UCLA UCLA Electronic Theses and Dissertations

Title

Allyl Isothiocyanate-Induced Rapid Sensitization of Heart Rate in Larval Zebrafish (Danio rerio)

Permalink <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9zv7r2m2>

Author Razee, Asif Al

Publication Date 2019

Peer reviewed|Thesis/dissertation

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Los Angeles

Allyl Isothiocyanate-Induced Rapid Sensitization of Heart Rate in Larval Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*)

A thesis submitted in satisfaction of the requirements for the degree Master of Science in

Physiological Science

by

Asif Al Razee

© Copyright by

Asif Al Razee

ABSTRACT OF THE THESIS

Allyl Isothiocyanate-Induced Rapid Sensitization of Heart Rate in Larval Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*)

by

Asif Al Razee

Master of Science in Physiological Science University of California, Los Angeles, 2019 Professor David L Glanzman, Chair

Among the most protuberant behaviors during early developmental stages in the zebrafish is changes in heart rate. In particular, past studies demonstrated behavioral sensitization by producing a range of behavioral modifications and often activating the autonomic nervous system. Previous work has shown that allyl isothiocyanate (AITC or mustard oil, MO) most likely elicits its sensitization effects through activation of TRP channels expressed on the trigeminal and Rohon Beard sensory neurons in larval zebrafish. To determine if AITC exposure activates the sympathetic nervous system in larval zebrafish, we used heart rate as a proxy for the activation of the autonomic nervous system. To confirm that our behavioral sensitization was induced by activation of TRP channels, we used Ruthenium Red (RR), which was previously shown to antagonize these receptors in zebrafish. In the present study, we found that $10 \mu M$ total bath concentration of AITC significantly increased the heart rate activity in 5-day post fertilization (dpf), agarose-restrained larval zebrafish compared to control treated animals. On the other hand, 10 μ M total bath concentration of RR exposure prior to 10 μ M AITC exposure significantly decreased the heart rate activity, whereas, the equal concentration RR exposure after the AITC exposure had no suppressive effect on AITC induced increased heart rate in 5

DPF, agarose-restrained larval zebrafish. Further, we used behavioral pharmacology to dissect the molecular underpinnings of the sensitization memory. To determine whether the different neural circuits contributing to enhanced heart rate depend upon one or many different neuromodulators and ascertain how these neuromodulators influence distinct neural circuits we have conducted various extracellular receptor blockade experiments. We used D-APV, a competitive NMDAR antagonist, MK801, a non-competitive NMDAR antagonist, Methiothepin, a selective serotonin receptor antagonist, Haloperidol, a dopamine D2 receptor antagonist, Mecamylamine, a non-competitive nicotinic acetylcholine receptor antagonist, Propranolol, a non-selective beta-adrenergic receptor blocker and Atropine**,** a non-selective muscarinic acetylcholinergic antagonist. We discovered that AITC induced sensitization is accompanied by increase in heart rate, which implicates serotonin, dopamine receptors as well as β-adrenergic, nicotinic and muscarinic acetylcholine receptors from cardiac autonomic nervous system, but NMDA receptors don't seem to play any role in this short term memory. Together, these results indicate the enormous potential that zebrafish hold as an animal model for the study of learning and memory, especially non-associative memory.

The thesis of Asif Al Razee is approved.

Mark Arthur Frye

Mansoureh Eghbali

David L Glanzman, Committee Chair

University of California, Los Angeles

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my family, specially my parents, spouse and children for being

supportive of my academic endeavors.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to humbly thank Dr. David Glanzman for giving me the opportunity to join his lab as well as providing guidance and support throughout my master's career and beyond. I additionally would like to thank Dr. Adam Roberts and the lab members who have helped me develop my skillsets and my committee members for their invaluable time and input.

INTRODUCTION

Both associative and non associative learning leading to procedural/ nondeclarative memory formation in invertebrates and vertebrates have been documented in situ. Researchers have demonstrated a form of non-associative memory, sensitization, an enhanced behavioral response due to aversive or arousing stimuli in diverse organisms (Cai et al., 2012; Carew et al., 1971; Duerr and Quinn, 1982; Fendt et al., 1994; Koch, 1999; Krasne and Glanzman, 1986; Groves et al., 1969; Rankin et al., 1990; Thompson and Spencer, 1966; Watkins et al., 2010). Particularly the invertebrate *Aplysia californica* served as a great study model for various nonassociative learning and memory, such as long-term sensitization and long-term habituation of gill and siphon withdrawal reflex (Bailey and Chen 1983). The advantage of using *Aplysia californica* as a model organism is the rapid behavioral screening through easily visible motor movements as well as it's massive neurons for in-vitro study. By manipulating these advantages researches have made remarkable progress toward understanding biochemical correlates of behavioral sensitization (Byrne and Hawkins, 2015; Cleary and Byrne, 1993; Glanzman et al., 1990; Glanzman et al., 1989; Hegde et al., 1997; Hu et al., 2015; Kaang et al., 1993; Martin et al., 1997; Rajasethupathy et al., 2012; Sugita et al., 1992; White et al., 1993; Xu et al., 1994). Many molecules, synaptic plasticity, and structural plasticity are essentially the same in non-associative and associative form of memory in both invertebrate and vertebrate animal models (Dash et al., 1990; Frank and Greenberg, 1994; Kandel, 2012; Byrne and Hawkins, 2015; Hawkins and Byrne, 2015). However, it is very difficult to study the molecular, cellular, and systems level basis of memory formation and consolidation in vertebrate organisms, since they have complex neuronal circuitries, large number of neurons and require vigorous behavioral screens. Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*), a vertebrate class organism, has become a powerful

model to study genetic and neural circuits that regulate behavior. This is due to a number of key advantages that zebrafish possesses, such as, large clutch sizes (100+ eggs per breeding pair), relatively simple neural circuitry with only \sim 100,000 neurons in 5-day post fertilization (dpf) larvae that facilitate cellular analysis of behavior; and translucence, which allows for in-vivo experimentation and optogenetic imaging (Roberts et al., 2013; Ahrens et al., 2013; Meyer and Smith, 2006; Sagasti et al., 2005). Zebrafish larvae can be also exposed to various pharmacological substances in a bath application; therefore, behavioral screenings can be performed quickly and efficiently (Goldsmith et al., 2004). As a vertebrate class organism, zebrafish are considered analogous models for human neurobiological disease (Guo, 2004) and human learning and memory (Best, 2008).

Although our understanding of the biochemical correlates associated with behavioral sensitization is drawn largely from observations of various defensive withdrawal behavior in *Aplysia californica* and other invertebrate organisms, some studies have investigated sensitization of physiological systems, such as cardiovascular system and their associated behaviors. These experiments have shown that sensitizing stimulation can alter physiological function as well as behaviour (Levy and Susswein 1993; Levy et al. 1994, Krontiris- Litowitz et al. 1989, 1994, 1999). Among the most protuberant behaviors during early developmental stages in the zebrafish is heart rate fluctuations. In order to utilize the advantages of zebrafish larvae as a model organism to elucidate the cellular and molecular mechanisms involved in nonassociative learned behavior, it is critical to discover novel forms of memory that they can express at \sim 5-dpf.

To develop a simple and robust protocol for eliciting varying heart rates, we utilized mustard oil (allyl isothiocyanate, AITC) as a sensitizing stimulus for 5-DPF zebrafish larvae.

