Structural Dynamics of the Amyloid β-Protein Monomer Folding Nucleus
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ABSTRACT: Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is linked to the aberrant assembly of the amyloid β-protein (Aβ). The 21AEDVGSNKGA30 segment, Aβ(21−30), forms a turn that acts as a monomer folding nucleus. Amino acid substitutions within this nucleus cause familial forms of AD. To determine the biophysical characteristics of the folding nucleus, we studied the biologically relevant acetyl-Aβ(21−30)-amide peptide using experimental techniques (limited proteolysis, thermal denaturation, urea denaturation followed by pulse proteolysis, and electron microscopy) and computational methods (molecular dynamics). Our results reveal a highly stable foldon and suggest new strategies for therapeutic drug development.

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a fatal neurodegenerative disease postulated to be caused by the aberrant assembly of the amyloid β-protein (Aβ).1 Structure−activity relationship (SAR) studies of amyloid β-protein 40 (Aβ40) and amyloid β-protein 42 (Aβ42) have shown the 21AEDVGSNKGA30 segment, amyloid β-protein 21–30 [Aβ(21−30)], forms a turn that acts as a monomer folding nucleus.2 This nucleus was found to be protease-resistant, and amino acid substitutions within it that cause familial forms of AD and cerebral amyloid angiopathy (CAA) were shown to alter its stability.3 Studies of the isolated Aβ(21−30) decapeptide folding nucleus have shown that this peptide segment behaves as a “foldon”,4 demonstrating protease resistance and conformational characteristics similar to those found in the full-length holoproteins.2,3,5−9 However, these prior studies employed the peptide with free N-terminal amino and C-terminal carboxyl groups. In its native state, i.e., within the Aβ holoprotein, Aβ(21−30) exists in its peptide amide form. The charge neutralization of the peptide termini caused by peptide bonds may affect the conformational dynamics within this region. To resolve this question, we studied the blocked (N-terminal acetyl, C-terminal amide) form of Aβ(21−30) using experimental techniques (limited proteolysis, thermal denaturation, urea denaturation followed by pulse proteolysis, and electron microscopy) and computational methods (molecular dynamics). Our results reveal that the blocked peptide is substantially more stable than the unblocked alloform.

Limited proteolysis is a sensitive probe of folded peptide and protein conformation. We performed limited proteolysis using modified (trypsin-resistant) trypsin [1:100 (w/w) E:S ratio] at room temperature (RT).3 Unblocked Aβ(21−30) was cleaved rapidly and within 60 min displayed ≈40% cleavage (Figure 1A). In contrast, blocked Aβ(21−30) was cleaved ≈20% by this time. The cleavage difference was significant (p < 0.001).

Figure 1. (A) Initial rates of cleavage of Aβ(21−30) by modified trypsin. (B) Thermal denaturation profile of blocked and unblocked Aβ(21−30) probed with thermolysin.
Experiments also were performed with proteinase K, a nonspecific protease (Figure S2 of the Supporting Information). Results from these experiments were similar to those from the trypsin digestion. Initial rates of cleavage, and final cleavage levels, of unblocked Aβ(21–30) were greater than those of the blocked peptide. These data suggest that turn stability is increased in the blocked peptide, which is interesting considering that Coulombic interactions between the N-terminal amine cation and the C-terminal carboxyl anion might be predicted to stabilize the turn. Such interactions have been shown to be critical in mediating the antiparallel orientation of Aβ(16–22), another important segment of the holopeptide. Instead, our data argue that non-Coulombic interactions, perhaps hydrophobic interactions or H bonding, are more important in turn stabilization. These interactions would be expected to occur in the holoprotein.

We next examined turn stability using pulse proteolysis, a simple method for determining the stability of peptides and proteins that involves digestion of proteins in the unfolded state in equilibrium mixtures in which both folded and unfolded states are populated. The equilibrium is perturbed systematically by controlled protein denaturation. For Aβ(21–30), thermolysin proteolysis was performed at different temperatures. Results show significant (p < 0.001, t-test) differences in thermal denaturation curves (Figure 1B) between blocked and unblocked Aβ(21–30). The “melting temperature” (Tm) at which the peptide is 50% folded was ~24 °C for unblocked Aβ(21–30) and ~28 °C for blocked Aβ(21–30). The increased thermal stability of the blocked peptide is consistent with the results of the limited proteolysis studies.

An orthogonal technique for measuring the conformational stability of proteins is denaturation using chaotropic agents such as guanidinium salts or urea. We monitored the unfolding of the Aβ(21–30) alloforms in urea. Human neutrophil elastase (HNE) was used to reveal the unfolded state because this enzyme retains its activity under denaturing conditions (even in 8 M urea).

