.							
783	Hill, E. M., Thomson Ross, L., & Low, B. S. (1997). The role of future unpredictability in							
784	human risk-taking. Human Nature, 8(4), 287-325. (DOI: 10.1007/BF02913037)							
785	Holbrook, C., & Fessler, D. M. T. (2013). Sizing up the threat: The envisioned physical							
786	formidability of terrorists tracks their leaders' failures and successes. Cognition, 127(1),							
787	46-56. (DOI: 10.1016/j.cognition.2012.12.002)							
788	Holbrook, C., Piazza, J., & Fessler, D. M. T. (in press). Conceptual and empirical challenges to							
789	the 'Authentic' versus 'Hubristic' model of pride. Emotion.							
790	Junger, M., & Tremblay, R. E. (1999). Self-control, accidents, and crime. Criminal Justice and							
791	Behavior, 26(4), 485. (DOI: 10.1177/0093854899026004005)							
792	Kelly, S., & Dunbar, R. I. M. (2001). Who dares, wins: Heroism versus altruism in women's							
793	mate choice. Human Nature, 12(2), 89-105. (DOI: 10.1007/s12110-001-1018-6)							
794	Korniotis, G., & Kumar, A. (in press). Tall versus short: Height, lifelong experiences, and							
795	portfolio choice. Journal of Finance.							

- Kruger, D. J., Wang, X. T., & Wilke, A. (2007). Towards the development of an evolutionarily
 valid domain-specific risk-taking scale. *Evolutionary Psychology*, 5(3), 555-568.
- Marsh, A. A., Yu, H. H., Schechter, J. C., & Blair, R. J. R. (2009). Larger than life: Humans'
- nonverbal status cues alter perceived size. *PLoS ONE*, *4*, e5707.
- 800 (DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0005707)
- Masters, R., Poolton, J., & van der Kamp, J. (2010). Regard and perceptions of size in soccer:
 Better is bigger. *Perception*, *39*(9), 1290-1295. (DOI: 10.1068/p6746)
- 803 Murray, G. R., & Schmitz, J. D. (2011). Caveman politics: Evolutionary leadership preferences
- and physical stature. *Social Science Quarterly*, (DOI: 10.1111/j.1540-6237.2011.00815.x)
- 805 Ronay, R., & von Hippel, W. (2010). The presence of an attractive woman elevates testosterone
- and physical risk taking in young men. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, *1*(1),
- 807 57-64. (DOI: 10.1177/1948550609352807)
- 808 Sell, A., Hone, L. S. E., & Pound, N. (2012). The importance of physical strength to human
- 809 males. *Human Nature*, 23(1), 30-44. (DOI: 10.1007/s12110-012-9131-2)
- 810 Sell, A., Tooby, J., & Cosmides, L. (2009). Formidability and the logic of human anger.
- 811 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science*, *106*(35), 15073-15078.
- 812 (DOI:10.1073/pnas.0904312106)
- 813 Snyder, J. K., Fessler, D. M. T., Tiokhin, L., Frederick, D. A., Lee, S. W., & Navarrete, C. D.
- 814 (2011). Trade-offs in a dangerous world: Women's fear of crime predicts preferences for
- 815 aggressive and formidable mates. *Evolution & Human Behavior*, *32*(2), 127-137. (DOI:
- 816 10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2010.08.007)
- 817 Sorokowski, P. (2009). Politicians' estimated height as an indicator of their popularity. *European*
- 818 *Journal of Social Psychology*, *40*(7), 1302-1309. (DOI: 10.1002/ejsp.710)

819	Stenstrom,	E.,	Saad,	G.,	Nepomuceno, M	1. V.	, & Mende	enhall,	Ζ.	(2011).	Testosterone a	nd
-----	------------	-----	-------	-----	---------------	-------	-----------	---------	----	---------	----------------	----

- 820 domain-specific risk: Digit ratios (2D: 4D and *rel*2) as predictors of recreational, financial,
- and social risk-taking behaviors. *Personality and Individual Differences*, *51*(4), 412-416.
- 822 (DOI:10.1016/j.paid.2010.07.003)
- Stulp, G., Buunk, A. P., Verhulst, S., & Pollet, T. V. (2012). High and mighty: Height increases
 authority in professional refereeing. *Evolutionary Psychology*, *10*(3), 588-601.
- 825 Suchman, E. A. (1970). Accidents and social deviance. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*,
- 826 *11*(1), 4-15.
- 827 Sylwester, K., & Pawłowski, B. (2011). Daring to be darling: Attractiveness of risk takers as
- partners in long-and short-term sexual relationships. Sex Roles, 64(9), 695-706. (DOI
- 829 10.1007/s11199-010-9790-6)
- 830 Wang, X. T., Kruger, D. J., & Wilke, A. (2009). Life history variables and risk-taking

propensity. *Evolution and Human Behavior*, *30*(2), 77-84.

- 832 (DOI:10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2008.09.006)
- 833 Watkins, C. D., Debruine, L. M., Feinberg, D. R., & Jones, B. C. (2013). A sex difference in the
- 834 context-sensitivity of dominance perceptions. *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 34(5), 366-
- 835 372. (DOI: 10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2013.06.004)
- 836 Watkins, C. D., Fraccaro, P. J., Smith, F. G., Vukovic, J., Feinberg, D. R., DeBruine, L. M., &
- Jones, B. C. (2010). Taller men are less sensitive to cues of dominance in other men.
- 838 *Behavioral Ecology*, *21*(5), 943-947. (DOI:10.1093/beheco/arq091)
- 839 Watkins, C. D., & Jones, B. C. (2012). Priming men with different contest outcomes modulates
- their dominance perceptions. *Behavioral Ecology*, 23(3), 539-543.
- 841 (DOI:10.1093/beheco/arr221)

- Wilke, A., Hutchinson, J. M. C., Todd, P. M., & Kruger, D. J. (2006). Is risk taking used as a cue
 in mate choice? *Evolutionary Psychology*, *4*, 367-393.
- 844 Wilson, M., Daly, M., & Pound, N. (2002). An evolutionary psychological perspective on the
- 845 modulation of competitive confrontation and risk taking. In D. W. Pfaff, A. P. Arnold, A.
- 846 M. Etgen, S. E. Fahrbach, & R. T. Rubin (Eds.), *Hormones, brain and behavior, Vol. 5* (pp.
- 847 381-408). San Diego: Academic Press.
- 848 Wilson, M., & Daly, M. (1985). Competitiveness, risk taking, and violence: The young male
- syndrome. *Ethology & Sociobiology*, 6(1), 59-73.
- 850 Wilson, M., & Daly, M. (1993). Lethal confrontational violence among young men. In N. J. Bell
- & R. W. Bell (Eds.), *Adolescent risk taking* (pp. 84-106). Newbury Park, CA: Sage
 Publications, Inc.
- Wilson, P. R. (1968). Perceptual distortion of height as a function of ascribed academic status. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 74(1), 97-102.
- 855 Yap, A. J., Mason, M. F., & Ames, D. R. (2013). The powerful size others down: The link
- between power and estimates of others' size. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology,
- 857 49(3), 591-594. (DOI: 10.1016/j.jesp.2012.10.003)

858

;