AITC has been shown to activate Transient receptor potential (TRP) ion channels, which are responsible for detecting a range of thermal, chemical and mechanical stimuli (Christensen and Corey, 2007). Ruthenium red (RR), on the other hand, has been identified as a noncompetitive antagonist that act as an inhibitor against all TRP channels (Cahusac, 2009). A particularly interesting TRP channel is TRPA1, which has been linked in detecting noxious chemicals in mammals and *Drosophila* (Bautista et al., 2006), in mediating mechanotransduction in mammals and *C. elegans* (Kindt et al., 2007). Zebrafish larvae perceive a variety of thermal, chemical and mechanical stimuli through trigeminal and Rohon-Beard sensory neurons, whose cutaneous peripheral axons innervate the head and trunk, respectively (Sagasti et al., 2005). Therefore, we hypothesized that the increased activation of trigeminal mechanoreceptors from short-term exposure to AITC would sensitize larvae zebrafish and increase their heart rate compared to the normal heart rate. Conversely, exposure to ruthenium red would block the AITC induced sensitization of heart rate in larval zebrafish (5 DPF).

Previous studies in *Aplysia californica* investigating the role of neural mechanisms on induction and maintenance of sensitization-induced increase in heart rate employed nerve conduction blockade during sensitization. These studies have suggested that like sensitization of defensive behaviours, sensitization-induced increase in heart rate depends on functional conductive neural pathway (Krontiris- Litowitz et al., 1989, 1994, 1999). Experiments with 5- HT1B receptor knockout have shown to impede sensitization induced increase of heart rate in mice (Robert Meas et at, 2000). Using laser ablation technique, researchers demonstrated the role of the Mauthner neuron in zebrafish escape response (Satou, C., 2009). In the current study, we further used behavioral pharmacology to dissect the molecular underpinnings of the AITC induced sensitization memory. To determine whether the different neural circuits contributing to

enhanced heart rate depend upon one or many different neuromodulators and ascertain how these neuromodulators influence distinct neural circuits we have conducted various extracellular receptor blockade experiments. We used D-APV, a competitive NMDAR antagonist; MK801, a non-competitive NMDAR antagonist; Methiothepin, a selective serotonin receptor antagonist; Haloperidol, a dopamine D2 receptor antagonist; Mecamylamine, a non-competitive nicotinic acetylcholine receptor antagonist; Propranolol, a non-selective beta-adrenergic receptor blocker and Atropine, a non-selective muscarinic acetylcholinergic antagonist.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

After collection, zebrafish eggs were put into E3 water (5 mM NaCl, 0.33 mM MgSO4, 0.33 mM CaCl₂, 0.17 mM KCl, 10⁻⁵% methylene blue, pH 7.2) and placed in an incubator (28.5) °C). Behavioral experiments were performed on the TL strain of zebrafish obtained from the UCLA core facility.

Behavioral protocols

Experiments measuring heart rate in restrained fish

Larval zebrafish (mixed sex), 5-dpf, were fully restrained in 3% low melting point agarose and placed inside of a cell culture dish (50 mm diameter) to which E3 (9 mL) was added after the agar had hardened. Each fish was positioned laterally to facilitate observation of heart rate. Once the agarose solidified, a small window of agarose above the head was cut away to allow complete access of solutions to the skin on the head. The fish were then placed under a dissecting microscope and allowed to acclimate for 30 min. A baseline heart rate was determined with visual observation for a period of 30 s. 30 s after this baseline observation, 75 μ L (10 μ M) of either AITC or E3 was added to the bath for 1 min. While the fish was being exposed to AITC or control solutions, another measurement of heart rate was taken 30 s after the initial exposure. After the 1-minute exposure to AITC or control solutions, a manual wash of AITC or control solutions from the bath was performed for 1 min using E3 and plastic Pasteur pipette. Two minutes after the washout (Four min after the initial exposure to AITC or E3), a final measurement of heart rate was taken. Similar methods were employed for experiments involving Ruthenium Red (RR) except the RR (10 μ M) or control solutions (E3) were washed into the bath 4 min prior to AITC application or were washed into the bath as AITC or control solutions were being washed out of the bath.

Extracellular receptor blockade experiments measuring heart rate in restrained fish Similar to above methods, larval zebrafish (mixed sex), 5-dpf, were fully restrained in 3% low melting point agarose, to which E3 (9 mL) was added. Once the agarose solidified, a small window of agarose above the head was cut away to allow complete access of solutions to the skin on the head. Then the fish was exposed to either 75 µL of E3 or DMSO or drug (an extracellular receptor blocker) with appropriate concentration. The drugs used as extracellular receptor blocker included: 100 μ M DAPV (a competitive NMDAR Antagonist), 100 μ M MK801(a Non-competitive NMDAR Antagonist), 10µM Methiothepin (a selective antagonist of serotonin receptors), 20 µM Haloperidol (a dopamine D2 receptor antagonist), 30μ M Mecamylamine (a non-competitive nicotinic acetylcholine receptor antagonist), 100µM Propranolol (a non-selective beta-adrenergic receptor blocker), 100 μ M Atropine (a nonselective muscarinic acetylcholinergic antagonist) and 100μ M Propranolol plus 100 μ M Atropine. The fish were then placed under a dissecting microscope and allowed to acclimate for

60 min. A baseline heart rate was determined with visual observation for a period of 30 s. 5 minutes after this baseline observation, 75 μ L (10 μ M) of either AITC or E3 was added directly to the fish head for 1 min. While the fish was being exposed to AITC or control solutions, another measurement of heart rate was taken 30 s after the initial AITC exposure. After the 1 minute exposure to AITC or control solutions, a manual wash was performed for 1 min using E3 and plastic Pasteur pipette. Two minutes after the washout (Four min after the initial exposure to AITC or E3), a final measurement of heart rate was taken.

Pharmacology

Sensitization was elicited with the chemical irritant AITC (mustard oil) for 30s to 1 min. To block transient receptor potential (TRP) channels, we used Ruthenium red (RR:10 µM). AITC and RR were obtained from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). The drugs for extracellular receptor blockade experiments were obtained from Sigma (DAPV, MK801, Mecamylamine, Propanolol), Tocric, Minneapolis, MN (Methiothepin), SC Biotechnology, Greenville, SC (Haloperidol) and Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI (Atropine).

Statistical analyses

Statistical comparisons were conducted using Analyses of variance (ANOVAs). For experiments measuring the same fish over time, repeated measures between groups ANOVAs were used. A Tukey HSD test was used for all post-hoc analyses.

RESULTS

Allyl isothiocyanate-induced behavioral sensitization activates the autonomic nervous system and is dependent upon TRP channels

Induction of behavioral sensitization often results in the activation of the autonomic nervous system besides modifying a range of behavioral patterns (Bouwknecht et al., 2000; Krontiris-Litowitz, 1999). To determine whether AITC exposure activates the sympathetic nervous system in larval zebrafish, we used heart rate as an indicator of autonomic nervous system activation. Accordingly, we measured heart rate in larvae fully restrained in agarose before, during, and after application of AITC. Each larva was fully restrained in agarose in a small petri dish (50 mm diameter) to which 9 mL E3 was added after the agar had hardened. A small window of agarose above the head was cut away to permit ready access of solutions to the skin on the head. After acclimating for 30-min, 75 µL of either AITC or E3 was added to the bath; the final concentration of AITC in the dish was 10 μ M (Fig. 2A1). After a 1-min exposure period, the AITC/E3 was washed out of the holding dish. The normalized heart rate of larvae was significantly enhanced in the presence of AITC (AITC_{HR} group = 1.164 ± 0.031 beats per min [BPM]), as well as at the 4-min test \sim 2 min after the aversive agent was washed out of the bathing solution) (AITC_{HR} group = 1.219 \pm 0.021 BPM) compared to a group of larvae exposed only to E3 (E3_{HR} group, initial measurement = 1.009 ± 0.003 BPM; measurement at the 4-min test = 1.016 ± 0.009 BPM) (Fig. 2A2). Thus, the 1min exposure to AITC induced short-term sensitization of heart rate in zebrafish larvae.