Pulsing the peptide solutions with HNE for 5 min during the urea-induced unfolding process revealed significant differences between the two peptides (Figure S1 of the Supporting Information). Blocked Aβ(21–30) displayed ~8% cleavage in 1 M urea, a level that remained essentially constant, within experimental error, over the entire urea concentration range (up to 8 M). Unblocked Aβ(21–30), in contrast, displayed a monotonic increase in the level of cleavage that was proportional to urea concentration (Figure S1 of the Supporting Information). Approximately 50% cleavage was observed using 8 M urea, a highly significant (p < 0.001) difference compared with the blocked peptide.

To determine whether the primary structure differences responsible for the observed differences in stability also affected peptide assembly morphology, we used transmission electron microscopy (TEM) to visualize structures formed by the peptides immediately after their solubilization and after incubation for 7 days. Significant differences in morphologies were seen between the blocked and unblocked peptides.

Unblocked Aβ(21–30) at day 0 formed globular or slightly extended (low aspect ratio) structures 10–30 nm in diameter that often were associated into small accretions (Figure S4 of the Supporting Information). The blocked peptide formed larger, more globular structures (40–80 nm in diameter), as well as short, cylindrical structures (35–40 nm in diameter). These assemblies also tended to accrete.

On day 7, the accretions formed by unblocked Aβ(21–30) were larger than those at day 0, with their composite units displaying diameters of 25–120 nm. Isolated globular assemblies 20–60 nm in diameter also were observed. The blocked peptide formed quasi-spherical (~60 nm in diameter), globular (~30–65 nm in diameter), and threadlike structures (~20–40 nm in diameter). Interestingly, the structures of assemblies of the blocked peptide were smaller than those of the unblocked peptide and remained similar in size to the assemblies formed on day 0. One explanation for this observation is the increased stability of the folded state of the peptide. Kinetically, this would facilitate rapid self-association (the larger structures observed on day 0) but inhibit the formation of more extended structures over time (day 7). This explanation is consistent with the proteolysis data and, as we discuss below, with results of computational studies.

To obtain atomic-resolution structural information, we performed all-atom molecular dynamics simulations. Both peptides displayed little regular β-strand or α-helix structure, but each had a significant propensity for turn formation (Figure S3 of the Supporting Information). Overall, residues in the blocked peptide displayed stronger tendencies to exist within turns relative to those in the unblocked peptide, with residues 28–30 displaying the largest differences (Figure 2).

We next clustered the collected conformations of each peptide. In Figure 2A, we superimpose the most populated structures of the two peptides (~35% for the blocked peptide (magenta) and ~23% for the unblocked peptide (green)). The peptide backbones of residues 1–8 are almost identical in conformation. However, a substantial difference is observed at the C-terminus of the blocked peptide, where the C-terminal Ala bends over to contact residue 7, Asn (Figure 2A, arrow). In Figure 2B, we show the intramolecular contact maps of the two peptides. The contours are similar, which suggests that the conformations of the two peptides are similar. However, in blocked Aβ(21–30), additional intramolecular contacts can be observed between residues 3 and 6, 4 and 7, and 7 and 10.

Our simulations provide an explanation for why N-terminal acetylation and C-terminal amidation increase the stability of Aβ(21–30). The blocked peptide displays more intramolecular contacts, and its turn structure population (~35%) is larger than that of the unblocked peptide (~23%). However, their tertiary structures remain similar, as evidenced by the similarity between their contact map and the small root-mean-square deviation (0.55 Å) between their most populated turn structures.

Figure 2. (A) Most populated structures of the blocked (magenta, 35%) and unblocked (green, 23%) peptides. (B) Intramolecular contact maps for the blocked (bottom right) and unblocked (top left) peptides.
Taken together, the in vitro and in silico data are consistent in their demonstration that acetylation and amidation of Aβ(21–30) significantly increase the stability of the dominant turn conformer. The Aβ(21–30) peptide segment has been shown to be the folding nucleus of full-length Aβ. Initial studies of the unblocked Aβ(21–30) peptide did reveal a metastable turn, a surprising observation for such a short, unmodified (e.g., non-disulfide-containing) peptide. In addition, free peptides containing single amino acid substitutions causing FAD or CAA significantly reduce turn stability, facilitating Aβ assembly. A key feature of this assembly process is intermolecular interaction between Lys28 and Asp23. Our studies here suggest that the turn formed within full-length Aβ is more stable than previously predicted. This suggestion assumes similar behavior of the isolated decapeptide and the decapeptide segment of the holoproteins. We believe this is a reasonable assumption based on prior work on Aβ40, Aβ42, and the decapeptide, and on the fact that the decapeptide appears to be a foldon, an independent folding unit of a holoprotein. If so, then the development of small molecule stabilizers of this turn element may be of therapeutic value, as has been shown in the transthyretin amyloid system.
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