Previous research indicated that AITC activates TRP channels expressed in the trigeminal and Rohon Beard sensory neurons in larval zebrafish (Prober et al., 2008). To confirm this, we used 10 µM ruthenium red (RR), which has been shown to antagonize TRP channels in zebrafish

(Prober et al., 2008) (Fig. 2B1). Bath application of RR (10 μ M) for 4 min prior to AITC exposure blocked the increase in the normalized heart rate in the presence of the irritant (RR-AITC group =1.011 \pm 0.010 BPM; E3-AITC = 1.143 \pm 0.009 BPM), as well as the sensitization of the heart rate observed after washout of AITC (4-min test: RR-AITC group = 1.014 ± 0.018) BPM; E3-AITC group = 1.160 ± 0.011 BPM) (Fig. 2B2). This confirms that the AITC induced sensitization of zebrafish heart rate is due to the activation of TRP channels located within the trigeminal and Rohon Beard sensory neurons.

To test the possibility that AITC was not being completely washed out from the bath or AITC activating TRP channels lead to a prolonged sensory neuron activation in the absence of the chemical irritant we performed another experiment in which RR was added to the petri dish after exposure to AITC (Fig. 2C1). Previous research has shown that RR effectively antagonizes AITC-induced activation of TRP channels even when the irritant AITC is applied prior to the onset of RR treatment (Prober et al., 2008). Application of RR following the washout of AITC did not affect the enhanced heart rate produced by the irritant (AITC-E3 group: measurement in irritant = 1.183 ± 0.015 BPM; 4-min test = 1.192 ± 0.019 BPM vs. AITC-RR group: measurement in irritant = 1.175 ± 0.016 BPM; 4-min test = 1.176 ± 0.021 BPM) (Fig. 2C2). Thus, the AITC induced enhancement of heart rate was not due to incomplete washout of this aversive agent or sustained activity of sensory neurons resulting from prolonged TRP channel activation.

Extracellular receptor blockade experiments measuring heart rate in restrained fish

To determine the role of various extracellular receptors in AITC induced short term sensitization of heart rate, different neurotransmitter receptor blockade experiments were

employed. The drugs that were used to block receptors associated with AITC induced heart rate sensitization included 100 µM DAPV (a competitive NMDAR Antagonist), 100 µM MK801(a Non-competitive NMDAR Antagonist), 10μ M Methiothepin (a selective antagonist of serotonin receptors), 20 µM Haloperidol (a dopamine D2 receptor antagonist), 30µM Mecamylamine (a non-competitive nicotinic acetylcholine receptor antagonist), 100µM Propranolol (a nonselective beta-adrenergic receptor blocker) and 100 μ M Atropine (a non-selective muscarinic acetylcholinergic antagonist). Zebrafish larvae was restrained in agarose in a small petri dish and a small window of agarose above the head was cut away to permit ready access of solutions to the skin on the head. Then the fish was exposed to either 75 µL of E3 or DMSO or an extracellular receptor blocker (drug) with appropriate concentration added to the cell culture dish. The fish were then placed under a dissecting microscope and allowed to acclimate for 60 min. A baseline heart rate was determined and after a 5 min wait period 75 μ L (10 μ M) of either AITC or E3 was added directly to the fish head for 1 min. While the fish was being exposed to AITC or control solutions, another measurement of heart rate was taken 30 s after the initial exposure. After the 1-minute exposure to AITC or control solutions, a manual wash was performed for 1 min using E3. Two minutes after the washout (Four min after the initial exposure to AITC or E3), a final measurement of heart rate was taken. (Fig. 3B)

Lack of an effect of NMDAR blockade on AITC induced heart rate

sensitization

Previous research indicated that bath application of DAPV completely blocked NMDA receptors in the brain of zebrafish larvae. (Nam RH., 2004, Edwards WG., 2002). Bath application of DAPV (100 μ M) for 60 min prior to E3 exposure had no effect in the normalized heart rate (Con-Apv group =1.016 \pm 0.004 BPM; Con-E3 = 1.016 \pm 0.005 BPM), as well as the

heart rate observed after washout (4-min test: Con-Apv group = 1.016 ± 0.005 BPM; Con-E3 group = 1.017 ± 0.005 BPM) (Fig 4A). Thus bath application of DAPV did not modify heart rate in a nonspecific manner.

Bath application of DAPV (100 μ M) for 60 min prior to AITC exposure also had no effect in the normalized heart rate in the presence of the irritant (Sens-APV group = 1.186 ± 1.186) 0.021 BPM; Sens-E3 = 1.182 ± 0.023 BPM), as well as the sensitization of the heart rate observed after washout of AITC (4-min test: Sens-APV group $= 1.196 \pm 0.021$ BPM; Sens-E3 group $= 1.192 \pm 0.023$ BPM) (Fig 4B). Thus, blocking NMDAR by the 60 min exposure to DAPV did not impede sensitization of heart rate in zebrafish larvae.

Previous research indicated that bath application of MK801 blocked NMDA receptors in the brain of zebrafish larvae (Gaspray et al., 2018). Bath application of MK-801 (100 µM) for 60 min prior to E3 exposure significantly increased the normalized heart rate (Con-MK801 group $=1.042 \pm 0.008$ BPM; Con-E3 = 1.014 \pm 0.005 BPM), as well as the heart rate observed after washout (4-min test: Con-MK801 group = 1.048 ± 0.010 BPM; Con-E3 group = 1.020 ± 0.006 BPM) (Fig 4C).

Bath application of MK801 (100 μ M) for 60 min prior to AITC exposure had no effect in the normalized heart rate in the presence of the irritant (Sens-MK801 group =1.166 \pm 0.020 BPM; Sens-E3 = 1.162 ± 0.024 BPM), as well as the sensitization of the heart rate observed after washout of AITC (4-min test: Sens-MK801 group = 1.167 ± 0.013 BPM; Sens-E3 group = 1.174 \pm 0.018 BPM) (Fig 4D). Thus, blocking NMDAR by the 60 min exposure to mk801 did not impede sensitization of heart rate in zebrafish larvae.

Serotonin receptor blockade attenuates AITC induced heart rate sensitization

Previous research indicated that administration of methiothepin reduced heart rate by blocking NMDA receptors in the preoptic area of conscious rats (Szabo et al., 1996). Bath application of Methiothepin (10μ M) for 60 min prior to E3 exposure had no effect in the normalized heart rate (Con- Methio group =1.007 \pm 0.004 BPM; Con-E3 = 1.011 \pm 0.003 BPM), as well as the heart rate observed after washout (4-min test: Con-Methio group = 1.009 ± 0.004) BPM; Con-E3 group = 1.010 ± 0.003 BPM) (Fig 5A). Thus bath application of methiothepin did not modify heart rate in zebrafish in a nonspecific manner.

Bath application of Methiothepin (10 μ M) for 60 min prior to AITC exposure significantly decreased the normalized heart rate (Sens-Methio group = 1.003 ± 0.008 BPM; Sens-E3 = 1.170 ± 0.008 BPM), as well as the heart rate observed after washout (4-min test: Sens-Methio group = 1.010 ± 0.004 BPM; Sens-E3 group = 1.175 ± 0.007 BPM) (Fig 5B). This confirms that blocking serotonin receptor attenuated AITC induced heart rate sensitization.

Dopamine D2 receptor blockade impedes AITC induced heart rate

sensitization

Previous research indicated that bath application of haloperidol reduced heart rate by blocking Dopamine D2 receptors in the zebrafish larvae (Parker et al., 2014). Bath application of Haloperidol (20µM) for 60 min prior to E3 exposure had no effect in the normalized heart rate (Con- Halip group =1.012 \pm 0.004 BPM; Con-E3 = 1.012 \pm 0.002 BPM), as well as the heart rate observed after washout (4-min test: Con-Halip group = 1.012 ± 0.004 BPM; Con-E3 group = 1.012 ± 0.003 BPM) (Fig 6A). Thus bath application of haloperidol did not modify heart rate in zebrafish in a nonspecific manner.

Bath application of Haloperidol (20μ M) for 60 min prior to AITC exposure significantly decreased the normalized heart rate (Sens-Halip group = 1.103 ± 0.049 BPM; Sens-E3 = 1.205 ± 0.049 0.013 BPM), as well as the heart rate observed after washout $(4\text{-min test: Sens-Halip group} =$ 1.128 ± 0.045 BPM; Sens-E3 group = 1.220 ± 0.011 BPM). (Fig 6B). This confirms that blocking dopamine D2 receptor attenuated AITC induced heart rate sensitization.

Autonomic nervous system blockade impedes AITC induced heart rate sensitization

Previous research indicated that administration of haloperidol elicited a biphasic effect on heart rate by blocking nicotinic acetylcholine receptor in rat model (Jutkiewicz et al., 2013). Bath application of Mecamylamine (30µM) for 60 min prior to E3 exposure had no effect in the normalized heart rate (Con- Mec group =1.013 \pm 0.002 BPM; Con-E3 = 1.012 \pm 0.004 BPM), as well as the heart rate observed after washout (4-min test: Con-Mec group = 1.011 ± 0.007 BPM; Con-E3 group = 1.014 ± 0.006 BPM) (Fig 7A). Thus bath application of mecamylamine did not modify heart rate in zebrafish in a nonspecific manner.

Bath application of Mecamylamine (30 μ M) for 60 min prior to AITC exposure significantly decreased the normalized heart rate (Sens-Mec group $=1.013 \pm 0.004$ BPM; Sens- $E3 = 1.176 \pm 0.017$ BPM), however had no effect on the heart rate observed after washout (4min test: Sens-Mec group = 1.194 ± 0.015 BPM; Sens-E3 group = 1.172 ± 0.032 BPM) (Fig 7B). This confirms that blocking nicotinic acetylcholine receptor impedes AITC induced heart rate sensitization.

Previous research indicated that bath application of propanolol significantly reduced heart rate by blocking beta-adrenergic receptor in zebrafish larvae (Finn et al., 2012). Bath application of Propanolol (100 μ M) for 60 min prior to E3 exposure had no effect in the normalized heart rate

(Con- Prop group = 0.980 ± 0.019 BPM; Con-E3 = 1.010 ± 0.005 BPM), as well as the heart rate observed after washout (4-min test: Con-Prop group $= 0.969 \pm 0.022$ BPM; Con-E3 group $=$ 0.997 ± 0.008 BPM) (Fig 7C). Thus bath application of propanolol did not modify heart rate in zebrafish in a nonspecific manner.

Bath application of Propanolol (100 μ M) for 60 min prior to AITC exposure significantly decreased the normalized heart rate (Sens-Prop group =0.820 \pm 0.034 BPM; Sens-E3 = 1.211 \pm 0.007 BPM), as well as the heart rate observed after washout (4-min test: Sens-Prop group = 0.788 ± 0.053 BPM; Sens-E3 group = 1.234 \pm 0.008 BPM) (Fig 7D). This confirms that blocking beta-adrenergic receptor attenuated AITC induced heart rate sensitization.

Previous research indicated that bath application of atropine significantly increased heart rate by blocking muscarinic acetylcholinergic receptor in zebrafish larvae (Mann et al., 2010).. Bath application of Atropine (100 μ M) for 60 min prior to AITC exposure decreased the normalized heart rate (Sens-Prop group $=1.090 \pm 0.059$ BPM; Sens-E3 = 1.162 \pm 0.008 BPM), as well as the heart rate observed after washout (4-min test: Sens-Prop group $= 1.108 \pm 0.063$ BPM; Sens-E3 group = 1.185 ± 0.007 BPM), however the data were not significant. (Fig 7E). The persistent bradycardia that we observed by the bath application of propranolol is blocked here by the muscarinic antagonist atropine.

We further investigated the role of sympathetic and parasympathetic branches of autonomic nervous system in AITC induced heart rate sensitization by exposing the zebrafish larvae to both propranolol and atropine together. Bath application of both 100µM Propanolol and 100µM Atropine for 60 min prior to AITC exposure decreased the normalized heart rate (Sens-Prop group = 1.080 ± 0.001 BPM; Sens-E3 = 1.822 ± 0.004 BPM), as well as the heart rate observed after washout (4-min test: Sens-Prop group = 1.131 ± 0.009 BPM; Sens-E3 group =

 1.195 ± 0.007 BPM), however the data were not significant. (Fig 7F). This data validates the rescue effect of atropine on AITC induced heart rate sensitization of larval zebrafish that have been shown in the previous experiment to significantly reduce due to propranolol exposure.

DISCUSSION

In the current study we have described a novel form of nonassociative learning in zebrafish larvae, sensitization (Cai et al., 2012; Carew et al., 1971; Duerr and Quinn, 1982; Fendt et al., 1994; Koch, 1999; Krasne and Glanzman, 1986; Groves et al., 1969; Rankin et al., 1990; Thompson and Spencer, 1966; Watkins et al., 2010) of heart rate. Here, we demonstrated that a brief exposure to 10µM of allyl isothiocyanate (AITC or mustard oil, MO) significantly activated the TRP1A receptors in 5-dpf larval zebrafish inducing rapid sensitization of heart rate and exposure to 10µM of ruthenium red (RR) inhibited the TRP1A receptors in these larval zebrafish blocking heart rate sensitization or desensitized the fish decreasing its heart rate. Previous studies have reported that AITC activates the TRP1A receptors in the Trigeminal and Rohan Beard sensory neurons in zebrafish head (Prober et al., 2008). However, researchers have not explored heart rate behavior due to increased sensitization through TRPA1 receptor agonist AITC. Moreover, in the current study we demonstrated the AITC induced enhancement of heart rate was not due to incomplete washout of this aversive agent or sustained activity of sensory neurons resulting from prolonged TRP channel activation, but it was rater due t the induction of short term sensitization (Fig. 2C2).

The heart rate sensitization is different from the phenomena observed in other studies of behavioral sensitization, since enhancement of heart rate it is not an evoked response. Heart is myogenic and the contraction is not instigated by sensory stimulation, but rather modified by it.

¹⁴

The current study together with previous studies on zebrafish and *Aplysia californica* suggest that physiological systems respond to sensitizing stimulus and that in physiological systems sensitizing stimuli can facilitate spontaneous phenomena as well as elicited phenomena (Mann et al., 2010; Krontiris- Litowitz et al. 1989, 1994). The enhancement in heart rate produced by AITC (Fig. 2A2) confirms the activation of the autonomic nervous system. It has been reported previously that zebrafish uses both parasympathetic and sympathetic branches of the autonomic nervous system early during developmental stages to regulate cardiac output (Mann et al., 2010). Although the persistence of rapid increased heart rate in the larvae following washout of AITC is attributable to autonomic nervous system (ANS) activity, other factors may also contribute. Previous studies have shown that noxious stimuli similar to those used in current study elicit the release of paracrine or endocrine factors which may act as mediators to increase heart rate following sensitization. (Koester and Koch 1987; Dieringer et al. 1978; Koch et al. 1984; Krontiris- Litowitz et al. 1989, 1994).

In *Aplysia californica*, the heterosynaptic modulatory input from monoaminergic interneurons facilitate various behavioral sensitization. The sensitization-related neuronal changes are mediated by a modulatory neurotransmitter, serotonin (Brunelli et al., 1976; Glanzman et al., 1989; Hochner et al., 1986a; Hochner et al., 1986b; Marinesco and Carew, 2002). Accordingly, we explored whether AITC-induced release of one or more monoamines within the CNS mediates sensitization of heart rate in zebrafish larvae. Moreover, we investigated the role of sympathetic and parasympathetic neuromodulators on AITC-induced sensitization of heart rate. To ascertain how these neuromodulators influence distinct neural circuits we have conducted various extracellular receptor blockade experiments (Fig. 3A). In line with several previous researches, NMDAR blockade with both DAPV and MK801 have shown

no effect on AITC induced rapid heart rate sensitization (Fig. 4B, 4D) (Wood et al, 2015). Blocking serotonin receptor with methiothepin attenuated AITC induced rapid heart rate sensitization, whereas methiothepin did not modify heart rate in zebrafish in a nonspecific manner (Fig 5A, 5B) (Szabo et al., 1996). Dopamine D2 receptor blockade by bath application of haloperidol impedes AITC induced rapid heart rate sensitization, although dopamine did not modify heart rate in zebrafish in a nonspecific manner (Fig 6A, 6B) (Parker et al., 2014). The current study showed that autonomic nervous system appears to play a major role in initiation and maintenance of AITC induced rapid heart rate sensitization. Previous research indicated that administration of haloperidol elicited a biphasic effect on heart rate by blocking nicotinic acetylcholine receptor in rat model (Jutkiewicz et al., 2013). In line with that, bath application of mecamylamine reduced short term sensitization of heart rate in the presence of stimulating agent, but the heart rate was elevated after the washout procedures. The rapidly enhanced heart rate following AITC induced sensitization was severely blocked by the beta-adrenergic antagonist propranolol (also a very weak 5HT 1A/1B/2B receptor antagonist), suggesting that this response is mediated by the sympathetic nervous system (Finn et al., 2012; Mann et al, 2010). On the other hand, the AITC induced increase in heart rate was insignificantly reduced by the muscarinic antagonist atropine. Bath application of both propranolol and atropine blocked the bradycardia that was evident from treatment with propranolol alone, suggesting that this response is mediated by the parasympathetic system (Mann et al, 2010).

In the current study some possible sources of error include the agarosing of zibrafish larvae that could have had different thermal stimulation, loud noises and any vibration to the acclimatizing apparatus. The freeing procedures for head might have also lead to increased sensitization to some fishes. The freeing of head might not have been done properly leading to not exposing the fish head to the various drugs might also lead to erroneous results. Future experiments using optogenetics together with laser ablation of serotonergic neurons and specific subsets of serotonin, dopamine, nicotinic, muscarinic, adrenergic receptor blockade as well as intracellular receptor blockade should help to clarify the role of sensitization in the prolonged elevation of larval heart rate after washout of AITC.

In conclusion, our current study elucidated a simple, robust protocol for allyl isothiocyanate-induced rapid sensitization of heart rate in larval zebrafish. We also demonstrated ruthenium red induced desensitization/ inactivation of TRP receptor leading to reduced heart rate. Further we report that this AITC induced heart rate sensitization implicates serotonin, dopamine receptors as well as β-adrenergic, nicotinic and muscarinic acetylcholine receptors from cardiac autonomic nervous system, but NMDA receptors don't seem to play any role in this short term memory. Together, these results indicate the enormous potential that zebrafish hold as an animal model for the study of learning and memory, especially non-associative memory.

Figure 1. Semi restrained preparation of AITC induced heart rate paradigm. Representative image of 5 dpf fish larvae positioned laterally in cell culture dish to facilitate observation of heart rate. Dorsal portion of head was freed for experimental manipulation. image of 5 dpf fish larvae positioned laterally in cell culture dish rate. Dorsal portion of head was freed for experimental manip

Figure 2. AITC causes a post-exposure increase in heart rate in restrained zebrafish larvae that depends on TRP channels. (A1) Experimental protocol investigating the effect of AITC/E3 on zebrafish heart rate. The experiments were performed on larvae fully restrained in agar. The skin on each larva's head was exposed to AITC/E3 by removal of a small section of agar. Heart rate was measured 1 minute before, during and 3 minutes after exposure to mustard oil or E3. There

was a 1 minute washout after 1 minute exposure to AITC/E3. The pretest HR was used to normalize the response to the chemical irritant. (A2) Effect of AITC/E3 on larval heart rate. A repeated-measure Two-way ANOVA revealed a significant overall effect $(F_{[1,14]}=78.68, p <$ 0.05) that indicated that the group (AITC-HR; *n*= 8) exposed to mustard oil demonstrated a normalized increase in heart rate in the presence of the chemical irritant and after it was washed out compared to a group (Con-HR; $n = 8$) that was only exposed to E3. (B1) Experimental protocol investigating the effect of ruthenium red (RR) on AITC induced heart rate sensitization. The fish was exposed to RR $(10 \mu M)$ for 4 min prior to the onset of a 1 min exposure to AITC/E3. After the exposure to the AITC/E3, the RR and AITC/E3 were washed out of the petri dish. Heart rate was measured 1 minute before, during and 3 minutes after exposure to AITC or E3. (B2) The normalized mustard oil-induced enhancement of heart rate was reduced/blocked by bath application of RR (10 μ M) 4 min prior to the AITC/E3 exposure. A repeated-measures, two-way ANOVA indicated that the fish exposed to RR 4 min prior to AITC application (RR-AITC, $n = 8$) exhibited a significantly lower heart rate than did fish (E3-AITC, $n = 8$) not exposed to RR, both when AITC was present in the bath and after washout of the irritant $(F_{[1,14]}=67.06, p<0.05)$. (C1) Experimental protocol investigating the effect of RR on AITCinduced sensitization of heart rate. The RR was applied to the bath for a 3.5-min period beginning at the onset of the washout of AITC/E3. The baseline heart rate was measured 1min before the onset of AITC/E3; the second heart rate measurement was taken 30 s after the onset of AITC/E3; and the third 2 min after the end of washout. (C2) Lack of an effect of RR on AITCinduced sensitization of larval heart rate if RR is not present before or during AITC exposure. The AITC induced sensitization is not due to residual activation of AITC or prolonged TRP channel activation in the absence of the chemical irritant. A repeated-measures, two-way ANVOA indicated that the heart rate of larvae exposed to RR after AITC exposure (AITC-RR, *n* = 8) did not differ significantly from that of AITC-treated larvae not exposed to RR in the presence of AITC or 3 min after the initiation of wash out procedures (AITC-E3, $n = 8$; $F_{[1,14]} = 0.35, p > 0.05$.

Figure 3A. General overview of the neuromodulation workflow. 3B. Experimental protocol investigating the effect of various neuromodulation (receptor blockade) on AITC induced heart rate sensitization on zebrafish larvae. The experiments were performed on larvae fully restrained in agar. The skin on each larva's head was exposed to the different receptor antagonists and AITC/E3 by removal of a small section of agar. The fish was exposed to different antagonists/E3/DMSO for 60 min prior to the onset of a 1 min exposure to AITC/E3. After the exposure to the AITC/E3 for 1 minute, the antagonist/DMSO and AITC/E3 were washed out of the petri dish for another minute. Heart rate was measured 1 minute before, during and 3 minutes after exposure to AITC or E3. The pretest HR was used to normalize the response to the chemical irritant.

Figure 4. The effect of NMDAR blockade on AITC induced heart rate sensitization. (A) Effect of D-APV (Competitive NMDAR Antagonist)/E3 on larval heart rate. A repeated-measures, two-way ANVOA indicated that the normalized heart rate of larvae exposed to D-APV (Con-APV, $n = 8$) did not differ significantly from larvae not exposed to D-APV while the fish was being exposed to control solutions or 3 min after the initiation of wash out procedures (Con-E3, $n = 8$; $F_{11,14} = 0.082$, $p > 0.05$). (B) Lack of an effect of D-APV on AITC-induced sensitization of larval heart rate. A repeated-measures, two-way ANVOA indicated that the normalized heart rate of larvae exposed to D-APV (Sens-APV, $n = 8$) did not differ significantly from larvae not exposed to D-APV while the fish was being exposed to AITC or 3 min after the initiation of wash out procedures (Sens-E3, $n = 8$; $F_{[1,14]} = 0.062$, $p > 0.05$). (C) Effect of MK-801 (Noncompetitive NMDAR Antagonist)/E3 on larval heart rate. A repeated-measures, two-way ANVOA indicated that the normalized heart rate of larvae exposed to MK-801 (Con-MK-801, *n* = 8) increased significantly from larvae not exposed to MK-801 while the fish was being exposed to control solutions and 3 min after the initiation of wash out procedures (Con-E3, *n* = 8; $F_{1,14}$ =65.08, $p \le 0.05$). (D) Lack of an effect of MK-801 on AITC-induced sensitization of larval heart rate. A repeated-measures, two-way ANVOA indicated that the normalized heart rate of larvae exposed to MK-801 (Sens- MK-801, *n* = 8) did not differ significantly from larvae not

exposed to MK-801 while the fish was being exposed to AITC or 3 min after the initiation of wash out procedures (Sens-E3, $n = 8$; $F_{[1,14]} = 0.49$, $p > 0.05$).

Figure 5. The effect of Serotonin receptor blockade on AITC induced heart rate sensitization. (A) Effect of Methiothepin (Selective antagonist of serotonin receptors)/E3 on larval heart rate. A repeated-measures, two-way ANVOA indicated that the normalized heart rate of larvae exposed to Methiothepin (Con-Methio, *n* = 8) did not differ significantly from larvae not exposed to Methiothepin while the fish was being exposed to control solutions or 3 min after the initiation of wash out procedures (Con-E3, $n = 8$; $F_{[1,14]} = 0.028$, $p > 0.05$). (B) Effect of Methiothepin /E3 on AITC induced larval heart rate. A repeated-measures, two-way ANVOA indicated that the normalized heart rate of larvae exposed to Methiothepin (Sens-Methio-801, *n* = 8) decreased significantly from larvae not exposed to Methiothepin while the fish was being exposed to AITC and 3 min after the initiation of wash out procedures (Sens-E3, $n = 8$; $F_{[1,14]} = 37.26$, $p < 0.05$).

Figure 6. The effect of Dopamine receptor blockade on MO induced heart rate sensitization. (A) Effect of Haloperidol (Dopamine D2 receptor antagonist)/E3 on larval heart rate. A repeatedmeasures, two-way ANVOA indicated that the normalized heart rate of larvae exposed to Haloperidol (Con-Halip, $n = 8$) did not differ significantly from larvae not exposed to Haloperidol while the fish was being exposed to control solutions or 3 min after the initiation of wash out procedures (Con-E3, $n = 8$; $F_{[1,14]} = 0.78$, $p > 0.05$). (B) Effect of Haloperidol /E3 on AITC induced larval heart rate. A repeated-measures, two-way ANVOA indicated that the normalized heart rate of larvae exposed to Haloperidol (Sens-Halip-801, *n* = 8) decreased significantly from larvae not exposed to Haloperidol while the fish was being exposed to AITC and 3 min after the initiation of wash out procedures (Sens-E3, $n = 8$; $F_{[1,14]} = 0.53.07$, $p \le 0.05$).

Fig 7. The effect of blocking autonomic input on MO induced heart rate sensitization.

(A) Effect of Mecamylamine (Non-competitive nicotinic acetylcholine receptor antagonist) /E3 on larval heart rate. A repeated-measures, two-way ANVOA indicated that the normalized heart rate of larvae exposed to Mecamylamine (Con-Mec, $n = 8$) did not differ significantly from larvae not exposed to Mecamylamine while the fish was being exposed to control solutions or 3 min after the initiation of wash out procedures (Con-E3, $n = 8$; $F_{11,141} = 0.39$, $p > 0.05$). (B) Effect of Mecamylamine /E3 on AITC induced larval heart rate. A repeated-measures, two-way ANVOA indicated that the normalized heart rate of larvae exposed to Mecamylamine (Sens-Mec-801, $n = 8$) decreased significantly from larvae not exposed to Mecamylamine while the fish was being exposed to AITC, but did not differ significantly 3 min after the initiation of wash out procedures (Sens-E3, $n = 8$; $F_{11,14} = 59.70$, $p < 0.05$). (C) Effect of Propranolol (Non-selective beta-adrenergic receptor blocker) /E3 on larval heart rate. A repeated-measures, two-way ANVOA indicated that the normalized heart rate of larvae exposed to Propranolol (Prop-E3, *n* = 8) did not differ significantly from larvae not exposed to Propranolol while the fish was being exposed to control solutions or 3 min after the initiation of wash out procedures (E3-E3, $n = 8$; $F_{11,14}$ =2.34, $p > 0.05$). (D) Effect of Propranolol /E3 on AITC induced larval heart rate. A repeated-measures, two-way ANVOA indicated that the normalized heart rate of larvae exposed to Propranolol (Sens-Prop, *n* = 8) decreased significantly from larvae not exposed to Propranolol while the fish was being exposed to AITC and 3 min after the initiation of wash out procedures (Sens-E3, $n = 8$; $F_{[1,14]} = 84.08$, $p \le 0.05$). (E) Lack of an effect of Atropine (Non-selective muscarinic acetylcholinergic antagonist) on AITC-induced sensitization of larval heart rate. A repeated-measures, two-way ANVOA indicated that the normalized heart rate of larvae exposed to Atropine (Sens-Atro, $n = 8$) did not differ significantly from larvae not exposed to Atropine while the fish was being exposed to AITC or 3 min after the initiation of wash out procedures (Sens-E3, $n = 8$; $F_{[1,14]} = 8.53$, $p > 0.05$). (F) Lack of an effect of Propanolol and Atropine together on AITC-induced sensitization of larval heart rate. A repeated-measures, two-way ANVOA indicated that the normalized heart rate of larvae exposed to Propanolol and Atropine (Sens-Prop and Atro, $n = 8$) did not differ significantly from larvae not exposed to Propanolol and Atropine while the fish was being exposed to AITC or 3 min after the initiation of wash out procedures (Sens-E3, $n = 8$; $F_{[1,14]} = 12.06$, $p > 0.05$).

REFERENCES

- Ahmad F, Richardson MK (2013) Exploratory behaviour in the open field test adapted for larval zebrafish: impact of environmental complexity. Behav Processes 92:88-98.
- Ahrens MB, Li JM, Orger MB, Robson DN, Schier AF, Engert F, Portugues R (2012) Brainwide neuronal dynamics during motor adaptation in zebrafish. Nature 485:471-477.
- Ahrens MB, Orger MB, Robson DN, Li JM, Keller PJ (2013) Whole-brain functional imaging at cellular resolution using light-sheet microscopy. Nat Meth 10:413-420.
- Aizenberg M, Schuman EM (2011) Cerebellar-dependent learning in larval zebrafish. J Neurosci 31:8708-8712.
- Arrenberg AB, Del Bene F, Baier H (2009) Optical control of zebrafish behavior with halorhodopsin. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 106:17968-17973.
- Asok A, Leroy F, Rayman JB, Kandel ER (2019) Molecular Mechanisms of the Memory Trace. Trends Neurosci 42:14-22.
- Bailey CH, Chen M (1983) Morphological basis of long-term habituation and sensitization in Aplysia. Science 220(4592):91-3.
- Best JD, Berghmans S, Hunt JJFG, Clarke SC, Fleming A, Goldsmith P, Roach AG (2007) Nonassociative learning in larval zebrafish. Neuropsychopharmacology 33:1206-1215.
- Bouwknecht JA, Hijzen TH, van der Gugten J, Dirks A, Maes RA, Hen R, Geyer MA, Olivier B (2000) Startle responses, heart rate, and temperature in 5-HT1B receptor knockout mice. Neuroreport 11:4097-4102.
- Byrne JH, Hawkins RD (2015) Nonassociative learning in invertebrates. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 7
- Cai D, Pearce K, Chen S, Glanzman DL (2012) Reconsolidation of long-term memory in *Aplysia*. Current Biology 22:1783-1788.
- Carew TJ, Castellucci VF, Kandel ER (1971) An analysis of dishabituation and sensitization of the gill-withdrawal reflex in *Aplysia*. International Journal of Neuroscience 2:79-98.
- Chen TW, Wardill TJ, Sun Y, Pulver SR, Renninger SL, Baohan A, Schreiter ER, Kerr RA, Orger MB, Jayaraman V, Looger LL, Svoboda K, Kim DS (2013) Ultrasensitive fluorescent proteins for imaging neuronal activity. Nature 499:295-300.
- Christmas AJ, Maxwell DR (1970) A comparison of the effects of some benzodiazepines and other drugs on aggressive and exploratory behaviour in mice and rats. Neuropharmacology 9:17-29.
- Cleary LJ, Byrne JH (1993) Identification and characterization of a multifunction neuron contributing to defensive arousal in Aplysia. J Neurophysiol 70:1767-1776.
- Duerr JS, Quinn WG (1982) Three Drosophila mutations that block associative learning also affect habituation and sensitization. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 79:3646-3650.
- Edwards JG, Michel WC. (2018) Odor-stimulated glutamatergic neurotransmission in the zebrafish olfactory bulb. J Comp Neurol 454(3):294-309.
- Fendt M, Koch M, Schnitzler HU (1994) Amygdaloid noradrenaline is involved in the sensitization of the acoustic startle response in rats. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 48:307- 314.
- Finn J, Hui M, Li V, Lorenzi V, de la Paz N, Cheng SH, Lai-Chan L (2012) Effects of propranolol on heart rate and development in Japanese medaka (Oryzias latipes) and zebrafish (Danio rerio). Aquat Toxicol123:214-21.
- Gaspary KV, Reolon GK, Gusso D, Bonan CD (2018) Novel object recognition and object location tasks in zebrafish: Influence of habituation and NMDA receptor antagonism. Neurobiol Learn Mem 155:249-260.
- Glanzman DL (2009) Habituation in *Aplysia*: The Cheshire cat of neurobiology. Neurobiology of Learning and Memory 92:147-154.
- Glanzman DL, Kandel ER, Schacher S (1990) Target-dependent structural changes accompanying long-term synaptic facilitation in *Aplysia* neurons. Science 249:799-802.
- Glanzman DL, Mackey SL, Hawkins RD, Dyke AM, Lloyd PE, Kandel ER (1989) Depletion of serotonin in the nervous system of *Aplysia* reduces the behavioral enhancement of gill withdrawal as well as the heterosynaptic facilitation produced by tail shock. Journal of Neuroscience 9:4200-4213.
- Goldsmith P (2004) Zebrafish as a pharmacological tool: the how, why and when. Current Opinion in Pharmacology 4:504-512.
- Groves PM, De Marco R, Thompson RF (1969) Habituation and sensitization of spinal interneuron activity in acute spinal cat. Brain Res 14:521-525.
- Hebb AL, Zacharko RM, Gauthier M, Drolet G (2003) Exposure of mice to a predator odor increases acoustic startle but does not disrupt the rewarding properties of VTA intracranial self-stimulation. Brain Res 982:195-210.
- Hegde AN, Inokuchi K, Pei W, Casadio A, Ghirardi M, Chain DG, Martin KC, Kandel ER, Schwartz JH (1997) Ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolase is an immediate-early gene essential for long-term facilitation in Aplysia. Cell 89:115-126.
- Hu JY, Levine A, Sung YJ, Schacher S (2015) cJun and CREB2 in the postsynaptic neuron contribute to persistent long-term facilitation at a behaviorally relevant synapse. J Neurosci 35:386-395.
- Hwang WY, Fu Y, Reyon D, Maeder ML, Tsai SQ, Sander JD, Peterson RT, Yeh JR, Joung JK (2013) Efficient genome editing in zebrafish using a CRISPR-Cas system. Nat Biotechnol 31:227-229.
- Johnson A, Hamilton TJ (2017) Modafinil decreases anxiety-like behaviour in zebrafish. PeerJ 5: e2994.
- Jutkiewicz EM, Rice KC, Carroll FI, Woods JH (2013) Patterns of nicotinic receptor antagonism II: cardiovascular effects in rats. Drug Alcohol Depend 131(3):284-97.
- Kaang BK, Kandel ER, Grant SG (1993) Activation of cAMP-responsive genes by stimuli that produce long-term facilitation in *Aplysia* sensory neurons. Neuron 10:427-435.

Koch M (1999) The neurobiology of startle. Prog Neurobiol 59:107-128.

- Koch M, Ebert U (1993) Enhancement of the acoustic startle response by stimulation of an excitatory pathway from the central amygdala/basal nucleus of Meynert to the pontine reticular formation. Exp Brain Res 93:231-241.
- Kotani T, Nagayoshi S, Urasaki A, Kawakami K (2006) Transposon-mediated gene trapping in zebrafish. Methods 39:199-206.
- Krasne FB, Glanzman DL (1986) Sensitization of the crayfish lateral giant escape reaction. Journal of Neuroscience 6:1013–1020.
- Krontiris-Litowitz J (1999) Sensitizing stimulation causes a long-term increase in heart rate in Aplysia californica. J Comp Physiol A 185:181-186.
- Lashley KS (1929) Brain Mechanisms and Intelligence: A Quantitative Study of Injuries to the Brain. University of Chicago Press.
- Mann KD, Hoyt C, Feldman S, Blunt L, Raymond A, Page-McCaw PS (2010) Cardiac response to startle stimuli in larval zebrafish: sympathetic and parasympathetic components. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 298(5):R1288-97.
- Martin KC, Casadio A, Zhu H, E Y, Rose JC, Chen M, Bailey CH, Kandel ER (1997) Synapsespecific, long-term facilitation of *Aplysia* sensory to motor synapses: a function for local protein synthesis in memory storage. Cell 91:927-938.
- Meyer MP, Smith SJ (2006) Evidence from in vivo imaging that synaptogenesis guides the growth and branching of axonal arbors by two distinct mechanisms. J Neurosci 26:3604- 3614.
- Moore FE, Reyon D, Sander JD, Martinez SA, Blackburn JS, Khayter C, Ramirez CL, Joung JK, Langenau DM (2012) Improved somatic mutagenesis in zebrafish using transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs). PLoS ONE 7: e37877.
- Nam RH, Kim W, Lee, CJ. (2004) NMDA receptor-dependent long-term potentiation in the telencephalon of the zebrafish. Neurosis Lett. 370(2-3):248-51

Parker T, Libourel PA, Hetheridge MJ, Cumming RI, Sutcliffe TP, Goonesinghe AC, Ball JS, Owen SF, Chomis Y, Winter MJ (2014) A multi-endpoint in vivo larval zebrafish (Danio rerio) model for the assessment of integrated cardiovascular function. J Pharmacol Toxicol. 69(1):30-8.

- Prober DA, Zimmerman S, Myers BR, McDermott BM, Jr., Kim SH, Caron S, Rihel J, Solnica-Krezel L, Julius D, Hudspeth AJ, Schier AF (2008) Zebrafish TRPA1 channels are required for chemosensation but not for thermosensation or mechanosensory hair cell function. J Neurosci 28:10102-10110.
- Prut L, Belzung C (2003) The open field as a paradigm to measure the effects of drugs on anxiety-like behaviors: a review. Eur J Pharmacol 463:3-33.
- Rajasethupathy P, Antonov I, Sheridan R, Frey S, Sander C, Tuschl T, Kandel ER (2012) A role for neuronal piRNAs in the epigenetic control of memory-related synaptic plasticity. Cell 149:693-707.
- Rankin CH, Beck CD, Chiba CM (1990) *Caenorhabditis elegans*: a new model system for the study of learning and memory. Behav Brain Res 37:89-92.
- Richendrfer H, Pelkowski SD, Colwill RM, Creton R (2012) On the edge: pharmacological evidence for anxiety-related behavior in zebrafish larvae. Behav Brain Res 228:99-106.
- Rihel J, Prober DA, Arvanites A, Lam K, Zimmerman S, Jang S, Haggarty SJ, Kokel D, Rubin LL, Peterson RT, Schier AF (2010) Zebrafish behavioral profiling links drugs to biological targets and rest/wake regulation. Science 327:348-351.
- Roberts AC, Bill BR, Glanzman DL (2013) Learning and memory in zebrafish larvae. Front Neural Circuits 7:126.
- Roberts AC, Chornak J, Alzagatiti JB, Ly DT, Bill BR, Trinkeller J, Pearce KC, Choe RC, Campbell CS, Wong D, Deutsch E, Hernandez S, Glanzman DL (2019) Rapid habituation of a touch-induced escape response in Zebrafish (Danio rerio) Larvae. PLoS One 14:e0214374.
- Roberts AC, Pearce KC, Choe RC, Alzagatiti JB, Yeung AK, Bill BR, Glanzman DL (2016) Long-term habituation of the C-start escape response in zebrafish larvae. Neurobiol Learn Mem 134 Pt B:360-368.
- Roberts AC, Reichl J, Song MY, Dearinger AD, Moridzadeh N, Lu ED, Pearce K, Esdin J, Glanzman DL (2011) Habituation of the C-start response in larval zebrafish exhibits several distinct phases and sensitivity to NMDA receptor blockade. PLoS One 6:e29132.
- Sagasti A, Guido MR, Raible DW, Schier AF (2005) Repulsive interactions shape the morphologies and functional arrangement of zebrafish peripheral sensory arbors. Curr Biol 15:804-814.
- Satou C, Kimura Y, Kohashi T, Horikawa K, Takeda H, Oda Y, Higashijima S (2009) Functional role of a specialized class of spinal commissural inhibitory neurons during fast escapes in zebrafish. J Neurosci 29(21):6780-93.
- Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW (2012) NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. Nat Methods 9:671-675.
- Sugita S, Goldsmith JR, Baxter DA, Byrne JH (1992) Involvement of protein kinase C in serotonin-induced spike broadening and synaptic facilation in sensorimotor connections of *Aplysia*. Journal of Neurophysiology 68:643-651.
- Szabó A, Bowman M, Braun CJ, Alper RH (1996) Cardiovascular effects produced by R-(+)-8 hydroxy-2-(di-n-propylamino) tetralin in the preoptic area of conscious rats.. Eur J Pharmacol 315(2):187-94.
- Thompson RF, Spencer WA (1966) Habituation: a model phenomenon for the study of neuronal substrates of behavior. Psychological Review 73:16–43.
- Vladimirov N, Mu Y, Kawashima T, Bennett DV, Yang CT, Looger LL, Keller PJ, Freeman J, Ahrens MB (2014) Light-sheet functional imaging in fictively behaving zebrafish. Nat Methods 11:883-884.
- Walz N, Muhlberger A, Pauli P (2016) A Human Open Field Test Reveals Thigmotaxis Related to Agoraphobic Fear. Biol Psychiatry 80:390-397.
- Watkins AJ, Goldstein DA, Lee LC, Pepino CJ, Tillett SL, Ross FE, Wilder EM, Zachary VA, Wright WG (2010) Lobster attack induces sensitization in the sea hare, Aplysia californica. J Neurosci 30:11028-11031.
- White JA, Ziv I, Cleary LJ, Baxter DA, Byrne JH (1993) The role of interneurons in controlling the tail-withdrawal reflex in Aplysia: a network model. J Neurophysiol 70:1777-1786.
- Wolman MA, de Groh ED, McBride SM, Jongens TA, Granato M, Epstein JA (2014) Modulation of cAMP and ras signaling pathways improves distinct behavioral deficits in a zebrafish model of neurofibromatosis type 1. Cell Rep 8:1265-1270.
- Wolman MA, Jain RA, Liss L, Granato M (2011) Chemical modulation of memory formation in larval zebrafish. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 108:15468-15473.
- Wood NE, Rosasco ML, Suris AM, Spring JD, Marin MF, Lasko NB, Goetz JM, Fischer AM, Orr SP, Pitman RK (2015) Pharmacological blockade of memory reconsolidation in posttraumatic stress disorder: three negative psychophysiological studies. Psychiatry res 225(1-2):31-39.
- Xu Y, Cleary LJ, Byrne JH (1994) Identification and characterization of pleural neurons that inhibit tail sensory neurons and motor neurons in Aplysia: correlation with FMRFamide immunoreactivity. J Neurosci 14:3565-3577.